

Pointwise Redundancy in One-Shot Lossy Compression via Poisson Functional Representation

Cheuk Ting Li

Abstract

We study different notions of pointwise redundancy in variable-length lossy source coding. We present a construction of one-shot variable-length lossy source coding schemes using the Poisson functional representation, and give bounds on its pointwise redundancy for various definitions of pointwise redundancy. This allows us to describe the distribution of the encoding length in a precise manner. We also generalize the result to the one-shot lossy Gray-Wyner system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lossy source coding concerns the problem of compressing a source such that the reconstruction is close to the source with respect to a distortion measure. For fixed-length codes where the compression has a fixed number of bits, the optimal compression rate in the asymptotic setting where the blocklength tends to infinity is given by the rate-distortion function [1], [2], whereas the optimal second-order term is given in terms of the d -tilted information [3], [4].

Variable-length codes, where the length of the compression can depend on the source, have also been studied. Variable-length codes are natural in universal source coding settings [5], [6], where the source sequence X_1, \dots, X_n follows an unknown distribution, and the encoding length should adapt to the distribution. Variable-length codes are also useful in non-universal settings where the source distribution is known. For example, for lossy compression with a fixed upper-bound on the excess distortion probability, [7] studied a construction where we assign empty codewords in case of excess distortion, resulting in a reduction of the expected length.

For another example, D -semifaithful codes [8], [9] concerns the setting where the distortion must be bounded almost surely. Such an almost sure distortion constraint necessitates the use of variable-length codes, since fixed-length codes generally cannot achieve the rate-distortion function. In [10], it was shown that the (average) rate redundancy $\ln n/n + o(\ln n/n)$ can be achieved for D -semifaithful codes. For a pointwise converse result, it has been shown in [11] that $\liminf_n \ell_n(X^n)/n \geq R(D)$ almost surely, where $\ell_n(X^n)$ denotes the encoding length of the source sequence X^n , and $R(D)$ is the rate-distortion function. Pointwise redundancy of D -semifaithful codes in the finite blocklength setting has been studied in [12] (see Section IV). The convergence rate of the encoding length to the rate-distortion function has been characterized in [13]. The case for sources with memory was investigated in [14]. Also refer to [15], [16] for results on universal D -semifaithful codes. Variable-length codes are also useful for entropy-constrained quantization [17], [18].

Another motivation for variable-length codes is that there is a logarithmic gap between the expected length of one-shot lossy compression (there is only one source symbol X) under the expected distortion constraint $\mathbb{E}[d(X, Y)] \leq D$ [19], and the optimal asymptotic rate given by the rate-distortion function $R(D)$. More precisely, it was shown in [19], via a construction called *Poisson functional representation*, that there exists a prefix-free code with expected length

$$\leq R(D) + \log(R(D) + 1) + 6. \quad (1)$$

Also see [20], [21] for related channel simulation results, and [22] for a related result on epsilon entropy. This is a lossy analogue of variable-length lossless compression, where Huffman coding [23] gives a constant gap between the expected length of one-shot compression and the optimal asymptotic rate. This would not be possible for fixed-length codes, which has an optimal one-shot length arbitrarily larger than the optimal asymptotic rate even for lossless compression.

In this paper, we utilize the Poisson functional representation [19], [24] to construct one-shot variable-length lossy source coding schemes. We study three notions of pointwise redundancy, namely *pointwise rate redundancy* (PRR) measuring the difference between the encoding length and $R(D)$ (studied in [12], [14]), *pointwise source-wise redundancy* (PSR) measuring the difference between the encoding length and the d -tilted information in the source [12], [4], [25] (studied in [12]), and the *pointwise source-distortion-wise redundancy* (PSDR) measuring the difference between the encoding length and a measure of the amount of information needed to encode the source within a given distortion. We give bounds on these pointwise redundancies, where the PSDR admits an especially simple bound. We also generalize the results to the one-shot lossy Gray-Wyner system [26], [19].

This work was partially supported by an ECS grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China [Project No.: CUHK 24205621].

C. T. Li is with the Department of Information Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR of China (e-mail: cctl@ie.cuhk.edu.hk).

Notations

Entropy is in bits, and logarithm is to the base 2. Write $[a]_+ := \max\{a, 0\}$. Write $\{0, 1\}^* := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}^k$ for the set of sequences with any length. For $c \in \{0, 1\}^*$, write $|c|$ for its length. For random variables X, Y , write P_X for the distribution of X , and $\iota_{X;Y}(x; y) = \log \frac{dP_{X,Y}}{dP_X \times P_Y}(x, y)$ for the information density. Write $X^n = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

II. MAIN RESULT

A *lossy compression scheme with positive integer description* for the source $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $X \sim P_X$ with reconstruction space \mathcal{Y} consists of a (possibly stochastic) encoder given as a conditional distribution $P_{K|X}$ from \mathcal{X} to $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and a decoding function $g : \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Given the source X , the encoder produces the description $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ using $P_{K|X}$, and sends it to the decoder which reconstructs $\tilde{Y} = g(K)$. We often impose an *expected distortion constraint*. Let $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a distortion function. Then we may require that $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq D$ for a fixed $D \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that if we want to bound the probability of excess distortion $\mathbb{P}(d(X, \tilde{Y}) > D) \leq \epsilon$ instead, then we can still consider it as an expected distortion constraint by introducing a new distortion measure $d'(x, y) = \mathbf{1}\{d(x, y) > D\}$, and imposing the condition $\mathbb{E}[d'(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq \epsilon$.

For a *lossy compression scheme with variable-length description*, we instead have the stochastic encoder $P_{M|X}$ from \mathcal{X} to $\{0, 1\}^*$ (now the description is $M \in \{0, 1\}^*$ instead of K), and a decoding function $g : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. We can choose whether to impose the prefix-free condition or not. If we impose the prefix-free condition, then it is required that $M \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is a prefix-free codebook. Note that a scheme with positive integer description can be converted into a variable-length scheme without the prefix-free condition [27], since K can be encoded into $\lfloor \log K \rfloor$ bits given by the binary representation of K without the leading digit. It can also be converted into a prefix-free variable-length scheme, for example, by using the Elias delta code [28] that encodes K into $\leq \log K + 2 \log(\log K + 1) + 1$ bits.

We now present the main result in this paper.

Theorem 1. Fix any $P_X, P_{Y|X}$ and Q_Y satisfying $P_{Y|X}(\cdot|x) \ll Q_Y$ for P_X -almost all x 's. Consider any finite collection of functions $\psi_i : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are nondecreasing in the third argument (i.e., $\psi_i(x, y, k)$ is nondecreasing in k for any fixed x, y) for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Then there exists a lossy compression scheme with positive integer description $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and reconstruction \tilde{Y} such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi_i(X, \tilde{Y}, K)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\psi_i(X, Y, \ell J)] \quad (2)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, where $(X, Y) \sim P_X P_{Y|X}$, and $J \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is distributed as

$$\begin{aligned} & J | \{X = x, Y = y\} \\ & \sim \text{Geom} \left(\left(\frac{dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)}{dQ_Y}(y) + 1 \right)^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Theorem 1 is versatile. For example, if we want to impose an expected distortion constraint, we take $\psi_i(x, y, k) = d(x, y)$. If we want to impose an excess distortion probability constraint, we take $\psi_i(x, y, k) = \mathbf{1}\{d(x, y) > D\}$. If we use a fixed-length code with n bits, and want to bound the probability that K cannot be encoded into n bits, we take $\psi_i(x, y, k) = \mathbf{1}\{k > 2^n\}$. If we use a variable-length code without prefix-free condition, and want to bound the expected length, we take $\psi_i(x, y, k) = \log k$. If we want the prefix-free condition, we may instead take $\psi_i(x, y, k) = \log k + 2 \log(\log k + 1) + 1$ (using Elias delta code [28]). We will later see in Section IV how we can choose ψ_i in order to bound the pointwise redundancy.

We now describe the construction of the coding scheme for Theorem 1, which utilizes the *Poisson functional representation* [19], [24]. Here we use a construction mostly similar to [19, Theorem 2], with a refined analysis using techniques in [24]. Let $0 \leq T_1 \leq T_2 \leq \dots$ be a Poisson process with rate 1 (i.e., $T_1, T_2 - T_1, T_3 - T_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(1)$), and $\bar{Y}_1, \bar{Y}_2, \dots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Q_Y$ be independent of $(T_i)_i$. The process $(\bar{Y}_i, T_i)_i$ (which is a marked Poisson process) serves as the ‘‘random codebook’’ of the coding scheme. Given X , the encoder outputs

$$K := \operatorname{argmin}_i \frac{T_i}{(dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|X)/dQ_Y)(\bar{Y}_i)}. \quad (4)$$

Given K , the decoder outputs $Y = \bar{Y}_K$.

The following result was given in [24, Equation (29)] (after substituting $j = 1$).

Lemma 2 (Poisson functional representation [24]). Consider two distributions $P \ll Q$. Let $0 \leq T_1 \leq T_2 \leq \dots$ be a Poisson process with rate 1, and $\bar{U}_1, \bar{U}_2, \dots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Q$ be independent of $(T_i)_i$. Let $U = \bar{U}_K$, where

$$K := \operatorname{argmin}_i \frac{T_i}{(dP/dQ)(\bar{U}_i)}.$$

We treat $T_i/0 = \infty$ here. Then $U \sim P$, and K has the following conditional distribution given U :

$$K|\{U = u\} \sim \text{Geom}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\max\left\{\frac{dP}{dQ}(u), \frac{dP}{dQ}(U')\right\}\right]\right)^{-1}\right),$$

where $U' \sim Q$.

Lemma 2 shows that $Y|X \sim P_{Y|X}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & K|\{X = x, Y = y\} \\ & \sim \text{Geom}\left(\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\max\left\{\frac{dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)}{dQ_Y}(y), \frac{dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)}{dQ_Y}(Y')\right\}\right]\right)^{-1}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $Y' \sim Q_Y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\max\left\{\frac{dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)}{dQ_Y}(y), \frac{dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)}{dQ_Y}(Y')\right\}\right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)}{dQ_Y}(y) + \frac{dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)}{dQ_Y}(Y')\right] \\ & = \frac{dP_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)}{dQ_Y}(y) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the distribution $P_{K|X=x, Y=y}$ in (5) is first order stochastically dominated by $P_{J|X=x, Y=y}$ in (3).

Since the encoder and decoder cannot share common randomness, they cannot agree on a random codebook $\mathfrak{P} := (\bar{Y}_i, T_i)_i$. Therefore, we have to “derandomize” the scheme and fix a codebook. By invoking Carathéodory’s theorem in a manner similar to [19, Theorem 2],¹ there exist fixed choices of codebooks $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ and $w_1, \dots, w_\ell \geq 0$ with $\sum_j w_j = 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi_i(X, Y, \ell K)] \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} w_j \mathbb{E}[\psi_i(X, Y, \ell K) | \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{p}_j].$$

The encoder then randomize among these codebooks, by choosing the j -th codebook \mathfrak{p}_j with probability w_j . To allow the decoder to know which codebook is used, the encoder transmits $K' = \ell(K - 1) + j$ instead of K , where j is the index of the codebook chosen. We have $K' \leq \ell K$, and $\mathbb{E}[\psi_i(X, Y, \ell K)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\psi_i(X, Y, \ell J)]$ since $P_{K|X, Y}$ is first order stochastically dominated by $P_{J|X, Y}$, completing the proof of Theorem 1.

We also remark that the K in Lemma 2 satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\log K] \leq D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) + 1, \quad (6)$$

which slightly improves upon the $\mathbb{E}[\log K] \leq D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) + e^{-1} \log e + 1$ in [19] and $\mathbb{E}[\log K] \leq D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) + \log e$ in [24]. To prove this, writing $f(u) := (dP/dQ)(u)$, and letting $U' \sim Q$ be independent of U , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\log K] & \leq \mathbb{E}[\log \mathbb{E}[K|U]] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[\log \mathbb{E}[\max\{f(U), f(U')\}]] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}[\log \mathbb{E}[f(U) + f(U')]] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[\log(f(U) + 1)] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[\log f(U)] + \mathbb{E}[\log(1 + 1/f(U))] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}[\log f(U)] + \log(1 + \mathbb{E}[1/f(U)]) \\ & = D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) + \log\left(1 + \int \frac{1}{(dP/dQ)(u)} dP(u)\right) \\ & \leq D_{\text{KL}}(P||Q) + \log 2. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

This offers a slight improvement for the strong functional representation lemma [19] to the following statement: for any jointly distributed random variables X, Y , there exists a random variable Z independent of X such that Y is a function of (X, Z) , and

$$H(Y|Z) \leq I(X; Y) + \log(I(X; Y) + 2) + 2. \quad (8)$$

Using the same arguments as in (7), we can show that the J in Theorem 1 satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\log J] \leq \mathbb{E}[D_{\text{KL}}(P_{Y|X}(\cdot|X)||Q_Y)] + 1. \quad (9)$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[D_{\text{KL}}(P_{Y|X}(\cdot|X)||Q_Y)] = I(X; Y)$ when $Q_Y = P_Y$.

¹The ordinary Carathéodory’s theorem would require $\ell + 1$ points. Here we require one fewer point since we only need inequality instead of equality.

III. EXPECTED LENGTH

In the following sections, we will present various consequences of Theorem 1. For example, substituting $\psi_1(x, y, k) = d(x, y)$ to be the distortion function, and $\psi_2(x, y, k) = \log k$, we have the following variable-length lossy source coding result similar to [19] (with slightly improved constants), showing that we can achieve an expected length close to the rate-distortion function $R(D)$ even in one-shot.

Corollary 3 (Variable-length lossy compression). *Fix any P_X , distortion function $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a lossy compression scheme with description $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and reconstruction \tilde{Y} such that $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq D$, and*

$$\mathbb{E}[\log K] \leq R(D) + 2.01,$$

where $R(D) := \inf_{P_{Y|X}: \mathbb{E}[d(X, Y)] \leq D} I(X; Y)$ is the rate-distortion function. Hence, there exists a lossy compression scheme with description $M \in \{0, 1\}^*$ without the prefix-free condition, and reconstruction \tilde{Y} , such that $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq D$, and

$$\mathbb{E}[|M|] \leq R(D) + 2.01.$$

If we require the prefix-free condition, we instead have

$$\mathbb{E}[|M|] \leq R(D) + \log(R(D) + 3.01) + 4.01. \quad (10)$$

Proof: Consider any $P_{Y|X}$. Let $(X, Y) \sim P_X P_{Y|X}$ and $Q_Y = P_Y$. Construct a scheme by applying Theorem 1 on $\psi_1(x, y, k) = d(x, y)$ and $\psi_2(x, y, k) = \log k$. We have $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq \mathbb{E}[d(X, Y)]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\log K] \leq \mathbb{E}[\log(2J)] \leq I(X; Y) + 2$ by (9). By considering $P_{Y|X}$ approaching the rate-distortion function, we can have $\mathbb{E}[\log K] \leq R(D) + 2.01$. The result for variable-length non-prefix-free description is because K can be encoded into $\lfloor \log K \rfloor \leq \log K$ bits. The result for prefix-free description follows from the inequality $H(K) \leq \mathbb{E}[\log K] + \log(\mathbb{E}[\log K] + 1) + 1$ in [19], and the application of Huffman coding. ■

We remark that it is possible to improve (10) to

$$\mathbb{E}[|M|] \leq R(D) + \log(R(D) + 2) + 4.01 \quad (11)$$

by applying the derandomization step in the proof of Theorem 1 after we construct the variable-length code. By (6), if the encoder and decoder are allowed to share the Poisson process, we can have $\mathbb{E}[\log K] \leq I(X; Y) + 1$, which can be compressed into a prefix-free description M with $\mathbb{E}[|M|] \leq I(X; Y) + \log(I(X; Y) + 2) + 3$ due to $H(K) \leq \mathbb{E}[\log K] + \log(\mathbb{E}[\log K] + 1) + 1$ [19]. The extra 1-bit penalty comes from a similar derandomization step as in the proof of Theorem 1, and the “0.01” penalty (which can be made arbitrarily small) is to accommodate for the situation where the infimum in $R(D)$ cannot be attained.

IV. NOTIONS OF POINTWISE REDUNDANCY

The pointwise redundancy of a prefix-free lossless source code $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ for the distribution P_X at $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is $|M| + \log P_X(X)$ [29]. The $|M|$ corresponds to the actual length of the encoding $M = f(X) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ of X , whereas $-\log P_X(X)$ is the “amount of information” in X . For lossy compression, it is not entirely clear how to measure the “amount of information” in (X, \tilde{Y}) (where \tilde{Y} is the actual reconstruction of the compression). To allow full generality, in this paper, we define the η -pointwise redundancy (where $\eta : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function) as

$$|M| - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}).$$

Here $\eta(X, \tilde{Y})$ plays the role of the “amount of information” in (X, \tilde{Y}) . We discuss several examples of η -pointwise redundancy.

- **Pointwise rate redundancy (PRR)**, studied in [12], [14], is given by

$$|M| - R(D),$$

i.e., we take $\eta(x, y) = R(D)$ to be the rate-distortion function at D , where $D = \mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})]$ is the expected distortion of the scheme. Unlike the pointwise redundancy for lossless compression, here the “amount of information” term $\eta(x, y)$ does not depend on the values of x, y .

- **Pointwise source-wise redundancy (PSR)**, studied in [12],² is given by

$$|M| - j(X, D),$$

i.e., we take $\eta(x, y) = j(x, D)$, where $D = \mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})]$, and $j(x, D)$ is the d -tilted information in x [25], [12], [4]

$$j(x, D) := -\log \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\lambda^*(d(x, Y^*) - D)} \right], \quad (12)$$

²The results on both PRR and PSR are called “pointwise redundancy” in [12]. Here we use the names “pointwise rate redundancy” and “pointwise source-wise redundancy” to distinguish them.

where $Y^* \sim P_Y$ follows the Y -marginal of $P_X P_{Y|X}$ where $P_{Y|X}$ is the conditional distribution that attains the minimum in $R(D)$ (assume that the minimizer is unique), and $\lambda^* := -R'(D)$ is the negative of the derivative of the rate-distortion function at D . Note that $\eta(x, y)$ only depends on x . The d -tilted information is considered as an analogue of the amount of information $-\log P_X(X)$ in lossless source coding [4], and hence the pointwise source redundancy can be considered as an analogue of the pointwise redundancy in lossless source coding. In [12], it has been shown that when the source $X = X^n$ is an i.i.d. sequence, and we require $d(X^n, \tilde{Y}^n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n d(X_i, \tilde{Y}_i) \leq D$ almost sure (i.e., this is a D -semifaithful code), the every sequence of codes (indexed by the blocklength n) must have a PSR

$$|M| - j(X^n, D) \geq -2 \log n$$

eventually as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with probability 1. Also, there exists codes with PSR

$$|M| - j(X^n, D) \leq 5 \log n$$

eventually as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with probability 1.

- **Pointwise source-distortion-wise redundancy (PSDR)**, defined as

$$|M| - j(X, D, d(X, \tilde{Y})),$$

where we write

$$\begin{aligned} j(x, D, \delta) &:= -\log \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\lambda^*(d(x, Y^*) - \delta)} \right] \\ &= j(x, D) - \lambda^*(\delta - D), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where Y^* and λ^* are the same as (12). Here $\eta(x, y) = j(x, D, d(x, y))$ depends on both x and the distortion $\delta = d(x, y)$, and can be interpreted as “the amount of information required to convey x within a distortion δ ”. Invoking [25, Lemma 1.4] (also see [4, Property 1]), for P_Y -almost all y ,

$$j(x, D, d(x, y)) = \iota_{X;Y}(x; y), \quad (14)$$

where P_Y is the Y -marginal of $(X, Y) \sim P_X P_{Y|X}$, and $P_{Y|X}$ attains the minimum in $R(D)$. Hence, when \mathcal{Y} is finite, the PSDR equals $|M| - \iota_{X;Y}(X; \tilde{Y})$ if \tilde{Y} is in the support of P_Y .

While the $\eta(x, y)$'s in PRR, PSR and PSDR all corresponds to “the amount of information required to convey the source within some distortion”, their difference lies in their “level of pointwise-ness”. The η in PRR is the “global average amount of information” that does not depend on the point (x, y) . The η in PSR is “source-wise”, in the sense that it is the “average amount of information at x ” that only depends on the source x . The η in PSDR is “source-and-distortion-wise”, in the sense that it is the “amount of information at x and distortion δ ” that depends on both x and the distortion δ between the current source and reconstruction (not only the average distortion). Also note that both PSR and PSDR (but not PRR) can recover the pointwise redundancy $|M| + \log P_X(X)$ for lossless source coding by taking $d(x, y) = \mathbf{1}\{x \neq y\}$.

All three choices of η 's have expectation $R(D)$. We have $\mathbb{E}[j(X, D)] = R(D)$ as proved in [25]. For PSDR, we have $\mathbb{E}[j(X, D, d(X, \tilde{Y}))] = \mathbb{E}[j(X, D) - \lambda^*(d(X, \tilde{Y}) - D)] = R(D)$ since $D = \mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})]$. Therefore, when M is a prefix-free codeword, the expectation of each of the three pointwise redundancies must be nonnegative.

V. POINTWISE REDUNDANCY WITHOUT PREFIX-FREE CONDITION

If we use a variable-length code without the prefix-free condition, then a positive integer description $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ can be encoded into $\lceil \log K \rceil \leq \log K$ bits. Hence, we can bound the pointwise redundancy by $\log K - \eta(X; \tilde{Y})$. Without the prefix-free condition, the expectation of the pointwise redundancies may be negative, though the gap is at most logarithmic [27]. The following corollary of Theorem 1 gives a bound for the pointwise redundancy for general $\eta(x, y)$.

Corollary 4 (Pointwise redundancy w/o prefix-free condition). *Fix any $P_X, P_{Y|X}$, distortion function $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and function $\eta : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a lossy compression scheme with description $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and reconstruction \tilde{Y} such that $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq \mathbb{E}[d(X, Y)]$, and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\log K - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma \right) \\ \leq 2^{-\gamma+1} \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\eta(X, Y)} (2^{\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y)} + 1) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, where $(X, Y) \sim P_X P_{Y|X}$.

The result specialized for PSDR is especially elegant.

Corollary 5 (PSDR w/o prefix-free condition). *For $D > 0$, under the regularity conditions in [4],³ there exists a lossy compression scheme with description $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, reconstruction \tilde{Y} , with $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq D$, and with pointwise source-distortion redundancy (see (13)) satisfying*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\log K - j(X, D, d(X, \tilde{Y})) \geq \gamma \right) \leq 2^{-\gamma+2}$$

for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. The above is also true when $j(X, D, d(X, \tilde{Y}))$ is replaced with $\iota_{X;Y}(X; \tilde{Y})$, where $P_{Y|X}$ attains the minimum in $R(D)$.

We now prove these two results.

Proof: Construct a scheme by applying Theorem 1 on $\psi_1(x, y, k) = d(x, y)$ and $\psi_2(x, y, k) = 2^{-\eta(x, y)}k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\log K - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(2^{-\eta(X, \tilde{Y}) - \gamma} K \geq 1 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\eta(X, \tilde{Y}) - \gamma} K \right] \\ &= 2^{-\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\eta(X, \tilde{Y})} K \right] \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} 2^{-\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\eta(X, Y)} 2J \right] \\ &= 2^{-\gamma+1} \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\eta(X, Y)} \mathbb{E}[J | X, Y] \right] \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} 2^{-\gamma+1} \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\eta(X, Y)} (2^{\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y)} + 1) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by (2), and (b) is by (3). We now consider PSDR where $\eta(x, y) = j(x, D, d(x, y))$. Consider $P_{Y|X}$ attaining the minimum in $R(D)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\log K - j(X, D, d(X, \tilde{Y})) \geq \gamma \right) \\ &\leq 2^{-\gamma+1} \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-j(X, D, d(X, Y))} (2^{\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y)} + 1) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} 2^{-\gamma+1} \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y)} (2^{\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y)} + 1) \right] \\ &= 2^{-\gamma+1} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y)} \right] + 1 \right) \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{\leq} 2^{-\gamma+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where (c) is by (14), and (d) is because $\mathbb{E}[2^{-\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y)}] = \int ((dP_{X,Y}/dP_X P_Y)(x, y))^{-1} P_{X,Y}(dx, dy) \leq 1$. ■

The term inside the expectation in (15) is unbounded, which might make the expectation problematic to bound, for example, for PRR and PSR. Alternatively, we can also have the following result with a bounded expectation, which gives a meaningful error bound for PRR and PSR. The downside is that the scheme has to be designed for a specific γ .

Corollary 6 (Pointwise redundancy w/o prefix-free condition). *Fix any P_X , $P_{Y|X}$, distortion function $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, function $\eta : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a lossy compression scheme with description $K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and reconstruction \tilde{Y} such that $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq \mathbb{E}[d(X, Y)]$, and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\log K - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\min \left\{ 2^{-\eta(X, Y) - \gamma + 1} (2^{\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y)} + 1), 1 \right\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $(X, Y) \sim P_X P_{Y|X}$.

³The regularity conditions in [4] are: $R(\delta)$ is finite for some δ , there exists a finite set $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\min_{y \in \mathcal{E}} d(X, y)] < \infty$, and the minimum in $R(D)$ is achieved by a unique $P_{Y|X}$.

Proof: Construct a scheme by applying Theorem 1 on $\psi_1(x, y, k) = d(x, y)$ and $\psi_2(x, y, k) = \min\{2^{-\eta(x, y) - \gamma} k, 1\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\log K - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-\eta(X, \tilde{Y}) - \gamma} K, 1\}\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-\eta(X, Y) - \gamma + 1} J, 1\}\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-\eta(X, Y) - \gamma + 1} \mathbb{E}[J | X, Y], 1\}\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-\eta(X, Y) - \gamma + 1} (2^{\iota_{X;Y}} + 1), 1\}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

■

VI. POINTWISE REDUNDANCY WITH PREFIX-FREE CONDITION

If a prefix-free coding scheme is desired, we can apply the Elias delta code [28] to obtain the following slightly more complicated result.

Corollary 7 (Pointwise redundancy with prefix-free condition). *Fix any $P_X, P_{Y|X}$, distortion function $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, function $\eta : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a lossy compression scheme with prefix-free description $M \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and reconstruction \tilde{Y} such that $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq \mathbb{E}[d(X, Y)]$, and*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(|M| - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-\eta(X, Y) - \gamma + 2} ([\eta(X, Y) + \gamma]_+ + 1)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot (2^{\iota_{X;Y}} + 1), 1\}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $(X, Y) \sim P_X P_{Y|X}$.

The result specialized for PSDR is given below.

Corollary 8 (PSDR with prefix-free condition). *For $D > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, under the regularity conditions in [4] (see Corollary 5), there exists a lossy compression scheme with prefix-free description $M \in \{0, 1\}^*$, reconstruction \tilde{Y} , with $\mathbb{E}[d(X, \tilde{Y})] \leq D$, and with pointwise source-distortion redundancy (see (13)) satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(|M| - j(X, D, d(X, \tilde{Y})) \geq \gamma\right) \\
& \leq 2^{-\gamma + 3} \mathbb{E}\left[[\iota_{X;Y}(X; Y) + \gamma]_+ + 1\right]^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $(X, Y) \sim P_X P_{Y|X}$, and $P_{Y|X}$ attains the minimum in $R(D)$.

We now prove the two results.

Proof: Let $L(t) := t + 2 \log(t + 1) + 1$. Let L^{-1} be the inverse function of L (take $L^{-1}(a) = 0$ for $a < 1$). Construct a scheme by applying Theorem 1 on $\psi_1(x, y, k) = d(x, y)$ and $\psi_2(x, y, k) = \min\{2^{-L^{-1}(\eta(x, y) + \gamma)} k, 1\}$, and encode the description K by Elias delta code [28] that takes $\leq L(\log K)$ bits. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(|M| - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(L(\log K) - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\log K \geq L^{-1}(\eta(X, \tilde{Y}) + \gamma)\right) \\
& = \mathbb{P}\left(2^{-L^{-1}(\eta(X, \tilde{Y}) + \gamma)} K \geq 1\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-L^{-1}(\eta(X, \tilde{Y}) + \gamma)} K, 1\}\right] \\
& \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-L^{-1}(\eta(X, Y) + \gamma) + 1} J, 1\}\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-L^{-1}(\eta(X, Y) + \gamma) + 1} \mathbb{E}[J | X, Y], 1\}\right] \\
& \stackrel{(b)}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\min\{2^{-L^{-1}(\eta(X, Y) + \gamma) + 1} (2^{\iota_{X;Y}} + 1), 1\}\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by (2), and (b) is by (3). To bound $L^{-1}(a)$, note that for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a - 2 \log([a]_+ + 1) - 1 \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & L(a - 2 \log([a]_+ + 1) - 1) \\ &= a - 2 \log(a + 1) + 2 \log(a - 2 \log(a + 1)) \\ &\leq a, \end{aligned}$$

and $L^{-1}(a) \geq a - 2 \log([a]_+ + 1) - 1$. This inequality holds for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ as well since $L^{-1}(a) \geq 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(|M| - \eta(X, \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\min \left\{ 2^{-\eta(X, Y) - \gamma + 2 \log([\eta(X, Y) + \gamma]_+ + 1) + 2} (2^{\iota_{X;Y}(X;Y)} + 1), 1 \right\} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\min \left\{ 2^{-\eta(X, Y) - \gamma + 2} ([\eta(X, Y) + \gamma]_+ + 1)^2 (2^{\iota_{X;Y}(X;Y)} + 1), 1 \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider PSDR where $\eta(x, y) = j(x, D, d(x, y))$. Consider $P_{Y|X}$ attaining the minimum in $R(D)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(|M| - \iota_{X;Y}(X; \tilde{Y}) \geq \gamma \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\eta(X, Y) - \gamma + 2} ([\eta(X, Y) + \gamma]_+ + 1)^2 (2^{\iota_{X;Y}(X;Y)} + 1) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\gamma + 2} ([\iota_{X;Y}(X;Y) + \gamma]_+ + 1)^2 (2^{-\iota_{X;Y}(X;Y)} + 1) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{\leq} 2^{-\gamma + 2} \mathbb{E} \left[([\iota_{X;Y}(X;Y) + \gamma]_+ + 1)^2 \right] \mathbb{E} \left[2^{-\iota_{X;Y}(X;Y)} + 1 \right] \\ &= 2^{-\gamma + 3} \mathbb{E} \left[([\iota_{X;Y}(X;Y) + \gamma]_+ + 1)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where (c) is by (14), and (d) is by rearrangement inequality. ■

VII. LOSSY GRAY-WYNER SYSTEM

We now generalize Theorem 1 to the lossy Gray-Wyner system [26], which is a network with one encoder and two decoders. In the one-shot lossy Gray-Wyner system (see [19]), the encoder observes the source pair $(X_1, X_2) \sim P_{X_1, X_2}$, and produces three descriptions $K_0, K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Decoder 1 observes K_0, K_1 , and outputs \tilde{Y}_1 . Decoder 2 observes K_0, K_2 , and outputs \tilde{Y}_2 . We are interested in bounding the distortions $d_1(X_1, \tilde{Y}_1)$ and $d_2(X_2, \tilde{Y}_2)$, where $d_i : \mathcal{X}_i \times \mathcal{Y}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are distortion functions. The following is an extension of Theorem 1 to the one-shot lossy Gray-Wyner system.

Theorem 9. Fix any P_{X_1, X_2} , $P_{U|X_1, X_2}$, $P_{Y_1|X_1, U}$, $P_{Y_2|X_2, U}$. Consider any collection of functions $\psi_i : \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is nondecreasing in each of the last three arguments for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Then there exists a lossy compression scheme for the one-shot lossy Gray-Wyner system with positive integer descriptions $K_0, K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and reconstructions \tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\psi_i(X_1, X_2, \tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2, K_0, K_1, K_2) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\psi_i(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, \ell J_0, J_1, J_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, where $(X_1, X_2, U, Y_1, Y_2) \sim P_{X_1, X_2} P_{U|X_1, X_2} P_{Y_1|X_1, U} P_{Y_2|X_2, U}$, and $J_0, J_1, J_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ are conditionally independent conditional on (X_1, X_2, U, Y_1, Y_2) , and have the following conditional distributions

$$\begin{aligned} & J_0 | (X_1, X_2, U, Y_1, Y_2) \\ &\sim \text{Geom} \left((2^{\iota_{U;X_1, X_2}(U; X_1, X_2)} + 1)^{-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & J_i | (X_1, X_2, U, Y_1, Y_2) \\ &\sim \text{Geom} \left((2^{\iota_{Y_i; X_i|U}(Y_i; X_i|U)} + 1)^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Here we use a construction mostly similar to [19, Theorem 4]. Generate marked Poisson processes $(\bar{U}_i, T_{0,i})_i$, $(\bar{Y}_{1,i}, T_{1,i})_i$ and $(\bar{Y}_{2,i}, T_{2,i})_i$, where $\bar{U}_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P_U$, $\bar{Y}_{1,i} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P_{Y_1}$ and $\bar{Y}_{2,i} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P_{Y_2}$ (see the proof of Theorem 1). Given X_1, X_2 , the encoder outputs

$$K_0 := \text{argmin}_i T_{0,i} 2^{-\iota_{U;X_1, X_2}(\bar{U}_i; X_1, X_2)}. \quad (16)$$

Let $U = \bar{U}_{K_0}$. Consider the process $(\bar{Y}_{1,i}, T_{1,i} 2^{-\ell_{Y_1}(U; \bar{Y}_{1,i})})_i$, and let $(\bar{Y}'_{1,i}, T'_{1,i})_i$ be the same process but with the “ T ” coordinate sorted in ascending order, i.e., $T'_{1,1} \leq T'_{1,2} \leq \dots$. Note that $(\bar{Y}'_{1,i}, T'_{1,i})_i$ is conditionally a marked Poisson processes with $\bar{Y}'_{1,i}$ conditionally i.i.d. following $P_{Y_1|U}$ given U (see [24, Definition 2]). The encoder produces

$$K_1 := \operatorname{argmin}_i T'_{1,i} 2^{-\ell_{Y_1; X_1|U}(\bar{Y}'_{1,i}; X_1|U)}.$$

Define $(\bar{Y}'_{2,i}, T'_{2,i})_i$ and K_2 similarly. Given K_0, K_1, K_2 , the decoder computes $U = \bar{U}_{K_0}$, and outputs $Y_1 = \bar{Y}'_{1, K_1}$, $Y_2 = \bar{Y}'_{2, K_1}$. By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1, K_0, K_1, K_2 are first order stochastically dominated by J_0, J_1, J_2 respectively. The proof is completed by invoking the same “derandomization” argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, where we use $K'_0 = \ell(K_0 - 1) + j$ to communicate which codebook to use. ■

Note that $\mathbb{E}[\log J_0] \leq I(U; X_1, X_2) + 1$ and $\mathbb{E}[\log J_i] \leq I(Y_i; X_i|U) + 1$ by 6. Therefore, Theorem 9 implies the asymptotic lossy Gray-Wyner rate region [30]. Nevertheless, it is unclear what is the correct notion of “pointwise redundancy” in a setting with three descriptions. We leave the generalization of the pointwise redundancy bounds in Sections IV, V, VI to the lossy Gray-Wyner system, and possible connections to the second-order region [31], for future studies.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work described in this paper was partially supported by an ECS grant from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China [Project No.: CUHK 24205621].

REFERENCES

- [1] C. E. Shannon, “Coding theorems for a discrete source with a fidelity criterion,” *IRE Nat. Conv. Rec.*, vol. 4, no. 142-163, p. 1, 1959.
- [2] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory (Wiley Series in Telecommunications and Signal Processing)*. USA: Wiley-Interscience, 2006.
- [3] A. Ingber and Y. Kochman, “The dispersion of lossy source coding,” in *2011 Data Compression Conference*, March 2011, pp. 53–62.
- [4] V. Kostina and S. Verdú, “Fixed-length lossy compression in the finite blocklength regime,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 3309–3338, 2012.
- [5] L. Davission, “Universal noiseless coding,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 783–795, 1973.
- [6] J. Ziv and A. Lempel, “A universal algorithm for sequential data compression,” *IEEE Transactions on information theory*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 337–343, 1977.
- [7] V. Kostina, Y. Polyanskiy, and S. Verdú, “Variable-length compression allowing errors,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 4316–4330, 2015.
- [8] D. S. Ornstein and P. C. Shields, “Universal almost sure data compression,” *The Annals of Probability*, pp. 441–452, 1990.
- [9] B. Yu and T. P. Speed, “A rate of convergence result for a universal d-semifairful code,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 813–820, 1993.
- [10] Z. Zhang, E.-H. Yang, and V. K. Wei, “The redundancy of source coding with a fidelity criterion. 1. known statistics,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 71–91, 1997.
- [11] J. C. Kieffer, “Sample converses in source coding theory,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 263–268, 1991.
- [12] I. Kontoyiannis, “Pointwise redundancy in lossy data compression and universal lossy data compression,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 136–152, 2000.
- [13] A. Dembo and I. Kontoyiannis, “Critical behavior in lossy source coding,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 1230–1236, 2001.
- [14] B. Oğuz and V. Anantharam, “Pointwise lossy source coding theorem for sources with memory,” in *2012 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory Proceedings*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 363–367.
- [15] J. F. Silva and P. Piantanida, “On universal d-semifairful coding for memoryless sources with infinite alphabets,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 2782–2800, 2021.
- [16] A. Mahmood and A. B. Wagner, “Lossy compression with universal distortion,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2023.
- [17] H. Gish and J. Pierce, “Asymptotically efficient quantizing,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 676–683, 1968.
- [18] P. A. Chou, T. Lookabaugh, and R. M. Gray, “Entropy-constrained vector quantization,” *IEEE Transactions on acoustics, speech, and signal processing*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 31–42, 1989.
- [19] C. T. Li and A. El Gamal, “Strong functional representation lemma and applications to coding theorems,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 64, no. 11, pp. 6967–6978, Nov 2018.
- [20] P. Harsha, R. Jain, D. McAllester, and J. Radhakrishnan, “The communication complexity of correlation,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 438–449, Jan 2010.
- [21] M. Braverman and A. Garg, “Public vs private coin in bounded-round information,” in *International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*. Springer, 2014, pp. 502–513.
- [22] E. C. Posner and E. R. Rodemich, “Epsilon entropy and data compression,” *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, pp. 2079–2125, 1971.
- [23] D. A. Huffman, “A method for the construction of minimum-redundancy codes,” *Proceedings of the IRE*, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 1098–1101, 1952.
- [24] C. T. Li and V. Anantharam, “A unified framework for one-shot achievability via the Poisson matching lemma,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 2624–2651, 2021.
- [25] I. Csiszár, “On an extremum problem of information theory,” *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 57–71, 1974.
- [26] R. Gray and A. Wyner, “Source coding for a simple network,” *Bell System Technical Journal*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 1681–1721, 1974.
- [27] W. Szpankowski and S. Verdú, “Minimum expected length of fixed-to-variable lossless compression without prefix constraints,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 4017–4025, 2011.
- [28] P. Elias, “Universal codeword sets and representations of the integers,” *IEEE transactions on information theory*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 194–203, 1975.
- [29] M. Drmota and W. Szpankowski, “Precise minimax redundancy and regret,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 2686–2707, 2004.
- [30] A. El Gamal and Y.-H. Kim, *Network information theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [31] S. Watanabe, “Second-order region for Gray-Wyner network,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 1006–1018, 2016.