

AIRAVATA: INTRODUCING HINDI INSTRUCTION-TUNED LLM

Jay Gala¹ Thanmay Jayakumar¹ Jaavid Aktar Husain^{1,3} Aswanth Kumar⁴
 Mohammed Safi Ur Rahman Khan¹ Diptesh Kanojia⁵ Ratish Puduppully⁶
 Mitesh M. Khapra^{1,2} Raj Dabre⁷ Rudra Murthy⁸ Anoop Kunchukuttan^{1,2,9}

¹Nilekani Centre at AI4Bharat ²IIT Madras ³IIIT D&M Kancheepuram

⁴Flipkart ⁵University of Surrey ⁶A*STAR ⁷NICT ⁸IBM Research ⁹Microsoft

<https://ai4bharat.github.io/airavata>



Figure 1: Image Courtesy: DALL-E 3 (Betker et al., 2023).

1 INTRODUCTION

The recent year has witnessed tremendous interest and activity in the world of Large Language Models (LLMs). LLMs hold the potential to unlock exciting applications in artificial intelligence due to their ability to comprehend complex natural language instructions and excel in a broad spectrum of tasks involving language, knowledge, reasoning, and creative generation. To foster research, innovation, and widespread adoption, an open ecosystem is essential. We have observed significant advancements in this area with the launch of models like Llama 2 (Touvron et al., 2023) and Mistral (Jiang et al., 2023), as well as their instruction-tuned variants such as Llama 2 Chat (Touvron et al., 2023), Mistral-Instruct (Jiang et al., 2023), and Zephyr (Tunstall et al., 2023), among others. Major progress has also been made in developing datasets for pre-training such as RedPajama (Computer, 2023)), instruction tuning (e.g., Alpaca (Taori et al., 2023), UltraChat (Ding et al., 2023), Dolly (Conover et al., 2023), OpenAssistant (Köpf et al., 2023), LMSYS-Chat (Zheng et al., 2023a)), and evaluation benchmarks (e.g., AlpacaEval (Dubois et al., 2023), MT-Bench (Zheng et al., 2023b)). However, most of these advancements have been predominantly centered around the English language.

There is limited support for Indian languages, which can be attributed to the incidental inclusion of some Indian language data that slipped through the data filters during the pre-training of these language models. However, the representation of data, the efficacy of tokenizers, and task performance for Indian languages are considerably behind that of English. The performance in Indian

languages, even on closed-source models such as ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2022), GPT-4 (Achiam et al., 2023), and others, is inferior compared to English (Ahuja et al., 2023). Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop a similar ecosystem of tools, models, and datasets for Indian languages to foster research and innovation. In pursuit of this objective, the recent collaboration with Sarvam AI led to release of OpenHathi (SarvamAI, 2023), an open-source foundational model for Hindi, developed by extending Llama 2 (Touvron et al., 2023).

Today, we announce the next step – an initial release of “Airavata”, an instruction-tuned model for Hindi built upon finetuning OpenHathi (SarvamAI, 2023) with diverse, instruction-tuning Hindi datasets to make it better suited for assistive tasks.

Along with the model, we also share the instruction tuning datasets¹ to enable further research for IndicLLMs. We rely on human-curated, license-friendly instruction-tuned datasets to build “Airavata”. We do not use data generated from proprietary models like GPT-4 (Achiam et al., 2023), etc. We think this is a more sustainable way of building instruction-tuned models at scale for most Indic languages, where relying on distilled data from commercial models would increase costs and restrict their free usage in downstream applications due to licensing restrictions.

We also compile a collection of evaluation benchmarks² along with an evaluation framework to compare various LLMs for their abilities on diverse tasks when instructed in Hindi. Using this benchmark and human judgments, we compare different LLMs to quantify the current state of their Hindi capabilities. We conduct a detailed analysis of Airavata’s performance on various Natural Language Understanding (NLU) and Natural Language Generation (NLG) tasks and find that the instruction fine-tuning helps align the model to various NLU tasks. There is significant potential for improvement in NLG tasks, which require the creation of larger, more diverse instruction datasets as well as innovations in aligning English model representations to Hindi representations to drive better cross-lingual transfer.

2 INSTRUCTION TUNING DATASET CREATION

High-quality instruction tuning datasets are important for the good performance of LLMs. However, there are few diverse datasets for Hindi. Following Wei et al. (2023), we rely on translating high-quality English-supervised instruction-tuning datasets into Hindi. We use IndicTrans2 (Gala et al., 2023), the state-of-the-art open-source MT model for Indian languages, for translation. Some previous works (Li et al., 2023; Wei et al., 2023) have used ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2022) to translate instructions and/or generate responses into Hindi to better use context during translation (IndicTrans2 and most MT models are sentence-level). However, this is not cost-effective, and the translation quality of ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2022) is lower than IndicTrans2 (Gala et al., 2023), and its generation quality in Hindi might not be up to the mark (Ahuja et al., 2023). A future avenue of work would be improving translation quality when document context is available.

We sample examples from different datasets listed in Table 1 to ensure balanced representations across all the tasks while fitting into our instruction tuning budget. We translate the instructions, input, and outputs into Hindi. This results in a total of 404k examples spanning English and Hindi language. The translated Hindi examples were filtered to retain high-quality examples. Specifically, examples were retained only when the chrF++ score (Popović, 2017) between the back-translated example and the corresponding English example was 50 or above. The final dataset used for instruction tuning contains 385k examples. Table 1 shows the details of the final training dataset. The dataset is available on the HuggingFace Hub.³

We also create two native Hindi Instruction datasets:

- **wikiHow:** wikiHow⁴ is an online wiki-style platform that serves as a valuable resource for a diverse array of how-to articles spanning numerous topics. The articles on the platform are human-moderated, ensuring a high-quality standard. The questions users pose in these articles closely

¹<https://huggingface.co/datasets/ai4bharat/indic-instruct-data-v0.1>

²<https://huggingface.co/collections/ai4bharat/airavata-evaluation-suite-65b13b7b68165de71ba0b333>

³<https://huggingface.co/datasets/ai4bharat/indic-instruct-data-v0.1>

⁴<https://www.wikihow.com/Main-Page>

Dataset	Unfiltered		Filtered	
	English	Hindi	English	Hindi
FLAN-v2 (Longpre et al., 2023)	67,463	67,463	67,463	65,228
Anthropic-HHH (Bai et al., 2022)	5,000	5,000	5,000	4,911
Dolly (Conover et al., 2023)	15,011	15,011	15,011	14,880
OpenAssistant (Köpf et al., 2023)	19,945	20,128	19,945	16384
LymSys-Chat (Zheng et al., 2023a)	50,000	50,000	50,000	37,422
WikiHow	20,400	6,055	20,400	6,055
Anudesh	5,234	7,577	5,234	7,577
Total	183,053	171,234	183,053	152,457

Table 1: Instruction Fine-tuning Training Dataset Details

align with potential use cases for this model, making it a rich resource for training models. Additionally, this might also help induce reasoning capabilities and generate logical step-by-step responses. We curate around 20k and 6k articles in English and Hindi, respectively, resulting in a total of around 27k articles. We currently formulate the data as a completion task given either question or question along with a few initial steps. The dataset is released under the CC-0 license.

- **Anudesh:** Anudesh is a crowd-sourced collection of prompts accompanied by responses generated from the Llama 2 70B model (Touvron et al., 2023). Participants are provided with clear guidelines detailing the nature of the interaction required, including the specific language to be employed. These languages encompass a range that includes Indic languages, English, transliterated Indic, as well as a blend of Indic and English in a code-mixed format. Contributors craft their prompts in adherence to these directives and the specified language criteria. Subsequently, these prompts are then paired with the corresponding translated outputs from the Llama 2 70B model (Touvron et al., 2023). The dataset is released under CC-BY-4.0 license. More details about the interactions will be released soon.

We provide a brief description of all the existing instruction datasets used in addition to the above (and corresponding licenses) below:

- **FLAN-v2 (Longpre et al., 2023):** A collection of NLP tasks that combines a number of existing NLP datasets with various data augmentations, introduced by Chung et al. (2022). We sample around 67K examples for our training mixture. The dataset is released under the Apache-2.0 license.
- **Anthropic-HHH (Bai et al., 2022):** A collection of human-collected preference data for aligning the models to be helpful and harmless. We sample 5K conversations from the “chosen” column for our training mixture. The dataset is released under the MIT license.
- **Dolly (Conover et al., 2023):** A corpus of more than 15K records generated by thousands of Databricks employees to enable LLMs to exhibit the magical interactivity of ChatGPT. The dataset is released under the CC-BY-SA-3.0 license.
- **OpenAssistant (Köpf et al., 2023):** A collection of human-generated, human-annotated assistant-style conversation corpus consisting of 38K messages, resulting in over 3K conversation trees and around 20K conversations. The dataset is released under the Apache-2.0.
- **LymSys-Chat (Zheng et al., 2023a):** A collection of 1M real-world conversations spanning 25 SOTA LLMs similar to OpenAssistant (Köpf et al., 2023). We sample 50K conversations for our training mixture. The dataset is released under the LMSYS-Chat-1M Dataset License Agreement.⁵
- **NMT (Gala et al., 2023):** A multi-domain human-annotated dataset containing 50K bitext English-Hindi translation pairs from BPCC-Human (Gala et al., 2023) to enable better cross-lingual transfer. The dataset is released under the CC-BY-4.0 license.

⁵<https://huggingface.co/datasets/lmsys/lmsys-chat-1m#lmsys-chat-1m-dataset-license-agreement>

Hyper-Parameter	Value
LoRA Rank	16
LoRA alpha	32
LoRA Dropout	0.05
LoRA Target Modules	q_proj, v_proj, k_proj, gate_proj up_proj, down_proj
Epochs	4
Learning rate	5e-4
Batch Size	128
Floating Point Precision	bfloat16

Table 2: Hyperparameters for Fine-tuning

3 SUPERVISED FINE-TUNING

We fine-tune the OpenHathi model using the above-compiled datasets. We perform parameter-efficient fine-tuning with LoRA (Hu et al., 2022). The hyperparameters used are listed in the Table 2.

During fine-tuning, the loss was computed only for the output tokens. We used the OpenInstruct framework⁶ for fine-tuning and customizing it for our requirements (our custom version is available as IndicInstruct⁷). One fine-tuning example corresponds to one example in the dataset. However, this is suboptimal since many tokens are wasted as padding tokens. We plan to optimize this process by packing multiple dataset examples into a single fine-tuning example (Iyer et al., 2022; Krell et al., 2023).

3.1 FULL VS. LORA FINETUNING

There are two prevalent methods for instruction fine-tuning for LLMs: Full fine-tuning and LoRA. Full fine-tuning (FFT) involves updating all the model parameters, whereas LoRA (Hu et al., 2022) fine-tuning involves introducing a small set of additional parameters and only updating them. We perform an ablation study to examine both the fine-tuning strategies to train two models, one employing full fine-tuning and the other by LoRA fine-tuning. For the context of this ablation study, we consider the FLAN v2 (Longpre et al., 2023) subset from our instruction dataset spanning English and Hindi. For our evaluation, we used a subset of NLU tasks in Hindi, along with BoolQ (Clark et al., 2019) and MMLU (Hendrycks et al., 2021) tasks in English, as development sets to decide between full fine-tuning and LoRA fine-tuning (Figure 2). We observed that FFT models outperform the OpenHathi base model (SarvamAI, 2023) in IndicCopa (Doddapaneni et al., 2022) and IndicXParaphrase (Doddapaneni et al., 2022) tasks. However, the FFT model performed poorly on English tasks compared to the base model as well as the LoRA fine-tuned model. LoRA fine-tuned model demonstrated improvements or similar performance as the OpenHathi base model on both Hindi NLU and English tasks. As a result, we decided to proceed with LoRA fine-tuning for training our final model. We report results on the LoRA fine-tuned final model in the subsequent section.

3.2 MODEL SELECTION

We fine-tune the OpenHathi model for 4 epochs and save the model after each epoch. We evaluate the checkpoint of each epoch on the dev set (IndicSentiment, IndicCOPA, IndicXNLI, and IndicQA from IndicXTREME (Doddapaneni et al., 2022), and Flores devtest (Goyal et al., 2021; Costa-jussà et al., 2022)) and compare the average performance. We observe that the checkpoint of epoch 3 performs well on NLU tasks, while the checkpoint of epoch 4 performs well on NLG tasks. We perform checkpoint averaging, where we interpolate the weights of the above two checkpoints to obtain a model that performs well across both NLU and NLG tasks. We found the best interpolation factor to be around 0.6.

⁶<https://github.com/allenai/open-instruct>

⁷<https://github.com/AI4Bharat/IndicInstruct>




Figure 2: Ablation experiment to understand the performance gaps between Full fine-tuning and LoRA fine-tuning across a mix of English and Hindi NLU tasks.

$$\text{interpolated weights} = 0.6 \times \text{checkpoint}_3 + (1 - 0.6) \times \text{checkpoint}_4$$

4 EVALUATION ON NLP BENCHMARKS

We evaluate our model on the standard NLU and NLG benchmarks, which include native Hindi test sets from IndicXTREME (Doddapaneni et al., 2022) and Indic NLG Suite (Kumar et al., 2022). Further, to test the knowledge and reasoning capabilities of the model in Hindi, we translate the English benchmarks such as MMLU (Hendrycks et al., 2021), Hellaswag (Zellers et al., 2019), ARC (Clark et al., 2018), Winogrande (Sakaguchi et al., 2020) and BoolQ (Clark et al., 2019) and use these to evaluate our final model. The IndicTrans2 (Gala et al., 2023) model was employed for translating the benchmarks. Although this is not the perfect evaluation setup however, due to limited resources, we rely on this method as a proxy indicator for assessing trends in the performance of the model in terms of reasoning capabilities in Hindi. An important future direction would involve creating equivalent benchmarks in the native language instead of solely relying on translations.

4.1 RESULTS

Tables 3 to 6 shows the comparison of Airavata with the base model (OpenHathi) and the translate-test baseline using the Llama 2 7B Chat model. In the translate-test approach, the Hindi input is translated into English using the IndicTrans2 model and is used as a prompt to the Llama 2 7B Chat model. We observe that Airavata significantly outperforms the OpenHathi model on most tasks, demonstrating that fine-tuning on the IndicInstruct dataset helps align the base model to a variety of tasks. Moreover, the performance of the translate-test approach with the Llama 2 7B Chat model has a lot of variance, while Airavata consistently performs well across tasks. OpenHathi and Airavata exhibit comparable performance in the translation task. OpenHathi benefits from its extensive training on parallel corpora, resulting in a highly proficient base model for the translation task. We observe mixed results on generation tasks, indicating the scope for further improvement of Airavata, especially in open-ended text generation capabilities. Table 4 shows the performance of Airavata and other models on English test sets and the respective machine-translated Hindi test set. We observe a 5-15 point performance gap between the English baseline and the respective Hindi

baseline across various tasks for both OpenHathi and Airavata models. This indicates limited cross-lingual transfer of English knowledge to Hindi, highlighting the need for future research to improve cross-lingual alignment to maximize knowledge transfer between English and Hindi.

	0-Shot			5-Shot		
	OpenHathi	Llama2 7B Chat (translate-test)	Airavata	OpenHathi	Llama2 7B Chat (translate-test)	Airavata
IndicSentiment	72.89	97.85	95.81	96.59	98.43	97.01
IndicCopa	68.69	76.53	63.75	42.77	78.34	72.97
IndicXNLI	16.67	23.67	73.26	42.25	47.96	74.70
IndicXParaphrase	71.72	09.54	76.53	66.67	48.56	69.87

Table 3: F1 scores on Indic NLU and Commonsense Reasoning tasks

	Variant	0-Shot		5-Shot	
		OpenHathi	Airavata	OpenHathi	Airavata
MMLU	English	36.16	41.39	40.12	43.28
	Hindi (Translated)	32.27	34.96	35.13	36.00
BoolQ	English	52.63	73.00	64.46	62.02
	Hindi (Translated)	58.56	64.50	65.69	51.47
ARC Easy	English	57.28	70.50	62.12	71.04
	Hindi (Translated)	44.28	54.00	49.87	54.84
Arc Challenge	English	39.85	45.90	46.25	48.29
	Hindi (Translated)	32.68	35.92	36.60	36.26
Hella Swag	English	31.48	34.37	32.45	36.83
	Hindi (Translated)	25.59	25.37	24.85	24.84
Winogrande	English	49.17	49.72	-	-

Table 4: Accuracy on English NLU and Commonsense Reasoning tasks and its translated variants

	Metric	0-Shot		5-shot	
		OpenHathi	Airavata	OpenHathi	Airavata
Flores	chrF++	55.41	54.82	54.98	54.24
	BLEURT	0.7103	0.6970	0.7115	0.7084
IN22-Gen	chrF++	54.23	53.78	54.53	52.81
	BLEURT	0.7156	0.7012	0.7181	0.7037

Table 5: chrF++ and BLEURT scores on English-Hindi translation task

5 HUMAN EVALUATION

We assess Airavata using authentic prompts provided by real users, evaluating its performance across five distinct abilities outlined in Table 7.

We define a set of intents and domains of focus, which are then provided to users, along with clear instructions on the type of prompts they should construct. More details about the benchmark will be released soon.

Along with Airavata, we also evaluate ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2022), GPT-4 (Achiam et al., 2023) and BactrianX-llama-7B (Li et al., 2023) models for the same abilities. BactrianX-llama-7B is an instructed fine-tuned model for Hindi directly fine-tuned with the Llama base model on a multilingual instruction dataset. This multilingual instruction dataset consisted of machine-translated instructions

	Metric	1-shot		
		OpenHathi	Llama 2 7B Chat (translate-test)	Airavata
Indic QA (No Context)	F1	17	4.58	21.01
Indic QA (With Context)	F1	20.69	19.59	37.69
Indic Headline	Rouge L	11.26	23.06	12.32
	BLEURT	0.4682	0.4590	0.3793
IndicWikiBio	Rouge L	20.45	41.01	10.66
	BLEURT	0.5185	0.6415	0.4279

Table 6: F1, Rouge L and BLEURT scores on Indic NLG tasks

AbilityName	Ability
Long	Ability to generate long-form text like writing essays, speeches, reports, etc.
Fact-Ops	Ability to give factual opinions and explanations like seeking recommendations, seeking advice, opinions, explanations, etc.
Content	Ability to make content accessible like summarizations, layman explanations, etc
Lang-Creativity	Ability to be creative in language like finding anagrams, rhyming words, vocabulary enhancement, etc
Culture	Ability to answer questions related to Indian Culture.

Table 7: Description of abilities to test through human evaluation

from Alpaca (Taori et al., 2023) and Dolly (Conover et al., 2023) datasets, followed by response generation from ChatGPT (OpenAI, 2022). Annotators were presented with a prompt and a randomly selected response from any of the models and were instructed to provide ratings based on the metrics outlined in Table 8.

In addition to the above metrics, we also ask the human evaluators to give a final score on the likert scale of 1 to 5, reflecting their overall satisfaction with the response.

We sample a set of 50 prompts⁸ covering various intents and domains (more details about the benchmark will be made available soon) and generate the responses from all three models. The prompt-response pairs were shuffled randomly and anonymized, ensuring no indication of the generating model, before being presented to the annotators for evaluation. Annotators were instructed to assess responses strictly adhering to the aforementioned rubrics. We report the various results in Figures 3 to 5.

⁸<https://huggingface.co/datasets/ai4bharat/human-eval>

Metric	Details	Range
IFA: Instruction Following Ability	This assesses the model’s ability to accurately and effectively follow the instructions provided in the prompt	0-2
CNS: Closeness to Native Speaker	This assesses how naturally and fluently the model’s responses align with the way a native Hindi speaker would express the same ideas.	0-2
CQ: Content Quality	This evaluates the response in terms of its factual accuracy, logical flow of ideas, and overall informational relevance.	0-2

Table 8: Rubrics for Human Evaluation




Figure 3: Average satisfaction scores for various models based on a Likert scale between 1 and 5 reported by Human annotators.




Figure 4: Human evaluation scores for assessing the instruction following and content generation abilities of the models based on the rubrics described in Table 8.

Our observations suggest that while Airavata still trails significantly behind GPT-4 in terms of its ability to follow instructions and the quality of its content, it performs relatively better when generating natural-sounding Hindi content compared to both GPT-4 and ChatGPT. Notably, Airavata outperforms the BactrianX-llama-7B model by a significant margin. This difference in performance can be attributed to a lack of vocabulary expansion in BactrianX-llama-7B to accommodate addi-




Figure 5: Fine-grained human evaluation of content generation abilities of the models described in Table 7.

tional Hindi tokens and its lack of continual pre-training in Hindi. Furthermore, BactrainX-llama-7B may be trained on a lower-quality dataset for instruction tuning that was completely generated using ChatGPT. The OpenHathi model and Airavata, however, have addressed these shortcomings and show substantial improvements over the BactrainX-llama-7B model. We discuss these performance trends across various capabilities in more detail below.

The findings indicate that amongst all abilities, Airavata particularly excels in providing factual opinions and explanations, as demonstrated by the earlier examples. However, the model struggles with tasks demanding creative language usage, as our SFT data lacks components emphasizing creativity. When comparing GPT-4 and ChatGPT (GPT-3.5) performance, GPT-4 generally surpasses the latter in knowledge-intensive or creativity-demanding tasks. Nevertheless, it’s noteworthy that ChatGPT either outperforms or is comparable in tasks emphasizing language generation, such as long-form content creation, offering factual opinions, and ensuring content accessibility.

We acknowledge that our current human evaluation is not robust and thorough due to the limited number of prompts in our set and each prompt and response pair being evaluated by only one annotator. However, our evaluation still offers valuable preliminary insights that will inform our efforts to improve the model. Expanding the instruction dataset to include content covering a diverse range of abilities can help improve the model’s capabilities. At the same time, it is important to acknowledge that a significant portion of knowledge stems from English, which possesses the most extensive knowledge repository. Therefore, achieving better cross-lingual alignment between Hindi and English representations is essential for accurately answering factual questions and minimizing erroneous responses.

6 TOXICITY AND MISINFORMATION

We evaluate Airavata, OpenHathi, and Llama2-7B models with publicly available benchmark datasets, in both 0-shot and 5-shot settings. Our evaluation provides insights into key dimensions for LM safety. Multilingual HateCheck (MHC) is a suite of functional tests for hate speech detection and we use its Hindi subset (Das et al., 2022) to evaluate and compare the performance of all models. We translate the TruthfulQA, Implicit Hate, and a human-evaluated subset of the Toxigen dataset, to Hindi. This subset of Toxigen has been denoised to retain instances that have annotation

		0-Shot			5-Shot		
	Variant	OpenHathi	Llama2 7B Chat (translate-test)	Airavata	OpenHathi	Llama2 7B Chat (translate-test)	Airavata
Multilingual HateCheck	Hindi	70.15	70.24	70.24	70.15	70.24	70.25
Implicit Hate	English	50.65	57.92	62.33	51.41	65.02	62.44
	Hindi (Translated)	52.45	53.21	61.15	49.99	52.98	58.84
Toxigen (human evaluated)	English	44.91	83.35	78.63	42.71	66.34	72.24
	Hindi (Translated)	47.75	83.97	78.56	42.83	73.20	74.80
TruthfulQA (averaged MC1 & MC2)	English	30.72	37.25	33.60	30.72	37.25	33.64
	Hindi (Translated)	34.31	35.66	35.32	34.31	35.66	35.32

Table 9: Accuracy on hate and toxicity identification, and answering factual questions.

agreement from all annotators (Hosseini et al., 2023). While the Implicit Hate dataset (Hosseini et al., 2023) helps evaluate the model performance on detecting the subtle and implicit forms of hate speech, human-evaluated Toxigen data contains instances that are directed towards various demographics. We evaluate the model performance on detection of toxicity in these three datasets, and their translated instances using the accuracy metric. Further, for evaluating the model’s capability towards answering factual questions, we use the TruthfulQA dataset (Lin et al., 2022) which contains multiple choice questions which are factual and can mimic common human falsehoods.

Given the accuracy scores from our evaluation, in Table 9, Airavata is able to detect openly expressed hate in Hindi statements from MHC with an accuracy similar to the other two models, with similar performance in both 0- and 5-shot settings. On the more challenging instances which contain implicitly veiled hate speech, Airavata is able to identify hate with significantly better accuracy than the other two models within the translated Hindi instances. On the original Implicit Hate dataset, Llama2-7B seems to perform better, given a few examples. On the Translated Toxigen subset, Llama2-7B is able to detect targeted toxic instances against certain demographics with the highest accuracy among all three models. However, given a few examples, we observe a significant performance dip for Llama2-7B and Airavata outperforms it marginally. We observe similar performance on the original English dataset and note that Airavata is better at detecting targeted hate in Hindi, as compared to implicitly veiled hate speech. Its performance at detecting targeted hate is surprisingly better than detecting openly expressed hate speech from MHC. On the TruthfulQA dataset, in both 0- and 5-shot settings, Llama2-7B outperforms OpenHathi and Airavata. On the translated TruthfulQA data, a marginal dip in the performance can be observed which indicates that we need further investigation into the model’s capability for generating misinformation.

Overall, these results may suggest that LLMs are able to identify toxicity and hateful speech, we think that further investigation is needed to evaluate toxicity and the presence of social biases within the content generated by LLMs. In the future, we plan to investigate additional existing benchmarks and novel evaluation measures to test LLMs for content safety and reliability.

7 RESOURCES

You can find all the details about the project in this section. We release the following resources to facilitate further research in instruction-tuning for Indian language LLMs.

- [Airavata Github Repository \(for fine-tuning and evaluation\)](#)
- [Airavata SFT Dataset Collection: Huggingface](#)
- [Airavata Evaluation Dataset Collection: Huggingface](#)

8 SUMMARY AND FUTURE OUTLOOK

We release Airavata, an open-source, instruction-tuned Hindi model demonstrating promising performance across various tasks compared to existing open-source models. We provide both datasets and models to facilitate further research in improving Hindi LLMs. This marks an initial stride towards developing high-quality, open-source LLMs for Indian languages that require multi-faceted

efforts ranging from the creation of extensive pre-training datasets, diverse instruction tuning datasets, and high-quality foundational models.

9 LIMITATIONS

Airavata, like other LLMs, faces common challenges such as potential hallucinations, resulting in the generation of fabricated information, and may struggle with accuracy in complex or specialized topics. There is also a risk of generating objectionable or biased content. Its understanding of cultural nuances and effectiveness in mixed-language contexts may be constrained. Furthermore, the model's performance is closely tied to the quality and scope of its training data, influencing its efficacy and reliability. It is crucial to note that this model is designed for research purposes and is not recommended for any production use-cases.

REFERENCES

- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:257532815>.
- Kabir Ahuja, Harshita Diddee, Rishav Hada, Millicent Ochieng, Krithika Ramesh, Prachi Jain, Akshay Nambi, Tanuja Ganu, Sameer Segal, Mohamed Ahmed, Kalika Bali, and Sunayana Sitaram. MEGA: Multilingual evaluation of generative AI. In Houda Bouamor, Juan Pino, and Kalika Bali (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pp. 4232–4267, Singapore, December 2023. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.emnlp-main.258. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2023.emnlp-main.258>.
- Yuntao Bai, Andy Jones, Kamal Ndousse, et al. Training a helpful and harmless assistant with reinforcement learning from human feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2204.05862*, 2022.
- James Betker, Gabriel Goh, Li Jing, Tim Brooks, Jianfeng Wang, Linjie Li, Long Ouyang, Juntang Zhuang, Joyce Lee, Yufei Guo, Wesam Manassra, Prafulla Dhariwal, Casey Chu, Yunxin Jiao, and Aditya Ramesh. Improving image generation with better captions, October 2023. URL <https://cdn.openai.com/papers/dall-e-3.pdf>.
- Hyung Won Chung, Le Hou, Shayne Longpre, et al. Scaling instruction-finetuned language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2210.11416*, 2022.
- Christopher Clark, Kenton Lee, Ming-Wei Chang, Tom Kwiatkowski, Michael Collins, and Kristina Toutanova. BoolQ: Exploring the surprising difficulty of natural yes/no questions. In Jill Burstein, Christy Doran, and Thamar Solorio (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pp. 2924–2936, Minneapolis, Minnesota, June 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/N19-1300. URL <https://aclanthology.org/N19-1300>.
- Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? try arc, the ai2 reasoning challenge. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 1803.05457*, 2018.
- Together Computer. Redpajama: an open dataset for training large language models, October 2023. URL <https://github.com/togethercomputer/RedPajama-Data>.
- Mike Conover, Matt Hayes, Ankit Mathur, Jianwei Xie, Jun Wan, Sam Shah, Ali Ghodsi, Patrick Wendell, Matei Zaharia, and Reynold Xin. Free dolly: Introducing the world’s first truly open instruction-tuned llm, 2023. URL <https://www.databricks.com/blog/2023/04/12/dolly-first-open-commercially-viable-instruction-tuned-llm>.
- Marta R. Costa-jussà, James Cross, Onur Çelebi, Maha Elbayad, Kenneth Heafield, Kevin Heffernan, Elahe Kalbassi, Janice Lam, Daniel Licht, Jean Maillard, Anna Sun, Skyler Wang, Guillaume Wenzek, Al Youngblood, Bapi Akula, Loic Barrault, Gabriel Mejia Gonzalez, Prangthip Hansanti, John Hoffman, Semarley Jarrett, Kaushik Ram Sadagopan, Dirk Rowe, Shannon Spruit, Chau Tran, Pierre Andrews, Necip Fazil Ayan, Shruti Bhosale, Sergey Edunov, Angela Fan, Cynthia Gao, Vedanuj Goswami, Francisco Guzmán, Philipp Koehn, Alexandre Mourachko, Christophe Ropers, Safiyyah Saleem, Holger Schwenk, and Jeff Wang. No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2207.04672*, 2022.
- Mithun Das, Punyajoy Saha, Binny Mathew, and Animesh Mukherjee. HateCheckHIn: Evaluating Hindi hate speech detection models. In Nicoletta Calzolari, Frédéric Béchet, Philippe Blache, Khalid Choukri, Christopher Cieri, Thierry Declerck, Thierry Goggi, Hitoshi Isahara, Bente Maegaard, Joseph Mariani, Hélène Mazo, Jan Odijk, and Stelios Piperidis (eds.), *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pp. 5378–5387, Marseille, France, June 2022. European Language Resources Association. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.lrec-1.575>.

- Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Bokai Xu, Yujia Qin, Zhi Zheng, Shengding Hu, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun, and Bowen Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14233*, 2023.
- Sumanth Doddapaneni, Rahul Aralikkatte, Gowtham Ramesh, Shreyansh Goyal, Mitesh M. Khapra, Anoop Kunchukuttan, and Pratyush Kumar. Towards leaving no indic language behind: Building monolingual corpora, benchmark and models for indic languages. *Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2022. doi: 10.18653/v1/2023.acl-long.693.
- Yann Dubois, Xuechen Li, Rohan Taori, Tianyi Zhang, Ishaan Gulrajani, Jimmy Ba, Carlos Guestrin, Percy Liang, and Tatsunori B. Hashimoto. AlpacaFarm: A simulation framework for methods that learn from human feedback, 2023.
- Jay Gala, Pranjal A Chitale, A K Raghavan, Varun Gumma, Sumanth Doddapaneni, Aswanth Kumar M, Janki Atul Nawale, Anupama Sujatha, Ratish Puduppully, Vivek Raghavan, Pratyush Kumar, Mitesh M Khapra, Raj Dabre, and Anoop Kunchukuttan. Indictrans2: Towards high-quality and accessible machine translation models for all 22 scheduled indian languages. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, 2023. ISSN 2835-8856. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=vft4YuzAYA>.
- Naman Goyal, Cynthia Gao, Vishrav Chaudhary, Peng-Jen Chen, Guillaume Wenzek, Da Ju, Sanjana Krishnan, Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, Francisco Guzmán, and Angela Fan. The flores-101 evaluation benchmark for low-resource and multilingual machine translation. 2021.
- Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=d7KBjmI3GmQ>.
- Saghar Hosseini, Hamid Palangi, and Ahmed Hassan Awadallah. An empirical study of metrics to measure representational harms in pre-trained language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.09211*, 2023.
- Edward J Hu, yelong shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=nZeVKeeFYf9>.
- Srinivasan Iyer, Xi Victoria Lin, Ramakanth Pasunuru, Todor Mihaylov, Daniel Simig, Ping Yu, Kurt Shuster, Tianlu Wang, Qing Liu, Punit Singh Koura, Xian Li, Brian O’Horo, Gabriel Pereyra, Jeff Wang, Christopher Dewan, Asli Celikyilmaz, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Ves Stoyanov. OptiML: Scaling language model instruction meta learning through the lens of generalization. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2212.12017*, 2022.
- Albert Q. Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, et al. Mistral 7b. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2310.06825*, 2023.
- Mario Michael Krell, Matej Kosec, Sergio P. Perez, and Andrew William Fitzgibbon. Efficient sequence packing without cross-contamination: Accelerating large language models without impacting performance, 2023. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=ZAzSf9pzCm>.
- Aman Kumar, Himani Shrotriya, Prachi Sahu, Amogh Mishra, Raj Dabre, Ratish Puduppully, Anoop Kunchukuttan, Mitesh M. Khapra, and Pratyush Kumar. IndicNLG benchmark: Multilingual datasets for diverse NLG tasks in Indic languages. In Yoav Goldberg, Zornitsa Kozareva, and Yue Zhang (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pp. 5363–5394, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, December 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.emnlp-main.360. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.emnlp-main.360>.
- Andreas Köpf, Yannic Kilcher, Dimitri von Rütte, Sotiris Anagnostidis, Zhi-Rui Tam, Keith Stevens, Abdullah Barhoum, Nguyen Minh Duc, Oliver Stanley, Richárd Nagyfi, Shahul ES, Sameer Suri,

- David Glushkov, Arnav Dantuluri, Andrew Maguire, Christoph Schuhmann, Huu Nguyen, and Alexander Mattick. Openassistant conversations - democratizing large language model alignment. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2304.07327*, 2023.
- Haonan Li, Fajri Koto, Minghao Wu, Alham Fikri Aji, and Timothy Baldwin. Bactrian-x: Multilingual replicable instruction-following models with low-rank adaptation. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2305.15011*, 2023.
- Stephanie Lin, Jacob Hilton, and Owain Evans. TruthfulQA: Measuring how models mimic human falsehoods. In Smaranda Muresan, Preslav Nakov, and Aline Villavicencio (eds.), *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pp. 3214–3252, Dublin, Ireland, May 2022. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/2022.acl-long.229. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2022.acl-long.229>.
- S. Longpre, Le Hou, Tu Vu, Albert Webson, Hyung Won Chung, Yi Tay, Denny Zhou, Quoc V. Le, Barret Zoph, Jason Wei, and Adam Roberts. The flan collection: Designing data and methods for effective instruction tuning. *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2023. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2301.13688.
- OpenAI. Gpt3.5, November 2022. URL <https://platform.openai.com/docs/models/gpt-3-5>.
- Maja Popović. chrF++: words helping character n-grams. In *Proceedings of the Second Conference on Machine Translation*, pp. 612–618, Copenhagen, Denmark, September 2017. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/W17-4770. URL <https://aclanthology.org/W17-4770>.
- Keisuke Sakaguchi, Ronan Le Bras, Chandra Bhagavatula, and Yejin Choi. Winogrande: An adversarial winograd schema challenge at scale. In *The Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2020, The Thirty-Second Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, IAAI 2020, The Tenth AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2020, New York, NY, USA, February 7-12, 2020*, pp. 8732–8740. AAAI Press, 2020. doi: 10.1609/AAAI.V34I05.6399. URL <https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v34i05.6399>.
- SarvamAI. Openhathi series: An approach to build bilingual llms frugally, December 2023. URL <https://www.sarvam.ai/blog/announcing-openhathi-series>.
- Rohan Taori, Ishaan Gulrajani, Tianyi Zhang, Yann Dubois, Xuechen Li, Carlos Guestrin, Percy Liang, and Tatsunori B. Hashimoto. Stanford alpaca: An instruction-following llama model. https://github.com/tatsu-lab/stanford_alpaca, 2023.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2307.09288*, 2023.
- Lewis Tunstall, Edward Beeching, Nathan Lambert, Nazneen Rajani, Kashif Rasul, Younes Belkada, Shengyi Huang, Leandro von Werra, Clémentine Fourrier, Nathan Habib, Nathan Sarrazin, Omar Sanseviero, Alexander M. Rush, and Thomas Wolf. Zephyr: Direct distillation of lm alignment. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2310.16944*, 2023.
- Xiangpeng Wei, Haoran Wei, Huan Lin, Tianhao Li, Pei Zhang, Xingzhang Ren, Mei Li, Yu Wan, Zhiwei Cao, Binbin Xie, Tianxiang Hu, Shangjie Li, Binyuan Hui, Bowen Yu, Dayiheng Liu, Baosong Yang, Fei Huang, and Jun Xie. Polylm: An open source polyglot large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2307.06018*, 2023.
- Rowan Zellers, Ari Holtzman, Yonatan Bisk, Ali Farhadi, and Yejin Choi. HellaSwag: Can a machine really finish your sentence? In Anna Korhonen, David Traum, and Lluís Màrquez (eds.), *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pp. 4791–4800, Florence, Italy, jul 2019. Association for Computational Linguistics. doi: 10.18653/v1/P19-1472. URL <https://aclanthology.org/P19-1472>.

Lianmin Zheng, Wei-Lin Chiang, Ying Sheng, Tianle Li, Siyuan Zhuang, Zhanghao Wu, Yonghao Zhuang, Zhuohan Li, Zi Lin, Eric P Xing, Joseph E. Gonzalez, Ion Stoica, and Hao Zhang. Lmsys-chat-1m: A large-scale real-world llm conversation dataset, 2023a.

Lianmin Zheng, Wei-Lin Chiang, Ying Sheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Zhanghao Wu, Yonghao Zhuang, Zi Lin, Zhuohan Li, Dacheng Li, Eric P. Xing, Hao Zhang, Joseph E. Gonzalez, and Ion Stoica. Judging llm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena. *arXiv preprint arXiv: 2306.05685*, 2023b.

A EXAMPLES

In this section, we show example generations from Airavata. Note that the model's outputs in examples #A5 and #A6 did not contain newlines between bullet points. They have been added here for readability.

A.1 EXAMPLES WHERE THE AIRAVATA MODEL GENERATES GOOD OUTPUT

Airavata excels in specific content generation tasks, such as soliciting mail drafts (#A1) and addressing general how-to inquiries (#A5, #A6). Furthermore, it demonstrates proficiency in adhering to instructions related to output structure (#A3 and #A5).

Example #A1

Prompt

जिस ग्राहक को अपने ऑर्डर में देरी का अनुभव हुआ हो, उसके लिए एक माफी ईमेल ड्राफ्ट करें और आश्चस्त करें कि समस्या का समाधान हो गया है।

Model Response

प्रिय [ग्राहक का नाम], ।
मुझे आशा है कि यह ईमेल आपको अच्छी तरह से मिल जाएगा। मैं आपको यह सूचित करने के लिए लिख रहा हूँ कि हमारे पिछले ऑर्डर में देरी के कारण, [ऑर्डर संख्या] के लिए आपकी डिलीवरी में थोड़ी देरी हुई है। मैं समझता हूँ कि यह असुविधाजनक हो सकता है और मैं माफी मांगता हूँ।
मैं यह सुनिश्चित करने के लिए हर संभव प्रयास कर रहा हूँ कि इस मुद्दे को जल्द से जल्द हल किया जाए और भविष्य में ऐसी किसी भी घटना से बचने के लिए हम जो भी कदम उठा सकते हैं, वह उठा रहे हैं।
इस बीच, मैं आपको सूचित करना चाहता हूँ कि [ऑर्डर संख्या] के लिए आपकी डिलीवरी अब [तिथि] पर उपलब्ध है। कृपया मुझे बताएं कि क्या आपके पास कोई प्रश्न या चिंताएँ हैं।
आपके धैर्य और समझ के लिए धन्यवाद। मैं भविष्य में आपके साथ काम करने के लिए तत्पर हूँ। ईमानदारी से, [आपका नाम]

Example #A2

Prompt

भारत में बड़ों के पैर छूना और उनसे बहस न करना नैतिक आचरण माना जाता था। इसके पीछे क्या तर्क है मुझे समझाओ

Model Response

"हम्म, यह एक दिलचस्प सवाल है! भारत में बड़ों को सम्मानित व्यक्ति माना जाता है और आमतौर पर बुजुर्गों की ओर रुख किया जाता है जब कोई कठिन निर्णय लेना होता है या जानकारी लेनी होती है। ऐसा इसलिए है क्योंकि बड़ों का ज्ञान और अनुभव पीढ़ियों से पारित किए गए हैं और इन्हें बहुमूल्य संपत्ति माना जाता है। इसलिए, बड़ों के साथ बातचीत करते समय सम्मानपूर्वक व्यवहार करना और उनके पैरों को छूने जैसे विनम्र इशारों का उपयोग करना महत्वपूर्ण माना जाता है।

यह याद रखना भी महत्वपूर्ण है कि बड़ों को अक्सर लोगों के लिए मार्गदर्शक और सलाहकार के रूप में देखा जाता है, और उन्हें आहत करने या अपमानित करने के बजाय उनकी सलाह लेने की कोशिश करनी चाहिए। यदि किसी बुजुर्ग ने आपको कुछ अनुचित कहा या उसके व्यवहार में कोई गलती की, तो उचित प्रतिक्रिया देना और स्थिति को ठीक से संभालना महत्वपूर्ण है।"

Example #A3

Prompt

मैं अपने समय प्रबंधन कौशल को कैसे सुधार सकता हूँ? मुझे पांच बिंदु बताएं।

Model Response

1. अपने लक्ष्यों को लिखें।
2. अपने लक्ष्यों को छोटे, प्रबंधनीय कार्यों में विभाजित करें।
3. एक अनुसूची बनाएँ और उस पर टिके रहें।
4. अपने कार्यों को प्राथमिकता दें।
5. अपने कार्यों को पूरा करने के लिए खुद को पुरस्कृत करें।

Example #A4

Prompt

मैं अपने समय प्रबंधन कौशल को कैसे सुधार सकता हूँ? मुझे पांच बिंदु बताएं और उनका वर्णन करें।

Model Response

1. अपने कार्यों को प्राथमिकता दें: उन कार्यों को प्राथमिकता दें जिन्हें पूरा करने की सबसे अधिक आवश्यकता है और उन्हें पहले पूरा करें।
2. एक अनुसूची बनाएँ: एक अनुसूची बनाएँ और उस पर टिके रहें। यह आपको अपने समय का बेहतर प्रबंधन करने और अपने लक्ष्यों को प्राप्त करने में मदद करेगा।
3. ध्यान भटकाने से बचें: अपने फोन को बंद कर दें और अपने काम पर ध्यान केंद्रित करें।
4. ब्रेक लें: नियमित ब्रेक लेने से आपको रिचार्ज करने और उत्पादक बने रहने में मदद मिलेगी।
5. अपने आप को पुरस्कृत करें: अपने आप को पुरस्कृत करने से आपको प्रेरित रहने और अपने लक्ष्यों को प्राप्त करने के लिए प्रेरित करने में मदद मिलेगी।

Example #A5

Prompt

मैंने बहुत जगह नौकरी के लिए एप्लाइ किया पर कहीं से रिप्लाई नहीं आया जिसे मुझे डिप्रेशन हो रहा है मुझे इसका कोई उपाय दो?

Model Response

यह सुनकर खेद है कि आपको अवसाद का सामना करना पड़ रहा है। यह याद रखना महत्वपूर्ण है कि अकेलेपन, चिंता और निराशा जैसी भावनाओं को दूर करने में मदद करने के कई तरीके हैं। यहाँ कुछ सुझाव दिए गए हैं जो सहायक लग सकते हैं:

1. किसी चिकित्सक या सलाहकार से बात करें-किसी मानसिक स्वास्थ्य पेशेवर से बात करना आपके अवसाद को प्रबंधित करने और इसे कम करने की दिशा में पहला कदम हो सकता है। वे आपकी भावनाओं को समझने और उन पर काबू पाने के लिए एक योजना बनाने में आपकी मदद कर सकते हैं।
2. नियमित व्यायाम: शारीरिक गतिविधि एंडोर्फिन छोड़ती है, जो प्राकृतिक मनोदशा बढ़ाने वाले होते हैं। यहां तक कि छोटे पैमाने के व्यायाम जैसे चलना, तैरना या कुछ हल्का खिंचाव भी अवसादग्रस्तता की भावना को कम करने में मदद कर सकता है।
3. प्रकृति में समय बिताएँ: प्रकृति में समय बिताने से तनाव को कम करने और कल्याण की भावना को बढ़ावा देने में मदद मिल सकती है। आप टहलने जा सकते हैं, पैदल यात्रा कर सकते हैं या बागवानी का काम करके प्रकृति में अपनी रुचि विकसित कर सकते हैं।
4. प्रियजनों के साथ जुड़ें: दोस्तों, परिवार या सहकर्मियों के साथ जुड़ें जो समर्थन और प्रोत्साहन प्रदान करते हैं। सामाजिक बातचीत को प्रोत्साहित करने से अलगाव और अकेलेपन की भावनाओं को कम करने में मदद मिल सकती है।
5. रचनात्मक गतिविधियों में संलग्न रहें: कला, संगीत या लेखन जैसी रचनात्मक गतिविधियों में शामिल होने से मन को विचलित करने और खुशी की भावना लाने में मदद मिल सकती है।
6. माइंडफुलनेस तकनीकों को आजमाएँ: ध्यान, गहरी सांस लेने के अभ्यास या योग सहित विभिन्न माइंडफुलनेस तकनीकें आराम करने और वर्तमान क्षण पर ध्यान केंद्रित करने में मदद कर सकती हैं।
7. आत्म-देखभाल का अभ्यास करें: पर्याप्त नींद लेना, संतुलित आहार खाना और स्वस्थ जीवन शैली बनाए रखने जैसी स्व-देखभाल प्रथाओं में शामिल होना आवश्यक है। इसमें स्नान करना, किताब पढ़ना या टीवी देखते हुए नाश्ता करना जैसी चीजें शामिल हो सकती हैं।
8. सहायता समूहों में भाग लें: स्थानीय सहायता समूह या सामुदायिक संगठन खोजने का प्रयास करें जो आपके समान चुनौतियों से जुड़ा रहे हों। दूसरों से जुड़कर, आप अपने अवसाद को कम करने में मदद करने के तरीकों की पहचान कर सकते हैं।
9. पेशेवर मदद लें: यदि आपके अवसाद का प्रबंधन करना बहुत कठिन लगता है, तो चिकित्सा या परामर्श प्राप्त करने पर विचार करें। एक प्रशिक्षित मानसिक स्वास्थ्य पेशेवर आपको मुकाबला तंत्र सीखने में मदद कर सकता है और आपको अधिक सकारात्मक मानसिकता विकसित करने में मदद कर सकता है। याद रखें, अवसाद को दूर करना आसान नहीं है, लेकिन सही समर्थन और रणनीतियों के साथ, आप प्रगति कर सकते हैं और बेहतर महसूस कर सकते हैं।

Example #A6

Prompt

मैं उत्तर भारतीय हूँ और मूल हिंदी भाषी हूँ। हाल ही में, मुझे अपनी नौकरी के लिए बेंगलोर शिफ्ट होना पड़ा। मुझे यहां के मूल निवासियों से बातचीत करने में थोड़ी परेशानी होती है क्योंकि वे कन्नड़ बोलते हैं और हिंदी नहीं जानते हैं। मैं कन्नड़ सीखना चाहूंगी ताकि मुझे इस समस्या का सामना न करना पड़े। मेरा मार्गदर्शन करें कि मैं खुद को कन्नड़ भाषा में कैसे शिक्षित कर सकता हूँ

Model Response

आपके प्रश्न के लिए धन्यवाद! बेंगलुरु जाने पर आपको स्थानीय लोगों की भाषा सीखने में कुछ चुनौतियों का सामना करना पड़ सकता है, लेकिन चिंता मत करो-मदद के लिए यहाँ एक चरण-दर-चरण मार्गदर्शिका दी गई है:

कन्नड़ सीखने के लिए ये कदम उठाए गए हैं:

कर्नाटक सरकार द्वारा प्रदान किए जा रहे मुफ्त कन्नड़ पाठ्यक्रम

2. कन्नड़ सीखने वाले मंच जैसे कि "कन्नड़ सीखें" या "अंगड़ी" जो कन्नड़ पाठों और अभ्यास कार्यों तक पहुंच प्रदान करते हैं।

3. कन्नड़ वर्णमाला, उच्चारण और शब्दावली सीखना शुरू करने के लिए कन्नड़ के बुनियादी शब्दों और वाक्यांशों को याद रखने का प्रयास करें। आप अपने दम पर ऑनलाइन संसाधनों का उपयोग करके या अन्य कन्नड़ बोलने वालों के साथ अभ्यास करके भी शुरुआत कर सकते हैं।

4. कन्नड़ व्याकरण और संरचनाओं के बारे में जानने के लिए कन्नड़ किताबें या पत्रिकाएं पढ़ना शुरू करें। आप यूट्यूब चैनलों पर कन्नड़ सबक या वार्तालाप सत्रों को देखने का विकल्प भी चुन सकते हैं जिनमें कन्नड़ भाषा शामिल हो सकती है।

5. कन्नड़ गीतों, फिल्मों और टीवी शो का आनंद लें जिन्हें आप समझ सकते हैं। यह आपको नए शब्दों और अभिव्यक्तियों को सुनने और उनका विश्लेषण करने में मदद करेगा।

6. दैनिक गतिविधियों और बातचीत में कन्नड़ का उपयोग करने का अभ्यास करें। उदाहरण के लिए, यदि आपके पास कॉफी शॉप जाना है, तो पहले वहाँ जाते समय कन्नड़ में कुछ छोटे वाक्यांश या अभिवादन आजमाएँ।

7. कन्नड़ में किसी दोस्त या परिवार के सदस्य से बात करें। उन्हें भाषा सिखाने और उनसे सवाल पूछने से आप नई चीजें सीखने और सुधार करने के अवसर प्राप्त करेंगे।

8. अन्य कन्नड़ भाषकों के साथ जुड़ें जिनका आप अनुसरण कर सकते हैं या उनकी सामग्री देख सकते हैं। चाहे वह कोई फेसबुक समूह हो या एक भाषा विनिमय कार्यक्रम, दूसरों के संपर्क में रहना आपकी प्रगति में सहायक होगा। याद रखें, एक अच्छी नींव के साथ, आप निश्चित रूप से जल्द ही कन्नड़ धाराप्रवाह होने में सक्षम होंगे। गुड लक!

A.2 EXAMPLES WHERE AIRAVATA OUTPUT HAS ERRORS

The model is prone to hallucinations and can present distorted facts as against the plot of the novel in #B1 or may fail to follow the instructions provided (#B2).

Example #B1

Prompt

धरमवीर भारती जी का प्रसिद्ध उपन्यास "गुनाहों का देवता " के बारे में बताओ और कहनी के मुख्य किरदार सुधा और चंदर के बारे में संक्षिप्त वर्णन करें?

Model Response

गुनाहों का देवता एक लोकप्रिय भारतीय क्लासिक है जो 18वीं शताब्दी के अंत में लिखा गया था। यह कहानी सुधा और चंदर नामक दो प्रेमियों की कहानी बताती है, जिन्हें उनके परिवारों ने अलग कर दिया था। सुधा अमीर परिवार से आती है और उसे एक अच्छे पति को खोजने के लिए कई दावेदारों पर विचार करना पड़ता है। चंदर एक गरीब किसान परिवार से आता है और वह अपनी शिक्षा और भविष्य में अपने सपनों को पूरा करने के लिए कड़ी मेहनत करता है। सुधा अंततः चंदर को चुनती है और दोनों शादी कर लेते हैं। हालाँकि, उनकी खुशी अल्पकालिक होती है क्योंकि सुधा का अभिशाप उसके पूर्वजों द्वारा डाला जाता है कि वह कभी भी खुश नहीं होगी। सुधा और चंदर तब तक प्यार करते रहे जब तक कि सुधा मर जाती और चंदर फिर अपना शेष जीवन अकेले रहने के लिए छोड़ देता। उपन्यास करुणा और प्रेम के विषयों की पड़ताल करता है, और अक्सर इसे रोमांटिक साहित्य की उत्कृष्ट कृति माना जाता है।

Example #B2

Prompt

मैं मधुबनी कलाकारी के बारे में ज़्यादा जानकारी के लिए उत्सुक हूँ | कृपया इस कलाकारी के बारे में मुझे १०० शब्दों में जानकारी दें |

Model Response

मुबलि कला एक प्रकार की अमूर्त कला है जो मानव शरीर और अंगों का प्रतिनिधित्व करती है। यह एक बहुमुखी कला रूप है जिसे पारंपरिक और समकालीन दोनों तरीकों से किया जा सकता है, और इसका उपयोग अक्सर चित्रकला, मूर्तिकला और प्रदर्शन जैसे विभिन्न माध्यमों में किया जाता है।