

A Cross Entropy Interpretation of Rényi Entropy for α -leakage

Ni Ding*, Mohammad Amin Zarrabian[†], and Parastoo Sadeghi[‡]

*School of Computer Science, University of Auckland, {ni.ding}@auckland.ac.nz

[†]College of Engineering and Computer Science, Australian National University, {mohammad.zarrabian}@anu.edu.au

[‡]School of Engineering and Information Technology, University of New South Wales, {p.sadeghi}@unsw.edu.au

Abstract—This paper proposes an α -leakage measure for $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ by a cross entropy interpretation of Rényi entropy. While Rényi entropy was originally defined as an f -mean for $f(t) = \exp((1 - \alpha)t)$, we reveal that it is also a \tilde{f} -mean cross entropy measure for $\tilde{f}(t) = \exp(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}t)$. Minimizing this Rényi cross-entropy gives Rényi entropy, by which the prior and posterior uncertainty measures are defined corresponding to the adversary’s knowledge gain on sensitive attribute before and after data release, respectively. The α -leakage is proposed as the difference between \tilde{f} -mean prior and posterior uncertainty measures, which is exactly the Arimoto mutual information. This not only extends the existing α -leakage from $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ to the overall Rényi order range $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ in a well-founded way with $\alpha = 0$ referring to nonstochastic leakage, but also reveals that the existing maximal leakage is a \tilde{f} -mean of an elementary α -leakage for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, which generalizes the existing pointwise maximal leakage.

I. INTRODUCTION

Information leakage is usually measured in a Bayesian setting [1]: the adversary’s knowledge gain on sensitive attribute after observing the released data in return refers to the private information leakage to the data curator. This parallels the quantitative information flow [2] in computer science. For applications such as data privacy where the focus is usually on the worst-case or risky high-cost data breach, maximal leakage is proposed in [3], where the maximum is incurred by the event where the adversary attains best estimation (on private data), as well as the attribute that reveals most information to the adversary. This maximal leakage measure is further generalized by a tunable α -leakage [4] by incorporating the idea of Rényi uncertainty measure/entropy [5] and Arimoto conditional entropy [6]: the Rényi order can be chosen from $\alpha = 1$ for average leakage measure to $\alpha = \infty$ for maximal leakage measure. It is also shown in [4, Theorems 1 and 2] that the α -leakage is closely related to the two α -order dependence measures: Arimoto mutual information [6] [7, Eq. (21)] and Sibson mutual information [8].

While Rényi measures, e.g., Rényi entropy and divergence, Arimoto and Sibson mutual information, apply to all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, the α -leakage [4] is defined for $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ only. Following [4], [9], [10] extend Rényi order range of the α -loss [4, Section III-A] to $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, but still leaves $\alpha = 0$ out.¹ On the other hand, [11], [12] specifically use the max-entropy at

$\alpha = 0$ to quantify the nonstochastic leakage, indicating that leakage measure can be defined for the whole range $[0, \infty)$. In addition, for the minimizer of α -loss in [4, Section III-A]: the scaled probability P_{X_α} that normalizing $P_X^\alpha(x)$,² an interesting observation in [10] showing that probability masses assigned to high probability events increase as α changes from 1 to ∞ but reduce as α decreases below 1. However, the reason what causes this difference has not been explained.

This paper proposes an α -leakage measure in the form of Kolmogorov-Nagumo (quasi-arithmetic or generalized \tilde{f} -mean) by a cross entropy interpretation of Rényi entropy. While Rényi entropy was originally defined as an f -mean for $f(t) = \exp((1 - \alpha)t)$ [5], we reveal that it is also a \tilde{f} -mean cross entropy measure for $\tilde{f}(t) = \exp(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}t)$ quantifying uncertainty incurred by a soft decision $P_{\tilde{X}}$ averaged w.r.t. a given probability distribution P_X . Minimizing this Rényi cross-entropy over $P_{\tilde{X}}$ gives Rényi entropy of P_X . This is used to determine the best estimation on sensitive data an adversary can attain before and after data release and therefore defines prior and posterior uncertainty or information gain, respectively, in privacy leakage. An α -leakage is then proposed as the reduction in \tilde{f} -mean uncertainty. It is shown to be equal to Arimoto mutual information and this equivalence extends from $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ [4, Theorem 1] to the overall Rényi order range $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, where the reason that P_{X_α} weights less for high probable events for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, as observed in [10], is found to be a change from maximizing certainty to minimizing uncertainty. At $\alpha = 0$, P_{X_α} becomes uniform distribution and the proposed α -leakage reduces to the nonstochastic one in [11], [12]. It is also shown that Sibson mutual information is a \tilde{f} -mean over an α -order elementary uncertainty (measured by Rényi divergence), which equals to the pointwise maximal leakage (PML) [15] at $\alpha = \infty$ and so maximal leakage [3] is a \tilde{f} -mean of PML.

II. NOTATION

Capital and lowercase letters denote random variable and its elementary event or instance, respectively, e.g., x is an instance of random variable X . Calligraphic letters denote sets, e.g., \mathcal{X} refers to the alphabet of X . We assume finite countable alphabet in this paper. Let $P_X(x)$ denote the probability $\Pr(X = x)$ on an elementary event x . For $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$,

¹In fact, the α -loss in [4, Section III-A] at extended order $\alpha = 0$ cannot be directly determined by the continuity in α using L’Hôpital’s rule.

²This scaled probability also appears in Sibson identity [7], [13], [14].

$\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{B}) = (P_X(x) : x \in \mathcal{B})$ is a probability vector indexed by \mathcal{B} . The probability mass function is then $\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{X})$, for which we use simplified notation \mathbf{P}_X . The support of probability mass is denoted by $\text{supp}(P_X) = \{x : P_X(x) > 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and its cardinality is $|\text{supp}(P_X)|$. The expectation is denoted by $\mathbb{E}_X[f(X)] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x)f(x)$. \mathbf{P}_X and $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$ refer to two probability distributions on the same alphabet \mathcal{X} . For the conditional probability $\mathbf{P}_{Y|X} = (P_{Y|X}(y|x) : x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y})$, we denote $\mathbf{P}_{Y|X=x} = (P_{Y|X}(y|x) : y \in \mathcal{Y})$ the probability of Y given the event $X = x$.

III. RÉNYI ENTROPY: AN \tilde{f} -MEAN MEASURE

In [5], Alfréd Rényi relaxed postulates for Shannon entropy to define the α -order uncertainty as a Kolmogorov-Nagumo mean (generalized f -mean) for $f(t) = \exp((1 - \alpha)t)$ as follows. For all $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, the α -order uncertainty measure for generalized probability distribution $\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{B})$ is³

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{B})) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{P_X(x)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} P_X(x)} \left(\frac{1}{P_X(x)} \right)^{1 - \alpha}$$

At singleton $\mathcal{B} = \{x\}$, we have X -elementary uncertainty measure of $P_X(x)$ for all x

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\{x\})) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left(\frac{1}{P_X(x)} \right)^{1 - \alpha} = -\log P_X(x).$$

Rényi entropy is then defined as an f -mean of X -elementary uncertainty w.r.t. to probability distribution P_X itself:

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_X^{\alpha - 1}(x) \quad (1)$$

$$= f^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_X[f(H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\{x\}))])) \quad (2)$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$, with the measure in extended orders $\alpha = 0, 1$ and ∞ determined by the continuity in α : $H_0 = \log \text{supp}(P_X)$ is the max-entropy, $H_1 = \mathbb{E}_X[-\log P_X(x)]$ is the Shannon entropy and $H_\infty = -\log \max_x P_X(x)$ is the min-entropy.

Rewrite Rényi entropy (1) as

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X^\alpha(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \left(\frac{P_X^\alpha(x)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X^\alpha(x)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \left(\frac{1}{P_{X_\alpha}(x)} \right)^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $P_{X_\alpha}(x) = \frac{P_X^\alpha(x)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X^\alpha(x)}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. This is a \tilde{f} -mean for $\tilde{f}(t) = \exp(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}t)$.⁴ For $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, define uncertainty

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{B})) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{P_X(x)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} P_X(x)} \left(\frac{1}{P_{X_\alpha}(x)} \right)^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}}$$

³ $\mathbf{P}_X(\mathcal{B})$ is a generalized probability distribution if $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} P_X(x) \leq 1$ [5].

⁴ [5, Postulate 5'] requires monotonic, continuous and invertible function, which satisfies for both $f(t) = \exp((1 - \alpha)t)$ and $\tilde{f}(t) = \exp(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}t)$.

with the X -elementary uncertainty being

$$\tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\{x\})) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \left(\frac{1}{P_{X_\alpha}(x)} \right)^{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}} = -\log P_{X_\alpha}(x).$$

Then, Rényi entropy is a \tilde{f} -mean of $\tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\{x\}))$:

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) = \tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) = \tilde{f}^{-1}(\mathbb{E}_X[\tilde{f}(\tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\{x\})))]). \quad (5)$$

The measure at extended orders $\alpha = 0, 1$ and ∞ is determined by the continuity in α using (4):

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) = \begin{cases} \log \max_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} \frac{1}{P_{X_0}(x)} & \alpha = 0 \\ -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log P_{X_1}(x) & \alpha = 1 \\ -\log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_{X_\infty}(x) & \alpha = \infty \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

with the scaled probability \mathbf{P}_{X_α} in corresponding orders being⁵

$$\begin{aligned} P_{X_0}(x) &= \begin{cases} 1/|\text{supp}(P_X)| & x \in \text{supp}(P_X) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \\ P_{X_1}(x) &= P_X(x), \forall x, \\ P_{X_\infty}(x) &= \begin{cases} 1/|\arg \max_x P_X(x)| & x \in \arg \max_x P_X(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

Here, both (2) and (5) are Kolmogorov-Nagumo means stating that the uncertainty or information gain is accumulative w.r.t. its appearance frequency in the codomain of f and \tilde{f} , respectively.⁶ However, their X -elementary uncertainty measures different probability mass: $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\{x\}))$ measures $P_X(x)$, while $\tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X(\{x\}))$ measures scaled probability mass $P_{X_\alpha}(x)$. That is, $\tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X)$ in (5), or $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X)$ expressed as in (4), should be interpreted as the \tilde{f} -average information gain incurred by scaled probability \mathbf{P}_{X_α} with the appearance frequency of each elementary information gain governed by the original probability \mathbf{P}_X . This gives rise to a definition of α -order cross entropy as follows.

IV. α -CROSS ENTROPY

For two probability distributions \mathbf{P}_X and $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$, define cross entropy for $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ by⁷

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) &= \\ &\begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}}(x) & \alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty) \\ \log \max_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} \frac{1}{P_{\hat{X}}(x)} & \alpha = 0 \\ -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log P_{\hat{X}}(x) & \alpha = 1 \\ -\log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}(x) & \alpha = \infty \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

A common problem is the minimization of cross entropy over the decision probability or soft decision $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$, for which we derive Theorem 1 below. It can be considered as an extension

⁵A derivation of $P_{X_\infty}(x)$ can be found in [4, Appendix A].

⁶In fact, equations (2) and (5) hold for all partitions \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{X} [5, Postulate 5']. For example, for (2), $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) = f^{-1}(\sum_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{I}} P_X(\mathcal{B}) f(H_\alpha(P_X(\mathcal{B}))))$ with $P_X(\mathcal{B}) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} P_X(x)$ for all \mathcal{I} .

⁷Without confusion, H_α with two inputs refers to cross entropy and H_α with one input refers to entropy.

of [4, Lemma 1] from $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ to $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ but with a completely different approach by using cross entropy $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}})$. The difference from [4] will be discussed in detail in Sections IV-E and V-C.

Theorem 1: For a given \mathbf{P}_X ,

$$\min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) \quad (8)$$

with the minimizer $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}^* = \mathbf{P}_{X_\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$.

Proof: For each $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) \\ &= \log \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} \left(\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \\ &= \begin{cases} \log \left(\max_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} \sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} & \alpha \in (1, \infty) \\ \log \left(\min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} \sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} & \alpha \in (0, 1) \end{cases}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Consider the convex combination $\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)$: it is concave in $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$ for all $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ where $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ but convex in $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ where $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \in (-\infty, 0)$. In both cases, problem (8) reduces to a convex minimization with the probability distribution constraint $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{\hat{X}}(x) = 1$, where the optimizer is $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}^* = \mathbf{P}_{X_\alpha}$ and the minimum is $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{X_\alpha}) = H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X)$ (See Appendix A).

For the extended orders $\alpha = 0, 1$ and ∞ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} H_0(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) &= -\log \max_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} \min_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} P_{\hat{X}}(x) \\ &= \log |\text{supp}(P_X)| = H_0(\mathbf{P}_X), \\ \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} H_1(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) &= H_1(\mathbf{P}_X) + \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} D_1(\mathbf{P}_X \| \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) \\ &= H_1(\mathbf{P}_X), \\ \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} H_\infty(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) &= -\log \max_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}(x) \\ &= -\log \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) = H_\infty(\mathbf{P}_X) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with the minimizer $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}^* = \mathbf{P}_{X_0}$, \mathbf{P}_{X_1} and \mathbf{P}_{X_∞} , respectively. Thus, we have the solution $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}^* = \mathbf{P}_{X_\alpha}$ and the minimum $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X)$ for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. ■

Equation (11) is a decomposition of the cross entropy $H_1(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \log P_{\hat{X}}(x)$ into the summation of $H_1(\cdot)$ and $D_1(\cdot \| \cdot)$ denoting Shannon entropy and Kullback–Leibler divergence, respectively.

A. Difference between $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$

An interesting observation in (10) is the conversion from maximization to minimization when α is crossing the value 1. This is because $\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)$ is changing from certainty to uncertainty measure as follows. Knowing $P_{\hat{X}}(x)$ denotes the certainty of the event x under decision $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$, for $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$, $\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)$ measures the expected certainty incurred by $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$; for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x) =$




Fig. 1. For $X \sim \text{Binomial}(20, 5)$, the probability \mathbf{P}_{X_α} with $P_{X_\alpha}(x) = \frac{P_X^\alpha(x)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X^\alpha(x)}$ for all $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, 20\}$. Here, the plot for $\alpha = 1$ shows the original Binomial probability.

$\sum_x P_X(x) (P_{\hat{X}}^{-1}(x))^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$ measures expected uncertainty incurred by $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$.

Note, despite the conversion between certainty and uncertainty interpretation, the cross entropy $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}})$ remains an uncertainty measure for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. This 1-crossing effect is also true for Rényi entropy in (1): $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_X^{\alpha-1}(x)$ measures certainty for $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ and uncertainty for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. But, $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X)$ remains an uncertainty measure for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$.

B. Optimal decision \mathbf{P}_{X_α} in α

For the optimal decision \mathbf{P}_{X_α} , there is also a clear difference when α is crossing 1. For $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$, the decision that maximizes $\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)$ should assign higher weights to the more probable events x of X . Conversely, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, to minimize $\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)$, we should have $P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)$ assign less weights to high probability events. As the weight $P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)$ is always increasing in α , when α is approaching ∞ , all probability mass will concentrates at the most probable events eventually; when α decreases to 0, uniform distribution is reached.

See Fig. 1. We choose the same binomial random variable as in [4, Fig. 3]. The observation for range $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ is the same as [4]: as α increases, the optimal decision \mathbf{P}_{X_α} accentuates high probable events more than the low probable ones, which forces the probability mass assigned to low probable events to decrease to zero. On the contrary, as α decreases from 1, \mathbf{P}_{X_α} compensate more weight to less probability events (the same observation as in [10]), which in return reduces the probability mass for high probability ones, until it flattens around $\alpha = 0$.

C. Optimal Estimation $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y}^*$

Consider the estimation problem over Markov chain $X - Y - \hat{X}$ for given channel $\mathbf{P}_{Y|X}$. For input \mathbf{P}_X , a receiver applies decision $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y}$ to channel output Y to get the estimation \hat{X} . For each $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, use the $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y}, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y})$ to quantify the uncertainty caused by $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y}$ averaged by the posterior distribution $\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y}$. The best estimation is the minimizer

$\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y}^* = \mathbf{P}_{X_\alpha|Y=y}$ of $\min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y}, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y})$ with the minimum uncertainty attains at $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y})$. Here, $P_{X_\alpha|Y=y}(x) = \frac{P_{X|Y=y}^\alpha(x)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y=y}^\alpha(x)}$, $\forall x$. This will be used for the posterior uncertainty in defining α -leakage in Section V.

At $\alpha = \infty$, $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y}^* = \mathbf{P}_{X_\infty|Y=y}$ is a maximum a posteriori decision that assigns probability mass to the most probable events in $\arg \max_x P_{X|Y=y}(x)$ only. This was also pointed out in [4]. At $\alpha = 0$, $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y}^* = \mathbf{P}_{X_0|Y=y}$ assigns the same probability mass to all events $x \in \text{supp}(P_{X|Y=y})$. This is a decision adopted in nonstochastic settings [11], [12], where the dataset is not large enough to reveal the true statistics of a population (e.g., each event only appears one or two times) and therefore the uncertainty largely depends on the size of alphabet or support. Stacking $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y}^*$ for all y , we construct the optimal decision $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y}^* = (\mathbf{P}_{X_\alpha|Y=y}^*: y \in \mathcal{Y})$.

D. Arimoto Conditional Entropy

By equation (3) and the \tilde{f} -mean expression in (4), for each $y \in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y})\right) &= \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y=y}^\alpha(x|y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{X|Y=y} \left[\exp\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y}(\{x\}))\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y}(\{x\})) = -\log P_{X_\alpha|Y=y}(x)$. Then, the Arimoto conditional entropy [6] is again a \tilde{f} -mean:

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y}) &= \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \mathbb{E}_Y \left[\left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}^\alpha(x|y)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \mathbb{E}_Y \left[\exp\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y})\right) \right] \quad (12) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \mathbb{E}_{XY} \left[\exp\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y}(\{x\}))\right) \right] \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where (12) is a \tilde{f} -mean in \mathcal{Y} where the Y -elementary uncertainty measure is $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y})$ for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and (13) is a \tilde{f} -mean in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ where the XY -elementary uncertainty measure is $\tilde{H}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|y}(\{x\}))$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$. Alternatively, we can write equation (12) in terms of cross entropy as

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y}) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \mathbb{E}_Y \left[\exp\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y}, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y=y})\right) \right],$$

i.e., it is an \tilde{f} -mean of minimum uncertainty, with each Y -elementary uncertainty minimized by the optimal strategy $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y}^*$ for the best estimation of X .

E. Comparison to α -loss in [4]

In optimizations, an uncertainty incurs loss and an α -loss function $L_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) l_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x))$ is proposed in [4, Section III-A] for $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ with the X -elementary loss being $l_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x)) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} (1 - P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x))$, which generalizes log-loss and 0-1-loss at extended orders $\alpha = 1$ and

∞ , respectively. However, this definition leave range $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ out and the interpretation of the coefficient $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ is not clearly explained. In fact, it should rather be an exponential index, where we have an α -loss function well-founded by the Rényi probability [16]–[18] (see Appendix B) in the form of $\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$ -order power mean, another Kolmogorov-Nagumo mean [14, Section II-A] using the minimand in (9): for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, we propose average α -loss incurred by decision $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$

$$L_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = \left(\sum_x P_X(x) l_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x))^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}. \quad (14)$$

with X -elementary loss being $l_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x)) = P_{\hat{X}}^{-1}(x)$. This $\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$ -order power mean is readily extended to orders $\alpha = 0, 1$ and ∞ : $L_0(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = \max_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} P_{\hat{X}}^{-1}(x)$ is nonstochastic loss; $L_1(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = \prod_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{\hat{X}}(x)^{-P_X(x)}$ is the exponential of expected log-loss; $L_\infty(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}(x)\right)^{-1}$ with $1 - \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}(x)$ being the expected 0-1-loss. For all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, $L_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = \exp(H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}))$.

F. Other cross entropy measures

There are two existing definitions of Rényi cross entropy proposed in [19], [20], respectively,

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\alpha-1}(x), \quad (15)$$

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) + \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} D_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X \| \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}), \quad (16)$$

to the best of our knowledge. Definition (15) is based on (1), where $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X)$ is not the minimum of $\min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}})$ however. Definition (16) forces the decomposition in (11) over all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. According to [5, Postulate 5', Theorems 1 and 2], the decomposition for order $\alpha = 1$ is well defined. But, this decomposition for the remaining $\alpha \in [0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ should be further verified.

V. α -LEAKAGE

Information leakage studies [3], [4] are based on an estimation model: Markov chain $U - X - Y - \hat{U}$, where the privatization channel $\mathbf{P}_{Y|X}$ is given and U denotes a sensitive attribute of channel input X . An adversary wants to infer/estimate U with the access to the privatized data Y . Using the α -cross entropy in (7) to get the adversary's best estimations $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}}^*$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}|Y}^*$ and the corresponding minima to quantify prior and posterior uncertainty, respectively, we propose a leakage measure below as the reduction in \tilde{f} -mean uncertainty, where $\tilde{f}(t) = \exp(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} t)$.

Definition 1: For all $[0, \infty)$, the α -leakage is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(U \rightarrow Y) &= \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_U, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}}) - \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \mathbb{E}_Y \left[\exp\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}|Y=y}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{U|Y=y}, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}|Y=y})\right) \right] \\ &= H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_U) - H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{U|Y}) = I_\alpha^A(U; Y), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $I_\alpha^A(U; Y)$ is the Arimoto mutual information between U and Y .

A. Maximal α -leakage

Following [3], [4], the maximal leakage is incurred by attribute U that leaks the most information.

Proposition 1: For Markov chain $U - X - Y$ with given $\mathbf{P}_{Y|X}$ and \mathbf{P}_X ,⁸

$$\sup_{\mathbf{P}_{UX}} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(U \rightarrow Y) \quad (18)$$

$$= I_\alpha^S(X; Y) \quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \mathbb{E}_Y \left[\exp \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(X \rightarrow y) \right) \right] \quad (20)$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ with the Y -elementary leakage being

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha(X \rightarrow y) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \left(\frac{P_{X|Y}(x|y)}{P_X(x)} \right)^\alpha \quad (21)$$

$$= D_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y} \| \mathbf{P}_X). \quad (22)$$

Proof: Equality (19) is based on the equivalence of Arimoto and Sibson mutual information when optimizing over channel input [21], [7, Theorem 5] and the data processing inequality for Sibson mutual information [22, Theorem 5]:

$$\sup_{\mathbf{P}_U} I_\alpha^A(U; Y) = \sup_{\mathbf{P}_U} I_\alpha^S(U; Y) \leq I_\alpha^S(X; Y)$$

for all U that forms the Markov chain $U - X - Y$ and supremum attains at $U = X$. We rewrite the Sibson mutual information [8]

$$I_\alpha^S(X; Y) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) P_{Y|X}^\alpha(y|x) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (23)$$

in (20) as a \tilde{f} -mean of the Y -elementary measure in (21) w.r.t. channel output \mathbf{P}_Y . ■

One can further maximize over the channel input as in [4]:

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha^{\max}(X \rightarrow Y) = \sup_{\mathbf{P}_X} \sup_{\mathbf{P}_{UX}} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(U \rightarrow Y) = \sup_{\mathbf{P}_X} I_\alpha^S(X; Y)$$

which is exactly the channel capacity of order α [21]. It is denoted by $\sup_{U-X-Y} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(U \rightarrow Y)$ in [4, Definition 6].

B. Y -elementary α -leakage

Consider the Y -elementary measure in (21) that is exactly the Rényi divergence $D_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y=y} \| \mathbf{P}_X)$. For all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(X \rightarrow y) \right) = \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X(x) \left(\frac{P_{X|Y}(x|y)}{P_X(x)} \right)^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha}$ is called α -lift in [23]. It also generalizes the pointwise maximal leakage (PML) [15].

Corollary 1: At $\alpha = \infty$,

$$\mathcal{L}_\infty(X \rightarrow y) = \log \max_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} \frac{P_{X|Y}(x|y)}{P_X(x)}$$

⁸The supremum in (18) is the same as $\sup_{U-X-Y-\hat{U}}$ in [3, Definition 1]: it is over all U with \mathbf{P}_{UX} satisfying $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}} P_{UX}(u, x) = P_X(x)$, $\forall x$ and the Markov chain constraint $P_{Y|XU}(y|x, u) = P_{Y|X}(y|x)$, $\forall u, x, y$, i.e., only fix \mathbf{P}_X and $\mathbf{P}_{Y|X}$ in Markov chain $U - X - Y - \hat{U}$. Also note that \sup_{U-X-Y} in [4, Definition 6] is over the same Markov chain with only $\mathbf{P}_{Y|X}$ fixed.

is the pointwise maximal leakage (PML) [15] and the supremum in (18) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbf{P}_{UX}} \mathcal{L}_\infty(U \rightarrow Y) &= \log \mathbb{E}_Y \left[\exp \left(\mathcal{L}_\infty(X \rightarrow y) \right) \right] \\ &= \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \max_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} P_{Y|X}(y|x). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $\log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \max_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} P_{Y|X}(y|x)$ is proposed as the maximal leakage in [3]. ■

Equation (24) states that the maximal leakage [3] is an \tilde{f} -mean of PML [15].

C. Comparison to α -leakage in [4]

For the (elementary) loss function $l_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x)) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}(1 - P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x))$ defined in [4, Section III-A], the corresponding α -gain is $g_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x)) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)$. An α -leakage is proposed in [4, Definition 5] as the difference in the logarithm of expected α -gain between prior and posterior:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(U \rightarrow Y) &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{\max_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y}} \mathbb{E}_{XY} [g_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}|Y}(x))]}{\max_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} \mathbb{E}_X [g_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x))]} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log \frac{\max_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}|Y}} \mathbb{E}_{XY} [P_{\hat{X}|Y}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)]}{\max_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}} \mathbb{E}_X [P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The coefficient $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ before the logarithm is introduced but not explained in [4] in terms of the measure itself. (25) also equals to $I_\alpha^A(U; Y)$, but it is only defined in a partial range $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$.⁹

VI. CONCLUSION

We revealed a \tilde{f} -mean cross entropy interpretation of Rényi entropy. By a minimization of this cross entropy, an estimator's best estimation rule is determined. We used it to quantify the best-case uncertainty reduction an adversary can achieve on estimating the sensitive attribute, by which an α -leakage is defined for the overall Rényi order range $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$. We showed that the Sibson mutual information is a \tilde{f} -mean of α -order elementary leakage. This elementary leakage generalizes PML. The difference between our measure and the existing α -leakage [4] for $\alpha \in [1, \infty)$ has been explained.

There are several directions for future works: (17) is in fact a multiplicative uncertainty reduction $\mathcal{L}_\alpha(U \rightarrow Y) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \log \frac{\exp(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_U, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}}))}{\mathbb{E}_Y [\exp(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \min_{\mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}|Y=y}} H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{U|Y=y}, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{U}|Y=y}))]}$. A subtraction of uncertainty in codomain of \tilde{f} can also be proposed as leakage measure; Proposition 1 gives an interpretation of Sibson mutual information [7], [13], [14] in privacy leakage. An operational meaning should also be provided as to what exactly Sibson mutual information measures. Further, the relationship between f - and \tilde{f} -mean should be explored: the coefficient $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ also appears after the minimization of the α -order measures in Sibson identity [13], [14].

⁹In fact, the leakage measure (25) can be extended to the whole range $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ by adopting our proposed α -loss in (14) that is well-supported by the interpretation of $\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$ -power mean, which will lead to the proposed α -leakage definition in (17).

REFERENCES

- [1] F. du Pin Calmon and N. Fawaz, "Privacy against statistical inference," in *Proceedings of 50th Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*, Monticello, IL, 2012, pp. 1401–1408.
- [2] G. Smith, *On the Foundations of Quantitative Information Flow*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009, pp. 288–302.
- [3] I. Issa, A. B. Wagner, and S. Kamath, "An operational approach to information leakage," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1625–1657, Mar. 2020.
- [4] J. Liao, O. Kosut, L. Sankar, and F. du Pin Calmon, "Tunable measures for information leakage and applications to privacy-utility tradeoffs," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 8043–8066, Dec. 2019.
- [5] A. Rényi, "On measures of entropy and information," in *Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Volume 1: Contributions to the Theory of Statistics*, vol. 4. University of California Press, 1961, pp. 547–562.
- [6] S. Arimoto, "Information measures and capacity of order α for discrete memoryless channels," *Topics in information theory*, 1977.
- [7] S. Verdú, " α -mutual information," in *Proceedings of Information Theory and Applications Workshop*, San Diego, CA, 2015, pp. 1–6.
- [8] R. Sibson, "Information radius," *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 149–160, 1969.
- [9] G. R. Kurri, L. Sankar, and O. Kosut, "An operational approach to information leakage via generalized gain functions," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 1349–1375, Dec. 2023.
- [10] T. Sypherd, M. Diaz, J. K. Cava, G. Dasarathy, P. Kairouz, and L. Sankar, "A tunable loss function for robust classification: Calibration, landscape, and generalization," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 6021–6051, Sep. 2022.
- [11] N. Ding and F. Farokhi, "Developing non-stochastic privacy-preserving policies using agglomerative clustering," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, pp. 3911–3923, Jun. 2020.
- [12] F. Farokhi and N. Ding, "Measuring information leakage in non-stochastic brute-force guessing," in *Proceedings of IEEE Information Theory Workshop*, Riva del Garda, 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [13] S. Verdú, "Error exponents and α -mutual information," *Entropy*, vol. 23, no. 2, p. 199, Feb. 2021.
- [14] B. Nakiboglu, "The Rényi capacity and center," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 841–860, Feb. 2019.
- [15] S. Saeidian, G. Cervia, T. J. Oechtering, and M. Skoglund, "Pointwise maximal leakage," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 8054–8080, Dec. 2023.
- [16] S. Fehr and S. Berens, "On the conditional Rényi entropy," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 6801–6810, Nov. 2014.
- [17] G. Aishwarya and M. Madiman, "Remarks on Rényi versions of conditional entropy and mutual information," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, Paris, 2019, pp. 1117–1121.
- [18] —, "Conditional Rényi entropy and the relationships between Rényi capacities," *Entropy*, vol. 22, no. 5, p. 526, May 2020.
- [19] F. Valverde-Albacete and C. Peláez-Moreno, "The case for shifting the Rényi entropy," *Entropy*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 46, Jan. 2019.
- [20] F. C. Thierrin, F. Alajaji, and T. Linder, "On the Rényi cross-entropy," in *Proceedings of 17th Canadian Workshop on Information Theory*, Ottawa, ON, 2022, pp. 1–5.
- [21] I. Csiszar, "Generalized cutoff rates and Rényi's information measures," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 26–34, Jan. 1995.
- [22] Y. Polyanskiy and S. Verdú, "Arimoto channel coding converse and Rényi divergence," in *Proceedings of 48th Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*, Monticello, IL, 2010, pp. 1327–1333.
- [23] N. Ding, M. A. Zarrabian, and P. Sadeghi, " α -information-theoretic privacy watchdog and optimal privatization scheme," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, Melbourne, 2021, pp. 2584–2589.

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF $\mathbf{P}_X^* = \mathbf{P}_{X_\alpha}$ IN THEOREM 1

For $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$, the Lagrangian function is

$$L(\mathbf{P}_X, \lambda) = \sum_x P_X(x) \hat{P}_X^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x) - \lambda \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \hat{P}_X(x) - 1 \right)$$

For convex minimization, the Karush–Kuhn–Tucker condition is both necessary and sufficient, where the solution of equality $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{P}_X(x)} = \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} P_X(x) \hat{P}_X^{-1/\alpha}(x) - \lambda = 0$ determines the minimizer $\hat{P}_X^*(x) = P_X^\alpha(x) \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha\lambda} \right)^\alpha$ for all x . With the constraint $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \hat{P}_X^*(x) = 1$, we have $\left(\frac{\alpha\lambda}{\alpha-1} \right)^\alpha = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X^\alpha(x)$ being a normalizing factor. The solution for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ can be calculated in the same way, which is again \mathbf{P}_{X_α} . The minimum is therefore $H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X)$ for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$.

APPENDIX B

RÉNYI PROBABILITY

Define the Rényi probability [16]

$$\text{Ren}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) = \|P_X(\cdot)\|_{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} = \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_X^\alpha(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}. \quad (26)$$

The Rényi entropy is the negative log-likelihood (log-loss) of Rényi probability [16]–[18]

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) = -\log \text{Ren}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X) \quad (27)$$

In the same way, define Rényi probability for conditional probability $\mathbf{P}_{X|Y}$ as an $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}$ -order power mean [16]–[18]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ren}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y}) &= \left(\mathbb{E}_Y \left[\text{Ren}_\alpha(P_{X|Y=y})^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \right] \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \\ &= \left(\mathbb{E}_Y \left[\left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}^\alpha(x|y) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right] \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P_Y(y) \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{X|Y}^\alpha(x|y) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The Arimoto conditional entropy [6] is also a log-loss of Rényi probability

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y}) = -\log \text{Ren}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y}). \quad (29)$$

It is clear from (28) that $\text{Ren}_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_{X|Y})$ is an $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}$ -order power mean of Y -elementary Rényi probability $\text{Ren}_\alpha(P_{X|Y=y})$. Whereas, our proposed α -loss in (14) is the reciprocal of an $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}$ -order power mean of X -elementary measure: with $l_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x)) = P_{\hat{X}}^{-1}(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) &= \left(\sum_x P_X(x) l_\alpha(P_{\hat{X}}(x))^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \\ &= \left(\left(\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $P_{\hat{X}}(x)$ measures the certainty incurred by each X -elementary decision. The $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}$ -order power mean $\left(\sum_x P_X(x) P_{\hat{X}}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}(x) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$ measures the expected certainty incurred by decision probability $\mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}$ w.r.t. \mathbf{P}_X , the reciprocal of which measures the uncertainty and therefore is called α -loss function. The cross entropy proposed in Section III is in fact the log-loss of this $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}$ -order power mean:

$$H_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}) = \log L_\alpha(\mathbf{P}_X, \mathbf{P}_{\hat{X}}),$$

which can be seen from (10).