

# THETA FUNCTIONS AND PROJECTIVE STRUCTURES

INDRANIL BISWAS, ALESSANDRO GHIGI, AND LUCA VAI

ABSTRACT. Given a compact Riemann surface  $X$ , we consider the line, in the space of sections of  $2\Theta$  on  $J^0(X)$ , orthogonal to all the sections that vanish at the origin. This line produces a natural meromorphic bidifferential on  $X \times X$  with a pole of order two on the diagonal. This bidifferential is extensively investigated. In particular we show that it produces a projective structure on  $X$  which is different from the standard ones.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. A line of sections	3
3. The section $\sigma_X$	5
4. Pullback of special sections of $\mathbf{L}$	10
5. Pullback of arbitrary sections of $\mathbf{L}$	14
6. Comparison of $\sigma_X$ and $\eta_X$	20
References	24

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a compact connected Riemann surface, and let  $\Delta \subset S := X \times X$  be the diagonal divisor. Then the holomorphic line bundle  $\Omega_S^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)$  has a natural holomorphic section which is constructed using Hodge theory [CFG] and [CPT]; see also [Gu2], [Lo]. This bidifferential, which is denoted by  $\eta_X$ , is completely canonical in the sense that its construction does not require making any choice. This  $\eta_X$  produces a projective structure on  $X$ , which was studied in [BCFP]. In particular, it was shown that this projective structure is different from the standard ones. On the other hand, it was shown in [CFG] that  $\eta_X$  guides the second fundamental form, with respect to the Siegel metric, of the Torelli map from the moduli space of curves to the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties.

In this paper we find another completely canonical holomorphic section  $\sigma_X$  of the line bundle  $\Omega_S^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)$ . It is constructed using theta functions as follows. There is a canonical theta divisor on  $J^{g-1}(X)$ , where  $g = \text{genus}(X)$ . Using this divisor it can be shown that there

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H10, 14H42, 14K25, 53B10.

*Key words and phrases.* Theta function, canonical bidifferential, projective structure, Fay's Trisecant Formula.

is a canonical line bundle  $2\Theta$  on the Jacobian  $J^0(X)$ . The vector space  $H^0(J^0(X), 2\Theta)$  has a natural conformal class of Hermitian structures. Since  $2\Theta$  is base point-free,  $H^0(J^0(X), 2\Theta)$  contains a unique line  $\mathbb{S}$  orthogonal to all sections vanishing at the origin  $\mathcal{O}_X \in J^0(X)$ . This is the content of Section 2.

Next let  $\phi : S = X \times X \rightarrow J^0(X)$  be the difference map  $\phi(x, y) := \mathcal{O}_X(x - y)$ . The pullback of  $2\Theta$  via  $\phi$  is shown to be isomorphic to  $\Omega_S^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)$ . This is proved in Proposition 3.1 using the formalism of determinant bundles. (Another proof is described later in the paper.) The line  $\phi^*\mathbb{S}$ , where  $\mathbb{S}$  is described above, contains a unique bidifferential with biresidue 1 along the diagonal. This bidifferential is denoted  $\sigma_X$ . Just like  $\eta_X$ , the section  $\sigma_X$  does not require making any choice. It is an intrinsic object. We close Section 3 recalling several results from a paper [GG] by van Geemen and van der Geer which describes both the kernel and the image of the map

$$\phi^* : H^0(J^0(X), 2\Theta) \longrightarrow H^0(S, \Omega_S^2(2\Delta)). \quad (1.1)$$

Starting from Section 4 the classical formalism of theta functions, as in e.g. [Fay, Gu3], is used. We first study some special sections  $s_\zeta$  of  $H^0(J^0(X), 2\Theta)$  and their pullback  $\phi^*s_\zeta$ . We are able to compute the divisor of  $\phi^*s_\zeta$ . In particular, this yields another proof of Proposition 3.1 which says that  $\phi^*(2\Theta)$  is isomorphic to  $\Omega_S^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)$  (see Proposition 4.7).

The next step is to compute  $\phi^*$  for any section of  $2\Theta$ . The main ingredient is Fay's Trisecant Identity. We differentiate twice this identity. After some nontrivial computations we arrive at a very explicit formula for the pullback map (1.1) (see Proposition 5.4). This result is crucial for all the rest of the paper. It is inspired by a result in [Gu3]. This is the content of Section 5.

The final Section 6 compares the above mentioned sections  $\sigma_X$  and  $\eta_X$ . We start by recalling briefly the definition of  $\eta_X$  given in [CFG]. This bidifferential had in fact been studied earlier at least by Gunning [Gu2]. In fact the characterization of  $\eta_X$  given by Gunning is very useful for the problem at hand. After some work we deduce from it a system of equations (real analytic in the period matrix) which describe the locus where the sections  $\eta_X$  and  $\sigma_X$  coincide. It is shown that these two sections are different in general (Theorem 6.8). This is proved by considering the special case of  $g = 1$ .

The section  $\sigma_X$  produces a projective structure on  $X$ . We observe that this projective structure is in general different from the one produced by  $\eta_X$ . We consider the special case of  $g = 1$  and show that the two projective structures are different for a generic point  $[X] \in M_{1,1}$  (see Corollary 6.12). Corollary 6.12 is proved using Theorem 6.8.

**Acknowledgements:** The second author would like to thank Elisabetta Colombo, Paola Frediani and Gian Pietro Pirola for interesting discussions related to the subject of this paper. In particular he would like to thank Elisabetta Colombo for the crucial suggestion that  $\eta_X \neq \sigma_X$ . The second and third authors would like to thank Emanuele Dolera for help with special values of the Jacobi theta function. The first author is partially supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship (JBR/2023/000003). The second and third authors were partially supported by INdAM-GNSAGA, by MIUR PRIN 2022: 20228JRCYB, "Moduli spaces and special

varieties” and by FAR 2016 (Pavia) “Varietà algebriche, calcolo algebrico, grafi orientati e topologici”.

## 2. A LINE OF SECTIONS

Let  $X$  be a compact connected Riemann surface. The holomorphic cotangent bundle of  $X$  will be denoted by  $K_X$ . For any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $J^d(X)$  denote the component of the Picard group of  $X$  that parametrizes the isomorphism classes of holomorphic line bundles on  $X$  of degree  $d$ . Let  $g$  be the genus of  $X$ . Then  $J^{g-1}(X)$  has a natural reduced effective divisor

$$\Theta := \{L \in J^{g-1}(X) \mid H^0(X, L) \neq 0\} \subset J^{g-1}(X), \quad (2.1)$$

which is known as the *theta divisor*. For any  $L \in J^{g-1}(X)$  the Riemann–Roch theorem says that  $\dim H^0(X, L) - \dim H^1(X, L) = 0$ , and hence any  $L \in J^{g-1}(X)$  lies in  $\Theta$  if and only if  $H^1(X, L) \neq 0$ .

Take a theta characteristic  $F$  on  $X$ . So  $F$  is a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$  of degree  $g - 1$  such that  $F \otimes F = K_X$ . Consider the holomorphic isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_F : J^0(X) \longrightarrow J^{g-1}(X), \quad L \longmapsto L \otimes F. \quad (2.2)$$

Let

$$\Theta_F := \mathcal{T}_F^{-1}(\Theta) \subset J^0(X) \quad (2.3)$$

be the inverse image of the divisor  $\Theta$  defined in (2.1). Define the involution

$$\iota : J^0(X) \longrightarrow J^0(X), \quad L \longmapsto L^*. \quad (2.4)$$

While the divisor  $\Theta_F$  constructed in (2.3) depends on the choice of the theta characteristic  $F$ , the following lemma shows that the linear equivalence class of  $2\Theta_F$  is actually independent of the choice of  $F$ .

### Lemma 2.1.

- (1) *The divisor  $\Theta_F$  in (2.3) satisfies the equality*

$$\iota(\Theta_F) = \Theta_F,$$

*where  $\iota$  is the involution in (2.4).*

- (2) *The isomorphism class of the holomorphic line bundle on  $J^0(X)$*

$$\mathcal{O}_{J^0(X)}(\Theta_F + \iota(\Theta_F)) = \mathcal{O}_{J^0(X)}(2\Theta_F)$$

*is independent of the choice of the theta characteristic  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Take any  $L \in J^0(X)$ . By Serre duality,

$$H^0(X, L \otimes F) = H^1(X, L^* \otimes F^* \otimes K_X)^* = H^1(X, L^* \otimes F)^*,$$

because  $F^{\otimes 2} = K_X$ . Therefore, we have  $H^0(X, L \otimes F) = 0$  if and only if

$$H^1(X, L^* \otimes F) = 0.$$

As noted above,  $H^1(X, L^* \otimes F) = 0$  if and only if  $L^* \otimes F \in \Theta$ . Consequently,  $L \in \Theta_F$  if and only if  $L^* \in \Theta_F$ . In other words, we have  $\iota(\Theta_F) = \Theta_F$ . This proves the first statement.

To prove the second statement, take another theta characteristic  $F'$  on  $X$ . So

$$\xi := F' \otimes F^*$$

is a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$  of order two. For any  $\eta \in J^0(X)$ , let

$$\mathcal{T}_\eta : J^0(X) \longrightarrow J^0(X), \quad L \longmapsto L \otimes \eta \quad (2.5)$$

be the automorphism of  $J^0(X)$ . Note that we have  $\mathcal{T}_\xi \circ \mathcal{T}_\xi = \text{Id}_{J^0(X)}$  because  $\xi^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Then

$$\mathcal{T}_{F'} = \mathcal{T}_F \circ \mathcal{T}_\xi = \mathcal{T}_\xi \circ \mathcal{T}_F, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{F'}$  is constructed as in (2.2) by substituting  $F'$  in place of  $F$ . Using  $\mathcal{T}_{F'}$  define

$$\Theta_{F'} := \mathcal{T}_{F'}^{-1}(\Theta) \subset J^0(X)$$

as done in (2.3). Now from (2.6) it follows immediately that

$$\Theta_{F'} = \mathcal{T}_\xi^{-1}(\Theta_F) = \mathcal{T}_\xi(\Theta_F) \quad (2.7)$$

(recall that  $\mathcal{T}_\xi$  is an involution).

Let  $J^0(X)^\vee$  denote the dual abelian variety for  $J^0(X)$ . For any line bundle  $\mathbb{L}$  on  $J^0(X)$ , consider the homomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathbb{L}} : J^0(X) \longrightarrow J^0(X)^\vee, \quad L \longmapsto \mathbb{L}^* \otimes \mathcal{T}_L^* \mathbb{L}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_L$  is the morphism in (2.5) (see [Mu, pp. 59–60, Corollary 4]). Since  $\phi_{\mathbb{L}} + \phi_{\mathbb{L}'} = \phi_{\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{L}'}$  [Mu, p. 60, (a)], it follows that the kernel of the homomorphism  $\phi_{2\Theta_F} = \sigma_2 \circ \phi_{\Theta_F}$ , where  $\sigma_2 : J^0(X) \longrightarrow J^0(X)$  is the homomorphism defined by  $L \longmapsto L^{\otimes 2}$ , and  $\phi_{2\Theta_F}, \phi_{\Theta_F}$  are morphisms as in (2.8), contains all order two points of  $J^0(X)$ . From (2.7) we have

$$2\Theta_{F'} = 2\mathcal{T}_\xi(\Theta_F) = \mathcal{T}_\xi(2\Theta_F). \quad (2.9)$$

This implies that  $2\Theta_{F'}$  is linearly equivalent to the translation of  $2\Theta_F$  by  $\xi$ . Since the kernel of the homomorphism  $\phi_{2\Theta_F} = \sigma_2 \circ \phi_{\Theta_F}$  contains all order two points of  $J^0(X)$ , the kernel contains  $\xi$ . As the kernel of  $\phi_{2\Theta_F}$  contains  $\xi$ , the divisor  $\mathcal{T}_\xi(2\Theta_F)$  is linearly equivalent to  $2\Theta_F$ . On the other hand, we have  $\mathcal{T}_\xi(2\Theta_F) = 2\Theta_{F'}$  (see (2.9)). Combining these two it follows that  $2\Theta_{F'}$  is linearly equivalent to  $2\Theta_F$ . Therefore, the first statement of the lemma completes the proof of the second statement.  $\square$

The holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{J^0(X)}(\Theta_F + \iota(\Theta_F)) = \mathcal{O}_{J^0(X)}(2\Theta_F)$  on  $J^0(X)$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{L}$ .

The complex vector space

$$\mathbb{V} = H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L}) \quad (2.10)$$

is of dimension  $2^g$ , because  $c_1(\Theta_F)$  is a principal polarization. It has a Hermitian structure which is unique up to multiplication by a constant scalar; in other words,  $\mathbb{V}$  has a canonical conformal class of Hermitian structures. We will briefly recall a construction of this conformal class of Hermitian structures.

The cup product  $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$  on  $X$  produces a Kähler form

$$\omega_0 \in \Omega^{1,1}(J^0(X)) \quad (2.11)$$

on  $J^0(X)$ . We have  $c_1(\mathbf{L}) = 2[\omega_0]$ . Fix a Hermitian structure  $h$  on  $\mathbf{L}$  such that the curvature of the Chern connection on

$$(\mathbf{L}, h) \tag{2.12}$$

coincides with  $2\omega_0$ . Any two such Hermitian structures on  $\mathbf{L}$  differ by multiplication by a constant scalar. Then we have the  $L^2$  metric on the vector space  $\mathbb{V}$  (in (2.10)) defined by

$$\langle s, t \rangle = \int_{J^0(X)} \langle s, t \rangle_h \cdot \omega_0^g \tag{2.13}$$

for  $s, t \in \mathbb{V}$ . Since any two choices of  $h$  differ by multiplication by a constant scalar, the conformal class of the  $L^2$  metric on  $\mathbb{V}$  in (2.13) does not depend on the choice of  $h$ .

Next we note that the linear system  $|\mathbf{L}|$  is base-point free, meaning for every point  $z \in J^0(X)$ , there is a section  $s_z \in \mathbb{V}$  such that  $s_z(z) \neq 0$  [Mu, p. 60, Application 1(iii)]. Let

$$V_0 \subset \mathbb{V} \tag{2.14}$$

be the subspace of codimension one defined by all sections that vanish at the identity element  $0 = [\mathcal{O}_X] \in J^0(X)$ . We have its orthogonal complement

$$\mathbb{S} := V_0^\perp \subset \mathbb{V}. \tag{2.15}$$

Note that  $\mathbb{S}$  is identified with the fiber  $\mathbf{L}_0$ , of  $\mathbf{L}$  over  $0$ , by the evaluation map that sends any  $s \in \mathbb{S} \subset H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L})$  to its evaluation  $s(0)$  at  $0$ .

**Remark 2.2.** The construction of  $\mathbb{S}$  can be extended to any principally polarized abelian variety  $A$ . In fact on  $A$  there is always a symmetric theta divisor  $\Theta$  and the linear system  $\mathbb{V} := |2\Theta|$  does not depend on the choice of  $\Theta$ . (See e.g. [Be, 3.8].) The Hermitian structure on  $\mathbb{V}$  and the line  $\mathbb{S}$  can be constructed in the same way as above.

### 3. THE SECTION $\sigma_X$

As done in the introduction, set

$$S := X \times X.$$

For  $i = 1, 2$ , let

$$p_i : X \times X \longrightarrow X \tag{3.1}$$

be the natural projection to the  $i$ -th factor. Let

$$\Delta := \{(x, x) \in S \mid x \in X\} \subset S,$$

be the reduced diagonal divisor.

Let

$$\phi : X \times X \longrightarrow J^0(X) \tag{3.2}$$

be the holomorphic map defined by  $(x, y) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(x - y)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The pullback  $\phi^*\mathbf{L}$  of the line bundle in (2.10) by  $\phi$  in (3.2) satisfies the following:*

$$\phi^*\mathbf{L} = (p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta).$$

*Proof.* For a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$ , let

$$d(L) := \bigwedge^{\text{top}} H^0(X, L) \otimes \bigwedge^{\text{top}} H^1(X, L)^*$$

be the line, which is known as the determinant of cohomology of  $L$ . Consider the holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{J^{g-1}(X)}(\Theta) \rightarrow J^{g-1}(X)$ , where  $\Theta$  is the divisor in (2.1). The fiber of  $\mathcal{O}_{J^{g-1}(X)}(\Theta)$  over any line bundle  $\zeta \in J^{g-1}(X)$  is canonically identified with the line

$$\theta_\zeta := d(\zeta)^*.$$

Note that since  $\chi(\zeta) = 0$ , the automorphisms of  $\zeta$  given by the nonzero scalar multiplications actually act trivially on the line  $\theta_\zeta$ . Fix a theta characteristic  $\tau$  on  $X$ . From the above description of the fibers of  $\mathcal{O}_{J^{g-1}(X)}(\Theta)$  it follows that the fiber of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{J^0(X)}(\Theta_\tau)$  (see (2.3)) over any  $L \in J^0(X)$  is the line

$$\theta_{L \otimes \tau} = d(L \otimes \tau)^*.$$

Take any point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . From the above description of the fibers of  $\mathcal{O}_{J^0(X)}(\Theta_\tau)$  we conclude that the fiber of the line bundle  $\phi^*\mathbf{L}$  (see (2.10) and (3.2)) over  $(x, y)$  is the line

$$d(\mathcal{O}_X(x-y) \otimes \tau)^* \otimes d(\mathcal{O}_X(y-x) \otimes \tau)^*. \quad (3.3)$$

To compute  $d(\mathcal{O}_X(x-y) \otimes \tau)$ , first consider the short exact sequence of sheaves on  $X$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(x-y) \otimes \tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau)_y \rightarrow 0,$$

where the sheaf  $(\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau)_y$  is supported on  $y$ . From this short exact sequence we conclude that

$$d(\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau) = d(\mathcal{O}_X(x-y) \otimes \tau) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau)_y. \quad (3.4)$$

Next, using the short exact sequence of sheaves on  $X$

$$0 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau)_x \rightarrow 0,$$

where the sheaf  $(\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau)_x$  is supported on  $x$ , we have

$$d(\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau) = d(\tau) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau)_x = \tau_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(x)_x. \quad (3.5)$$

Using the Poincaré adjunction formula (see [GH, p. 146]),

$$\mathcal{O}_X(x)_x = T_x X. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence combining (3.5) and (3.6),

$$d(\mathcal{O}_X(x) \otimes \tau) = d(\tau) \otimes \tau_x \otimes T_x X = d(\tau) \otimes \tau_x^*,$$

because  $\tau^{\otimes 2} = (TX)^*$  (recall that  $\tau$  is a theta characteristic). Combining this with (3.4) it follows that

$$d(\mathcal{O}_X(x-y) \otimes \tau) = d(\tau) \otimes \tau_x^* \otimes \tau_y^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-x)_y. \quad (3.7)$$

Interchanging  $x$  and  $y$ , from (3.7) we have

$$d(\mathcal{O}_X(y-x) \otimes \tau) = d(\tau) \otimes \tau_y^* \otimes \tau_x^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-y)_x. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that both  $\mathcal{O}_X(-x)_y$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X(-y)_x$  are identified with  $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(-\Delta)_{(x,y)}$ . Therefore, substituting (3.7) and (3.8) in (3.3), we have

$$d(\mathcal{O}_X(x-y) \otimes \tau)^* \otimes d(\mathcal{O}_X(y-x) \otimes \tau)^* = ((p_1^* K_X) \otimes (p_2^* K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(2\Delta))_{(x,y)}.$$

Here we use that  $d(\tau)$  is a line independent of  $(x, y)$ .

Since  $d(\mathcal{O}_X(x-y) \otimes \tau)^* \otimes d(\mathcal{O}_X(y-x) \otimes \tau)^*$  is the fiber of  $\phi^*\mathbf{L}$  over  $(x, y)$ , the proposition follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** The Poincaré adjunction formula says that the restriction of  $\mathcal{O}_S(\Delta)$  to the divisor  $\Delta \subset S$  coincides with the normal bundle of  $\Delta$ , which, in turn, is identified with  $TX$  after we identify  $X$  with  $\Delta$  via the map  $x \mapsto (x, x)$ . Consequently, the restriction of the line bundle  $(p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)$  to  $\Delta$  coincides with the trivial line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$  on  $\Delta$ .

The map  $\phi$  in (3.2) satisfies the condition  $\phi(\Delta) = 0 \in J^0(X)$ , and hence for any nonzero section  $s \in \mathbb{S}$  (see (2.15)), the evaluation  $\phi^*s(x, x) \in (\phi^*\mathbf{L})_{(x,x)}$  is nonzero for every  $x \in X$ . Consequently, using Proposition 3.1 it is deduced that

$$\phi^*\mathbb{S} \subset H^0(X \times X, (p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)) \quad (3.9)$$

is a 1-dimensional subspace (in particular, it is not zero dimensional), and furthermore, for any nonzero

$$v \in \phi^*\mathbb{S} \subset H^0(X \times X, (p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta))$$

the evaluation  $v(x, x) \in ((p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta))_{(x,x)}$  is nonzero for every  $x \in X$ .

Note that the subspace  $\phi^*\mathbb{S}$  in (3.9) does not depend on the choice of the isomorphism (in Proposition 3.1) between  $(p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)$  and  $\phi^*\mathbf{L}$ .

Now in view of Remark 3.2 we have the following:

**Proposition 3.3.** *There is a unique section*

$$\sigma_X \in \phi^*\mathbb{S} \subset H^0(S, (p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta))$$

such that the restriction of  $\sigma_X$  to  $\Delta$  coincides with the constant function 1 on  $\Delta$  using the identification between  $((p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta))|_\Delta$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\Delta$ .

Let

$$f_X : X \times X \longrightarrow X \times X, \quad (x, y) \longmapsto (y, x) \quad (3.10)$$

be the involution of  $X \times X$ . We note that

$$f_X^*((p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)) = (p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta).$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The section  $\sigma_X$  in Proposition 3.3 satisfies the equation*

$$f_X^*\sigma_X = \sigma_X,$$

where  $f_X$  is the map in (3.10).

*Proof.* Since the map  $\iota$  in (2.4) preserves the divisor  $\Theta_\tau + \iota(\Theta_\tau)$  (see Lemma 2.1(1)), the involution  $\iota$  lifts to a holomorphic involution of the line bundle  $\mathbf{L} := \mathcal{O}_{J^0(X)}(\Theta_\tau + \iota(\Theta_\tau))$  on  $J^0(X)$ ; this involution of  $\mathbf{L}$  over the involution  $\iota$  will be denoted by  $\iota'$ . This involution  $\iota'$  of  $\mathbf{L}$  produces an involution

$$\iota'' : \mathbb{V} := H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$$

defined by  $s \mapsto \iota'(s)$ .

The Kähler form  $\omega_0$  in (2.11) is preserved by  $\iota$ , and hence the hermitian structure  $h$  on  $\mathbf{L}$  in (2.12) is preserved by  $\iota'$  up to a constant scalar. Consequently, the conformal class of the  $L^2$  Hermitian structure on  $\mathbb{V}$  (see (2.13)) is preserved by the involution  $\iota''$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ . On the other hand,  $\iota(0) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\iota''$  preserves the line  $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{V}$  in (2.15). In view of this, and the fact that

$$\phi \circ f_X = \iota \circ \phi, \quad (3.11)$$

where  $\phi$  is the map in (3.2), the lemma follows from Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** In the above proof we used a simple geometric argument. But using the theory of theta functions one can prove that any section  $s \in \mathbb{V}$  does in fact satisfy  $\iota''(s) = s$ . So  $\iota''$  is the identity map on  $\mathbb{V}$ . See e.g. [BL, p. 92, Corollary 4.6.6]. This fact will be used later on.

Consider  $V_0$  in (2.14). Set

$$\mathbb{V}_{00} := \{s \in V_0 \mid m_0(s) \geq 4\},$$

where  $m_0$  is the multiplicity at the origin of  $J^0(X)$ . Let

$$\phi^* : \mathbb{V} := H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L}) \longrightarrow H^0(S, \phi^*\mathbf{L}) \cong H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta)) \quad (3.12)$$

be the pullback homomorphism for the map  $\phi$  in (3.2) (see Proposition 3.1 for the above isomorphism). Then

$$\mathbb{V}_{00} = \ker \phi^*. \quad (3.13)$$

where  $\phi^*$  is constructed in (3.12); this is [GG, p. 625, Remark]. We have

$$\dim \mathbb{V}_{00} = 2^g - \frac{g(g+1)}{2} - 1. \quad (3.14)$$

(see [GG, Proposition 1.1]). Since  $\dim \mathbb{V} = 2^g$ , from (2.14) it follows that  $\dim V_0 = 2^g - 1$ . So from (3.13) and (3.14),

$$\dim \text{image}(\phi^*) = \frac{g(g+1)}{2} + 1. \quad (3.15)$$

Set

$$S = X \times X.$$

The line bundles  $K_S$  and  $K_S(2\Delta)$  are both equivariant under  $f_X$  in (3.10). Set

$$H^0(S, K_S)^+ := \{\alpha \in H^0(S, K_S) \mid f_X^*\alpha = \alpha\} \cong \bigwedge^2 H^0(X, K_X), \quad (3.16)$$

$$H^0(S, K_S)^- := \{\alpha \in H^0(S, K_S) \mid f_X^*\alpha = -\alpha\} \cong S^2 H^0(X, K_X), \quad (3.17)$$

$$H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))^+ := \{\alpha \in H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta)) \mid f_X^*\alpha = \alpha\}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))^- := \{\alpha \in H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta)) \mid f_X^*\alpha = -\alpha\}. \quad (3.19)$$

(See [Gh, § 2] for more details.)



**Remark 3.6.** Proposition 3.1 says that  $\phi^*\mathbf{L} \cong p_1^*K_X \otimes p_2^*K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)$ . This line bundle  $p_1^*K_X \otimes p_2^*K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)$  is isomorphic to  $K_S(2\Delta)$ . Denote by  $\lambda$  the natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : H^0(S, p_1^*K_X \otimes p_2^*K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)) &\longrightarrow H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta)) \\ (p_1^*\psi_1) \otimes (p_2^*\psi_2) &\longmapsto (p_1^*\psi_1) \wedge (p_2^*\psi_2). \end{aligned}$$

With a minor abuse of notation, let

$$\begin{aligned} f_X^* : H^0(S, p_1^*K_X \otimes p_2^*K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)) &\longrightarrow H^0(S, p_1^*K_X \otimes p_2^*K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2\Delta)), \\ f_X^* : H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta)) &\longrightarrow H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta)) \end{aligned}$$

denote the involutions induced by the map  $f_X$  in (3.10). Then we have

$$f_X^* \circ \lambda = -\lambda \circ f_X^*. \quad (3.20)$$

**Lemma 3.7.**

(1) *The image of the map*

$$\phi^* : H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L}) \longrightarrow H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$$

(see (3.12)) *coincides with  $H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))^-$  in (3.19).*

(2) *The subspace  $V_0 \subset H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L}) \subset \mathbb{V}$  in (2.14) maps surjectively to  $H^0(S, K_S)^-$  in (3.17).*

*Proof.* As mentioned in Remark 3.5 every section of  $\mathbf{L}$  is invariant with respect to the involution  $\iota''$  in (3). It follows from (3.11) that for any section  $u$  of  $\mathbf{L}$ , the section  $\phi^*u$  of  $K_S(2\Delta)$  is  $f_X^*$ -invariant. In view of (3.20), this implies that the section of  $K_S(2\Delta)$  corresponding to  $\phi^*u$  is  $f_X^*$ -anti-invariant. This proves that the image of  $\phi^*$  is contained in  $H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))^-$ .

Next recall that  $h^0(S, K_S(2\Delta)) = g^2 + 1$  [BR1, Lemma 2.11] and that the section  $\omega_\alpha$  of [BR1, Corollary 2.7] is invariant with respect to  $f_X$ . (The same holds for the form  $\eta$  that is recalled in Section 6.) Hence

$$h^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))^- = \frac{g(g+1)}{2} + 1.$$

Since this coincides with the dimension of the image of  $\phi^*$  (see (3.15)), the first statement of the lemma follows.

From the fact that all the sections of  $\mathbf{L}$  are invariant by the involution  $\iota$  in (2.4), it follows also that

$$V_0 = \{s \in H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L}) \mid m_0(s) \geq 2\},$$

where  $m_0$ , as before, is the multiplicity at the origin of  $J^0(X)$ . Since each section of  $V_0$  vanishes on 0 to order 2, its pullback to  $S$  has no pole along  $\Delta$ . In other words, we have

$$\phi^*(V_0) \subset H^0(S, K_S)^-. \quad (3.21)$$

Since the subspaces  $V_0 \subset H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L})$  and  $H^0(K_S)^- \subset H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))^-$  both have codimension 1, we conclude from (1) that equality holds in (3.21).  $\square$

4. PULLBACK OF SPECIAL SECTIONS OF  $\mathbf{L}$ 

The isomorphism of line bundles in Proposition 3.1 is determined uniquely up to multiplication by a nonzero constant scalar. In this Section we introduce a particular class of sections of  $\mathbf{L}$ ; see (4.5) below. We compute the pullback of these sections by the map  $\phi$  using the formalism of theta functions.

We start by recalling some notation. Fix a marking on  $X$ , i.e., a symplectic basis  $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^g$  of  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^g$  be holomorphic 1-forms on  $X$  such that

$$\int_{a_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij}. \quad (4.1)$$

The period matrix  $\tau$  is

$$\tau_{ij} := \int_{b_j} \omega_i. \quad (4.2)$$

We have identifications  $H^0(X, K_X)^* \cong \mathbb{C}^g$  and  $J^0(X) \cong \mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau\mathbb{Z}^g)$ . Fix a universal cover

$$\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X.$$

Denote by  $\tilde{\phi}$  the lift to the universal covers of the difference map  $\phi$  in (3.2):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} & \mathbb{C}^g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \times X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & J^0(X) \end{array} \quad \tilde{\phi}(x, y) = \left( \int_y^x \omega_1, \dots, \int_y^x \omega_g \right).$$

Evidently, we have

$$\tilde{\phi}(x, x) = 0, \quad \tilde{\phi}(x, y) = -\tilde{\phi}(y, x). \quad (4.3)$$

Note that given two points  $x, y \in X$ , there is always a contractible open subset  $U \subset X$  containing them. By the uniformization theorem  $U$  is biholomorphic to the disk, so there is a holomorphic chart  $z : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Also, there is a section  $s : U \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  of  $\pi$  which allows us to identify  $U$  with  $s(U)$ . Then

$$(z_1 := z \circ p_1, z_2 := z \circ p_2),$$

where  $p_i$  is the projection in (3.1), is a chart on  $s(U) \times s(U)$ . Often it is convenient to transfer a computation from  $U \times U$  to  $s(U) \times s(U)$ . By the above observation these subsets  $U \times U$  cover  $X \times X$ .

Recall the notation for theta functions:

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i, \quad \theta(z) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \langle m, \tau m + 2z \rangle}$$

(see (4.2)). Any  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^g$  can be expressed uniquely as  $\zeta = \tau a + b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^g$ . Then one defines

$$\theta[\zeta](z) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \langle m+a, \tau(m+a)+2(z+b) \rangle} = e^{\pi \sqrt{-1} \langle a, \tau a + 2(z+b) \rangle} \cdot \theta(z + \zeta). \quad (4.4)$$

So  $\theta[\zeta](z) = 0$  if and only if  $\theta(z + \zeta) = 0$ . Also, we have  $\theta(z + \zeta) = 0$  if and only if  $\zeta + z \in \Theta$ . As usual we identify theta functions on  $\mathbb{C}^g$  with sections of line bundles over  $J^0(X)$ . So  $\theta$  is identified with a section of a symmetric line bundle, whose square is  $\mathbf{L}$ , while  $\theta[\zeta]$  is identified with a section of a translation of this line bundle.

For  $\zeta \in J^0(X)$ , define

$$s_\zeta(z) := \theta(z - \zeta)\theta(z + \zeta). \quad (4.5)$$

By the Theorem of the Square,  $s \in H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L})$ . It is known that  $\{s_\zeta\}_{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^g}$  is a set of generators of  $H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L})$  [Fay, Gu3]. The section  $s_\zeta$  are the special sections in the title.

The following property of  $\theta$  will be very useful here.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\zeta \in \Theta$ . Write as usual  $\zeta = \tau a + b$ , and define*

$$c(\zeta) := -e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle a, \tau a + 2b \rangle}.$$

*Then the following holds:*

$$2 \frac{\partial \theta[\zeta]}{\partial z_i}(0) \frac{\partial \theta[\zeta]}{\partial z_j}(0) = c(\zeta) \frac{\partial^2 s_\zeta}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}(0).$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $u_i$  the  $i$ -th partial derivative of a function  $u$ . Plugging the value  $-z$  in (4.4) and using that  $\theta$  is even gives

$$\theta[\zeta](-z) = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle a, \tau a + 2(b-z) \rangle} \theta(z - \zeta).$$

Multiplying this equation by (4.4) yields

$$\theta[\zeta](z)\theta[\zeta](-z) = -c(\zeta)s_\zeta(z). \quad (4.6)$$

The result follows by evaluating at  $z = 0$  the double derivatives of both sides. Indeed,

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 \theta[\zeta](z)\theta[\zeta](-z)}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} \right|_{z=0} = 2\theta[\zeta]_{ij}(0)\theta[\zeta](0) - 2\theta[\zeta]_i(0)\theta[\zeta]_j(0) = -2\theta[\zeta]_i(0)\theta[\zeta]_j(0)$$

because  $\zeta \in \Theta$ , while the second derivative of the right hand side of (4.6) evaluated at 0 is clearly  $-c(\zeta)(s_\zeta)_{ij}(0)$ .  $\square$

Our goal now is to compute the pullback of the special sections  $s_\zeta$ , for  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ . Fix a point  $x_0 \in X$ , and let

$$A : X \longrightarrow J^0(X)$$

be the Abel-Jacobi map with  $x_0$  as the base point. Hence  $\phi(x, y) = A(x) - A(y)$ .

**Definition 4.2.** For  $\zeta \in \Theta$  and  $y \in X$ , define

$$F_{y,\zeta}(x) = \theta(A(x) - A(y) - \zeta) = \theta(\phi(x, y) - \zeta),$$

which is a section of a line bundle on  $X$ .

**Lemma 4.3.**

- (a) *There is  $y_0 \in X$  such that  $F_{y_0,\zeta} \not\equiv 0$  if and only if  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$  (the regular locus of the divisor).*

- (b) If  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ , then there is an effective divisor  $D_\zeta$  of degree  $g - 1$ , with  $\dim |D_\zeta| = 0$ , such that

$$\text{div } F_{y,\zeta} = y + D_\zeta$$

for any  $y \in X$  for which  $F_{y,\zeta} \not\equiv 0$ .

- (c) There is  $\kappa \in J^0(X)$  satisfying  $2\kappa = -A(K_X)$  such that  $A(D_\zeta) = \zeta - \kappa$ .

See [Na, p. 112, Theorem 1] for (a) and [Na, p. 111, Lemma 2] for (b). Statement (c) follows immediately from [Na, p. 97, Theorem 1] applied to  $A(y) + \zeta$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** For any  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ ,  $D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta}$  is a canonical divisor of  $X$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} A(D_\zeta) &= \zeta - \kappa, \\ A(D_{-\zeta}) &= -\zeta - \kappa, \\ A(D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta}) &= -2\kappa = A(K_X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta}$  is linearly equivalent to a canonical divisor, and hence it is a canonical divisor.  $\square$

**Definition 4.5.** For  $\zeta \in \Theta$ , set

$$\omega_\zeta := \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\partial \theta[\zeta]}{\partial z_i}(0) \cdot \omega_i \in H^0(X, K_X). \quad (4.7)$$

**Lemma 4.6.** If  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ , then  $\text{div } \omega_\zeta = D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta}$ .

*Proof.* First it will be shown that  $D_\zeta \subset \text{div } \omega_\zeta$ . Take any  $y_0 \in D_\zeta$ . For a generic  $y \in X$ , we have  $F_{y,\zeta} \not\equiv 0$  and  $F_{y,\zeta}(y_0) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\theta(A(y_0) - A(y) - \zeta) = 0.$$

As  $\theta$  is an even function, it follows that  $\theta(A(y) - A(y_0) + \zeta) = 0$ , and hence  $\theta[\zeta](A(y) - A(y_0)) = 0$  for all  $y \in X$ . Differentiating with respect to  $y$  we get

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=y_0} \theta[\zeta](A(y) - A(y_0)) = \sum_i \frac{\partial \theta[\zeta]}{\partial z_i}(0) \cdot \omega_i(y_0).$$

This implies that  $y_0 \in \text{div } \omega_\zeta$ , and thus we have  $D_\zeta \subset \text{div } \omega_\zeta$ . So

$$D_\zeta + E = \text{div } \omega_\zeta =: K'$$

where  $K'$  is evidently a canonical divisor. But  $K'' := D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta}$  is also a canonical divisor. Consequently,  $K' \sim K''$ , and therefore

$$E \sim D_{-\zeta}.$$

But by Lemma 4.3 (b)  $\dim |D_{-\zeta}| = 0$ . Hence  $E = D_{-\zeta}$  and

$$\text{div } \omega_\zeta = D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.**

- (a) For any  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ ,  $s_\zeta(0) = 0$ .
- (b) For any  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ , the sections  $\phi^*(s_\zeta)$  and  $(p_1^*\omega_\zeta) \wedge (p_2^*\omega_\zeta)$  have the same divisor ( $s_\zeta$  and  $\omega_\zeta$  are defined in (4.5) and (4.7) respectively, and  $p_i$  is the projection in (3.1)).

*Proof.* Fix  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ . It follows from (4.5) that  $s_\zeta(0) = \theta(\zeta)\theta(-\zeta) = \theta(\zeta)^2$ . This is zero because  $\zeta \in \Theta$ . So (a) is proved. Set

$$B_\pm := \{y \in X \mid F_{y,\pm\zeta} \neq 0\}.$$

$B_+$  and  $B_-$  are Zariski open subsets of  $X$ . Set

$$B := B_- \cap B_+.$$

Since  $\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ , and  $\Theta$  is symmetric, we have  $-\zeta \in \Theta_{\text{reg}}$ . It follows from Lemma 4.3 that  $B_-$ ,  $B_+$  and  $B$  are nonempty. Since  $\phi(x, y) = A(x) - A(y)$ , using (4.5) we get that

$$s_\zeta(\phi(x, y)) = \theta(A(x) - A(y) - \zeta) \cdot \theta(A(x) - A(y) + \zeta) = F_{y,\zeta}(x) \cdot F_{y,-\zeta}(x) \quad (4.8)$$

By Lemma 4.3 for  $y \in B$ , we have

$$\text{div } F_{y,\zeta} = y + D_\zeta, \quad \text{div } F_{y,-\zeta} = y + D_{-\zeta}.$$

This and (4.8) together yield

$$\text{div}(\phi^*s_\zeta)|_{X \times \{y\}} = 2y + D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta}.$$

Using Lemma 4.6 we conclude that for any  $y \in B$ ,

$$\text{div}(\phi^*s_\zeta)|_{X \times \{y\}} = 2y + \text{div } \omega_\zeta.$$

Hence

$$\text{div}(\phi^*s_\zeta)|_{X \times B} = 2\Delta + p_1^* \text{div } \omega_\zeta.$$

Since  $\theta$  is even,

$$s_\zeta(\phi(x, y)) = s_\zeta(\phi(y, x)).$$

So for  $x \in B$ ,

$$\text{div}(\phi^*s_\zeta)|_{\{x\} \times X} = \text{div}(\phi^*s_\zeta)|_{X \times \{x\}} = 2x + D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta} = 2x + \text{div } \omega_\zeta.$$

Thus

$$\text{div}(\phi^*s_\zeta)|_{B \times X} = 2\Delta + p_2^* \text{div } \omega_\zeta.$$

Hence on  $U := (X \times B) \cup (B \times X) = S - (X - B) \times (X - B)$ , we have

$$\text{div}(\phi^*s_\zeta)|_U = 2\Delta + p_1^* \text{div } \omega_\zeta + p_2^* \text{div } \omega_\zeta = 2\Delta + \text{div}(p_1^*\omega_\zeta \wedge p_2^*\omega_\zeta).$$

(Note that this shows that the support of  $p_1^* \text{div } \omega_\zeta$  is contained in  $X - B$ . This can be checked explicitly; see Remark 4.8 below.) As  $X - B$  is a finite set, the complement of  $U$  in  $S$  is finite, and hence

$$\text{div}(\phi^*s_\zeta) = 2\Delta + \text{div}(p_1^*\omega_\zeta \wedge p_2^*\omega_\zeta). \quad (4.9)$$

□

The right-hand side in (4.9) is the divisor of  $p_1^*\omega_\zeta \wedge p_2^*\omega_\zeta$  viewed as a section of  $K_S(2\Delta)$ . Hence Proposition 4.7(b) gives an independent proof of Proposition 3.1.

**Remark 4.8.** From the proof of Proposition 4.7 one might be inclined to guess that  $B = X - D_\zeta \cup D_{-\zeta}$ . This is indeed true. In fact we claim that  $B_+ = X - D_{-\zeta}$  and  $B_- = X - D_\zeta$ . To prove this, observe that

$$F_{y,\zeta}(x) = \theta(A(x) - A(y) - \zeta) = \theta(A(y) - A(x) + \zeta) = F_{x,-\zeta}(y).$$

Now, if  $y \notin B_+$ , then  $F_{y,\zeta}(x) = 0$  for all  $x$ , so  $F_{x,-\zeta}(y) = 0$  for any  $x$ . So  $y$  belongs to the support of the divisor  $x + D_{-\zeta}$  for any  $x$ . Hence  $y \in D_{-\zeta}$ . Conversely, if  $y \in D_{-\zeta}$ , then  $y$  belongs to the support of  $x + D_{-\zeta}$  for any  $x$ , hence  $F_{x,-\zeta}(y) = 0$  meaning  $F_{y,\zeta} \equiv 0$ . This proves the claim. It follows immediately that  $B = X - \text{supp}(D_\zeta + D_{-\zeta})$ .

## 5. PULLBACK OF ARBITRARY SECTIONS OF $\mathbf{L}$

Our next step is to compute the pullback of an arbitrary section of  $\mathbf{L}$ . This is achieved using second order theta functions as developed in the unpublished book [Gu3]. In particular we use Fay's Trisecant Formula; see Theorem 5.2 below.

Recall that a characteristic  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^g$  is called *half-integer* if  $2\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^g + \tau\mathbb{Z}^g$ , while it is called *odd* (respectively, *even*) if  $\theta[\zeta]$  is an odd (respectively, even) function. It is straightforward to see that any even or odd characteristic is half-integer [Gu3, p. 20, A].

An odd characteristic  $\zeta$  is *regular* if not all partial derivatives of  $\theta[\zeta]$  vanish at the origin. Such characteristics exist on any smooth projective curve (see [Fay, p. 16, Remark]).

A direct computation using (4.4) shows that for any odd characteristic  $\zeta$  we have

$$\theta[\zeta]^2 = c(\zeta) \cdot s_\zeta, \quad c(\zeta) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle a, \tau a \rangle} \quad (5.1)$$

for  $\zeta = \tau a + b$ . Notice that since  $\theta[\zeta]$  is odd it follows immediately that  $\zeta \in \Theta$ .

Several classical constructions and results are concerned with odd regular characteristics. We will now recall two of them that are important to our purpose. If  $\alpha \in H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$  and  $c \in H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , then one can define the integrals

$$\int_{p \in c} \alpha(p, q) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{q \in c} \alpha(p, q).$$

These are holomorphic 1-forms on  $X$ . See [Gu2, p. 115] for details.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\{a_i, b_i\}$  be a symplectic basis of  $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $\omega_i$  be the normalized differentials as in (4.1). Then there is a unique  $\Omega \in H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))^-$  (see (3.19)) that satisfies the period conditions*

$$\int_{q \in a_k} \Omega(p, q) = 0, \quad \int_{q \in b_k} \Omega(p, q) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\omega_k(p).$$

$\Omega$  is called the canonical bidifferential or double differential of  $X$  with respect to the symplectic basis  $\{a_i, b_i\}$ . It has a double pole on the diagonal with biresidue 1. Let  $\zeta$  be an

odd regular characteristic and let  $z : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a holomorphic coordinate function on a contractible subset  $U \subset X$ . Set  $z_i = p_i^* z$ . Identify  $U$  with one of its liftings to  $\tilde{X}$ , and set

$$\beta : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \beta(z_1, z_2) := \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(s(z_1), s(z_2))).$$

Then

$$\Omega = \frac{\partial^2 \log \beta}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} dz_1 \wedge dz_2. \quad (5.2)$$

In particular, this derivative is independent of the section  $s$ .

*Proof.* See [Fay, p. 20, Corollary 2.6] and [Gu2, p. 125, Theorem 4.23]. In the former reference  $\Omega$  is denoted by  $\omega$  and in the latter by  $\hat{\mu}_M$ . To prove (5.2) one needs a different definition for  $\Omega$ . Let  $E$  denote the prime form of  $X$ . This is a section of  $\mathcal{O}_S(\Delta)$ . For each regular odd characteristic there is a line bundle  $L_\zeta$  on  $X$  with a section  $h_\zeta$ . Then

$$E \circ \phi(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\beta(z_1, z_2)}{h_\zeta(z_1) \cdot h_\zeta(z_2)};$$

see [Fay, p. 16]. On the other hand, by formula (28) in [Fay, p. 20],

$$\Omega = \frac{\partial^2 \log E \circ \phi}{\partial z_1 \partial z_2} dz_1 \wedge dz_2.$$

From this (5.2) follows immediately.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2** (Fay's Trisecant Identity). *Let  $\zeta$  be a regular odd characteristic. Let  $w \in \mathbb{C}^g$  and let  $z_1, z_2, a_1, a_2$  be points of the universal cover  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$ . Then the following holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta(w + \tilde{\phi}(z_1, z_2)) \cdot \theta(w + \tilde{\phi}(a_2, a_1)) \cdot \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(z_1, a_1)) \cdot \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(z_2, a_2)) \\ &= \theta(w) \cdot \theta(w + \tilde{\phi}(z_1, z_2) + \tilde{\phi}(a_2, a_1)) \cdot \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(z_1, a_2)) \cdot \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(z_2, a_1)) + \\ & \quad + \theta(w + \tilde{\phi}(z_1, a_1)) \cdot \theta(w + \tilde{\phi}(a_2, z_2)) \cdot \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(z_1, z_2)) \cdot \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(a_1, a_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

*Proof.* Fay's Trisecant Identity takes many forms. To get the above, start from equation (1-1) in [Po]. Since  $\theta[\zeta]$  is odd, (4.3) implies that  $\theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(a_1, z_2)) = -\theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(z_2, a_1))$ . Since  $\zeta$  is a regular point of  $\Theta$ , equation (1-2) from the same paper holds true for  $\alpha = \zeta$ . Substituting this, we get rid of the cross-ratio function. Using (4.4) one replaces  $\theta(\zeta + \cdot)$  with  $\theta[\zeta]$  and the exponentials cancel out. Finally clearing denominators we arrive at (5.3).  $\square$

Let  $u_i$  and  $u_{ij}$  denote the partial derivatives of a function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{C}^g$

**Proposition 5.3.** *If  $f \in H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L})$ , and  $\zeta$  is a regular odd characteristic, then*

$$f \circ \tilde{\phi} \cdot p_1^* \omega_\zeta \wedge p_2^* \omega_\zeta = \theta[\zeta]^2 \circ \tilde{\phi} \cdot \left( f(0) \Omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^g f_{ij}(0) p_1^* \omega_i \wedge p_2^* \omega_j \right), \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the canonical bidifferential as in Proposition 5.1.

*Proof.* Choose  $w \in \mathbb{C}^g$ , and set  $f := s_w$ . Since the sections  $s_w$  as  $w$  varies in  $\mathbb{C}^g$  generate  $H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L})$ , it is enough to prove (5.4) for these sections.

To do this, we start from Fay's Trisecant Identity. Set

$$\begin{aligned} z &:= (z_1, z_2), & \alpha(z) &:= \theta(w + \tilde{\phi}(z)), \\ a &:= (a_1, a_2), & \beta(z) &:= \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(z)), \\ & & \gamma(z) &:= \theta(w + \tilde{\phi}(z) - \tilde{\phi}(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $\theta[\zeta]$  is odd we have

$$\beta(z_2, z_1) = -\beta(z). \quad (5.5)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &:= \alpha(z) \cdot \alpha(a_2, a_1) \cdot \beta(z_1, a_1) \cdot \beta(z_2, a_2), \\ B(z) &:= \theta(w) \cdot \gamma(z) \cdot \beta(z_1, a_2) \cdot \beta(z_2, a_1) + \alpha(z_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha(a_2, z_2) \cdot \beta(z) \cdot \beta(a). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Then (5.3) becomes  $A = B$ . The crucial step in the proof is to compute the second derivatives  $B_{12}$  and  $A_{12}$  with respect to  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  at the point  $(a_1, a_2)$ . This computation is elementary but long and it is adapted from the proof of Theorem 6 in [Gu3, p. 24, part D]. After some work we will recognize that the equation

$$A_{12}dz_1 \wedge dz_2 = B_{12}dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \quad (5.7)$$

is exactly the same as (5.4) for  $f = s_w$ .

We start by computing  $B_{12}(a)$ . Using (5.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &:= -\alpha(z) \cdot \alpha(a_2, a_1) \cdot \beta(z_1, a_1) \cdot \beta(a_2, z_2), \\ B(z) &:= -\theta(w) \cdot \gamma(z) \cdot \beta(z_1, a_2) \cdot \beta(a_1, z_2) + \alpha(z_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha(a_2, z_2) \cdot \beta(z) \cdot \beta(a). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

We first compute the derivative  $B_{12}(a)$  in terms of the functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ :

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(z) &= -\theta(w) \cdot \gamma_1(z) \cdot \beta(z_1, a_2) \cdot \beta(a_1, z_2) + \\ &\quad -\theta(w) \cdot \gamma(z) \cdot \beta_1(z_1, a_2) \cdot \beta(a_1, z_2) + \\ &\quad + \alpha_1(z_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha(a_2, z_2) \cdot \beta(z) \cdot \beta(a) + \\ &\quad + \alpha(z_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha(a_2, z_2) \cdot \beta_1(z) \cdot \beta(a), \\ B_{12}(a) &= -\theta(w) \cdot \gamma_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) \cdot \beta(a) - \theta(w) \cdot \gamma_1(a) \cdot \beta(a) \cdot \beta_2(a) + \\ &\quad -\theta(w) \cdot \gamma_2(a) \cdot \beta_1(a) \cdot \beta(a) - \theta(w) \cdot \gamma(a) \cdot \beta_1(a) \cdot \beta_2(a) + \\ &\quad + \alpha_1(a_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha_2(a_2, a_2) \cdot \beta(a)^2 + \alpha_1(a_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha(a_2, a_2) \cdot \beta_2(a) \cdot \beta(a) + \\ &\quad + \alpha(a_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha_2(a_2, a_2) \cdot \beta_1(a) \cdot \beta(a) + \alpha(a_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha(a_2, a_2) \cdot \beta_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) \\ &= \theta(w)^2 \left( \beta_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) - \beta_1(a) \cdot \beta_2(a) \right) + \\ &\quad + C \cdot \theta(w) \cdot \beta(a) + \alpha_1(a_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha_2(a_2, a_2) \cdot \beta(a)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = -\gamma_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) - \gamma_1(a) \cdot \beta_2(a) - \gamma_2(a) \cdot \beta_1(a) + \alpha_1(a_1, a_1) \cdot \beta_2(a) + \alpha_2(a_2, a_2) \cdot \beta_1(a).$$

Now assume that on  $U$ ,

$$\omega_i = h_i(z)dz.$$



We compute some values and derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1(a) &= \theta_k(w + \tilde{\phi}(a))h_k(a_1), & \alpha(a_1, a_1) &= \alpha(a_2, a_2) = \theta(w), \\ \alpha_2(a) &= -\theta_k(w + \tilde{\phi}(a))h_k(a_2), & \gamma(a) &= \theta(w).\end{aligned}$$

Here repeated indices are summed. We compute some more derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1(x, x) &= \theta_i(w)h_i(x), & \alpha_1(a_1, a_1) &= \gamma_1(a), \\ \alpha_2(x, x) &= -\theta_i(w)h_i(x), & \alpha_2(a_2, a_2) &= \gamma_2(a), \\ \alpha_1(a_1, a_1) &= \theta_i(w)h_i(a_1), & \gamma_1(a) &= \theta_i(w)h_i(a_1), \\ \alpha_2(a_2, a_2) &= -\theta_i(w)h_i(a_2), & \gamma_2(a) &= -\theta_j(w)h_j(a_2).\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

Finally some terms cancel out:

$$\begin{aligned}C &= -\gamma_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) - \gamma_1(a) \cdot \beta_2(a) - \gamma_2(a) \cdot \beta_1(a) + \gamma_1(a) \cdot \beta_2(a) + \gamma_2(a) \cdot \beta_1(a) \\ &= -\gamma_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a).\end{aligned}$$

So again using (5.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}B_{12}(a) &= \theta(w)^2 \left( \beta_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) - \beta_1(a) \cdot \beta_2(a) \right) \\ &\quad - \theta(w) \cdot \beta(a) \cdot \gamma_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) + \alpha_1(a_1, a_1) \cdot \alpha_2(a_2, a_2) \cdot \beta(a)^2 \\ &= \theta(w)^2 \left( \beta_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) - \beta_1(a) \cdot \beta_2(a) \right) + \beta(a)^2 \cdot \left( \gamma_1(a)\gamma_2(a) - \theta(w) \cdot \gamma_{12}(a) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}(\log \beta)_{12}(a) &= \frac{\beta_{12}(a) \cdot \beta(a) - \beta_1(a) \cdot \beta_2(a)}{\beta(a)^2}, \\ \beta(a) &= \theta[\zeta](\tilde{\phi}(a)), \quad \beta(a)^2 = \theta[\zeta]^2(\tilde{\phi}(a)).\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$D := \gamma_1(a)\gamma_2(a) - \theta(w) \cdot \gamma_{12}(a).$$

Then using (5.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}B_{12}(a) &= \beta(a)^2 \cdot \left[ \theta(w)^2 \cdot (\log \beta)_{12}(a) + D \right] \\ &= \theta[\zeta]^2(\tilde{\phi}(a)) \cdot \left[ s_w(0) \cdot (\log \beta)_{12}(a) + D \right], \\ B_{12}(a)dz_1 \wedge dz_2 &= \theta[\zeta]^2(\tilde{\phi}(a)) \cdot \left[ s_w(0) \cdot \Omega + D dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \right].\end{aligned}$$

We compute some more derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1(z) &= \theta_i(w + \tilde{\phi}(z) - \tilde{\phi}(a))h_i(z_1), \\ \gamma_{12}(a) &= -\theta_{ij}(w)h_j(a_2)h_i(a_1), \\ \gamma_1(a) &= \theta_i(w)h_i(a_1), \\ \gamma_2(a) &= -\theta_i(w)h_i(a_2).\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $f = s_w$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(z) &= \theta(z+w)\theta(z-w) \\
f_{ij}(0) &= 2\theta(w)\theta_{ij}(w) - 2\theta_i(w)\theta_j(w), \\
D &= -\theta_i(w)h_i(a_1)\theta(w)h_j(a_2) + \theta(w)\theta_{ij}(w)h_j(a_2)h_i(a_1) = \\
&= (\theta(w)\theta_{ij}(w) - \theta_i(w)\theta_j(w))h_i(a_1)h_j(a_2) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}f_{ij}(0)h_i(a_1)h_j(a_2), \\
h_i(a_1)dz_1 &= p_1^*\omega_i(a_1), \quad h_j(a_2)dz_2 = p_2^*\omega_j(a_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$B_{12}(a)dz_1 \wedge dz_2 = \theta[\zeta]^2(\tilde{\phi}(a)) \cdot \left[ f(0) \cdot \Omega + \frac{1}{2}f_{ij}(0)p_1^*\omega_i \wedge p_2^*\omega_j(a) \right]. \quad (5.10)$$

Next we perform the computation of  $A_{12}(a)$  (it is much simpler). Recall (5.8):

$$A(z) := -\alpha(z) \cdot \alpha(a_2, a_1) \cdot \beta(z_1, a_1) \cdot \beta(a_2, z_2).$$

Since  $\theta[\zeta]$  is odd,

$$\beta(a_i, a_i) = \theta[\zeta](0) = 0.$$

So among the terms obtained in the process of computing  $A_{12}(a)$  only those in which the last two factors are both differentiated can be nonzero. This means that

$$A_{12}(a) = -\alpha(a)\alpha(a_2, a_1)\beta_1(a_1, a_1)\beta_2(a_2, a_2).$$

By (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1(a_1, a_1)dz_1 &= \theta[\zeta]_i(0)h_i(a_1)dz_1 = p_1^*\omega_\zeta(a), \\
\beta_2(a_2, a_2)dz_2 &= -\theta[\zeta]_i(0)h_i(a_2)dz_2 = -p_2^*\omega_\zeta(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(a) &= \theta(w + \tilde{\phi}(a)), & \alpha(a) \cdot \alpha(a_2, a_1) &= s_w(\tilde{\phi}(a)), \\
\alpha(a_2, a_1) &= \alpha(w - \tilde{\phi}(a)), \\
A_{12}(a)dz_1 \wedge dz_2 &= s_w(\tilde{\phi}(a)) \cdot p_1^*\omega_\zeta \wedge p_2^*\omega_\zeta.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Plugging (5.11) and (5.10) into (5.7) completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.** *There is a unique isomorphism*

$$\Psi : \phi^*\mathbf{L} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_S(2\Delta)$$

such that

$$\Psi(\phi^*(s_\zeta)) = \frac{1}{c(\zeta)}(p_1^*\omega_\zeta) \wedge (p_2^*\omega_\zeta)$$

for all  $\zeta \in \Theta_{reg}$ , where  $c(\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  is the constant defined in Lemma 4.1.

Furthermore, for any  $f \in H^0(J^0(X), \mathbf{L})$ ,

$$\Psi(\phi^*f) = f(0)\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^g f_{ij}(0)p_1^*\omega_i \wedge p_2^*\omega_j. \quad (5.12)$$

*Proof.* By proposition 4.7,  $\phi^*\mathbf{L}$  and  $K_S(2\Delta)$  are line bundles associated with the same divisor. So they are isomorphic. Let

$$\psi : \phi^*\mathbf{L} \xrightarrow{\cong} K_S(2\Delta).$$

be a fixed isomorphism. It follows from Proposition 4.7(b) that  $\psi(\phi_\zeta^*)$  and  $p_1^*\omega_\zeta \wedge p_2^*\omega_\zeta$  are sections of  $K_S(2\Delta)$  with the same divisor. So there is  $\lambda_\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that

$$\psi(\phi^*s_\zeta) = \lambda_\zeta \cdot p_1^*\omega_\zeta \wedge p_2^*\omega_\zeta.$$

Let  $\Psi_\zeta := \frac{1}{\lambda_\zeta c(\zeta)} \cdot \psi$  for all  $\zeta \in \Theta_{reg}$ . By construction,

$$\Psi_\zeta(\phi^*(s_\zeta)) = \frac{1}{c(\zeta)}(p_1^*\omega_\zeta) \wedge (p_2^*\omega_\zeta).$$

Fix an odd regular characteristic  $\zeta_0$ . Using (5.1) we have

$$\Psi_{\zeta_0}(\phi^*\theta[\zeta_0]^2) = \Psi_{\zeta_0}(c(\zeta_0)s_{\zeta_0}) = p_1^*\omega_{\zeta_0} \wedge p_2^*\omega_{\zeta_0}.$$

By Proposition 5.3, for any  $f \in H^0(\mathbf{L})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\zeta_0}(\phi^*f) &= \frac{f \circ \tilde{\phi}}{\theta[\zeta_0]^2 \circ \tilde{\phi}} \Psi_{\zeta_0}(\phi^*\theta[\zeta_0]^2) = \frac{f \circ \tilde{\phi}}{\theta[\zeta_0]^2 \circ \tilde{\phi}} p_1^*\omega_{\zeta_0} \wedge p_2^*\omega_{\zeta_0} \\ &= f(0)\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^g f_{ij}(0) p_1^*\omega_i \wedge p_2^*\omega_j. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the proposition it suffices to show that  $\Psi_\zeta = \Psi_{\zeta_0}$  for all  $\zeta \in \Theta_{reg}$ . Since all  $\{\Psi_\zeta\}_{\zeta \in \Theta_{reg}}$  are mutually proportional, in order to prove that  $\Psi_\zeta = \Psi_{\zeta_0}$  for all  $\zeta \in \Theta_{reg}$  it is enough to show that  $\Psi_{\zeta_0}(\phi^*s_\zeta) = \Psi_\zeta(\phi^*s_\zeta)$ .

This is rather straightforward: Indeed,

$$\Psi_{\zeta_0}(\phi^*s_\zeta) = s_\zeta(0)\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^g (s_\zeta)_{ij}(0) p_1^*\omega_i \wedge p_2^*\omega_j.$$

Using Proposition 4.7(a) and Lemma 4.1, this is equal to

$$\frac{1}{c(\zeta)} \sum_{i,j=1}^g \frac{\partial \theta[\zeta]}{\partial z_i}(0) \frac{\partial \theta[\zeta]}{\partial z_j}(0) p_1^*\omega_i \wedge p_2^*\omega_j = \frac{1}{c(\zeta)} p_1^*\omega_\zeta \wedge p_2^*\omega_\zeta = \Psi_\zeta(\phi^*s_\zeta).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** The right hand side of (5.12) vanishes precisely when  $f \in \mathbb{V}_0$ . Note that this is a proof of (3.13).

We give one last expression for the pullback map  $\phi^*$ .

In our notation, the aforementioned Theorem 6 of [Gu3, part D] says

$$\frac{f \circ \phi}{E^2} p_1^*dz \wedge p_2^*dz = f(0)\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} f_{ij}(0) p^*\omega_i \wedge q^*\omega_j, \quad (5.13)$$

where  $z : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the coordinate given by the uniformization theorem, and  $E : \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the classical Prime Function (see [Gu3, part A]).

The left-hand side of (5.13) is a new expression for  $\phi^*f$ , and makes the intrinsic nature of the pullback more evident. In fact the Prime Function  $E$  is intrinsic.

## 6. COMPARISON OF $\sigma_X$ AND $\eta_X$

We now proceed to compare the section  $\sigma_X$  constructed in Proposition 3.3 with another section denoted  $\eta_X$  that was constructed in [CFG], building on work in [CPT]. This section is constructed using basic Hodge theory and describes the second fundamental form of the Torelli map with respect to the Siegel metric. More precisely, the Torelli map  $j : M_g \rightarrow A_g$  is an embedding outside the hyperelliptic locus. The second fundamental form of  $j(M_g) \subset A_g$  with respect to the Siegel metric at a non-hyperelliptic point  $[X] \in M_g$  coincides with the multiplication map

$$I_2(K_X) \rightarrow H^0(S, 2K_S), \quad Q \mapsto Q \cdot \eta_X, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $I_2(K_X) = \ker(m : H^0(K_X)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow H^0(2K_X))$ ; see [CFG] for details on this.

The form  $\eta_X$  has the following defining property: fix any coordinate chart  $(U, z)$  on  $X$ . Since any holomorphic 1-form on a Riemann surface is closed, there is a natural inclusion map

$$j_z : H^0(C, K_X(2z(0))) \hookrightarrow H^1(X - \{z(0)\}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

We have  $h^0(X, K_x(2z(0))) = g + 1$  by Riemann-Roch and  $\dim j_z^{-1}(H^{0,1}(X, \mathbb{C})) = 1$ . Then there is a unique  $\eta_z \in H^0(X, K_x(2z(0)))$  such that

$$\langle \eta_z \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = j_z^{-1}(H^{0,1}(X, \mathbb{C})), \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_z = \left( \frac{1}{z^2} + h(z) \right) dz$$

on  $U$  with  $h$  holomorphic. This construction defines a collection of meromorphic forms  $\eta_z$  for all possible coordinate charts  $(U, z)$ . Then one has

$$\eta_X|_{X \times \{z(0)\}} = \eta_z \quad (6.2)$$

for all charts  $(U, z)$ ; here  $\eta_X|_{X \times \{z(0)\}}$  is the contraction by  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ .

**Remark 6.1.** The form  $\eta_X$  can be characterized as follows: It is the only element of  $H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$  with cohomology class of pure type  $(1, 1)$  in  $H^2(S - \Delta, \mathbb{C})$  and with biresidue 1 on the diagonal. See [BCFP, Theorem 5.4]. See also [Lo].

**Remark 6.2.** The form  $\eta_X$  appears in the unpublished book of Gunning [Gu2]. There, the form  $\eta_X$  is referred to as the *Intrinsic Bidifferential*, and it is constructed by imposing (6.2). We briefly remark that  $\eta_X$  is indeed intrinsic (or canonical), whereas the Canonical Bidifferential  $\Omega_X$  is not, as its construction depends on the choice of a marking on  $X$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *Fix a marking  $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^g$  on  $X$ , and let  $\omega_i \in H^0(X, K_X)$  be normalized as in (4.1). Let  $\tau_{ij} = \int_{b_i} \omega_j$  be the period matrix of  $X$  with respect to the marking. Let  $\Omega_X$  be the Canonical Bidifferential of Proposition 5.1 with respect to this marking. Then*

$$\eta_X = \Omega_X - \pi \sum_{i,j} (\text{Im } \tau)^{ij} p^* \omega_i \wedge q^* \omega_j, \quad (6.3)$$

where  $\text{Im } \tau$  is the imaginary part of  $\tau$  and  $(\text{Im } \tau)^{ik} \text{Im } \tau_{kj} = \delta_{ij}$ .

*Proof.* The above equality can be found in the proof of Theorem 4.23 of [Gu2]. In that proof,  $\eta_X$  (which is called  $\mu_X$  there) is used in constructing  $\Omega_X$  (which is called  $\hat{\mu}_X$  there).  $\square$

All that is needed now is to find explicitly a generator of  $\mathbb{S}$ , for then equation (5.4) will give an explicit expression for  $\sigma_X$  that can be easily compared with (6.3).

This will be achieved through the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $\{f_u\}$  be a unitary base of  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then  $\mathbb{S} = V_0^\perp$  is generated by the section*

$$s := \sum_u \overline{f_u(0)} f_u.$$

*Proof.* This is but a simple exercise in linear algebra. Since the  $f_u$  are linearly independent and  $\mathbf{L}$  is base point free, it is immediate that  $s \neq 0$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that  $s \perp V_0$ . For this, notice that if  $f = \sum_u c_u f_u \in V_0$ , then

$$\langle s, f \rangle = \sum_u \overline{f_u(0)} c_u = \overline{f(0)} = 0.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5.** *The  $2^g$  sections*

$$\left\{ \theta_u(z) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi+u)^t \tau(\xi+u) + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi+u)^t z} \right\}_{u \in \frac{2^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^g}{\mathbb{Z}^g}}$$

*have the same norm and form an orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{V}$ , that is*

$$\langle \theta_u, \theta_v \rangle = C \delta_{uv}$$

*for some constant  $C > 0$ .*

*Proof.* See for example [Ig, p. 80].  $\square$

**Remark 6.6.** The constant  $C$  in Lemma 6.5 can be computed in terms of the period matrix  $\tau$ . Since the precise value of this constant plays no role in our computation, it will be kept as it is.

**Proposition 6.7.** *The following holds:*

$$\sigma_X = \Omega + \frac{1}{2 \sum_{u \in \frac{2^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^g}{\mathbb{Z}^g}} |\theta_u(0)|^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^g \sum_{u \in \frac{2^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^g}{\mathbb{Z}^g}} \overline{\theta_u(0)} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_u}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}(0) p_1^* \omega_i \wedge p_2^* \omega_j. \quad (6.4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $s$  be a generator of  $\mathbb{S}$ . Then  $\sigma_X$  is equal to the pullback  $\phi^* s$  normalized to be 1 when restricted to the diagonal. Using equation (5.12) we have

$$\Psi(\phi^* s) = s(0) \Omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^g \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}(0) p_1^* \omega_i \wedge p_2^* \omega_j.$$

Since  $\Omega$  is equal to 1 when restricted to the diagonal, normalizing,

$$\sigma_X = \Omega + \frac{1}{2s(0)} \sum_{i,j=1}^g \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial z_i \partial z_j}(0) p_1^* \omega_i \wedge p_2^* \omega_j. \quad (6.5)$$

In view of Lemma 6.5, the functions  $\theta_u/\sqrt{C}$  constitute a unitary basis of  $\mathbb{V}$ . So by Lemma 6.4  $\mathbb{S}$  is generated by

$$s = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{u \in \frac{2^{-1}\mathbb{Z}g}{\mathbb{Z}g}} \overline{\theta_u(0)} \theta_u. \quad (6.6)$$

Plugging (6.6) into (6.5) we conclude that (6.4) holds.  $\square$

We restrict now to  $g = 1$ .

**Theorem 6.8.** *The forms  $\sigma_X$  and  $\eta_X$  differ for any  $[X]$  in a nonempty open subset of  $M_{1,1}$  in the complex topology.*

*Proof.* Set  $\mathbb{H} := \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } \tau > 0\}$ . For  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  set  $X_\tau = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ , and denote by  $\Omega_\tau$ ,  $\eta_\tau$  and  $s_\tau$  the forms  $\Omega_{X_\tau}$ ,  $\eta_{X_\tau}$  and  $s_{X_\tau}$  respectively. For  $g = 1$ , stressing the dependence on  $\tau$ , the equations (6.4) and (6.3) become

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\tau &= \Omega + \frac{1}{2w(\tau)} \sum_{u \in \{0, 1/2\}} \overline{\theta_u(0; \tau)} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_u}{\partial z^2}(0; \tau) p_1^* \omega_1 \wedge p_2^* \omega_1, \\ \eta_\tau &= \Omega_X - \frac{\pi}{\text{Im } \tau} p^* \omega_1 \wedge q^* \omega_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w(\tau) := |\theta_0(0; \tau)|^2 + |\theta_{1/2}(0; \tau)|^2.$$

By the heat equation for second order theta functions [Ge] we have:

$$8\pi\sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial \theta_u}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial^2 \theta_u}{\partial z^2}.$$

Hence using the fact that  $\theta_u(0; \tau)$  is holomorphic in  $\tau$ ,

$$\sigma_\tau = \Omega + \frac{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}{w(\tau)} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau}(\tau) p_1^* \omega_1 \wedge p_2^* \omega_1.$$

Therefore,  $\sigma_\tau = \eta_\tau$  if and only if

$$\frac{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}{w(\tau)} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau}(\tau) = -\frac{\pi}{\text{Im } \tau}.$$

Setting  $\tau = x + \sqrt{-1}y$ , and keeping in mind that  $w$  is a real function, we arrive at

$$\{s_\tau = \eta_\tau\} \iff \{4\sqrt{-1}yw_\tau + w = 0\} \iff \begin{cases} w_x = 0, \\ 2yw_y + w = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6.7)$$

We are going to express  $w$  in a simpler form. From (6.5), for  $g = 1$  and  $z = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_u(0; \tau) &= \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi+u)^2\tau} \quad u \in \{0, 1/2\}, \\ |\theta_u(0; \tau)|^2 &= \sum_{\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi+u)^2\tau - 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\zeta+u)^2\bar{\tau}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

For  $u = 0$  we have  $\xi^2\tau - \zeta^2\bar{\tau} = x(\xi^2 - \zeta^2) + \sqrt{-1}y(\xi^2 + \zeta^2)$ . Set

$$\Gamma := \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid m + n \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

This is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  of index 2. We use the change of variables  $m = \xi - \zeta$ ,  $n = \xi + \zeta$  to get a bijection between  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $\Gamma$ . With this change of variables, the series in (6.8) for  $u = 0$  becomes

$$|\theta_0(0; \tau)|^2 = \sum_{(m,n) \in \Gamma} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}xmn - \pi y(m^2+n^2)}.$$

For  $u = 1/2$  there is a similar computation:

$$(\xi + 1/2)^2\tau - (\zeta + 1/2)^2\bar{\tau} = x(\xi^2 - \xi + \zeta^2 - \zeta) + \sqrt{-1}y(\xi^2 + \zeta^2 + \xi + \zeta + 1/2).$$

Substituting  $m = \xi - \zeta$  and  $n = \xi + \zeta + 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_{1/2}(0; \tau)|^2 &= \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 - \Gamma} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}xmn - \pi y(m^2+n^2)}, \\ w(x + \sqrt{-1}y) &= \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}xmn - \pi y(m^2+n^2)}, \\ w_x(x + \sqrt{-1}) &= \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (2\pi\sqrt{-1}mn) \cdot e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}xmn - \pi(m^2+n^2)}, \\ w_{xx}(\sqrt{-1}) &= - \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (2\pi mn)^2 \cdot e^{-\pi(m^2+n^2)} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the equation  $w_x = 0$  is not satisfied in general.  $\square$

**Remark 6.9.** One can prove that  $\sigma_\tau = \eta_\tau$  for  $\tau = \sqrt{-1}$ . Indeed, both forms belong to  $H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))$  which is 2-dimensional and both are invariant by  $\text{Aut}(X_\tau, +)$ . Since this group acts nontrivially on  $H^0(X_\tau, K_{X_\tau})$ , it also acts nontrivially on  $H^0(S, K_S)$ . So  $\dim H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta))^{\text{Aut}(X_\tau)} = 1$ . Therefore  $\sigma_\tau$  and  $\eta_\tau$  are proportional. By the normalization of the biresidue they coincide.

The same happens for  $\tau = e^{\frac{\pi}{3}}\sqrt{-1}$ .

By a computation similar to the one above for  $w_{xx}$ , one can show that  $\tau = \sqrt{-1}$  is an isolated point of the locus  $\{\tau \in \mathbb{H} \mid \sigma_\tau = \eta_\tau\}$ . It would be interesting to understand better this locus. Since  $w$  is real analytic, (6.7) is a system of real analytic equations, so this locus is real analytic in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

In conclusion, we compare the projective structures induced by  $\eta_X$  and  $\sigma_X$  on  $X$ .

**Definition 6.10.** Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface. A projective structure on  $X$  subordinate to the complex structure of  $X$  is a collection of holomorphic charts  $\{(U_i, z_i)\}_{i \in I}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = X$  and all the transition functions  $z_i \circ z_j^{-1}$  are restrictions of Möbius transformations on each connected component of the domain of  $z_i \circ z_j^{-1}$ , that is

$$z_i = \frac{a_{ij}z_j + b_{ij}}{c_{ij}z_j + d_{ij}}$$

for constants  $a_{ij}, b_{ij}, c_{ij}, d_{ij}$ .

We briefly recall how nonzero global sections of  $K_S(2\Delta)$  induce projective structures on  $X$ . Consider the structure sequence of  $3\Delta$  tensored by  $K_S(2\Delta)$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow K_S(-\Delta) \longrightarrow K_S \xrightarrow{\rho} K_S(2\Delta)|_{3\Delta} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (6.9)$$

Take the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to (6.9):

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(S, K_S(-\Delta)) \longrightarrow H^0(S, K_S(2\Delta)) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^0(K_S(2\Delta)|_{3\Delta}) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (6.10)$$

There is a canonical section of  $K_S(2\Delta)|_{2\Delta}$ , and the space  $\wp(X)$  of all projective structures on  $X$  subordinate to the complex structure of  $X$  is in a bijective correspondence with the set of sections of  $H^0(K_S(2\Delta)|_{3\Delta})$  whose restriction to  $2\Delta$  coincides with the canonical section. This construction is explained in [BR1, BCFP]. See also [Gu1] and [Ty] for more background on projective structures.

Both  $\eta_X$  and  $\sigma_X$  have biresidue 1 along the diagonal. Coupled with (3.19) this means that they both restrict to the canonical section along  $2\Delta$ . Therefore they induce two projective structures on  $X$  that we denote by  $\beta_X^\eta$  and  $\beta_X^\theta$  respectively.

**Proposition 6.11.** *If  $X$  is a compact Riemann surface of genus 1, then  $H^0(S, K_S(-\Delta)) = 0$ . Hence the restriction map  $\rho : H^0(K_S(2\Delta)) \longrightarrow H^0(K_S(2\Delta)|_{3\Delta})$  is injective.*

*Proof.* The space  $H^0(X, K_X)$  is generated by the nowhere zero section  $\omega_1$ , and  $H^0(S, K_S)$  is generated by the section  $p_1^*\omega_1 \wedge p_2^*\omega_1$ . Since this section is nowhere zero, the only section of  $H^0(S, K_S)$  that vanishes on the diagonal is 0, and therefore  $H^0(S, K_S(-\Delta)) = 0$ . From the long exact sequence (6.10) we see that the kernel of  $\rho$  is  $H^0(S, K_S(-\Delta))$ . Therefore,  $\rho$  is injective.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.12.** *For  $g = 1$ ,*

$$\beta_X^\theta \neq \beta_X^\eta$$

*outside of a proper real analytic subvariety of  $M_{1,1}$ .*

*Proof.* The projective structures  $\beta^\eta$  and  $\beta^\theta$  coincide if and only if  $\rho(\eta_X) = \rho(\sigma_X)$ . For genus 1, the map  $\rho$  is injective, therefore  $\{\beta_X^\eta = \beta_X^\theta\}$  if and only if  $\{\eta_X = \sigma_X\}$ . By Theorem 6.8 this is the case for  $[X]$  in a proper real analytic subvariety of  $M_{1,1}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Be] A. Beauville. Theta functions, old and new. In *Open problems and surveys of contemporary mathematics*, volume 6 of *Surv. Mod. Math.*, pages 99–132. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [BL] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange, *Complex abelian varieties*, volume 302 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.
- [BCFP] I. Biswas, E. Colombo, P. Frediani and G.P. Pirola, Hodge theoretic projective structure on Riemann surfaces, *Jour. Math. Pures. Appl.* **149** (2021), 1–27.
- [BR1] I. Biswas and A. K. Raina, Projective structures on a Riemann surface, *Inter. Math. Res. Not.* (1996), No. 15, 753–768.
- [BR2] I. Biswas and A. K. Raina, Projective structures on a Riemann surface, II, *Inter. Math. Res. Not.* (1999), No. 13, 685–716.
- [CFG] E. Colombo, P. Frediani and A. Ghigi, On totally geodesic submanifolds in the Jacobian locus, *Int. Jour. Math.* **26**, no. 1 (2015) 1550005.
- [CPT] E. Colombo, G. P. Pirola and A. Tortora, Hodge-Gaussian maps, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **30** (2001), 125–146.



- [Fay] J. D. Fay, *Theta functions on Riemann Surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 352, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [GG] B. van Geemen and G. van der Geer, Kummer varieties and the moduli spaces of abelian varieties, *Am. J. Math.* **108** (1986), 615–642.
- [Ge] B. van Geemen, *The Schottky problem and second order theta functions*. In *Workshop on Abelian Varieties and Theta Functions (Morelia, 1996)*, 41–84. Aportaciones Mat. Investig., 13, Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, México, 1998.
- [Gh] A. Ghigi, On some differential-geometric aspects of the Torelli map, *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.* **12** (2019), 133–144.
- [GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [Gu1] R. C. Gunning, *On uniformization of complex manifolds: the role of connections*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1978.
- [Gu2] R. C. Gunning, *Some topics in the function theory of compact Riemann surfaces*, <https://web.math.princeton.edu/~gunning/book.pdf>.
- [Gu3] R. C. Gunning, *Riemann Surfaces and Second-Order Theta Functions*, <https://web.math.princeton.edu/gunning/rsand2theta.html>.
- [Ig] J. I. Igusa, *Theta Functions*, volume 194 of *Springer Science and Business Media*, 2012.
- [Lo] E. Looijenga, Remarkable polydifferentials on the configuration space of a compact Riemann surface, <https://webpace.science.uu.nl/looi101/Remarkableform.pdf>.
- [Mu] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Stud. Math., 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, by Oxford University Press, London, 1970.
- [Na] R. Narasimhan, *Compact Riemann surfaces*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [Po] C. Poor, Fay’s trisecant formula and cross-ratios, *Proc. Amer Math Soc* **114** (1992), 667–671.
- [Ty] A. N. Tyurin, On periods of quadratic differentials. *Russian Math. Surveys* **33** (1987), 169–221.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, NH91, TEHSIL DADRI, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH 201314, INDIA

*Email address:* [indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in](mailto:indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in), [indranil29@gmail.com](mailto:indranil29@gmail.com)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA, VIA FERRATA 5, I-27100 PAVIA, ITALY

*Email address:* [alessandro.ghigi@unipv.it](mailto:alessandro.ghigi@unipv.it)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA, VIA FERRATA 5, I-27100 PAVIA, ITALY

*Email address:* [luca.vai01@universitadipavia.it](mailto:luca.vai01@universitadipavia.it)