

A Dirac-type theorem for arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked digraphs ^{*}

Zhilan Wang¹, Jin Yan¹, Yangyang Cheng^{2†}

¹ School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

² Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 2JD, UK

Abstract

Given any digraph D , let $\mathcal{P}(D)$ be the family of all directed paths in D , and let H be a digraph with the arc set $A(H) = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$. The digraph D is called arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked if for any injective mapping $f : V(H) \rightarrow V(D)$ and any integer set $\mathcal{N} = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ with $n_i \geq 4$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, there exists a mapping $g : A(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(D)$ such that for every arc $a_i = uv$, $g(a_i)$ is a directed path from $f(u)$ to $f(v)$ of length n_i , and different arcs are mapped into internally vertex-disjoint directed paths in D , and $\bigcup_{i \in [k]} V(g(a_i)) = V(D)$. In this paper, we prove that for any digraph H with k arcs and $\delta(H) \geq 1$, every digraph of sufficiently large order n with minimum in- and out-degree at least $n/2 + k$ is arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked. Furthermore, we show that the lower bound is best possible. Our main result extends some work of Kühn and Osthus et al. [15, 20] and Ferrara, Jacobson and Pfender [6]. Besides, as a corollary of our main theorem, we solve a conjecture of Wang [27] for sufficiently large graphs.

Keywords: H -linked; minimum semi-degree; absorption method; expander graphs

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C20, 05C70, 05C07

1 Introduction

Given any (di)graph G , let $\mathcal{P}(G)$ be the family of (directed)paths in G , and let H be a fixed (di)graph (possibly containing loops). An H -subdivision in G is a pair of mappings $f : V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$ and $g : E(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ such that (a) $f(u) \neq f(v)$ for $u, v \in V(H)$ with $u \neq v$, and (b) for every edge $uv \in E(H)$, $g(uv)$ is a path connecting $f(u)$ and $f(v)$, and different edges are mapped into internally vertex-disjoint paths in G . The concept of H -subdivision has been crucial in the field of topological graph theory ever

^{*}Zhilan Wang and Jin Yan are supported by NNSF of China (No.12071260), and Yangyang Cheng is supported by a PhD studentship of ERC Advanced Grant 883810.

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail adress: yangyang.cheng@maths.ox.ac.uk.

since Kuratowski's groundbreaking discovery in 1930 that a graph is planar if and only if it does not contain a subdivision of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$. A (di)graph G is H -linked if every injective mapping $f : V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$ can be extended to an H -subdivision in G .

Researchers have been particularly intrigued by the question of what degree conditions ensure that a graph G is H -linked for any fixed graph H . In 2005, Kostochka and Yu [21] proved that for a simple graph H with k edges and minimum degree $\delta(H) \geq 2$, if G is a graph of order $n \geq 5k + 6$, and $\delta(G) \geq \frac{n+k-2}{2}$, then G is H -linked. In particular, G is also Hamiltonian H -linked under the same degree condition. Later, there have been many generalizations of this result, specifically referring readers to [5, 9, 22, 23].

Also it is natural to consider the linkage problem of digraphs under certain degree conditions. Let $D = (V, A)$ be a digraph and H be a digraph with the arc set $A(H) = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$. For an integer set $\mathcal{N} = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$, an H -subdivision (f, g) is *Hamiltonian* ($\mathcal{N}H$)-*subdivision* if for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the length of the path $g(a_i)$ is n_i , and $\bigcup_{i \in [k]} V(g(a_i)) = V(D)$. In particular, a digraph D is called *arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked* if for any integer set $\mathcal{N} = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ with $n_i \geq 4$ for each $i \in [k]$, every mapping $f : V(H) \rightarrow V(D)$ can be extended to a Hamiltonian ($\mathcal{N}H$)-subdivision.

We define the *minimum semi-degree* of D as $\delta^0(D) = \min\{\delta^+(D), \delta^-(D)\}$ and the *minimum degree* $\delta(D) = \min_{x \in V} \{d(x) : d(x) = d^+(x) + d^-(x)\}$. In this paper, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a digraph with k arcs and $\delta(H) \geq 1$. There exists a positive integer $n_0 = n_0(k)$ such that if D a digraph of order $n \geq n_0$ and $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$ then D is arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked.*

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we utilize the absorption method that was first introduced by Rödl, Ruciński and Szemerédi [26] as well as the stability method. We need to adapt these ideas to the linkage of directed cycles instead of tight cycles in hypergraphs. Roughly speaking, we divide the proof of Theorem 1.1 into two parts. In the first part of the proof, we assume that the digraph D is not close to several extremal cases. Therefore, by a standard application of the absorption method, we prove the existence of an arbitrary Hamiltonian H -subdivision. In the second part of the proof, the digraph D is close to several defined extremal cases. We will analyze them case by case by using some structural method. This part of proof is a bit involved, see section 3.2.

We have the following remarks on Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1. It is not meaningful to ask for the minimum out-degree (or similarly, minimum in-degree) condition of a digraph D which ensures that D is H -linked. Indeed, let D be the digraph obtained from a complete digraph D_0 of order $n - 1$ by adding a new vertex x , which sends an arc to every vertex in D_0 , where a complete digraph is a digraph with all possible arcs. Obviously, $\delta^+(D) \geq n - 2$, but it is not even $\overrightarrow{K_2}$ -linked.

2. It is also worth noting that the minimum semi-degree condition in Theorem 1.1 is best possible. The construction of the counterexample we present is based on the works of Kühn and Osthus [15], and Kühn, Osthus and Young [20]. Let D be a digraph that consists

of complete digraphs Q_1 and Q_2 of order $n/2+k$ which have exactly $2k$ vertices in common. Obviously, by calculating the semi-degrees of vertices in $V(Q_1 \setminus Q_2) \cup V(Q_2 \setminus Q_1)$, we know $\delta^0(D) = n/2+k-1$. Let $V(Q_1 \cap Q_2) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ and $H = x_1y_1 \cup \dots \cup x_ky_k$. Then D is not arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked because, $D[V(D-H) \cup \{x_1, y_1\}]$ does not contain a path of length more than $n/2+2$ from the vertex x_1 to y_1 .

3. In addition, the counterexample in 2 above also shows that $n_i \geq 4$ for any $i \in [k]$ is necessary by setting $k = 2$, since $D - \{x_2, y_2\}$ does not contain a path of length $n-5$ from the vertex x_1 to y_1 . In particular, it is also important to note that when the lower bound of semi-degree is $n/2+k-1$, there will always be one or more paths whose length can not be predetermined.

Furthermore, our result also extends the results below. Kühn and Osthus [15] proved that the minimum semi-degree $n/2+k-1$ is enough to force a sufficiently large digraph D to be $k\overrightarrow{K_2}$ -linked, where $\overrightarrow{K_2}$ is an arc and $k\overrightarrow{K_2}$ is the union of k vertex-disjoint arcs. Later Kühn, Osthus and Young [20] proved that D is also Hamiltonian $k\overrightarrow{K_2}$ -linked under the same hypotheses. Additionally, in [6], Ferrara, Jacobson and Pfender derived the minimum semi-degree condition guaranteeing that for any multidigraph H , a digraph is H -linked.

Recently, Coll, Magnant and Nowbandegani [2] confirmed that there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for any integer set $\mathcal{N} = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ with $n_i \geq n_0$ for all $i \in [k]$, and for any graph H with k edges and $\delta(H) \geq 1$, every graph G of order n with $\sigma_2(G) \geq n+2k-1$ is Hamiltonian $(\mathcal{N}H)$ -linked. By replacing edges of G with two arcs in both directions, it is straightforward to check the following corollary holds directly from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *Let H be any graph with k edges and $\delta(H) \geq 1$. There exists a positive integer $n_0 = n_0(k)$ such that if G is a graph of order $n \geq n_0$ and $\delta(G) \geq n/2+k$, then D arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked.*

This corollary implies the following conjecture of Wang for sufficiently large graphs.

Conjecture 1.3. [27] *If $k \geq 2$ is an integer and G is a graph of order n with minimum degree at least $n/2+k$, then for any k independent edges e_1, \dots, e_k in G and for any integer partition $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$ with $n_i \geq 5$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, G has k vertex-disjoint cycles C_1, \dots, C_k of orders n_1, \dots, n_k , respectively, such that C_i passes through e_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

Actually in [27], Wang add the assumption $n_i \geq 4$ in the conjecture. But this is not true in general, Chiba and Yamashita [3] gave a counterexample to show that $n_i \geq 5$ is necessary for Wang's conjecture.

A digraph D is k -ordered if $|V(D)| \geq k$ and for every sequence s_1, \dots, s_k of distinct vertices in D , there exists a cycle that encounters s_1, \dots, s_k in this order. Further, it is said to be *arbitrary k -ordered Hamiltonian* if this cycle is Hamiltonian, and for any integer set $\{n_1, \dots, n_s\}$, the length of the path on this cycle connecting vertex s_i to s_{i+1} is n_i for

each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. The definitions of k -arc ordered digraphs and arbitrary k -arc ordered Hamiltonian digraphs are similar.

The following corollary refines results of Kühn and Osthus [15], where they proved that for any $k \geq 2$ and some constant c , every digraph D of order $n \geq ck^3$ is k -ordered if $\delta^0(D) \geq (n+k)/2 - 1$; and is also k -arc-ordered if $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k - 1$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $k \geq 2$. Every digraph D of sufficiently large order n with $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$ is arbitrary k -arc ordered (and k -ordered) Hamiltonian.*

In particular, if the digraph H are k disjoint loops, then Theorem 1.1 can lead to the following conclusion, which gives a special case of El-Zahar's conjecture [4] in the directed version.

Corollary 1.5. *For every positive integer k , there exists an integer $n_0 = n_0(k)$ such that if D is a digraph of order $n \geq n_0$ and $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$, and $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be any vertex set of D . Then for any integer partition $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$, D contains k vertex-disjoint cycles C_1, \dots, C_k of length n_1, \dots, n_k , respectively, such that $V(C_i) \cap S = \{x_i\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.*

Organization. The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we begin by presenting relevant definitions and notations. Then we provide a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Moving on to Section 3, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Subsection 3.2, we firstly introduce some main lemmas, namely Connecting Lemma, Absorbing Lemma and Path-Covering Lemma, which are utilized to prove Theorem 1.1 when the digraph D does not satisfy the extremal condition discussed in Section 2. Secondly, we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the case when D does not satisfy the extremal condition. In Subsection 3.1, we first identify three extremal cases that D can belong to when it satisfies the extremal condition, and then we will prove that Theorem 1.1 holds in each of these cases. Finally, Section 4 contains some concluding remarks to wrap up the paper.

2 Preparations for Theorem 1.1

2.1 Definitions and notations

For notations not defined in this paper, we refer the readers to [1]. Let $D = (V, A)$ be a digraph. The cardinality of a vertex set $X \subseteq V$ is denoted by $|X|$, and we call X to be a i -set if $|X| = i$. The *out-neighbourhood* (resp., *in-neighbourhood*) of a vertex v in D is defined as $N^+(v) = \{u : vu \in A\}$ (resp., $N^-(v) = \{w : vw \in A\}$). The *out-degree* (resp., *in-degree*) of v in D , which is denoted by $d^+(v)$ (resp. $d^-(v)$), is the cardinality of $N^+(v)$ (resp., $N^-(v)$), that is, $d^+(v) = |N^+(v)|$ (resp., $d^-(v) = |N^-(v)|$). The *minimum out-degree* $\delta^+(D) = \min\{d^+(v) : v \in V\}$ and the *minimum in-degree* $\delta^-(D) = \min\{d^-(v) : v \in V\}$.

For any $X \subseteq V$ and $\sigma \in \{-, +\}$, we define $N^\sigma(u, X) = N^\sigma(u) \cap X$ and $d_X^\sigma(u) = |N^\sigma(u, X)|$ for any vertex u in V . The subdigraph of D induced by X is denoted as

$D[X]$. Let $D - X = D[V \setminus X]$ and $\overline{X} = V \setminus X$. For another vertex set Y that is not necessarily disjoint from X , we use $e^+(X, Y)$ to represent the number of arcs from X to Y . In particular, $e(X)$ represents the number of arcs in $D[X]$.

We define the number of arcs of a path as its *length* and a k -*path* refers to a path of order k . We often represent the k -path P as $v_1 \cdots v_k$ when $V(P) = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and call v_1 and v_k the *first end-vertex* and the *last end-vertex* of P , respectively. Furthermore, for two disjoint vertex sets X and Y in V , if the first and the last end-vertex of P belongs to X and Y , respectively, then we say that P is an (X, Y) -path. All paths in digraphs refer to directed paths, and We use the term *disjoint* instead of vertex-disjoint for simplicity.

For a vertex pair (u, v) (possibly, $u = v$), we say that a 4-path $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4$ *absorbs* (u, v) if $z_2 u, v z_3 \in A$; and a 4-path is called a *absorber* for (u, v) if it absorbs (u, v) . This terminology reflects the fact that the 4-path $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4$ can be extended by absorbing a path with end-vertices u and v , resulting in a longer path with the same set of end-vertices. For two paths $P = a \cdots b$ and $Q = b \cdots d$ with $V(P) \cap V(Q) = \{b\}$, we denote the concatenated path as $P \circ Q$. This definition can be extended naturally to more than two paths.

For a positive integer t , simply write $\{1, \dots, t\}$ as $[t]$. Throughout this paper, the notation $0 < \beta \ll \alpha$ is used to make clear that β can be selected to be sufficiently small corresponding to α so that all calculations required in our proof are valid.

To summarize this subsection, we provide the following extremal condition for an constant ε' , where $\varepsilon' \ll 1$. In particular, we say the digraph D is *stable* if D does not satisfy the following extremal condition **(EC)**.

Extremal Condition (EC) with parameter ε' : Let D be a digraph of order n . There exist two (not necessarily disjoint) vertex sets U_1 and U_2 in D with $|U_i| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n$ for every $i \in [2]$ such that $e^+(U_1, U_2) \leq (\varepsilon'n)^2$.

2.2 Overview of the proof of Theorem 1.1

Let H and D be digraphs as described in Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 utilizes the stability method, which is divided into two main cases: the extremal case when the digraph D is not stable, and the non-extremal case when D is stable.

For the non-extremal case, we employ the method of Connecting Lemma, Absorbing Lemma and Path-Covering Lemma.

Step 1. Prove the connecting lemma. Connecting Lemma (referred to Lemma 3.1 in Subsection 2.3 below) asserts that any two distinct vertices can be connected by a short path.

Step 2. Find an H -linked subdigraph (Absorbing Lemma). By utilizing Connecting Lemma and the probabilistic method, we will construct an *absorbing* subdigraph H' that is H -linked and possesses the remarkable property that every subset of vertices of D , which is not too large, can be absorbed into this subdigraph.

Step 3. Path-Covering Lemma (Lemma 3.9 in Subsection 2.2) implies that we can use a limited number of disjoint paths, of any length, to cover all vertices of $D - H'$.

Consequently, by using the absorbing property of H' , we will absorb these disjoint paths of suitable lengths into H' in order to obtain the desired arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked subdigraph. This strongly suggests that the main theorem holds.

For the extremal case, we employ the traditional structural analysis method to demonstrate that the main theorem holds.

Step 4. The digraph D falls into one of three categories: the extremal case 1 (**EC1**), the extremal case 2 (**EC2**) and the extremal case 3 (**EC3**), where **EC1-3** are defined in Subsection 3.1 below.

Step 5. For each extremal case, we will establish that D is arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked.

In particular, our approach to prove Path-Covering Lemma relies on a directed version of expanders known as robust outexpanders. This concept was explicitly introduced by Kühn, Osthus and Treglown [17]. The notion of robust expansion has played a crucial role in the solution of several conjectures related to the packing of Hamiltonian cycles and paths in (di)graphs. For more recent applications of the theory of robust outexpanders, we recommend interested readers to refer to [11, 12, 16–18, 25].

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1 Non-extremal case

In this subsection, we consider the case when D is stable. All statements assume that D is a digraph on n vertices satisfying $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$ as stated in Theorem 1.1. Additionally, we suppose that D is stable, and let ε be any positive real number, and ε' , ε_1 and γ be parameters chosen such that $\gamma \ll \varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon$.

3.1.1 Connecting and absorbing

The following lemma asserts that any two distinct vertices can be connected by a short directed path.

Lemma 3.1. (*Connecting Lemma*) *Let P_1 and P_2 be two disjoint paths of length at most 3 in D . Then there exists a k -path with $k \leq 4$ in D that connects the paths P_1 and P_2 . Furthermore, this conclusion still holds even if at most γn vertices are forbidden to be used on this connecting path.*

Proof. We will prove the lemma with $20\gamma^3$ playing the role of γ . Assume that the first end-vertex and the last end-vertex of P_1 and P_2 are a and b , and c and d , respectively. There is nothing to prove if $bc \in A(D)$. So we assume that $bc \notin A(D)$. Let U be a vertex subset of D with $|U| \leq 20\gamma^3 n$ and define $D_0 = D[V(D) \setminus (U \cup V(P_1 - b) \cup V(P_2 - c))]$. If $N_{D_0}^+(b) \cap N_{D_0}^-(c) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a vertex $x \in N_{D_0}^+(b) \cap N_{D_0}^-(c)$ and the desired connecting path $P = bxc$. Otherwise, note that

$$|N_{D_0}^+(b)|, |N_{D_0}^-(c)| \geq \delta^0(D) - (20\gamma^3 n + 6) \geq n/2 + k - (20\gamma^3 n + 6) \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n.$$

Then we may deduce that $e^+(N_{D_0}^+(b), N_{D_0}^-(c)) > (\varepsilon'n)^2$, since D does not satisfy the extremal condition with $(U_1, U_2)_{\mathbf{EC}} = (N_{D_0}^+(b), N_{D_0}^-(c))$. This suggests that there exists an arc xy from $N_{D_0}^+(b)$ to $N_{D_0}^-(c)$ and the path $P = bxyz$ connects paths P_1 and P_2 . Thus, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

The absorbing lemma asserts that there is one “reasonably sized” H -linked subdigraph that possesses the property that any “reasonably sized” subset of vertices can be absorbed into it by constructing some longer paths with the same end-vertices. Before presenting the absorption lemma, it is necessary to introduce the following useful lemmas.

Let H be the digraph as in Theorem 1.1. In the following, we always suppose that $V(H) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{|V(H)|}\}$ and, for convenience, we also assume that $f(v_i) := v_i$ for each $i \in [|V(H)|]$. Let $W = \{f(v_1), \dots, f(v_{|V(H)|})\}$, and let $D' = D - W$. We will use the following famous Chernoff's inequality:

Lemma 3.2. [10] *Let X be a sum of independent binomial random variables with the expectation $\mathbb{E}X$, and let a be any real number with $0 < a < 3/2$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}X| > a\mathbb{E}X) < 2e^{-\frac{a^2}{3}\mathbb{E}X}.$$

For any vertex pair (u, v) (possibly $u = v$) in D , we denote by \mathcal{A}_{uv} the family of all 4-paths absorbing (u, v) . The next lemma can be proved by a standard application of the Chernoff's inequality.

Lemma 3.3. (i) *For any vertex pair (u, v) , there are at least γn^4 4-paths absorbing (u, v) in D' , that is, $|\mathcal{A}_{uv}| \geq \gamma n^4$.*

(ii) *There exists a family \mathcal{F} of at most γn disjoint, absorbing 4-paths in D' such that for every vertex pair (u, v) , we have $|\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq \gamma^2 n$.*

Proof. We first prove (i). Let $U_1 = N_{D'}^-(u)$ and $U_2 = N_{D'}^+(v)$. By the minimum semi-degree condition of D' , we have that $|U_i| \geq n/2 - k$ for every $i \in [2]$. Since D is stable, we obtain that $a(U_1, U_2) > (\varepsilon'n)^2$. Then again by the lower bound of $\delta^0(D')$, we can deduce that for any given arc z_1z_2 with the vertex $z_1 \in U_1$ and $z_2 \in U_2$, we have that $|N_{D'}^-(z_1) \setminus \{u, v, z_2\}| \geq n/2 - k - 3$ and $|N_{D'}^+(z_2) \setminus \{u, v, z_1\}| \geq n/2 - k - 3$. This implies that the number of 4-paths $z_0z_1z_2z_3$ with $z_0 \in N_{D'}^-(z_1) \setminus \{u, v, z_2\}$ and $z_3 \in N_{D'}^+(z_2) \setminus \{u, v, z_0, z_1\}$ absorbing (u, v) is at least

$$(\varepsilon'n)^2 \cdot (n/2 - k - 3) \cdot (n/2 - k - 4) \geq (\varepsilon')^2 n^4 / 5 \geq \gamma n^4.$$

This completes the proof of (i).

We then prove (ii) with $2\gamma^3$ and $\gamma^4/4$ playing the role of γ and γ^2 , respectively. We first select a family \mathcal{F}' of 4-sets at random by including each of $n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \sim n^4$ of them independently with probability $\gamma^3 n^{-3}$ (some of the selected 4-sets may not be absorbing at all). Then we affirm the following conclusions.

- (1) *With probability $1 - o(1)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $|\mathcal{F}'| < 2\gamma^3 n$ and $|\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}'| > \gamma^4 n/3$ for every vertex pair (u, v) .*

(2) With probability at least $1/17$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there are at most $17\gamma^6 n$ pairs of intersecting 4-sets in \mathcal{F}' .

(1) can be obtained directly by using Chernoff's inequality. We further give the proof of (2). Clearly, the expected number of intersecting pairs of 4-sets in \mathcal{F}' is at most

$$n^4 \times 4 \times 4 \times n^3 \times (\gamma^3 n^{-3})^2 = 16\gamma^6 n,$$

and so by Markov's inequality with $X :=$ the number of intersecting pairs of 4-sets in \mathcal{F}' and $a = 17\gamma^6 n$, we can get that $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 17\gamma^6 n) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}X}{a} = \frac{16\gamma^6 n}{17\gamma^6 n} = 16/17$. This implies that (2) also holds.

Hence by (1)-(2), with positive probability, the family \mathcal{F}' satisfies the properties both (1) and (2). Thus there exists one such family, and, for simplicity, we define this family to be \mathcal{F}'' . From \mathcal{F}'' we delete all 4-sets that intersect other 4-sets, as well as all 4-sets that are not absorbers. We denote by \mathcal{F} the remaining subfamily. It is clear that \mathcal{F} consists of disjoint absorbers and for every vertex pair (u, v) ,

$$|\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}| > \frac{\gamma^4 n}{3} - 2 \cdot 17\gamma^6 n > \frac{\gamma^4 n}{4}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let $f = |\mathcal{F}|$ and let F_1, \dots, F_f be the elements of \mathcal{F} , where \mathcal{F} is the family as described in Lemma 3.3. Since F_i is an absorber, F_i spans a 4-path for every $i \in [f]$. In the following, we also call F_i a 4-path. Let s be any positive integer. In the following, we will use Chernoff's inequality to show that we may divide absorbers in \mathcal{F} into s parts, such that for any remaining vertex pair (u, v) in $D - V(\mathcal{F})$, there exists an absorber of (u, v) in every part.

Lemma 3.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be the family obtained in Lemma 3.3. For any real $\beta > 0$ and any integer l with $\beta f < l < (1 - \beta)f$, there exists a partition $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ with $|\mathcal{F}_1| = l$ and $|\mathcal{F}_2| = f - l$ such that for any vertex pair (u, v) of $D - V(\mathcal{F})$, both \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 contain absorbers of (u, v) .*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ with $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{b_1, \dots, b_{f-l}\}$. We prove that \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 satisfy our conditions with high probability. Let F_{uv}^σ be a random variable that calculates the number of absorbers of (u, v) in \mathcal{F}_σ for $\sigma \in [2]$. Since Lemma 3.3 gives $|\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq \gamma^2 n$ and $|\mathcal{F}| < \gamma n$, it is not hard to see that

$$\mathbb{E}F_{uv}^\sigma = \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}|}{|\mathcal{F}|} \cdot |\mathcal{F}_\sigma| \geq \frac{\gamma^2 n}{\gamma n} \cdot |\mathcal{F}_\sigma| = \gamma |\mathcal{F}_\sigma|,$$

Then by Chernoff's bound, for any $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$ due to $\beta f \leq |\mathcal{F}_\sigma| \leq (1 - \beta)f$ and $f \geq \gamma^2 n$, we obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(F_{uv}^\sigma < \frac{\mathbb{E}F_{uv}^\sigma}{2}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(|F_{uv}^\sigma - \mathbb{E}F_{uv}^\sigma| > \frac{\mathbb{E}F_{uv}^\sigma}{2}\right) < 2e^{-\frac{\mathbb{E}F_{uv}^\sigma}{12}} = 2e^{-\frac{\gamma|\mathcal{F}_\sigma|}{12}} < 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Notice that there are less than n^2 vertex pairs in $D - V(\mathcal{F})$. Then by (3.1), for $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$, since $|\mathcal{F}| \geq |\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq \gamma^2 n$ implying that $|\mathcal{F}_\sigma| \geq \beta f \geq \beta \gamma^2 n$, we have that

$$\sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(D-\mathcal{F})} \mathbb{P} \left(F_{uv}^\sigma < \frac{\mathbb{E} F_{uv}^\sigma}{2} \right) < 2n^2 e^{-\frac{\gamma |\mathcal{F}_\sigma|}{12}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore with high probability, we obtain that $F_{uv}^\sigma \geq \frac{\mathbb{E} F_{uv}^\sigma}{2} \geq \frac{\gamma |\mathcal{F}_\sigma|}{2}$, and both \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 contain absorbers of (u, v) . This implies that we may always find two subsets of absorbers such that they satisfy the conditions of this lemma. \square

Now we can repeatedly use the division process as described in Lemma 3.5 to partition the family \mathcal{F} of absorbers into s distinct parts, say $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_s$, where \mathcal{F} is the family as described in Lemma 3.3. Our next task is to connect all 4-paths in the subfamily \mathcal{F}_i into a “not too long” absorbing path for each $i \in [s]$. To achieve this, we will apply Connecting Lemma (Lemma 3.1) repeatedly, and for every $i, j \in [f-1]$, where $i \neq j$, we will connect the end-vertices of F_i and F_j by a short path. We state the lemma as follows.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that s is any positive integer. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{F}_s$ and $\mathcal{F}_i = \{F_{i,1}, \dots, F_{i,l_i}\}$ for every $i \in [s]$, where \mathcal{F} is the family as described in Lemma 3.3. Then for any $i \in [s]$, there exists a path L_i in D' of the form*

$$L_i = F_{i,1} \circ P_{i,1} \circ F_{i,2} \cdots \circ F_{i,l_i-1} \circ P_{i,l_i-1} \circ F_{i,l_i},$$

where the path $P_{i,j}$ has a length at most 3 for each $j \in [l_i-1]$, and all paths L_i are disjoint.

Proof. Clearly, $|\mathcal{F}_i| = l_i$ for any $i \in [s]$. For any $i \in [s]$, we will prove the claim by induction on k that for each $k \in [l_i]$, there exists a path S_k in D of the form $S_1 = F_{i,1}$ and for $k \geq 2$,

$$S_k = F_{i,1} \circ P_{i,1} \cdots \circ F_{i,k-1} \circ P_{i,k-1} \circ F_{i,k},$$

where each of the paths $P_{i,1}, \dots, P_{i,k-1}$ has the length at most 3. Note that $L_i = S_{l_i}$.

It is obvious for the case $k = 1$. Assume that the statement is true for some $k-1 \in [l_i-1]$. Moreover, we suppose that the last (resp., first) end-vertex of $F_{i,k-1}$ (resp., $F_{i,k}$) is b (resp., a). Denote by D_{k-1} the subdigraph induced by the vertex set $V_{k-1} = V(D) \setminus V((S_{k-1} - b) \cup (\mathcal{F} - a))$ in D' . Since

$$|V(S_{k-1} \cup \mathcal{F})| < |\mathcal{F}|(4+4) = 8f \leq 8 \cdot 2\gamma^3 n < \gamma n,$$

by Lemma 3.1, there is a path $P_{i,k-1}$ of length at most 3 in D_{k-1} such that it connects paths S_{k-1} and $F_{i,k}$. Note that $V(P_{i,k-1}) \setminus \{a, b\}$ is disjoint from $V(\mathcal{F} \cup S_{k-1})$, and so the desired path

$$S_k = S_{k-1} \circ P_{i,k-1} \circ F_{i,k}.$$

Hence, the proof of the lemma is completed. \square

By using Lemmas 3.3-3.5, we will now present the following absorption lemma.

Lemma 3.6. (*Absorbing Lemma*) *Let D and H be the digraphs as described in Theorem 1.1. Then there is an H -linked subdigraph H' in D with $|V(H')| \leq \gamma n$ such that the following holds. For every subset $U \subset V(D - H')$ of cardinality at most $\gamma^2 n$ there is an H -linked subdigraph H'' in D with $V(H'') = V(H') \cup U$ and H'' has the same branch-vertices as H' .*

Proof. Recall that $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$, and $V(H) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{|V(H)|}\}$, $W = f(V(H)) = \{f(v_1), \dots, f(v_{|V(H)|})\} := \{v_1, \dots, v_{|V(H)|}\}$, and $D' = D - W$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ be any integer set, and let β be a positive real number satisfying $k\beta < \varepsilon'$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k$. Take s from $[k]$ such that s is the largest subscript satisfying $n_s \geq \beta n$. Clearly $\delta^0(D') \geq n/2 - k$ since $|V(H)| \leq 2k$.

By Lemma 3.3, there exists a family \mathcal{F} of at most γn disjoint, absorbing 4-paths in D' such that for every vertex pair (u, v) , we have $|\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}| \geq \gamma^2 n$. Then by using Lemma 3.4 repeatedly, we can partition the family \mathcal{F} of absorbers into s distinct parts, say $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_s$, such that $|V(\mathcal{F}_i)| \leq n_i - 6$ for each $i \in [s]$, and in each part, there exists an absorber for any vertex pair (u, v) in $V(D - \mathcal{F})$. It is important to note that in this process, we require the number of absorbers in each part to be at least $\beta' f$ for some positive real number β' with $\beta' < \beta$. Furthermore, Lemma 3.5 shows that we can connect all 4-paths of \mathcal{F}_i into a path L_i of length not more than $n_i - 6$ for all $i \in [s]$, and these s paths L_1, \dots, L_s are disjoint and $\sum_{i=1}^s |V(L_i)| \leq \gamma n$.

On the one hand, in the desired H -linked subdigraph H' , if there is a path, say from v_1 to v_2 , that is a path of length $n_{s+1} < \beta n$, then we can greedily get this path since we can choose a vertex $u_3 \in N_{D'}^+(v_1)$ and $u_{i+1} \in N_{D'}^+(u_i) \setminus \{u_3, \dots, u_i\}$ for all $i \in \{3, \dots, n_{s+1} - 3\}$ and there an arc from $N_{D'}^+(u_{n_{s+1}-2}) \setminus \{v_1, u_3, \dots, u_{n_{s+1}-2}, v_2\}$ to $N_{D'}^-(v_2) \setminus \{v_1, u_3, \dots, u_{n_{s+1}-2}\}$, which is because D does not satisfy the extremal condition, and by the lower bound of $\delta^0(D')$, the cardinalities of these two vertex sets are more than $(1/2 - \varepsilon)n$. Using a similar process as described above, we can obtain all paths $P_{s+1}, P_{s+2}, \dots, P_k$ of length $n_{s+1}, n_{s+2}, \dots, n_k$, respectively, as in the desired H -linked subdigraph H' .

On the other hand, for the path of length $n_l \geq \beta n$ for every $l \in [s]$, we will connect its end-vertices with the absorbing path L_l . Without loss of generality, write $L_l = a_l \dots b_l$ for each $l \in [s]$, and suppose that in the desired H -linked subdigraph H' , the path from v_l to $v_{l'}$ has the length n_l for every $l \in [s]$. In the remaining digraph $D'' = D' - \bigcup_{i=1}^s L_i - \bigcup_{j=s+1}^k P_j$, let $R_1 = N_{D''}^+(v_l)$ and $R_2 = N_{D''}^-(a_l)$. By the lower bound of $\delta^0(D)$, we have that $|R_1|, |R_2| \geq n/2 - \beta n \cdot (k - s) - \gamma n - 4s \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon)n$, which implies that there exists an arc xx' from R_1 to R_2 since D does not meet the extremal condition. Similarly there is an arc yy' from $N_{D''}^+(b_l)$ to $N_{D''}^-(v_{l'})$. Then we get a path $v_l xx' L_l yy' v_{l'}$ of length n_l . Hence, we can get all desired paths of length n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s , respectively.

We denote by H' to be the subdigraph obtained from the union of these paths of lengths n_1, \dots, n_k . Clearly H' is H -linked. It remains to prove that H' has the absorbing property. Let U be a vertex subset of $V(D - H')$ with $|U| \leq \gamma^2 n$. By Lemma 3.3, for

every vertex pair (u, v) , we have $|\mathcal{A}_{uv} \cap \mathcal{F}| > \gamma^2 n$. This yields that there are at least $\gamma^2 n$ disjoint absorbers for (u, v) in H' . Therefore, we can insert all vertices of U into H' one by one, each time using a fresh absorbing 4-path in H' . \square

3.1.2 Path-Covering

Recall that as shown in Lemma 3.6, we can get a H -linked subdigraph H' . In the following lemma, we will demonstrate that we can cover $V(D - H')$ with a Hamiltonian path. Before presenting the proof of this lemma, we first need to introduce some definitions and a result of Kühn, Osthus and Treglown.

Definition 3.7. (Robust (ν, τ) -outexpander) Let ν and τ be real numbers with $0 < \nu \leq \tau < 1$. Suppose that D is a digraph and the vertex subset $S \subseteq V(D)$. The ν -robust out-neighbourhood $RN_{\nu, D}^+(S)$ of S is defined as the set of all vertices x in D that have at least $\nu|V(D)|$ in-neighbourhoods in S . Moreover, the digraph D is called a *robust (ν, τ) -outexpander* if $|RN_{\nu, D}^+(S)| \geq |S| + \nu|V(D)|$ for all $S \subseteq V(D)$ with $\tau|V(D)| < |S| < (1 - \tau)|V(D)|$.

The proof of Lemma 3.9 is mainly based on a result of Kühn, Osthus and Treglown [18], which shows that the existence of a Hamiltonian cycle in a digraph with a small lower bound on semi-degree and satisfying a certain expansion property.

Theorem 3.8. [18] *Let n_0 be a positive integer, and let ν, τ and ξ be positive constants such that $1/n_0 \ll \nu \leq \tau \ll \xi < 1$. If D is a digraph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices with $\delta^0(D) \geq \xi n$ and is a robust (ν, τ) -outexpander, then D contains a Hamiltonian cycle.*

Theorem 3.8 and its undirected version have been utilized as a black box in various papers, including [7, 13, 14, 16, 19, 25]. It's worth noting that Theorem 3.8 relies on Regularity Lemma. However, in 2018, Allan and Viresh [24] provided a proof of Theorem 3.8 by applying “sparse” robust expanders instead of Regularity Lemma.

Now, we present the statement of the path-covering lemma.

Lemma 3.9. (*Path-Covering Lemma*) *The digraph $D - H'$ contains a Hamiltonian path, where D is the digraph as in Theorem 1.1, and H' is the subdigraph as described in Lemma 3.6.*

Proof. Let η be a real number with $\gamma \ll \eta < \varepsilon'/3$, and let $D' = D - H'$. It is evident that $\delta^0(D') \geq (n/2 + k) - \gamma n \geq (1/2 - \eta)n$. Let ν and τ be positive constants such that $\nu \ll \tau/2 \ll \gamma$ and $\nu \leq (\varepsilon')^2$.

In the following, we demonstrate that D' is a robust (ν, τ) -outexpander by considering three cases for any vertex set $S \subseteq V(D')$. Firstly, if $(1/2 + \varepsilon'/2)n < |S| < (1 - \tau)n$, then we can deduce that $RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S) = V(D')$. This is because the lower of $\delta^0(D')$ guarantees that for any vertex $x \in V(D')$,

$$d_S^-(x) \geq \delta^0(D') - |\bar{S}| > (1/2 - \eta)n - (1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n = (\varepsilon'/2 - \eta)n \geq \nu n,$$

where the last inequality is obtained by using the fact that $\nu \ll \eta < \varepsilon'/3$.

Secondly, if $\tau n < |S| < (1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n$, then we have that $|RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| \geq |S| + \nu n$. Actually, using the lower bound of $\delta^0(D')$ once again, we obtain that

$$|S| \cdot \delta^0(D') \leq \sum_{y \in S} d^+(y) < |RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| \cdot |S| + (n - |RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)|) \cdot \nu n.$$

Since $|S| < (1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n$, this implies that

$$|S| \cdot (1/2 - \eta)n - \nu n^2 < |RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| \cdot (|S| - \nu n) < |RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)|((1/2 - \varepsilon'/2) - \nu)n.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| &> \frac{|S| \cdot (1/2 - \eta)n - \nu n^2}{(1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n - \nu n} = |S| + \frac{|S|(-\eta + \varepsilon'/2 + \nu) - \nu n}{(1/2 - \varepsilon'/2) - \nu} \\ &> |S| + \frac{|S|(\varepsilon'/6 + \nu) - \nu n}{1/2 - \varepsilon'/2 - \nu} \geq |S| + \nu n, \end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate inequality follows from $\eta < \varepsilon'/3$, and the last inequality is obtained by the fact that inequalities $\tau n < |S|$ and $\nu \ll \tau/2 \ll \varepsilon'$ can derive that $|S|(\varepsilon'/6 + \nu) - \nu n > \tau n \cdot (\varepsilon'/6 + \nu) - \nu n = \tau \varepsilon' n/6 - \nu n + \tau \nu n > \nu n/2 - \varepsilon' \nu n - \nu^2 n$.

Finally we consider the case when $(1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n \leq |S| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon'/2)n$. To obtain a contradiction, suppose $|RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| < |S| + \tau n \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon'/2)n + \tau n$. Then we have that $|V(D') \setminus RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n$. Obviously, D' is stable since D is stable and $D' \subset D$. This implies that there are at least $(\varepsilon' n)^2$ arcs from S to $V(D') \setminus RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)$ since $|S|, |V(D') \setminus RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n$ and then $(U_1, U_2)_{\mathbf{EC}} = (S, V(D') \setminus RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S))$. On the other hand, by the definition of $RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)$, each vertex $z \in V(D') \setminus RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)$ has less than νn in-neighbourhoods in S , which suggests that

$$e^+(S, V(D') \setminus RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)) < |V(D') \setminus RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| \cdot \nu n \leq \nu n^2.$$

This implies that $(\varepsilon')^2 < \nu$, a contradiction. Therefore, $|RN_{\nu, D'}^+(S)| \geq |S| + \nu n$ as desired.

Hence, we have shown that D' is a robust (ν, τ) -outexpander. By Theorem 3.8, we conclude that D' contains a Hamiltonian cycle, which confirms this lemma. \square

3.1.3 Completion of Theorem 1.1

Recall that H is a digraph with k arcs and $\delta(H) \geq 1$, and D is a digraph on n vertices with $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$. Parameters $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1$ and ε' satisfy $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon$.

By Absorbing Lemma (Lemma 3.6), we obtain an H -linked subdigraph in D , called as H' , with $|V(H')| \leq \gamma n$. Furthermore, for any integer set $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$, without loss of generality, assuming that $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k$, Lemmas 3.4-3.5 suggest that H' contains all paths of lengths n_{s+1}, \dots, n_k for some $s \in [k]$, and there exist s long paths, defined as Q_1, \dots, Q_s , in H' , of length less than n_1, \dots, n_s , respectively. Also, each of these long paths contains an absorber of any vertex pair (u, v) in $D - H'$. Additionally, with the

help of Path-Covering Lemma (Lemma 3.9), we can divide $D - H'$ into s disjoint paths of appropriate lengths with $s \leq k$, denoted as P_1, \dots, P_s , such that for each $i \in [s]$, $P_i = c_i \cdots d_i$ and $|V(P_i)| = n_i - |V(Q_i)|$. Since the pair of vertices (c_i, d_i) with $i \in [s]$ has an absorber in the path L_i , the path P_i can be absorbed into Q_i . We define $Q'_i = Q_i \cup P_i$ for each $i \in [s]$, and then Q'_i is a path of length n_i . It is important to note that at this point, we have obtained a Hamiltonian H -linked subdigraph of D . Therefore, Theorem 1.1 is completed for the case when D is stable.

3.2 Extremal case

Let D and H be the digraphs as showed in Theorem 1.1. In this subsection, we always assume that ε is any positive real number, and parameters ε_1 and ε' are chosen such that $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon$. If necessary, we relabel the vertices in $f(V(H))$ such that, in the desired Hamiltonian H -linked subdigraph, the length of the path from v_i to $v_{i'}$ is n_i .

We first define the *strongly neighbourhood* of a vertex x in D to be $SN(x) = \{y : xy, yx \in A(D)\}$, and the *strong semi-degree* of x in D , defined as $s(x)$, is the cardinality of $SN(x)$, that is $s(x) = |SN(x)|$. Also, for a vertex subset U of D , we denote $s_U(x) = |SN(x) \cap U|$. In addition, we give the following definitions.

Definition 3.10. Suppose that $V(D) \setminus f(V(H)) = W_1 \cup W_2$, and $u \in W_1$ (resp., $v \in W_2$).

We define exceptional vertices of *Types I₁-I₄*, and say that u (v , respectively) is of

- (1) *Type I₁*, if, for some $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$, $d_{W_1}^\sigma(u) \leq (1 - \sqrt{10\varepsilon})|W_1|$ ($d_{W_2}^\sigma(v) \leq (1 - \sqrt{10\varepsilon})|W_2|$, respectively).
- (2) *Type I₂*, if, for some $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$, $d_{W_1}^\sigma(u) \leq \varepsilon^{1/3}|W_1|$ ($d_{W_2}^\sigma(v) \leq \varepsilon^{1/3}|W_2|$, respectively).
- (3) *Type I₃*, if $s_{W_2}(u) \leq (1 - \sqrt{10\varepsilon})|W_2|$ ($s_{W_1}(v) \leq (1 - \sqrt{10\varepsilon})|W_1|$, respectively).
- (4) *Type I₄*, if $s_{W_2}(u) \leq \varepsilon^{1/3}|W_2|$ ($s_{W_1}(v) \leq \varepsilon^{1/3}|W_1|$, respectively).

For each $i \in [4]$, we also use E_i to represent the set of vertices of Type I_i in D . It is obvious that for every $i \in \{1, 3\}$, we have $E_{i+1} \subseteq E_i$.

Definition 3.11. Let S_1 and S_2 be two disjoint vertex sets in $V(D) \setminus f(V(H))$. For any integer $j \in [2]$, we define V_j to be the set of vertex pairs $(v_i, v_{i'})$ such that $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^+(v_i) \cup N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^-(v_{i'}) \subseteq S_j$, and V_{j+2} to be the set of vertex pairs $(v_i, v_{i'})$ such that $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^+(v_i) \subseteq S_j$ and $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^-(v_{i'}) \subseteq S_{3-j}$. Also, We also require that V_i and V_j are disjoint for distinct $i, j \in [4]$.

Further, we present the following proposition, which is simple yet interesting, and will be repeatedly used in the extremal cases.

Proposition 3.12. *Let η be any real number with $\eta \ll 1$ and n_1, \dots, n_k be any even integers. Suppose that T is a digraph with $V(T) = A \cup B$, where $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $|A| = |B|$. For any $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$, if T satisfies that for any vertex $u \in A$ and any $v \in B$, $d_B^\sigma(u) \geq (1 - \eta)|B|$ and $d_A^\sigma(v) \geq (1 - \eta)|A|$, then for any vertex set $U \subseteq V(T)$ with $U \cap A = \{x_1^0, \dots, x_k^0\}$ and $U \cap B = \{y_1^0, \dots, y_k^0\}$, T contains k disjoint paths P_1, \dots, P_k such that for each $j \in [k]$, the end-vertices of P_j are x_j^0 and y_j^0 , and $|V(P_j) \cap A| = |V(P_j) \cap B| = n_j/2$.*

Proof. For convenience, for any $j \in [k]$, let $r_j = n_j/2 - 1$. For each path P_j , we assign $r_j + 1$ unassigned vertices $x_j^0, x_j^1, \dots, x_j^{r_j}$ in A , where $x_j^{r_j} \in N_A^-(y_j^0)$. Let $B' = B \setminus U$. We can complete the proof of this proposition by constructing an auxiliary bipartite graph $Q = (A', B')$. In Q , every vertex in A' corresponds to one and only one of successive vertex pairs $(x_j^0, x_j^1), \dots, (x_j^{r_j-1}, x_j^{r_j})$ assigned to P_j for all $j \in [k]$, and for any vertex $z \in A'$, that corresponds to the pair (x_j^i, x_j^{i+1}) , is connected to the vertices in $N_T^+(x_j^i) \cap N_T^-(x_j^{i+1})$. Obviously, any perfect matching in Q corresponds to an embedding of P_1, \dots, P_k in T .

Clearly, $|A'| = |B'|$ and $d_Q(z) \geq 2(1 - \eta)|B| - |B| \geq (1 - 2\eta)|B|$ for $z \in A'$. Additionally, based on the semi-degrees of the vertices in B' , we can deduce that $d_Q(u) \geq (1 - 2\eta)|A'| = (1 - 2\eta)|B'|$ for any vertex $u \in B'$. Therefore, the degrees of the vertices in Q are all at least $(1 - 2\eta)|B'|$. By the König-Hall's theorem, we can conclude that Q has a perfect matching, which completes the proof this proposition. \square

We now define the following three extremal cases that occur when D satisfies the extremal condition **(EC)**.

(I) **Extremal Case 1 (EC1) with parameters ε and ε' :** In D , There exist two disjoint vertex sets W_1 and W_2 such that for every $i \in [2]$, $|W_i| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon)n$ and $e(W_i) \geq |W_i|^2 - 2\varepsilon n^2$, and $e^+(W_1, W_2) \leq (\varepsilon'n)^2$. In this case, we also say that D is *almost unidirectionally empty*. (See Figure 1-(a)).

(II) **Extremal Case 2 (EC2) with the parameter ε :** In D , there exist two disjoint vertex sets W_1 and W_2 such that for each $i \in [2]$, $|W_i| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon)n$ and $e^+(W_i, W_{3-i}) \geq |W_i| \cdot |W_{3-i}| - \varepsilon n^2$. In this case, we also call D to be an *almost complete bipartite digraph* corresponding to the vertex sets W_1 and W_3 . (See Figure 1-(b)).

(III) **Extremal Case 3 (EC3) with parameters ε and ε_1 :** In D , there exist four disjoint vertex sets W_1, W_2, W_3 and W_4 in D with $\varepsilon_1 n/2 < |W_1|, |W_3| < (1/2 - 3\varepsilon_1/4)n$ and $\varepsilon_1 n/2 < |W_2|, |W_4| < (1/2 - \varepsilon_1/4)n$ such that $|W_1|$ is approximately equal to $|W_3|$, and similarly, $|W_2|$ is approximately equal to $|W_4|$. Furthermore, the following statements hold. (See Figure 1-(c)).

(A) For $i \in [4]$, we have that $e^+(W_i, W_{i+1}) \geq |W_i| \cdot |W_{i+1}| - \varepsilon n^2/2$, where the subscript of W_{i+1} takes the remainder modulo 3. In particular, we also say that $D[W_i \cup W_{i+1}]$ is *almost unidirectionally complete* corresponding to the vertex sets W_i and W_{i+1} .

(B) For each $i \in \{1, 3\}$, $e(W_i) \geq |W_i|^2 - \varepsilon n^2/2$.

(C) The digraph $D[W_2 \cup W_4]$ is an almost complete bipartite digraph corresponding to the vertex sets W_2 and W_4 .

Based on the extremal condition **(EC)** and the definitions of **EC1-EC3**, we can use traditional structural analysis methods to effectively demonstrate the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.13. *If the digraph D , as described in Theorem 1.1, satisfies the extremal condition **(EC)**, then D must belong to either **EC1**, **EC2**, or **EC3**.*

Proof. Since D satisfies **EC**, there exist two (not necessarily disjoint) vertex sets U_1 and U_2 with $|U_i| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n$ for every $i \in [2]$, and $e^+(U_1, U_2) \leq (\varepsilon'n)^2$. We consider the case by case based on the cardinality of $U_1 \cap U_2$. For convenience, let $U_0 := U_1 \cap U_2$.




Figure 1: The extremal cases 1-3. Note that in this figure, an edge without direction between two vertices indicates a 2-cycle, and a thick arrow pointing between two vertex sets indicates that the reduced digraph by them is almost unidirectionally complete.

If $|U_0| \leq \varepsilon_1 n$, then we will prove that D belongs to **EC1**. In this case, we define $W_1 = U_1 \setminus U_0$ and $W_2 = U_2 \setminus U_0$. Clearly W_1 and W_2 are disjoint and $e^+(W_1, W_2) \leq e^+(U_1, U_2) \leq (\varepsilon' n)^2$. Additionally, for every $i \in [2]$, since $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon$, we have that $|W_i| = |U_i \setminus (U_1 \cap U_2)| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon' - \varepsilon_1)n \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon)n$. Further, together with $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$, $|W_1| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon)n$, $|D \setminus (W_1 \cup W_2)| \leq 2\varepsilon n$, $e^+(W_1, \overline{W_1}) = e^+(W_1, W_2) + e^+(W_1, D \setminus (W_1 \cup W_2))$, and $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
e(W_1) &\geq \sum_{u \in W_1} d^+(u) - a(W_1, \overline{W_1}) \geq |W_1| \cdot (n/2 + k) - (\varepsilon' n)^2 - (1/2 + \varepsilon)n \cdot 2\varepsilon n \\
&\geq |W_1|^2 - 2\varepsilon n^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Following the same calculation as in (3.2), we can sum in-degrees of vertices in W_2 to obtain that $e(W_2) \geq |W_2|^2 - 2\varepsilon n^2$. All the conclusions that have been obtained above imply that $D[W_1 \cup W_2]$ is an almost unidirectionally empty digraph, and therefore D belongs to **EC1**.

If $|U_0| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon_1)n$, then we will prove that D belongs to **EC2**. Let $W_1 = U_0$ and $W_2 = V(D) \setminus U_0$. We have that $|W_2| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n$ since $V(D) = W_1 \cup W_2$ and $|W_1| = |U_0| \leq |U_i| \leq |V(D) \setminus U_{3-i}| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon')n$, where $i \in [2]$. Further, it is evident that $e(W_1) = e(U_0) \leq e(U_1, U_2) \leq (\varepsilon' n)^2$. Combining with $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$ and $|W_2| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon_1)n$ due to $|V(D) \setminus W_2| = |W_1| = |U_0| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon_1)n$, and $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon$, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^+(W_1, W_2) &\geq |W_1| \cdot (n/2 + k) - (\varepsilon' n)^2 \\
&= |W_1| \cdot (1/2 + \varepsilon_1)n + k|W_1| - |W_1| \cdot \varepsilon_1 n - (\varepsilon' n)^2 \\
&\geq |W_1| \cdot |W_2| + k|W_1| - (\varepsilon_1 + (\varepsilon')^2)n^2 \\
&\geq |W_1| \cdot |W_2| - \varepsilon n^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Similar to (3.3), by calculating the sum of in-degrees of vertices in W_1 , we can also obtain that $e^+(W_2, W_1) \geq |W_1| \cdot |W_2| - \varepsilon n^2$. Hence, according to the definition of **EC2**, we can conclude that D belongs to **EC2**.

In the following, we assume that $\varepsilon_1 n < |U_0| < (1/2 - \varepsilon_1)n$. In this case, we will declare that D belongs to **EC3**. Let $W_1 = U_1 \setminus U_0$, $W_2 = V(D) \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2)$, $W_3 = U_2 \setminus U_0$ and $W_4 = U_0$. We first estimate the cardinalities of vertex sets W_1 - W_4 . The following conclusion is true.

Claim 3.14. *For each $j \in \{1, 3\}$, $(1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n + k \leq |W_j| + |W_2| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon')n$.*

Proof. On the one hand, since $e(W_4) + e^+(W_4, W_3) = e(U_0) + e^+(U_0, U_2 \setminus U_0) \leq e^+(U_1, U_2) \leq (\varepsilon' n)^2$, by calculating the out-degrees of vertices in W_4 , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (n/2 + k) \cdot |W_4| &\leq \sum_{w \in W_4} d^+(w) = e^+(W_4, W_1) + e(W_4) + e^+(W_4, W_3) + e^+(W_4, W_2) \\ &\leq e^+(W_4, W_1) + (\varepsilon' n)^2 + e^+(W_4, W_2) \\ &\leq |W_4| \cdot |W_1| + (\varepsilon' n)^2 + |W_4| \cdot |W_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|W_4| = |U_0| > \varepsilon_1 n$ and $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1$, this implies that $(1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n + k \leq |W_1| + |W_2|$. Similarly, by calculating in-degrees of vertices of W_4 , we also obtain that $(1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n + k \leq |W_2| + |W_3|$.

On the other hand, due to $|U_i| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n$ for each $i \in [2]$, it can be deduced that $|W_1| + |W_2| = |V(D) \setminus U_2| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon')n$ and $|W_2| + |W_3| = |V(D) \setminus U_1| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon')n$. Hence, the claim holds. \square

In the following, we will first prove that $|W_1|$ is approximately equal to $|W_3|$, and similarly, $|W_2|$ is approximately equal to $|W_4|$.

Claim 3.15. *$-3\varepsilon' n/2 + k \leq |W_1| - |W_3| \leq 3\varepsilon' n/2 - k$ and $-\varepsilon' n + 2k \leq |W_2| - |W_4| \leq 2\varepsilon' n$.*

Proof. By Claim 3.14, we get that for each $j \in \{1, 3\}$,

$$(1 - \varepsilon')n/2 + k - |W_2| \leq |W_j| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon')n - |W_2|.$$

Hence, we have that

$$-3\varepsilon' n/2 + k \leq |W_1| - |W_3| \leq 3\varepsilon' n/2 - k. \quad (3.4)$$

Also, by Claim 3.14 again, we have that $(1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n + k - |W_3| \leq |W_2| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon')n - |W_3|$, and

$$(1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n + k + |W_3| \leq |W_1| + |W_2| + |W_3| \leq (1/2 + \varepsilon')n + |W_3|.$$

Together with $n = |W_1| + |W_2| + |W_3| + |W_4|$, this suggests that

$$(1/2 - \varepsilon')n - |W_3| \leq |W_4| \leq (1 + \varepsilon')n/2 - k - |W_3|.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$-\varepsilon' n + 2k \leq |W_2| - |W_4| \leq 2\varepsilon' n. \quad (3.5)$$

Inequalities (3.4)-(3.5) imply that $|W_1|$ approximately equals $|W_3|$, and $|W_2|$ is approximately equal to $|W_4|$. \square

We then estimate the cardinality of the vertex set W_i for each $i \in [4]$. The following claim holds.

Claim 3.16. *We declare that the statements holds as follows.*

(i) $\varepsilon_1 n/2 < |W_j| < (1/2 - 3\varepsilon_1/4)n$ for $j \in \{1, 3\}$.

(ii) $\varepsilon_1 n/2 < |W_i| < (1/2 - \varepsilon_1/4)n$, for each $i \in \{2, 4\}$.

Proof. Since $|W_4| = |U_0|$ and $\varepsilon_1 n/2 < |U_0| < (1/2 - \varepsilon_1)n$, clearly,

$$\varepsilon_1 n < |W_4| < (1/2 - \varepsilon_1/4)n. \quad (3.6)$$

In the following, we will estimate the upper and lower bounds of $|W_j|$ for $j \in \{1, 3\}$. Since $|U_1|, |U_2| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n$ and $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1$, we have $|W_j| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n - |W_4| > (1/2 - \varepsilon')n - (1/2 - \varepsilon_1)n \geq \varepsilon_1 n/2$. Also by Claim 3.14, $V(D) = W_1 \cup W_2 \cup W_3 \cup W_4$ and $|W_4| = |U_0| > \varepsilon_1 n$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |W_j| &= |V(D)| - (|W_{j+2}| + |W_2|) - |W_4| \\ &< n - ((1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n + k) - \varepsilon_1 n \leq (1/2 - 3\varepsilon_1/4)n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where the subscript of W_{j+2} takes the remainder of module 4. So we conclude that

$$\varepsilon_1 n/2 < |W_j| < (1/2 - 3\varepsilon_1/4)n \text{ for } j \in \{1, 3\}. \quad (3.8)$$

Next, we will estimate the upper and lower bounds of $|W_2|$. On the one hand, due to $|U_1| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon')n$, $|W_2| = |V(D)| - (|W_1| + |W_3| + |W_4|) = |V(D)| - |U_1 \cup U_2|$, and $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon_1$, we have that

$$|W_2| = n - (|U_1| + |U_2 \setminus U_0|) < n - ((1/2 - \varepsilon')n + \varepsilon_1 n/2) < (1/2 - \varepsilon_1/4)n.$$

On the other hand, by Claim 3.14 again and (3.7), we obtain that

$$|W_2| \geq (1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n + k - |W_1| > (1/2 - \varepsilon'/2)n + k - (1/2 - 3\varepsilon_1/4)n \geq \varepsilon_1 n/2.$$

Together with (3.6), we can conclude that

$$\varepsilon_1 n/2 < |W_i| < (1/2 - \varepsilon_1/4)n, \text{ for each } i \in \{2, 4\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore, we have successfully proven this claim. \square

In what follows, we will prove that W_1 - W_4 satisfy properties (A)-(C) of **EC3**. Firstly, it yields from $e^+(U_1, U_2) \leq (\varepsilon' n)^2$ that, for each $j \in \{1, 4\}$, $e^+(W_j, W_3 \cup W_4) \leq e^+(U_1, U_2) \leq (\varepsilon' n)^2$. By Claim 3.14, $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$ and $\varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} e^+(W_j, W_1 \cup W_2) &\geq |W_j| \cdot (n/2 + k) - (\varepsilon' n)^2 \\ &= |W_j| \cdot (1/2 + \varepsilon')n + |W_j| \cdot (k - \varepsilon' n) - (\varepsilon' n)^2 \\ &\geq |W_j| \cdot (|W_1| + |W_2|) - \varepsilon n^2/2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Secondly, since for each $j \in \{3, 4\}$, $e^+(W_1 \cup W_4, W_j) \leq e^+(U_1, U_2) \leq (\varepsilon'n)^2$, and by Claim 3.14, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} e^+(W_2 \cup W_3, W_j) &\geq (n/2 + k) \cdot |W_j| - (\varepsilon'n)^2 \\ &= (1/2 + \varepsilon')n \cdot |W_j| + (k - \varepsilon'n) \cdot |W_3| - (\varepsilon'n)^2 \\ &\geq (|W_2| + |W_3|) \cdot |W_j| - \varepsilon n^2/2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Inequality equations (3.10)-(3.11) suggest that the vertex sets $W_1 - W_4$ of D satisfy properties (A)-(C) of **EC3**. Together with Claims 3.15-3.16, we have shown that D belongs to **EC3**.

Hence the proof of the lemma is completed. \square

By Lemma 3.13, we know that D either belongs to **EC1** or **EC2** or **EC3** if it is not stable. In the following, we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1 based on the cases when D belongs to **EC1** or **EC2** or **EC3**. In each case, we have $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$. Further, we will show that D is arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked. In the rest of this paper, we also use the vertex set to represent the subgraph induced by it for simplify.

For Cases 3.1-3.2 below, by adding or deleting vertices to or from W_1 and W_2 we can achieve that $|W_1| = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $|W_2| = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Let $F = f(V(H))$ for simplify, and let $W'_1 = W_1 \setminus F$ and $W'_2 = W_2 \setminus F$.

Case 3.1. D belongs to **EC1**.

Proof. According to the property of **EC1** and Definition 3.10-(1), it is easy to check that for each $i \in [2]$, $a(W'_i) \geq |W'_i|^2 - 3\varepsilon n^2$, which implies $|E_2 \cap W'_i| \leq |E_1 \cap W'_i| \leq \sqrt{10\varepsilon}|W'_i|$. Further, if there exists a vertex x in $E_2 \cap W'_1$ (resp., a vertex y in $E_2 \cap W'_2$) such that for each $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$, $d_{W'_2}^\sigma(x) > \varepsilon^{1/3}|W'_2|$ (resp., $d_{W'_1}^\sigma(y) > \varepsilon^{1/3}|W'_1|$), then we put x (resp., y) into the vertex set W'_2 (resp., W'_1) and update the vertex sets W'_1 and W'_2 . Repeat the above operation, and define the set of these vertices x as X and the set of these vertices y as Y . Then let $S_1 = (W'_1 \setminus E_2) \cup Y$, $S_2 = (W'_2 \setminus E_2) \cup X$ and $S_3 = (E_2 \cap W'_1 \setminus X) \cup (E_2 \cap W'_2 \setminus Y)$. It is worth noting that for every vertex $v \in S_3$, we have that

$$(*) \quad d_{W'_1}^+(v), d_{W'_2}^-(v) > (1 - 2\varepsilon^{1/3})n/2 \text{ or } d_{W'_1}^-(v), d_{W'_2}^+(v) > (1 - 2\varepsilon^{1/3})n/2.$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see using the lower bound of $\delta^0(D)$ that

(**) for each $i \in [2]$, there exists a subset S'_i in S_i with $|S'_i| \leq 10\sqrt{\varepsilon}|S_i|$ such that for every vertex v in $S_i \setminus S'_i$, $\delta_{S_i}^0(v) \geq (1 - 10\sqrt{\varepsilon})|S_i|$, and for every vertex v in S'_i , $\delta_{S_i}^0(v) \geq \varepsilon^{1/3}|S_i|/2$.

According to the semi-degree condition of D and the cardinalities of W'_1 and W'_2 , we get that for any vertex $v_i \in F$, $i \in [|V(H)|]$, it connects to and is connected to many vertices of $S_1 \cup S_2$. According to Definition 3.11, it is clear that V_i and V_j are disjoint for distinct $i, j \in [4]$. Therefore, it can be concluded that for each $i \in [s]$, the vertex pair (v_i, v_i) belongs exclusively to one of the sets V_j with $j \in [4]$. For convenience, we assume

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{(v_1, v_{1'}), \dots, (v_{l_1}, v_{l_1'})\} \subseteq V_1, \\
& \{(v_{l_1+1}, v_{(l_1+1)'})', \dots, (v_{l_1+l_2}, v_{(l_1+l_2)'})'\} \subseteq V_2, \\
& \{(v_{l_1+l_2+1}, v_{(l_1+l_2+1)'})', \dots, (v_{l_1+l_2+l_3}, v_{(l_1+l_2+l_3)'})'\} \subseteq V_3, \\
& \{(v_{l_1+l_2+l_3+1}, v_{(l_1+l_2+l_3+1)'})', \dots, (v_k, v_{k'})\} \subseteq V_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $|V_i| = l_i$ for every $i \in [4]$, and then $l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 = k$. Further, we define the following conditions (a) and (b):

$$(a) |S_1| < n_1 + \dots + n_{l_1}; \quad (b) |S_2| < n_{l_1+1} + \dots + n_{l_1+l_2}. \quad (3.12)$$

In the following, we will consider these cases. In the case where (3.12)-(a) is true but (b) is false, without loss of generality, we can assume that just after ‘removing’ l_1' vertex pairs from V_1 (denoted by V_1'), the sum of lengths of the paths required that connect all remaining vertex pairs of $V_1 - V_1'$ in the desired H -linked subdigraph is closest to $|S_1|$, and then proceed Step 1.1 to implement the operation of ‘removing’ the vertex pairs as described above. In particular, if (3.12)-(b) is true but (a) is not true, then we can similarly solve the case. Therefore, we omit the proof for this case. In the case when neither (3.12)-(a) nor (b) is correct, without loss of generality, suppose that just after ‘removing’ l_3' vertex pairs from V_3 (defined as V_3') to V_1 and ‘removing’ $l_3 - l_3'$ and l_4 vertex pairs from V_3 and V_4 respectively, the sum of lengths of the paths required that connect every vertex pair of the new set ‘ $V_1 + V_3'$ ’ in the desired H -linked subdigraph is closest to $|S_1|$. Then we can proceed Step 1.2 to implement the above process of ‘removing’ vertex pairs above.

Step 1.1. For each vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$, follow the substeps below:

- (1) If it belongs to V_1' , take two disjoint paths of length at most 3 such that one is from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to S_2 , and the other is from S_2 to $N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'})$;
- (2) If it is in V_3 (resp., V_4), take a path of length at most 3 from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to S_2 (resp., from S_2 to $N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'})$).

Step 1.2. For any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$, take a path P of length at most 3 such that:

- (1) if this vertex pair belongs to V_3' , then P is a $(S_1, N_{S_2}^-(v_{i'}))$ -path;
- (2) if this vertex pair is in $V_3 - V_3'$, then P is a $(N_{S_1}^+(v_i), S_2)$ -path;
- (3) if this vertex pair is in V_4 , then P is a $(S_2, N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'}))$ -path.

Notice in the process in Steps 1.1-1.2, all paths taken are all disjoint. We further affirm the following conclusion.

Claim 3.17. Steps 1.1-1.2 can be proceeded smoothly.

Proof. (i) For any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$ in V'_1 , if there is an arc from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to S_2 , then we are done. Otherwise, by the lower bound of $\delta^0(D)$, for any vertex $a_i \in N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ we have that $d^+(a_i) \leq |S_1| + (2l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + 2l_4) + d_{S_3}^+(a_i)$. Moreover, if there exists a vertex $b_{i'}$ in S_2 such that $d_{S_1}^-(b_{i'}) \neq 0$, then by the semi-degree condition of $D[S_1]$, there is a path of length at most 2 from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to $N_{S_1}^-(b_{i'})$. Hence, we obtain a path of length at most 3 from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to S_2 , and so, we are done. Then for any vertex $b_{i'}$ in S_2 , we have that $d_{S_1}^-(b_{i'}) = 0$, and further $d^-(b_{i'}) \leq |S_2| + (l_1 + 2l_2 + l_3 + 2l_4) + d_{S_3}^-(b_{i'})$. Together with $n = |S_1| + |S_2| + |S_3| + |F|$ and $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$, we obtain that $|F| - k + l_3 - l_4 \leq |N_{S_3}^+(a_i) \cap N_{S_3}^-(b_{i'})|$. In this case, we can get a path of length 3 from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to S_2 .

Similarly, we also get that either there is an arc or a path of length at most 3, from S_2 to $N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'})$, or $|F| - k + l_3 - l_4 \leq |N_{S_3}^-(a_{i'}) \cap N_{S_3}^+(b_j)|$ for some vertex $a_{i'} \in N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'})$ and $b_j \in S_2$, in which we obtain a path of length 2 from S_2 to $N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'})$. Therefore, Step 1.1-(1) can be implemented.

(ii) For any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$ in V'_3 , if there is an arc from S_1 to $N_{S_2}^-(v_{i'})$, then we are done. Otherwise, let $b_{i'} \in N_{S_2}^-(v_{i'})$, and then $d^-(b_{i'}) \leq |S_2| + (l_1 + 2l_2 + l_3 + 2l_4) + d_{S_3}^-(b_{i'})$. On the other hand, if there exists a vertex a_i in S_1 such that $d_{S_2}^+(a_i) \neq 0$, then, by the semi-degree condition of $D[S_2]$, there is a path of length at most 2 from $N_{S_2}^+(a_i)$ to $N_{S_2}^-(v_{i'})$. In this case, we get a path of length at most 3 from S_1 to $N_{S_2}^-(v_{i'})$. Finally, we can assume that for any vertex a_i in S_1 , $d_{S_2}^+(a_i) = 0$, and then $d^+(a_i) \leq |S_1| + (2l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + 2l_4) + d_{S_3}^+(a_i)$. Then it yields from the lower bound of $\delta^0(D)$ that $|F| - k + l_3 - l_4 \leq |N_{S_3}^-(b_{i'}) \cap N_{S_3}^+(a_i)|$. In this case, we obtain a path of length 2 from S_1 to $N_{S_2}^-(v_{i'})$.

(iii) Analogously, for any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$ in $V_3 - V'_3$ (respectively, V_4), either there exists an arc or a path of length at most 4, from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to S_2 (respectively, from S_2 to $N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'})$), or there are vertices $a_i \in N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ and $b_j \in S_2$ (respectively, $b_j \in S_2$ and $a_{i'} \in N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'})$) such that $|F| - k + l_3 - l_4 \leq |N_{S_3}^+(a_i) \cap N_{S_3}^-(b_j)|$ (respectively, $|F| - k - l_3 + l_4 \leq |N_{S_3}^-(a_{i'}) \cap N_{S_3}^+(b_j)|$), in which there is a path of length 3 from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to S_2 (respectively, from S_2 to $N_{S_1}^-(v_{i'})$). \square

By Claim 3.17, we have connected the end-vertices of these desired paths to the appropriate vertex sets. For the sake of convenience, we define F' as the set of vertices in $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$ that are used by Steps 1.1-1.2. Let $S'_i = S_i \setminus F'$ for each $i \in [3]$. If $S'_3 = \emptyset$, then there is no need to take any further action. Otherwise, by $(*)$ and $(**)$, we can arrange vertices of S'_3 into disjoint paths of length at least 2 with one end-vertex in S'_1 , and the other in S'_2 . By the semi-degree condition of D , we make some adjustments so that these paths connect to and are connected to the same vertex set S'_1 or S'_2 . Then these paths can be used to finally form the longest path in the desired H -linked subdigraph. Finally, the desired paths can be found by eliminating the few exceptional vertices first and then applying Proposition 3.12 to the subdigraph $D[S'_1]$ and $D[S'_2]$, respectively. Hence this finishes the proof of **EC1**. \square

Case 3.2. D belongs to **EC2**.

Proof. By the property of **EC2**, we have that $a(W'_1, W'_2), a(W'_2, W'_1) \geq |W'_1| \cdot |W'_2| - 2\epsilon n^2$, which can deduce that $|E_4 \cap W'_i| \leq |E_3 \cap W'_i| \leq \sqrt{10\epsilon}|W'_i|$ for every $i \in [2]$. The idea of the proof in this case is similar to that of **EC1**. We first deal with the exceptional vertices of Type I_4 in $W'_1 \cup W'_2$ by using the following operation. If there exists a vertex x in $E_4 \cap W'_1$ (resp., a vertex y in $E_4 \cap W'_2$) such that $s_{W'_1}(x) > \epsilon^{1/3}|W'_1|$ (resp., $s_{W'_2}(y) > \epsilon^{1/3}|W'_2|$), then we put x (resp., y) into the vertex set W'_2 (resp., W'_1) and update the sets W'_1 and W'_2 . We repeat this operation and define X to be the set of these vertices x and Y to be the set of these vertices y , respectively. Then let $S_1 = (W'_1 \setminus E_4) \cup Y$ and $S_2 = (W'_2 \setminus E_4) \cup X$, and let S_3 be the set of remaining vertices of D_1 . Note that

$$(*) \text{ for every vertex } v \in S_3, d_{W'_1}^+(v), d_{W'_2}^-(v) > \frac{(1-2\epsilon^{1/3})n}{2} \text{ or } d_{W'_1}^-(v), d_{W'_2}^+(v) > \frac{(1-2\epsilon^{1/3})n}{2}.$$

(**) For every $i \in [2]$, apart from at most $10\sqrt{10\epsilon}|S_i|$ exceptional vertices, all vertices in S_i have strongly semi-degrees at least $(1 - 10\sqrt{\epsilon})|S_{3-i}|$ in S_{3-i} , and the semi-degrees of these exceptional vertices are at least $\epsilon^{1/3}|S_{3-i}|/8$ in S_{3-i} .

Based on the semi-degree condition of D and cardinalities of W'_1 and W'_2 , we can deduce that for any vertex $v_i \in F$, where $i \in [|V(H)|]$, it connects to and is also connected to many non-exceptional vertices of $S_1 \cup S_2$. Recall that n_i is the length of the path $(v_i, v_{i'})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that n_1, \dots, n_s are even, and n_{s+1}, \dots, n_k are odd.

(1) For the integer i from 1 to s , we do Steps 2.1-2.2.

Step 2.1. If $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^+(v_i) \cup N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^-(v_{i'}) \subseteq S_1$ or S_2 , then no action is taken.

Step 2.2. If for some $j \in [2]$, $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^+(v_i) \subseteq S_j$ and $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^-(v_{i'}) \subseteq S_{3-j}$, and there exists an arc from $N_{S_j}^+(v_i)$ to S_j or an arc from S_{3-j} to $N_{S_{3-j}}^-(v_{i'})$, then we are done. Otherwise, we take a $(N_{S_j}^+(v_i), S_{3-j})$ -path P of length 2 with $|V(P) \cap S_3| = 1$.

(2) For the integer i from $s+1$ to k , we do Steps 2.3-2.4.

Step 2.3. If for some $j \in [2]$, $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^+(v_i) \subseteq S_j$ and $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^-(v_{i'}) \subseteq S_{3-j}$, then no action is taken.

Step 2.4. If for some $j \in [2]$, $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^+(v_i) \cup N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^-(v_{i'}) \subseteq S_j$, and there exists an arc from $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^+(v_i)$ to S_j or an arc from S_j to $N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^-(v_{i'})$, then we are done. Otherwise, we select a $(N_{S_1 \cup S_2}^+(v_i), S_{3-j})$ -path P of length 2 with $|V(P) \cap S_3| = 1$.

Note that in this process of Steps 2.1-2.4, all paths are disjoint. Further, we assume that the number of the i that needs to perform Steps 2.2 and 2.4 is l . We also suppose that for each $i \in [4]$, the number of vertex pairs in V_i that need to perform Step 2.2 or 2.4 is l_i . Clearly, $l = l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4$. We declare that the following statement holds.

Claim 3.18. Steps 2.1-2.4 can be carried out smoothly.

Proof. (i) For any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$ in $V_1 \cup V_3$ such that $i \in [k] \setminus [s]$ if $(v_i, v_{i'}) \in V_1$ and $i \in [s]$ if $(v_i, v_{i'}) \in V_3$, and for some vertex $a_i \in N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$, we can assume that $N_{S_1}^+(a_i) = \emptyset$, since otherwise, we are done. Then $d^+(a_i) \leq (2l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + 2l_4) + (|F| - 2l) + |S_2| + d_{S_3}^+(a_i)$. Moreover, let b_j be some vertex in $N_{S_2}^-(v_{j'})$, where the vertex pair $(v_j, v_{j'}) \in V_2$. By $d_{S_2}^-(b_j) = 0$, we can see that $d^-(b_j) \leq (l_1 + 2l_2 + l_3 + 2l_4) + |S_1| + (|F| - 2l) + d_{S_3}^-(b_j)$. On

the other hand, by the lower bound of $\delta^0(D)$, we have that $2(n/2 + k) \leq d^+(a_i) + d^+(b_j)$. Together with $n = |S_1| + |S_2| + |S_3| + |F|$, this implies that $2k - |F| + l + l_3 - l_4 \leq |N_{S_3}^+(a_i) \cap N_{S_3}^-(b_j)|$. Hence, in this case, we can obtain a path of length 2 from $N_{S_1}^+(v_i)$ to S_2 .

(ii) For any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$ in $V_2 \cup V_4$ such that $i \in [k] \setminus [s]$ if $(v_i, v_{i'}) \in V_2$ and $i \in [s]$ if $(v_i, v_{i'}) \in V_4$, and for some vertex $b_i \in N_{S_2}^+(v_i)$. Analogously, we can suppose that $N_{S_2}^+(b_i) = \emptyset$. Then $d^+(b_i) \leq (l_1 + 2l_2 + 2l_3 + l_4) + |S_1| + (|F| - 2l) + d_{S_3}^+(b_i)$. Also, let $a_{j'}$ be a vertex in $N_{S_1}^-(v_{j'})$, where $(v_j, v_{j'}) \in V_1$. Then we have that $d^-(a_{j'}) \leq (2l_1 + l_2 + 2l_3 + l_4) + |S_2| + (|F| - 2l) + d_{S_3}^-(a_{j'})$. Combining with $\delta^0(D) \geq n/2 + k$, $n = |S_1| + |S_2| + |S_3| + |F|$ and $l = l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4$, we get that $2k - |F| + l - l_3 + l_4 \leq |N_{S_3}^+(b_i) \cap N_{S_3}^-(a_{j'})|$. \square

By Claim 3.18, we have connected the end-vertices of these desired paths to the appropriate vertex set. In the following, let F' be the set of vertices in $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$ used by performing Steps 2.2 and 2.4, and let $S'_i = S \setminus F'$ for every $i \in [3]$. For convenience, set $D' = D[S'_1 \cup S'_2 \cup S'_3]$. We will first deal with the vertices in S'_3 , and secondly find disjoint paths with the required lengths. By (*) we can obtain $|S'_3|$ disjoint 3-paths, where each 3-path $P_u = u_1uu_2$ has the center vertex u in S'_3 and exactly one end-vertex in S'_1 and the other end-vertex S'_2 , that is, $P_u \cap S'_3 = \{u\}$ and $|V(P_u - u) \cap S'_1| = |V(P_u - u) \cap S'_2| = 1$. To eliminate these 3-paths, we can embed paths parts into them. Without loss of generality, for any 3-path $P_u = u_1uu_2$, we assume $u_j \in S'_j$ each $j \in [2]$. Note that based on the fact that the semi-degree across of exceptional vertices is much larger than their order, we have that either the vertex u_j has a high semi-degree in S'_{3-j} or there exists a vertex u' in $N_{S'_2}^-(u_1)$ or $N_{S'_1}^+(u_2)$ such that it has a high semi-degree in S'_1 or S'_2 respectively. Therefore, using a simple greedy procedure, we can embed paths that utilize all 3-paths P_u . The remaining exceptional vertices in $S'_1 \cup S'_2$ can also be used similarly, taking advantage of the fact that their semi-degree across is much larger than their order. Finally in the leftover almost complete bipartite digraph we can complete the embedding by applying Proposition 3.12. \square

Case 3.3. D belongs to **EC3**.

Proof. Because this case shares many similarities with **EC1** and **EC2**, we will provide an overview of the proof idea instead of proving it in detail.

By adding or deleting vertices to or from W_1 - W_4 we may achieve that $|W_2| = |W_4| = \lambda n$ and $|W_1| = |W_3| = \frac{n}{2} - \lambda n$, where λ is a positive constant. Using properties (A)-(C) of **EC3**, we can observe that $D[W_1 \cup W_3]$ is an almost unidirectionally empty digraph corresponding to W_1 and W_3 , while $D[W_2 \cup W_4]$ is an almost complete bipartite digraph corresponding to W_2 and W_4 . We will firstly analyze $D[W_1 \cup W_3]$ and $D[W_2 \cup W_4]$ separately. Similar to **EC1** and **EC2**, we eliminate some exceptional vertices from $W_1 \cup W_3$ and $W_2 \cup W_4$ respectively, resulting in $W_1 \cup W_3 = S_{1,1} \cup S_{1,2} \cup S_{1,3}$ and $W_2 \cup W_4 = S_{2,1} \cup S_{2,2} \cup S_{2,3}$. Let $S_3 = S_{1,3} \cup S_{2,3}$. We can then draw a series of conclusions.

(1) $|S_3| \leq 4\sqrt{10}\varepsilon n$, and every vertex v in S_3 satisfies either $d_{W_1}^+(v), d_{W_3}^-(v) \geq (1 - \varepsilon^{1/3})|W_3|$ or $d_{W_1}^-(v), d_{W_3}^+(v) \geq (1 - \varepsilon^{1/3})|W_3|$, or $d_{W_2}^+(v), d_{W_4}^-(v) \geq (1 - \varepsilon^{1/3})|W_4|$ or $d_{W_2}^-(v), d_{W_4}^+(v) \geq (1 - \varepsilon^{1/3})|W_4|$.

(2) In $S_{1,i}$ with $i \in [2]$, apart from at most $10\sqrt{\varepsilon}|S_{1,i}|$ exceptional vertices, all vertices have the semi-degrees in $S_{1,i}$ at least $(1 - 10\sqrt{\varepsilon})|S_{1,i}|$, and the semi-degrees of these exceptional vertices in $S_{1,i}$ are at least $\varepsilon^{1/3}|S_{1,i}|/2$.

(3) In $S_{2,i}$ with $i \in [2]$, apart from at most $10\sqrt{10\varepsilon}|S_{2,i}|$ exceptional vertices, all vertices in $S_{2,i}$, have strongly semi-degrees in $S_{2,3-i}$ of at least $(1 - 10\sqrt{\varepsilon})|S_{2,3-i}|$, and the semi-degrees of these exceptional vertices in $S_{2,3-i}$ are at least $\varepsilon^{1/3}|S_{2,3-i}|/8$.

If $|W_1| \geq |W_2|$, then based on the semi-degree condition of D , **EC3**-(A) and (1)-(3) above, For any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$, there exist two disjoint paths of length at most 2: one from the vertex v_i to $S_{1,1}$ or $S_{1,2}$, and the other from $S_{1,1}$ or $S_{1,2}$ to the vertex $v_{i'}$, respectively. Then similar to **EC2**, by (1) and (3) we can find some disjoint paths to cover $W_2 \cup W_4$ such that for each such path $u \cdots w$ (possibly, $u = w$), by **EC3**-(A), there exist two distinct vertices $u_1, w_1 \in W_1 \cup W_3$ with $u_1u, ww_1 \in A(D)$. Further, these paths $P' = u_1u \cdots ww_1$ can be used directly to get the final paths as desired. In addition, for the remaining vertices in S_3 (accurately, $S_{2,3}$), we can handle them like **EC1**. Finally, similar to **EC1**, for any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$, we use the vertices in $S_{1,1}$ (resp., $S_{1,2}$) to ensure that the length of the $(v_i, v_{i'})$ -path reaches the required length of the H -linked subdigraph as desired, if v_i connects to and $v_{i'}$ is connected to $S_{1,1}$ (resp., $S_{1,2}$). Hence the proof of **EC3** is completed for the case of $|W_1| \geq |W_2|$.

Otherwise, that is, $|W_1| < |W_2|$. In this case, by the lower bound of $\delta^0(D)$ and **EC3**-(A), for any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$, there exist two disjoint paths of length at most 2: one from v_i to $S_{2,1}$ or $S_{2,2}$, and the other from $S_{2,2}$ or $S_{2,1}$ to the vertex $v_{i'}$, respectively. Similar to **EC1**, by (1)-(2) we can use some disjoint paths to cover $W_1 \cup W_3$ such that for each such path $P = u \cdots w$ (possibly, $u = w$), there exist distinct vertices $u', w' \in W_2 \cup W_4$ with $u'u, ww' \in A(D)$ by **EC3**-(A) again. Then these paths $P' = u'u \cdots ww'$ can be used directly to get the final paths as desired. Furthermore, for the remaining vertices in S_3 (precisely, $S_{1,3}$), we can handle them like **EC2**. Finally, similar to **EC2**, for any vertex pair $(v_i, v_{i'})$, we use the vertices in $S_{2,1} \cup S_{2,2}$ to ensure that the length of the $(v_i, v_{i'})$ -path reaches the required length of the H -linked subdigraph as desired. Hence the proof of **EC3** is completed. \square

4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we studied the H -linkage problem in digraphs under a minimum semi-degree condition. It would be interesting to generalize our result to *oriented graphs*, which refers to the orientations of simple graphs, i.e. digraphs with no 2-cycles. As an analogue of our main theorem, we have the following conjecture for oriented graphs:

Conjecture 4.1. *Let H be any oriented digraph with k arcs and $\delta(H) \geq 1$, and let D be an oriented graph with a sufficiently large order n . If $\delta^0(D) \geq \lceil \frac{3n-4}{8} \rceil + k$, then D is guaranteed to be arbitrary Hamiltonian H -linked.*

If conjecture 4.1 is true, it will generalize the work of Keevash, Kühn and Osthus [12], which demonstrated that every sufficiently large oriented graph D with a minimum

semi-degree $\delta^0(D) \geq \lceil \frac{3n-4}{8} \rceil$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle, where the lower bound on the semi-degree condition is proved to be best possible.

References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, *Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, London, 2009.
- [2] V.E. Coll, C. Magnant, and P.S. Nowbandegani, *Degree sum and graph linkage with prescribed path lengths*, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **257**(2019), 85–94.
- [3] S. Chiba and T. Yamashita, *Degree conditions for the existence of vertex-disjoint cycles and paths: A survey*, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **34**(2018), 1–83.
- [4] M.H. El-Zahar, *On circuits in graphs*, *Discrete Math.* **50**(1984), 227–230.
- [5] M. Ferrara, R. Gould, M. Jacobson, F. Pfender, J. Powell, and T. Whalen, *New Ore-type conditions for H -linked graphs*, *J. Graph Theory* **71**(2012), 69–77.
- [6] M. Ferrara, M. Jacobson, and F. Pfender, *Degree conditions for H -linked digraphs*, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **22**(2013), 684–699.
- [7] A. Ferber, M. Krivelevich, and B. Sudakov, *Counting and packing Hamilton cycles in dense graphs and oriented graphs*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **122**(2017), 196–220.
- [8] A. Ghouila-Houri, *Une condition suffisante d’existence d’un circuit hamiltonien*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **25**(1960), 495–497.
- [9] R.J. Gould, A. Kostochka, and G. Yu, *On minimum degree implying that a graph is H -linked*, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **20**(2006), 829–840.
- [10] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Ruciński, *Random Graphs*, Wiley, New York, 2000.
- [11] L. Kelly, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus, *A Dirac-type result on Hamilton cycles in oriented graphs*, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **17**(2008), 689–709.
- [12] P. Keevash, D. Kühn, and D. Osthus, *An exact minimum degree condition for Hamilton cycles in oriented graphs*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **79**(2009), 144–166.
- [13] D. Kühn, R. Mycroft, and D. Osthus, *An approximate version of Sumner’s universal tournament conjecture*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **101**(2011), 415–447.
- [14] F. Knox and A. Treglown, *Embedding spanning bipartite graphs of small bandwidth*, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **22**(2013), 71–96.
- [15] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, *Linkedness and ordered cycles in digraphs*, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **17**(2008), 411–422.

- [16] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, *Hamilton decompositions of regular expanders: a proof of Kelly's conjecture for large tournaments*, Adv. Math. **237**(2013), 62–146.
- [17] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, *Hamilton decompositions of regular expanders: applications*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **104**(2014), 1–27.
- [18] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Treglown, *Hamiltonian degree sequences in digraphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **100**(2010), 367–380.
- [19] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Treglown, *Hamilton decompositions of regular tournaments*, Proc. London. Math. Soc. **101**(2010), 303–335.
- [20] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, and A. Young, *k -Ordered Hamilton cycles in digraphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **98**(2008), 1165–1180.
- [21] A. Kostochka and G. Yu, *An extremal problem for H -linked graphs*, J. Graph Theory **50**(2005), 321–339.
- [22] A. Kostochka and G. Yu, *Minimum degree conditions for H -linked graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **156**(2008), 1542–1548.
- [23] A.V. Kostochka and G. Yu, *Ore-type degree conditions for a graph to be H -linked*, J. Graph Theory **58**(2008), 14–26.
- [24] A. Lo and V. Patel, *Hamilton cycles in sparse robustly expanding digraphs*, Electron. J. Combin. **25**(2018), 1–21.
- [25] D. Osthus and K. Staden, *Approximate Hamilton decompositions of robustly expanding regular digraphs*, Siam J. Discrete Math. **27**(2013), 1372–1409.
- [26] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, and E. Szemerédi, *A Dirac-type theorem for 3-uniform hypergraphs*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **15**(2006), 229–251.
- [27] H. Wang, *Disjoint cycles with prescribed lengths and independent edges in graphs*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **51**(2014), 919–940.