

# On integrality and asymptotic behavior of the $(k, l)$ -Göbel sequences

Hibiki Gima, Toshiki Matsusaka, Taichi Miyazaki, and Shunta Yara

ABSTRACT. Recently, Matsuhira, Matsusaka, and Tsuchida revisited old studies on the integrality of  $k$ -Göbel sequences and showed that the first 19 terms are always integers for any integer  $k \geq 2$ . In this article, we further explore two topics: Ibstedt's  $(k, l)$ -Göbel sequences and Zagier's asymptotic formula for the 2-Göbel sequence, and extend their results.

## 1. Introduction

Sloane's collection of integer sequences [7] inspired Göbel to introduce a sequence defined by the recursion:

$$g_n = \frac{1 + g_0^2 + g_1^2 + \cdots + g_{n-1}^2}{n}$$

starting with  $g_0 = 1$ . Despite the initial terms  $(g_n)_n = (1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 28, 154, 3520, \dots)$  appearing to follow an integer sequence pattern, the sequence's integrality was not immediately clear, raising doubts about its suitability for inclusion in Sloane's collection. In 1975, Lenstra resolved this problem by showing that

$$g_n \in \mathbb{Z} \iff n \leq 42.$$

Unfortunately, Göbel's sequence is not an integer sequence. Nevertheless, it has since been registered as an intriguing exception in his collection, OEIS [6, A003504]. (About its history, see also [5]).

After that, several aspects of Göbel's sequence have been investigated. In 1990, Ibstedt [3] focused on an alternative recursion:

$$(n+1)g_{n+1} = g_n(n+g_n)$$

with the initial value  $g_1 = 2$ , and introduced a generalization.

**Definition 1.1.** For integers  $k, l \geq 2$ , the  $(k, l)$ -Göbel sequence  $g_{k,l}(n)$  is defined by the recursion:

$$(n+1)g_{k,l}(n+1) = g_{k,l}(n)(n+g_{k,l}(n)^{k-1})$$

with the initial value  $g_{k,l}(1) = l$ .

We can pose the same question as Lenstra: When does its integrality break? To address the question, we introduce the notation

$$(1.1) \quad N_{k,l} := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : g_{k,l}(n) \notin \mathbb{Z}\}$$

for any  $k, l \geq 2$ . Lenstra's result is stated as  $N_{2,2} = 43$ . Then, Ibstedt provided a method to compute the values of  $N_{k,l}$  and presented the list of  $N_{k,l}$  for  $2 \leq k, l \leq 11$  as follows. Here, we extend the list to include cases where  $2 \leq k, l \leq 17$ . We will provide Mathematica codes to compute  $N_{k,l}$  in [Appendix A](#).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11B37; Secondary 11B50.

The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP20K14292 and JP21K18141.

| $l \setminus k$ | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8  | 9   | 10 | 11   | 12  | 13  | 14 | 15  | 16  | 17  |
|-----------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|----|------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| 2               | 43 | 89  | 97  | 214 | 19  | 239 | 37 | 79  | 83 | 239  | 31  | 431 | 19 | 79  | 23  | 827 |
| 3               | 7  | 89  | 17  | 43  | 83  | 191 | 7  | 127 | 31 | 389  | 109 | 431 | 7  | 79  | 83  | 683 |
| 4               | 17 | 89  | 23  | 139 | 13  | 359 | 23 | 158 | 41 | 169  | 103 | 643 | 31 | 79  | 167 | 118 |
| 5               | 34 | 89  | 97  | 107 | 19  | 419 | 37 | 79  | 83 | 137  | 31  | 431 | 19 | 41  | 23  | 59  |
| 6               | 17 | 31  | 149 | 269 | 13  | 127 | 23 | 103 | 71 | 239  | 41  | 431 | 31 | 79  | 23  | 499 |
| 7               | 17 | 151 | 13  | 107 | 37  | 127 | 37 | 103 | 83 | 239  | 101 | 167 | 19 | 79  | 13  | 59  |
| 8               | 51 | 79  | 13  | 214 | 13  | 239 | 17 | 163 | 71 | 239  | 41  | 431 | 31 | 79  | 13  | 118 |
| 9               | 17 | 89  | 83  | 139 | 37  | 191 | 23 | 103 | 23 | 239  | 41  | 431 | 47 | 79  | 29  | 177 |
| 10              | 7  | 79  | 23  | 251 | 347 | 239 | 7  | 163 | 41 | 239  | 53  | 251 | 7  | 251 | 23  | 59  |
| 11              | 34 | 601 | 13  | 107 | 19  | 461 | 37 | 79  | 31 | 389  | 101 | 479 | 19 | 79  | 13  | 59  |
| 12              | 17 | 197 | 97  | 263 | 37  | 191 | 17 | 79  | 41 | 263  | 82  | 167 | 29 | 79  | 53  | 59  |
| 13              | 17 | 151 | 23  | 263 | 37  | 127 | 37 | 158 | 31 | 137  | 61  | 431 | 19 | 41  | 83  | 271 |
| 14              | 43 | 158 | 67  | 139 | 37  | 191 | 23 | 158 | 41 | 239  | 29  | 383 | 29 | 79  | 23  | 683 |
| 15              | 67 | 197 | 173 | 139 | 37  | 239 | 37 | 127 | 31 | 1097 | 82  | 431 | 31 | 419 | 23  | 347 |
| 16              | 59 | 151 | 157 | 107 | 59  | 359 | 37 | 103 | 46 | 137  | 29  | 431 | 29 | 79  | 23  | 607 |
| 17              | 7  | 89  | 67  | 43  | 13  | 127 | 7  | 179 | 41 | 263  | 31  | 431 | 7  | 79  | 59  | 59  |

TABLE 1. The list of  $N_{k,l}$ . As noted in OEIS [6, A097398], the articles of Ibstedt [3] and Guy [2, E15] contain some mistakes in the values.

In 1996, Zagier [9] considered the asymptotic behavior of Göbel’s sequence and described it as

$$(1.2) \quad g_{2,2}(n) \sim C^{2^n} n \left( 1 + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{4}{n^3} - \frac{21}{n^4} + \frac{138}{n^5} - \frac{1091}{n^6} + \dots \right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

without proof, where  $C = 1.0478314475764112295599\dots$  is a constant. Finch [1, Section 6.10] notes that this asymptotic formula shares the same coefficients as that for the sequence  $s_n$  introduced by Somos. Here, the sequence  $s_n$  is defined by the recursion:

$$(1.3) \quad s_n = ns_{n-1}^2$$

starting with  $s_0 = 1$ . Then, it satisfies that

$$s_n \sim \sigma^{2^n} n^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{4}{n^3} - \frac{21}{n^4} + \frac{138}{n^5} - \frac{1091}{n^6} + \dots \right)^{-1} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1/2^n} = 1.6616879496\dots$$

is called the *Somos constant*.

After a period of silence, in 2023, inspired by [4], Matsuhira, Tsuchida, and the second author [5] addressed the problem of determining the minimum value of  $N_{k,2}$  and showed that

$$(1.5) \quad \min_{k \geq 2} N_{k,2} = 19.$$

Once again, Göbel’s sequence returned as a subject of research.

In this article, we combine the above results and extend them as suggested by the previous work [5] in the last remarks. First, we consider the minimum value of all  $N_{k,l}$  and show the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** We have  $\min_{k,l \geq 2} N_{k,l} = 7$ , which implies that  $g_{k,l}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $k, l \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq n \leq 6$ . Moreover, we have  $N_{k,l} = 7$  if and only if  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  and  $l \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ .

Secondly, we give a complete proof of Zagier's asymptotic formula (1.2) and generalize it for any  $(k, l)$ -Göbel sequences. Before stating the theorem, we recall the definition of asymptotic expansions.

**Definition 1.3.** Assume that the sequence  $(\lambda_r(n))_r$  satisfies  $\lambda_{r+1}(n) = o(\lambda_r(n))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{r+1}(n)}{\lambda_r(n)} = 0$$

for any  $r$ . For a sequence  $(c_n)_n$ , we call  $(a_r)_r$  its asymptotic coefficients and write

$$c_n \sim \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r \lambda_r(n)$$

if

$$c_n - \sum_{r=0}^R a_r \lambda_r(n) = O(\lambda_{R+1}(n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

holds for any  $R \geq 0$ .

By adapting the sequence  $\lambda_r(n) = C_{k,l}^{k^n} n^{\frac{1}{k-1}} n^{-r}$ , we obtain the following asymptotic expansion.

**Theorem 1.4.** For any integers  $k, l \geq 2$ , there exist a constant  $C_{k,l} > 1$  and a sequence  $(a_{k,r})_r$  such that

$$g_{k,l}(n) \sim C_{k,l}^{k^n} n^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \left( 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k,r}}{n^r} \right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

The constant  $C_{k,l}$  and the sequence  $(a_{k,r})_r$  will be explicitly defined in (3.2) and (3.6), respectively.

In Section 2 and Section 3, we give proofs of the above theorems, respectively. In Section 4, we provide further observations on a variability of  $g_{k,l}(n)$  modulo a higher power of  $p$ .

## 2. How long can $(k, l)$ -Göbel sequences remain integers?

In this section, we provide a proof of Theorem 1.2, drawing on Ibstedt's method for computing  $N_{k,l}$  and the argument presented by Matsuhira–Matsusaka–Tsuchida [5]. First, we prepare some notations

**2.1. Notations and key properties.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all prime numbers. For each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , we let  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  denote the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  at the prime ideal  $(p)$ , that is,  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \{a/b \in \mathbb{Q} : p \nmid b\}$ . By the fact that

$$(2.1) \quad \bigcap_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \mathbb{Z},$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $\nu_p(x)$  be the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . More precisely, for an integer  $n$ ,  $\nu_p(n)$  is the exponent of the largest power of  $p$  that divides  $n$ , and it is extended to rational numbers by  $\nu_p(a/b) = \nu_p(a) - \nu_p(b)$ . The following lemma is the key for our proof of Theorem 1.2. For convenience, we will also include the cases when  $k = 1$  or  $l = 1$ , in which  $g_{k,l}(n)$  is a constant sequence.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $N$  be a positive integer. For each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , we put  $r = \nu_p(N!)$ . Let  $k, k_1, k_2, l, l_1, l_2 \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq n \leq N$  be integers. Then we have the following.

- (1) If  $p > N$ , then  $g_{k,l}(N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .
- (2) If  $g_{k,l}(n) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , then  $g_{k,l}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .

- (3) Assume that  $p \leq N$ , that is,  $r \geq 1$ . If  $k_1, k_2 \geq r$  and  $k_1 \equiv k_2 \pmod{\varphi(p^r)}$ , then  $g_{k_1, l}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  if and only if  $g_{k_2, l}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , where  $\varphi(n)$  is the Euler totient function. Moreover, in this case, we have  $g_{k_1, l}(n) - g_{k_2, l}(n) \in p^{r-\nu_p(n!)}\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .
- (4) Assume that  $p \leq N$ . If  $l_1 \equiv l_2 \pmod{p^r}$ , then  $g_{k, l_1}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  if and only if  $g_{k, l_2}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Moreover, in this case, we have  $g_{k, l_1}(n) - g_{k, l_2}(n) \in p^{r-\nu_p(n!)}\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .

PROOF. (1) It is obvious from [Definition 1.1](#).

(2) If  $g_{k, l}(n) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , that is,  $\nu_p(g_{k, l}(n)) < 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(g_{k, l}(n+1)) &= \nu_p(g_{k, l}(n)) + \nu_p(n + g_{k, l}(n)^{k-1}) - \nu_p(n+1) \\ &= k\nu_p(g_{k, l}(n)) - \nu_p(n+1) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3) It follows from Euler's theorem, (a generalization of Fermat's little theorem), and induction on  $n$ . For the initial case, we have  $g_{k_1, l}(1) = l = g_{k_2, l}(1)$ . Assume that the claim holds for some  $1 \leq n < N$ . If  $g_{k_1, l}(n) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , then both of  $g_{k_1, l}(n+1)$  and  $g_{k_2, l}(n+1)$  are not in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  by the induction hypothesis and (2). On the other hand, if  $g_{k_1, l}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , then

$$(n+1) \left( g_{k_1, l}(n+1) - g_{k_2, l}(n+1) \right) = n(g_{k_1, l}(n) - g_{k_2, l}(n)) + (g_{k_1, l}(n)^{k_1} - g_{k_2, l}(n)^{k_2}).$$

By the induction hypothesis, the first term is in  $p^{r-\nu_p(n!)}\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . As for the second term, if  $g_{k_1, l}(n) \notin p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , then by applying Euler's theorem, it belongs to  $p^{r-\nu_p(n!)}\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . If  $g_{k_1, l}(n) \in p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , then since  $k_1, k_2 \geq r$ , it is also in  $p^{r-\nu_p(n!)}\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Therefore, by dividing the both sides by  $(n+1)$ , we get  $g_{k_1, l}(n+1) - g_{k_2, l}(n+1) \in p^{r-\nu_p((n+1)!)}\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . In particular, if  $g_{k_1, l}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , then  $g_{k_2, l}(n+1) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , and vice versa.

(4) Since  $g_{k, l_1}(n)$  and  $g_{k, l_2}(n)$  satisfy the same recursion with the same initial value modulo  $p^r$ , the claim immediately follows in a similar manner to (3).  $\square$

**2.2. Proof of [Theorem 1.2](#).** What we have to check are the following two claims.

- (1) For any  $k, l \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq n \leq 6$ ,  $g_{k, l}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2)  $g_{k, l}(7) \notin \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  and  $l \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ .

By applying [Lemma 2.1](#) with  $N = 7$  and combining it with [\(2.1\)](#), these claims can be translated as follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** *[Theorem 1.2](#) is equivalent to the following claims for  $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ .*

- (1) For  $2 \leq k \leq 11$  and  $1 \leq l \leq 16$ , we have  $g_{k, l}(7) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ .
- (2) For  $2 \leq k \leq 7$  and  $1 \leq l \leq 9$ , we have  $g_{k, l}(7) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ .
- (3) For  $1 \leq k \leq 4$  and  $1 \leq l \leq 5$ , we have  $g_{k, l}(7) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(5)}$ .
- (4) For  $1 \leq k \leq 6$  and  $1 \leq l \leq 7$ ,  $g_{k, l}(7) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(7)}$  if and only if  $k = 2$  and  $l = 3$ .

PROOF. Since it is obvious that [Theorem 1.2](#) implies the claims, we will now show the converse implication. By [Lemma 2.1](#) (1),  $g_{k, l}(7) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for any  $p > 7$ . Since the discussion remains similar for the remaining cases of  $p = 2, 3, 5$ , and  $7$ , let us focus here on explaining the case when  $p = 2$ . By [Lemma 2.1](#) (2),  $g_{k, l}(7) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  if and only if  $g_{k, l}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  for all  $1 \leq n \leq 7$ . By the periodicity shown in [Lemma 2.1](#) (3) and (4), it is enough to show that  $g_{k, l}(7) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  for  $k = 2, 3$ , and  $4 \leq k < 4 + \varphi(2^4)$ , and  $1 \leq l \leq 2^4$ , where we note that  $\nu_2(7!) = 4$ . This is the claim for  $p = 2$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF [THEOREM 1.2](#). Since there are only a finite (and relatively small) number of cases to consider, it can be checked by using Mathematica. The codes to compute them are available in [Appendix A](#). Alternatively, it is enough (and possible) to check that  $g_{k, l}(7) \in \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $(k, l) \neq (2, 3), (2, 10), (8, 3), (8, 10)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 11$  and  $1 \leq l \leq 16$  because  $g_{k, l}(7)$  is not too large in these cases.  $\square$

### 3. Zagier's asymptotic formula and its generalization

In this section, we prove [Theorem 1.4](#) by defining the constant  $C_{k,l}$  and the asymptotic coefficients  $a_{k,r}$  explicitly.

**3.1. The constant  $C_{k,l}$ .** We first show a monotonic behavior of  $C_{k,l}(n) := g_{k,l}(n)^{1/k^n}$ .




FIGURE 1. The plots of  $C_{k,l}(n)$  for  $1 \leq n \leq 15$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any integers  $k, l \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $C_{k,l}(n) > C_{k,l}(n+1) > 1$ .*

PROOF. First, we check that  $C_{k,l}(n) > 1$ , that is,  $g_{k,l}(n) > 1$  by induction on  $n$ . The initial condition is satisfied by  $g_{k,l}(1) = l \geq 2$ . Assume that  $g_{k,l}(n) > 1$ . Then, we have

$$g_{k,l}(n+1) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left( ng_{k,l}(n) + g_{k,l}(n)^k \right) > \frac{n+1}{n+1} = 1.$$

Next, we check for monotonicity. Since  $g_{k,l}(n) > 1$ , we have  $g_{k,l}(n)^{k-1} > 1$ , and then

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \left( \frac{n}{g_{k,l}(n)^{k-1}} + 1 \right) < 1.$$

Hence, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left( \frac{C_{k,l}(n+1)}{C_{k,l}(n)} \right)^{k^{n+1}} = \frac{g_{k,l}(n+1)}{g_{k,l}(n)^k} = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{ng_{k,l}(n) + g_{k,l}(n)^k}{g_{k,l}(n)^k} < 1,$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

The above lemma shows that  $C_{k,l}(n)$  converges. We denote the limit as

$$(3.2) \quad C_{k,l} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_{k,l}(n) \geq 1.$$

Next, we introduce some notations to show the strict inequality  $C_{k,l} > 1$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , we define the  $k$ -Somos constant  $\sigma_k$  by

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma_k := \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log m}{k^m} \right) > 1,$$

(see also [\[8\]](#)). For a real number  $t_0 > 1$ , we define a Somos-like sequence  $t_k(n)$  by the recursion:

$$(3.4) \quad t_k(n+1) = \frac{1}{n+1} t_k(n)^k$$

with the initial value  $t_k(0) = t_0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $t_0 > \sigma_k$ , then there exist a constant  $c > 1$  such that  $t_k(n)^{1/k^n}$  decreases monotonically and tends to  $c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

PROOF. It is equivalent to show that  $a_k(n) := k^{-n} \log t_k(n)$  decreases and tends to a positive constant. Since  $a_k(n)$  satisfies the recursion

$$a_k(n) = a_k(n-1) - \frac{\log n}{k^n}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ , the sequence decreases monotonically. By our assumption, we have  $a_k(0) > \log \sigma_k$ , which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_k(n) = a_k(0) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{k^n} > 0.$$

□

We can estimate  $(k, l)$ -Göbel sequence  $g_{k,l}(n)$  by using the sequence  $t_k(n)$  as follows.

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $l \geq t_0^k$ , then  $g_{k,l}(n) \geq t_k(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

PROOF. It immediately follows from induction on  $n$ . Indeed, we have  $g_{k,l}(1) = l \geq t_0^k = t_k(1)$ . Assume that  $g_{k,l}(n) \geq t_k(n)$ . Then we obtain

$$g_{k,l}(n+1) > \frac{1}{n+1} g_{k,l}(n)^k \geq \frac{1}{n+1} t_k(n)^k = t_k(n+1).$$

□

**Proposition 3.4.** *For any integers  $k, l \geq 2$ , the constant  $C_{k,l}$  defined in (3.2) satisfies  $C_{k,l} > 1$ .*

PROOF. We show it by considering three cases.

(1) For  $k \geq 3$  and  $l \geq 2$ , since  $\sigma_3^3 = 1.5462\dots$  and  $\sigma_k^k$  decreases monotonically, there exists a real number  $t_0 > 1$  such that  $l \geq t_0^k > \sigma_k^k$ . For such a  $t_0$ , by applying Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$C_{k,l}(n) = g_{k,l}(n)^{1/k^n} \geq t_k(n)^{1/k^n} \geq c > 1,$$

which implies that  $C_{k,l} > 1$ .

(2) For  $k = 2$  and  $l \geq 3$ , since  $\sigma_2^2 = 2.7612\dots$ , there exists a real number  $t_0 > 1$  such that  $l \geq t_0^2 > \sigma_2^2$ . By the same argument, we also obtain  $C_{k,l} > 1$ .

(3) For  $k = l = 2$ , we need to modify the argument. We define another sequence  $t'_2(n)$  by the same recursion as in (3.4) with the initial value  $t'_2(3) = 5$ . Then, the inequality  $g_{2,2}(n) \geq t'_2(n)$  for  $n \geq 3$  is shown in a manner similar to Lemma 3.3. Thus, we obtain

$$C_{2,2}(n) = g_{2,2}(n)^{1/2^n} \geq t'_2(n)^{1/2^n}.$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \log t'_2(n) = \frac{1}{2^3} \log 5 - \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{2^n} = 0.00395\dots > 0.$$

Hence, we conclude that  $C_{2,2} > 1$ . □

**3.2. Asymptotic behavior.** In the previous subsection, we defined the constant  $C_{k,l} > 1$ . By definition, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{g_{k,l}(n)}{C_{k,l}^{k^n}} = \left( \frac{C_{k,l}(n)}{C_{k,l}} \right)^{k^n}.$$

Thus, it is sufficient to evaluate the right-hand side to prove Theorem 1.4. The aim of this subsection is to establish a connection to a simpler sequence.

**Theorem 3.5.** *For any real number  $R > 0$ , we have*

$$\exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m+n)}{k^m} \right) - \left( \frac{C_{k,l}(n)}{C_{k,l}} \right)^{k^n} = O \left( \frac{1}{n^R} \right)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

First, we prepare a lemma with the aim of proving this theorem. Let

$$\epsilon_{k,l}(n) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m+n)}{k^m} - k^n (\log C_{k,l}(n) - \log C_{k,l}).$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *We have*

$$\epsilon_{k,l}(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^m} \log \left( 1 + \frac{m+n-1}{g_{k,l}(m+n-1)^{k-1}} \right) < \frac{2n}{\exp(k^{n-1})}$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ .

PROOF. By (3.1), we have

$$\log C_{k,l}(m+n) - \log C_{k,l}(m+n-1) = \frac{1}{k^{m+n}} \log \left( \frac{m+n-1}{g_{k,l}(m+n-1)^{k-1}} + 1 \right) - \frac{\log(m+n)}{k^{m+n}}.$$

By summing each side over  $m$ , we obtain

$$k^n (\log C_{k,l} - \log C_{k,l}(n)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^m} \log \left( \frac{m+n-1}{g_{k,l}(m+n-1)^{k-1}} + 1 \right) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m+n)}{k^m},$$

which implies the first equality.

Next, the inequality  $\log(1+x) < x$  for  $x > 0$  implies that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^m} \log \left( 1 + \frac{m+n-1}{g_{k,l}(m+n-1)^{k-1}} \right) < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^m} \frac{m+n-1}{g_{k,l}(m+n-1)^{k-1}}.$$

Since  $C_{k,l}(n) \geq C_{k,l} > 1$  and  $g_{k,l}(n) = C_{k,l}(n)^{k^n}$ , we have

$$g_{k,l}(m+n-1)^{k-1} = C_{k,l}(m+n-1)^{(k-1)k^{m+n-1}} \geq C_{k,l}^{(k-1)k^n} > \exp(k^{n-1})$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore, by using  $m+n-1 \leq mn$  for  $m, n \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\epsilon_{k,l}(n) < \frac{n}{\exp(k^{n-1})} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m}{k^m} = \frac{n}{\exp(k^{n-1})} \frac{k}{(k-1)^2} \leq \frac{2n}{\exp(k^{n-1})}.$$

□

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.5. By using the expression

$$\left( \frac{C_{k,l}(n)}{C_{k,l}} \right)^{k^n} = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m+n)}{k^m} - \epsilon_{k,l}(n) \right)$$

and the inequality  $e^x - e^{x-\epsilon} \leq \epsilon e^x$ , we have

$$\exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m+n)}{k^m} \right) - \left( \frac{C_{k,l}(n)}{C_{k,l}} \right)^{k^n} \leq \epsilon_{k,l}(n) \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m+n)}{k^m} \right).$$

Moreover, by applying  $m+n \leq m(n+1)$  for  $m, n \geq 1$ , it is bounded by

$$\leq \epsilon_{k,l}(n) \sigma_k \cdot \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(n+1)}{k^m} \right) = \epsilon_{k,l}(n) \sigma_k \cdot (n+1)^{\frac{1}{k-1}},$$

where  $\sigma_k$  is the  $k$ -Somos constant defined in (3.3). Finally, by Lemma 3.6, we obtain the theorem. □

**3.3. The asymptotic coefficients  $a_{k,r}$ .** Finally, to complete the statement of Theorem 1.4 and its proof, we provide the asymptotic expansion of the first term of Theorem 3.5. First, we review the relevant parts of the studies by Sondow and Hadjicostas [8] regarding a generalization of Somos's sequence introduced in (1.3). Then, we establish a connection between their results and our  $(k, l)$ -Göbel sequences. To explicitly state their claims, we recall the Eulerian polynomials.

**Definition 3.7.** For any integer  $r \geq 0$ , we define the *Eulerian polynomial*  $A_r(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  by

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^r}{t^m} = \frac{A_r(t)}{(t-1)^{r+1}}.$$

**Example 3.8.** The first few examples are given by  $A_0(t) = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(t) &= t, \\ A_2(t) &= t^2 + t, \\ A_3(t) &= t^3 + 4t^2 + t, \\ A_4(t) &= t^4 + 11t^3 + 11t^2 + t, \\ A_5(t) &= t^5 + 26t^4 + 66t^3 + 26t^2 + t. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the following is known.

**Theorem 3.9** ([8, Theorem 9 and Lemma 1]). *We define the sequence  $(a_{k,r})_r$  to be*

$$(3.6) \quad a_{k,r} := \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_r \geq 0 \\ m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + rm_r = r}} \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{m_j!} \left( \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} \frac{A_j(k)}{(k-1)^{j+1}} \right)^{m_j},$$

then we have

$$\exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m+n)}{k^m} \right) \sim n^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \left( 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k,r}}{n^r} \right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

**Example 3.10.** The first several terms are calculated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,1} &= \frac{k}{(k-1)^2}, \\ a_{k,2} &= -\frac{k(k^2 - k - 1)}{2(k-1)^4}, \\ a_{k,3} &= \frac{k(2k^4 + k^3 - 11k^2 + 7k + 2)}{6(k-1)^6}, \\ a_{k,4} &= -\frac{k(6k^6 + 37k^5 - 124k^4 + 53k^3 + 92k^2 - 59k - 6)}{24(k-1)^8}, \\ a_{k,5} &= \frac{k(24k^8 + 478k^7 - 1013k^6 - 1324k^5 + 4411k^4 - 2724k^3 - 453k^2 + 578k + 24)}{120(k-1)^{10}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when  $k = 2$ , we observe that  $(a_{2,r})_{r=1}^5 = (2, -1, 4, -21, 138)$ , which matches the asymptotic coefficients in (1.2).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. We will show that

$$\frac{g_{k,l}(n)}{C_{k,l}^{k^n}} - n^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \left( 1 + \sum_{r=1}^R \frac{a_{k,r}}{n^r} \right) = O \left( \frac{n^{\frac{1}{k-1}}}{n^{R+1}} \right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for any  $R \geq 0$ . It immediately follows by applying (3.5), Theorem 3.5, and Theorem 3.9.  $\square$

#### 4. Further observations

Zagier [9] observed not only the asymptotic formula but also a heuristic explaining why  $N_{2,2} = 43$  is unexpectedly large, assuming a certain “randomness” of the values  $g_{2,2}(n)$  modulo  $p$  for  $1 \leq n < p$ . Inspired by his heuristic argument, we can ask the question: for any pair of integers  $k, l \geq 2$ , does there exist (infinitely many)  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $g_{k,l}(p) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . For instance,  $g_{2,2}(p) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  holds when  $p = 43, 61, 67, 83, \dots$ . We do not have an answer to this question, but we obtained a result concerning “randomness”, which we present as a final remark.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any prime number  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  and integers  $k, l, r \geq 2$ , the set*

$$G_{k,l,p}^r := \{g_{k,l}(n) \bmod p^r : 1 \leq n < p, g_{k,l}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r-1}}\}$$

*is a singleton  $\{0 \bmod p^r\}$  or has the same cardinality as  $\{1 \leq n < p : g_{k,l}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r-1}}\}$ . Here the congruence is considered in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .*



**Example 4.2.** Let  $r = 2$ . For  $(k, l) = (4, 4)$  and  $p = 13$ , we see that  $g_{4,4}(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  and  $g_{4,4}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  for  $2 \leq n \leq 12$ . Moreover, we can check that all entries of

$$(g_{4,4}(n) \bmod 13^2)_{2 \leq n \leq 12} = (130, 143, 65, 52, 156, 13, 117, 104, 26, 39, 78)$$

are distinct from each other.

On the other hand, for  $(k, l) = (3, 2)$  and  $p = 13$ , we see that  $g_{3,2}(n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{13}$  for  $1 \leq n \leq 3$  and  $g_{3,2}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{13^2}$  for  $4 \leq n \leq 12$ . Thus,  $G_{3,2,13}^2 = \{0 \bmod 13^2\}$  is a singleton.

To prove the theorem, we first show a lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $k, l, r \geq 2$  be integers and  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Assume that there exists  $1 \leq a < p$  and  $0 \leq b < p$  such that  $g_{k,l}(a) \equiv bp^{r-1} \pmod{p^r}$ . Then, for any  $a \leq n < p$ , we have*

$$ng_{k,l}(n) \equiv abp^{r-1} \pmod{p^r}.$$

PROOF. It follows from induction on  $n$ . The first condition  $ag_{k,l}(a) \equiv abp^{r-1} \pmod{p^r}$  is clearly satisfied by our assumption. Assume that  $ng_{k,l}(n) \equiv abp^{r-1} \pmod{p^r}$  for some  $a \leq n < p-1$ . By definition,

$$(n+1)g_{k,l}(n+1) = g_{k,l}(n)^k + ng_{k,l}(n) \equiv (n^{-1}ab)^k p^{k(r-1)} + abp^{r-1} \pmod{p^r},$$

which implies that  $(n+1)g_{k,l}(n+1) \equiv abp^{r-1} \pmod{p^r}$  because  $k(r-1) \geq r$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. It is sufficient to consider the case where  $I := \{1 \leq n < p : g_{k,l}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r-1}}\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then, we take  $a := \min I$  and  $0 \leq b < p$  satisfying  $g_{k,l}(a) \equiv bp^{r-1} \pmod{p^r}$ . If  $b = 0$ , then Lemma 4.3 tells us that  $g_{k,l}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$  for all  $n \in I$ , that is,  $G_{k,l,p}^r = \{0 \bmod p^r\}$ . We now assume that  $b \neq 0$ . If there exist  $n_1, n_2 \in I$  satisfying  $g_{k,l}(n_1) \equiv g_{k,l}(n_2) \pmod{p^r}$ , Lemma 4.3 implies that

$$n_1 g_{k,l}(n_1) \equiv abp^{r-1} \equiv n_2 g_{k,l}(n_2) \pmod{p^r},$$

and then  $n_1 = n_2$ .  $\square$

Furthermore, Table 1 suggests a tendency for  $N_{k,l}$  to increase when  $k$  is prime, but elucidating this phenomenon will be a subject for future investigation.

## Appendix A. Methods for Computing $N_{k,l}$

In this appendix, we provide a method to compute  $N_{k,l}$  and the Mathematica codes we used to generate Table 1. First, we recall the following sequence  $g_{k,l,p,r}(n)$  introduced in [5].

**Definition A.1.** Let  $k, l \geq 2, r \geq 1$  be integers, and  $p$  a prime. We define  $b(n) = b_{p,r}(n) = r - \nu_p(n!)$  and use the symbol F to represent ‘‘False.’’ For any positive integer  $n$  with  $\nu_p(n!) \leq r$ , we define  $g_{k,l,p,r}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{b(n)}\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\text{F}\}$  as follows.

- Initial condition:  $g_{k,l,p,r}(1) = l \bmod p^r \in \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ .
- For  $n \geq 2$ : When  $g_{k,l,p,r}(n-1) = \text{F}$ ,  $g_{k,l,p,r}(n) = \text{F}$ .
- For  $n \geq 2$ : When  $g_{k,l,p,r}(n-1) = a \bmod p^{b(n-1)}$ ,
  - if  $a(n-1 + a^{k-1}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\nu_p(n)}}$ , then  $g_{k,l,p,r}(n) = \text{F}$ .
  - if  $a(n-1 + a^{k-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\nu_p(n)}}$ , then letting  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $c \cdot (n/p^{\nu_p(n)}) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{b(n)}}$ , we define

$$g_{k,l,p,r}(n) = \frac{a(n-1 + a^{k-1})}{p^{\nu_p(n)}} \cdot c \bmod p^{b(n)}.$$

The recursion above, defining  $g_{k,l,p,r}(n)$ , is a translation of Definition 1.1 modulo a power of  $p$ . Since  $g_{k,l}(n) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  if and only if  $g_{k,l,p,\nu_p(n!)}(n) = \text{F}$ ,  $N_{k,l}$  can be expressed as

$$N_{k,l} = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : \text{there exists } p \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq n} \text{ such that } g_{k,l,p,\nu_p(n!)}(n) = \text{F}\}.$$

The following codes implement this argument in Mathematica.

---

```

nu[p_, n_] := FirstCase[FactorInteger[n], {p, r_} -> r, 0];
inv[n_, M_] := If[M == 1, 1, ModularInverse[n, M]];

g[k_, l_, p_, r_, 1] := {Mod[1, p^r], r};
g[k_, l_, p_, r_, n_] :=
  g[k, l, p, r, n] =
  Module[{a, b},
    If[g[k, l, p, r, n - 1] === "F",
      "F", {a, b} = g[k, l, p, r, n - 1];
    If[Mod[a (n - 1 + a^(k - 1)), p^nu[p, n]] != 0,
      "F", {Mod[
        a (n - 1 + a^(k - 1))/p^nu[p, n] inv[n/p^nu[p, n],
          p^(b - nu[p, n])], p^(b - nu[p, n])], b - nu[p, n]}]]];

NN[k_, l_] :=
  Module[{n}, n = 2;
  While[Not[
    MemberQ[Table[
      g[k, l, Prime[m], nu[Prime[m], n!], n], {m, 1, PrimePi[n]}],
      "F"]], n++]; n];

```

---

Here, the output  $g[k, l, n, p, r] = \{a, b\}$  means that  $g_{k, l, p, r}(n) = a \pmod{p^b}$  with  $b = b(n)$ , that is,  $g_{k, l}(n) \equiv a \pmod{p^b}$ , and  $NN[k, l]$  gives the value of  $N_{k, l}$ .

## References

- [1] S. R. Finch, *Mathematical constants*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 94, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [2] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in number theory*, 3rd edition, Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [3] H. Ibstedt, *Some sequences of large integers*, Fibonacci Quart. **28** (1990), no. 3, 200–203.
- [4] D. Kobayashi and S. Seki, *Seisu-tan 1: A strange tale of integers' world*, Nippon Hyoron Sha (in Japanese), 2023.
- [5] R. Matsuhira, T. Matsusaka, and K. Tsuchida, *How long can  $k$ -Göbel sequences remain integers?*, to appear in Amer. Math. Monthly, arXiv:2307.09741.
- [6] N. J. A. Sloane, *The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences*. <https://oeis.org>.
- [7] ———, *A handbook of integer sequences*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [8] J. Sondow and P. Hadjicostas, *The generalized-Euler-constant function  $\gamma(z)$  and a generalization of Somos's quadratic recurrence constant*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **332** (2007), no. 1, 292–314.
- [9] D. Zagier, *Problems given at the St. Andrews Colloquium, 1996*. [https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/EMS/Colloquium\\_1996/](https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/EMS/Colloquium_1996/), Solution: Day 5, Problem 3, <https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/EMS/Zagier/Solution53/>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, MOTOOKA 744, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

*Email address:* [gima.hibiki.100@s.kyushu-u.ac.jp](mailto:gima.hibiki.100@s.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, MOTOOKA 744, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

*Email address:* [matsusaka@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp](mailto:matsusaka@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, MOTOOKA 744, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

*Email address:* [miyazaki.taichi.478@s.kyushu-u.ac.jp](mailto:miyazaki.taichi.478@s.kyushu-u.ac.jp)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, MOTOOKA 744, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN

*Email address:* [yara.shunta.444@s.kyushu-u.ac.jp](mailto:yara.shunta.444@s.kyushu-u.ac.jp)