

Predictors from causal features do not generalize better to new domains

Vivian Y. Nastl^{1,2} and Moritz Hardt¹

¹Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tübingen, Germany and Tübingen AI Center

²Max Planck ETH Center for Learning Systems

Abstract

We study how well machine learning models trained on causal features generalize across domains. We consider 16 prediction tasks on tabular datasets covering applications in health, employment, education, social benefits, and politics. Each dataset comes with multiple domains, allowing us to test how well a model trained in one domain performs in another. For each prediction task, we select features that have a causal influence on the target of prediction. Our goal is to test the hypothesis that models trained on causal features generalize better across domains. Without exception, we find that predictors using all available features, regardless of causality, have better in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy than predictors using causal features. Moreover, even the absolute drop in accuracy from one domain to the other is no better for causal predictors than for models that use all features. If the goal is to generalize to new domains, practitioners might as well train the best possible model on all available features.

1 Introduction

The accuracy of machine learning models typically drops significantly when a model trained in one domain is evaluated in another. This empirical fact is the fruit of numerous studies (Torralba & Efros, 2011; Gulrajani & Lopez-Paz, 2020; Miller et al., 2021). But it’s less clear what to do about it. Many machine learning researchers see hope in causal modeling. Causal relationships, the story goes, reflect stable mechanisms invariant to changes in an environment. Models that utilize these invariant mechanisms should therefore generalize well to new domains (Peters et al., 2017). The idea may be sound in theory. Intriguing theoretical results carve out assumptions under which causal machine learning methods generalize gracefully from one domain to the other (Heinze-Deml et al., 2018; Meinshausen, 2018; Schölkopf et al., 2021; Pearl & Bareinboim, 2022; Subbaswamy et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022b).

These theoretical developments have fueled optimism about the out-of-domain generalization abilities of causal machine learning. The general sentiment is that causal methods enjoy greater external validity than kitchen-sink model fitting. In this work, we put the theorized external validity of causal machine learning to an empirical test in a wide range of concrete datasets.

Our results. We consider 16 prediction tasks on tabular datasets from prior work (Ding et al., 2021; Hardt & Kim, 2023; Gardner et al., 2023) covering application settings including health, employment, education, social benefits, and politics. Each datasets comes with different domains intended for research on domain generalization. For each task we conservatively select a set of *causal features*. Causal features are those that we most strongly believe have a causal influence on the target of prediction. We also select a more inclusive set of *arguably causal* variables that




Figure 1: Best out-of-domain accuracy (left) and corresponding shift gap (right) by feature selection. Predictors using all features Pareto-dominate predictors using causal features, with respect to in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy.

include variables that may be considered causal depending on modeling choices. For each task, we compare the performance of machine learning methods trained on causal variables and arguably causal variables with those trained on all available features. In all 16 tasks, our primary finding can be summarized as:

Predictors using all available features, regardless of causality, have better in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy than predictors using causal features.

Across 16 datasets, we were unable to find a single example where causal predictors generalize better to new domains than a standard machine learning model trained on all available features. Figure 1 summarizes the situation. In greater detail, our empirical results are:

- Using all features Pareto-dominates both causal selections, with respect to in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy (up to error bars).
- The inclusive selection of arguably causal features Pareto-dominates the conservative selection of causal features with respect to in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy (up to error bars).
- The absolute drop in accuracy from one domain to the other is smaller for all features than for causal features.
- Adding anti-causal features—i.e., features caused by the target variable—to the set of causal features significantly improves out-of-domain performance.
- Special-purpose causal machine learning methods, such as IRM and REx, typically perform no better than standard models trained on the conservative selection of causal features.
- Extensive robustness checks confirm that our findings are stable under misclassifications of single features.




Figure 2: (Left) Pareto-frontiers of in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy by feature selection. (Right) Pareto-frontiers of shift gap and out-of-domain accuracy by feature selection. Predictors based all features have better in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy than predictors using causal feature selection. Their shift gap is smaller too, up to error bars. Results for remaining tasks are in Appendix A.

We provide a closer look on the Pareto-frontiers of four representative tasks in Figure 2.

Our findings don't contradict the theory. Rather they point at the fact that the assumptions of existing theory are unlikely to be met in typical tabular data settings we study. It is, of course,

always possible that more sophisticated causal modeling techniques yield better results. However, on the many datasets we investigated, there appears to be no easy way to make use of causal techniques for better out-of-domain generalization.

1.1 Related work

Existing work in causal machine learning relies on the assumption of invariance of causal mechanisms, also discussed under the terms ‘autonomy’, ‘modularity’ or ‘stability’ (Haavelmo, 1944; Aldrich, 1989; Hoover, 1990; Pearl, 2009; Schölkopf et al., 2012). It states that the conditional distribution of the target, given the complete set of its direct causal parents, remains identical under interventions on variables other than the target itself.

In their influential work, Peters et al. (2016) utilize this invariance property of causal models for causal discovery. In further works, it is extended to non-linear models (Heinze-Deml et al., 2018), and discovery of invariant features (Rojas-Carulla et al., 2018).

The computation of their algorithms is, however, infeasible in a high-dimensional setting, as they use all possible subsets of features. To overcome this, Arjovsky et al. (2019) propose Invariant Risk Minimization (IRM). IRM learns an invariant representation of the features instead. Rosenfeld et al. (2021b) identify failure cases of IRM. In response, multiple extensions of IRM have been proposed (Krueger et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022a; Ahuja et al., 2022; Jiang & Veitch, 2022; Chen et al., 2023). Another line of research assumes graphical knowledge to remove variables or apply independence constraints for regularization (Subbaswamy & Sarria, 2018; Subbaswamy et al., 2019; Kaur et al., 2022). We refer the reader to Kaddour et al. (2022) for a detailed overview.

Aside from causal learning approaches, various domain generalization algorithms and distributional robustness methods have been developed (Ajakan et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2015; Sun & Saenko, 2016; Li et al., 2018; Levy et al., 2020; Sagawa et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). Each method assumes a unique type of (untestable) invariance across domains.

Gulrajani & Lopez-Paz (2020) conduct extensive experiments on seven image datasets to compare the performance of domain generalization algorithms, including IRM and Risk Extrapolation (REx) (Krueger et al., 2021), in realistic settings. They find that no domain generalization methods systematically outperforms empirical risk minimization. In their recent work, Gardner et al. (2023) demonstrate a similar behavior for tabular data. In particular, they show that the domain generalization methods, which include IRM and REx, only improve the shift gap by reducing in-domain accuracy.

In our work, we shift the focus from the out-of-domain performance of specific causal machine learning *algorithms* to the performance of causal *feature sets*.

1.2 Theoretical background and motivation

To frame our empirical study, we recall some relevant theoretical background first.

A *domain* \mathcal{D} is composed of samples $(x_i, y_i) \sim P$, where $x_i \in \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ are the features and $y \in \mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the target (Wang et al., 2022b). Let X and Y denote the random variables corresponding to the features and the target.

In domain generalization, we are given m training domains $\mathcal{D}^{\text{train}} = \{\mathcal{D}^d : d = 1, \dots, m\}$. The joint distributions of features and target differ across domains, i.e. $P^d \neq P^e$ for $d \neq e$. We refer to this as *domain shift*.

Our goal is to learn a prediction f_θ from the training domains $\mathcal{D}^{\text{train}}$ that achieves minimum

prediction error on an *unseen* test domain $\mathcal{D}^{\text{test}}$,

$$\theta^* = \arg \min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{p^{\text{test}}}[\ell(Y, f_{\theta}(X))], \quad (1)$$

where $\ell(\cdot, \cdot)$ is some loss function. We can compose the objective into two parts

$$\mathbb{E}_{p^{\text{train}}}[\ell(Y, f_{\theta}(X))] - \Delta \quad (2)$$

where the quantity Δ is the *shift gap* defined as

$$\Delta = \mathbb{E}_{p^{\text{train}}}[\ell(Y, f_{\theta}(X))] - \mathbb{E}_{p^{\text{test}}}[\ell(Y, f_{\theta}(X))].$$

We want to learn a classifier with the best trade-off between predicting accurately and having a low shift gap. In our empirical work, this shift gap corresponds to the difference in accuracy, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{\text{acc}} = \text{acc}(f_{\theta}, \mathcal{D}^{\text{test}}) - \text{acc}(f_{\theta}, \mathcal{D}^{\text{train}}). \quad (3)$$

Distributional robustness of causal mechanisms. Suppose we have a directed acyclic graph $G = (V, E)$ with nodes $V = \{1, \dots, q\}$, edges E and a q -dimensional random variable (Z, Y) . Let F_{ε} be the distribution for the noise variables $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^q$.

As in the formalization of domain generalization, we consider a prediction f_{θ} for Y parameterized by θ . One common assumption in the literature is that there exists coefficients θ^{causal} for the prediction that describe the target, i.e.,

$$Y \leftarrow f_{\theta^{\text{causal}}}(Z) + \varepsilon_q. \quad (4)$$

Then, the invariance of the causal mechanism implies that these causal coefficients give the distributionally robust estimator for the set of do-interventional distributions that do not intervene on Y ,

$$\theta^{\text{causal}} = \arg \min_{\theta} \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{(\text{do})}} E_Q[\ell(Y, f_{\theta}(Z))],$$

with $\mathcal{Q}^{(\text{do})} := \left\{ P_{a, V \setminus \{q\}}^{(\text{do})}; a \in \mathbb{R}^{q-1} \right\}$ (Meinshausen, 2018). In particular, the value of the objective function is infinity for $\theta \neq \theta^{\text{causal}}$.

To link to domain generalization, we assume that all causal parents of Y are included in the feature set X . Without loss of generality, we set $X = Z$. Moreover, we assume that the distribution of the testing domain is described by a do-intervention on the features. Intuitively, this postulates that the causal mechanism generating Y is the same in every domain, while features encounter arbitrarily large interventions.

Under these assumptions, the prediction error on the testing domain obtained by the causal coefficients is minimax optimal bounded,

$$\mathbb{E}_{p^{\text{test}}}[\ell(Y, f_{\theta^{\text{causal}}}(X))] \leq \min_{\theta} \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}^{(\text{do})}} \mathbb{E}_Q[\ell(Y, f_{\theta}(X))].$$

Recent work in causal machine learning already pointed out that the minimum prediction error on some test domains can be much smaller than the prediction error achieved by the causal coefficients (Rothenhäusler et al., 2020; Subbaswamy et al., 2022). Our empirical study complements these theoretical developments, as we evaluate domain generalization abilities of causal features in typical tabular datasets.

2 Methodology

We conduct experiments on 16 classification tasks with natural domain shifts. They cover applications in multiple application areas, e.g., health, employment, education, social benefits, and politics. Most of the tasks are from the distribution shift benchmark for tabular data *TableShift* (Gardner et al., 2023). Some others are from prior work (Hardt & Kim, 2023). All tabular datasets contain interpretable features, e.g., personal information like age, education status, or individual’s habits. As opposed to working with raw signals common to image and text data, we can consult social and biomedical research about known causal relationships between features and target. Unfortunately, causal relationships in these application areas are typically highly complex and only partially understood. Therefore, we propose a pragmatic scheme to classify the relationship between features and target.

We term features that clearly have a causal influence on the target **causal**. We are conservative and only label features as causal when: (1) The feature has almost certainly a causal effect on the target, and (2) reverse causation from target to feature is hard to argue. Thus, we sort out any spuriously related or possibly anti-causal feature (Schölkopf et al., 2012). We risk, however, excluding relevant causal parents of the target.

For this reason, we propose the concept of **arguably causal features**. It is epistemically uncertain how these features are causally linked to the target. In particular, a feature is termed arguably causal when it suffices one of the criteria: (1) The feature is a causal feature, or (2) the feature has a causal effect on the target and reverse causation is possible, (3) or it is plausible but not certain that the feature has a causal effect on the target. We only exclude variables where it is implausible that they causally affect the target. Hence, we can be relatively sure that the set of arguably causal features covers all causal parents of the target present in the task’s dataset.

We emphasize that both, causal features and arguably causal features, are approximations of the true causal parents, based on current expert knowledge and restricted to available features.

In some datasets and tasks we are also confronted with features that are plausibly **anti-causal**, that is: (1) The target has almost certainly a causal effect on the target, and (2) a reverse causation from feature to target is hard to argue.

We apply this scheme to the features of every task, after seeking advice from current research, governmental institutions and a medical practitioner. The details are provided in Appendix C.

2.1 Example: Variables in diabetes classification

The task is to classify whether a person is diagnosed with diabetes (Gardner et al., 2023). The domains are defined by race/ethnicity of the individuals. We illustrate the feature grouping in Figure 3. The remaining feature are listed in Appendix C.

Causal features. Kautzky-Willer et al. (2023) show that an individual’s sex impacts their diabetes diagnosis,¹ e.g., pregnancies unmask pre-existing metabolic abnormalities in female individuals.

Socio-economic status is a widely acknowledged risk factor for diabetes (Brown et al., 2004; Agardh et al., 2011). It is, for instance, determined by an individual’s educational attainment. Another established risk factor for diabetes is smoking, in particular former smoking (Madduta et al., 2017; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2022b). Moreover, Whisman et al. (2014) argue that marital status affects the risk of developing diabetes, e.g., through marital stress.

¹There is an active debate in causal research whether non-manipulable variables like sex are even proper causes (Holland, 2001; Pearl, 2018). We acknowledge them as causes in our work.




Figure 3: A plausible grouping for the task "Diabetes".

Arguably causal features. The individual’s lifestyle, health and socio-economic status impacts their risk to develop diabetes, e.g., obesity, current smoking, healthy food, alcohol consumption, physical activities, mental health and utilization of health care services (Lindstrom et al., 2003; Brown et al., 2004; Engum, 2007; Baliunas et al., 2009; Agardh et al., 2011; Madduta et al., 2017; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2022b;a; Klein et al., 2022). At the same time, a person with diabetes is incentivized to modify these behaviors to control their blood sugar, improve insulin sensitivity and prevent other serious chronic illnesses (Klein et al., 2004). Insulin therapy might lead to an increase in weight (McFarlane, 2009). Managing diabetes might distress individuals (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2023), and limit their economic opportunities when an employer is unwilling to adjust (Association, 2011).

Anti-causal features. Researchers have found evidence that diabetes increases the risk of hypertension (Petrie et al., 2018). It adversely impacts the risk of high blood cholesterol, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction or strokes (Schofield et al., 2016). Due to the associated costs with the diabetes diagnosis, individuals with diabetes are highly encouraged to obtain a health insurance (National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 2019).

2.2 Other datasets

Including the task ‘Diabetes’, we consider 16 classification tasks, listed in Table 1. The data is collected from a multitude of sources.

We build on 14 classification tasks with natural domain shifts proposed in *TableShift*. We use the *TableShift* Python API to preprocess and transform raw public forms of the data.² In addition, we conduct experiments on two established classification tasks (MEPS, SIPP). Further details and definition of appropriate domains for these tasks are in Appendix C. Data preprocessing is adapted from Hardt & Kim (2023).

2.3 Tabular data classification techniques

In our experiments, we evaluate multiple machine learning algorithms, provided in *TableShift*.

²<https://tableshift.org/>

Table 1: Description of tasks, data sources and number of features in each selection.

Task	Data Source	#Features	#Arg. causal	#Causal	#Anti-causal
Food Stamps	ACS	29	26	13	-
Income	ACS	24	16	5	3
Public Coverage	ACS	20	17	9	-
Unemployment	ACS	27	22	13	3
Voting	ANES	55	37	9	-
Diabetes	BRFSS	26	19	6	6
Hypertension	BRFSS	19	16	7	2
College Scorecard	College Scorecard	119	35	12	-
ASSISTments	Kaggle	16	14	10	-
ICU Length of Stay	MIMIC-iii	7492	1446	6	-
ICU Mortality	MIMIC-iii	7492	1446	6	-
Hospital Readmission	UCI	47	43	6	-
Childhood Lead	NHANES	8	7	6	-
Sepsis	Physionet	41	41	6	-
Utilization	MEPS	219	130	21	-
Poverty	SIPP	55	44	16	6

Baseline methods. We include tree ensemble methods: XGBoost (Chen & Guestrin, 2016), LightGBM (Ke et al., 2017) and histogram-based GBM. We also train multilayer perceptrons (MLP).

Tabular neural networks. We evaluate state-of-the-art deep learning methods for tabular data: SAINT (Somepalli et al., 2021), TabTransformer (Huang et al., 2020), NODE (Popov et al., 2019), FT Transformer (Gorishniy et al., 2021) and tabular ResNet (Gorishniy et al., 2021).

Domain robustness methods. We assess distributionally robust optimization (DRO) (Levy et al., 2020), Group DRO (Sagawa et al., 2020) using domains and labels as groups, respectively, and the adversarial label robustness method by Zhang et al. (2021).

(Non-causal) Domain generalization methods. We also consider Domain-Adversarial Neural Networks (DANN) (Ajakan et al., 2015), Deep CORAL (Sun & Saenko, 2016), Domain MixUp (Xu et al., 2020) and MMD (Li et al., 2018).

(Causal) Domain generalization methods. We include Invariant Risk Minimization (IRM) (Arjovsky et al., 2019) and Risk Extrapolation (REx) (Krueger et al., 2021).

Domain generalization methods require at least two training domains with a sufficient number of data points. This is provided in eight of our tasks. See Appendix C. Detailed descriptions and hyperparameter choices are found in Gardner et al. (2023).

2.4 Experimental procedure

We conduct the following procedure for each task. First, we define up to four sets of features based on expert knowledge: all features, causal features, arguably causal features and anti-causal

features. Second, we split the full dataset into in-domain set and out-of-domain set. We have a train/test/validation split within the in-domain set, and a test/validation split within the out-of-domain set. For each feature set:

1. We apply the machine learning methods listed in Section 2.3. For each method:
 - (a) We conduct a hyperparameter sweep, as implemented in *TableShift*. A method is tuned for 50 trials.
 - (b) We evaluate the trained classifiers using accuracy on in-domain and out-of-domain test set.
 - (c) We select the best model according to their in-domain validation accuracy. This follows the selection procedure in Gulrajani & Lopez-Paz (2020) and Gardner et al. (2023). To ensure compatibility, we add the best in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy pair observed by Gardner et al. (2023). We restrict our further analysis to this selection.
2. We find the Pareto-set \mathcal{P} of in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy pairs. We compute the shift gaps, and find the Pareto-set of shift gap and out-of-domain accuracy of the set \mathcal{P} .

3 Empirical results

In this section, we present and discuss the results of the experiments on all 16 tasks. They entail 600 models per task with a single training domain and 850 models per task with at least two training domains, for each feature selection. A total of 38K models were trained for the main results and an additional 141K models for robustness tests. Our code is based on Gardner et al. (2023) and Hardt & Kim (2023) and made available at <https://github.com/socialfoundations/causal-features>.

3.1 Pareto-frontiers

In our experiments, we analyze how the different feature selections perform. First, we focus on the performance of all features, arguably causal features, and the causal features. The plots for four representative tasks, namely ‘Diabetes’, ‘Unemployment’, ‘Income’ and ‘Hospital Mortality’, are shown in Figure 2. The remaining plots are in Appendix A.

In-domain and out-of-domain accuracy. Remarkably, models trained on all features accomplish the highest in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy, up to error bars (14/14 tasks). Moreover, the arguably causal features Pareto-dominate the causal features, up to error bars (14/14 tasks). Models based on causal features are often essentially predicting the majority label (6/14 tasks). The prudent selection of causal features seems to often give up important signals about the target.

Shift gap. The shift gap measures the absolute performance drop of the feature sets when employed out-of-domain. All features often experience a significantly smaller shift gap than causal features (7/14 tasks). The causal features only surpass all features (within the error bounds) for the task ‘Hospital Mortality’ by predicting the majority label. In most cases, the shift gaps of all features and arguably causal features are indistinguishable (13/14 tasks).




Figure 4: (Left) Pareto-frontiers of in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy by feature selection. (Right) Pareto-frontiers of shift gap and out-of-domain accuracy accomplished by feature selection. Adding anti-causal features (using all features) significantly improves out-of-domain accuracy, although anti-causal features perform poor by themselves. Results of other tasks in Appendix A.

3.2 Anti-causal features

In five tasks, we classify features as anti-causal. See Table 1. We show plots for one task in Figure 4; others in Appendix A. In general, in-domain accuracy of anti-causal features does not differ significantly from the constant predictor (5/5 tasks). However, they sometimes perform extremely poor out-of-domain (2/5 tasks). It is surprising that adding anti-causal features (using all features) significantly improves on the performance of (arguably) causal features, see Figure 4.

3.3 Causal machine learning methods

We compute the Pareto-set of the non-causal models for each feature set and compare them to IRM and REx. We provide plots showcasing their best and worst performance in Figure 5. The remaining plots are given in Appendix A. The causal machine learning methods achieve lower in-domain and out-domain accuracy than non-causal machine learning methods using all features or all arguably causal features, up to error bars (8/8 tasks). In terms of shift gap, IRM performs incredibly well in the task ‘Income’ in Figure 5. This is, however, a rather rare occurrence (1/8 tasks). We point out that the performance of IRM and REx is often statistically similar to using causal features (in 6/8 tasks one or both methods). There could be multiple reasons for that: (1) IRM and REx actually manage to extract a causal invariant representation of the features, which is similar to our selection of causal features; or (2) it could also be an artifact from both of them having low predictive power.




Figure 5: (Left) Pareto-frontiers of in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy by feature selection, and of IRM and REx models. (Right) Pareto-frontiers of shift gap and out-of-domain accuracy attained. IRM and REx often perform similar to standard models trained on causal features. Results for remaining tasks are provided in Appendix A.

3.4 Robustness tests

We test whether our conclusions are sensitive to misclassifying one feature and using accuracy as metric. Exemplary plots are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7, respectively. Remaining plots are provided in Appendix A. We form subsets of the set of causal features by removing one feature at a time. The test subsets do not achieve higher out-of-domain accuracy than using all features, with one exception for the task ‘ASSISTments’ in Appendix A. The exception occurs for dropping a high-cardinality categorical feature, leading to a huge increase in in-domain *and* out-of-domain accuracy. We find that the supersets of arguably causal features with one additional features obtain similar or better out-of-domain accuracy and shift gaps (11/11 tasks).³ Our findings also remain valid when measuring the performance in balanced accuracy (16/16 tasks).

4 Discussion

Our findings may not come as a surprise to everyone. Unlike causal machine learning researchers, social scientists generally see no reason to believe in the universality of causal relationships. For example, smaller classroom sizes may cause better teaching outcomes in Tennessee (Mosteller, 1995), but much less so in California (Jepsen & Rivkin, 2009). Such variation is the rule rather

³Due to computational costs, we restrict the test for arguably causal features to tasks with less than 50 additional features.




Figure 6: (Upper) Out-of-domain accuracy and shift gap for robustness tests on causal features. Each test set is a subset of the causal features with one feature removed. (Lower) Out-of-domain accuracy and shift gap for robustness tests on arguably causal features. Each test set is a superset of the arguably causal features with one feature added. Findings are robust to misclassifications of single features. Results for remaining tasks are shown in Figure 8 - 23.

than the exception. Indeed, philosopher of science [Cartwright \(1999; 2007\)](#) argued that causal regularities are more narrowly scoped than commonly believed.

Our study mirrors these robust facts in a machine learning context. We find no evidence that causal predictors have greater external validity than their conventional counterparts. If the goal is to generalize to new domains, our findings suggest practitioners might as well train the best possible model on all available features. Put differently, demonstrating the utility of causal methods requires other benchmark datasets than the ones currently available.

We point to two classification tasks, where recent research suggests that causal prediction methods have utility for better domain generalization ([Schulam & Saria, 2017](#); [Subbaswamy & Saria, 2019](#)). [Cooper et al. \(1997\)](#) built a predictor for the probability of death for patients with pneumonia. The goal was to identify patients at low risk that can be treated safely at home for pneumonia. Their dataset contains inpatient information from 78 hospitals in 23 U.S. States. [Cooper et al. \(1997\)](#) assumed that hospital-treated pneumonia patients with a very low probability of death would also have a very low probability of death if treated at home. [Caruana et al. \(2015\)](#) pointed out that this assumption may not hold, for example, in patients with a history of asthma. Due to the existing policy across hospitals to admit asthmatic pneumonia patients to the ICU, the aggressive treatment actually lowered their mortality risk from pneumonia compared to the general population. While [Caruana et al. \(2015\)](#) use this observation to argue for interpretable models, [Schulam & Saria \(2017\)](#) take it as motivation for causal models to ensure generalization.

In another example, [Nestor et al. \(2019\)](#) trained predictive models on records from the MIMIC-




Figure 7: Pareto-frontiers of in-domain and out-of-domain balanced accuracy by feature selection. Predictors using all features also Pareto-dominate predictors using causal features w.r.t *balanced* accuracy. Results for remaining tasks are shown in Appendix A.

III database between 2001 and 2002, and tested on data of subsequent years. When the underlying clinical information system changed in 2008, this caused fundamental changes in the recorded measurements and a significant drop in prediction quality of machine learning models trained on raw data. The predictive performance, however, remained surprisingly robust after aggregating the raw features into expert-defined clinical concepts.⁴ If these clinical concepts reflect causal relationships, this example may be viewed as empirical support for causal modeling.

In light of our results, it’s worth finding theoretical explanations for why using all features, regardless of causality, has the best performance in typical tabular datasets. In this vein, [Rosenfeld et al. \(2021a\)](#) point to settings where risk minimization is the right thing to do in theory. We see the search for additional theoretical explanations with a simple observation: If all domains are positive reweightings of one another, then the Bayes optimal predictor with respect to classification error in one domain is also Bayes optimal in any other domain. Standard models, such as gradient boosting or random forests, often achieve near optimal performance on tabular data with a relatively small number of features. In such cases, our simple observation applies and motivates a common sense heuristic: Do the best you can to approximate the optimal predictor on all available features.

Acknowledgements

We’re indebted to Sabrina Doleschel for her help in classifying the arguably causal features in task ‘Length of Stay’ and ‘Hospital Mortality’ based on MIMIC-III. We thank André Cruz, Ricardo Dominguez-Olmedo, Florian Dorner, Mila Gorecki, Nicolai Meinshausen, and Olawale Salaudeen for invaluable feedback on an earlier version of this paper. This project has received funding from the Max Planck ETH Center for Learning Systems (CLS).

⁴Various measurements of the same biophysical quantity are grouped together.

References

- Agardh, E., Allebeck, P., Hallqvist, J., Moradi, T., and Sidorchuk, A. Type 2 diabetes incidence and socio-economic position: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 40(3):804–818, 02 2011. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr029.
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Medical expenditure panel survey (MEPS), 2019.
- Ahuja, K., Caballero, E., Zhang, D., Gagnon-Audet, J.-C., Bengio, Y., Mitliagkas, I., and Rish, I. Invariance principle meets information bottleneck for out-of-distribution generalization. 2022. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2106.06607.
- Ajakan, H., Germain, P., Larochelle, H., Laviolette, F., and Marchand, M. Domain-adversarial neural networks. 2015. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1412.4446.
- Aldrich, J. Autonomy. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 41(1):15–34, 1989.
- American National Election Studies. ANES time series cumulative data file, 1948-2020, 2020.
- Arjovsky, M., Bottou, L., Gulrajani, I., and Lopez-Paz, D. Invariant risk minimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.02893*, 2019.
- Association, A. D. Diabetes and employment. *Diabetes Care*, 34:S82–S86, 01 2011. doi: 10.2337/dc11-S082.
- Baliunas, D. O., Taylor, B. J., Irving, H., Roerecke, M., Patra, J., Mohapatra, S., and Rehm, J. Alcohol as a risk factor for type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*, 32(11): 2123–2132, 11 2009. doi: 10.2337/dc09-0227.
- Bergstra, J., Yamins, D., and Cox, D. Making a science of model search: Hyperparameter optimization in hundreds of dimensions for vision architectures. In Dasgupta, S. and McAllester, D. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 28 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 115–123, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2013. PMLR. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v28/bergstra13.html>.
- Brown, A. F., Ettner, S. L., Piette, J., Weinberger, M., Gregg, E., Shapiro, M. F., Karter, A. J., Safford, M., Waitzfelder, B., Prata, P. A., and Beckles, G. L. Socioeconomic position and health among persons with diabetes mellitus: A conceptual framework and review of the literature. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 26(1):63–77, 07 2004. doi: 10.1093/epirev/mxh002.
- Cartwright, N. *The dappled world: A study of the boundaries of science*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- Cartwright, N. *Hunting causes and using them: Approaches in philosophy and economics*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- Caruana, R., Lou, Y., Gehrke, J., Koch, P., Sturm, M., and Elhadad, N. Intelligible models for healthcare: Predicting pneumonia risk and hospital 30-day readmission. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, KDD '15, pp. 1721–1730, New York, NY, USA, 2015. Association for Computing Machinery. doi: 10.1145/2783258.2788613.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National health and nutrition examination survey questionnaire, examination protocol, and laboratory protocol (1999, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017), 2017.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. BRFSS Survey Data (2015, 2017, 2019, 2021), 2021.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes risk factors, 2022a. URL <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/basics/risk-factors.html>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smoking and diabetes, 2022b. URL <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/library/features/smoking-and-diabetes.html>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diabetes and mental health, 2023. URL <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/managing/mental-health.html>.
- Chen, T. and Guestrin, C. Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system. In *22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data mining*, pp. 785–794, 2016.
- Chen, Y., Zhou, K., Bian, Y., Xie, B., Wu, B., Zhang, Y., KAILI, M., Yang, H., Zhao, P., Han, B., and Cheng, J. Pareto invariant risk minimization: Towards mitigating the optimization dilemma in out-of-distribution generalization. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=esFxSb_0pSL.
- Clore, J., Cios, K., DeShazo, J., and Strack, B. Diabetes 130-US hospitals for years 1999-2008. UCI Machine Learning Repository, 2014.
- Cooper, G. F., Aliferis, C. F., Ambrosino, R., Aronis, J., Buchanan, B. G., Caruana, R., Fine, M. J., Glymour, C., Gordon, G., Hanusa, B. H., Janosky, J. E., Meek, C., Mitchell, T., Richardson, T., and Spirtes, P. An evaluation of machine-learning methods for predicting pneumonia mortality. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 9(2):107–138, 1997. doi: 10.1016/S0933-3657(96)00367-3.
- Ding, F., Hardt, M., Miller, J., and Schmidt, L. Retiring adult: New datasets for fair machine learning. In *Proceedings of the 35th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NeurIPS 2021*, pp. 6478–6490, 2021. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2021/file/32e54441e6382a7fbacbbaf3c450059-Paper.pdf.
- Engum, A. The role of depression and anxiety in onset of diabetes in a large population-based study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 62(1):31–38, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2006.07.009.
- Feng, M., Heffernan, N., , and Koedinger, K. Addressing the assessment challenge in an intelligent tutoring system that tutors as it assesses. *The Journal of User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, 19:243–266, 2009.
- Gardner, J., Popovic, Z., and Schmidt, L. Benchmarking distribution shift in tabular data with tableshift. In *Proceedings of the 37th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NeurIPS 2023*, 2023. URL <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2021/file/32e54441e6382a7fbacbbaf3c450059-Paper.pdf>.
- Goldberger, A. L., Amaral, L., Glass, L., Hausdorff, J., Ivanov, P. C., Mark, R., Mietus, J. E., Moody, G. B., Peng, C. K., and Stanley, H. E. Physiobank, physiotoolkit, and physionet: Components of a new research resource for complex physiologic signals. *Circulation*, 101(23):e215–e220, 2000.

- Gorishniy, Y., Rubachev, I., Khruikov, V., and Babenko, A. Revisiting deep learning models for tabular data. In Ranzato, M., Beygelzimer, A., Dauphin, Y., Liang, P., and Vaughan, J. W. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 34, pp. 18932–18943. Curran Associates, Inc., 2021.
- Gulrajani, I. and Lopez-Paz, D. In search of lost domain generalization. 2020. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2007.01434.
- Haavelmo, T. The probability approach in econometrics. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, pp. iii–115, 1944.
- Hardt, M. and Kim, M. P. Is your model predicting the past? In *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM Conference on Equity and Access in Algorithms, Mechanisms, and Optimization*, EAAMO '23, New York, NY, USA, 2023. Association for Computing Machinery. doi: 10.1145/3617694.3623225.
- Heinze-Deml, C., Peters, J., and Meinshausen, N. Invariant causal prediction for nonlinear models. *Journal of Causal Inference*, 6(2):20170016, 2018.
- Holland, P. W. The false linking of race and causality: lessons from standardized testing. *Race and Society*, 4(2):219–233, 2001. doi: 10.1016/S1090-9524(03)00011-1.
- Hoover, K. D. The logic of causal inference: Econometrics and the conditional analysis of causation, 1990.
- Huang, X., Khetan, A., Cvitkovic, M., and Karnin, Z. Tabtransformer: Tabular data modeling using contextual embeddings. 2020. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2012.06678.
- Jepsen, C. and Rivkin, S. Class size reduction and student achievement: The potential tradeoff between teacher quality and class size. *Journal of human resources*, 44(1):223–250, 2009.
- Jiang, Y. and Veitch, V. Invariant and transportable representations for anti-causal domain shifts. 2022. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2207.01603.
- Johnson, A. E., Pollard, T. J., Shen, L., Lehman, L.-w. H., Feng, M., Ghassemi, M., Moody, B., Szolovits, P., Anthony Celi, L., and Mark, R. G. MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. *Scientific data*, 3(1):1–9, 2016.
- Kaddour, J., Lynch, A., Liu, Q., Kusner, M. J., and Silva, R. Causal machine learning: A survey and open problems. 2022. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2206.15475.
- Kaur, J. N., Kiciman, E., and Sharma, A. Modeling the data-generating process is necessary for out-of-distribution generalization. 2022. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2206.07837.
- Kautzky-Willer, A., Leutner, M., and Harreiter, J. Sex differences in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia*, 66(6):986–1002, 2023. doi: 10.1007/s00125-023-05891-x.
- Ke, G., Meng, Q., Finley, T., Wang, T., Chen, W., Ma, W., Ye, Q., and Liu, T.-Y. Lightgbm: a highly efficient gradient boosting decision tree. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, NIPS'17, pp. 3149–3157. Curran Associates Inc., 2017. doi: 10.5555/3294996.3295074.
- Klein, S., Sheard, N. F., Pi-Sunyer, X., Daly, A., Wylie-Rosett, J., Kulkarni, K., and Clark, N. G. Weight management through lifestyle modification for the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes: rationale and strategies. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 80(2):257–263, 2004.

- Klein, S., Gastaldelli, A., Yki-Järvinen, H., and Scherer, P. E. Why does obesity cause diabetes? *Cell Metabolism*, 34(1):11–20, 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.12.012.
- Krueger, D., Caballero, E., Jacobsen, J.-H., Zhang, A., Binas, J., Zhang, D., Le Priol, R., and Courville, A. Out-of-distribution generalization via risk extrapolation (rex). In *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 5815–5826. PMLR, 2021.
- Levy, D., Carmon, Y., Duchi, J. C., and Sidford, A. Large-scale methods for distributionally robust optimization. In Larochelle, H., Ranzato, M., Hadsell, R., Balcan, M., and Lin, H. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pp. 8847–8860. Curran Associates, Inc., 2020.
- Li, H., Pan, S. J., Wang, S., and Kot, A. C. Domain generalization with adversarial feature learning. In *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5400–5409, 2018. doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2018.00566.
- Lindstrom, J., Louheranta, A., Mannelin, M., Rastas, M., Salminen, V., Eriksson, J., Uusitupa, M., Tuomilehto, J., and Group, F. D. P. S. The finnish diabetes prevention study (dps) lifestyle intervention and 3-year results on diet and physical activity. *Diabetes care*, 26(12):3230–3236, 2003.
- Madduta, J., Anderson-Baucum, E., and Evans-Molina, C. Smoking and the risk of type 2 diabetes. *Translational research*, 184:101–107, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2017.02.004.
- McFarlane, S. Insulin therapy and type 2 diabetes: management of weight gain. *Journal of clinical hypertension*, 11(10):601–607, 2009. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7176.2009.00063.x.
- Meinshausen, N. Causality from a distributional robustness point of view. In *2018 IEEE Data Science Workshop (DSW)*, pp. 6–10, 2018. doi: 10.1109/DSW.2018.8439889.
- Miller, J. P., Taori, R., Raghunathan, A., Sagawa, S., Koh, P. W., Shankar, V., Liang, P., Carmon, Y., and Schmidt, L. Accuracy on the line: on the strong correlation between out-of-distribution and in-distribution generalization. In Meila, M. and Zhang, T. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 139 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 7721–7735. PMLR, 18-24 Jul 2021. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v139/miller21b.html>.
- Mosteller, F. The tennessee study of class size in the early school grades. *The future of children*, pp. 113–127, 1995.
- National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Financial help for diabetes care, 2019. URL <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/diabetes/financial-help-diabetes-care>.
- Nestor, B., McDermott, M. B. A., Boag, W., Berner, G., Naumann, T., Hughes, M. C., Goldenberg, A., and Ghassemi, M. Feature robustness in non-stationary health records: Caveats to deployable model performance in common clinical machine learning tasks. In Doshi-Velez, F., Fackler, J., Jung, K., Kale, D., Ranganath, R., Wallace, B., and Wiens, J. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 4th Machine Learning for Healthcare Conference*, volume 106 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 381–405. PMLR, 09–10 Aug 2019. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v106/nestor19a.html>.
- Pearl, J. *Causality*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

- Pearl, J. Does obesity shorten life? or is it the soda? on non-manipulable causes. *Journal of Causal Inference*, 6(2):20182001, 2018. doi: doi:10.1515/jci-2018-2001.
- Pearl, J. and Bareinboim, E. External validity: From do-calculus to transportability across populations. In *Probabilistic and causal inference: The works of Judea Pearl*, pp. 451–482. 2022.
- Peters, J., Bühlmann, P., and Meinshausen, N. Causal inference by using invariant prediction: identification and confidence intervals. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 78(5):947–1012, 2016.
- Peters, J., Janzing, D., and Schölkopf, B. *Elements of Causal Inference: Foundations and Learning Algorithms*. Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2017. URL <https://mitpress.mit.edu/books/elements-causal-inference>.
- Petrie, J. R., Guzik, T. J., and Touyz, R. M. Diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease: Clinical insights and vascular mechanisms. *The Canadian journal of cardiology*, 34(5):575–584, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2017.12.005.
- Popov, S., Morozov, S., and Babenko, A. Neural oblivious decision ensembles for deep learning on tabular data. 2019. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1909.06312.
- Reyna, M. A., Josef, C., Jeter, R., Shashikumar, S., Moody, B., Westover, M. B., Sharma, A., Nemati, S., and Clifford, G. D. Early prediction of sepsis from clinical data: The physionet/computing in cardiology challenge 2019 (version 1.0.0). *PhysioNet*, 2019. doi: 10.13026/v64v-d857.
- Reyna, M. A., Josef, C. S., Jeter, R., Shashikumar, S. P., Westover, M. B., Nemati, S., Clifford, G. D., and Sharma, A. Early prediction of sepsis from clinical data: The physionet/computing in cardiology challenge 2019. *Critical Care Medicine*, 48(2):210–217, 2020. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000004145.
- Rojas-Carulla, M., Schölkopf, B., Turner, R., and Peters, J. Invariant models for causal transfer learning. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 19(36):1–34, 2018. URL <http://jmlr.org/papers/v19/16-432.html>.
- Rosenfeld, E., Ravikumar, P., and Risteski, A. An online learning approach to interpolation and extrapolation in domain generalization. 2021a. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2102.13128.
- Rosenfeld, E., Ravikumar, P., and Risteski, A. The risks of invariant risk minimization. 2021b. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2010.05761.
- Rothenhäusler, D., Meinshausen, N., Bühlmann, P., and Peters, J. Anchor regression: heterogeneous data meets causality. 2020. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1801.06229.
- Sagawa, S., Koh, P. W., Hashimoto, T. B., and Liang, P. Distributionally robust neural networks for group shifts: On the importance of regularization for worst-case generalization. 2020. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1911.08731.
- Schofield, J. D., Liu, Y., Rao-Balakrishna, P., Malik, R. A., and Soran, H. Diabetes dyslipidemia. *Diabetes therapy*, 7(2):203–219, 2016. doi: 10.1007/s13300-016-0167-x.
- Schölkopf, B., Janzing, D., Peters, J., Sgouritsa, E., Zhang, K., and Mooij, J. On causal and anticausal learning. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning*, ICML 2012, pp. 459–466, 2012. doi: 10.5555/3042573.3042635.

- Schulam, P. and Saria, S. Reliable decision support using counterfactual models. In Guyon, I., Luxburg, U. V., Bengio, S., Wallach, H., Fergus, R., Vishwanathan, S., and Garnett, R. (eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2017/file/299a23a2291e2126b91d54f3601ec162-Paper.pdf.
- Schölkopf, B., Locatello, F., Bauer, S., Ke, N. R., Kalchbrenner, N., Goyal, A., and Bengio, Y. Toward causal representation learning. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 109(5):612–634, 2021. doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2021.3058954.
- Somepalli, G., Goldblum, M., Schwarzschild, A., Bruss, C. B., and Goldstein, T. Saint: Improved neural networks for tabular data via row attention and contrastive pre-training. 2021. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2106.01342.
- Strack, B., DeShazo, J. P., Gennings, C., Olmo, J. L., Ventura, S., Cios, K. J., and Clore, J. N. Impact of hba1c measurement on hospital readmission rates: analysis of 70,000 clinical database patient records. *BioMed Research International*, 2014, 2014.
- Subbaswamy, A. and Saria, S. Counterfactual normalization: Proactively addressing dataset shift using causal mechanisms. In Silva, R., Globerson, A., and Globerson, A. (eds.), *34th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence 2018, UAI 2018*, volume 2, pp. 947–957. Association For Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (AUAI), January 2018.
- Subbaswamy, A. and Saria, S. From development to deployment: dataset shift, causality, and shift-stable models in health AI. *Biostatistics*, 21(2):345–352, 11 2019. doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/kxz041.
- Subbaswamy, A., Schulam, P., and Saria, S. Preventing failures due to dataset shift: Learning predictive models that transport. 2019. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1812.04597.
- Subbaswamy, A., Chen, B., and Saria, S. A unifying causal framework for analyzing dataset shift-stable learning algorithms. *Journal of Causal Inference*, 10(1):64–89, 2022. doi: 10.1515/jci-2021-0042.
- Sun, B. and Saenko, K. Deep coral: Correlation alignment for deep domain adaptation. 2016. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1607.01719.
- Sun, B., Feng, J., and Saenko, K. Return of frustratingly easy domain adaptation. 2015. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1511.05547.
- Torralba, A. and Efros, A. A. Unbiased look at dataset bias. In *CVPR 2011*, pp. 1521–1528. IEEE, 2011.
- U.S. Census Bureau. Survey of income and program participation (SIPP), 2014.
- U.S. Census Bureau. American community survey (ACS), 2018.
- U.S. Department of Education. College scorecard, 2023.
- Wang, H., Si, H., Li, B., and Zhao, H. Provable domain generalization via invariant-feature subspace recovery. 2022a. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2201.12919.
- Wang, J., Lan, C., Liu, C., Ouyang, Y., Qin, T., Lu, W., Chen, Y., Zeng, W., and Yu, P. S. Generalizing to unseen domains: A survey on domain generalization. 2022b. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2103.03097.


- Wang, S., McDermott, M. B. A., Chauhan, G., Ghassemi, M., Hughes, M. C., and Naumann, T. MIMIC-Extract: a data extraction, preprocessing, and representation pipeline for MIMIC-III. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Health, Inference, and Learning*, CHIL '20, pp. 222–235. Association for Computing Machinery, 2020a. ISBN 9781450370462. doi: 10.1145/3368555.3384469.
- Wang, S., McDermott, M. B. A., Chauhan, G., Ghassemi, M., Hughes, M. C., and Naumann, T. Mimic-extract: a data extraction, preprocessing, and representation pipeline for mimic-iii. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Health, Inference, and Learning*, ACM CHIL '20. ACM, 2020b. doi: 10.1145/3368555.3384469.
- Whisman, M. A., Li, A., Sbarra, D. A., and Raison, C. L. Marital quality and diabetes: results from the health and retirement study. *Health Psychology*, 33(8):832, 2014.
- Xu, M., Zhang, J., Ni, B., Li, T., Wang, C., Tian, Q., and Zhang, W. Adversarial domain adaptation with domain mixup. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 34(04):6502–6509, 2020. doi: 10.1609/aaai.v34i04.6123.
- Zhang, J., Menon, A., Veit, A., Bhojanapalli, S., Kumar, S., and Sra, S. Coping with label shift via distributionally robust optimisation. 2021. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2010.12230.

A Figures


We show main results for all tasks in Figures 8 - 23. More precisely, we provide: (1) the Pareto-frontiers of in-domain and out-of-domain accuracy by feature selection; (2) the Pareto-frontiers of shift gap and out-of-domain accuracy by feature selection; (3) Pareto-frontiers of in-domain and out-of-domain balanced accuracy by feature selection; and (4) out-of-domain accuracies and shift gaps obtained by robustness tests for causal and arguably causal features.

Figure 24 show the Pareto frontiers of anti-causal feature in five tasks.

Figure 26 highlights the performance of the causal machine learning methods, IRM and REx, in the eight tasks with at least two domains and sufficient data per domain.




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 8: Food Stamps




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 9: Income




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 10: Public Coverage




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 11: Unemployment




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 12: Voting




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 13: ASSISTments




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 14: Hypertension




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 15: Diabetes




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 16: College Scorecard




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 17: Hospital Readmission




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 18: Stay in ICU




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 19: Hospital Mortality




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 20: Childhood lead




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 21: Sepsis




(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.




(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 22: Utilization



(a) Pareto-frontiers by feature selection.



(b) Robustness tests for causal features (upper) and arguably causal features (lower).

Figure 23: Poverty




Figure 24: Anti-causal features




Figure 25: Anti-causal features (Continued)




Figure 26: Causal machine learning methods




Figure 27: Causal machine learning methods (Continued)

B Experiment run details

All experiments were run as jobs submitted to a centralized cluster, running the open-source HTCondor scheduler. Each job was given the same computing resources: 1 CPU. Compute nodes use AMD EPYC 7662 64-core CPUs. Memory was allocated as required for each task: all jobs were allocated at least 128GB of RAM; for the tasks ‘Public Coverage’ jobs were allocated 384GB of RAM. An experiment job accounts for training and evaluating a single model for a given tasks and feature selection.

We train and evaluate 600 models per task with a single training domain and 850 models per task with at least two training domains, for each feature selection. A total of 38K models were trained for the main results and an additional 141K models for robustness tests. We use the implementation of HyperOpt (Bergstra et al., 2013) in the *TableShift* API to sample from the hyperparameter space of the model. Detailed descriptions and hyperparameter choices are found in Gardner et al. (2023).

We provide the complete code base to replicate our experiments under <https://github.com/socialfoundations/causal-features>.

C Tasks

We provide a description of all tasks and their causal feature selection in the following. We sort them by their data sources. The reader is referred to Gardner et al. (2023) for a detailed discussion of the *TableShift* tasks, their data sources and proposed domain shifts. Table 2 provides a summary of all tasks, their training and testing domains, shift gap of the constant predictor and number of observation in the dataset.

C.1 TableShift: ACS

We have multiple tasks based on American Community Survey (ACS) (U.S. Census Bureau, 2018), derived from *Folktables* (Ding et al., 2021). The encoding is found in the ACS documentation.⁵

C.1.1 Foodstamps

Target: Food stamp reciprocity in past year for households with child [FS]

Shift: Geographic region (U.S. divisions) [DIVISION]

List of causal features:

- Age in years [AGEP]

- Sex [SEX]
- Race [RAC1P]
- Place of birth [POBP]
- Disability [DIS]
- Hearing difficulty [DEAR]
- Vision difficulty [DEYE]
- Cognitive difficulty [DREM]
- Ancestry [ANC]

⁵<https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/microdata/documentation.html>

- Nativity [NATIVITY]
- Marital status [MAR]
- State [ST]

List of arguably causal features: • Ability to speak English [ENG]

- Gave birth to child within the past 12 months [FER]
- Citizenship status [CIT]
- Educational attainment [SCHL]
- Households presence and age of children [HUPAC]
- Occupation [OCCP]
- Military service [MIL]
- Workers in family during the past 12 months [WIF]
- Usual hours worked per week past 12 months [WKHP]
- Weeks worked during past 12 months [WKW]
- Worked last week [WRK]
- On layoff from work [NWLA]
- Looking for work [NWLK]

List of other features: • Year of survey [ACS_YEAR]

- Relationship to reference person [RELP]
- Public health coverage [PUBCOV]

C.1.2 Income

Target: Total person's income \geq 56k for employed adults [PINCP]

Shift: Geographic region (U.S. divisions) [DIVISION]

List of causal features: • Age in years [AGEP]

- Sex [SEX]
- Race [RAC1P]
- Place of birth [POBP]

List of arguably causal features: • State [ST]

- Ability to speak English [ENG]
- Gave birth to child within the past 12 months [FER]
- Citizenship status [CIT]
- Educational attainment [SCHL]
- Occupation [OCCP]
- Class of worker [COW]
- Usual hours worked per week past 12 months [WKHP]
- Weeks worked during past 12 months [WKW]

- Worked last week [WRK]
- On layoff from work [NWLA]

List of anticausal features:

- Insurance purchased directly from an insurance company [HINS2]
- Medicaid, Medical Assistance, or any kind of government-assistance plan for those with low incomes or a disability [HINS4]
- Looking for work [NWLK]

List of other features:

- Year of survey [ACS_YEAR]
- Marital status [MAR]
- Insurance through a current or former employer or union [HINS1]
- Medicare, for people 65 and older, or people with certain disabilities [HINS3]
- Relationship to reference person [RELP]

C.1.3 Public Coverage

Target: Public health coverage [PUBCOV]

Shift: Disability status [DIS]

List of causal features:

- Age in years [AGEP]
- Sex [SEX]
- Race [RAC1P]
- Hearing difficulty [DEAR]
- Vision difficulty [DEYE]
- Cognitive difficulty [DREM]
- Ancestry [ANC]
- Nativity [NATIVITY]

List of arguably causal features:

- Employment status of parents [ESP]
- Total person's income in dollars [PINCP]
- Employment status [ESR]
- Gave birth to child within the past 12 months [FER]
- Marital status [MAR]
- Citizenship status [CIT]
- Educational attainment [SCHL]
- Mobility status [MIG]

List of other features:

- Year of survey [ACS_YEAR]
- State [ST]
- Geographic region [DIVISION]

C.1.4 Unemployment

Target: Employment status (is unemployed) [ESR]

Shift: Educational attainment [SCHL]

List of causal features:

- Age in years [AGEP]

- Sex [SEX]
- Race [RAC1P]
- Place of birth [POBP]
- Disability status [DIS]
- Ancestry [ANC]
- Nativity [NATIVITY]
- Hearing difficulty [DEAR]
- Vision difficulty [DEYE]
- Cognitive difficulty [DREM]
- Ambulatory difficulty [DPHY]

List of arguably causal features:

- Ability to speak English [ENG]

- Occupation [OCCP]
- Employment status of parents [ESP]
- Military service [MIL]
- Gave birth to child within the past 12 months [FER]
- Marital status [MAR]
- Citizenship status [CIT]
- Mobility status [MIG]
- State [ST]
- Geographic region [DIVISION]

List of anticausal features:

- Usual hours worked per week past 12 months [WKHP]

- Weeks worked during past 12 months [WKW]
- Worked last week [WRK]

List of other features:

- Year of survey [ACS_YEAR]

- Relationship to reference person [RELP]

C.2 TableShift: ANES

We have one task based on American National Election Studies (ANES) ([American National Election Studies, 2020](#)).⁶

⁶<https://electionstudies.org/>

C.2.1 Voting

Target: Voted in national election [VCF0702]

Shift: Us census region [VCF0112]

List of causal features: • Election year [VCF0004]

- State [VCF0901b]
- Registered to vote pre-election [VCF0701]
- Age [VCF0101]
- Gender [VCF0104]
- Race/ethnicity [VCF0105a]
- Occupation group [VCF0115]
- Education level [VCF0140a]

List of arguably causal features: • Democratic party feeling thermometer [VCF0218]

- Republican party feeling thermometer [VCF0224]
- Party identification [VCF0302]
- Like-dislike scale placement for democratic party (0-10) [VCF9201]
- Like-dislike scale placement for republican party (0-10) [VCF9202]
- Do any of the parties in the U.S. represent views reasonably well [VCF9203]
- Better when one party controls both presidency and congress or when control is split [VCF9206]
- President thermometer [VCF0428]
- Vice-president thermometer [VCF0429]
- Rating of government economic policy [VCF0822]
- Better or worse economy in past year [VCF0870]
- Liberal-conservative scale [VCF0803]
- Approve participation in protests [VCF0601]
- Voting is the only way to have a say in government [VCF0612]
- It matters whether I vote [VCF0615]
- Those who don't care about election outcome should vote [VCF0616]
- Someone should vote if their party can't win [VCF0617]
- Interest in the elections [VCF0310]
- Belongs to political organization or club [VCF0743]
- Tried to influence others during campaign [VCF0717]
- Attended political meetings/rallies during campaign [VCF0718]
- Displayed candidate button/sticker during campaign [VCF0720]
- Donated money to party or candidate during campaign [VCF0721]
- How much of the time can you trust the media to report the news fairly [VCF0675]
- Watched tv programs about the election campaigns [VCF0724]

- Heard radio programs about the election campaigns [VCF0725]
- Read about the election campaigns in magazines [VCF0726]
- Saw election campaign information on the internet [VCF0745]

- List of other features:*
- Think of yourself as closer to the republican or democratic party [VCF0301]
 - Party preference on pollution and environment [VCF9008]
 - Party preference on inflation [VCF9010]
 - Party preference on unemployment [VCF9011]
 - Party in U.S. that represents views best [VCF9204]
 - Which political party represents views best [VCF9205]
 - Which party favors stronger government [VCF0521]
 - Which party favors military spending cut [VCF0523]
 - Most important national problem [VCF0875]
 - Are things in U.S. going well or not [VCF9052]
 - Guaranteed jobs and income scale (support/don't support) [VCF0809]
 - Government services and spending scale (fewer/more services) [VCF0839]
 - Defense spending scale (decrease/increase) [VCF0843]
 - Position of the U.S. in past year [VCF9045]
 - When should abortion be allowed by law [VCF0838]
 - Importance of gun control [VCF9239]
 - Importance of religion [VCF0846]
 - How much does federal government waste tax money [VCF0606]

C.3 TableShift: BRFSS

We have two tasks based on Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) ([Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021](#)). The encoding is found in BRFSS data dictionary.⁷

C.3.1 Diabetes

Target: Diagnosed with diabetes [DIABETES]

Shift: Preferred race category [PRACE1]

- List of causal features:*
- Sex of respondent [SEX]
 - Marital status [MARITAL]
 - Answer to the question 'Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?' [SMOKE100]

List of arguably causal features:

- Annual household income from all sources [INCOME]

⁷https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/annual_data/2015/pdf/codebook15_llcp.pdf

- Number of days during the past 30 days where physical health was not good [PHYSHLTH]
- Body Mass Index (BMI) [BMI5]
- Body Mass Index (BMI) category [BMI5CAT]
- Answer to the question ‘Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?’ [SMOKDAY2]
- Consume Fruit 1 or more times per day [FRUIT_ONCE_PER_DAY]
- Consume vegetables 1 or more times per day [VEG_ONCE_PER_DAY]
- Total number of alcoholic beverages consumed per week [DRNK_PER_WEEK]
- Binge drinkers (males having five or more drinks on one occasion, females having four or more drinks on one occasion) [RFBING5]
- Physical activity or exercise during the past 30 days other than their regular job [TOTINDA]
- Time since last visit to the doctor for a checkup [CHECKUP1]
- Answer to the question ‘Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed to see a doctor but could not because of cost?’ [MEDCOST]
- Answer to the question ‘for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?’ [MENTHLTH]

List of anticausal features:

- Diagnosed with high blood pressure [HIGH_BLOOD_PRESS]
- Time since last blood cholesterol check [CHOL_CHK_PAST_5_YEARS]
- Diagnosed with high blood cholesterol [TOLDHI]
- Diagnosed past stroke [CVDSTRK3]
- Reports of coronary heart disease (CHD) or myocardial infarction (MI) [MICHHD]
- Current health care coverage [HEALTH_COV]

List of other features:

- State [STATE]
- Year of BRFSS dataset [IYEAR]

C.3.2 Hypertension

Target: Diagnosed with high blood pressure [HIGH_BLOOD_PRESS]

Shift: Body Mass Index (BMI) category [BMI5CAT]

List of causal features:

- Age group [AGEG5YR]
- Preferred race category [PRACE1]
- Sex of respondent [SEX]
- Answer to the question ‘Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?’ [SMOKE100]
- Diagnosed with diabetes [DIABETES]

List of arguably causal features:

- Binary indicator for whether an individual’s income falls below the 2021 poverty guideline for family of four [POVERTY]

- Current employment status [EMPLOY1]
- Consume Fruit 1 or more times per day [FRUIT_ONCE_PER_DAY]
- Consume vegetables 1 or more times per day [VEG_ONCE_PER_DAY]
- Total number of alcoholic beverages consumed per week [DRNK_PER_WEEK]
- Binge drinkers (males having five or more drinks on one occasion, females having four or more drinks on one occasion) [RFBING5]
- Physical activity or exercise during the past 30 days other than their regular job [TOTINDA]
- Answer to the question ‘Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?’ [SMOKDAY2]
- Answer to the question ‘Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed to see a doctor but could not because of cost?’ [MEDCOST]

List of anticausal features:

- Diagnosed with skin cancer [CHCSCNCR]
- Diagnosed with any other types of cancer [CHCOCNCR]

List of other features:

- State [STATE]
- Year of BRFSS dataset [IYEAR]

C.4 TableShift: College Scorecard

We have one task based on the data that appear on the college scorecard ([U.S. Department of Education, 2023](https://collegescorecard.ed.gov/)).⁸

C.4.1 College Scorecard

Target: Completion rate for first-time, full-time students at four-year institutions (150% of expected time to completion/6 years) [C150_4]

Shift: Carnegie Classification - basic [CCBASIC]

List of causal features:

- Accreditor for institution [AccredAgency]
- Highest degree awarded [HIGHDEG]
- Control of institution [CONTROL]
- Region (IPEDS) [region]
- Locale of institution [LOCALE]
- Degree of urbanization of institution [locale2]
- Flag for Historically Black College and University [HBCU]
- Flag for distance-education-only education [DISTANCEONLY]
- Poverty rate, via Census data [poverty_rate]
- Unemployment rate, via Census data [unemp_rate]
- Carnegie Classification - size and setting [CCSIZSET]

⁸<https://collegescorecard.ed.gov/>

- List of arguably causal features:*
- In-state tuition and fees [TUITIONFEE_IN]
 - Out-of-state tuition and fees [TUITIONFEE_OUT]
 - Tuition and fees for program-year institutions [TUITIONFEE_PROG]
 - Admission rate [ADM_RATE]
 - Admission rate for all campuses rolled up to the 6-digit OPE ID [ADM_RATE_ALL]
 - Midpoint of SAT scores at the institution (critical reading) [SATVRMID]
 - Midpoint of SAT scores at the institution (math) [SATMTMID]
 - Midpoint of SAT scores at the institution (writing) [SATWRMID]
 - Midpoint of the ACT cumulative score [ACTCMMID]
 - Midpoint of the ACT English score [ACTENMID]
 - Midpoint of the ACT math score [ACTMTMID]
 - Midpoint of the ACT writing score [ACTWRMID]
 - Average net price for the largest program at the institution for program-year institutions [NPT4_PROG]
 - Average cost of attendance (academic year institutions) [COSTT4_A]
 - Average cost of attendance (program-year institutions) [COSTT4_P]
 - Share of students who received a federal loan while in school [loan_ever]
 - Share of students who received a Pell Grant while in school [pell_ever]
 - Percentage of undergraduates who receive a Pell Grant [PCTPELL]
 - Median household income [median_hh_inc]
 - Average family income [faminc]
 - Median family income [md_faminc]
 - Enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students [UGDS]
 - Enrollment of all undergraduate students [UG]

- List of other features:*
- State postcode [STABBR]
 - Predominant degree awarded (recoded 0s and 4s) [sch_deg]
 - Flag for main campus [main]
 - Number of branch campuses [NUMBRANCH]
 - Percentage of degrees awarded in Agriculture, Agriculture Operations, And Related Sciences [PCIP01]
 - Percentage of degrees awarded in Natural Resources And Conservation [PCIP03]
 - Percentage of degrees awarded in Architecture And Related Services [PCIP04]
 - Percentage of degrees awarded in Area, Ethnic, Cultural, Gender, And Group Studies [PCIP05]
 - Percentage of degrees awarded in Communication, Journalism, And Related Programs [PCIP09]
 - Percentage of degrees awarded in Communications Technologies/Technicians And Support Services [PCIP10]

- Percentage of degrees awarded in Computer And Information Sciences And Support Services [PCIP11]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Personal And Culinary Services [PCIP12]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Education [PCIP13]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Engineering [PCIP14]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Engineering Technologies And Engineering-Related Fields [PCIP15]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Foreign Languages, Literatures, And Linguistics [PCIP16]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Family And Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences [PCIP19]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Legal Professions And Studies [PCIP22]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in English Language And Literature/Letters [PCIP23]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Liberal Arts And Sciences, General Studies And Humanities [PCIP24]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Library Science [PCIP25]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Biological And Biomedical Sciences [PCIP26]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Mathematics And Statistics [PCIP27]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Military Technologies And Applied Sciences [PCIP29]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies [PCIP30]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Parks, Recreation, Leisure, And Fitness Studies [PCIP31]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Philosophy And Religious Studies [PCIP38]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Theology And Religious Vocations [PCIP39]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Physical Sciences [PCIP40]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Science Technologies/Technicians [PCIP41]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Psychology [PCIP42]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Homeland Security, Law Enforcement, Firefighting And Related Protective Services [PCIP43]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Public Administration And Social Service Professions [PCIP44]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Social Sciences [PCIP45]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Construction Trades [PCIP46]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Mechanic And Repair Technologies/Technicians [PCIP47]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Precision Production [PCIP48]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Transportation And Materials Moving [PCIP49]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Visual And Performing Arts [PCIP50]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in Health Professions And Related Programs [PCIP51]

- Percentage of degrees awarded in Business, Management, Marketing, And Related Support Services [PCIP52]
- Percentage of degrees awarded in History [PCIP54]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are white [UGDS_WHITE]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are black [UGDS_BLACK]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are Hispanic [UGDS_HISP]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are Asian [UGDS_ASIAN]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are American Indian/Alaska Native [UGDS_AIAN]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander [UGDS_NHPI]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are two or more races [UGDS_2MOR]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are non-resident aliens [UGDS_NRA]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students whose race is unknown [UGDS_UNKN]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are white non-Hispanic [UGDS_WHITENH]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are black non-Hispanic [UGDS_BLACKNH]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are Asian/Pacific Islander [UGDS_API]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are American Indian/Alaska Native [UGDS_AIANOld]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate degree-seeking students who are Hispanic [UGDS_HISPOld]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate students who are non-resident aliens [UG_NRA]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate students whose race is unknown [UG_UNKN]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate students who are white non-Hispanic [UG_WHITENH]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate students who are black non-Hispanic [UG_BLACKNH]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate students who are Asian/Pacific Islander [UG_API]
- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate students who are American Indian/Alaska Native [UG_AIANOld]

- Total share of enrollment of undergraduate students who are Hispanic [UG_HISPOld]
- Share of undergraduate, degree-/certificate-seeking students who are part-time [PPTUG_EF]
- Share of undergraduate, degree-/certificate-seeking students who are part-time [PPTUG_EF2]
- Net tuition revenue per full-time equivalent student [TUITFTE]
- Instructional expenditures per full-time equivalent student [INEXPFTE]
- Average faculty salary [AVGFACCSAL]
- Proportion of faculty that is full-time [PFTFAC]
- Average age of entry, via SSA data [age_entry]
- Average of the age of entry squared [age_entry_sq]
- Percent of students over 23 at entry [agege24]
- Share of female students, via SSA data [female]
- Share of married students [married]
- Share of dependent students [dependent]
- Share of veteran students [veteran]
- Share of first-generation students [first_gen]
- Percent of the population from students' zip codes that is White, via Census data [pct_white]
- Percent of the population from students' zip codes that is Black, via Census data [pct_black]
- Percent of the population from students' zip codes that is Asian, via Census data [pct_asian]
- Percent of the population from students' zip codes that is Hispanic, via Census data [pct_hispanic]
- Percent of the population from students' zip codes with a bachelor's degree over the age 25, via Census data [pct_ba]
- Percent of the population from students' zip codes over 25 with a professional degree, via Census data [pct_grad_prof]
- Percent of the population from students' zip codes that was born in the US, via Census data [pct_born_us]

C.5 TableShift: Kaggle

We have one task based the data collected from an online learning tool⁹ and released on Kaggle (Feng et al., 2009).

⁹<https://new.assistments.org/>

C.5.1 ASSISTments

Target: Correct on first attempt [correct]

Shift: School [school_id]

List of causal features:

- Number of hints on this problem. [hint_count]
- Number of student attempts on this problem. [attempt_count]
- ID of the skill associated with the problem [skill_id]
- Problem type [problem_type]
- Whether or not the student asks for all hints [bottom_hint]
- Tutor/Test mode [tutor_mode]
- Assignment position on the class assignments page [position]
- Type of the head section of the problem set [type]
- Type of first action: attempt or ask for a hint [first_action]

List of arguably causal features:

- Predicted Boredom of student for the problem [Average_confidence(BORED)]
- Predicted Engaged Concentration of student for the problem [Average_confidence(CONCENTRATING)]
- Predicted Confusion of student for the problem [Average_confidence(CONFUSED)]
- Predicted Frustration of student for the problem [Average_confidence(FRUSTRATED)]

List of other features:

- Time in milliseconds for the student's first response [ms_first_response]
- Time in milliseconds for the student's overlap time [overlap_time]

C.6 TableShift: MIMIC

We have two tasks based on Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care (MIMIC-III), derived from MIMIC-Extract ([Johnson et al., 2016](#); [Wang et al., 2020a;b](#)).

C.6.1 Stay in ICU

Target: Stay in ICU for longer than 3 days [los_3]

Shift: Insurance type (Medicare, Private, Medicaid, Government, Self Pay) [insurance]

List of causal features:

- Age in years [age]
- Gender [gender]
- Ethnicity [ethnicity]
- Height [height_mean_0]
- Weight [weight_mean_0]

List of arguably causal features:

- Bicarbonate [bicarbonate_mask_0, ..., bicarbonate_mask_23, bicarbonate_mean_0, ..., bicarbonate_mean_23, bicarbonate_time_since_measured_0, ..., bicarbonate_time_since_measured_23]

- Co2 [co2_mask_0, ..., co2_mask_23, co2_mean_0, ..., co2_mean_23, co2_time_since_measured_0, ..., co2_time_since_measured_23]
- Partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO2) and end_tidal CO2 (ETCO2) [co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_mask_0, ..., co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_mask_23, co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_mean_0, ..., co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_mean_23, co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_time_since_measured_0, ..., co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_time_since_measured_23]
- Partial pressure of oxygen [partial_pressure_of_oxygen_mask_0, ..., partial_pressure_of_oxygen_mask_23, partial_pressure_of_oxygen_mean_0, ..., partial_pressure_of_oxygen_mean_23, partial_pressure_of_oxygen_time_since_measured_0, ..., partial_pressure_of_oxygen_time_since_measured_23]
- Fraction inspired oxygen [fraction_inspired_oxygen_mask_0, ..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_mask_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_mean_0, ..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_mean_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_time_since_measured_0, ..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_time_since_measured_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_mask_0, ..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_mask_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_mean_0, ..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_mean_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_time_since_measured_0, ..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_time_since_measured_23]
- Glasgow coma score [glasgow_coma_scale_total_mask_0, ..., glasgow_coma_scale_total_mask_23, glasgow_coma_scale_total_mean_0, ..., glasgow_coma_scale_total_mean_23, glasgow_coma_scale_total_time_since_measured_0, ..., glasgow_coma_scale_total_time_since_measured_23]
- Lactate [lactate_mask_0, ..., lactate_mask_23, lactate_mean_0, ..., lactate_mean_23, lactate_time_since_measured_0, ..., lactate_time_since_measured_23]
- Lactic acid [lactic_acid_mask_0, ..., lactic_acid_mask_23, lactic_acid_mean_0, ..., lactic_acid_mean_23, lactic_acid_time_since_measured_0, ..., lactic_acid_time_since_measured_23]
- Sodium [sodium_mask_0, ..., sodium_mask_23, sodium_mean_0, ..., sodium_mean_23, sodium_time_since_measured_0, ..., sodium_time_since_measured_23]
- Hemoglobin [hemoglobin_mask_0, ..., hemoglobin_mask_23, hemoglobin_mean_0, ..., hemoglobin_mean_23, hemoglobin_time_since_measured_0, ..., hemoglobin_time_since_measured_23]
- Mean blood pressure [mean_blood_pressure_mask_0, ..., mean_blood_pressure_mask_23, mean_blood_pressure_mean_0, ..., mean_blood_pressure_mean_23, mean_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ..., mean_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Oxygen saturation [oxygen_saturation_mask_0, ..., oxygen_saturation_mask_23, oxygen_saturation_mean_0, ..., oxygen_saturation_mean_23, oxygen_saturation_time_since_measured_0, ..., oxygen_saturation_time_since_measured_23]

- Ph [ph_mask_0, ..., ph_mask_23, ph_mean_0, ..., ph_mean_23, ph_time_since_measured_0, ..., ph_time_since_measured_23]
- Respiratory rate [respiratory_rate_mask_0, ..., respiratory_rate_mask_23, respiratory_rate_mean_0, ..., respiratory_rate_mean_23, respiratory_rate_time_since_measured_0, ..., respiratory_rate_time_since_measured_23, respiratory_rate_set_mask_0, ..., respiratory_rate_set_mask_23, respiratory_rate_set_mean_0, ..., respiratory_rate_set_mean_23, respiratory_rate_set_time_since_measured_0, ..., respiratory_rate_set_time_since_measured_23]
- Systolic blood pressure [systolic_blood_pressure_mask_0, ..., systolic_blood_pressure_mask_23, systolic_blood_pressure_mean_0, ..., systolic_blood_pressure_mean_23, systolic_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ..., systolic_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Heart rate [heart_rate_mask_0, ..., heart_rate_mask_23, heart_rate_mean_0, ..., heart_rate_mean_23, heart_rate_time_since_measured_0, ..., heart_rate_time_since_measured_23]
- Temperature [temperature_mask_0, ..., temperature_mask_23, temperature_mean_0, ..., temperature_mean_23, temperature_time_since_measured_0, ..., temperature_time_since_measured_23]
- White blood cell count [white_blood_cell_count_mask_0, ..., white_blood_cell_count_mask_23, white_blood_cell_count_mean_0, ..., white_blood_cell_count_mean_23, white_blood_cell_count_time_since_measured_0, ..., white_blood_cell_count_time_since_measured_23]

List of other features:

- Height [height_mask_0, ..., height_mask_23, height_mean_1, ..., height_mean_23, height_time_since_measured_0, ..., height_time_since_measured_23]
- Weight [weight_mask_0, ..., weight_mask_23, weight_mean_1, ..., weight_mean_23, weight_time_since_measured_0, ..., weight_time_since_measured_23]
- Alanine aminotransferase [alanine_aminotransferase_mask_0, ..., alanine_aminotransferase_mask_23, alanine_aminotransferase_mean_0, ..., alanine_aminotransferase_mean_23, alanine_aminotransferase_time_since_measured_0, ..., alanine_aminotransferase_time_since_measured_23]
- Albumin [albumin_mask_0, ..., albumin_mask_23, albumin_mean_0, ..., albumin_mean_23, albumin_time_since_measured_0, ..., albumin_time_since_measured_23]
- Alanine aminotransferase [albumin_ascites_mask_0, ..., albumin_ascites_mask_23, albumin_ascites_mean_0, ..., albumin_ascites_mean_23, albumin_ascites_time_since_measured_0, ..., albumin_ascites_time_since_measured_23]
- Albumin pleural [albumin_pleural_mask_0, ..., albumin_pleural_mask_23, albumin_pleural_mean_0, ..., albumin_pleural_mean_23, albumin_pleural_time_since_measured_0, ..., albumin_pleural_time_since_measured_23]

- Albumin in urine [albumin_urine_mask_0, ..., albumin_urine_mask_23, albumin_urine_mean_0, ..., albumin_urine_mean_23, albumin_urine_time_since_measured_0, ..., albumin_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Alkaline phosphate [alkaline_phosphate_mask_0, ..., alkaline_phosphate_mask_23, alkaline_phosphate_mean_0, ..., alkaline_phosphate_mean_23, alkaline_phosphate_time_since_measured_0, ..., alkaline_phosphate_time_since_measured_23]
- Anion gap [anion_gap_mask_0, ..., anion_gap_mask_23, anion_gap_mean_0, ..., anion_gap_mean_23, anion_gap_time_since_measured_0, ..., anion_gap_time_since_measured_23]
- Aspartate aminotransferase [aspartate_aminotransferase_mask_0, ..., aspartate_aminotransferase_mask_23, aspartate_aminotransferase_mean_0, ..., aspartate_aminotransferase_mean_23, aspartate_aminotransferase_time_since_measured_0, ..., aspartate_aminotransferase_time_since_measured_23]
- Basophils [basophils_mask_0, ..., basophils_mask_23, basophils_mean_0, ..., basophils_mean_23, basophils_time_since_measured_0, ..., basophils_time_since_measured_23]
- Bilirubin [bilirubin_mask_0, ..., bilirubin_mask_23, bilirubin_mean_0, ..., bilirubin_mean_23, bilirubin_time_since_measured_0, ..., bilirubin_time_since_measured_23]
- Blood urea nitrogen [blood_urea_nitrogen_mask_0, ..., blood_urea_nitrogen_mask_23, blood_urea_nitrogen_mean_0, ..., blood_urea_nitrogen_mean_23, blood_urea_nitrogen_time_since_measured_0, ..., blood_urea_nitrogen_time_since_measured_23]
- Calcium [calcium_mask_0, ..., calcium_mask_23, calcium_mean_0, ..., calcium_mean_23, calcium_time_since_measured_0, ..., calcium_time_since_measured_23]
- Calcium ionized [calcium_ionized_mask_0, ..., calcium_ionized_mask_23, calcium_ionized_mean_0, ..., calcium_ionized_mean_23, calcium_ionized_time_since_measured_0, ..., calcium_ionized_time_since_measured_23]
- Calcium in urine [calcium_urine_mask_0, ..., calcium_urine_mask_23, calcium_urine_mean_0, ..., calcium_urine_mean_23, calcium_urine_time_since_measured_0, ..., calcium_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Cardiac index [cardiac_index_mask_0, ..., cardiac_index_mask_23, cardiac_index_mean_0, ..., cardiac_index_mean_23, cardiac_index_time_since_measured_0, ..., cardiac_index_time_since_measured_23]
- Cardiac output by Fick principle [cardiac_output_fick_mask_0, ..., cardiac_output_fick_mask_23, cardiac_output_fick_mean_0, ..., cardiac_output_fick_mean_23, cardiac_output_fick_time_since_measured_0, ..., cardiac_output_fick_time_since_measured_23]
- Cardiac output by thermodilution [cardiac_output_thermodilution_mask_0, ..., cardiac_output_thermodilution_mask_23, cardiac_output_thermodilution_mean_0, ..., cardiac_output_thermodilution_mean_23,

- cardiac_output_thermodilution_time_since_measured_0, ..., cardiac_output_thermodilution_time_since_measured_23]
- Central venous pressure [central_venous_pressure_mask_0, ..., central_venous_pressure_mask_23, central_venous_pressure_mean_0, ..., central_venous_pressure_mean_23, central_venous_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ..., central_venous_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Chloride [chloride_mask_0, ..., chloride_mask_23, chloride_mean_0, ..., chloride_mean_23, chloride_time_since_measured_0, ..., chloride_time_since_measured_23]
- Chloride in urine [chloride_urine_mask_0, ..., chloride_urine_mask_23, chloride_urine_mean_0, ..., chloride_urine_mean_23, chloride_urine_time_since_measured_0, ..., chloride_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Cholesterol [cholesterol_mask_0, ..., cholesterol_mask_23, cholesterol_mean_0, ..., cholesterol_mean_23, cholesterol_time_since_measured_0, ..., cholesterol_time_since_measured_23]
- HDL cholesterol [cholesterol_hdl_mask_0, ..., cholesterol_hdl_mask_23, cholesterol_hdl_mean_0, ..., cholesterol_hdl_mean_23, cholesterol_hdl_time_since_measured_0, ..., cholesterol_hdl_time_since_measured_23]
- LDL cholesterol [cholesterol_ldl_mask_0, ..., cholesterol_ldl_mask_23, cholesterol_ldl_mean_0, ..., cholesterol_ldl_mean_23, cholesterol_ldl_time_since_measured_0, ..., cholesterol_ldl_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine [creatinine_mask_0, ..., creatinine_mask_23, creatinine_mean_0, ..., creatinine_mean_23, creatinine_time_since_measured_0, ..., creatinine_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine ascites [creatinine_ascites_mask_0, ..., creatinine_ascites_mask_23, creatinine_ascites_mean_0, ..., creatinine_ascites_mean_23, creatinine_ascites_time_since_measured_0, ..., creatinine_ascites_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine body fluid [creatinine_body_fluid_mask_0, ..., creatinine_body_fluid_mask_23, creatinine_body_fluid_mean_0, ..., creatinine_body_fluid_mean_23, creatinine_body_fluid_time_since_measured_0, ..., creatinine_body_fluid_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine pleural [creatinine_pleural_mask_0, ..., creatinine_pleural_mask_23, creatinine_pleural_mean_0, ..., creatinine_pleural_mean_23, creatinine_pleural_time_since_measured_0, ..., creatinine_pleural_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine in urine [creatinine_urine_mask_0, ..., creatinine_urine_mask_23, creatinine_urine_mean_0, ..., creatinine_urine_mean_23, creatinine_urine_time_since_measured_0, ..., creatinine_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Diastolic blood pressure [diastolic_blood_pressure_mask_0, ..., diastolic_blood_pressure_mask_23, diastolic_blood_pressure_mean_0, ..., diastolic_blood_pressure_mean_23,

- diastolic_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ...,
diastolic_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Eosinophils [eosinophils_mask_0, ..., eosinophils_mask_23, eosinophils_mean_0, ..., eosinophils_mean_23, eosinophils_time_since_measured_0, ..., eosinophils_time_since_measured_23]
 - Fibrinogen [fibrinogen_mask_0, ..., fibrinogen_mask_23, fibrinogen_mean_0, ..., fibrinogen_mean_23, fibrinogen_time_since_measured_0, ..., fibrinogen_time_since_measured_23]
 - Glucose [glucose_mask_0, ..., glucose_mask_23, glucose_mean_0, ..., glucose_mean_23, glucose_time_since_measured_0, ..., glucose_time_since_measured_23]
 - Hematocrit [hematocrit_mask_0, ..., hematocrit_mask_23, hematocrit_mean_0, ..., hematocrit_mean_23, hematocrit_time_since_measured_0, ..., hematocrit_time_since_measured_23]
 - Lymphocytes [lymphocytes_mask_0, ..., lymphocytes_mask_23, lymphocytes_mean_0, ..., lymphocytes_mean_23, lymphocytes_time_since_measured_0, ..., lymphocytes_time_since_measured_23]
 - Lymphocytes ascites [lymphocytes_ascites_mask_0, ..., lymphocytes_ascites_mask_23, lymphocytes_ascites_mean_0, ..., lymphocytes_ascites_mean_23, lymphocytes_ascites_time_since_measured_0, ..., lymphocytes_ascites_time_since_measured_23]
 - Atypical lymphocytes [lymphocytes_atypical_mask_0, ..., lymphocytes_atypical_mask_23, lymphocytes_atypical_mean_0, ..., lymphocytes_atypical_mean_23, lymphocytes_atypical_time_since_measured_0, ..., lymphocytes_atypical_time_since_measured_23, lymphocytes_atypical_csl_mask_0, ..., lymphocytes_atypical_csl_mask_23, lymphocytes_atypical_csl_mean_0, ..., lymphocytes_atypical_csl_mean_23, lymphocytes_atypical_csl_time_since_measured_0, ..., lymphocytes_atypical_csl_time_since_measured_23]
 - Lymphocytes in body fluid [lymphocytes_body_fluid_mask_0, ..., lymphocytes_body_fluid_mask_23, lymphocytes_body_fluid_mean_0, ..., lymphocytes_body_fluid_mean_23, lymphocytes_body_fluid_time_since_measured_0, ..., lymphocytes_body_fluid_time_since_measured_23]
 - Lymphocytes percentage [lymphocytes_percent_mask_0, ..., lymphocytes_percent_mask_23, lymphocytes_percent_mean_0, ..., lymphocytes_percent_mean_23, lymphocytes_percent_time_since_measured_0, ..., lymphocytes_percent_time_since_measured_23]
 - Lymphocytes pleural [lymphocytes_pleural_mask_0, ..., lymphocytes_pleural_mask_23, lymphocytes_pleural_mean_0, ..., lymphocytes_pleural_mean_23, lymphocytes_pleural_time_since_measured_0, ..., lymphocytes_pleural_time_since_measured_23]
 - Magnesium [magnesium_mask_0, ..., magnesium_mask_23, magnesium_mean_0, ..., magnesium_mean_23, magnesium_time_since_measured_0, ..., magnesium_time_since_measured_23]

- Mean corpuscular hemoglobin [mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_mask_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_mask_23, mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_mean_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_mean_23, mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_time_since_measured_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_time_since_measured_23]
- Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration [mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_mask_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_mask_23, mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_mean_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_mean_23, mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_time_since_measured_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_time_since_measured_23]
- Mean corpuscular volume [mean_corpuscular_volume_mask_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_volume_mask_23, mean_corpuscular_volume_mean_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_volume_mean_23, mean_corpuscular_volume_time_since_measured_0, ..., mean_corpuscular_volume_time_since_measured_23]
- Monocytes [monocytes_mask_0, ..., monocytes_mask_23, monocytes_mean_0, ..., monocytes_mean_23, monocytes_time_since_measured_0, ..., monocytes_time_since_measured_23, monocytes_csl_mask_0, ..., monocytes_csl_mask_23, monocytes_csl_mean_0, ..., monocytes_csl_mean_23, monocytes_csl_time_since_measured_0, ..., monocytes_csl_time_since_measured_23]
- Neutrophils [neutrophils_mask_0, ..., neutrophils_mask_23, neutrophils_mean_0, ..., neutrophils_mean_23, neutrophils_time_since_measured_0, ..., neutrophils_time_since_measured_23]
- Partial pressure of carbon dioxide [partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_mask_0, ..., partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_mask_23, partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_mean_0, ..., partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_mean_23, partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_time_since_measured_0, ..., partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_time_since_measured_23]
- Partial thromboplastin [partial_thromboplastin_mask_0, ..., partial_thromboplastin_mask_23, partial_thromboplastin_mean_0, ..., partial_thromboplastin_mean_23, partial_thromboplastin_time_since_measured_0, ..., partial_thromboplastin_time_since_measured_23]
- Peak inspiratory pressure [peak_inspiratory_pressure_mask_0, ..., peak_inspiratory_pressure_mask_23, peak_inspiratory_pressure_mean_0, ..., peak_inspiratory_pressure_mean_23, peak_inspiratory_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ..., peak_inspiratory_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Ph in urine [ph_urine_mask_0, ..., ph_urine_mask_23, ph_urine_mean_0, ..., ph_urine_mean_23, ph_urine_time_since_measured_0, ..., ph_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Phosphate [phosphate_mask_0, ..., phosphate_mask_23, phosphate_mean_0, ..., phosphate_mean_23, phosphate_time_since_measured_0, ..., phosphate_time_since_measured_23]

- Phosphorous [phosphorous_mask_0, ..., phosphorous_mask_23, phosphorous_mean_0, ..., phosphorous_mean_23, phosphorous_time_since_measured_0, ..., phosphorous_time_since_measured_23]
- Plateau pressure [plateau_pressure_mask_0, ..., plateau_pressure_mask_23, plateau_pressure_mean_0, ..., plateau_pressure_mean_23, plateau_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ..., plateau_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Platelets [platelets_mask_0, ..., platelets_mask_23, platelets_mean_0, ..., platelets_mean_23, platelets_time_since_measured_0, ..., platelets_time_since_measured_23]
- Positive end expiratory pressure [positive_end_expiratory_pressure_mask_0, ..., positive_end_expiratory_pressure_mask_23, positive_end_expiratory_pressure_mean_0, ..., positive_end_expiratory_pressure_mean_23, positive_end_expiratory_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ..., positive_end_expiratory_pressure_time_since_measured_23, positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_mask_0, ..., positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_mask_23, positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_mean_0, ..., positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_mean_23, positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_time_since_measured_0, ..., positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_time_since_measured_23]
- Post void residual [post_void_residual_mask_0, ..., post_void_residual_mask_23, post_void_residual_mean_0, ..., post_void_residual_mean_23, post_void_residual_time_since_measured_0, ..., post_void_residual_time_since_measured_23]
- Potassium [potassium_mask_0, ..., potassium_mask_23, potassium_mean_0, ..., potassium_mean_23, potassium_time_since_measured_0, ..., potassium_time_since_measured_23]
- Potassium serum [potassium_serum_mask_0, ..., potassium_serum_mask_23, potassium_serum_mean_0, ..., potassium_serum_mean_23, potassium_serum_time_since_measured_0, ..., potassium_serum_time_since_measured_23]
- Prothrombin time tested with INR [prothrombin_time_inr_mask_0, ..., prothrombin_time_inr_mask_23, prothrombin_time_inr_mean_0, ..., prothrombin_time_inr_mean_23, prothrombin_time_inr_time_since_measured_0, ..., prothrombin_time_inr_time_since_measured_23]
- Prothrombin time using PT [prothrombin_time_pt_mask_0, ..., prothrombin_time_pt_mask_23, prothrombin_time_pt_mean_0, ..., prothrombin_time_pt_mean_23, prothrombin_time_pt_time_since_measured_0, ..., prothrombin_time_pt_time_since_measured_23]
- Pulmonary artery pressure [pulmonary_artery_pressure_mask_0, ..., pulmonary_artery_pressure_mask_23, pulmonary_artery_pressure_mean_0, ..., pulmonary_artery_pressure_mean_23, pulmonary_artery_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ..., pulmonary_artery_pressure_time_since_measured_23]

- Systolic pulmonary artery pressure [pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_mask_0, ..., pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_mask_23, pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_mean_0, ..., pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_mean_23, pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_time_since_measured_0, ..., pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_time_since_measured_23]
- Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure [pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_mask_0, ..., pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_mask_23, pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_mean_0, ..., pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_mean_23, pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_time_since_measured_0, ..., pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count [red_blood_cell_count_mask_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_mask_23, red_blood_cell_count_mean_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_mean_23, red_blood_cell_count_time_since_measured_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count ascites [red_blood_cell_count_ascites_mask_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_ascites_mask_23, red_blood_cell_count_ascites_mean_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_ascites_mean_23, red_blood_cell_count_ascites_time_since_measured_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_ascites_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count csf [red_blood_cell_count_csf_mask_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_csf_mask_23, red_blood_cell_count_csf_mean_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_csf_mean_23, red_blood_cell_count_csf_time_since_measured_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_csf_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count pleural [red_blood_cell_count_pleural_mask_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_pleural_mask_23, red_blood_cell_count_pleural_mean_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_pleural_mean_23, red_blood_cell_count_pleural_time_since_measured_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_pleural_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count in urine [red_blood_cell_count_urine_mask_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_urine_mask_23, red_blood_cell_count_urine_mean_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_urine_mean_23, red_blood_cell_count_urine_time_since_measured_0, ..., red_blood_cell_count_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Systemic vascular resistance [systemic_vascular_resistance_mask_0, ..., systemic_vascular_resistance_mask_23, systemic_vascular_resistance_mean_0, ..., systemic_vascular_resistance_mean_23, systemic_vascular_resistance_time_since_measured_0, ..., systemic_vascular_resistance_time_since_measured_23]
- Tidal volume observed [tidal_volume_observed_mask_0, ..., tidal_volume_observed_mask_23, tidal_volume_observed_mean_0, ..., tidal_volume_observed_mean_23, tidal_volume_observed_time_since_measured_0, ..., tidal_volume_observed_time_since_measured_23]

- Tidal volume [tidal_volume_set_mask_0, ..., tidal_volume_set_mask_23, tidal_volume_set_mean_0, ..., tidal_volume_set_mean_23, tidal_volume_set_time_since_measured_0, ..., tidal_volume_set_time_since_measured_23]
- Tidal volume spontaneous [tidal_volume_spontaneous_mask_0, ..., tidal_volume_spontaneous_mask_23, tidal_volume_spontaneous_mean_0, ..., tidal_volume_spontaneous_mean_23, tidal_volume_spontaneous_time_since_measured_0, ..., tidal_volume_spontaneous_time_since_measured_23]
- Total protein [total_protein_mask_0, ..., total_protein_mask_23, total_protein_mean_0, ..., total_protein_mean_23, total_protein_time_since_measured_0, ..., total_protein_time_since_measured_23]
- Total protein in urine [total_protein_urine_mask_0, ..., total_protein_urine_mask_23, total_protein_urine_mean_0, ..., total_protein_urine_mean_23, total_protein_urine_time_since_measured_0, ..., total_protein_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Troponin_i [troponin_i_mask_0, ..., troponin_i_mask_23, troponin_i_mean_0, ..., troponin_i_mean_23, troponin_i_time_since_measured_0, ..., troponin_i_time_since_measured_23]
- Troponin_t [troponin_t_mask_0, ..., troponin_t_mask_23, troponin_t_mean_0, ..., troponin_t_mean_23, troponin_t_time_since_measured_0, ..., troponin_t_time_since_measured_23]
- Venous pvo2 [venous_pvo2_mask_0, ..., venous_pvo2_mask_23, venous_pvo2_mean_0, ..., venous_pvo2_mean_23, venous_pvo2_time_since_measured_0, ..., venous_pvo2_time_since_measured_23]
- White blood cell count in urine [white_blood_cell_count_urine_mask_0, ..., white_blood_cell_count_urine_mask_23, white_blood_cell_count_urine_mean_0, ..., white_blood_cell_count_urine_mean_23, white_blood_cell_count_urine_time_since_measured_0, ..., white_blood_cell_count_urine_time_since_measured_23]

C.6.2 Hospital Mortality

Target: Hospital mortality (that the patient dies at any point during this visit, even if they are discharged from the ICU to another unit in the hospital). [mort_hosp]

Shift: Insurance type (Medicare, Private, Medicaid, Government, Self Pay) [insurance]

List of causal features: • Age in years [age]

- Gender [gender]
- Ethnicity [ethnicity]
- Height [height_mean_0]
- Weight [weight_mean_0]

List of arguably causal features: • Bicarbonate [bicarbonate_mask_0..., bicarbonate_mask_23, bicarbonate_mean_0, ..., bicarbonate_mean_23, bicarbonate_time_since_measured_0, ..., bicarbonate_time_since_measured_23]

- Co2 [co2_mask_0..., co2_mask_23, co2_mean_0,...,co2_mean_23, co2_time_since_measured_0,..., co2_time_since_measured_23]
- Partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO₂) and end_tidal CO₂ (ETCO₂) [co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_mask_0..., co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_mask_23, co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_mean_0,..., co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_mean_23, co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_time_since_measured_0,..., co2_(etco2_pco2_etc)_time_since_measured_23]
- Partial pressure of oxygen [partial_pressure_of_oxygen_mask_0..., partial_pressure_of_oxygen_mask_23, partial_pressure_of_oxygen_mean_0,...,partial_pressure_of_oxygen_mean_23, partial_pressure_of_oxygen_time_since_measured_0,..., partial_pressure_of_oxygen_time_since_measured_23]
- Fraction inspired oxygen [fraction_inspired_oxygen_mask_0..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_mask_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_mean_0,...,fraction_inspired_oxygen_mean_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_time_since_measured_0,..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_time_since_measured_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_mask_0..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_mask_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_mean_0,...,fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_mean_23, fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_time_since_measured_0,..., fraction_inspired_oxygen_set_time_since_measured_23]
- Glasgow coma score [glasgow_coma_scale_total_mask_0..., glasgow_coma_scale_total_mask_23, glasgow_coma_scale_total_mean_0,...,glasgow_coma_scale_total_mean_23, glasgow_coma_scale_total_time_since_measured_0,..., glasgow_coma_scale_total_time_since_measured_23]
- Lactate [lactate_mask_0..., lactate_mask_23, lactate_mean_0,...,lactate_mean_23, lactate_time_since_measured_0,..., lactate_time_since_measured_23]
- Lactic acid [lactic_acid_mask_0..., lactic_acid_mask_23, lactic_acid_mean_0,...,lactic_acid_mean_23, lactic_acid_time_since_measured_0,..., lactic_acid_time_since_measured_23]
- Sodium [sodium_mask_0..., sodium_mask_23, sodium_mean_0,...,sodium_mean_23, sodium_time_since_measured_0,..., sodium_time_since_measured_23]
- Hemoglobin [hemoglobin_mask_0..., hemoglobin_mask_23, hemoglobin_mean_0,...,hemoglobin_mean_23, hemoglobin_time_since_measured_0,..., hemoglobin_time_since_measured_23]
- Mean blood pressure [mean_blood_pressure_mask_0..., mean_blood_pressure_mask_23, mean_blood_pressure_mean_0,...,mean_blood_pressure_mean_23, mean_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_0,..., mean_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Oxygen saturation [oxygen_saturation_mask_0..., oxygen_saturation_mask_23, oxygen_saturation_mean_0,...,oxygen_saturation_mean_23, oxygen_saturation_time_since_measured_0,..., oxygen_saturation_time_since_measured_23]

- Ph [ph_mask_0..., ph_mask_23, ph_mean_0,...,ph_mean_23, ph_time_since_measured_0,..., ph_time_since_measured_23]
- Respiratory rate [respiratory_rate_mask_0..., respiratory_rate_mask_23, respiratory_rate_mean_0,...,respiratory_rate_mean_23, respiratory_rate_time_since_measured_0,..., respiratory_rate_time_since_measured_23, respiratory_rate_set_mask_0..., respiratory_rate_set_mask_23, respiratory_rate_set_mean_0,...,respiratory_rate_set_mean_23, respiratory_rate_set_time_since_measured_0,..., respiratory_rate_set_time_since_measured_23]
- Systolic blood pressure [systolic_blood_pressure_mask_0..., systolic_blood_pressure_mask_23, systolic_blood_pressure_mean_0,...,systolic_blood_pressure_mean_23, systolic_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_0,..., systolic_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Heart rate [heart_rate_mask_0..., heart_rate_mask_23, heart_rate_mean_0,...,heart_rate_mean_23, heart_rate_time_since_measured_0,..., heart_rate_time_since_measured_23]
- Temperature [temperature_mask_0..., temperature_mask_23, temperature_mean_0,...,temperature_mean_23, temperature_time_since_measured_0,..., temperature_time_since_measured_23]
- White blood cell count[white_blood_cell_count_mask_0..., white_blood_cell_count_mask_23, white_blood_cell_count_mean_0,...,white_blood_cell_count_mean_23, white_blood_cell_count_time_since_measured_0,..., white_blood_cell_count_time_since_measured_23]

List of other features:

- Height [height_mask_0..., height_mask_23, height_mean_1,...,height_mean_23, height_time_since_measured_0,..., height_time_since_measured_23]
- Weight [weight_mask_0..., weight_mask_23, weight_mean_1,...,weight_mean_23, weight_time_since_measured_0,..., weight_time_since_measured_23]
- Alanine aminotransferase [alanine_aminotransferase_mask_0..., alanine_aminotransferase_mask_23, alanine_aminotransferase_mean_0,...,alanine_aminotransferase_mean_23, alanine_aminotransferase_time_since_measured_0,..., alanine_aminotransferase_time_since_measured_23]
- Albumin [albumin_mask_0..., albumin_mask_23, albumin_mean_0,...,albumin_mean_23, albumin_time_since_measured_0,..., albumin_time_since_measured_23]
- Alanine aminotransferase [albumin_ascites_mask_0..., albumin_ascites_mask_23, albumin_ascites_mean_0,...,albumin_ascites_mean_23, albumin_ascites_time_since_measured_0,..., albumin_ascites_time_since_measured_23]
- Albumin pleural [albumin_pleural_mask_0..., albumin_pleural_mask_23, albumin_pleural_mean_0,...,albumin_pleural_mean_23,

- albumin_pleural_time_since_measured_0,...,
albumin_pleural_time_since_measured_23]
- Albumin in urine [albumin_urine_mask_0..., albumin_urine_mask_23,
albumin_urine_mean_0,...,albumin_urine_mean_23,
albumin_urine_time_since_measured_0,...,
albumin_urine_time_since_measured_23]
 - Alkaline phosphate [alkaline_phosphate_mask_0..., alkaline_phosphate_mask_23,
alkaline_phosphate_mean_0,...,alkaline_phosphate_mean_23,
alkaline_phosphate_time_since_measured_0,...,
alkaline_phosphate_time_since_measured_23]
 - Anion gap [anion_gap_mask_0..., anion_gap_mask_23,
anion_gap_mean_0,...,anion_gap_mean_23, anion_gap_time_since_measured_0,...,
anion_gap_time_since_measured_23]
 - Aspartate aminotransferase [aspartate_aminotransferase_mask_0...,
aspartate_aminotransferase_mask_23,
aspartate_aminotransferase_mean_0,...,aspartate_aminotransferase_mean_23,
aspartate_aminotransferase_time_since_measured_0,...,
aspartate_aminotransferase_time_since_measured_23]
 - Basophils [basophils_mask_0..., basophils_mask_23,
basophils_mean_0,...,basophils_mean_23, basophils_time_since_measured_0,...,
basophils_time_since_measured_23]
 - Bilirubin [bilirubin_mask_0..., bilirubin_mask_23,
bilirubin_mean_0,...,bilirubin_mean_23, bilirubin_time_since_measured_0,...,
bilirubin_time_since_measured_23]
 - Blood urea nitrogen [blood_urea_nitrogen_mask_0..., blood_urea_nitrogen_mask_23,
blood_urea_nitrogen_mean_0,...,blood_urea_nitrogen_mean_23,
blood_urea_nitrogen_time_since_measured_0,...,
blood_urea_nitrogen_time_since_measured_23]
 - Calcium [calcium_mask_0..., calcium_mask_23,
calcium_mean_0,...,calcium_mean_23, calcium_time_since_measured_0,...,
calcium_time_since_measured_23]
 - Calcium ionized [calcium_ionized_mask_0..., calcium_ionized_mask_23,
calcium_ionized_mean_0,...,calcium_ionized_mean_23,
calcium_ionized_time_since_measured_0,...,
calcium_ionized_time_since_measured_23]
 - Calcium in urine [calcium_urine_mask_0..., calcium_urine_mask_23,
calcium_urine_mean_0,...,calcium_urine_mean_23,
calcium_urine_time_since_measured_0,..., calcium_urine_time_since_measured_23]
 - Cardiac index [cardiac_index_mask_0..., cardiac_index_mask_23,
cardiac_index_mean_0,...,cardiac_index_mean_23,
cardiac_index_time_since_measured_0,..., cardiac_index_time_since_measured_23]
 - Cardiac output by Fick principle [cardiac_output_fick_mask_0...,
cardiac_output_fick_mask_23,
cardiac_output_fick_mean_0,...,cardiac_output_fick_mean_23,

- cardiac_output_fick_time_since_measured_0,...,
cardiac_output_fick_time_since_measured_23]
- Cardiac output by thermodilution [cardiac_output_thermodilution_mask_0...,
cardiac_output_thermodilution_mask_23, cardiac_output_thermodilution_mean_0,...,cardiac_output_thermodilution_mean_23,
cardiac_output_thermodilution_time_since_measured_0,...,
cardiac_output_thermodilution_time_since_measured_23]
- Central venous pressure [central_venous_pressure_mask_0...,
central_venous_pressure_mask_23,
central_venous_pressure_mean_0,...,central_venous_pressure_mean_23,
central_venous_pressure_time_since_measured_0,...,
central_venous_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Chloride [chloride_mask_0..., chloride_mask_23,
chloride_mean_0,...,chloride_mean_23, chloride_time_since_measured_0,...,
chloride_time_since_measured_23]
- Chloride in urine [chloride_urine_mask_0..., chloride_urine_mask_23,
chloride_urine_mean_0,...,chloride_urine_mean_23,
chloride_urine_time_since_measured_0,...,
chloride_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Cholesterol [cholesterol_mask_0..., cholesterol_mask_23,
cholesterol_mean_0,...,cholesterol_mean_23, cholesterol_time_since_measured_0,...,
cholesterol_time_since_measured_23]
- HDL cholesterol [cholesterol_hdl_mask_0..., cholesterol_hdl_mask_23,
cholesterol_hdl_mean_0,...,cholesterol_hdl_mean_23,
cholesterol_hdl_time_since_measured_0,...,
cholesterol_hdl_time_since_measured_23]
- LDL cholesterol [cholesterol_ldl_mask_0..., cholesterol_ldl_mask_23,
cholesterol_ldl_mean_0,...,cholesterol_ldl_mean_23,
cholesterol_ldl_time_since_measured_0,...,
cholesterol_ldl_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine [creatinine_mask_0..., creatinine_mask_23,
creatinine_mean_0,...,creatinine_mean_23, creatinine_time_since_measured_0,...,
creatinine_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine ascites [creatinine_ascites_mask_0..., creatinine_ascites_mask_23,
creatinine_ascites_mean_0,...,creatinine_ascites_mean_23,
creatinine_ascites_time_since_measured_0,...,
creatinine_ascites_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine body fluid [creatinine_body_fluid_mask_0...,
creatinine_body_fluid_mask_23,
creatinine_body_fluid_mean_0,...,creatinine_body_fluid_mean_23,
creatinine_body_fluid_time_since_measured_0,...,
creatinine_body_fluid_time_since_measured_23]
- Creatinine pleural [creatinine_pleural_mask_0..., creatinine_pleural_mask_23,
creatinine_pleural_mean_0,...,creatinine_pleural_mean_23,
creatinine_pleural_time_since_measured_0,...,
creatinine_pleural_time_since_measured_23]

- Creatinine in urine [creatinine_urine_mask_0..., creatinine_urine_mask_23, creatinine_urine_mean_0,...,creatinine_urine_mean_23, creatinine_urine_time_since_measured_0,..., creatinine_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Diastolic blood pressure [diastolic_blood_pressure_mask_0..., diastolic_blood_pressure_mask_23, diastolic_blood_pressure_mean_0,...,diastolic_blood_pressure_mean_23, diastolic_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_0,..., diastolic_blood_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Eosinophils [eosinophils_mask_0..., eosinophils_mask_23, eosinophils_mean_0,...,eosinophils_mean_23, eosinophils_time_since_measured_0,..., eosinophils_time_since_measured_23]
- Fibrinogen [fibrinogen_mask_0..., fibrinogen_mask_23, fibrinogen_mean_0,...,fibrinogen_mean_23, fibrinogen_time_since_measured_0,..., fibrinogen_time_since_measured_23]
- Glucose [glucose_mask_0..., glucose_mask_23, glucose_mean_0,...,glucose_mean_23, glucose_time_since_measured_0,..., glucose_time_since_measured_23]
- Hematocrit [hematocrit_mask_0..., hematocrit_mask_23, hematocrit_mean_0,...,hematocrit_mean_23, hematocrit_time_since_measured_0,..., hematocrit_time_since_measured_23]
- Lymphocytes [lymphocytes_mask_0..., lymphocytes_mask_23, lymphocytes_mean_0,...,lymphocytes_mean_23, lymphocytes_time_since_measured_0,..., lymphocytes_time_since_measured_23]
- Lymphocytes ascites [lymphocytes_ascites_mask_0..., lymphocytes_ascites_mask_23, lymphocytes_ascites_mean_0,...,lymphocytes_ascites_mean_23, lymphocytes_ascites_time_since_measured_0,..., lymphocytes_ascites_time_since_measured_23]
- Atypical lymphocytes [lymphocytes_atypical_mask_0..., lymphocytes_atypical_mask_23, lymphocytes_atypical_mean_0,...,lymphocytes_atypical_mean_23, lymphocytes_atypical_time_since_measured_0,..., lymphocytes_atypical_time_since_measured_23, lymphocytes_atypical_csl_mask_0..., lymphocytes_atypical_csl_mask_23, lymphocytes_atypical_csl_mean_0,...,lymphocytes_atypical_csl_mean_23, lymphocytes_atypical_csl_time_since_measured_0,..., lymphocytes_atypical_csl_time_since_measured_23]
- Lymphocytes in body fluid [lymphocytes_body_fluid_mask_0..., lymphocytes_body_fluid_mask_23, lymphocytes_body_fluid_mean_0,...,lymphocytes_body_fluid_mean_23, lymphocytes_body_fluid_time_since_measured_0,..., lymphocytes_body_fluid_time_since_measured_23]
- Lymphocytes percentage [lymphocytes_percent_mask_0..., lymphocytes_percent_mask_23, lymphocytes_percent_mean_0,...,lymphocytes_percent_mean_23, lymphocytes_percent_time_since_measured_0,..., lymphocytes_percent_time_since_measured_23]

- Lymphocytes pleural [lymphocytes_pleural_mask_0..., lymphocytes_pleural_mask_23, lymphocytes_pleural_mean_0,...,lymphocytes_pleural_mean_23, lymphocytes_pleural_time_since_measured_0,..., lymphocytes_pleural_time_since_measured_23]
- Magnesium [magnesium_mask_0..., magnesium_mask_23, magnesium_mean_0,...,magnesium_mean_23, magnesium_time_since_measured_0,..., magnesium_time_since_measured_23]
- Mean corpuscular hemoglobin [mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_mask_0..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_mask_23, mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_mean_0,...,mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_mean_23, mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_time_since_measured_0,..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_time_since_measured_23]
- Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration [mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_mask_0..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_mask_23, mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_mean_0,...,mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_mean_23, mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_time_since_measured_0,..., mean_corpuscular_hemoglobin_concentration_time_since_measured_23]
- Mean corpuscular volume [mean_corpuscular_volume_mask_0..., mean_corpuscular_volume_mask_23, mean_corpuscular_volume_mean_0,...,mean_corpuscular_volume_mean_23, mean_corpuscular_volume_time_since_measured_0,..., mean_corpuscular_volume_time_since_measured_23]
- Monocytes [monocytes_mask_0..., monocytes_mask_23, monocytes_mean_0,...,monocytes_mean_23, monocytes_time_since_measured_0,..., monocytes_time_since_measured_23, monocytes_csl_mask_0..., monocytes_csl_mask_23, monocytes_csl_mean_0,...,monocytes_csl_mean_23, monocytes_csl_time_since_measured_0,..., monocytes_csl_time_since_measured_23]
- Neutrophils [neutrophils_mask_0..., neutrophils_mask_23, neutrophils_mean_0,...,neutrophils_mean_23, neutrophils_time_since_measured_0,..., neutrophils_time_since_measured_23]
- Partial pressure of carbon dioxide [partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_mask_0..., partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_mask_23, partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_mean_0,...,partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_mean_23, partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_time_since_measured_0,..., partial_pressure_of_carbon_dioxide_time_since_measured_23]
- Partial thromboplastin [partial_thromboplastin_mask_0..., partial_thromboplastin_mask_23, partial_thromboplastin_mean_0,...,partial_thromboplastin_mean_23, partial_thromboplastin_time_since_measured_0,..., partial_thromboplastin_time_since_measured_23]
- Peak inspiratory pressure [peak_inspiratory_pressure_mask_0..., peak_inspiratory_pressure_mask_23, peak_inspiratory_pressure_mean_0,...,peak_inspiratory_pressure_mean_23,

- peak_inspiratory_pressure_time_since_measured_0,...,
peak_inspiratory_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Ph in urine [ph_urine_mask_0..., ph_urine_mask_23,
ph_urine_mean_0,...,ph_urine_mean_23, ph_urine_time_since_measured_0,...,
ph_urine_time_since_measured_23]
 - Phosphate [phosphate_mask_0..., phosphate_mask_23,
phosphate_mean_0,...,phosphate_mean_23, phosphate_time_since_measured_0,...,
phosphate_time_since_measured_23]
 - Phosphorous [phosphorous_mask_0..., phosphorous_mask_23,
phosphorous_mean_0,...,phosphorous_mean_23,
phosphorous_time_since_measured_0,..., phosphorous_time_since_measured_23]
 - Plateau pressure [plateau_pressure_mask_0..., plateau_pressure_mask_23,
plateau_pressure_mean_0,...,plateau_pressure_mean_23,
plateau_pressure_time_since_measured_0,...,
plateau_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
 - Platelets [platelets_mask_0..., platelets_mask_23,
platelets_mean_0,...,platelets_mean_23, platelets_time_since_measured_0,...,
platelets_time_since_measured_23]
 - Positive end expiratory pressure [positive_end_expiratory_pressure_mask_0...,
positive_end_expiratory_pressure_mask_23, posi-
tive_end_expiratory_pressure_mean_0,...,positive_end_expiratory_pressure_mean_23,
positive_end_expiratory_pressure_time_since_measured_0,...,
positive_end_expiratory_pressure_time_since_measured_23,
positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_mask_0...,
positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_mask_23, posi-
tive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_mean_0,...,positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_mean_23,
positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_time_since_measured_0,...,
positive_end_expiratory_pressure_set_time_since_measured_23]
 - Post void residual [post_void_residual_mask_0..., post_void_residual_mask_23,
post_void_residual_mean_0,...,post_void_residual_mean_23,
post_void_residual_time_since_measured_0,...,
post_void_residual_time_since_measured_23]
 - Potassium [potassium_mask_0..., potassium_mask_23,
potassium_mean_0,...,potassium_mean_23, potassium_time_since_measured_0,...,
potassium_time_since_measured_23]
 - Potassium serum [potassium_serum_mask_0..., potassium_serum_mask_23,
potassium_serum_mean_0,...,potassium_serum_mean_23,
potassium_serum_time_since_measured_0,...,
potassium_serum_time_since_measured_23]
 - Prothrombin time tested with INR [prothrombin_time_inr_mask_0...,
prothrombin_time_inr_mask_23,
prothrombin_time_inr_mean_0,...,prothrombin_time_inr_mean_23,
prothrombin_time_inr_time_since_measured_0,...,
prothrombin_time_inr_time_since_measured_23]

- Prothrombin time using PT [prothrombin_time_pt_mask_0...,
prothrombin_time_pt_mask_23,
prothrombin_time_pt_mean_0,...,prothrombin_time_pt_mean_23,
prothrombin_time_pt_time_since_measured_0,...,
prothrombin_time_pt_time_since_measured_23]
- Pulmonary artery pressure [pulmonary_artery_pressure_mask_0...,
pulmonary_artery_pressure_mask_23,
pulmonary_artery_pressure_mean_0,...,pulmonary_artery_pressure_mean_23,
pulmonary_artery_pressure_time_since_measured_0,...,
pulmonary_artery_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Systolic pulmonary artery pressure[pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_mask_0...,
pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_mask_23, pul-
monary_artery_pressure_systolic_mean_0,...,pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_mean_23,
pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_time_since_measured_0,...,
pulmonary_artery_pressure_systolic_time_since_measured_23]
- Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure
[pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_mask_0...,
pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_mask_23, pul-
monary_capillary_wedge_pressure_mean_0,...,pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_mean_23,
pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_time_since_measured_0,...,
pulmonary_capillary_wedge_pressure_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count [red_blood_cell_count_mask_0...,
red_blood_cell_count_mask_23,
red_blood_cell_count_mean_0,...,red_blood_cell_count_mean_23,
red_blood_cell_count_time_since_measured_0,...,
red_blood_cell_count_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count ascites [red_blood_cell_count_ascites_mask_0...,
red_blood_cell_count_ascites_mask_23,
red_blood_cell_count_ascites_mean_0,...,red_blood_cell_count_ascites_mean_23,
red_blood_cell_count_ascites_time_since_measured_0,...,
red_blood_cell_count_ascites_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count csf [red_blood_cell_count_csf_mask_0...,
red_blood_cell_count_csf_mask_23,
red_blood_cell_count_csf_mean_0,...,red_blood_cell_count_csf_mean_23,
red_blood_cell_count_csf_time_since_measured_0,...,
red_blood_cell_count_csf_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count pleural [red_blood_cell_count_pleural_mask_0...,
red_blood_cell_count_pleural_mask_23,
red_blood_cell_count_pleural_mean_0,...,red_blood_cell_count_pleural_mean_23,
red_blood_cell_count_pleural_time_since_measured_0,...,
red_blood_cell_count_pleural_time_since_measured_23]
- Red blood cell count in urine [red_blood_cell_count_urine_mask_0...,
red_blood_cell_count_urine_mask_23,
red_blood_cell_count_urine_mean_0,...,red_blood_cell_count_urine_mean_23,
red_blood_cell_count_urine_time_since_measured_0,...,
red_blood_cell_count_urine_time_since_measured_23]

- Systemic vascular resistance [systemic_vascular_resistance_mask_0..., systemic_vascular_resistance_mask_23, systemic_vascular_resistance_mean_0,...,systemic_vascular_resistance_mean_23, systemic_vascular_resistance_time_since_measured_0,..., systemic_vascular_resistance_time_since_measured_23]
- Tidal_volume_observed [tidal_volume_observed_mask_0..., tidal_volume_observed_mask_23, tidal_volume_observed_mean_0,...,tidal_volume_observed_mean_23, tidal_volume_observed_time_since_measured_0,..., tidal_volume_observed_time_since_measured_23]
- Tidal volume [tidal_volume_set_mask_0..., tidal_volume_set_mask_23, tidal_volume_set_mean_0,...,tidal_volume_set_mean_23, tidal_volume_set_time_since_measured_0,..., tidal_volume_set_time_since_measured_23]
- Tidal volume spontaneous [tidal_volume_spontaneous_mask_0..., tidal_volume_spontaneous_mask_23, tidal_volume_spontaneous_mean_0,...,tidal_volume_spontaneous_mean_23, tidal_volume_spontaneous_time_since_measured_0,..., tidal_volume_spontaneous_time_since_measured_23]
- Total protein [total_protein_mask_0..., total_protein_mask_23, total_protein_mean_0,...,total_protein_mean_23, total_protein_time_since_measured_0,..., total_protein_time_since_measured_23]
- Total protein in urine [total_protein_urine_mask_0..., total_protein_urine_mask_23, total_protein_urine_mean_0,...,total_protein_urine_mean_23, total_protein_urine_time_since_measured_0,..., total_protein_urine_time_since_measured_23]
- Troponin_i [troponin_i_mask_0..., troponin_i_mask_23, troponin_i_mean_0,...,troponin_i_mean_23, troponin_i_time_since_measured_0,..., troponin_i_time_since_measured_23]
- Troponin_t [troponin_t_mask_0..., troponin_t_mask_23, troponin_t_mean_0,...,troponin_t_mean_23, troponin_t_time_since_measured_0,..., troponin_t_time_since_measured_23]
- Venous pvo2 [venous_pvo2_mask_0..., venous_pvo2_mask_23, venous_pvo2_mean_0,...,venous_pvo2_mean_23, venous_pvo2_time_since_measured_0,..., venous_pvo2_time_since_measured_23]
- White blood cell count in urine [white_blood_cell_count_urine_mask_0..., white_blood_cell_count_urine_mask_23, white_blood_cell_count_urine_mean_0,...,white_blood_cell_count_urine_mean_23, white_blood_cell_count_urine_time_since_measured_0,..., white_blood_cell_count_urine_time_since_measured_23]

C.7 TableShift: NHANES

We have one task based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) ([Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017](#)).¹⁰

¹⁰<https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm>

C.7.1 Childhood Lead

Target: Blood lead (ug/dL) [LBXBPB]

Shift: Binary indicator for whether family PIR (poverty-income ratio) is ≤ 1.3 .
[INDFMPIRBelowCutoff]

List of causal features:

- Country of birth [DMDBORN4]
- Age in years [RIDAGEYR]
- Gender [RIAGENDR]
- Race and hispanic origin [RIDRETH_merged]
- Year of survey [nhanes_year]

List of arguably causal features:

- Highest grade or level of school completed or highest degree received [DMDEDUC2]

List of other features:

- Marital status [DMDMARTL]

C.8 TableShift: Physionet

We have one task based on the 2019 PhysioNet Challenge (Reyna et al., 2020; 2019).¹¹ The data is released by PhysioNet (Goldberger et al., 2000).

C.8.1 Sepsis

Target: For septic patients, SepsisLabel is 1 if $t \geq t_{sepsis} - 6$ and 0 if $t < t_{sepsis} - 6$. For non-septic patients, SepsisLabel is 0. [SepsisLabel]

Shift: ICU length of stay (hours since ICU admission) [ICULOS]

List of causal features:

- Age (years) [Age]
- Gender [Gender]
- Administrative identifier for ICU unit (MICU); false (0) or true (1) [Unit1]
- Administrative identifier for ICU unit (SICU); false (0) or true (1) [Unit2]
- Time between hospital and ICU admission (hours since ICU admission) [HospAdmTime]

*List of arguably causal features*¹²:

- Temperature (deg C) [Temp]
- Leukocyte count (count/L) [WBC]
- Fibrinogen concentration (mg/dL) [Fibrinogen]
- Platelet count (count/mL) [Platelets]
- Heart rate (in beats per minute) [HR]
- Pulse oximetry (%) [O2Sat]
- Systolic BP (mm Hg) [SBP]
- Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg) [MAP]

¹¹<https://physionet.org/content/challenge-2019/1.0.0/>

- Diastolic BP (mm Hg) [DBP]
- Respiration rate (breaths per minute) [Resp]
- End tidal carbon dioxide (mm Hg) [EtCO2]
- Excess bicarbonate (mmol/L) [BaseExcess]
- Bicarbonate (mmol/L) [HCO3]
- Fraction of inspired oxygen (%) [FiO2]
- pH [pH]
- Partial pressure of carbon dioxide from arterial blood (mm Hg) [PaCO2]
- Oxygen saturation from arterial blood (%) [SaO2]
- Aspartate transaminase (IU/L) [AST]
- Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL) [BUN]
- Alkaline phosphatase (IU/L) [Alkalinephos]
- Calcium (mg/dL) [Calcium]
- Chloride (mmol/L) [Chloride]
- Creatinine (mg/dL) [Creatinine]
- Direct bilirubin (mg/dL) [Bilirubin_direct]
- Serum glucose (mg/dL) [Glucose]
- Lactic acid (mg/dL) [Lactate]
- Magnesium (mmol/dL) [Magnesium]
- Phosphate (mg/dL) [Phosphate]
- Potassium (mmol/L) [Potassium]
- Total bilirubin (mg/dL) [Bilirubin_total]
- Troponin I (ng/mL) [TroponinI]
- Hematocrit (
- Hemoglobin (g/dL) [Hgb]
- Partial thromboplastin time (seconds) [PTT]

List of other features: • The training set (i.e. hospital) from which an example is drawn [set]

C.9 TableShift: UCI

We have one task based on a dataset by [Strack et al. \(2014\)](#) from the UCI Machine Learning Repository ([Cloure et al., 2014](#)).¹³

C.9.1 Hospital Readmission

Target: No record of readmission [readmitted]

Shift: Admission source [admission_source_id]

List of causal features: • Race [race]

¹³<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Diabetes+130-US+hospitals+for+years+1999-2008>

- Gender [gender]
- Age [age]
- Payer code [payer_code]
- Medical specialty of the admitting physician [medical_specialty]

List of arguably causal features: • Weight in pounds [weight]

- Primary diagnosis [diag_1]
- Secondary diagnosis [diag_2]
- Additional secondary diagnosis [diag_3]
- Total number of diagnoses [number_diagnoses]
- Discharge type [discharge_disposition_id]
- Count of days between admission and discharge [time_in_hospital]
- Number of outpatient visits of the patient in the year preceding the encounter [number_outpatient]
- Number of emergency visits of the patient in the year preceding the encounter [number_emergency]
- Number of inpatient visits of the patient in the year preceding the encounter [number_inpatient]
- Max glucose serum [max_glu_serum]
- Hemoglobin A1c test result [A1Cresult]
- Change in metformin medication [metformin]
- Change in repaglinide medication [repaglinide]
- Change in nateglinide medication [nateglinide]
- Change in chlorpropamide medication [chlorpropamide]
- Change in glimepiride medication [glimepiride]
- Change in acetohexamide medication [acetohexamide]
- Change in glipizide medication [glipizide]
- Change in glyburide medication [glyburide]
- Change in tolbutamide medication [tolbutamide]
- Change in pioglitazone medication [pioglitazone]
- Change in rosiglitazone medication [rosiglitazone]
- Change in acarbose medication [acarbose]
- Change in miglitol medication [miglitol]
- Change in troglitazone medication [troglitazone]
- Change in tolazamide medication [tolazamide]
- Change in examide medication [examide]
- Change in citoglipton medication [citoglipton]
- Change in insulin medication [insulin]
- Change in glyburide_metformin medication [glyburide_metformin]

- Change in glipizide_metformin medication [glipizide_metformin]
- Change in glimepiride_pioglitazone medication [glimepiride_pioglitazone]
- Change in metformin_rosiglitazone medication [metformin_rosiglitazone]
- Change in metformin_pioglitazone medication [metformin_pioglitazone]
- Change in any medication [change]
- Diabetes medication prescribed [diabetesMed]

List of other features:

- Admission type [admission_type_id]
- Number of lab tests performed during the encounter [num_lab_procedures]
- Number of procedures (other than lab tests) performed during the encounter [num_procedures]
- Number of distinct generic drugs administered during the encounter [num_medications]

C.10 MEPS

We have one task based on the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) ([Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2019](#)).

C.10.1 Utilization

Dataset. We consider the MEPS 2019 Full Year Consolidated Data File. The dataset contains information on individuals taking part in one of the two MEPS panels in 2019. In particular, these individuals belong either to Panel 23 in its 3-5 round, or to Panel 24 in its 1-3 round. We train on the first round in 2019 for each panel, that is, Round 3 of Panel 23 and Round 1 of Panel 24, and predict the total health care utilization across the year 2019. We adapt the target definition by [Hardt & Kim \(2023\)](#).

Distribution shift. We split the domains by health insurance type, analogous to *TableShift* in the task ‘Stay in ICU’ and ‘Hospital Mortality’. We train on individuals with public health insurance, and use individuals with private health insurance as testing domain.

Target: Measure of health care utilization > 3 [TOTEXP19]

Shift: Insurance type [INSCOV19]

List of causal features:

- Sex [SEX]
- Race [RACEV1X, RACEV2X, RACEAX, RACEBX, RACEWX, RACETHX]
- Hispanic ethnicity [HISPANX, HISPNCAT]
- Years of education [EDUCYR]
- Educational attainment [HIDEG]
- Paid sick leaves [SICPAY31]
- Paid leave to visit doctor [PAYDR31]
- Person is born in U.S. [BORNUSA]
- Years person lived in the U.S. [YRSINUS]

- How well person speaks English [HWELLSPK]
- Speak other language at home [OTHLGSPK]
- What language spoken other than English [WHTLGSPK]
- Region [REGION31]
- Age [AGE31X]

List of arguably causal features:

- Family size [FCSZ1231, FAMSZE31]
- Martial status [MARRY31X]
- Flexible Spending Accounts [FSAGT31, HASFSA31, PFSAMT31]
- Employer offers health insurance [OFREMP31, OFFER31X]
- Insurance coverage from current main job [CMJHLD31]
- Covered by Medicare [MCARE31, MCRPD31, MCRPB31, MCRPHO31, MCARE31X, MCRPD31X]
- Covered by Medicaid [MCAID31, MCDHMO31, MCDMC31, MCAID31X, MCDAT31X]
- Covered by TRICARE/CHAMPVA [TRIAT31X, TRICR31X, TRILI31X, TRIST31X, TRIST31X, TRIPR31X, TRIEX31X, TRICH31X]
- Detailed type of covering entity [PRVHMO31, GOVTA31, GOVAAT31, GOVTB31, GOVBAT31, GOVTC31, GOVCAT31, VAPROG31, VAPRAT31, IHS31, IHSAT31, PRIDK31, PRING31, PUB31X, PUBAT31X, PRIEU31, PRIOG31, PRSTX31, PRINEO31, PRIEUO31, PRIV31, PRIVAT31, DISVW31X,]
- Health insurance held from current main job [HELD31X]
- Insured [INS31X, INSAT31X]
- Dental insurance [DENTIN31, DENTIN31, DNTINS31]
- Prescription drug private insurance [PMEDIN31, PMDINS31, PMEDUP31, PMEDPY31]
- Pension Plan [RETPLN31]
- Employment status [EMPST31]
- Student status [FTSTU31X]
- Has more than one job [MORJOB31]
- Difference in wage by round [DIFFWG31]
- Updated hourly wage [NHRWG31]
- Hourly wage of current main job [HRWG31X]
- Hours per week [HOUR31]
- Temporary current main job [TEMPJB31]
- Seasonal current main job [SSNLJB31]
- Self-employed [SELFCM31]
- Choice of health plans [CHOIC31]
- Industry group [INDCAT31]
- Occupation group [OCCCAT31]
- Union status [UNION31]

- Reason for not working [NWK31]
- Paid vacation [PAYVAC31]
- Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) help [IADLHP31]
- Activities of Daily Living (ADL) help [ADLHLP31]
- Use of assistive technology [AIDHLP31]
- Limitations in physical functioning [WLKLIM31, LFTDIF31, STPDIF31, WLKDIF31, MILDIF31, BENDIF31, RCHDIF31, FNGRDF31, ACTLIM31]
- Social limitations [SOCLIM31]
- Work, housework, and school limitations [WRKLIM31, WRKLIM31, HSELIM31, SCHLIM31, UNABLE31]
- Cognitive limitations [COGLIM31]
- Priority condition variables [ASTHEP31, ASSTIL31, ASATAK31, CHBRON31]
- Asthma medications [ASMRCN31, ASPREV31, ASDALY31, ASPKFL31, ASEVFL31, ASWNFL31, ASACUT31]
- Active duty in military [ACTDTY31]
- Perceived health status [RTHLTH31]
- Perceived mental health status [MNHLTH31]

- List of other features:*
- Current main job at private for-profit, nonprofit, or a government entity [JOBORG31]
 - Self-employed business is incorporated, a proprietorship, or a partnership [BSNTY31]
 - Number of employees [NUMEMP31]
 - Firm has more than one location [MORE31]
 - Month started current main job [STJBMM31]
 - Year started current main job [STJBYY31]
 - Veterans Specific Activity Questionnaire (VASQ) [VACMPY31, VAPROX31, VASPUN31, VACMPM31, VASPMH31, VASPOU31, VAPRHT31, VAWAIT31, VAWAIT31, VALOCT31, VANTWK31, VANEED31, VAOUT31, VAPAST31, VACOMP31, VAMREC31, VAGTRC31, VACARC31, VAPROB31, VAREP31, VACARE31, VAPCPR31, VAPROV31, VAPCOT31, VAPCCO31, VAPCRC31, VAPCSN31, VAPCRF31, VAPCSO31, VAPCOU31, VAPCUN31, VASPCL31, VAPACT31, VACTDY31, VARECM31, VAMOBL31, VACOPD31, VADERM31, VAGERD31, VAHRLS31, VABACK31, VAJTPN31, VARTH31, VAGOUT31, VANECK31, VAFIBR31, VATMD31, VACOST31, VAPTSD31, VABIPL31, VADEPR31, VAMOOD31, VAPROS31, VARHAB31, VAMNHC31, VAGCNS31, VARXMD31, VACRGV31, VALCOH31]
 - Data collection round [RNDFLG31]
 - Imputation flag [HRWGIM31]
 - How hourly wage was calculated [HRHOW31]
 - Verification [VERFLG31]
 - Survey related information [REFPRS31, REFRL31X, FCRP1231, FMRS1231, FAMS1231, RESP31, PROXY31, BEGRFM31, BEGRFY31, ENDRFM31, ENDRFY31, INSCOP31, INSC1231, ELGRND31, MOPID31X, DAPID31X]
 - Round [RUSIZE31, RUSIZE31, RUCLAS31, PSTATS31, SPOUID31, SPOUIN31]

C.11 SIPP

We have one task based on the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) ([U.S. Census Bureau, 2014](#)).

C.11.1 Poverty

Dataset. We work with Wave 1 and Wave 2 of the SIPP 2014 panel data. We train on Wave 1 and want to predict whether an individual has an official poverty measure larger than the median in Wave 2 ([Hardt & Kim, 2023](#)).

Distribution shift. We use individuals with U.S. citizenship as the training domain, and individuals without U.S. citizenship as testing domain. This simulates a survey collection with a biased sample, e.g. individuals without U.S. citizenship are systematically excluded.

Target: Household income-to-poverty ratio ≥ 3 [OPM_RATIO]

Shift: Citizenship status [CITIZENSHIP_STATUS]

List of causal features: • Marital status [MARITAL_STATUS]

- Educational attainment [EDUCATION]
- Race[RACE]
- Gender [GENDER]
- Age [AGE]
- Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino[ORIGIN]
- Disability status [HEALTHDISAB]
- Hearing difficulties [HEALTH_HEARING]
- Vision difficulties [HEALTH_SEEING]
- Cognitive difficulties [HEALTH_COGNITIVE]
- Ambulatory difficulties [HEALTH_AMBULATORY]
- Difficulties in self-care [HEALTH_SELF_CARE]
- Difficulties in doing errands [HEALTH_ERRANDS_DIFFICULTY]
- Core disability [HEALTH_CORE_DISABILITY]
- Supplemental disability [HEALTH_SUPPLEMENTAL_DISABILITY]

List of arguably causal features: • Household income [HOUSEHOLD_INC]

- Family size [FAMILY_SIZE_AVG]
- Received worker's compensation [RECEIVED_WORK_COMP]
- Unemployment compensation [UNEMPLOYMENT_COMP]
- Amount of unemployment compensation [UNEMPLOYMENT_COMP_AMOUNT]
- Severance pay and pension[SEVERANCE_PAY_PENSION]
- Amount for foster child care [FOSTER_CHILD_CARE_AMT]
- Amount for child support [CHILD_SUPPORT_AMT]
- Alimony amount [ALIMONY_AMT]

- Income [INCOME]
- Income from assistance [INCOME_FROM_ASSISTANCE]
- Amount of savings and investments [SAVINGS_INV_AMOUNT]
- Amount of veteran benefits [VA_BENEFITS_AMOUNT]
- Amount of retirement income [RETIREMENT_INCOME_AMOUNT]
- Amount of survivor income [SURVIVOR_INCOME_AMOUNT]
- Amount of disability benefits [DISABILITY_BENEFITS_AMOUNT]
- Percentage of year in which individual received assistance from MEDICARE [MEDICARE_ASSISTANCE]
- Number of sick days [DAYS_SICK]
- Number of hospital nights [HOSPITAL_NIGHTS]
- Number of prescriptions for medicaments [PRESCRIPTION_MEDS]
- Number of dentist visits [VISIT_DENTIST_NUM]
- Number of doctor visits [VISIT_DOCTOR_NUM]
- Amount paid for non-premium medical out-of-pocket expenditures [HEALTH_OVER_THE_COUNTER_PRODUCTS_PAY]
- Amount paid medical care [HEALTH_MEDICAL_CARE_PAY]
- Amount paid for health insurance premiums [HEALTH_INSURANCE_PREMIUMS]
- Amount of social security benefits [SOCIAL_SEC_BENEFITS]
- Transportation assistance [TRANSPORTATION_ASSISTANCE]
- Own living quarters [LIVING_OWNERSHIP]

List of anticausal features: • Type of living quarters [LIVING_QUARTERS_TYPE]

- Percentage of year in which individual received assistance from TANF [TANF_ASSISTANCE]
- Percentage of year in which individual received food assistance [FOOD_ASSISTANCE]
- Percentage of year in which individual received assistance from SNAP [SNAP_ASSISTANCE]
- Percentage of year in which individual received assistance from WIC [WIC_ASSISTANCE]
- Percentage of year in which individual received assistance from MEDICAID [MEDICAID_ASSISTANCE]

Table 2: Description of tasks

Task	Target	Shift	In-domain	Out-of-domain	Shift Gap	Obs.
Food Stamps	Food stamp reciency in past year for households with child	Geographic region (U.S. divisions)	New England, Middle Atlantic, East North Central, West North Central, South Atlantic, West South Central, Mountain, Pacific	East South Central	2.90%	840,582
Income	Income \geq 56k for employed adults	Geographic region (U.S. Divisions)	Middle Atlantic, East North Central, West North Central, South Atlantic, East South Central, West South Central, Mountain, Pacific	New England	7.71%	1,664,500
Public Health Insurance	Coverage of non-Medicare eligible low-income individuals	Disability status	Without a disability	With a disability	14.00%	5,916,565
Unemployment	Unemployment for non-social security-eligible adults	Education level	High school diploma or higher	No high school diploma	17.69%	

Continued on next page

Table 2: Description of tasks (Continued)

Task	Target	Shift	In-domain	Out-of-domain	Shift Gap	Obs.
Voting	Voted in U.S. presidential election	Geographic region (U.S. regions)	Northeast, North Central, West	South	11.11%	8,280
Diabetes	Diabetes diagnosis	Race	White	Black or African American, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, Other race	4.70%	1,444,176
Hypertension	Hypertension diagnosis for high-risk age (50+)	BMI category	Underweight, normal weight	Overweight, obese	1.37%	846,761

Continued on next page

Table 2: Description of tasks (Continued)

Task	Target	Shift	In-domain	Out-of-domain	Shift Gap	Obs.
College Scorecard	Low degree completion rate	Carnegie classification	Different institution, e.g., special focus institutions (health professions), Master's colleges & universities (medium programs),	Special focus institutions (schools of art, music, and design, theological seminaries, bible colleges, and other faith-related institutions, others), Baccalaureate/Associate's colleges, Master's colleges & universities (larger programs)	18.36%	124,699
ASSISTments	Next answer correct	School	≈ 700 schools	10 schools	13.18%	2,667,776
Stay in ICU	Length of stay ≥ 3 hrs in ICU	Insurance type	Private, Medicaid, Government, Self Pay	Medicare	5.78%	23,944
Hospital Mortality	ICU patient expires in hospital during current visit	Insurance type	Private, Medicaid, Government, Self Pay	Medicare	3.85%	23,944

Continued on next page

Table 2: Description of tasks (Continued)

Task	Target	Shift	In-domain	Out-of-domain	Shift Gap	Obs.
Hospital Readmission	30-day readmission of diabetic hospital patients	Admission source	Different admission sources, e.g., physician referral, clinic referral, transfer from a hospital, court/law enforcement, transfer from hospice	Emergency room	7.77%	99,493
Childhood Lead	Blood lead levels above CDC blood level reference value	Poverty level	Poverty-income ratio > 1.3	Poverty-income ratio ≤ 1.3	4.82%	27,499
Sepsis	Sepsis onset within next 6hrs for hospital patients	Length of stay	Having been in ICU for ≤ 47 hours	Having been in ICU for > 47 hours	6.40%	1,552,210
Utilization	Measure of health care utilization > 3	Insurance type	Any public	Private only	-4.01%	28,512
Poverty	Household income-to-poverty ratio ≥ 3	Citizenship status	Citizen of the U.S.	Not citizen of the U.S.	21.59%	39,720