

On the torsion function for simply connected, open sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

M. van den Berg*

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol
Fry Building, Woodland Road
Bristol BS8 1UG
United Kingdom
`mamvdb@bristol.ac.uk`

D. Bucur

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université Savoie Mont Blanc
UMR CNRS 5127
Campus Scientifique, 73376 Le-Bourget-Du-Lac
France
`dorin.bucur@univ-savoie.fr`

19 February 2024

Abstract

For an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ let $\lambda(\Omega)$ denote the bottom of the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $L^2(\Omega)$. Let w_Ω be the torsion function for Ω , and let $\|\cdot\|_p$ denote the L^p norm. It is shown there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\|w_\Omega\|_\infty \lambda(\Omega) \geq 1 + \eta$ for any non-empty, open, simply connected set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\lambda(\Omega) > 0$. Moreover, if the measure $|\Omega|$ of Ω is finite, then $\|w_\Omega\|_1 \lambda(\Omega) \leq (1 - \eta)|\Omega|$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 35J25, 35P15, 35P99.

Keywords: Torsion function, first Dirichlet eigenfunction, simply connected.

*corresponding author

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a non-empty, open set in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$, and let $\lambda(\Omega)$ denote the bottom of the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $L^2(\Omega)$. That is

$$\lambda(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} u^2} : u \in H_0^1(\Omega), u \not\equiv 0 \right\}.$$

If the measure $|\Omega|$ of Ω is finite, then $\lambda(\Omega)$ is the fundamental eigenvalue.

We define the torsion function for arbitrary non-empty open sets Ω (with either finite or infinite measure) by a limiting procedure. Let B_r denote the ball of radius r centred at the origin, and define $w_{\Omega} : \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ by $w_{\Omega}(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} w_{\Omega \cap B_r}(x)$, where $w_{\Omega \cap B_r}(x)$ is the unique solution of

$$-\Delta w = 1, \quad w \in H_0^1(\Omega \cap B_r).$$

If Ω has finite measure, then w_{Ω} is the classical torsion function, and the convergence $w_{\Omega \cap B_r} \rightarrow w_{\Omega}$ is strong in H^1 . If Ω has infinite measure, the function w_{Ω} is a well-defined, non-negative Borel function, and possibly infinite valued. If w_{Ω} is finitely valued on Ω , then $w_{\Omega}(x)$ is the expected lifetime of Brownian motion starting at $x \in \Omega$, and w_{Ω} is a weak solution, in the sense of distributions, of $-\Delta w = 1$ on Ω , which satisfies $w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ at all regular points of $\partial\Omega$. Moreover, if $G_{\Omega}(x, y)$, $x \in \Omega$, $y \in \Omega$ is the kernel of the resolvent of the Dirichlet Laplacian, then

$$w_{\Omega}(x) = \int_{\Omega} dy G_{\Omega}(x, y). \quad (1)$$

It is known that $\lambda(\Omega) > 0$ is equivalent to $w_{\Omega} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (see [6]). Moreover, as soon as $\lambda(\Omega) > 0$, the following inequality holds

$$1 \leq \|w_{\Omega}\|_{\infty} \lambda(\Omega) \leq c_m \quad (2)$$

and the constant c_m satisfies, see [6, Theorem 1],

$$c_m \leq 4 + 3m \log 2.$$

A proof of the lower bound in (2) can be found in [7, Theorem 5.3]. It was shown in [8, Theorem 1] and [16, Theorem 3.3] that if $m \geq 2$ the lower bound 1 in (2) is sharp: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a non-empty open set $\Omega_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\|w_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\|_{\infty} \lambda(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) < 1 + \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

An example of such an open set Ω_{ε} is an open cube with side-length 1 punctured with N^m balls positioned in a periodic order and with suitable chosen small radii. See Figure 1.

In 1994, R. Bañuelos and T. Carroll [2, (4.4),(4.6)] conjectured that the lower bound in the left-hand side of (2) remains sharp for Ω in the class of simply connected sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Since the open sets constructed in [8] (Figure 1) are not simply connected, the answer to the conjecture of Bañuelos and Carroll remained open.

In Theorem 1 below we show that if Ω is simply connected in $\mathbb{R}^2$ then the constant 1 in the left hand side of (2) can be improved by a positive number, thereby disproving the Bañuelos and Carroll conjecture. We shall give an estimate of this number, without any claim to be optimal.

Our first main result is the following.




Figure 1: Ω_ϵ , $N = 9$, $m = 2$

Theorem 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be non-empty, open and simply connected. If $\lambda(\Omega) > 0$, then*

$$\|w_\Omega\|_\infty \lambda(\Omega) > 1 + \frac{3^6 e^9}{2^{43} 13^{13} j_0}, \quad (4)$$

where j_0 is the first positive zero of the Bessel function J_0 .

In [2, Theorem 1] it was shown that if Ω is simply connected in $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$\|w_\Omega\|_\infty \leq \frac{7\zeta(3)}{16c_0^2} r(\Omega)^2, \quad (5)$$

where $\zeta(\cdot)$ is the Riemann zeta function, c_0 is the schlicht Bloch-Landau constant, and

$$r(\Omega) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} d_\Omega(x)$$

is the inradius of Ω with the distance to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ given by

$$d_\Omega(x) = \inf\{|x - y| : y \notin \Omega\}.$$

Combining Theorem 1 with (5) yields

$$\lambda(\Omega) \geq \frac{16c_0^2}{7\zeta(3)} \left(1 + \frac{3^6 e^9}{2^{43} 13^{13} j_0}\right) r(\Omega)^{-2}.$$

This improves the constant in [2, (0.7)], see also [10, p.2473], by a factor given by the right-hand side of (4). We believe this is, as far as we know, the best result at present. However, its importance is not so much its small improvement rather the non-trivial contribution of the right-hand side of (4).

We recall [3, Theorem 1] where it was shown that

$$\sup \{ \|w_\Omega\|_\infty r(\Omega)^{-2} : \Omega \text{ simply connected, non-empty, open in } \mathbb{R}^2, r(\Omega) < \infty \}$$

has a maximiser. We point out that this maximiser is not necessarily a minimiser of

$$\inf \{ \lambda(\Omega) r(\Omega)^2 : \Omega \text{ simply connected, non-empty, open in } \mathbb{R}^2, r(\Omega) < \infty \}.$$

We shall see below that it suffices to prove Theorem 1 for non-empty, open, simply connected sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with finite measure. The proof of Theorem 1 relies

on some properties of the torsion function w_Ω and of the first Dirichlet eigenfunction u_Ω . These will be presented in Proposition 2 below. The assertions there will be given in more generality as we believe these to be of independent interest. In Proposition 2 (i) we merely assume that Ω is simply connected and $\lambda(\Omega) > 0$, while in (ii) we assume that the torsion function has a maximum. In fact (ii) is not needed in the proof of Theorem 1. In Proposition 2(iii) we obtain a lower bound for the distance to the boundary of the maximum of the first Dirichlet eigenfunction (chosen positive) in a simply connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The existence of that first Dirichlet eigenfunction is guaranteed if the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $L^2(\Omega)$ has compact resolvent. The latter is, by [4, Corollary 8], equivalent to

$$|\{x \in \Omega : d_\Omega(x) > \varepsilon\}| < \infty, \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (6)$$

Proposition 2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be non-empty, open and simply connected.*

(i) *If $\lambda(\Omega) > 0$, then*

$$w_\Omega(x) \leq \frac{3^{1/4}}{e^{3/4}} 2^{11/2} \lambda(\Omega)^{-7/8} d_\Omega(x)^{1/4}, \quad (7)$$

(ii) *If $\lambda(\Omega) > 0$ and if x_{w_Ω} is a point at which w_Ω attains its maximum, then*

$$d_\Omega(x_{w_\Omega}) \geq \frac{e^3}{3 \cdot 2^{22}} \lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}. \quad (8)$$

(iii) *If (6) holds, then there exists a point $x_{u_\Omega} \in \Omega$ such that $u_\Omega(x_{u_\Omega}) = \|u_\Omega\|_\infty$. Moreover*

$$d_\Omega(x_{u_\Omega}) \geq \frac{e^3}{3 \cdot 2^{22}} \lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}. \quad (9)$$

Estimates like (9) have been obtained in [19, Corollary 1.2]. The constant there has not been quantified. However, [19, Proposition 3.1] suggests a possibility to estimate it. In the special case of open, bounded and convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^m$ we refer to [12, Theorem 2.8] and [11, Theorem 3.2]. In particular, this last result implies that $d_\Omega(x_{u_\Omega}) \geq 0.28 \lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}$.

In order to introduce the second result of the paper, we recall a classical inequality for open sets Ω with finite measure involving the torsional rigidity $T(\Omega) := \|w_\Omega\|_1$ of Ω , which goes back to Pólya and Szegő [18]. It asserts that the function F defined by

$$F(\Omega) = \frac{T(\Omega)\lambda(\Omega)}{|\Omega|} \quad (10)$$

satisfies

$$F(\Omega) < 1. \quad (11)$$

The constant 1 in the right-hand side of (11) is sharp, as shown in [9, Theorem 1.2]. That is, given $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists a non-empty open set $\Omega'_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$F(\Omega'_\varepsilon) > 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (12)$$

The open set Ω'_ε is yet again an open cube with side-length 1 punctured with N^m balls positioned in a periodic order and with suitably chosen small radii,

as in Figure 1. Moreover [9, Theorem 1.1] quantifies the inequality in (11), and reads

$$F(\Omega) \leq 1 - \frac{2m\omega_m^{2/m}}{m+2} \frac{T(\Omega)}{|\Omega|^{(m+2)/m}}, \quad (13)$$

where ω_m is the measure of the ball with radius 1 in $\mathbb{R}^m$. The question arises once more whether the constant 1 in (11) is sharp for simply connected sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with finite measure. Our second main result is the following.

Theorem 3. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is non-empty, open and simply connected with finite measure, then*

$$F(\Omega) < 1 - \frac{3^4}{12801 \cdot 2^{35} \left(1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{16}\right)^4}. \quad (14)$$

Note that for simply connected open sets (13) cannot give a bound of the form (14). Indeed, it is possible to construct a simply connected open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $|\Omega| = 1$ and $T(\Omega)$ arbitrarily small: let Ω_n be the union of n disjoint balls with total measure $1 - \frac{1}{100^n}$ connected by $n - 1$ disjoint thin tubes having total measure $\frac{1}{100^n}$. It is easily seen that $T(\Omega_n)/|\Omega_n|^2 = O(\frac{1}{n})$.

Though the sets Ω_ε and Ω'_ε look very similar, and the statements of Theorems 1 and 3 are very similar, we were unable to show that either Theorem 1 implies Theorem 3 with possibly different correction to 1 in (14) or Theorem 3 implies Theorem 1 with possibly different correction to 1 in (4).

For the p -Laplace operator, the value 1 in inequality (11) is sharp for $p \in (1, m]$. This was proved in [14]. For $p > m$, the constant 1 is no longer sharp, see [13]. The latter situation corresponds to the case where points have strictly positive p -capacity. A somewhat similar situation occurs in Theorem 3. Here, the simply connectedness hypothesis implies a uniform thickness with respect to the Wiener criterion, leading to a constant strictly less than 1. However, in our framework we are able to give an estimate for that constant, which is not the case for arbitrary p .

The key result on which the proof of Theorem 3 relies is established in Proposition 4 below. It is convenient to introduce the mean to max and the participation ratios of the torsion function defined, respectively, by

$$\Phi_{1,\infty}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \frac{\|w_\Omega\|_1}{\|w_\Omega\|_\infty}, \quad \Phi_{1,2}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\|w_\Omega\|_1}{\|w_\Omega\|_2}. \quad (15)$$

Proposition 4. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a non-empty, open and simply connected set with finite measure, then*

$$\Phi_{1,2}(\Omega) \leq \left(1 - \frac{3^4}{12801 \cdot 2^{35} \left(1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{16}\right)^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Roughly speaking, the proof follows the same strategy as in [13] for the p -Laplacian when $p > m$. However, there are some key points where the proofs are significantly different. These concern the growth of the torsion function near the boundary which, in the case $p > m$, is reduced to the analysis of a singleton. In our case, the proof requires quantified decay estimates relying on the Wiener criterion along with the repeated use of Vitali's covering theorem.

We also obtain an estimate of the mean to max ratio.

Corollary 5. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is non-empty, open and simply connected with finite measure, then*

$$\Phi_{1,\infty}(\Omega) < \left(1 - \frac{3^4}{12801 \cdot 2^{35} \left(1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{16}\right)^4}\right) \left(1 + \frac{3^6 e^9}{2^{43} 13^{13} j_0}\right)^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

Corollary 5 complements the results of the mean to max ratio of the torsion function in [16] and of the p -torsion from [13]. In the latter, the authors showed that this ratio is also bounded away from 1 in the case $p > m$.

In order to have a global view of the inequalities in (2), we introduce the following families of sets:

$$\mathfrak{A}_o = \{\text{non-empty, open in } \mathbb{R}^m\}, \quad (17)$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{sc} = \{\text{simply connected, non-empty, open in } \mathbb{R}^2\}, \quad (18)$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_{co} = \{\text{non-empty, open, convex in } \mathbb{R}^m\}. \quad (19)$$

It remains an open question to prove existence of a minimiser of the variational problem

$$\inf \{ \|w_\Omega\|_\infty \lambda(\Omega) : \Omega \in \mathfrak{A}_{sc}, \lambda(\Omega) > 0 \}.$$

It was mentioned above the variational problem

$$\inf \{ \|w_\Omega\|_\infty \lambda(\Omega) : \Omega \in \mathfrak{A}_o, \lambda(\Omega) > 0 \}$$

does not have a minimiser, and that the infimum value 1 is asymptotically attained by sequences described in (3).

It has been shown in [17, 3.12]) that

$$\inf \{ \|w_\Omega\|_\infty \lambda(\Omega) : \Omega \in \mathfrak{A}_{co}, \lambda(\Omega) > 0 \} = \frac{\pi^2}{8},$$

with equality if Ω is the open connected set, bounded by two parallel $(m-1)$ -dimensional hyperplanes.

The constant c_m received further improvements in [20, Theorem 1.5], where it was shown that

$$c_m \leq \frac{m}{8} + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{5 \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \log 2\right) \sqrt{m}} + 1.$$

The sharp value of the constant c_m is not known. Similarly to the minimum problem, one may investigate the existence of a maximiser of the variational problem

$$\sup \{ \|w_\Omega\|_\infty \lambda(\Omega) : \Omega \in \mathfrak{A}, \lambda(\Omega) > 0 \}, \quad (20)$$

for the admissible classes defined in (17), (18) and (19). It is not known whether (20) admits a maximiser for (17) or for (18). In the class of convex sets (19), Henrot, Lucardesi and Philippin proved the existence of a maximiser in [16, Theorem 3.2], and they conjectured that for $m = 2$, that the maximiser is the equilateral triangle.

Similarly the variational problem

$$\sup \{ F(\Omega) : \Omega \in \mathfrak{A}, |\Omega| < \infty \},$$

remains open for (18). For (17) there exist maximising sequences described in (12) but no maximiser, see [9]. For (19) and $m = 2$ it has been conjectured that the supremum equals $\pi^2/12$ achieved for a sequence of thinning rectangles.

This paper is organised as follows. The proofs of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 are deferred to Section 2 while the proof of Theorem 3, Proposition 4 and Corollary 5 are deferred to Section 3.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.

Throughout the paper we denote an open ball centred at x with radius r by $B(x; r)$ or $B_r(x)$. We put $B_r = B_r(x; 0)$.

We first prove Proposition 2, and begin with a few basic lemmas. Let $p_\Omega(x, y; t)$, $x \in \Omega$, $y \in \Omega$, $t > 0$ be the Dirichlet heat kernel for Ω . Then

$$G_\Omega(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} dt p_\Omega(x, y; t)$$

is the kernel of the resolvent of the Dirichlet Laplacian acting in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Lemma 6. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is non-empty, open and simply connected with finite measure, then*

(i)

$$G_\Omega(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{(d_\Omega(y) + |x - y|)^{1/2} + d_\Omega(y)^{1/2}}{(d_\Omega(y) + |x - y|)^{1/2} - d_\Omega(y)^{1/2}}, \quad x \in \Omega, y \in \Omega, \quad (21)$$

and

(ii)

$$G_\Omega(x, y) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{\min\{d_\Omega(x), d_\Omega(y)\}}{|x - y|} \right)^{1/2}, \quad x \in \Omega, y \in \Omega.$$

We recall the proof on [5, p.618].

Proof. Let H be the conformal map from the unit disc onto Ω with $H(0) = x$. Then by the results of [1],

$$G_\Omega(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \coth(\rho_\Omega(x, y)), \quad (22)$$

where

$$\rho_\Omega(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_0^1 dt \frac{|\gamma'(t)|}{|H'(0)|}, \quad (23)$$

and where the infimum is taken over all rectifiable curves γ in Ω with $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(1) = y$ and where $H'(0)$ is evaluated at $\gamma(t)$. By Koebe's 1/4 Theorem,

$$d_\Omega(\gamma(t)) \leq |H'(0)| \leq 4d_\Omega(\gamma(t)). \quad (24)$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that γ has a parametrisation with constant speed c . Then for any such γ ,

$$d_\Omega(\gamma(t)) \leq d_\Omega(x) + ct. \quad (25)$$

By (23), (24) and (25),

$$\rho_\Omega(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t dt \frac{c}{d_\Omega(x) + ct} = \frac{1}{4} \log \left(1 + \frac{c}{d_\Omega(x)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \log \left(1 + \frac{|x - y|}{d_\Omega(x)} \right), \quad (26)$$

since $c \geq |x - y|$. By (22) and (26) we get that

$$G_\Omega(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{(d_\Omega(x) + |x - y|)^{1/2} + d_\Omega(x)^{1/2}}{(d_\Omega(x) + |x - y|)^{1/2} - d_\Omega(x)^{1/2}}, \quad x \in \Omega, y \in \Omega. \quad (27)$$

By symmetry we may reverse the roles of x and of y in (27). This proves (i).

By (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\Omega(x, y) &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \log \left(\left(1 + \frac{d_\Omega(y)}{|x - y|} \right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{d_\Omega(y)}{|x - y|} \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{d_\Omega(y)}{|x - y|} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion under (ii) follows by symmetry. $\square$

Below we state and prove an upper bound for $G_\Omega(x, y)$ which decays faster than $|x - y|^{-1/2}$ for large $|x - y|$.

Lemma 7. *If Ω is an open set in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for which the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian is discrete, then*

$$G_\Omega(x, y) \leq \frac{2^{1/2}}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t} - \frac{t\lambda(\Omega)}{4}}.$$

Proof. The following inequality is well known. By the semigroup property of the Dirichlet heat kernel and Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} p_\Omega(x, y; t) &= \int_\Omega dz p_\Omega(x, z; t/2) p_\Omega(z, y; t/2) \\ &\leq \left(\int_\Omega dz p_\Omega(x, z; t/2)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_\Omega dz p_\Omega(z, y; t/2)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(p_\Omega(x, x; t) p_\Omega(y, y; t) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Furthermore by the spectral representation of the Dirichlet heat kernel and monotonicity

$$\begin{aligned} p_\Omega(x, x; t) &\leq e^{-t\lambda(\Omega)/2} p_\Omega(x, x; t/2) \\ &\leq e^{-t\lambda(\Omega)/2} p_{\mathbb{R}^2}(x, x; t/2) \\ &= \frac{e^{-t\lambda(\Omega)/2}}{2\pi t}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We obtain, by (28) and (29),

$$\begin{aligned} p_\Omega(x, y; t) &\leq \left(p_\Omega(x, x; t) p_\Omega(y, y; t) \right)^{1/4} p_\Omega(x, y; t)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(p_\Omega(x, x; t) p_\Omega(y, y; t) \right)^{1/4} p_{\mathbb{R}^2}(x, y; t)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{1/2}}{4\pi t} e^{-\frac{t\lambda(\Omega)}{4} - \frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

To prove Proposition 2 we let $\Lambda > 0$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{y \in \Omega: |x-y| < \Lambda\}} dy G_\Omega(x, y) &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} d_\Omega(x)^{1/2} \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^2: |x-y| < \Lambda\}} dy |x-y|^{-1/2} \\ &= \frac{8}{3} d_\Omega(x)^{1/2} \Lambda^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

By Lemma 7 and Tonelli's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{y \in \Omega: |x-y| \geq \Lambda\}} dy e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}} &\leq \frac{2^{1/2}}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} e^{-\frac{t\lambda(\Omega)}{4}} \int_{\{y \in \Omega: |x-y| \geq \Lambda\}} dy e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{1/2}}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} e^{-\frac{t\lambda(\Omega)}{4}} \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^2: |x-y| \geq \Lambda\}} dy e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}} \\ &= 2^{3/2} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\frac{t\lambda(\Omega)}{4} - \frac{\Lambda^2}{8t}} \\ &\leq 2^{3/2} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\frac{t\lambda(\Omega)}{8}} \cdot \sup_{t>0} e^{-\frac{t\lambda(\Omega)}{8} - \frac{\Lambda^2}{8t}} \\ &= \frac{2^{9/2}}{\lambda(\Omega)} e^{-\lambda(\Omega)^{1/2} \Lambda/4}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

It is elementary to verify that $e^{-x} x^{3/2} \leq \left(\frac{3}{2e}\right)^{3/2}$, $x > 0$. This yields, with (31), that

$$\int_{\{y \in \Omega: |x-y| \geq \Lambda\}} dy e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}} \leq \left(\frac{3}{e}\right)^{3/2} \frac{64}{\lambda(\Omega)^{7/4} \Lambda^{3/2}}. \quad (32)$$

By (1), (30) and (32)

$$\begin{aligned} w_\Omega(x) &= \int_\Omega dy G_\Omega(x, y) \\ &\leq \frac{8}{3} d_\Omega(x)^{1/2} \Lambda^{3/2} + \left(\frac{3}{e}\right)^{3/2} \frac{64}{\lambda(\Omega)^{7/4} \Lambda^{3/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Minimising the right-hand side of (33) with respect to Λ gives

$$w_\Omega(x) \leq K \lambda(\Omega)^{-7/8} d_\Omega(x)^{1/4}, \quad (34)$$

where K is given by

$$K = \frac{3^{1/4}}{e^{3/4}} 2^{11/2}. \quad (35)$$

This proves (7). If a maximum x_{w_Ω} exists then by (2) $w_\Omega(x_{w_\Omega}) = \|w_\Omega\|_\infty \geq \lambda(\Omega)^{-1}$. This, together with (7), gives (8).

Following the lines of [7, Theorem 5.3], we obtain by (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} w_\Omega(x) &\geq \int_\Omega dy G_\Omega(x, y) \frac{u_\Omega(y)}{\|u_\Omega\|_\infty} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} \frac{u_\Omega(x)}{\|u_\Omega\|_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

By (34), (35) and (36) we obtain that

$$d_\Omega(x) \geq \frac{e^3}{3 \cdot 2^{22}} \left(\frac{u_\Omega(x)}{\|u_\Omega\|_\infty} \right)^4 \lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}. \quad (37)$$

Let (x_n) be a sequence of points in Ω such that $\lim_n u_\Omega(x_n) = \|u_\Omega\|_\infty$. Without loss of generality we may assume that for all n , $u_\Omega(x_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u_\Omega\|_\infty$. Inequality (37) implies that $d_\Omega(x_n) \geq c\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}$, where $c = \frac{1}{16} \frac{e^3}{3 \cdot 2^{22}}$. Hence all (x_n) are in the closed set $\{x \in \Omega : d_\Omega(x) \geq c\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\}$. To prove compactness it suffices to show that this set is bounded. Suppose to the contrary. Then $\{x \in \Omega : d_\Omega(x) \geq c\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\}$ contains a sequence (y_n) such that $|y_n - y_m| \geq \lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}$, $m \neq n$. Hence

$$\{x \in \Omega : d_\Omega(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}c\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\} \supset \bigcup_n B(y_n; \frac{1}{2}c\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}). \quad (38)$$

The balls in the right-hand side of (39) are disjoint, and their union has infinite measure. This contradicts (6). Hence $\{x \in \Omega : d_\Omega(x) \geq c\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\}$ is compact, and (x_n) contains a convergent subsequence also denoted by (x_n) and converging to say x^* . Since the restriction of u_Ω to $\{x \in \Omega : d_\Omega(x) \geq c\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\}$ is continuous, $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ implies $u_\Omega(x_n) \rightarrow u_\Omega(x^*)$. By hypothesis $\lim_n u_\Omega(x_n) = \|u_\Omega\|_\infty$. It follows that $u_\Omega(x^*) = \|u_\Omega\|_\infty$, and so $x^* = x_{u_\Omega}$. It follows that $u_\Omega(x_{u_\Omega}) = \|u_\Omega\|_\infty$, and (37) implies (9). $\square$

The estimate below improves the constant in (5.17) of [5].

Corollary 8. *If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is non-empty, open and simply connected with finite measure, then*

$$w_\Omega(x) \leq \frac{8}{3\pi^{3/4}} d_\Omega(x)^{1/2} |\Omega|^{3/4}. \quad (39)$$

Proof. Let

$$\Lambda(\Omega) = \left(\frac{|\Omega|}{\pi} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (40)$$

By Lemma 6 and rearrangement

$$\begin{aligned} w_\Omega(x) &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} d_\Omega(x)^{1/2} \int_\Omega dy |x - y|^{-1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} d_\Omega(x)^{1/2} \int_{B_{\Lambda(\Omega)}(x)} dy |x - y|^{-1/2} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} d_\Omega(x)^{1/2} \int_{(0, \Lambda(\Omega)]} dr 2\pi r^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (39) by (40). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open, simply connected set such that $\lambda(\Omega) > 0$, and $\|w_\Omega\|_\infty < +\infty$. Since $r \mapsto w_{\Omega \cap B_r}$ is an increasing sequence of functions and $w_{\Omega \cap B_r} \rightarrow w_\Omega$ pointwise almost everywhere, we have that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \|w_{\Omega \cap B_r}\|_\infty = \|w_\Omega\|_\infty.$$

Moreover, since $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, we have $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda(\Omega \cap B_r) = \lambda(\Omega)$. This implies that it is enough to prove Theorem 1 for bounded, simply connected sets. Indeed, if we do so, we choose from $\Omega \cap B_r$ the (possibly r -dependent) component which supports the first eigenvalue of $\Omega \cap B_r$ for which the inequality is true. By monotonicity of the torsion function with respect to inclusion, the inequality holds on the full set $\Omega \cap B_r$. Passing to the limit $r \rightarrow +\infty$ we get it on

Ω . From now on, we can assume without loss of generality that Ω is bounded. We refine (36) so that

$$w_\Omega(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} \frac{u_\Omega(x)}{\|u_\Omega\|_\infty} + \int_\Omega dy G_\Omega(x, y) \left(1 - \frac{u_\Omega(y)}{\|u_\Omega\|_\infty}\right). \quad (41)$$

At the point $q := x_{u_\Omega}$ we obtain, by (36) and (41),

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_\Omega\|_\infty &\geq w_\Omega(x_{u_\Omega}) = \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega dy G_\Omega(q, y) \left(1 - \frac{u_\Omega(y)}{\|u_\Omega\|_\infty}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega dy G_\Omega(q, y) \left(1 - \frac{u_\Omega(y)}{\|u_\Omega\|_\infty}\right)_+ \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega dy G_\Omega(q, y) \left(1 - \lambda(\Omega)w_\Omega(y)\right)_+, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\cdot)_+$ denotes the positive part. We obtain by Proposition 2(i) or (34) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_\Omega\|_\infty - \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} &\geq \int_{\{y \in \Omega : d_\Omega(y) \leq K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\}} dy G_\Omega(q, y) (1 - K\lambda(\Omega)^{1/8}d_\Omega(y)^{1/4}) \\ &\geq \int_{\{y \in \Omega : d_\Omega(y) \leq K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\} \cap B(q; d_\Omega(q))} dy G_{B(q; d_\Omega(q))}(q, y) (1 - K\lambda(\Omega)^{1/8}d_\Omega(y)^{1/4}). \end{aligned}$$

The Green's function for the disc satisfies

$$G_{B(q; d_\Omega(q))}(q, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{d_\Omega(q)}{d_\Omega(q) - d_\Omega(y)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d_\Omega(y)}{d_\Omega(q)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_\Omega\|_\infty - \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\{y \in \Omega : d_\Omega(y) \leq K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\} \cap B(q; d_\Omega(q))} dy \frac{d_\Omega(y)}{d_\Omega(q)} (1 - K\lambda(\Omega)^{1/8}d_\Omega(y)^{1/4}). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Let $\bar{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$ be such that $|\bar{q} - q| = d_\Omega(q)$, and let q^* be on the closed line segment $[\bar{q}, q]$ such that $|q^* - \bar{q}| = (a + b)K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}$, where $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $a + 2b < 1$. We claim that

$$\{y \in \Omega : d_\Omega(y) \leq K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\} \cap B(q; d_\Omega(q)) \supset B(q^*; bK^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}). \quad (43)$$

Let $x \in B(q^*; bK^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2})$ be arbitrary. By Proposition 2(iii), $d_\Omega(q) \geq K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2} \geq (a + 2b)K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}$. So $|q - q^*| = d_\Omega(q) - (a + b)K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}$. By the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |x - q| &\leq |q - q^*| + |q^* - x| \\ &\leq (d_\Omega(q) - (a + b)K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}) + bK^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2} < d_\Omega(q), \end{aligned}$$

and so $x \in B(q; d_\Omega(q))$. Furthermore

$$d_\Omega(x) \leq |x - \bar{q}| \leq |x - q^*| + |q^* - \bar{q}| \leq (a + 2b)K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2} < K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2},$$

and so $x \in \{y \in \Omega : d_\Omega(y) \leq K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}\}$. By (42) and (43)

$$\|w_\Omega\|_\infty - \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B(q^*; bK^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2})} dy \frac{d_\Omega(y)}{d_\Omega(q)} (1 - K\lambda(\Omega)^{1/8}d_\Omega(y)^{1/4}). \quad (44)$$

We have that

$$aK^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2} \leq d_\Omega(y) \leq (a+2b)K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}, \quad \forall y \in B(q^*; bK^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2}).$$

Hence

$$d_\Omega(y)(1 - K\lambda(\Omega)^{1/8}d_\Omega(y)^{1/4}) \geq a(1 - (a+2b)^{1/4})K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2},$$

and the integral in the right-hand side of (44) is bounded from below by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi d_\Omega(q)} |B(q^*; bK^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2})| a(1 - (a+2b)^{1/4})K^{-4}\lambda(\Omega)^{-1/2} \\ & \geq \frac{K^{-12}\lambda(\Omega)^{-3/2}}{2r(\Omega)} ab^2(1 - (a+2b)^{1/4}). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The right-hand side of (45) is maximised for

$$a = b = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{12}{13} \right)^4. \quad (46)$$

This gives by (44), (45) and (46)

$$\|w_\Omega\|_\infty - \frac{1}{\lambda(\Omega)} \geq \frac{K^{-12}\lambda(\Omega)^{-3/2}}{2r(\Omega)} \frac{1}{3^3 \cdot 13} \left(\frac{12}{13} \right)^{12}. \quad (47)$$

Since Ω contains a ball with radius $r(\Omega)$ we have by monotonicity of Dirichlet eigenvalues that

$$\lambda(\Omega) \leq \frac{j_0^2}{r(\Omega)^2}, \quad (48)$$

where j_0^2 is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of a ball with radius 1. Theorem 1 follows by (47), (48) and (35). $\square$

3 Proof of Theorem 3.

The key result to prove Theorem 3 is Proposition 4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a non-empty, open and simply connected set with finite measure. Assume we know that

$$\frac{\left(\int_\Omega w_\Omega \right)^2}{|\Omega| \int_\Omega w_\Omega^2} \leq 1 - \eta.$$

Taking w_Ω as a test function for the first eigenvalue we have

$$T(\Omega)\lambda(\Omega) \leq T(\Omega) \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla w_\Omega|^2}{\int_\Omega w_\Omega^2} = \frac{\left(\int_\Omega w_\Omega \right)^2}{\int_\Omega w_\Omega^2} \leq (1 - \eta)|\Omega|,$$

so that Theorem 3 holds true.

Before proving Proposition 4, we give a technical result.

Lemma 9. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a non-empty, open, simply connected set, let $x_0 \in \partial U$ and let $R_0 > 0$. Assume that $v \in H^1(U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0))$ satisfies the following*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = 1 & \text{in } U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0), \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial U, \\ v \leq 1 & \text{on } \partial B_{2R_0}(x_0). \end{cases}$$

Then $\forall x \in B_{R_0}(x_0) \cap U$ we have that

$$v(x) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{2R_0^2}\right) \frac{8}{3} \pi^{-\frac{3}{4}} (4\pi R_0^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} |x - x_0|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (49)$$

Proof. Note that $U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0)$ may not be connected. However its connected components are simply connected sets. It is enough to prove the inequality for such an arbitrary component, and for a point x_0 on its boundary. Collecting all inequalities on all components, (49) will hold on the full set $B_{R_0}(x_0) \cap U$ with a point $x_0 \in \partial U$. Indeed, if x_0 is not on the boundary of some component, the inequality still holds since the right hand side in (49) is larger. Let us introduce the radial function $\varphi : B_{2R_0}(x_0) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(x) = 0$ on $B_{R_0}(x_0)$, and

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\pi \frac{|x| - R_0}{R_0}\right), \quad x \in B_{2R_0}(x_0) \setminus \overline{B_{R_0}(x_0)}.$$

Note that $\varphi \in H^2(B_{2R_0}(x_0))$ and, by direct computation, that

$$\forall x \in B_{2R_0}(x_0), \quad |\Delta \varphi(x)| \leq \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{2R_0^2}.$$

Now, $v - \varphi \leq 0$ on $\partial(U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0))$ and

$$-\Delta(v - \varphi) \leq 1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{2R_0^2} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0)).$$

This implies that

$$\forall x \in U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0), \quad v(x) - \varphi(x) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{2R_0^2}\right) w_{U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0)}.$$

But $U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0)$ is a union of simply connected sets and the estimate of Corollary 8 (see also [5, Corollary 5.2]) holds

$$w_{U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0)}(x) \leq \frac{8}{3} \pi^{-\frac{3}{4}} |B_{2R_0}(x_0)|^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(d_{\partial(U \cap B_{2R_0}(x_0))}(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $x_0 \in \partial U$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ on $B_{R_0}(x_0)$, we get (49). $\square$

We now come back to the proof of Proposition 4, and follow the main lines of the proof in [13, Lemma 3.3] adapted to our situation and keeping track of the constants.

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that Ω is also bounded and rely on the approximation $w_{\Omega \cap B_R} \rightarrow w_\Omega$ strongly in H^1 . By rescaling, we can assume that $\int_\Omega w_\Omega dx = 1$. Our purpose is then to prove

$$\int_\Omega w_\Omega^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{1 - \eta}.$$

We rely on the following equality

$$\int_{\Omega} w_{\Omega}^2 dx = \left(\int_{\Omega} w_{\Omega} dx \right)^2 + \int_{\Omega} \left(w_{\Omega} - \int_{\Omega} w_{\Omega} dx \right)^2 dx = 1 + \int_{\Omega} \left(w_{\Omega} - 1 \right)^2 dx.$$

Our objective is now to prove

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(w_{\Omega} - 1 \right)^2 dx \geq \frac{\eta}{1-\eta} |\Omega|.$$

We cover Ω by balls as follows: $\forall x \in \Omega$ we consider $B_{R(x)}(x)$ the ball centred at x with radius $R(x) = d(x, \partial\Omega)$. The union of all these balls coincides with Ω . Using Vitali's covering theorem (1.5.1 in [15]), there exists an at most countable family of pairwise disjoint such balls $\{B_{R_i}(x_i)\}_{i \in I}$ such that

$$\Omega \subset \cup_{i \in I} B_{5R_i}(x_i).$$

Let us introduce $R_0 = \sqrt{8}$, chosen such that $w_{B_{R_0}}(0) = 2$. We consider the following cases.

Case 1. Assume that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}, R_i \geq R_0} |B_{R_i}(x_i)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|.$$

We claim that if this situation occurs then

$$\left| \left\{ w_{\Omega} \geq \frac{3}{2} \right\} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|. \quad (50)$$

Indeed, since $R_i^2 \geq R_0^2 = 8$, we have that

$$\left| \left\{ w_{B_{R_i}(x_i)} \geq \frac{3}{2} \right\} \right| = \pi(R_i^2 - 6) \geq \frac{\pi}{4} R_i^2 = \frac{1}{4} |B_{R_i}(x_i)|.$$

Since $w_{\Omega} \geq w_{B_{R_i}(x_i)}$, we get (50). Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(w_{\Omega} - 1 \right)^2 dx \geq \int_{\{w_{\Omega} \geq \frac{3}{2}\}} \frac{1}{4} dx \geq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|,$$

leading to

$$\eta_1 = \frac{1}{801}.$$

Case 2. Assume now that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}, R_i \leq R_0} |B_{R_i}(x_i)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|.$$

By construction, every such ball $B_{R_i}(x_i)$ touches the boundary of Ω . Choose one point $y_i \in \partial\Omega \cap \overline{B_{R_i}(x_i)}$. We consider the following two sub-cases.

Case 2-a. Assume that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \{ |B_{R_i}(x_i)| : R_i \leq R_0, w_{\Omega} \leq 2 \text{ on } B_{2R_0}(y_i) \} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|.$$

Consequently, we can use the estimate of Lemma 9

$$\forall x \in B_{R_0}(y_i) \cap \Omega, \quad w_\Omega(x) \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{2R_0^2}\right) \frac{8}{3} \pi^{-\frac{3}{4}} (4\pi R_0^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} |x - y_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let r_0 be such that

$$2 \left(1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{2R_0^2}\right) \frac{8}{3} \pi^{-\frac{3}{4}} (4\pi R_0^2)^{\frac{3}{4}} r_0^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then, for $x \in B_{R_i}(x_i) \cap B_{r_0}(y_i)$ we have $w_\Omega(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $R_i \leq R_0$, we get

$$|B_{R_i}(x_i) \cap B_{r_0}(y_i)| \geq \frac{r_0^2}{32} |B_{R_i}(x_i)|.$$

Finally,

$$\left| \left\{ w_\Omega \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right| \geq \frac{r_0^2}{32} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|,$$

leading to

$$\eta_2 := \frac{r_0^2}{12801} < \frac{r_0^2}{12800 + r_0^2}.$$

Case 2-b. Assume now that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \{|B_{R_i}(x_i)| : R_i \leq R_0, \max\{w_\Omega(x) : x \in B_{2R_0}(y_i)\} \geq 2\} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|.$$

If w_Ω is extended by 0 on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$, the function satisfies in $\mathbb{R}^2$, in the sense of distributions,

$$-\Delta w_\Omega \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

In particular, this implies that for every point $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$x \mapsto w_\Omega(x) + \frac{|x - a|^2}{4}$$

is subharmonic in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Assuming that $w_\Omega(a) \geq 2$, then for every $r > 0$

$$2 \leq \int_{B_r(a)} (w_\Omega(x) + \frac{|x - a|^2}{4}) dx \leq \int_{B_r(a)} w_\Omega(x) dx + \frac{r^2}{4}.$$

Choosing $r_0 = \sqrt{2}$, we get

$$\int_{B_{r_0}(a)} w_\Omega(x) dx \geq \frac{3}{2},$$

or

$$\int_{B_{r_0}(a)} (w_\Omega(x) - 1) dx \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\int_{B_{r_0}(a)} (w_\Omega(x) - 1)^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{4} |B_{r_0}(a)|.$$

Let us denote

$$A = \cup \{B_{R_i}(x_i) : i \in \mathcal{I}, R_i \leq R_0, \max\{w_\Omega(x) : x \in B_{2R_0}(y_i)\} \geq 2\}.$$

We cover the set A with the balls $B_{4R_0}(y_i)$ for the index i above. By Vitali's covering theorem, there exists a family of pairwise disjoint such balls indexed by $j \in J$ such that

$$A \subset \cup_{j \in J} B_{20R_0}(y_j).$$

Note that J is necessarily finite. For every such index j let us denote a maximum point of w_Ω in $B_{2R_0}(y_j)$ by x_j^* . We know that $w_\Omega(x_j^*) \geq 2$. Then

$$\int_{B_{2R_0}(x_j^*)} (w_\Omega - 1)^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{4} \pi r_0^2$$

so

$$\int_\Omega (w_\Omega - 1)^2 dx \geq \text{card}(J) \frac{1}{2} \pi.$$

We also have that

$$\text{card}(J) \pi (20R_0)^2 \geq |A| \geq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|,$$

hence

$$\int_\Omega (w_\Omega - 1)^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(20R_0)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2} |\Omega|.$$

We get

$$\eta_3 := \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(20R_0)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2}}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(20R_0)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{5^2}}.$$

Then $\eta = \min\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$ gives that

$$\eta = \frac{3^4}{12801 \cdot 2^{35} \left(1 + \frac{\pi + \pi^2}{2R_0^2}\right)^4}.$$

□

We finish this section with the proof of Corollary 5.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorems 1 and 3. By the definition of $T(\Omega)$, (10) and (15), we see that

$$\Phi_{1,\infty}(\Omega) = \frac{F(\Omega)}{\|w_\Omega\|_\infty \lambda(\Omega)}. \quad (51)$$

Applying the upper bound in Theorem 3 to the numerator and the lower bound in Theorem 1 to the denominator we obtain inequality (51). □

Data Availability. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Dr. Katie Gittins for helpful suggestions.

Funding. MvdB and DB were supported by The London Mathematical Society under a Scheme 4 Research in Pairs Grant Reference 42214.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Bañuelos, R.: Sharp estimates for Dirichlet eigenfunctions in simply connected domains. *J. Differential Equations* 125, 282–298 (1996)
- [2] Bañuelos, R., Carroll, T.: Brownian motion and the fundamental frequency of a drum. *Duke Math. J.* 75, 575–602 (1994)
- [3] Bañuelos, R., Carroll, T.: The maximal expected lifetime of Brownian motion. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy* 111A, 1–11 (2011)
- [4] van den Berg, M.: On the spectral counting function for the Dirichlet Laplacian. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 107, 352–361 (1992)
- [5] van den Berg, M., Bolthausen, E., Estimates for Dirichlet eigenfunctions. *J. of the London Mathematical Society* (2) 59, 607–619 (1999)
- [6] van den Berg, M., Carroll, T.: Hardy inequality and L^p estimates for the torsion function. *Bull. London Mathematical Society* 41, 980–986 (2009)
- [7] van den Berg, M.: Estimates for the torsion function and Sobolev constants. *Potential Analysis* 36, 607–616 (2012)
- [8] van den Berg, M.: Spectral bounds for the torsion function. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* 88, 387–400 (2017)
- [9] van den Berg, M., Ferone, V., Nitsch, C., Trombetti, C.: On Pólya’s inequality for torsional rigidity and first Dirichlet eigenvalue. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* 86, 579–600 (2016)
- [10] Bianchi, F., Brasco, L.: The fractional Makai-Hayman inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 201, 2471–2504 (2022)
- [11] Biswas, A., Lörinczi, J.: Universal constraints on the location of extrema of eigenfunctions of non-local Schrödinger operators. *J. Differential Equations* 267, 267–306 (2019)
- [12] Brasco, L., Magnanini, R., Salani, P.: The location of the hot spot in a grounded convex conductor. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 60, 633–659 (2011)
- [13] Briani, L., Bucur, Mean-to-max ratio of the torsion function and honeycomb structures. *Calc. of Var. and Partial Differential Equations*, 62, no. 7, Paper No. 198, 29 pp. (2023)
- [14] Briani, L., Buttazzo, G., Prinari, F.: Inequalities between torsional rigidity and principal eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian. *Calc. of Var. and Partial Differential Equations* 61, no. 2, Paper No. 78, 25 pp. (2022)
- [15] Evans, L. C., Gariepy, R. F.: Measure theory and fine properties of functions. *Textb. Math.* CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1992)
- [16] Henrot, A., Lucardesi, I., Philippin, G.: On two functionals involving the maximum of the torsion function. *ESAIM: Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 24, 1585–1604 (2018)

- [17] Payne, L. E.: Bounds for solutions of a class of quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems in terms of the torsion function. *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh* 88A, 251–265 (1981)
- [18] Pólya, G., Szegő, G.: *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*, *Ann. of Math. Stud.* 27, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1951).
- [19] Rachh, M., Steinerberger, S.: On the location of maxima of solutions of Schrödinger’s equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 71, 1109–1122 (2018)
- [20] Vogt, H.: L_∞ -estimates for the torsion function and L_∞ -growth of semi-groups satisfying Gaussian bounds. *Potential Anal.* 51, 37–47 (2019)