

Detecting the Féeton Fifth Force by Superconducting Josephson Junctions

Yu Cheng,^{1,2,*} Jie Sheng,^{1,2,†} and Tsutomu T. Yanagida^{3,1,2,‡}

¹*Tsung-Dao Lee Institute & School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China*

²*Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (MOE)*

*& Shanghai Key Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,
Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China*

³*Kavli IPMU (WPI), UTIAS, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, 277-8583, Japan*

The intriguing $U(1)_{B-L}$ extension of the standard model predicts a fifth force between particles carrying $B-L$ charges. The mediator is the $B-L$ gauge boson called Féeton. In this letter, we propose a novel experimental design to detect the quantum phase difference caused by this fifth force using a superconducting Josephson junction. We find that the experiment has the best sensitivity to the gauge coupling when the gauge boson is within the mass range of 10^{-2} eV to 100 eV.

Introduction— The $B-L$ extension of the standard model (SM) is the most attractive option beyond the SM. It predicts three right-handed neutrinos (RHNs) to cancel the gauge anomalies. In addition, the high symmetry breaking scale generates large Majorana masses for the RHNs, which is a key point for the natural explanation of the observed small neutrino masses through the seesaw mechanism [1–4], as well as for the creation of the baryon asymmetry in the present Universe via the leptogenesis [5, 6]. Although the $B-L$ breaking scale receives some limits [7], the mass of the gauge boson (called as "Féeton" [8–10]) is however unpredictable. The Féeton mass is determined by the product of the $B-L$ gauge coupling constant g_{B-L} and the breaking scale V_{B-L} . The gauge coupling remains an unknown free parameter.

However, there exist several constraints on the Féeton gauge coupling constant, as illustrated in Figure 3. For Féeton masses smaller than 10^{-2} eV, the gauge force exhibits long-range behavior and receives strong constraints due to gravitational wave detection [11–13] and torsion-balance experiments [14, 15]. Meanwhile, the mass larger than 100 eV is also severely restricted by star cooling [16], collider [17–20] and fix target experiments [21–24]. Notably, the constraints for the intermediate mass range are comparatively weaker. The purpose of this paper is to propose a novel experiment to measure the Féeton fifth force in this particular mass region.

The experimental setup proposed consists of a parallel array of Josephson junctions. Because of the distance dependence of the $B-L$ gauge potential, the two superconductors inside a junction feel different fifth force strengths. Consequently, the two quantum superconducting states will evolve to have a phase difference after a period of time. Such a phase difference can be converted into a detectable charge current due to the Josephson effects. We first give a general derivation of the phase difference induced by the fifth force. Then, the experimental design and the predicted current are presented. After considering all the backgrounds, we finally establish the projected constraint on the Féeton coupling strength.

Phase Difference Induced by $B-L$ Gauge Inter-

action – In this paper, we consider the simple extension of SM with a $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge symmetry. The detailed definition of the model is given in the Appendix.

The model leads to a new gauge force mediated by the $B-L$ gauge boson, Féeton. In the non-relativistic regime, such a vector interaction can be written in terms of the Yukawa type of potential function,

$$V_{B-L}(r) = g_{B-L}^2 \frac{Q_{B-L} e^{-m_{A'} r}}{r}. \quad (1)$$

The Q_{B-L} is the product of the corresponding $B-L$ charges of two interacting bodies.

According to the Schrodinger equation, $i\partial_t\psi = \hat{H}\psi$, the quantum phase $\phi(r, \tau)$ of any stationary state feeling the $B-L$ gauge force will evolve as $i\phi(r, \tau) = i\int_0^\tau V_{B-L}(r) dt$. Since the potential is distance-dependent, two states in different positions will acquire a phase difference over a period of evolution. This quantum phase effect can be detectable and utilized to probe this tiny Féeton fifth force. The settings are illustrated in Fig. 1.

One first prepares two groups of particles in coherent states, $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ ¹. Both states have a configuration with size a , $B-L$ charge Q_{B-L} , and are in different space positions with a small separation of ϵ . At the distance of d ², there is a plate (a thick film) made of neutral atoms, which has a thickness of b and its length size is large enough to be approximated as infinity. The above four lengths have the following hierarchy, $b > d \gg \epsilon \sim a$. While the $B-L$ charges of protons and electrons inside an atom cancel each other out, the neutrons contribute to a non-zero $B-L$ charge. The neutron number density

¹ They can be some coherent particles splitted into a superposition of positions, atoms in Bose-Einstein condensation, Cooper pairs in superconductor, and so on. Our work focuses on the case of Cooper pairs.

² The Cooper pair has the same phase ϕ_1 or ϕ_2 as shown in Eq.(6) inside the superconductor 1 or 2, respectively. Thus the distance d means the average distance of the superconductor 1 from the surface of the plate.




FIG. 1: Two configurations of coherent states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ with sizes a are in different positions with a separation of ϵ . A large plate with a thickness b made of neutral atoms is placed at a distance of d . It carries the neutron ($B-L$ charge) density ρ and serves as a potential source. The above four length parameters have the relationship as $a \sim \epsilon \ll d < b$.

of the plate is n . With the integration of contributions from the whole volume, the phase of $|1\rangle$ induced by $B-L$ gauge potential with a distance d is,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_f(d) &= \tau g_{B-L}^2 Q_{B-L} n \int_0^b \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-m_{A'} \sqrt{(d+z)^2 + r^2}} 2\pi r}{\sqrt{(d+z)^2 + r^2}} dr dz \\ &= \tau g_{B-L}^2 Q_{B-L} n \frac{2\pi e^{-m_{A'} d} (1 - e^{-m_{A'} b})}{m_{A'}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for a time period τ . Here, index f labels for the fifth force. For $|2\rangle$, the distance argument is $d + \epsilon$. Because of the position difference, the $B-L$ gauge interaction sourced from the plate induces a phase difference $\Delta\phi_f$ between states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ as,

$$\Delta\phi_f \equiv \phi_f(d) - \phi_f(d + \epsilon), \quad (3)$$

In the limit of tiny separation $\epsilon \ll d$ and long range force $d \ll 1/m_{A'}$, $e^{-m_{A'}(d+\epsilon)} \simeq e^{-m_{A'}d}(1 - m_{A'}\epsilon) \simeq (1 - m_{A'}\epsilon)$. To maximize the signal, the thickness should be large enough that, $e^{-m_{A'}b} \sim 0$. Thus, the Eq. (3) becomes,

$$\Delta\phi_f \simeq \frac{2\pi\tau g_{B-L}^2 Q_{B-L} n \epsilon}{m_{A'}}. \quad (4)$$

With the experimental materials determined, the phase difference can be enhanced by adjusting the running time τ and the fifth force range $1/m_{A'}$. A longer force range makes the quantum states feel more $B-L$ charges in plate, and a larger phase is accumulated over an extended period of time.

Josephson Junction Detector – We have seen that the $B-L$ gauge interaction induces a phase difference between two groups of coherent states in different space positions. The superconducting Josephson Junction (JJ)

[25, 26] can transfer such a phase difference to charge current which can be detectable if it is sufficiently large.

A JJ is made of two separated superconductors and an insulator with width ϵ in between. Once the temperature of the superconductor falls below its critical temperature, the electrons inside the conductor form Cooper pairs, becoming in a coherent state, and the resistance disappears. These Cooper pair states in the two superconductors are the coherent states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ as we want. They can be described by order parameters [27],

$$\Psi_1 = \sqrt{n_1} e^{i\phi_1}, \quad \Psi_2 = \sqrt{n_2} e^{i\phi_2}, \quad (5)$$

analogous to a quantum mechanical wave function. Here, n_i ($i = 1, 2$) is the number density over the volume of Cooper pairs and ϕ_i is the corresponding phase. For simplicity, we take the two superconductors the same and $n_1 = n_2$. From the semi-classical London theory, one can estimate the number density through the London penetration length λ and $n = m_e/(e^2\lambda^2)$ [28]. Usually, the penetration length varies from $50 \sim 500$ nm for different types of materials. We take $\lambda = 100$ nm so that the Cooper pair number density is $n_1 = n_2 = 2 \times 10^{-20}$ GeV³.

In the insulator region, there is a barrier potential V higher than the kinetic energy of electrons E . The wave functions can be parameterized as,

$$\Psi(x) = C_1 \cosh x/\xi + C_2 \sinh x/\xi, \quad (6)$$

with $\xi = \sqrt{1/4m_e(V-E)}$. Taking Eq. (5) as boundary conditions, one can solve,

$$C_1 = \frac{\sqrt{n_1} e^{i\phi_1} + \sqrt{n_2} e^{i\phi_2}}{2 \cosh(\epsilon/2\xi)}, \quad C_2 = \frac{\sqrt{n_1} e^{i\phi_1} - \sqrt{n_2} e^{i\phi_2}}{2 \sinh(\epsilon/2\xi)}. \quad (7)$$

Although classically forbidden, the quantum tunneling effect induces a current. The current density is generally $J = -(2e/m_e)\text{Re}(\Psi^* i \nabla \Psi)$, and proportional to the phase difference as [29],

$$J = \frac{e\sqrt{n_1 n_2}}{m_e \epsilon} \sin \Delta\phi, \quad \Delta\phi \equiv (\phi_1 - \phi_2). \quad (8)$$

First we locate the plate far away from the JJ. In the beginning, the JJ has initial phases and the Josephson current is induced. However, the current can be dissipated and the junction goes into its ground state $\Delta\phi = 0$. Then, we put the plate at a close distance d to the JJ. The phase difference $\Delta\phi$ is caused by the fifth force, which induces an extra electric JJ current³. Considering the size

³ We denote the Cooper pairs in the superconductors 1 and 2 by $\Psi(x_1)$ and $\Psi(x_2)$, respectively. The Wilson line operator, $\Psi(x_2) \exp(i \int_{x_1}^{x_2} A) \Psi(x_1)^*$, is gauge invariant. Thus, the phase of this operator is gauge invariant. This shows that the phase difference $\Delta\phi$ defined in the text is effectively gauge invariant in our experimental setup.




FIG. 2: The experimental design for detecting the $B - L$ gauge interaction. The Josephson junction (with two superconductors as blue cube and one insulator as yellow cube) is placed inside a conductor (gray shaded) with a symmetric shape to avoid the Casimir backgrounds. The $B - L$ gauge potential source at a distance of d is made of Graphene.

of superconductor a^2 , which usually taken as $a \simeq 10$ nm, the current induced by the fifth force I_f is,

$$I_f = I_c \sin \Delta\phi_f, \quad \text{where} \quad I_c = \frac{en_1 a^2}{m_e \epsilon}. \quad (9)$$

For illustration, we propose the plate material to be Graphene made of carbon atoms. From its mass density, $\rho_C = 2.27$ g/cm³, one can estimate its neutron number density $n = 6.8 \times 10^{23}$ cm⁻³. Inside a JJ, the insulator usually has a width $\epsilon = 1$ nm and the Cooper-pair quantum states have a $B - L$ charge $Q_{B-L} = -1 \times 2 = -2$ for two electrons. Assuming the running time is one day, $\tau = 86400$ s, plate thickness $b = 1$ cm, the induced phase difference Eq. (4), in the long-range-force limit, is,

$$\Delta\phi_f = 2 \times 10^{-2} \times \left(\frac{g_{B-L}}{10^{-16}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\tau}{1 \text{ Day}} \right) \left(\frac{10^{-2} \text{ eV}}{m_{A'}} \right), \quad (10)$$

which is hopeful of being detected [30]. For a tiny phase difference, $\sin \Delta\phi_f \simeq \Delta\phi_f$. The corresponding Josephson current induced from the fifth force is,

$$\begin{aligned} I_f &\simeq I_c \Delta\phi_f \simeq \frac{2\pi e \tau g_{B-L}^2 Q_{B-L} n n_1 a^2 b}{m_e} \\ &= 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ A} \times \left(\frac{g_{B-L}}{10^{-16}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\tau}{1 \text{ Day}} \right) \left(\frac{10^{-2} \text{ eV}}{m_{A'}} \right). \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Again, with the experimental settings fixed, the signal is proportional to the running time, force range, and gauge coupling. As indicated by Eq. (10), The sine periodic variation of the current can even be observed for around one-year running.

Experimental Background and Projected Constraint – For the $B - L$ gauge boson mass $m_{A'} \lesssim 10^{-2}$ eV, the gauge coupling g_{B-L} has already been strongly constrained from the fifth force detection experiments, like torsion balance [14, 15], and gravitational wave detection [11–13]. Meanwhile, the range

$m_{A'} \gtrsim 1$ eV is also constrained by stellar cooling effect [16]. Even in the mass range of 10^{-3} eV $\lesssim m_{A'} \lesssim 1$ eV, the limit has reached as $g_{B-L} \lesssim 10^{-15}$. All the current constraints is shown as the color shaded region in Fig. 3. Thus, the strength of F eton fifth force is tiny and the exclusion of experimental backgrounds becomes important. We shall discuss the backgrounds, including the Casimir effect, gravitational force, and the thermal noise, as follows.

I. Casimir Effects: The quantum fluctuation of field will induce some electromagnetic force between the surfaces of two macroscopic objects. This is the so-called Casimir force⁴. Both the surfaces of superconductor and $B - L$ potential source are plates, the corresponding Casimir potential is roughly $V \sim a^2/d^3$ [32], which is larger than $B - L$ potential. One way to avoid such background is covering the JJ symmetrically by a conductor with symmetric shape and grounding it, shown as Fig. 2. The penetration length of electromagnetic wave, which is the so-called skin depth of the conductor, is [33]

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho}{\omega\mu}}. \quad (12)$$

Here, ρ is the resistivity and μ the magnetoconductivity of the conductor. Taking copper as an example, $\mu \simeq 1$ and $\rho \simeq 1.7 \times 10^{-8}$ Ω·m. The frequency of the Casimir electromagnetic field ω is inversely proportional to the distance of two surfaces, $\omega \gtrsim 1/d \simeq 10^{15}$ Hz [34]. Thus, the penetration length is roughly $\delta \simeq 10^{-8}$ m. It means that as long as the thickness of conductor is larger than this value, the electromagnetic field outside the conductor is shielded [35] and never affects the JJ detector inside. Although the conductor itself also induces a Casimir force on the detector, the potential is uniform and symmetric for both superconductors and does not change their relative phase⁵.

II. Gravitational Potential: The plate made of Graphene not only produces the $B - L$ gauge potential, it is also a gravitational potential source. One carbon atom has a $B - L$ charge $Q_C = 6$ and a gravitational charge $m_C \simeq 12$ GeV. Interacting with an electron, the gravitational force is the same order of $B - L$ gauge force, $Gm_C m_e / r^2 \simeq g_{B-L}^2 \times 6 / r^2$ ($G = 6.8 \times 10^{-39}$ GeV⁻² is the Newton constant), when $g_{B-L} \simeq 10^{-20}$ for a simple estimation. Below that, the gravitational force dominates. For the same settings, the quantum phase induced by gravitational potential is,

$$\phi_g(d) = \tau \times \int_0^b \iint_S \frac{2Gm_e \rho_C}{\sqrt{(d+z)^2 + x^2 + y^2}} dS dz, \quad (13)$$

⁴ Notice that the Van der Waals force can be neglected for macroscopic objects [31].

⁵ Recall that the conductor also carries the $B - L$ charge. The symmetric setup can eliminate the effects from it, too.




FIG. 3: The shaded regions are current constraints of $B - L$ gauge interaction from Torsion-balance experiments (EP, ISL), stellar cooling bounds from the Sun and Horizontal branch stars (HB). The red ($d = 1 \text{ eV}^{-1}$) and orange ($d = 0.1 \text{ eV}^{-1}$) lines are the projected limits of our experimental proposal. The different line types, dashed and solid, are for different running times of one day and one month.

where we take the surface area of the plate $S = 1 \text{ cm}^2$. After running for a day, the phase difference induced by gravity is $\Delta\phi_g = \phi_g(d) - \phi_g(d + \epsilon) \simeq 10^{-9}$ for $d = 1/\text{eV}$ and $b = 1 \text{ cm}$, which is negligible comparing with the Eq. (10). Furthermore, the gravity force from the Earth can be neglected by tuning the height of two superconductors for equalizing the gravitational potentials in both sides.

III. Thermal Noise: The thermal noise produces an inevitable background current, $I_T \approx ekT/\hbar \approx 10^{-7}(T/1\text{K})\text{A}$ [36]. In the laboratory, researchers have achieved significantly low temperature $T < 0.1 \text{ mK}$ in controlled environments [37]. However, the main hurdle lies in replicating these conditions on a larger scale, both in terms of space and time. For a conservative estimation, we take the environment temperature $T = 1 \text{ mK}$ and the thermal current is $I_T = 10^{-10} \text{ A}$.

In summary, both the backgrounds from gravity and thermal noise are much smaller than the expected signal. Therefore, the only condition for detecting the signal is that the phase difference induced by the $B - L$ gauge force shall accumulate to surpass the typical threshold, $\Delta\phi_f > 10^{-3}$ [30]. Such a requirement gives a projected constraint for the $B - L$ gauge coupling as the colorful lines in Fig. 3.

Our proposal gives the strongest exclusion of the coupling $g_{B-L} \gtrsim 10^{-17}$ for Féeton mass $10^{-2} \text{ eV} < m_{A'} < 1 \text{ eV}$ by assuming no signals detected. The limit becomes weaker when $m_{A'} \gtrsim 1/d$ since the Yukawa potential is exponentially suppressed by distance. Thus, a larger source distance $d = 0.1 \text{ eV}^{-1}$ (orange lines) has a wider detectable range than the smaller case $d = 1 \text{ eV}^{-1}$ (red lines). On the other hand, in the long range force

limit $1/b < m_{A'} < 1/d$, the phase difference, or the constraint, is independent of d and is inversely proportional to $m_{A'}$ as indicated by Eq. (4). In addition, a longer running time naturally yields stronger experimental constraints. If τ increase by a factor of 30 from 1 day (solid) to 1 month (dashed), the limit stronger by a factor of $\sqrt{30}$ correspondingly.

Discussion and Conclusions – In this paper, we propose a novel experimental design that utilizes a superconducting Josephson junction to detect the fifth force mediated by $B - L$ gauge boson. The Josephson junction consists of two superconductors at different distances from the $B - L$ gauge potential source. As a result, a potential difference arises between the two Cooper-pairs-coherent states in the superconductors, leading to the generation of a phase difference over time. Due to the Josephson effects, this phase difference can be converted into an electric current and detected. By extending the running time, the signal can be significantly amplified, enabling more precise observation of the fifth force.

After eliminating the background caused by Casimir effects, the experiment has the strongest sensitivity to $g_{B-L} \subset (10^{-18}, 10^{-15})$, for the gauge boson mass $m_{A'} \subset (10^{-2} \text{ eV}, 100 \text{ eV})$. To achieve this result, a reasonable running time of $\tau \sim 1 \text{ Day}$ was considered. This result is not limited to the $B - L$ extension and can be generalized to other fifth force searches. We hope that this experimental proposal can become a reality in the near future.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Jiadu Lin, Zixuan Dai, Prof. Qingdong Jiang, and Prof. Shao-Feng Ge for useful discussions. And T.T.Y. thanks Kazuya Yonekura for a very helpful discussion on the gauge invariant description of the system. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12175134, 12375101, 12090060, 12090064, and 12247141), JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Grants No. 19H05810, the SJTU Double First Class start-up fund No. WF220442604, and World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan.

* Electronic address: chengyu@sjtu.edu.cn

† Electronic address: shengjie04@sjtu.edu.cn

‡ Electronic address: tsutomu.tyanagida@sjtu.edu.cn

[1] P. Minkowski, “ $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ at a Rate of One Out of 10^9 Muon Decays?,” *Phys. Lett.* **67B** (1977) 421–428.

[2] T. Yanagida, “Horizontal gauge symmetry and masses of neutrinos,” *Proceedings: Workshop on the Unified Theories and the Baryon Number in the Universe:*

- KEK, Japan, February 13-14, 1979, *Conf. Proc.* **C7902131** (1979) 95–99.
- [3] T. Yanagida, “Horizontal Symmetry and Mass of the Top Quark,” *Phys. Rev. D* **20** (1979) 2986.
- [4] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, “Complex Spinors and Unified Theories,” *Conf. Proc.* **C790927** (1979) 315–321, [[arXiv:1306.4669](#)] [hep-th].
- [5] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, “Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification,” *Phys. Lett. B* **174** (1986) 45–47.
- [6] W. Buchmuller, R. D. Peccei, and T. Yanagida, “Leptogenesis as the origin of matter,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **55** (2005) 311–355, [[arXiv:hep-ph/0502169](#)].
- [7] F. Wilczek, “Unification of Fundamental Forces,” *eConf* **C790823** (1979) 437.
- [8] G. Choi, T. T. Yanagida, and N. Yokozaki, “Feebly interacting $U(1)_{B-L}$ gauge boson warm dark matter and XENON1T anomaly,” *Phys. Lett. B* **810** (2020) 135836, [[arXiv:2007.04278](#)] [hep-ph].
- [9] N. Okada, S. Okada, D. Raut, and Q. Shafi, “Dark matter Z' and XENON1T excess from $U(1)_X$ extended standard model,” *Phys. Lett. B* **810** (2020) 135785, [[arXiv:2007.02898](#)] [hep-ph].
- [10] W. Lin, L. Visinelli, D. Xu, and T. T. Yanagida, “Neutrino astronomy as a probe of physics beyond the Standard Model: decay of sub-MeV $B-L$ gauge boson dark matter,” [[arXiv:2202.04496](#)] [hep-ph].
- [11] LIGO Scientific, KAGRA, Virgo Collaboration, R. Abbott *et al.*, “Constraints on dark photon dark matter using data from LIGO’s and Virgo’s third observing run,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105** no. 6, (2022) 063030, [[arXiv:2105.13085](#)] [astro-ph.CO].
- [12] A. L. Miller and L. Mendes, “First search for ultralight dark matter with a space-based gravitational-wave antenna: LISA Pathfinder,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107** no. 6, (2023) 063015, [[arXiv:2301.08736](#)] [gr-qc].
- [13] J. Frerick, J. Jaeckel, F. Kahlhoefer, and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, “Riding the dark matter wave: Novel limits on general dark photons from LISA Pathfinder,” *Phys. Lett. B* **848** (2024) 138328, [[arXiv:2310.06017](#)] [hep-ph].
- [14] T. A. Wagner, S. Schlamminger, J. H. Gundlach, and E. G. Adelberger, “Torsion-balance tests of the weak equivalence principle,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29** (2012) 184002, [[arXiv:1207.2442](#)] [gr-qc].
- [15] E. G. Adelberger, J. H. Gundlach, B. R. Heckel, S. Hoedl, and S. Schlamminger, “Torsion balance experiments: A low-energy frontier of particle physics,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **62** (2009) 102–134.
- [16] E. Hardy and R. Lasenby, “Stellar cooling bounds on new light particles: plasma mixing effects,” *JHEP* **02** (2017) 033, [[arXiv:1611.05852](#)] [hep-ph].
- [17] ATLAS Collaboration, M. Aaboud *et al.*, “Search for new high-mass phenomena in the dilepton final state using 36 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector,” *JHEP* **10** (2017) 182, [[arXiv:1707.02424](#)] [hep-ex].
- [18] CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan *et al.*, “Search for narrow resonances in dilepton mass spectra in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ and combination with 8 TeV data,” *Phys. Lett. B* **768** (2017) 57–80, [[arXiv:1609.05391](#)] [hep-ex].
- [19] LHCb Collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, “Search for Dark Photons Produced in 13 TeV pp Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** no. 6, (2018) 061801, [[arXiv:1710.02867](#)] [hep-ex].
- [20] **FASER** Collaboration, H. Abreu *et al.*, “Search for dark photons with the FASER detector at the LHC,” *Phys. Lett. B* **848** (2024) 138378, [[arXiv:2308.05587](#)] [hep-ex].
- [21] S. N. Gninenko, N. V. Krasnikov, M. M. Kirsanov, and D. V. Kirpichnikov, “Missing energy signature from invisible decays of dark photons at the CERN SPS,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94** no. 9, (2016) 095025, [[arXiv:1604.08432](#)] [hep-ph].
- [22] **LDMX** Collaboration, T. Åkesson *et al.*, “Light Dark Matter eXperiment (LDMX),” [[arXiv:1808.05219](#)] [hep-ex].
- [23] J. Chen *et al.*, “Prospective study of light dark matter search with a newly proposed DarkSHINE experiment,” *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **66** no. 1, (2023) 211062.
- [24] **NA64** Collaboration, Y. M. Andreev *et al.*, “Search for a New $B-L Z'$ Gauge Boson with the NA64 Experiment at CERN,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** no. 16, (2022) 161801, [[arXiv:2207.09979](#)] [hep-ex].
- [25] B. D. Josephson, “Possible new effects in superconductive tunnelling,” *Phys. Lett.* **1** (1962) 251–253.
- [26] B. D. Josephson, “The discovery of tunnelling supercurrents,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **46** (1974) 251–254.
- [27] L. D. Landau and V. L. Ginzburg, “On the Theory of Superconductivity,” *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **20** (1950) .
- [28] F. London and H. London, “The electromagnetics equations of the supraconductor,” *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **149** (1935) 71–88.
- [29] T. Orlando and K. Delin, *Foundations of Applied Superconductivity*. 1991.
- [30] C. F. Everitt, D. DeBra, B. Parkinson, J. Turneaure, J. Conklin, M. Heifetz, G. Keiser, A. Silbergleit, T. Holmes, J. Kolodziejczak, *et al.*, “Gravity probe b: final results of a space experiment to test general relativity,” *Physical Review Letters* **106** no. 22, (2011) 221101.
- [31] G. L. Klimchitskaya and V. M. Mostepanenko, “Casimir and van der Waals forces: Advances and problems,” [[arXiv:1507.02393](#)] [quant-ph].
- [32] M. D. Schwartz, *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model*. Cambridge University Press, 3, 2014.
- [33] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*. Wiley, 1998.
- [34] P. Bruno, “Long-range magnetic interaction due to the Casimir effect,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2002) 240401, [[arXiv:quant-ph/0202006](#)].
- [35] T. Westphal, H. Hepach, J. Pfaff, and M. Aspelmeyer, “Measurement of gravitational coupling between millimetre-sized masses,” *Nature* **591** no. 7849, (2021) 225–228, [[arXiv:2009.09546](#)] [gr-qc].
- [36] A. Perez, C. Rovelli, and M. Christodoulou, “Detecting Gravitationally Interacting Dark Matter with Quantum Interference,” [[arXiv:2309.08238](#)] [gr-qc].
- [37] C. Deppner *et al.*, “Collective-Mode Enhanced Matter-Wave Optics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** no. 10, (2021) 100401.

Model for the $B - L$ extension

In this paper, we consider a simple model that extends the SM with a $B - L$ gauge group. The related La-

grangian is,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2} \bar{N}_i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu N_i + \left(\lambda_{i\alpha} \bar{N}_i L_\alpha H - \frac{1}{2} M_{Ri} \bar{N}_i^c N_i + \text{h.c.} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{B-L} \bar{N}_i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 N_i A'_\mu + g_{B-L} q_{B-L} \bar{f} \gamma^\mu f A'_\mu. \quad (14)$$

Here, L_α , f , H and N are the SM left-handed lepton doublets, fermions, Higgs boson and RHNs. The index i stands for the generation of RHN and α for all the species of leptons. A new Higgs field Φ is introduced to break the gauged $B - L$ symmetry spontaneously and the vacuum expectation value of Φ is the breaking scale of $B - L$ gauge symmetry $\langle \Phi \rangle = V_{B-L}$. Its couplings to RHNs $N_i (i = 1 - 3)$ generate large Majorana masses for them through $\frac{1}{2} h_i \Phi N_i N_i$. Thus, the RHN mass is $M_{Ri} = h_i V_{B-L}$. The $B - L$ quantum numbers q_{B-L} in the present model for all particles are shown in Tab. I.

TABLE I: $B - L$ charge for different species

Species	q_α	u_R	d_R	L_α	e_R	N_i	Φ	H
q_{B-L}	1/3	1/3	1/3	-1	-1	-1	2	0

The q_α , u_R , d_R and e_R are the left-handed quark doublets, right-handed up- and down-type quarks, and the right-handed charged leptons, respectively. Regarding composite states, a proton or neutron is made up of three quarks with $B - L$ charge +1. Furthermore, the $B - L$ charge of an atom equals to the number of neutrons, since the charges of electrons always cancel out that of the protons.