

Universal regularity estimates for solutions to fully nonlinear elliptic equations with oblique boundary data

Junior da S. Bessa, João Vitor da Silva

&

Gleydson C. Ricarte

February 29, 2024

Abstract

In this work, we establish universal moduli of continuity for viscosity solutions to fully nonlinear elliptic equations with oblique boundary conditions, whose general model is given by

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Such regularity estimates are achieved by exploring the integrability properties of f based on different scenarios, making a VMO assumption on the coefficients of F , and by considering suitable smoothness properties on the boundary data β, γ and g . Particularly, we derive sharp estimates for borderline cases where $f \in L^n(\Omega)$ and $f \in p\text{-BMO}(\Omega)$. Additionally, for source terms in $L^p(\Omega)$, for $p \in (n, \infty)$, we obtain sharp gradient estimates. Finally, we also address Schauder-type estimates for convex/concave operators and suitable Hölder data.

Keywords: Regularity theory, smoothness estimates, fully non linear elliptic equations, oblique boundary data.

AMS Subject Classifications: 35B65, 35D40, 35J25, 35J60.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Assumptions and auxiliary results	6
3	Optimal Hölder estimates	13
4	Log-Lipschitz regularity estimates	19
5	Optimal gradient regularity	23
6	$C^{1, \text{Log-Lip}}$ regularity estimates	26
7	Schauder-type estimates	34

1 Introduction

In this work, we focus our attention on studying the sharp regularity for viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic models with oblique boundary conditions as follows

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} F(D^2u(x), x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded and open domain with regular boundary $\partial\Omega$, $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, with $p \geq p_0(n, \lambda, \Lambda) = n - \varepsilon_0(n, \lambda, \Lambda) \in (\frac{n}{2}, n)$, where ε_0 is the constant introduced by Escoriaza in [15] and $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ are the ellipticity constants of F . Additionally, we consider the case where $f \in p - \text{BMO}(\Omega)$ (to be defined soon). Moreover, the boundary data β, γ and g belong to suitable Hölder spaces, and $F : \text{Sym}(n) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a second-order, fully nonlinear, uniformly elliptic operator (to be clarified soon), where $\text{Sym}(n)$ denotes the set of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices. For more details on such assumptions and additional definitions, please refer to Section 2, see assumptions (A1) and (A1).

In the succeeding part, we will summarize our optimal regularity estimates in the following classification table (see Theorems 3.2, 4.2 and 5.2 for more details):

Source term	Boundary data	Optimal regularity for solutions
$f \in L^p(\Omega), p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, n]$	$\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$	$C^{0,2-\frac{n}{p}}(\overline{\Omega})$
$f \in L^n(\Omega)$	$\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$	$C^{0,\text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{\Omega})$
$f \in L^p(\Omega), n < p < \infty$	$\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$	$C^{1,\min\{\alpha_{\text{Hom}}, \frac{p-n}{p}\}}(\overline{\Omega}),$

Table 1: Hölder, Log-Lipschitz and gradient estimates

where the functional space $C^{0,\text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{\Omega})$ implies that u satisfies the following bounds

$$(1.2) \quad [u]_{0,\text{Log-Lip},\overline{\Omega}} := \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \overline{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y| |\ln(|x - y|)|} \leq \mathbf{C} < \infty.$$

In such a context, $\alpha_{\text{Hom}} \in (0, 1]$ denotes the optimal Hölder regularity exponent for the first derivatives of solutions to the homogeneous problem with frozen coefficients, and κ^- means that we can choose any value $\tau \in (0, \kappa)$.

Moreover, we must notice that the above definition (1.2) yields the inclusions

$$C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \supset C^{0,\text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{\Omega}) \supset C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Finally, by assuming convexity/concavity conditions on the operator, we observe the following classification scenarios (see, Theorems 6.2 and 7.2 for more details):

Source term	Boundary data	Optimal regularity for solutions
$f \in p - \text{BMO}(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega), p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, \infty]$	$\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$	$C^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{\Omega})$
$f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$	$\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$	$C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$

Table 2: Higher order estimates

where the property that $u \in C^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{\Omega})$ means that the following semi-norm is finite

$$(1.3) \quad [u]_{1,\text{Log-Lip},\overline{\Omega}} := \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \overline{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y) - Du(y) \cdot (x - y)|}{|x - y|^2 |\ln(|x - y|)|}.$$

Similarly to above argument, we have the following inclusions

$$C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \supset C^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{\Omega}) \supset C^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega}) \quad \text{for every } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

One of the interesting features of such an approach in obtaining the borderline estimates (1.3) is that it can be quite adjusted to obtain Hessian estimates for solutions of a particular class of nonlinear oblique problems, see final comments in Section 6 for more details.

We must highlight that while we follow the outline of [22] and [35], new challenges arise due to the presence of tangential derivatives on the boundary condition in our scheme of iterative approximation. Additionally, in some setting, we improve and optimize the moduli of continuity within our geometric approach in each integrability scenario.

Therefore, as far as we know trying to classify the moduli of continuity for solutions of general nonlinear problems like (1.1) under suitable assumptions on data is an open and emerging issue in the modern area of elliptic regularity theory.

We emphasize that the aforementioned assumptions (see, Table 1) could be relaxed further. Nevertheless, we have decided to state our estimates based on these conditions to uphold the manuscript's presentation cleaner. In particular, our findings are noteworthy even in the simplest model case driven by Bellman-type equations involving Neumann boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{\iota \in \mathcal{A}} \{ \text{Tr}(\mathfrak{A}^\iota(x) D^2 u) - f^\iota(x) \} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \vec{n}(x) \cdot Du(x) = \mathbf{c}_0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We must highlight that the interest in studying models like (1.1) is justified by their numerous applications in various fields beyond mathematics. For example, these models find application in the theory of Markov processes (such as in the case of Brownian motion - see [34]) the equation governing the oblique condition, i.e.,

$$(1.4) \quad \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

naturally appears in such scenarios. Here, the first term on the left side of (1.4) describes the reflection process along the β vector field, while the second one is related to the absorption phenomenon.

Another interesting scenario where a general condition like (1.4) occurs in a geometric context is in the long-time existence and convergence for the inverse surface mean curvature flow with a Neumann boundary condition driven by geometric evolution PDEs. For a modern compendium on mean curvature flow and related issues, refer to [31].

In addition to the above examples, models like (1.1) find applications in the theory of celestial bodies, shocks reflected in transonic flows, and stochastic control theory, among other contexts. This breadth of applications is described in more detail in Lieberman's fundamental work in [26].

By way of illustration, the simplest example of the regular oblique boundary condition is the Neumann condition, where $\beta = \vec{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\gamma = 0$, with $\vec{\mathbf{n}}$ representing the outward normal vector of $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, we can view the condition (1.4) as a sort of generalization of the Neumann boundary condition.

State-of-the-Art: from Dirichlet to oblique boundary condition

Before delving into our results, we will briefly outline some of the relevant literature on fully nonlinear models and their connections with interior/boundary regularity and general boundary conditions.

The study of optimal regularity in the scenario of fully nonlinear elliptic equations has been widely explored in recent years for a number of researchers in various contexts. For the start point of this mathematical journey, we must quote as our motivation the seminal work by Teixeira [35], which brings a spotlight on the universal moduli continuity for solutions of fully nonlinear elliptical PDEs of the form

$$F(D^2 u, x) = f(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

The optimal regularity achieved in such a manuscript is grounded in the integrability properties of the source term f based on different scenarios. In this context, interior regularity, such as

optimal $C_{loc}^{0,\alpha}$, $C_{loc}^{0,\text{Log-Lip}}$, $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}$ and $C_{loc}^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}$ are addressed. We may summarize such results in the following table of moduli of continuity:

$\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{L}^p(\mathbf{B}_1)$	Optimal regularity	Assumption on F
$n - \varepsilon_0 < p < n$	$C_{loc}^{0,s}(B_1)$	Uniformly elliptic
$p = n$	$C_{loc}^{0,\text{Log-Lip}}(B_1)$	Uniformly elliptic
$n < p < \infty$	$C_{loc}^{1,\zeta}(B_1)$	Uniformly elliptic
$\text{BMO} \supset L^\infty$	$C_{loc}^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}(B_1)$	Uniformly elliptic and convex/concave

Teixeira's results must be understood, to a certain extent, as an extension of Caffarelli's trailblazing work in [7] (see also [8] for an essay on these regularity issues). We also recommend that readers refer to Da Silva-Teixeira's work [13] for the parabolic counterpart of these results. Furthermore, we also highlight that similar borderline regularity results to the ones in [35] were established by Daskalopoulos *et al* in [14], specifically in the context of Lorentz and Morrey spaces.

A few years later, in [12], Da Silva and Nornberg developed a full regularity study along similar lines of [30] and [35]. Specifically, they considered a class of fully nonlinear elliptic operators admitting general Hamiltonian terms with unbounded ingredients in the following configuration:

$$\mathcal{G}(D^2u, Du, x) = F(D^2u, x) + b(x)|Du(x)| + \mu(x)|Du(x)|^m = f(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $b \in L^q(\Omega)$, $\mu \in L^q(\Omega)$ for $q, q \in (n, \infty]$, and $m \in (0, 2]$ with $m \neq 1$. One of the interesting aspect of this work lies in the dependence of the governing operator on a term of order one, specifically on the gradient term Du , which can enjoy a super-linear and sub-quadratic growth. Not only does this result in enhanced generality of the class of operators compared to [35], but they also address Schauder-type estimates for such operators.

Recently, Amaral and Dos Prazeres in [1], proved optimal regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic models under Dirichlet boundary conditions as follow

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, Du, x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u(x) = \varphi(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In this context, the governing operator also depends on first-order terms of the solutions. Hence, unlike [12], the oscillation of the operator in quest also depends on such first-order terms of the operator. They address a moduli of continuity's classification scheme as the one in [35]. Additionally, $C^{2,\alpha}$ type estimates were also obtained for this class of problems. We also refer to Lian-Zhang's work [23] for boundary point-wise $C^{1,\alpha}$ and $C^{2,\alpha}$ regularity for viscosity solutions of certain classes of fully nonlinear elliptic equations.

Turning back to the regularity results for general boundary data, we must mention that fully nonlinear elliptic problems with oblique boundary conditions have been extensively studied in the last few decades due to intrinsic connection with problems from nonlinear geometric PDEs in geometric analysis, stochastic control theory and homogenization processes just to mention a few (see [2], [10] and [28] for related works). A pivotal concern in this type of problem revolves around the existence and uniqueness of solutions (in the viscosity sense). In this context, we must quote the Lieberman-Trudinger's pioneering work [27] dating back 1986, where the authors study fully nonlinear second order uniformly elliptic equations with nonlinear oblique boundary conditions as follows

$$\begin{cases} F(x, u, Du, D^2u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{G}(x, u, Du) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

In such a context, under appropriate "natural conditions" on the nonlinearities, classical and Hölder estimates for second derivatives are obtained. Furthermore, an existence result was addressed. Such a work is a natural extension of several earlier works, such as Lieberman's work [24] and [25] on quasilinear equations and Trudinger's work [36] and [37] on fully nonlinear models with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Subsequently, in 1991, Ishii, in [18], established, under certain assumptions, that the problem

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathcal{B}(Du, u, x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has a unique viscosity solution, where the oblique condition in \mathcal{B} is expressed in the condition

$$D_{\vec{p}}\mathcal{B}(\vec{p}, r, x) \cdot \vec{\mathbf{n}} > 0 \quad \text{for } (\vec{p}, r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega.$$

In addition to this existence/uniqueness result, a Comparison Principle was also established for solutions to such class of problems (see [18, Theorems 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4] for specific results).

Afterward, in 1995, Safonov, in [32], established Schauder estimates for the following fully nonlinear Bellman problem with oblique boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{\iota \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \text{Tr}(A^\iota(x)D^2u) + \vec{b}^\iota(x) \cdot Du + c^\iota(x)u - f^\iota(x) \right\} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, *a priori* estimates were also addressed. It is noteworthy that Safonov's technique involved combining interior and boundary estimates to achieve the desired classical results.

Almost three decades after Caffarelli's pioneering work [7], in 2006, Milakis and Silvestre, in [29], developed up to the boundary $C^{0,\alpha}$, $C^{1,\alpha}$ and $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for solutions for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with constant coefficients under Neumann boundary conditions as follows

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{H}}(x) = g(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In this context, an extension of the A.B.P. estimate obtained for the fundamental class $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ (see Definition 2.4, or Chapter 3 of the Caffarelli-Cabr e's book [8]) with Neumann boundary condition was also obtained. In such a scenario, the authors' strategy is to treat the Neumann condition as an integral part of the PDE under consideration. With this insight, their results must be understood as the counterpart to the corresponding interior regularity estimates addressed by Caffarelli's seminal work [7].

More than one decade after the last developments on fully nonlinear elliptic models with Neumann boundary conditions, Li and Zhang, in 2018, in [22], addressed, along the same lines as Milakis and Silvestre, $C^{0,\alpha}$, $C^{1,\alpha}$ and $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates in a broader context of equations with constant coefficients and non-homogeneous source terms under oblique boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the A.B.P. estimate obtained by Milakis and Silvestre in [29, Proposition 3.1] was generalized for problems with a tangential oblique derivative.

Finally, in 2023, Bessa *et al.*, in the work [4], obtained, within the scope of the regularity theory for L^p -viscosity solutions, $W^{2,p}$ estimates for elliptic models of the form

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

on a weaker convexity assumption with respect to the governing operator (cf. [5] for similar results). In addition, p – BMO type estimates for the Hessian of solutions to such a class of problems when $\gamma \equiv 0$ are also carried out. Last but not least, a study on $W^{2,p}$ estimates for the corresponding obstacle problem with oblique boundary conditions was addressed (cf. [6] for related results).

Despite the extensive literature on fully nonlinear models with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, quantitative/qualitative properties of solutions for models with general boundary

conditions like (1.1) are far less investigated (cf. [4], [5] and [22] as examples of such considerations). This has been our main impetus for the research presented in the current manuscript.

According to our knowledge, up to date, there has been no investigation into the moduli of continuity for viscosity solutions to fully nonlinear elliptic equations with oblique boundary data like (1.1). Therefore, in this manuscript, we will focus on studying both the optimal lower and higher regularity estimates for such solutions. Furthermore, in some particular configurations of the nonlinearity, we can obtain an explicit and universal regularity exponent for the gradient of solutions.

In conclusion, we believe that our results can be useful to the study of a class of homogenization problems. More precisely, recently Choi and Kim in [10] considered the family of bounded solutions $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ for the following problem:

$$(P_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} F\left(D^2u_\varepsilon, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) = 0 & \text{in } \Pi, \\ \partial_\nu u_\varepsilon(x) = G\left(Du_\varepsilon, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text{on } H_{-1} \\ u_\varepsilon(x) = h(x) & \text{on } H_0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Pi & := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; -1 < (x - \tau) \cdot \nu < 0\} \\ H_{-1} & := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (x - \tau) \cdot \nu = -1\} \\ H_0 & := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (x - \tau) \cdot \nu = 0\} \end{cases}$$

for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, where F is a uniformly elliptic and second-order operator, and G and h are suitable given functions. Under certain conditions stated in [10, Theorem 1.1], it was proved that the family $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ converges uniformly to the unique solution \bar{u} of the following oblique boundary problem:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{F}(D^2\bar{u}) = 0 & \text{in } \Pi, \\ \partial_\nu \bar{u}(x) = g(\nu, D_T \bar{u}) & \text{on } H_{-1} \\ \bar{u}(x) = h(x) & \text{on } H_0. \end{cases}$$

where $D_T \bar{u}$ denotes the tangential derivative of \bar{u} along the direction ν^\perp , and $g = g(\vec{p}, \vec{q})$ is Lipschitz continuous in the variable \vec{q} , and if \bar{F} is rotation-invariant, then g is α -Hölder continuous over irrational directions ν for the exponent $\alpha = \frac{1}{5n}$.

Finally, since the associated oblique boundary problem in the limiting problem (1.5) enjoys C^{1,α_0} a priori estimates, we believe that, with certain adjustments, we can apply our strategy to obtain improved regularity for the limiting profile \bar{u} . We intend to revisit this topic in a forthcoming work.

2 Assumptions and auxiliary results

In this section, we introduce the structural conditions on which the results of this paper will rely, as well as useful notation and we collect some well-known facts. Throughout this manuscript we will be assuming the following structural conditions:

(A1) (Structural conditions) We assume that $F \in C^0(\text{Sym}(n), \Omega)$. Moreover, there are constants $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^-(M - N) \leq F(M, x) - F(N, x) \leq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^+(M - N)$$

for any $x \in \Omega$ and $M, N \in \text{Sym}(n)$. where

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^+(X) := \Lambda \sum_{e_i > 0} e_i + \lambda \sum_{e_i < 0} e_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\lambda,\Lambda}^-(X) := \Lambda \sum_{e_i < 0} e_i + \lambda \sum_{e_i > 0} e_i,$$

are the *Pucci's extremal operators* and $e_i = e_i(X)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) denote the eigenvalues of X . For normalization reasons, we shall always assume: $F(\mathcal{O}_{n \times n}, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$, which is not restrictive, because one can reduce the problem in order to check it.

(A2) (Regularity of the data) The data satisfy $f \in C^0(\Omega) \cap L^p(\Omega)$ for $\frac{n}{2} \leq p < \infty$, $g, \gamma \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$ with $\gamma \leq 0$ and $\beta \in C^0(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \leq 1$ and there exists a positive constant μ_0 such that $\beta \cdot \vec{\mathbf{n}} \geq \mu_0$, where $\vec{\mathbf{n}}$ is the outward normal vector of Ω .

Remark 2.1. From now on, we observe that an operator fulfilling **(A1)** will be referred to as a **(λ, Λ) -elliptic operator**.

Now, we recall the definition of viscosity solutions of (1.1).

Definition 2.2 (C^2 -viscosity solution). Let F be continuous in all variables, and we assume $f \in C^0(\Omega \cup \Gamma)$, where $\Gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ (is a relatively open set). A function $u \in C^0(\Omega \cup \Gamma)$ is said to be a C^2 -viscosity solution of (1.1) if the following conditions hold:

a) for all $\phi \in C^2(\Omega \cup \Gamma)$ touching u by above at $x_0 \in \Omega \cup \Gamma$, then

$$F(D^2\phi(x_0), x_0) \geq f(x_0)$$

when $x_0 \in \Omega$ and

$$\beta(x_0) \cdot D\phi(x_0) + \gamma(x_0)u(x_0) \geq g(x_0)$$

when $x_0 \in \Gamma$.

b) for all $\phi \in C^2(\Omega \cup \Gamma)$ touching u by below at $x_0 \in \Omega \cup \Gamma$, then

$$F(D^2\phi(x_0), x_0) \leq f(x_0)$$

when $x_0 \in \Omega$ and

$$\beta(x_0) \cdot D\phi(x_0) + \gamma(x_0)u(x_0) \leq g(x_0)$$

when $x_0 \in \Gamma$.

Throughout this work, we assume that $\vec{0} \in \partial\Omega$, and we denote

$$\Omega_R^+ = \Omega \cap B_R \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_R^0 = \partial\Omega \cap B_R$$

where $B_R = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the ball centred at $\vec{0}$ with radius $R > 0$. We also denote

$$B_1^+ := \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < 1 \text{ and } x_n > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_1 := \{x = (x', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}.$$

Similarly, we can define B_r^+ and T_r for a radius $r > 0$.

Now, we define the following function, which measures the oscillation of the coefficients of F around x_0 :

$$\Phi_F(x, x_0) := \sup_{M \in \text{Sym}(n) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|F(M, x) - F(M, x_0)|}{\|M\|}, \quad x \in B_1^+$$

Moreover, when $x_0 = \vec{0}$, we will use the notation $\Phi_F(x) = \Phi_F(x, 0)$ for simplicity.

Next, we present the following stability result (see for instance [9, Theorem 3.8] for a proof).

Lemma 2.3 (Stability Lemma). For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\Omega_k \subset \Omega_{k+1}$ be an increasing sequence of domains and $\Omega := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k$. Let F, F_k be (λ, Λ) -elliptic operators. Assume $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $f_k \in L^p(\Omega_k)$ and that $u_k \in C^0(\Omega_k)$ are C^2 -viscosity sub-solutions (resp. super-solutions) of

$$F_k(D^2u_k, x) = f_k(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_k.$$

Suppose that $u_k \rightarrow u_\infty$ locally uniformly in Ω and that for $B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega$ and $\varphi \in C^2(B_r(x_0))$ we have

$$\|(\hat{g} - \hat{g}_k)^+\|_{L^p(B_r(x_0))} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \|(\hat{g} - \hat{g}_k)^-\|_{L^p(B_r(x_0))} \rightarrow 0),$$

where $\hat{g}(x) := F(D^2\varphi, x) - f(x)$ and $\hat{g}_k(x) = F_k(D^2\varphi, x) - f_k(x)$. Then u is an C^2 -viscosity sub-solution (resp. super-solution) of

$$F(D^2u, x) = f(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

We will also need the following terminology from the fundamental class of solutions (for more details, see [8]).

Definition 2.4. We define the class $\overline{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ to be the set of all continuous functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^+(D^2u) \geq f(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (\text{resp. } \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^-(D^2u) \leq f(x))$$

in the viscosity sense. Thus, we define

$$\mathcal{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, f) := \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda, f) \cap \underline{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda, f) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}^*(\lambda, \Lambda, f) := \overline{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda, |f|) \cap \underline{\mathcal{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda, -|f|).$$

We also present a Maximum Principle that ensures universal boundedness (see [5] for more details).

Lemma 2.5 (A.B.P. Maximum Principle). Let $\Omega \subset B_1$ and $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ be satisfying

$$\begin{cases} u \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, \Lambda, f) & \text{in } \Omega \\ \beta \cdot Du + \gamma u = g & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Suppose there is $\varsigma \in \partial B_1$ such that $\beta \cdot \varsigma \geq \mu_0$ and $\gamma \leq 0$ on Γ . Then, there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0) \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma)} + C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, b, \mu_0)(\|g\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} + \|f\|_{L^{n-\varepsilon_0}(\Omega)}).$$

Next, we will remember the definition of some functionals spaces.

Definition 2.6. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. We say that a function $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ is α -Hölder continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$, if

$$[u]_{0, \alpha, \overline{\Omega}} = \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \overline{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} < \infty.$$

The set of functions α -Hölder continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$ is denoted by $C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. Furthermore, $C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ is a Banach space equipped with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} =: \|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\Omega})} + [u]_{0, \alpha, \overline{\Omega}}.$$

We can also define the concept of Hölder continuity in the L^p -sense.

Definition 2.7. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$. We say that $u : B_1^+ \cup T_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is α -Hölder continuous at the origin in L^p -sense if,

$$[u]_{C_p^{0, \alpha}(0)} := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{r^\alpha} \left(\int_{B_r^+} |u(x) - (u)_r|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where

$$(u)_r := \int_{B_r^+} u(x) dx$$

More generally, we can also define higher order Hölder spaces.

Definition 2.8. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and k an positive integer. We define the Hölder space $C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ of the functions $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ such that its partial derivatives $D^\kappa u$ belongs to $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for any multi-index of order $|\kappa| = k$. In this case, we can equip $C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ with the following norm:

$$\|u\|_{C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} =: \|u\|_{C^k(\overline{\Omega})} + [D^k u]_{\alpha,\overline{\Omega}},$$

where

$$[D^k u]_{\alpha,\overline{\Omega}} =: \sum_{|\kappa|=k} [D^\kappa u]_{0,\alpha,\overline{\Omega}}$$

which makes it a Banach space.

Remark 2.9. In particular, we can define in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B_r^+})$, the “adimensional norm”

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B_r^+})}^* = \|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_r^+})} + r\|Du\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_r^+})} + r^2\|D^2u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_r^+})} + r^{2+\alpha} \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \overline{B_r^+} \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|D^2u(x) - D^2u(y)\|}{|x - y|^\alpha},$$

which will play an essential role in proving the Schauder-type estimates in Section 7.

Now, we define also the Morrey spaces (see [14]), which we will revisit them in the next sections.

Definition 2.10 (Morrey spaces). Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open set, and let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq n$. By $L^{p,\theta}(E)$, we denote the *Morrey space* of functions $h \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(E)$ such that

$$\|h\|_{L^{p,\theta}(E)} = \sup_{\substack{x_0 \in E \\ 0 < r \leq \text{diam}(E)}} \left(r^{\theta-n} \int_{E(x_0,r)} |h(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where $E(x_0, r) = E \cap B(x_0, r)$.

It is not difficult to verify that $L^{p,\theta}(E) \subset L^p(E)$. Furthermore, we have the following inequality

$$\|h\|_{L^p(E)} \leq (\text{diam}(E))^{\frac{n-\theta}{p}} \|h\|_{L^{p,\theta}(E)}, \quad \forall h \in L^{p,\theta}(E).$$

We also need the definition of **Bounded Mean Oscillation** functions, which will be useful in Section 6. Specifically,

Definition 2.11. We recall that a function $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ is said to be **p -bounded mean oscillation** in Ω for $p \in [1, \infty)$, i.e., $f \in p\text{-BMO}(\Omega)$ if

$$\|f\|_{p\text{-BMO}(\Omega)} := \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega, \rho > 0} \left(\int_{B_\rho(x_0) \cap \Omega} |f(x) - (f)_{x_0,\rho}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where, for each $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $\rho > 0$, we have that

$$(f)_{x_0,\rho} := \int_{B_\rho(x_0) \cap \Omega} f(x) dx$$

and for simplicity, we use the abbreviated notation $(f)_\rho$ when $x_0 = 0$.

From the p -BMO spaces, we can define a subclass of functions that we will need later.

Definition 2.12. We recall that a function $f \in \text{BMO}$ is said to be **vanishing mean oscillation** in Ω , i.e., $f \in \text{VMO}(\Omega)$ if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{|B| \leq r} \left(\int_{B \cap \Omega} |f(x) - (f)_B| dx \right) = 0,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of the measure at most r and for each ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and

$$(f)_B := \int_{B \cap \Omega} f(x) dx.$$

Approximation devices for viscosity solutions

In this section, we will present a key ingredient in accessing the sharp regularity estimates available for our model PDEs. For this purpose, we need to prove some Approximation Lemmas for viscosity solutions.

Lemma 2.13 (Approximation Lemma I). *Let u be a viscosity solution of (1.1) with $u = \varphi$ on $\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1$ for $\varphi \in C^0(\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1)$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1)} \leq C_1$ for a constant $C_1 > 0$. Suppose $f \in L^p(B_1^+)$ and $g \in C^{0,\alpha'}(T_1)$, for some fixed $\alpha' \in (0, 1]$ with $\|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha'}(T_1)} \leq C_2$ for $C_2 > 0$ and $p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, \infty)$. Given $\delta > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ depending only on $\delta, n, \lambda, \Lambda, C_1, C_2$ and p such that if*

$$\max \left[\left(\int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_F(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} \right] \leq \eta$$

then \mathfrak{h} , the viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}, 0) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{\eta}{8}}^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot D\mathfrak{h}(x) + \gamma(x)\mathfrak{h}(x) = g(x) & \text{on } T_{\frac{\eta}{8}} \\ \mathfrak{h}(x) = u(x) & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{\eta}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{\eta}{8}} \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{\eta}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| \leq \delta.$$

Proof. The proof will be carried out by a *Reductio ad Absurdum* argument. Specifically, suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the thesis of the Lemma does not hold. Thus, we could find sequences of functions $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (\mathfrak{h}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that u_k and \mathfrak{h}_k are viscosity solutions of

$$\begin{cases} F_k(D^2u_k, x) = f_k(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du_k(x) + \gamma(x)u_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } T_1 \\ u_k(x) = \varphi_k(x) & \text{on } \partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} F_k(D^2\mathfrak{h}_k, 0) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{\eta}{8}}^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot D\mathfrak{h}_k(x) + \gamma(x)\mathfrak{h}_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } T_{\frac{\eta}{8}} \\ \mathfrak{h}_k(x) = u_k(x) & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{\eta}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{\eta}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

where $\|\varphi_k\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1)} \leq C_1, \|g_k\|_{C^{0,\alpha'}(T_1)} \leq C_2$ and

$$\int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_{F_k}(x)|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{k^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_1^+} |f_k(x)|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{k^p},$$

however,

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{B_{\frac{\eta}{8}}^+} |u_k - \mathfrak{h}_k| > \delta_0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, by the A.B.P. Maximum Principle (Lemma 2.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} &\leq \|\varphi_k\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1)} + C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0) \cdot (\|f_k\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g_k\|_{L^\infty(T_1)}) \\ &\leq C_1 + C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, p, \varepsilon_0) \cdot (\|f_k\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g_k\|_{C^{0,\alpha'}(T_1)}) \\ (2.2) \quad &\leq C(n, \varepsilon_0, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, C_1, C_2), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Hölder estimates from [22, Theorem 1.1], there exists $\hat{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$ depending only on n, λ, Λ and μ_0 such that $u \in C^{0, \hat{\alpha}}\left(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+}\right)$, and the following estimate holds

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_{C^{0, \hat{\alpha}}\left(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+}\right)} &\leq C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_1)}) (\|u_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f_k\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_1)}) \\ &\leq C(\|u_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f_k\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, p, \varepsilon_0, \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_1)})$. Thus, from (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{0, \hat{\alpha}}\left(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+}\right)} \leq C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, p, \varepsilon_0, \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_1)}, C_1, C_2), \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus the sequence $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{0, \hat{\alpha}}\left(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+}\right)$. Thus, such a sequence is equicontinuous and equibounded. Similarly, we also reach the same conclusion for the sequence $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and since the sequence of operators $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is (λ, Λ) -elliptic, it follows that the sequence $(F_k(\cdot, 0))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous and equibounded on compact sets of $\text{Sym}(n)$. Thus, from the Ascoli-Arzelà compactness criterium, we obtain subsequences of functions $(u_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and operators $(F_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$u_{k_j} \rightarrow u_\infty \text{ in } L^\infty\left(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+}\right) \text{ and } g_{k_j} \rightarrow g_\infty \text{ in } L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})$$

uniformly, and $F_{k_j}(\cdot, x) \rightarrow F_\infty(\cdot, 0)$ uniformly on compact sets of $\text{Sym}(n)$, where F_∞ is a (λ, Λ) -elliptic operator. Furthermore, for every $\varphi \in C^2(B_r(x_0))$ to $B_r(x_0) \subset B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(x), x) - f_{k_j}(x) - F_\infty(D^2\varphi(x), 0)| &\leq |F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(x), x) - F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(x), 0)| + \\ &+ |f_{k_j}(x)| + \\ &+ |F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(x), 0) - F_\infty(D^2\varphi(x), 0)| \\ &\leq |D^2\varphi(x)| |\Phi_{F_{k_j}}(x)| + |f_{k_j}(x)| + \\ &+ |F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(x), 0) - F_\infty(D^2\varphi(x), 0)|, \end{aligned}$$

where, by the assumptions on Φ_{k_j} and f_{k_j} , it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(\cdot), \cdot) - f_{k_j}(\cdot) - F_\infty(D^2\varphi(\cdot), 0)\|_{L^p(B_r(x_0))} = 0.$$

Therefore, by the Stability Lemma 2.3 we may conclude that u_∞ is a viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} F_\infty(D^2u_\infty, 0) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+ \\ \beta \cdot Du_\infty + \gamma u_\infty = g_\infty & \text{on } \mathbb{T}_{\frac{r}{8}}. \end{cases}$$

Finally, by defining $w_{k_j} := u_\infty - \mathfrak{h}_{k_j}$, we obtain in the viscosity sense that

$$\begin{cases} w_{k_j} \in S\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}, \Lambda, 0\right) & \text{in } B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+ \\ \beta \cdot Dw_{k_j} + \gamma w_{k_j} = g_\infty - g_{k_j} & \text{on } \mathbb{T}_{\frac{r}{8}} \\ w_{k_j} = u_\infty - u_{k_j} & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+ \setminus \mathbb{T}_{\frac{r}{8}}. \end{cases}$$

Once again, by the A.B.P. Maximum Principle (Lemma 2.5), we obtain that

$$\|w_{k_j}\|_{L^\infty\left(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+}\right)} \leq \|u_\infty - u_{k_j}\|_{L^\infty\left(\partial B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+ \setminus \mathbb{T}_{\frac{r}{8}}\right)} + \|g_\infty - g_{k_j}\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{T}_{\frac{r}{8}}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{h}_{k_j} \rightarrow u_\infty$ in $\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+}$ uniformly, which yields a contradiction to the condition (2.1) for j large enough, thereby completing the proof. \square

Next, as in the previous result, we will need a version of the approximation Lemma similar to 2.13. However, in this case, due to the presence of the source term belonging to p -BMO, we must assume that the semi-norm p -BMO is small. In summary, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.14 (Approximation Lemma II - Frozen coefficients case). *Let u be a normalized viscosity solution of*

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u) = f(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

where $u = \varphi$ on $\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1$ and $\varphi \in C^0(\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1)$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1)} \leq C_1$ for some positive constant C_1 and $g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ such that $\|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \leq C_2$ where $C_2 > 0$ and $f \in p$ -BMO(B_1^+) for $p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, \infty)$ (ε_0 is the Escoriaza's constant). Thus, given $\delta > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, p, \delta$ such that if

$$\|f\|_{p\text{-BMO}(B_1^+)} \leq \eta,$$

then, \mathfrak{h} the viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}) = (f)_1 & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot D\mathfrak{h}(x) + \gamma(x)\mathfrak{h}(x) = g(x) & \text{on } T_{\frac{1}{8}} \\ \mathfrak{h}(x) = u(x) & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{1}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| \leq \delta.$$

Proof. The proof is closely similar to the one in Lemma (2.13), but we will present the changes with respect to Lemma 2.13 for the reader's convenience. For this, let us assume, for the sake of contradiction, that the thesis of the lemma is false. Then, there exists a positive constant δ_0 and sequences of functions $(F_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (\mathfrak{h}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that u_k and v_k satisfy in the viscosity sense

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u_k) = f_k(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du_k(x) + \gamma(x)u_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } T_1 \\ u_k(x) = \varphi_k(x) & \text{in } \partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}_k) = (f_k)_1 & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot D\mathfrak{h}_k(x) + \gamma(x)\mathfrak{h}_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } T_{\frac{1}{8}} \\ \mathfrak{h}_k(x) = u_k(x) & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{1}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

where $\|\varphi_k\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_1^+ \setminus T_1)} \leq C_1$, $\|g_k\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \leq C_2$ and $\|f_k\|_{p\text{-BMO}(B_1^+)} \leq \frac{1}{k}$, however

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_{B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+} |u_k - v_k| > \delta_0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since we have control over the p -BMO semi-norm, we also control the L^p -norm of f (cf. [33] and [21]), such that

$$\|f_k\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} \leq C_p \|f_k\|_{p\text{-BMO}(B_1^+)} \leq \frac{1}{k} C_p,$$

for some positive constant C_p that depends only on p (cf. Stein's Book [33, Chapter IV] and the fact that p -BMO semi-norms are equivalent, see [21]). Furthermore, by the A.B.P. estimate (Lemma 2.5) and [22, Theorem 1.1], we conclude that

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{0,\alpha'}(\overline{B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+})} \leq C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, p, \varepsilon_0, \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_1)}, C_1, C_2), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this case, we find that $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in $C^{0,\alpha'}(\overline{B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+})$. With this bound, it follows that such a sequence is equicontinuous and point-wise bounded. Similarly, as in the proof of Lemma 2.13, up to a subsequence, F_{k_j} converges to a (λ, Λ) -elliptic operator F_∞ , $g_{k_j} \rightarrow g_\infty$ in $L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})$ and $u_{k_j} \rightarrow u_\infty$ in $L^\infty(\overline{B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+})$ when $j \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, since $\|f_{k_j}\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} \leq \frac{1}{k_j}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that the sequence (f_{k_j}) is Cauchy in $L^p(B_1^+)$. Therefore, there is $f_\infty \in L^p(B_1^+)$ such that $f_{k_j} \rightarrow f_\infty = 0$ in $L^p(B_1^+)$. Hence, for every $\varphi \in C^2(B_r(x_0))$ with $B_r(x_0) \subset B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(x)) - f_{k_j}(x) - F_\infty(D^2\varphi(x)) - (f_\infty)_1| &\leq |F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(x)) - F_\infty(D^2\varphi(x))| + \\ &+ |f_{k_j}(x) - (f_{k_j})_1| + \\ &+ |(f_{k_j})_1 - (f_\infty)_1|, \end{aligned}$$

where, by the above assumptions on f_{k_j} and the convergence $F_{k_j} \rightarrow F_\infty$ on compact sets of $\text{Sym}(n)$, it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|F_{k_j}(D^2\varphi(\cdot)) - f_{k_j}(\cdot) - F_\infty(D^2\varphi(\cdot)) - (f_\infty)_1\|_{L^p(B_r(x_0))} = 0.$$

Therefore, by Stability Lemma 2.3, we can conclude that u_∞ is a viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} F_\infty(D^2u_\infty) = (f_\infty)_1 & \text{in } B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+ \\ \beta \cdot Du_\infty + \gamma u_\infty = g_\infty & \text{on } \mathbb{T}_{\frac{7}{8}}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by defining $w_{k_j} := u_\infty - \mathfrak{h}_{k_j}$ we have, analogously to Lemma 2.13, that $w_{k_j} \rightarrow 0$ in $\overline{B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and so $\mathfrak{h}_{k_j} \rightarrow u_\infty$, which is a contradiction. \square

3 Optimal Hölder estimates

This section is devoted to proving Hölder regularity estimates for solutions of (1.1) under suitable assumptions on the problem's data. For such a purpose, the next result constitutes the first step in a sophisticated geometric approximation process, which will yield in the desired Hölder estimate.

Proposition 3.1. *Let u be a viscosity solution of (1.1), where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Given $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha)$, there exist constants $\eta > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ depending only on $n, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$ and $\|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$ such that if*

$$\max \left\{ \left(\int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_F(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n}, \left(\int_{B_1^+} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right\} \leq \eta^n,$$

for $p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, n)$, then there exists a constant $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, universality bounded in following sense

$$|\mu| \leq C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}, \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}),$$

such that

$$\sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - \mu| \leq \rho^{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Fix $\delta > 0$ to be chosen *a posteriori*. We apply the Lemma 2.13 to find a function \mathfrak{h} such that

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}, 0) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+ \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma\mathfrak{h} = g & \text{on } T_{\frac{r}{8}} \\ \mathfrak{h} = u & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{r}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| \leq \delta$$

Now, by the $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity theory for equations with frozen coefficients and oblique boundary conditions (see [22, Theorem 1.2] for details), it follows that $\mathfrak{h} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{3}}^+})$ and

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{3}}^+})} \leq C \left(\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_{\frac{r}{8}}})} \right),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$, and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$. Thus, the last estimate, together with the fact that u is normalized, ensures that

$$(3.2) \quad \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{3}}^+})} \leq \tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}).$$

In particular, it follows by the Value Mean Inequality for all $x \in B^+r$ and $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the following

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{x \in B_r^+} |\mathfrak{h}(x) - \mathfrak{h}(0)| \leq \tilde{C}r.$$

Now, for a given $\tilde{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$, we make the following choices

$$(3.4) \quad \rho := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{C}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\tilde{\alpha}}} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \frac{1}{2}\rho^{\tilde{\alpha}}.$$

Such choices determine the constant η , liked through the Approximation Lemma 2.13. Finally, choosing $\mu = \mathfrak{h}(0)$, it follows by (3.2) that $|\mu| \leq \tilde{C}$.

Furthermore, by (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - \mu| &\leq \sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| + \sup_{B_\rho^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mu| \\ &\stackrel{\rho < \frac{r}{8}}{\leq} \sup_{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| + \sup_{B_\rho^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mu| \\ &\leq \delta + C\rho \\ &\leq \rho^{\tilde{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of the Lemma. \square

In the next results, $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$ denotes the Escauriaza's constant (see [15]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let u be a viscosity solution of (1.1), where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in L^p(B_1^+) \cap C^0(B_1^+)$ to $p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, n)$. There exists a constant $\eta_0 > 0$, depending only on $n, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$, such that if*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{B_r^+} |\Phi_F(x, y)|^n dx \leq \eta_0^n, \quad \forall y \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+, \forall r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

then $u \in C^{0, \frac{2p-n}{p}}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$. Moreover, the following estimate holds

$$\|u\|_{C^{0, \frac{2p-n}{p}}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})})$$

where $C = C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, p, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})})$ is a positive constant.

Proof. Initially, we can assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} \leq 1, \quad \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} \leq \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \leq 1,$$

where $\eta > 0$ is the constant from Approximation Lemma 2.13 when we set $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2p-n}{p}$. In fact, if such conditions do not occur, we define the constant

$$\kappa := \frac{\eta}{\eta\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \eta\|g\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})}}$$

and we see that $\tilde{u}(x) = \kappa u(x)$ is a viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{F}(D^2\tilde{u}, x) = \tilde{f}(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \tilde{\beta} \cdot D\tilde{u} + \tilde{\gamma}\tilde{u} = \tilde{g}(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{F}(X, x) & := \kappa F\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}X, x\right) \\ \tilde{f}(x) & := \kappa f(x) \\ \tilde{\beta}(x) & := \beta(x) \\ \tilde{\gamma}(x) & := \gamma(x) \\ \tilde{g}(x) & := \kappa g(x), \end{cases}$$

Thus, it is easy to check that

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} \leq 1, \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} \leq \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{g}\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \leq 1$$

and thus, assuming that the theorem is valid under these conditions, it follows that $\tilde{u} \in C^{0, \frac{2p-n}{p}}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$, with the following estimates

$$(3.6) \quad \|\tilde{u}\|_{C^{0, \frac{2p-n}{p}}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})} \leq C\left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, p, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})}\right),$$

which clearly yields that $u \in C^{0, \frac{2p-n}{p}}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$, and re-scaling the scalar factor in the estimate (3.6) implies the desired estimate in the theorem's thesis.

Thus we can, in fact, make the assumption stated above at the beginning of the proof. In this case, choose $\eta_0 = \eta$. To prove the desired result, for a fixed $y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we assert that there exists a sequence of real numbers $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_{z \in B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u(z) - \mu_k| \leq \rho^k \frac{2p-n}{p},$$

where $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is the radius of the semi-ball obtained in Proposition 3.1. Moreover, such sequence satisfies the following approximation rate

$$(3.8) \quad |\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k| \leq C\rho^k \frac{2p-n}{p} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is worth a quick digression to note that because $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, it follows that $T_{\rho^k}(y) \subset T_1$ and $B_{\rho^k}^+(y) \subset B_1^+ \cup T_1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. With such an observation in hand, we prove

the statement by induction in $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, when $k = 1$, we already have the existence of the constant μ_1 guaranteed by Proposition 3.1 together with the estimate (3.7). Now, assuming, by the induction hypothesis, that the statement holds for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let us define the auxiliary function

$$v_k(x) := \frac{u(y + \rho^k x) - \mu_k}{\rho^{k \frac{2p-n}{p}}}, \quad x \in B_1^+ \cup T_1.$$

Thus, we see that v_k is a viscosity solution to

$$\begin{cases} F_k(D^2 v_k, x) = f_k(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta_k(x) \cdot Dv_k(x) + \gamma_k(x)v_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} F_k(X, x) := \rho^{k \frac{n}{p}} F\left(\frac{1}{\rho^k \frac{n}{p}} X, y + \rho^k x\right) \\ f_k(x) := \rho^{k \frac{n}{p}} f(y + \rho^k x) \\ \beta_k(x) := \beta(y + \rho^k x) \\ \gamma_k(x) := \rho^k \gamma(y + \rho^k x) \\ g_k(x) := \rho^{k(-1 + \frac{n}{p})} (g(y + \rho^k x) - \mu_k \gamma(y + \rho^k x)). \end{cases}$$

In this context, we claim that v_k falls into the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1. In fact, by the induction hypothesis, it follows from the estimate (3.7) for all $k \geq 1$ that $\|v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} \leq 1$. Furthermore, we clearly have, by $\beta, \gamma \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ and $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, that

$$\begin{aligned} [\beta_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} &:= \sup_{\substack{x,z \in T_1 \\ x \neq z}} \frac{|\beta_k(x) - \beta_k(z)|}{|x - z|^\alpha} = \rho^{k\alpha} \sup_{\substack{x,z \in T_1 \\ x \neq z}} \frac{|\beta(y + \rho^k x) - \beta(y + \rho^k z)|}{|(y + \rho^k x) - (y + \rho^k z)|^\alpha} \\ &= \rho^{k\alpha} [\beta]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} \\ &\leq [\beta]_{0,\alpha,T_1} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\beta_k \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} &:= \sup_{\substack{x,z \in T_1 \\ x \neq z}} \frac{|\gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k(z)|}{|x - z|^\alpha} = \rho^{k(1+\alpha)} \sup_{\substack{x,z \in T_1 \\ x \neq z}} \frac{|\gamma(y + \rho^k x) - \gamma(y + \rho^k z)|}{|(y + \rho^k x) - (y + \rho^k z)|^\alpha} \\ &= \rho^{k(1+\alpha)} [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} \\ &\leq [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_1} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

also guaranteeing the α -Hölder regularity of γ_k on $\overline{T_1}$.

Additionally, we also see that $g_k \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} [g_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} &\leq \rho^{k(-1 + \frac{n}{p})} (\rho^{k\alpha} [g]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} + |\mu_k| \rho^{k\alpha} [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)}) \\ &\leq [g]_{0,\alpha,T_1} + |\mu_k| [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_1} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $0 < \frac{n}{p} - 1 \leq 1$ (remember that $p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, n)$), and by continuity of u and v_k , it follows (by induction hypothesis) that

$$\|u - \mu_k\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)})} \leq \rho^{k \frac{2p-n}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+ \cup T_1)} \leq 1$$

which implies $|\mu_k| \leq \frac{3}{2}$. Furthermore, by the definition of f_k , we have that

$$\int_{B_1^+} |f_k(x)|^p dx = \rho^{kn} \int_{B_1^+} |f(y + \rho^k x)|^p dx = \int_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |f(z)|^p dz \leq \int_{B_1^+} |f(z)|^p dz \leq \eta^p.$$

Finally, note that, by the definition of F_k , it is clearly a (λ, Λ) -elliptic operator, and by the hypothesis (3.5), it follows that

$$\int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_{F_k}(x)|^n dx = \int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_F(y + \rho^k x, y)|^n dx = \int_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |\Phi_F(z, y)|^n dz \leq \eta_0^n = \eta^n.$$

Therefore, we can invoke Proposition 3.1 for v_k and obtain a constant $\tilde{\mu}$ such that $|\tilde{\mu}| \leq C$, and

$$(3.9) \quad \sup_{B_\rho^+} |v_k - \tilde{\mu}| \leq \rho^{\frac{2p-n}{p}}.$$

Now, we define $\mu_{k+1} = \mu_k + \rho^{k\frac{2p-n}{p}} \tilde{\mu}$. Thus, by the definition of v_k together with (3.7) and (3.9), we have

$$\sup_{B_{\rho^{k+1}}^+(y)} |u - \mu_{k+1}| \leq \rho^{(k+1)\frac{2p-n}{p}},$$

which proves the $(k+1)^{\text{th}}$ step of induction.

Thus, by induction, the assertion of the existence of the sequence $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{R} satisfying (3.7) follows. From the estimate (3.8), we can conclude that $(\mu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , and therefore there exists a real number $\mu_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k$. We claim that $\mu_\infty = u(y)$. Indeed, we fix $x_0 \in B^+$ and define for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $z_k = y + \rho^k x_0$. We clearly see that $z_k \in B^+ \rho^k(y)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $z_k \rightarrow y$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$, since,

$$|z_k - y| = \rho^k |x_0| < \rho^k \rightarrow 0, \text{ when } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, by the continuity of the function u , we also have $u(z_k) \rightarrow u(y)$. Thus, using such convergences, (3.9), and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(y) - \mu_\infty| &\leq |u(z_k) - u(y)| + |u(z_k) - \mu_k| + |\mu_k - \mu_\infty| \\ &\leq |u(z_k) - u(y)| + \sup_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u - \mu_k| + |\mu_k - \mu_\infty| \\ &\leq |u(z_k) - u(y)| + \rho^{k\frac{2p-n}{p}} + |\mu_k - \mu_\infty| \rightarrow 0, \text{ when } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mu_\infty = u(y)$. On the other hand, given any natural $k < m$, we have, by the condition (3.8), that

$$|\mu_k - \mu_m| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{m-1} |\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j| \leq C \sum_{j=k}^{m-1} \rho^{j\frac{2p-n}{p}} = C \frac{\rho^{k\frac{2p-n}{p}} (\rho^{(m-k)\frac{2p-n}{p}} - 1)}{\rho^{\frac{2p-n}{p}} - 1}.$$

Moreover, fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as above, and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain, by the convergence of $\mu_m \rightarrow u(y)$ the following

$$(3.10) \quad |u(y) - \mu_k| \leq \frac{C}{1 - \rho^{\frac{2p-n}{p}}} \rho^{k\frac{2p-n}{p}}.$$

Finally, we fix $0 < r < \rho$, and choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\rho^{k+1} < r \leq \rho^k$. From (3.7) and (3.10),

we have that

$$(3.11) \quad \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |u(x) - u(y)| \stackrel{r \leq \rho^k}{\leq} \sup_{x \in B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u(x) - \mu_k| + |\mu_k - u(y)|$$

$$(3.12) \quad \leq \rho^k \frac{2p-n}{p} + \frac{C}{1 - \rho \frac{2p-n}{p}} \rho^k \frac{2p-n}{p}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho} \left(1 + \frac{C}{1 - \rho \frac{2p-n}{p}} \right) \rho^{(k+1) \frac{2p-n}{p}}$$

$$(3.13) \quad \stackrel{\rho^{k+1} < r}{\leq} Cr \frac{2p-n}{p}.$$

Now, we prove that indeed $u \in C^{0, \frac{2p-n}{p}} \left(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+} \right)$. For this purpose, given $x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+$ and $y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have two possible cases to analyze:

✓ **Case 1:** $r = |x - y| \geq \rho$: In this case, it immediately follows that

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y| \frac{2p-n}{p}} \leq \frac{\overbrace{2 \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{1/2}^+)}}^{\leq 2}}{\rho \frac{2p-n}{p}} \leq C.$$

✓ **Case 2:** $r = |x - y| < \rho$: Note that the estimate (3.11) also holds in $\overline{B_r^+(y)}$, and hence, as $x \in \overline{B_r^+(y)}$, it follows from such an estimate that

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y| \frac{2p-n}{p}} \leq \frac{Cr \frac{2p-n}{p}}{|x - y| \frac{2p-n}{p}} = C.$$

Finally, from these cases above and the optimal Hölder interior estimates (cf. [35, Remark 2]), we obtain that $[u]_{0, \frac{2p-n}{p}, B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+} < \infty$. Moreover, we obtain the following estimate

$$\|u\|_{C^{0, \frac{2p-n}{p}} \left(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+} \right)} \leq C (\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})}),$$

which concludes the proof of this Theorem. \square

An application of Theorem 3.2 is presented in the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Consider u to be a viscosity solution of (1.1), where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and suppose that $f \in L^p(B_1^+) \cap C^0(B_1^+)$ for*

$$p := \max \left\{ \frac{n}{2 - \alpha}, n - \varepsilon_0 \right\}.$$

Then, there exists constant a $\eta_0 > 0$ which depends only on $n, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ such that if,

$$\int_{B_r^+} |\Phi_F(x, y)|^n dx \leq \eta_0^n, \quad \forall y \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+, \forall r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

then $u \in C^{0, 2 - \frac{n}{p(n, \alpha, \varepsilon_0)}} \left(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+} \right)$ and the following estimate holds

$$\|u\|_{C^{0, 2 - \frac{n}{p(n, \alpha, \varepsilon_0)}} \left(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+} \right)} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{0, \alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \right),$$

where $C = C\left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}\right) > 0$, and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{n}{2})$ is Escauriaza's constant.

Proof. In effect, by definition of parameter p it follows that $p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, n)$. Thus, we can apply Theorem 3.2 to guarantee the existence of a universal constant $\eta_0 > 0$ such that $u \in C^{0,2-\frac{n}{p(n,\alpha,\varepsilon_0)}}\left(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+}\right)$ with the following estimate

$$\|u\|_{C^{0,2-\frac{n}{p(n,\alpha,\varepsilon_0)}}\left(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+}\right)} \leq C(\text{universal}) \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \right),$$

thereby concluding the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. We must highlight that in the Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\alpha_p := 2 - \frac{n}{p(n,\alpha,\varepsilon_0)} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 1^- \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_p := 2 - \frac{n}{p(n,\alpha,\varepsilon_0)} \rightarrow \frac{n-2\varepsilon_0}{n-\varepsilon_0} \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0^+.$$

4 Log-Lipschitz regularity estimates

This section is devoted to address borderline estimates for solutions of (1.1) under suitable assumptions on the problem's data and L^n -integrability on source term. In this context, the next result provides the first step of an affine approximation scheme, which will establish the desired Log-Lipschitz estimate.

Proposition 4.1. *Let u be a solution of (1.1), where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ to some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There are $\eta > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ depending only on $n, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ and $\|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ such that, if*

$$\max \left\{ \int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_F(x)|^n dx, \int_{B_1^+} |f(x)|^n dx \right\} \leq \eta^n,$$

then there exists an affine function $l(x) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \cdot x$, with universally bounded coefficients in the following sense

$$\|\mathbf{a}\| + \|\mathbf{b}\| \leq C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}),$$

such that

$$\sup_{B_1^+} |u - l| \leq \rho.$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be a constant, which we will determine *a posteriori*. Thus, by (2.13) we can consider \mathfrak{h} viscosity solution for

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}, 0) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+ \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma\mathfrak{h} = g(x) & \text{on } T_{\frac{7}{8}} \\ \mathfrak{h} = u & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{7}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{B_{\frac{7}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| \leq \delta.$$

Hence, by [22, Theorem1.2], it follows that $\mathfrak{h} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{2}{3}}^+})$ and

$$(4.2) \quad \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{2}{3}}^+})} \leq \tilde{C} = \tilde{C}\left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}\right).$$

Now, we define $\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}(0)$, $\mathbf{b} = D\mathfrak{h}(0)$ and $\mathfrak{l}(x) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \cdot x$. Thus, by (4.2), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{l}| \leq \tilde{C}r^{1+\alpha}, \quad \forall r \in \left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right).$$

Finally, we can choose ρ and δ by setting

$$(4.4) \quad \rho = \min \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2\tilde{C}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \frac{1}{e} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2}\rho.$$

Thus, note that such choices determine the constant $\eta > 0$ due to Lemma 2.13. The universal bound of the constants \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} follows directly from (4.2). For the remainder, from (4.1), (4.3), and (4.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbb{B}_\rho^+} |u - \mathfrak{l}| &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{B}_\rho^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| + \sup_{\mathbb{B}_\rho^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{l}| \leq \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{\rho}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| + \sup_{\mathbb{B}_\rho^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{l}| \leq \delta + \tilde{C}\rho^{1+\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho + \left(\frac{1}{2\rho^\alpha} \right) \rho^{1+\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\rho + \frac{1}{2}\rho = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.2. *Let u be a viscosity solution of (1.1), where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})$ (for $\alpha \in (0,1)$) and $f \in L^n(\mathbb{B}_1^+) \cap C^0(\mathbb{B}_1^+)$. There exists a constant $\eta_0 > 0$, which depends only on $n, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$ such that if,*

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} |\Phi_F(x, y)|^n dx \leq \eta_0^n, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{2}}^+, \forall r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

then $u \in C^{0, \text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$ and the following estimate holds

$$\sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{1}{2}}^+ \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y| \ln(|x - y|^{-1})} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} + \|f\|_{L^n(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} \right),$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can assume, without loss of generality, that u is normalized (i.e., $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} \leq 1$), $\|f\|_{L^n(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} \leq \eta$ and $\|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} \leq 1$, where $\eta > 0$ is the constant of Lemma (4.1). Now, we take $\eta_0 = \eta$. For a fixed $y \in \mathbb{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we assert that there is a sequence of affine functions $(\mathfrak{l}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the form $\mathfrak{l}_k(x) = \mathbf{a}_k + \mathbf{b}_k \cdot (x - y)$ satisfying

$$(4.6) \quad \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u - \mathfrak{l}_k| \leq \rho^k,$$

where $\rho > 0$ is the radius of the half ball found in Lemma 4.1. Furthermore, such a sequence must satisfy, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4.7) \quad |\mathbf{a}_{k+1} - \mathbf{a}_k| \leq C(\text{universal})\rho^k \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{b}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}_k\| \leq C(\text{universal}),$$

Indeed, we prove this statement by induction on k . The first case, i.e. $k = 1$, corresponds to Lemma 4.1. Now, assuming it holds for k , we may define the function

$$v_k(x) := \frac{(u - \mathfrak{l}_k)(y + \rho^k x)}{\rho^k}, \quad x \in \mathbb{B}_1^+ \cup \mathbb{T}_1,$$

Thus, we see that v_k is a viscosity solution to

$$\begin{cases} F_k(D^2v_k, x) = f_k(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta_k(x) \cdot Dv_k(x) + \gamma_k(x)v_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

here

$$\begin{cases} F_k(X, x) := \rho^k F\left(\frac{1}{\rho^k}X, y + \rho^k x\right) \\ \tilde{f}(x) := \rho^k f(y + \rho^k x) \\ \beta_k(x) := \beta(y + \rho^k x) \\ \gamma_k(x) := \rho^k \gamma(y + \rho^k x) \\ g_k(x) := g(y + \rho^k x) - \beta(y + \rho^k x) \cdot \mathbf{b}_k - \gamma(y + \rho^k x) \mathbf{l}_k(y + \rho^k x). \end{cases}$$

Now, we note that F_k is a (λ, Λ) -elliptic operator and by the hypothesis (4.5), we check that

$$\int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_{F_k}(x)|^n dx = \int_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |\Phi_F(x, y)|^n dx \leq \eta^n.$$

Furthermore, we verify that

$$\int_{B_1^+} |f_k|^n dx = \int_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |f(z)|^n dz \leq \|f\|_{L^n(B_1^+)}^n \leq \eta^n.$$

Additionally, we also see that

$$[\beta_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} = \rho^{k\alpha} [\beta]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} \leq [\beta]_{0,\alpha,T_1} < \infty,$$

and

$$[\gamma_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} = \rho^{k(1+\alpha)} [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} \leq [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_1} < \infty,$$

since $\beta, \gamma \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$. Moreover, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} [g_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} &\leq \rho^{k\alpha} [g]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} + \rho^{k\alpha} \|\mathbf{b}_k\| [\beta]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} + \rho^{k\alpha} \|\mathbf{l}_k\|_{L^\infty(\overline{T_{\rho^k}(y)})} [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} + \\ &\quad + \rho^{k\alpha} \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(T_{\rho^k}(y))} [\mathbf{l}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot)]_{0,\alpha,T_1} \\ &\leq \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} + \rho^{k\alpha} \|\mathbf{b}_k\| \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} + \|\mathbf{l}_k\|_{L^\infty(\overline{T_{\rho^k}(y)})} \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} + \\ &\quad + (\text{diam}(T_{\frac{1}{2}}))^{1-\alpha} \rho^k \|\mathbf{b}_k\| \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$, $\|\mathbf{l}_k\|_{L^\infty(\overline{T_{\rho^k}(y)})} \leq \frac{3}{2}$ (by (4.6)), and $\|\mathbf{b}_k\| \leq \|\mathbf{b}_1\| + C(k-1)$ (by (4.7)).

Thus,

$$\rho^{k\alpha} \|\mathbf{b}_k\| = o(k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, by the induction hypothesis (4.6), we have $\|v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} \leq 1$. Then, we fall into the hypotheses of Lemma 4.1. Thus, we can find an affine function $\tilde{\mathbf{l}}(x) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} + \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot x$ such that

$$(4.8) \quad \sup_{B_1^+} |v_k - \tilde{\mathbf{l}}| \leq \rho,$$

where $|\tilde{\mathbf{a}}|, |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}| \leq C(\text{universal})$. Now, by defining

$$\mathbf{a}_{k+1} = \mathbf{a}_k + \rho^k \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_{k+1} = \mathbf{b}_k + \tilde{\mathbf{b}},$$

we can see, based on the universal bounds of the constants $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$, that

$$|\mathbf{a}_{k+1} - \mathbf{a}_k| \leq C(\text{universal}) \rho^k \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{b}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}_k\| \leq C(\text{universal}).$$

Thus, putting $\mathfrak{l}_{k+1}(x) = \mathbf{a}_{k+1} + \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \cdot (x - y)$, we can rescale the inequality (4.8),

$$\sup_{B_{\rho^{k+1}}^+(y)} |u - \mathfrak{l}_{k+1}| \leq \rho^{k+1},$$

thereby completing the $(k + 1)^{\text{th}}$ -step of induction.

Now, note that the sequence $(\mathbf{a}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} , and consequently, there exists $\mathbf{a}_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{a}_k$. On the other hand, analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.2, the sentence (4.7) implies the following rate of convergence for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(4.9) \quad |u(y) - \mathbf{a}_k| \leq \frac{C}{1 - \rho} \rho^k.$$

Moreover, once again by (4.7), putting $\mathbf{b}_0 = 0$, we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4.10) \quad \|\mathbf{b}_k\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \|\mathbf{b}_{j+1} - \mathbf{b}_j\| \leq Ck.$$

It is worth noting that in the above construction, we have no guarantee about the convergence of the sequence $(\mathbf{b}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and thus, such a sequence could not be convergent

Finally, given $r \in (0, \rho)$, with $\rho \leq e^{-1}$, we can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho^{k+1} < r \leq \rho^k$. Hence, by (4.6), (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |u(x) - u(y)| &\leq \sup_{B_r^+(y)} |u - \mathfrak{l}_k| + |u(y) - \mathbf{a}_k| + \|\mathbf{b}_k\| \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |x - y| \\ &\leq \sup_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u - \mathfrak{l}_k| + \frac{C}{1 - \rho} \rho^k + Ckr \leq \rho^k + \frac{C}{1 - \rho} \rho^k + Ck\rho^k \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{C}{1 - \rho}\right) \rho^k + Ck\rho^k \leq C(\rho^k + k\rho^k) = \frac{C}{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{k} + 1\right) k\rho^k \\ &\stackrel{r > \rho^{k+1}}{\leq} Ckr \leq Cr \frac{\ln(r)}{\ln(\rho)} = \frac{-C}{-\ln(\rho)} r \ln(r) = -Cr \ln(r), \end{aligned}$$

where we must remember that $t \mapsto \ln(t)$ is an increasing function, that is, $\frac{\ln(r)}{\ln(\rho)} \geq k$, since $\rho < \frac{1}{2}$, and thus $\log \rho < 0$.

Now, we prove that $u \in C^{0, \text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$. Indeed, let $x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+$ and $y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}$. We have two cases to analyze:

✓ **Case 1:** $e^{-1} \geq r' = |x - y| \geq \rho$.

In this case, $r' \ln(r'^{-1}) \geq \rho \ln(\rho^{-1})$, and thus by inequality $\ln(\rho^{-1}) \geq 1 - \rho$ we obtain that

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y| \ln(|x - y|^{-1})} \leq \frac{\overbrace{2\|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_{1/2}^+})}}^{\leq 2}}{\rho \ln(\rho^{-1})} \leq \frac{2}{\rho(1 - \rho)} = C.$$

✓ **Case 2:** $r' = |x - y| < \rho$.

Note that the estimate (4.11) also holds in $\overline{B_{r'}^+(y)}$, and hence as $x \in \overline{B_{r'}^+(y)}$, it follows from such an estimate that

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y| \ln(|x - y|^{-1})} \leq \frac{-Cr' \log r'}{|x - y| \log |x - y|^{-1}} = C.$$

Therefore, from the above cases, and [35, Theorem 2], we can conclude that $u \in C^{0, \text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$, and the desired estimate holds. \square

5 Optimal gradient regularity

In this section, we will develop the study of the optimal regularity of solutions for (1.1) when $f \in L^p(B_1^+)$ for $n < p < \infty$, and the boundary data are regular enough (to be clarified *a posteriori*). The regularity estimates addressed in this scenario will be $C^{1,\nu}$, where $\nu \in (0, 1)$ is an optimal constant depending on the optimal regularity exponent $C^{1,\alpha}$ of the homogeneous problem with frozen coefficients and oblique boundary conditions (see, [22, Theorem 1.2] for details), as well as the range of integrability of the source term.

Now, we will explain a little more about the constant ν . Such a constant will be

$$\nu := \min \left\{ \alpha^-, \frac{p-n}{p} \right\}$$

where the notation above on ν should be understood as follows

$$\begin{cases} \text{If } \frac{p-n}{p} < \alpha & \text{then } u \in C^{1, \frac{p-n}{p}} \\ \text{If } \frac{p-n}{p} \geq \alpha & \text{then } u \in C^{1, \varsigma} \text{ for any } 0 < \varsigma < \alpha \end{cases}$$

With such observations in mind, we establish the following first step of an affine approximation scheme of $(1 + \bar{\alpha})$ -order.

Proposition 5.1. *Let u be a normalized viscosity solution of (1.1) for $f \in L^p(B_1^+)$ for some $p \in (n, \infty)$, where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ to some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Given $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha)$, there exist $\eta > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, depending only on $n, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ and $\|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ such that, if*

$$\max \left\{ \left(\int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_F(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n}, \left(\int_{B_1^+} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right\} \leq \eta,$$

then there exists an affine function $l(x) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \cdot x$ with universally bounded coefficients, in the following sense

$$\|\mathbf{a}\| + \|\mathbf{b}\| \leq C \left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \right),$$

such that

$$\sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - l| \leq \rho^{1+\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as the Proposition 4.1. First, we set $\delta > 0$, which we will determine a posteriori. By Lemma (2.13), we can consider \mathfrak{h} as the viscosity solution to

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}, 0) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{\delta}{8}}^+ \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma\mathfrak{h} = g & \text{on } T_{\frac{\delta}{8}} \\ \mathfrak{h} = u & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{\delta}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{\delta}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{B_{\frac{\delta}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| \leq \delta.$$

Moreover, by the Theorem [22, Theorem 1.2], it follows that $\mathfrak{h} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{\delta}{8}}^+})$, and

$$(5.2) \quad \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{\delta}{8}}^+})} \leq C = C \left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \right).$$

In this context, we can define $\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}(0)$ and $\mathbf{b} = D\mathfrak{h}(0)$. Hence, by (5.2), it follows that

$$(5.3) \quad \sup_{B_r^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{l}| \leq Cr^{1+\alpha}, \quad \forall r \in \left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right).$$

Finally, we may choose ρ and δ in such a way

$$(5.4) \quad \rho := \min \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2C} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-\bar{\alpha}}}, \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \frac{1}{2}\rho^{1+\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Note that such choices determine the constant $\eta > 0$ from Lemma (2.13). Thus, the universal bound of the constants \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} follows directly from (5.2).

In conclusion, from (5.1), (5.4) and (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - \mathfrak{l}| &\leq \sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| + \sup_{B_\rho^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{l}| \leq \sup_{B_{\frac{\rho}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| + \sup_{B_\rho^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{l}| \leq \delta + C\rho^{1+\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{1+\bar{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{1}{2\rho^{\alpha-\bar{\alpha}}} \right) \rho^{1+\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\rho^{1+\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^{1+\bar{\alpha}} = \rho^{1+\bar{\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the Lemma. \square

Finally, we are in a position to address the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2. *Let u be a viscosity solution of (1.1), where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$, $f \in L^p(B_1^+) \cap C^0(B_1^+)$ for $p \in (n, \infty)$, and*

$$\nu = \min \left\{ \alpha^-, \frac{p-n}{p} \right\}$$

Then, there exists a positive constant η_0 , depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, p, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$, and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ such that if,

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{B_{\frac{r}{2}}^+} |\Phi_F(x, y)|^n dx \leq \eta_0^n, \quad \forall y \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+, \quad \forall r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

then, $u \in C^{1,\nu}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$. Moreover, the following estimate holds

$$\|u\|_{C^{1,\nu}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}),$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, p, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$.

Proof. As in the previous sections, we can assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} \leq 1, \quad \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} \leq \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \leq 1,$$

where $\eta > 0$ is the constant from Lemma 5.1 when we put $\eta_0 = \eta$. Fixed $y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we assert that there exists a sequence of affine functions $(\mathfrak{l}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the form $\mathfrak{l}_k(x) = \mathbf{a}_k + \mathbf{b}_k(x - y)$, such that

$$(5.6) \quad \sup_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u - \mathfrak{l}_k| \leq \rho^{k(1+\nu)} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad |\mathbf{a}_{k+1} - \mathbf{a}_k| \leq C(\text{universal})\rho^{k(1+\nu)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{b}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}_k\| \leq C(\text{universal})\rho^{k\nu} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where ρ is the universal radius obtained from Lemma 5.1.

In fact, we will prove such statements by induction on k . The case $k = 1$ is precisely the statement of Lemma 5.1. Now, assuming that it holds for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the following auxiliary function

$$v_k(x) := \frac{(u - \mathfrak{I}_k)(y + \rho^k x)}{\rho^{k(1+\nu)}}, x \in B_1^+ \cup T_1.$$

Thus, by the induction hypothesis, namely (5.6), it follows that $\|v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} \leq 1$. Moreover, v_k is a viscosity solution for

$$\begin{cases} F_k(D^2 v_k, x) = f_k(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta_k(x) \cdot Dv_k(x) + \gamma_k(x)v_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} F_k(X, x) & := \rho^{k(1-\nu)} F\left(\frac{1}{\rho^{k(1-\nu)}} X, y + \rho^k x\right) \\ f_k(x) & := \rho^{k(1-\nu)} f(y + \rho^k x) \\ \beta_k(x) & := \beta(y + \rho^k x) \\ \gamma_k(x) & := \rho^k \gamma(y + \rho^k x) \\ g_k(x) & := \rho^{-k\nu} (g(y + \rho^k x) - \beta(y + \rho^k x) \cdot \mathbf{b}_k - \gamma(y + \rho^k x) \mathfrak{I}_k(y + \rho^k x)). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that F_k is a (λ, Λ) -elliptic operator, and by the hypothesis (5.5), we have

$$\int_{B_1^+} |\Phi_{F_k}(x)|^n dx = \int_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |\Phi_F(x, y)|^n dx \leq \eta^n.$$

Furthermore, it follows by the definition of f_k that

$$\int_{B_1^+} |f_k|^p dx = \rho^{k[(1-\nu)p-n]} \int_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |f(z)|^p dz \leq \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)}^p \leq \eta^p.$$

We also see that

$$\begin{aligned} [\beta_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} &= \rho^{k\alpha} [\beta]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} \leq [\beta]_{0,\alpha,T_1} < \infty, \\ [\gamma_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} &= \rho^{k(1+\alpha)} [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} \leq [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_1} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, by $\alpha > \nu$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [g_k]_{0,\alpha,T_1} &\leq \rho^{k(\alpha-\nu)} ([g]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} + \|\mathbf{b}_k\| [\beta]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} + \|\mathfrak{I}_k\|_{L^\infty(\overline{T_{\rho^k}(y)})} [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,T_{\rho^k}(y)} + \\ &\quad + 2^{1-\alpha} \|\mathbf{b}_k\| \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(T_{\rho^k}(y))}) \\ &\leq \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} + \rho^{k(\alpha-\nu)} \|\mathbf{b}_k\| \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} + \|\mathfrak{I}_k\|_{L^\infty(\overline{T_{\rho^k}(y)})} \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} + \\ &\quad + 2^{1-\alpha} \rho^{k(\alpha-\nu)} \|\mathbf{b}_k\| \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since as in the proof of Theorem (4.2),

$$\|\mathfrak{I}_k\|_{L^\infty(\overline{T_{\rho^k}(y)})} \leq \frac{3}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^{k(\alpha-\nu)} \|\mathbf{b}_k\| = o(k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we have ensured that $\beta_k, \gamma_k, g_k \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$. Therefore, we can invoke Lemma 5.1 to guarantee the existence of an affine function $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}}(x) = \tilde{a} + \tilde{b} \cdot x$ in such a way that

$$(5.8) \quad \sup_{B_\rho^+} |v_k - \tilde{\mathfrak{I}}| \leq \rho^{1+\nu},$$

where $|\tilde{\mathbf{a}}|, \|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}\| \leq C(\text{universal})$. Now, by defining

$$\mathbf{a}_{k+1} := \mathbf{a}_k + \rho^{k(1+\nu)}\tilde{\mathbf{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_{k+1} := \mathbf{b}_k + \rho^{k\nu}\tilde{\mathbf{b}},$$

we see that

$$|\mathbf{a}_{k+1} - \mathbf{a}_k| \leq C(\text{universal})\rho^{k(1+\nu)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{b}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}_k\| \leq C(\text{universal})\rho^{k\nu}.$$

Now, setting $\mathbf{l}_{k+1}(x) = \mathbf{a}_{k+1} + \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \cdot (x - y)$, we have by scaling back the inequality (5.8),

$$\sup_{B_{\rho^{k+1}}^+(y)} |u - \mathbf{l}_{k+1}| \leq \rho^{(k+1)(1+\nu)}.$$

which completes the statement for $k + 1$.

Now, by (5.7), we have that $(\mathbf{a}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\mathbf{b}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences. Thus, there are limits

$$\mathbf{a}_\infty := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{a}_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{b}_k.$$

As in Theorem 4.2, it is possible to see that $\mathbf{a}_\infty = u(y)$. Furthermore, we see that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following rate of convergence of the sequences $(\mathbf{a}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\mathbf{b}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ hold

$$(5.9) \quad |u(y) - \mathbf{a}_k| \leq \frac{C}{1 - \rho^{1+\nu}} \rho^{k(1+\nu)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{b}_\infty - \mathbf{b}_k\| \leq \frac{C}{1 - \rho^\nu} \rho^{k\nu}.$$

Finally, given $r \in (0, \rho)$, we can choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\rho^{k+1} < r \leq \rho^k$. By (5.6) and (5.9), we obtain

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |u(x) - u(y) - \mathbf{b}_\infty(x - y)| &\leq \sup_{B_r^+(y)} |u - \mathbf{l}_k| + |u(y) - \mathbf{a}_k| + \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |(\mathbf{b}_k - \mathbf{b}_\infty) \cdot (x - y)| \\ &\leq \sup_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u - \mathbf{l}_k| + \frac{C}{1 - \rho^{1+\nu}} \rho^\nu + \|\mathbf{b}_k - \mathbf{b}_\infty\| r \\ &\leq \rho^{k(1+\nu)} + \frac{C}{1 - \rho^{1+\nu}} \rho^\nu + \frac{C}{1 - \rho^\nu} \rho^{k\nu} \rho^k \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{C}{1 - \rho^{1+\nu}} + \frac{C}{1 - \rho^\nu} \right) \rho^{k(1+\nu)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{1+\nu}} \left(1 + \frac{2C}{1 - \rho^{1+\nu}} \right) \rho^{(k+1)(1+\nu)} \\ &\leq Cr^{1+\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, as such an estimate is valid for each $y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (and being more precise $\mathbf{b}_\infty = \mathbf{b}_\infty(y)$), then, from (5.10), and interior estimates (see [35, Section 4]), it follows that $u \in C^{1,\nu}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$ obtained in a similar way as the proof of Theorem 3.2 with the desired estimate. \square

6 $C^{1, \text{Log-Lip}}$ regularity estimates

In this section, we will deal with the limiting integrability case, i.e., when the source term f has **bounded mean oscillation**, see Definition 2.11 for details.

In this part, we will work initially with the problem with constant coefficients, that is, with the following problem

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} F(D^2u) = f(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

where we will assume the following regularity assumption:

Statement 1 ((RA)). For any matrix $M \in \text{Sym}(n)$ such that $F(M) = 0$, the translated problem

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h} + M) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+ \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma\mathfrak{h} = g & \text{on } T_{\frac{1}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

admits solutions $\mathfrak{h} \in C^{2,\tilde{\alpha}}(\overline{B_{\frac{2}{3}}^+}) \cap C^0(B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+ \cup T_{\frac{1}{8}})$, for some $\tilde{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha]$ when $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$, and the following estimate holds

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{2,\tilde{\alpha}}(\overline{B_{\frac{2}{3}}^+})} \leq C^* \left(\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty(B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_{\frac{1}{8}}})} \right).$$

We emphasize that this assumption holds true whenever we assume that F is a convex operator (cf. [22, Theorem 1.3]).

More precisely, the source term $f \in p\text{-BMO}(B_1^+) \cap L^p(B_1^+)$ for $p \geq n - \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 is the Escauriaza's constant. In this scenario, we can conclude that solutions of (6.1) are $C^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}$.

Furthermore, using the approximation Lemma 2.14, we can ensure the existence of a quadratic approximation for normalized solutions with a small semi-norm in p -BMO. This constitutes the focus of the next result.

Lemma 6.1. *Let u be a normalized viscosity solution of*

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u + \tilde{M}) = f(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot Du(x) + \gamma(x)u(x) = g(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ for some constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\tilde{M} \in \text{Sym}(n)$ is such that $F(\tilde{M}) = 0$. Suppose further the Statement (1) holds. There are $\eta > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, depending only on $n, p, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, C^*, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ and $\|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ such that, if

$$\|f\|_{p\text{-BMO}(B_1^+)} \leq \eta,$$

for some $p \geq n - \varepsilon$. Then, there exists a quadratic polynomial $\mathfrak{p}(x) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \cdot x + \frac{1}{2}x^t M x$ with universally bounded coefficients, in the following sense

$$|\mathbf{a}| + \|\mathbf{b}\| + \|M\| \leq C \left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \right),$$

such that

$$\sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - \mathfrak{p}| \leq \rho^2.$$

Furthermore, we still have that $F(M + \tilde{M}) = (f)_1$.

Proof. First, we set $\delta > 0$, which we will be choose later. By Approximation Lemma II 2.14, we can consider \mathfrak{h} , the viscosity solution to

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h} + \tilde{M}) = (f)_1 & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+ \\ \beta(x) \cdot D\mathfrak{h}(x) + \gamma(x)\mathfrak{h}(x) = g(x) & \text{on } T_{\frac{1}{8}} \\ \mathfrak{h} = u & \text{in } \partial B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{1}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$(6.3) \quad \sup_{B_{\frac{1}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| \leq \delta.$$

We observe that Statement (1) guarantees that the problem

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h} + M) = c & \text{in } B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+ \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma\mathfrak{h} = g & \text{on } T_{\frac{r}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

also admits $C^{2,\tilde{\alpha}}$ estimates with \tilde{C}^* depending only on C^* and $|c|$, for any $M \in \text{Sym}(n)$ such that $F(M) = c$, for more details see [35].

Thus, it follows that $\mathfrak{h} \in C^{2,\tilde{\alpha}}(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{3}}^+})$, and

$$(6.5) \quad \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{2,\tilde{\alpha}}(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{3}}^+})} \leq C = C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \tilde{\alpha}, \|\beta\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}).$$

Now, we define

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathfrak{h}(0), \quad \mathbf{b} = D\mathfrak{h}(0) \text{ and } M = D^2\mathfrak{h}(0), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p}(x) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \cdot x + \frac{1}{2}x^t M x.$$

Thus, by (6.5). it follows that

$$(6.6) \quad \sup_{B_r^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{p}| \leq Cr^{2+\tilde{\alpha}}, \quad \forall r \in \left(0, \frac{2}{3}\right).$$

In this point, we make the following universal choices of the constants

$$(6.7) \quad \rho := \min \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2C}\right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}}}, \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \frac{1}{2}\rho^2.$$

With such choices, the constant $\eta > 0$ is determined due to Lemma 2.14. Moreover, the universal bound of the constants \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} and M , it follows directly from (6.5).

Finally, from (6.3), (6.7) and (6.6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - \mathfrak{p}| &\leq \sup_{B_\rho^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| + \sup_{B_\rho^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{p}| \leq \sup_{B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+} |u - \mathfrak{h}| + \sup_{B_\rho^+} |\mathfrak{h} - \mathfrak{p}| \leq \delta + C\rho^{2+\tilde{\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2\rho^{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right)\rho^{2+\tilde{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 = \rho^2, \end{aligned}$$

thereby obtaining the desired estimate. \square

Different from interior borderline estimates addressed by Teixeira in [35], the scenario with oblique boundary conditions in (1.1) imposes a substantial challenge in dealing with the tangential derivative. Thus, to overcome such an obstacle, we must suppose a suitable behavior of the data.

Therefore, for the main theorem this section we need the following hypothesis:

- (A) **(Regularity of the data)** We assume in the problem (6.1) that the source term f belongs to $p - \text{BMO}(B_1^+) \cap L^p(B_1^+) \cap C^0(B_1^+)$ for $p \in [n - \varepsilon_0, \infty)$.
- (B) **(Regularity of boundary terms)** Also we assume that $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ and there exist constants $\alpha_\beta, \alpha_\gamma \in (0, \alpha]$ such that

$$(6.8) \quad \sup_{\substack{x, z \in T_r(y) \\ x \neq z}} \frac{|D\beta(x)|}{|x - z|^\alpha} \leq O(r^{-\alpha_\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\substack{x, z \in T_r(y) \\ x \neq z}} \frac{|D\gamma(x)|}{|x - z|^\alpha} \leq O(r^{-\alpha_\gamma}), \quad \forall y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally, we are in a position to present the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.2 (Regularity $C^{1,Log-Lip}$). *Let u be a viscosity solution for (6.1). Suppose further the Statement (1), (A) and (B) hold. Then, $u \in C^{1,Log-Lip}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$. Moreover, the following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{\substack{x,y \in \overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+} \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y) - Du(y) \cdot (x - y)|}{|x - y|^2 \ln|x - y|^{-1}} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{p-BMO(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \right),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha_0, C_{\beta\gamma}, p, C^*, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$.

Proof. We can assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} \leq 1, \|f\|_{p-BMO(B_1^+)} \leq \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \leq 1,$$

where $\eta > 0$ is the constant from Lemma 6.1. Now, fixed $y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we assert that there exists a sequence of quadratic polynomials $(\mathbf{p}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the form $\mathbf{p}_k(x) = \mathbf{a}_k + \mathbf{b}_k \cdot (x - y) + \frac{1}{2}(x - y)^t M_k (x - y)$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $F(M_k) = (f)_1$,
- (ii) $\sup_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u - \mathbf{p}_k| \leq \rho^{2k}$,
- (iii) $|\mathbf{a}_{k-1} - \mathbf{a}_k| + \rho^{k-1} |\mathbf{b}_{k-1} - \mathbf{b}_k| + \rho^{2(k-1)} |M_{k-1} - M_k| \leq C \rho^{2(k-1)}$,

for all $k \geq 0$, where $\mathbf{p}_{-1} = \mathbf{p}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(x - y)^t M_0 (x - y)$ for $M_0 \in Sym(n)$ in such a way that $F(M_0) = (f)_1$ and ρ is the radius coming from Lemma 6.1.

We will prove such claim via induction on k . Note that, the first case, i.e. $k = 0$, it is clearly satisfied. Now, we assume that the statement holds for some k , and we define the following auxiliary function:

$$v_k(x) := \frac{(u - \mathbf{p}_k)(y + \rho^k x)}{\rho^{2k}}, \quad x \in B_1^+ \cup T_1.$$

Thus, it is easy to check that v_k is a viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2 v_k + M_k) = f_k(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta_k(x) \cdot Dv_k(x) + \gamma_k(x) v_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} f_k(x) & := f(y + \rho^k x) \\ \beta_k(x) & := \beta(y + \rho^k x) \\ \gamma_k(x) & := \rho^k \gamma(y + \rho^k x) \\ g_k(x) & := \rho^{-k} (g(y + \rho^k x) - \beta(y + \rho^k x) \cdot D\mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k x) - \gamma(y + \rho^k x) \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k x)) \end{cases}$$

Now, note that, by the induction hypothesis, it follows from (ii) that $\|v_k\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} \leq 1$. Moreover, by the definition of f_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_k\|_{p-BMO(B_1^+)} &= \sup_{x_0 \in \Omega, r > 0} \left(\int_{B_r(x_0) \cap B_1^+} |f_k(x) - (f_k)_{x_0, \rho}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sup_{x_0 \in B_1^+, r > 0} \left(\int_{B_{r\rho^k}(y + \rho^k x_0) \cap \Omega} |f(z) - (f)_{y + \rho^k x_0, r\rho^k}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$(6.9) \quad \|f_k\|_{p\text{-BMO}(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} \leq \|f\|_{p\text{-BMO}(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} \leq \eta.$$

Additionally, we observe that $\beta_k, \gamma_k \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})$, since $\beta, \gamma \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})$ (by the hypothesis **(B)**) and $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. On other the hand, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have that

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} [D_i g_k]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1} &\leq [D_i g]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y)} + [D_i \beta(y + \rho^k \cdot) \cdot D \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot)]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1} + \\ &\quad + [\beta(y + \rho^k \cdot) \cdot D_i(D \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot))]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1} + [D_i \gamma(y + \rho^k \cdot) \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot)]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1} + \\ &\quad + [\gamma(y + \rho^k \cdot) D_i \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot)]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we will analyze each term in the right-hand of (6.10) separately. In effect, by $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})$, it follows that

$$[D_i g]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y)} \leq \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} < \infty.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} [D_i \beta(y + \rho^k \cdot) \cdot D \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot)]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1} &\leq [D_i \beta]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y)} \left(\rho^{k\alpha} \|D \mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} \right) + \\ &\quad + 2 \|D \mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} \sup_{\substack{x,z \in \mathbb{T}_1 \\ x \neq z}} \frac{|D_i \beta(y + \rho^k z)|}{|x - z|^\alpha} \\ &= [D_i \beta]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y)} \left(\rho^{k\alpha} \|D \mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} \right) + \\ &\quad + 2 \|D \mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} \rho^{k\alpha} \sup_{\substack{x,z \in \mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y) \\ \bar{x} \neq \bar{z}}} \frac{|D_i \beta(\bar{z})|}{|\bar{x} - \bar{z}|^\alpha} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since, by induction hypothesis, see item (iii),

$$\|D \mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} C + \text{Co}(k) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{\substack{x,z \in \mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y) \\ \bar{x} \neq \bar{z}}} \frac{|D_i \beta(\bar{z})|}{|\bar{x} - \bar{z}|^\alpha} \leq C_\beta \rho^{-k\alpha_\beta} \quad \text{for } k \gg 1$$

by (6.8).

Similarly, we obtain

$$[\beta(y + \rho^k \cdot) \cdot D_i(D \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot))]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1} \leq \rho^{k\alpha} [\beta]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y)} \|M_k\| \leq 2 \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} o(k), \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

since by item (iii), it follows that $\|M_k\| \leq Ck + \|M_0\|$.

Next, we analyze third term on the right side in (6.10),

$$\begin{aligned} [D_i \gamma(y + \rho^k \cdot) \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot)]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1} &\leq \rho^{k\alpha} [D_i \gamma]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y)} \|\mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} + \\ &\quad + 2 \|\mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} \sup_{\substack{x,z \in \mathbb{T}_1 \\ x \neq z}} \frac{|D_i \gamma(y + \rho^k x)|}{|x - z|^\alpha} \\ &\leq \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} + 2C_\gamma \rho^{k(\alpha - \alpha_\gamma)} \quad \text{for } k \gg 1 \quad (\text{by (6.8)}). \end{aligned}$$

And finally,

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma(y + \rho^k \cdot) D_i \mathbf{p}_k(y + \rho^k \cdot)]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_1} &\leq \rho^{k\alpha} [\gamma]_{0,\alpha,\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y)} \|D_i \mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} + \\ &\quad + \rho^{k(1-\alpha)} \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} C(n) \|M_k\| \\ &\leq 2 \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} \|D \mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k}(y))} + \\ &\quad + \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} C(n) o(k), \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g_k \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$. Hence, we are under the hypothesis of Lemma 6.1, and thus, there exists a quadratic polynomial $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ of the form $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(x) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}} + \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot x + \frac{1}{2}x^t \tilde{\mathbf{M}}x$ such that

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{a}}| + \|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}\| + \|\tilde{\mathbf{M}}\| \leq C(\text{universal})$$

and

$$(6.11) \quad \sup_{B_\rho^+} |v_k - \tilde{\mathbf{p}}| \leq \rho^2$$

Now, by defining

$$\mathbf{a}_{k+1} = \mathbf{a}_k + \rho^{2k} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \mathbf{b}_{k+1} = \mathbf{b}_k + \rho^k \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M}_{k+1} = \mathbf{M}_k + \tilde{\mathbf{M}},$$

and

$$\mathbf{p}_{k+1}(x) := \mathbf{a}_{k+1} + \mathbf{b}_{k+1} \cdot x + \frac{1}{2}x^t \cdot \mathbf{M}_{k+1} \cdot x.$$

Then, by (6.11), it follows that

$$\sup_{B_{\rho^{k+1}}^+(y)} |u - \mathbf{p}_{k+1}| \leq \rho^{2(k+1)},$$

which establishes the condition (ii) for $k+1$. Furthermore, note that condition (i), it is also guaranteed by Lemma 6.1 (see, *e.g.* last statement). Finally,

$$|\mathbf{a}_k - \mathbf{a}_{k+1}| + \rho^k |\mathbf{b}_k - \mathbf{b}_{k+1}| + \rho^{2k} |\mathbf{M}_k - \mathbf{M}_{k+1}| \leq \rho^{2k} |\tilde{\mathbf{a}}| + \rho^k \rho^k |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}| + \rho^{2k} |\tilde{\mathbf{M}}| \leq C\rho^{2k},$$

thereby guaranteeing the condition (iii) for $k+1$. This completes the proof of statement via induction.

Now, note that condition (iii) ensures that the sequences $(\mathbf{a}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\mathbf{b}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy. Thus, we may consider

$$\mathbf{a}_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{a}_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{b}_k.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see $\mathbf{a}_\infty = u(y)$.

On the other hand, from condition (iii), we have the following rate of convergence of the sequences (\mathbf{a}_k) and (\mathbf{b}_k)

$$(6.12) \quad |u(y) - \mathbf{a}_k| \leq \frac{C}{1-\rho^2} \rho^{2k} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{b}_\infty - \mathbf{b}_k\| \leq \frac{C}{1-\rho} \rho^k$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, although we have no guarantee of convergence of the sequence $(\mathbf{M}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, observe that condition (iii) still ensures that

$$(6.13) \quad \|\mathbf{M}_k\| \leq Ck \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, fixing $r \in (0, \rho)$ (thus $\rho \leq 1/2 < \sqrt{1/e}$), we can choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ in such a way that $\rho^{k+1} < r \leq \rho^k$. Thus, by (ii), (6.12) and (6.13), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |u(x) - u(y) - b_\infty \cdot (x - y)| &\leq \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |u - \mathbf{p}_k| + |u(y) - \mathbf{a}_k| + \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |(\mathbf{b}_k - \mathbf{b}_\infty) \cdot (x - y)| + \sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |\mathbf{M}_k(x - y) \cdot (x - y)| \\ &\leq \sup_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u - \mathbf{p}_k| + \frac{C}{1-\rho^2} \rho^{2k} + \|\mathbf{b}_k - \mathbf{b}_\infty\| r + \|\mathbf{M}_k\| r^2 \\ &\leq \rho^{2k} + \frac{C}{1-\rho^2} \rho^{2k} + \frac{C}{1-\rho} \rho^k r + Ckr^2 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using $r \leq \rho^k$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x \in B_r^+(y)} |u(x) - u(y) - \mathbf{b}_\infty \cdot (x - y)| &\leq \rho^{2k} + \frac{C}{1 - \rho^2} \rho^{2k} + \frac{C}{1 - \rho} \rho^{2k} + Ck\rho^{2k} \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{C}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{C}{1 - \rho}\right) \rho^{2k} + Ck\rho^{2k} \\
&\leq C(\rho^{2k} + k\rho^{2k}) = \frac{C}{\rho^2} \left(\frac{1}{k} + 1\right) k\rho^{2(k+1)} \\
(6.14) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq Ck\rho^{2(k+1)} \leq -Cr^2 \ln(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as $y \in T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is arbitrary, it follows from (6.14) that $u \in C^{1, \text{Log-Lip}}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$ with the desired estimate. \square

p-BMO estimates for Hessian of solutions

In this final part, we will revisit the previous theorem and, to some extent improve it, by assuming a sort of $W^{2,p}$ estimates for solutions of certain class fully nonlinear models of oblique boundary problems.

In effect, following the ideas of Theorem 6.2 and [4, Theorem 1.4], we can obtain p -BMO estimates for the Hessian of solutions to the problem (6.1) under suitable *a priori* estimates. More precisely, we say that the problem

$$(6.15) \qquad \qquad \qquad \begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}) = f(x) & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} = g_0(x) & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

enjoys $W^{2,p}$ estimates, when $f \in L^p(B_1^+)$ (for some $n \leq p < \infty$) and $g_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})$ (for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$), we have that $\mathfrak{h} \in W^{2,p}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$ with the following estimate

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{W^{2,p}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})} \leq C(\text{universal}) \left(\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{L^p(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \right).$$

We must highlight that $W^{2,p}$ estimates are available, for instance, for the class of convex operators (see [5]) and asymptotically convex operators (see [4, Proposition 3.4] for more details).

Taking these conditions into account, we have the following result.

Theorem 6.3 (p-BMO estimates for Hessian). *Let u be a viscosity solution for (6.1). Assume the conditions of Theorem 6.2 are in force, with $n \leq p < \infty$ and $\gamma = 0$. Further suppose that the problem (6.1) enjoys $W^{2,p}$ estimates. Then, $D^2u \in p\text{-BMO}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$ with the following estimate*

$$\|D^2u\|_{p\text{-BMO}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{p\text{-BMO}(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})} \right),$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, p, \mu_0, C_{\beta\gamma}, p, C^*, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{T_1})}$ and $W^{2,p}$ a priori regularity estimates.

Proof. By Theorem 6.2, we observe that there is a sequence of polynomials $(\mathfrak{p}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the form $\mathfrak{p}_k(x) = \mathbf{a}_k + \mathbf{b}_k \cdot x + \frac{1}{2}x^t M_k x$ such that

- (i) $F(M_k) = (f)_1$,
- (ii) $\sup_{B_{\rho^k}^+(y)} |u - \mathfrak{p}_k| \leq \rho^{2k}$,

$$(iii) \quad |\mathbf{a}_{k-1} - \mathbf{a}_k| + \rho^{k-1} |\mathbf{b}_{k-1} - \mathbf{b}_k| + \rho^{2(k-1)} |\mathbf{M}_{k-1} - \mathbf{M}_k| \leq C\rho^{2(k-1)},$$

for all $k \geq 0$, where $\mathbf{p}_{-1} = \mathbf{p}_0 = \frac{1}{2}x^t \mathbf{M}_0 x$ for $\mathbf{M}_0 \in \text{Sym}(n)$ in such a way that $F(\mathbf{M}_0) = (f)_1$, and ρ is the radius coming from Lemma 6.1. Now, the auxiliary function

$$v_k(x) = \frac{(u - \mathbf{p}_k)(\rho^k x)}{\rho^{2k}}$$

is a normalized (by (ii)) viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2 v_k + \mathbf{M}_k) = f_k(x) & \text{in } \mathbf{B}_1^+ \\ \beta_k(x) \cdot Dv_k(x) = g_k(x) & \text{on } \mathbf{T}_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} f_k(x) & := f(\rho^k x) \\ \beta_k(x) & := \beta(\rho^k x) \\ g_k(x) & := \rho^{-k}(g(\rho^k x) - \beta(\rho^k x) \cdot D\mathbf{p}_k(\rho^k x)), \end{cases}$$

with $\beta_k, g_k \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbf{T}}_1)$ (see the proof of Theorem 6.2). Thus, from the available $W^{2,p}$ a priori estimates, $v_k \in W^{2,p}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)$. Furthermore, given $0 < r < \rho$, there exists an integer k such that $\rho^{k+1} < r \leq \rho^k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{r \in (0,1/2)} \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} |D^2 u(z) - \mathbf{M}_k|^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \sup_{r \in (0,1/2)} \left(\frac{\rho^{kn}}{r^n} \cdot \int_{\mathbf{B}_{\rho^k}^+} |D^2 u(z) - \mathbf{M}_k|^p dz \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \sup_{r \in (0,1/2)} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^n |\mathbf{B}_1^+|} \cdot \int_{\mathbf{B}_1^+} |D^2 v_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \mathbf{C}(\text{universal}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, recall the general inequality

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} \left| D^2 u - \int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} D^2 u dy \right|^p dx \leq 2^p \int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} |D^2 u - \mathbf{M}_k|^p dx.$$

In effect, it is straightforward

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} \left| D^2 u - \int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} D^2 u dy \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} |D^2 u - \mathbf{M}_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left| \int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} D^2 u dy - \mathbf{M}_k \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} |D^2 u - \mathbf{M}_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} |D^2 u - \mathbf{M}_k| dx \\ &\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} |D^2 u - \mathbf{M}_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by combining the above inequalities

$$\|D^2 u\|_{p\text{-BMO}(\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{\frac{1}{2}}^+)} := \sup_{r \in (0,1/2)} \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} \left| D^2 u - \int_{\mathbf{B}_r^+} D^2 u dy \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \mathbf{C}(\text{universal}),$$

thereby finishing the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 6.4. As a final remark, since viscosity solutions to (6.15) enjoy a Hessian bound in the L^p -average sense, then using the embedding result from [3, Lemma 1], we can obtain $C^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}$ type estimates. In fact, if $u : \mathbf{B}_1^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $D_{ij}u \in p\text{-BMO}(\mathbf{B}_{1/2}^+)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, then, $u \in C^{1,\text{Log-Lip}}(\mathbf{B}_{1/4}^+)$. Specifically,

$$\sup_{\rho \in (0,1/4)} \sup_{z \in \partial\Omega} \sup_{\mathbf{B}_{\rho}(z) \cap \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - [u(z) + Du(z) \cdot (x - z)]|}{\rho^2 \ln(\rho^{-1})} \leq \hat{\mathbf{C}} \cdot (\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)} + \|f\|_{p\text{-BMO}(\Omega)}),$$

for some constant $\hat{\mathbf{C}} = \hat{\mathbf{C}}(n, \lambda, \Lambda, p, \mu_0, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbf{T}}_1)}, \|\partial\Omega\|_{C^{1,1}})$.

7 Schauder-type estimates

In this final section, we will address $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations, such as (1.1). Our purpose is to ensure, under certain conditions, Schauder-type estimates for the problem (1.1). Such estimates have been well-explored in the context of fully nonlinear elliptic equations. The celebrated works of Evans [16] and Krylov in [19] and [20] in the 1980s provided the starting point in this direction for interior $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for classical solutions of homogeneous fully nonlinear elliptic PDEs with constant coefficients, expressed in terms of the C^2 norms.

An essential ingredient for obtaining the Schauder estimates was the assumption that the governing operator of the equation has a convex structure. Advancing along this line, and with the formalization of the viscosity solution concept by Crandall and Lions in [11], Caffarelli in [7] (see also [8]) further ensured interior Hölder estimates for the second derivatives of viscosity solutions to fully nonlinear equations with variable coefficients.

Finally, in the context of regularity for fully nonlinear equations with oblique boundary conditions, we must initially mention the work of Milakis and Silvestre in [29], which obtained $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for viscosity solutions with Neumann boundary data on flat boundaries when F is convex. Last but not least, Li and Zhang, in [22], recently addressed Schauder-type estimates for equations like (1.1) in the setting of convex operators and constant coefficients.

Therefore, the purpose of this section will be to extend the recent results addressed by Li and Zhang in [22] regarding Schauder-type estimates for models like (1.1).

From now on, we will need to define the following function, which measures the oscillation of the coefficients of the operator F around x_0 :

$$\tilde{\Phi}_F(x; x_0) = \sup_{M \in \text{Sym}(n)} \frac{|F(M, x) - F(M, x_0)|}{1 + \|M\|}.$$

Moreover, for the sake of simplicity, we denote $\tilde{\Phi}_F(x) = \tilde{\Phi}_F(x; 0)$.

For our purpose, it is necessary to make the following assumptions:

(#) (C^{2,α_0} a priori estimates) Given $g_0 \in C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})$, we will assume that the problem

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}, 0) = 0 & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma\mathfrak{h} = g_0 & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\mathfrak{h} \in C^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{B_{\frac{2}{3}}^+})$ with the following estimate

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{B_{\frac{2}{3}}^+})} \leq C^* \left(\|h\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|g_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})} \right)$$

for a universal constant $C^* > 0$.

In the following, we will present an approximation result that, through an iterative process, yields the desired Schauder-type estimates for solutions of (1.1). The proof is inspired by [8, Lemma 7.9] and [5, Lemma 3.5].

Lemma 7.1 (Approximation Lemma III). *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and u be a normalized viscosity solution for the problem (1.1), where $\beta, \gamma, g \in C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})$. Further assume that $\|\tilde{\Phi}_F\|_{L^n(B_1^+)} \leq \varepsilon$, and (#) holds. Then, there exist a function $\mathfrak{h} \in C^2(\overline{B_{\frac{3}{4}}^+})$ and $\varphi \in C^0(\overline{B_{\frac{3}{4}}^+})$ such that*

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^2(\overline{B_{\frac{3}{4}}^+})} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad u - \mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}, \Lambda, \varphi\right),$$

such that

$$\|u - \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3}{4}}^+\right)} + \|\varphi\|_{L^n\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3}{4}}^+\right)} \leq C' \left(\varepsilon^\theta + \|f\|_{L^n(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} \right)$$

for constants $C, C' > 0$ depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha, C^*, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$, and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ depending only on n, λ, Λ and μ_0 .

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h} \in C^0\left(\mathbb{B}_{7/8}^+\right)$ be the viscosity solution to

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h}, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}_{7/8}^+ \\ \mathfrak{h} = u & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{B}_{7/8}^+ \setminus \mathbb{T}_{7/8} \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma\mathfrak{h} = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{T}_{7/8}. \end{cases}$$

By hypothesis (#), it follows that $\mathfrak{h} \in C^{2,\alpha_0}$, and for $\vartheta \in (0, \frac{7}{8})$ and scaling properties, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} + \vartheta\|D\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} + \vartheta^2\|D^2\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} \leq C,$$

where $C = C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha_0, C^*, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}) > 0$. Now, by considering $w = u - \mathfrak{h}$, we see that w satisfies in the viscosity sense

$$\begin{cases} w \in \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}, \Lambda, \varphi\right) & \text{in } \mathbb{B}_{7/8}^+ \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{B}_{7/8}^+ \setminus \mathbb{T}_{7/8} \\ \beta \cdot Dw + \gamma w = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{T}_{7/8}, \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi(x) = f(x) - F(D^2\mathfrak{h}(x), x)$. Then, by the A.B.P. estimate 2.5,

$$(7.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} &\leq \|w\|_{\left(\partial\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+ \setminus \mathbb{T}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}\right)} + C \left(\|\varphi\|_{L^n\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} \right) \\ &\leq \|w\|_{\left(\partial\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+ \setminus \mathbb{T}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}\right)} + C \left(\|f\|_{L^n\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} + \|F(D^2h(\cdot), \cdot)\|_{L^n\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0) > 0$.

Next, we will study the second term of the estimate (7.3). In fact, as \mathfrak{h} is a viscosity solution of (7.1), and by the hypothesis on the oscillation of the coefficients, we obtain in $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+$

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(D^2\mathfrak{h}(\cdot), \cdot)\|_{L^n\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+} |F(D^2\mathfrak{h}(x), x) - \underbrace{F(D^2\mathfrak{h}(x), 0)}_{=0}|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+} |\tilde{\Phi}_F(x)(1 + \|D^2\mathfrak{h}(x)\|)|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \|D^2\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} \right) \|\tilde{\Phi}_F\|_{L^n\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \|D^2\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} \right) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (7.2), we have

$$(7.4) \quad \|F(D^2h(\cdot), \cdot)\|_{L^n\left(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}-\vartheta}^+\right)} \leq C\varepsilon(1 + \vartheta^{-2}) \leq 2C\varepsilon\vartheta^{-2},$$

since $\vartheta \in (0, 1)$, and $0 < C = C\left(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha_0, C^*, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})}\right)$.

On the other hand, by [22, Theorem 1.1], we have that $w \in C^{\alpha'}(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}-\vartheta}^+})$, for some $\alpha' \in (0, 1)$ depending only on n, λ, Λ , and μ_0 . Therefore, from $w = 0$ on $\partial B_{\frac{r}{8}}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{r}{8}}$, it follows that

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|w\|_{\left(\partial B_{\frac{r}{8}-\vartheta}^+ \setminus T_{\frac{r}{8}-\vartheta}\right)} &\leq \left([w]_{\alpha', \overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}-\vartheta}^+}}\right) \vartheta^{\alpha'} \\ &\leq C\vartheta^{\alpha'} (1 + \|f\|_{L^n(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(T_1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < C = C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0)$ (here, we used [8, Proposition 4.14]).

Finally, setting $\vartheta = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha'}}$ and $\theta = \frac{\alpha'}{2+\alpha'}$, and using (7.3) and (7.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L^\infty\left(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}-\vartheta}^+}\right)} + \|\varphi\|_{L^n\left(\overline{B_{\frac{r}{8}-\vartheta}^+}\right)} &\leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^n(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(T_1)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \vartheta^{-2}\varepsilon + \vartheta^{\alpha'}(1 + \|f\|_{L^n(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(T_1)})\right) \\ &\leq C'\left(\varepsilon^\theta + \|f\|_{L^n(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(T_1)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for some $C' = C'(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, \alpha_0, C^*, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})}) > 0$. This concludes the proof of the Theorem. \square

To obtain our main result, we will need the following structural assumption:

(H1) (C^{2,α_0} type estimates for translated problems) Given $g_0 \in C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})$ for some $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$, and $M \in Sym(n)$ such that $F(M, 0) = 0$, we will assume that the problem

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2\mathfrak{h} + M, 0) = 0 & \text{in } B_1^+ \\ \beta \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma\mathfrak{h} = g_0 & \text{on } T_1, \end{cases}$$

admits solutions $\mathfrak{h} \in C^{2,\alpha_0}\left(\overline{B_{\frac{2}{3}}^+}\right)$ with the following estimate

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{2,\alpha_0}\left(\overline{B_{\frac{2}{3}}^+}\right)} \leq C^\sharp \left(\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|g_0\|_{C^{1,\alpha_0}(\overline{T_1})}\right)$$

for a universal constant $C^\sharp > 0$.

(H2) (Regularity on the data of the problem (1.1)) Assume that $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(B_1^+)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. In addition, assume that $\beta \in C^{1,\alpha_\beta}(\overline{T_1})$, $\gamma \in C^{1,\alpha_\gamma}(\overline{T_1})$, and $g \in C^{1,\alpha_g}(\overline{T_1})$ fulfilling the following relation for the Hölder exponents continuity $\alpha < \min\{\alpha_\beta, \alpha_\gamma, \alpha_g\}$. Furthermore, assume that β and γ are such that for every $r \in (0, 1)$

$$\|\beta\|_{L^\infty(T_r)} \leq C_\beta r^{1+\alpha_\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(T_r)} \leq C_\gamma r^{1+\alpha_\gamma},$$

where C_β and C_γ are positive constants.

The next result is a key tool in establishing our Schauder-type estimates. It provides a geometric decay of $(2 + \alpha)$ -order (at the origin) for solutions of (1.1), thereby addressing the desired higher regularity estimates.

Theorem 7.2 (Point-wise $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates). *Let u be a viscosity solution to*

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, x) = f(x) & \text{in } B_{r_0}^+ \\ \beta \cdot Du + \gamma u = g(x) & \text{on } T_{r_0}. \end{cases} ,$$

where F satisfies the assumption **(A1)**, with $F(0,0) = f(0) = 0$, and consider $0 < \alpha < \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_\beta, \alpha_\gamma, \alpha_g\}$. Further assume the structural hypotheses **(H1)**-**(H2)** are in force, and that there exist constants $C_0 > 0$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} |\tilde{\Phi}_F(x)|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq C_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^\alpha, \quad \forall r \in (0, r_0].$$

Then, $u \in C^{2,\alpha}$ at the origin. More precisely, there exists a quadratic polynomial \mathbf{p} such that:

$$(i) \quad \|u - \mathbf{p}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{B}_1^+} \cap \mathbb{B}_r)} \leq C'' \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{2+\alpha}, \quad \forall r \in (0, r_1].$$

$$(ii) \quad \|D\mathbf{p}(0)\| + \|D^2\mathbf{p}(0)\| \leq C''.$$

$$(iii) \quad C'' \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} + C_1 + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} \right),$$

where $1 < C = C(n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_0, C^\sharp, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}, \|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})})$ and $r_1 = \tilde{C} = C^{-1}r_0$

Remark 7.3. We must emphasize that the assumptions $F(0,0) = f(0) = 0$ are not restrictive. Indeed, we can use the uniform ellipticity and a suitable translation in the source term in such a way that these hypotheses are satisfied. For more details, see [8, Chapter 8].

Proof. As addressed in [22, Lemma 6.3], we can assume, without loss of generality, that $g(0) = 0$ and $Dg(0) = 0$. Moreover, by scaling reasoning (cf. [8, Theorem 8.1]), it is enough to prove that there exist constants $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta > 0$ depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, C_\gamma, C_\beta, C^\sharp, \alpha, \|\beta\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$ and $\|\gamma\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})}$ such that if u is a normalized viscosity solution of (1.1), $\|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} \leq \varepsilon$, and

$$(7.6) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} |\tilde{\Phi}_F(x)|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \delta r^\alpha, \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} |f(x)|^n dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \delta r^\alpha, \quad \forall r \in (0, 1],$$

then there exists a quadratic polynomial function \mathbf{p} satisfying (i) and (ii) with $r_1 = 1$.

Firstly, let $\rho \in (0, 1)$ be a radius such that

$$(7.7) \quad \rho := \min \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \frac{49}{64}, \left(\frac{1}{3C^\sharp} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_0 - \alpha}} \right\}.$$

For such a fixed $\rho > 0$, we choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$(7.8) \quad 10C'\varepsilon^\theta \leq \rho^{2+\alpha},$$

where C' and θ are as in Lemma 7.1. Now, we select $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{1-\frac{1}{n}}(1+\tilde{C})\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

where ω_n denotes the volume of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and the constant $\tilde{C} > 0$ will be taken in such a way that

$$20\tilde{C} \max\{C_\beta, C_\gamma\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Such choices determine universal parameters in our approach. In such a context, it is enough to prove the following statement:

Statement 2. For every $k \geq 1$, there exist quadratic polynomials

$$\mathbf{p}_k(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^t \cdot M_k \cdot x + \mathbf{b}_k \cdot x + \mathbf{a}_k,$$

where $M_k \in \text{Sym}(n)$, $\mathbf{b}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\text{(I)} \quad F(\mathbf{M}_k, 0) = 0.$$

$$\text{(II)} \quad \|u - \mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\mathbf{B}_\rho^+})} \leq \rho^{k(2+\alpha)}.$$

$$\text{(III)} \quad |\mathbf{a}_k - \mathbf{a}_{k-1}| + \rho^{k-1} \|\mathbf{b}_k - \mathbf{b}_{k-1}\| + \rho^{2(k-1)} \|\mathbf{M}_k - \mathbf{M}_{k-1}\| \leq \tilde{C} \rho^{2(k-1)(2+\alpha)},$$

where $\mathbf{p}_0 \equiv \mathbf{p}_{-1} \equiv 0$, and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ is a universal constant.

We will prove such a statement by induction on k . For the case $k = 0$, we set $\mathbf{p}_{-1} \equiv \mathbf{p}_0 \equiv 0$, $F(0, 0) = 0$ and u is normalized. Now, suppose that we have already constructed $\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k$ satisfying statements (I) – (III). Thus, we must show that there exists a quadratic polynomial \mathbf{p}_{k+1} satisfying such conditions. For this purpose, we define the auxiliary function given by

$$v_k(x) = \frac{(u - \mathbf{p}_k)(\rho^k x)}{\rho^{k(2+\alpha)}}, \quad x \in \mathbf{B}_1^+ \cup \mathbf{T}_1.$$

Thus, we will prove that v_k satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 7.1. In fact, note that by the induction hypothesis, $\|v_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{B}_1^+)} \leq 1$. Now, note that v_k is a viscosity solution of

$$\begin{cases} F_k(D^2 v_k, x) = f_k(x) & \text{in } \mathbf{B}_1^+ \\ \beta_k \cdot Dv_k + \gamma_k v_k = g_k(x) & \text{on } \mathbf{T}_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} F_k(\mathbf{M}, x) & := \frac{1}{\rho^{k\alpha}} (F(\rho^{k\alpha} \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M}_k, \rho^k x) - F(\mathbf{M}_k, \rho^k x)), \\ f_k(x) & := \frac{1}{\rho^{k\alpha}} (f(\rho^{k\alpha} x) - F(\mathbf{M}_k, \rho^k x)), \\ \beta_k(x) & := \beta(\rho^k x), \\ \gamma_k(x) & := \rho^k \gamma(\rho^k x), \\ g_k(x) & := \frac{1}{\rho^{k(1+\alpha)}} [g(\rho^k x) - \beta_k(x) \cdot D\mathbf{p}_k(\rho^k x) - \gamma(\rho^k x) \mathbf{p}_k(\rho^k x)]. \end{cases}$$

Now, by construction, and by the structural hypothesis **(H1)**, we have that $F_k(0, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{B}_1^+ \cup \mathbf{T}_1$, and the associated problem to the operator F_k also satisfies the condition **(H1)**, with the same constant C^\sharp (in particular, the condition (\sharp) holds true for F_k , with the same constant C^\sharp). Moreover, as in [8, Theorem 8.1], we can see that

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{F_k}(x) \leq 2\rho^{-k\alpha} \tilde{\Phi}_F(\rho^k x)(1 + \|\mathbf{M}_k\|)$$

Now, by (III), we have that for $i \geq k$

$$\|\mathbf{M}_k\| \leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 - \rho^\alpha} \leq \tilde{C}.$$

Hence, by combining the two facts above, it follows that

$$(7.9) \quad \|\tilde{\Phi}_{F_k}\|_{L^n(\mathbf{B}_1^+)} \leq 2(1 + \tilde{C})\rho^{-k\alpha} \rho^{-k} \|\tilde{\Phi}_F\|_{L^n(\mathbf{B}_{\rho^k}^+)} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{n}}(1 + \tilde{C})\delta\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

where we used (7.6). Similarly, by using the induction hypothesis (I), we have

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_k\|_{L^n(\mathbf{B}_1^+)} & \leq \rho^{-k(1+\alpha)} \left(\|f\|_{L^n(\mathbf{B}_{\rho^k}^+)} + (1 + \tilde{C}) \|\tilde{\Phi}_F\|_{L^n(\mathbf{B}_{\rho^k}^+)} \right) \\ & \leq \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \delta 2^{1-\frac{1}{n}} (1 + \tilde{C}) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is clear that $\beta_k, \gamma_k, g_k \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbf{T}_1})$, and by the conditions on β , γ , and g , we have

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}_1)} & \leq \rho^{-k(1+\alpha)} [\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}_{\rho^k})} + \|\gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}_{\rho^k})} \|\mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}_{\rho^k})} \\ & \quad + \|\beta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}_{\rho^k})} \|D\mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}_{\rho^k})}] \\ & \leq \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbf{T}_1})} + C_\gamma \rho^{k(\alpha_\gamma - \alpha)} \|\mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}_{\rho^k})} + \\ & \quad + C_\beta \rho^{k(\alpha_\beta - \alpha)} \|D\mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T}_{\rho^k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since hypothesis (III) holds, we can bound the L^∞ -norm of \mathbf{p}_k , as well as the corresponding norm of its gradient, and obtain that

$$\|\mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k})} \leq \frac{3\tilde{C}}{1-\rho^{2+\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|D\mathbf{p}_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_{\rho^k})} \leq \frac{2\tilde{C}}{1-\rho^{1+\alpha}}.$$

Thus, it follows from (7.11), the assumption $\|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{T}_1})} \leq \varepsilon$, and the choice of the constant \tilde{C} , that the following holds

$$(7.12) \quad \|g_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_1)} \leq \varepsilon + 40\tilde{C} \max\{C_\beta, C_\gamma\} \leq \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon = 3\varepsilon.$$

Therefore, under the hypotheses of Lemma 7.1, we obtain the existence of constants C' and θ , and a function $\mathfrak{h} \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3}{4}}^+})$ such that

$$(7.13) \quad \|v_k - \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3}{4}}^+)} \leq C'(\varepsilon^\theta + 4\varepsilon) \leq 5C'\varepsilon^\theta \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2+\alpha},$$

where we used the assumption (7.8), as well as the fact that $\varepsilon, \theta \in (0, 1)$.

Now, remember that, by Lemma 7.1, \mathfrak{h} satisfies, in viscosity sense

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{cases} F_k(D^2\mathfrak{h}, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}}^+ \\ \mathfrak{h} = v_k & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}}^+ \setminus \mathbb{T}_{\frac{7}{8}} \\ \beta_k \cdot D\mathfrak{h} + \gamma_k \mathfrak{h} = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{T}_{\frac{7}{8}}, \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we can check that F_k satisfies the assumption required in **(H1)**, thus

$$\|\mathfrak{h}\|_{C^{2,\alpha_0}(\overline{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{49}{64}}^+})}^* \leq C^\sharp \|\mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{7}{8}}^+)} \leq C^\sharp.$$

Now, consider $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^t \cdot \overline{\mathbf{M}} \cdot x + \overline{\mathbf{b}} \cdot x + \overline{\mathbf{a}}$, where

$$\overline{\mathbf{a}} = \mathfrak{h}(0), \quad \overline{\mathbf{b}} = D\mathfrak{h}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathbf{M}} = D^2\mathfrak{h}(0).$$

With the choices performed in (7.7) and (7.8), we know by Taylor formula with the Lagrange remainder

$$(7.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{h} - \overline{\mathfrak{p}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_\rho^+)} &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{B}_\rho^+ \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|D^2\mathfrak{h}(x) - D^2\mathfrak{h}(y)\|}{|x-y|^{\alpha_0}} \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{B}_\rho^+ \\ x \neq y}} |x-y|^{\alpha_0} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}C^\sharp \left(\frac{64}{49}\right)^{2+\alpha_0} \rho^{2+\alpha_0} \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2}C^\sharp \rho^{2+\alpha_0} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (7.13) and (7.15), it follows that

$$(7.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v_k - \overline{\mathfrak{p}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_\rho^+)} &\leq \|v_k - \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_\rho^+)} + \|\mathfrak{h} - \overline{\mathfrak{p}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_\rho^+)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2+\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^{2+\alpha} = \rho^{2+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of (7.16), for all $x \in \mathbb{B}_\rho^+$, we obtain

$$(7.17) \quad \left| \frac{u(\rho^k x) - \mathbf{p}_k(\rho^k x)}{\rho^{k(2+\alpha)}} - \overline{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \right| \leq \rho^{2+\alpha},$$

or equivalently, if $y = \rho^k x$, then for any $y \in B_{\rho^{k+1}}^+$,

$$|u(y) - \mathfrak{p}_k(y) - \rho^{k(2+\alpha)} \bar{\mathfrak{p}}(\rho^{-k} y)| \leq \rho^{(k+1)(2+\alpha)}.$$

Now, we consider the quadratic polynomial function as follows

$$\mathfrak{p}_{k+1}(y) = \mathfrak{p}_k(y) + \rho^{k(2+\alpha)} \bar{\mathfrak{p}}(\rho^{-k} y).$$

Thus, by (7.17), we conclude that

$$\|u - \mathfrak{p}_{k+1}\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_{\rho^{k+1}}^+})} \leq \rho^{(k+1)(2+\alpha)},$$

thereby establishing the condition (II) via induction. Moreover, note that, by the construction of such polynomials, the condition (I) is naturally satisfied, as well as the coefficients satisfy the condition (III). This proves the desired statement. With such an assertion, analogously to [8, Theorem 8.1], the proof is completed. \square

In conclusion, by combining the above theorem with [8, Theorem 8.1], we obtain the following result:

Theorem 7.4 (Schauder estimates under oblique boundary conditions). *Let F be a uniformly elliptic operator satisfying the condition (H1). Suppose further f, β, γ, g satisfy the structural condition (H2), and consider $0 < \alpha < \min\{\alpha_0, \alpha_\beta, \alpha_\gamma, \alpha_g\}$. Then, $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})$ with the following estimate*

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}^+})} \leq C \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B_1^+)} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha_g}(\overline{T_1})} \right),$$

for some universal constant $C > 1$.

Acknowledgments

This manuscript is part of the first author's Ph.D. thesis. He would like to express gratitude to the Department of Mathematics at the Universidade Federal do Ceará for fostering a pleasant and productive scientific atmosphere, which contributed to the successful outcome of this project. J.S. Bessa was partially supported by CAPES-Brazil under Grant No. 88887.482068/2020-00. J.V. da Silva and G.C. Ricarte have been partially supported by CNPq-Brazil under Grant No. 307131/2022-0, and No. 304239/2021-6. J.V. da Silva has been partially supported by FAPEX-UNICAMP 2441/23 Editais Especiais - PIND - Projetos Individuais (03/2023). Part of this work was developed during the *Fortaleza Conference on Analysis and PDEs* (2022) at the Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC-Brazil). J.V. da Silva would like to thank to UFC's Department of Mathematics for fostering a pleasant scientific and research atmosphere during his visits in the Summer of 2022 and Spring of 2023.

References

- [1] Amaral, M. and dos Prazeres, D. *Optimal boundary regularity for viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations*. Journal of Differential Equations. 338(2022), pp. 46-75.
- [2] Arisawa, M. *Long time averaged reflection force and homogenization of oscillating Neumann boundary conditions*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 20 (2003), no.2, 293-332.
- [3] Azzam, J. and Bedrossian, J. *Bounded mean oscillation and the uniqueness of active scalar equations*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015), no. 5, 3095-3118.

- [4] Bessa, J. S., da Silva, J.V., Frederico, M. N. B. and Ricarte, G. C. *Sharp Hessian estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations under relaxed convexity assumptions, oblique boundary conditions and applications.* J. Differential Equations 367 (2023), 451-493.
- [5] Byun, S-S. and Han, J. *$W^{2,p}$ -estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with oblique boundary conditions.* J. Differential Equations 268 (2020), no.5, 2125–2150.
- [6] Byun, S.-S.; Han, J. and Oh, J. *On $W^{2,p}$ -estimates for solutions of obstacle problems for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with oblique boundary conditions.* Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 61 (2022), no.5, Paper No. 162, 15 pp.
- [7] Caffarelli, L.A. *Interior a priori estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear equations.* Ann. of Math. (2) 130 (1989), no 1, 1891-73.
- [8] Caffarelli, L.A. and Cabré, X., *Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations.* Colloquium Publications 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.
- [9] Caffarelli, L.A., Crandall, M.G., Kocan, M. and Świąch, A. *On viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear equations with measurable ingredients.* Comm, Pure Appl. Math. 49 (1996) (4), 365–397.
- [10] Choi, S. and Kim, I. *Homogenization of oblique boundary value problems.* Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 23 (2023), no.1, Paper No. 20220051, 29 pp.
- [11] Crandall, M.G.; Lions, P. L. *Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., v. 277, n. 1, p. 1-42, 1983.
- [12] da Silva, J.V. and Nornberg, G. *Regularity estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic PDEs with general Hamiltonian terms and unbounded ingredients.* Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 60 (2021), no. 6, Paper No. 202, 40 pp.
- [13] da Silva, J.V. and Teixeira, E.V. *Sharp regularity estimates for second order fully nonlinear parabolic equations* Math. Ann. 369 (2017), no.3-4, 1623-1648.
- [14] Daskalopoulos, P., Kuusi, T. and Mingione, G. *Borderline estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations 39 (2014), no.3, 574-590.
- [15] Escauriaza, L. *$W^{2,n}$ a priori estimates for solutions to fully nonlinear equations.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993), no. 2.
- [16] Evans, L. C., *Classical solutions of fully nonlinear, convex, second-order elliptic equations.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 35(3), 333-363, 1982.
- [17] Giga, Y. and Sato, M.-H. *On semicontinuous solutions for general Hamilton-Jacobi equations.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26 (2001), 813-839.
- [18] Ishii, H. *Fully nonlinear oblique derivative problems for nonlinear second-order elliptic PDEs.* Duke Math. J. 62 (1991), no. 3, 633-661.
- [19] Krylov, N.V. *Boundedly nonhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations.* Izv. Akad. Nak. SSSR Ser. Mat. 46 (1982), 487-523; English transl. in Math USSR Izv. 20 (1983), 459-492.
- [20] Krylov, N. V. *Boundedly nonhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations in a domain.* Izv. Akad. Nak. SSSR Ser. Mat. 47 (1983), 75-108; English transl. in Math USSR Izv. 22 (1984), 67-97.
- [21] Lerner, A.K., Lorist, E. and Ombrosi, S. *BMO with respect to Banach function spaces.* Math. Ann. p. 1850024, 2023.

- [22] Li, D. and Zhang, K. *Regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with oblique boundary conditions*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 228(3)(2018) 923-967.
- [23] Lian, Y. and Zhang, K. *Boundary pointwise $C^{1,\alpha}$ and $C^{2,\alpha}$ regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations*. J. Differential Equations 269 (2020), no.2, 1172-1191.
- [24] Lieberman, G.M. *The nonlinear oblique derivative problem for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 8 (1984), 49-65.
- [25] Lieberman, G.M. *The Dirichlet problem for quasilinear elliptic equations with continuously differentiable boundary values*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 11 (1986), 167-229.
- [26] Lieberman, G.M. *On the Hölder gradient estimate for solutions of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic oblique boundary value problems*. Commun. Partial Differential Equations. 15 (4) (1990), 515-523.
- [27] Lieberman, G.M. and Trudinger, N.S. *Nonlinear oblique boundary value problems for nonlinear elliptic equations*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 295 (1986), no.2, 509-546.
- [28] López, R. *Constant mean curvature surfaces with boundary*. Springer Monogr. Math. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. xiv+292 pp. ISBN:978-3-642-39625-0 ISBN:978-3-642-39626-7.
- [29] Milakis, E. and Silvestre, L.E. *Regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with Neumann boundary data*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 31 (2006), no. 7-9, 1227–1252.
- [30] Nornberg, G. *$C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with superlinear growth in the gradient*. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 128 (2019), 297-329.
- [31] Ritoré, M. and Sinestrari, C. *Mean curvature flow and isoperimetric inequalities*. Edited by Vicente Miquel and Joan Porti Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010. viii+113 pp. ISBN:978-3-0346-0212-9.
- [32] Safonov, M.V. *On the oblique derivative problem for second order elliptic equations*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 20 (1995), no. 7-8, 1349-1367.
- [33] Stein, E. M.; Murphy, T. S. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1993. v. 43. (Princeton Mathematical, v. 43).
- [34] Taira, K. *Oblique derivative problems and Feller semigroups with discontinuous coefficients*. Ricerche mat (2020) online publication. DOI <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11587-020-00509-5>.
- [35] Teixeira, E.V. *Universal Moduli of Continuity for Solutions to Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations*. Arch Rational Mech. Analysis. 211 (2014), 911-927.
- [36] Trudinger, N.S. *Fully nonlinear, uniformly elliptic equations under natural structure conditions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 751-769.
- [37] Trudinger, N.S. *Boundary value problems for fully nonlinear elliptic equations*. Miniconference on nonlinear analysis (Canberra, 1984), 65-83. Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ., 8 Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra, 1984 ISBN:0-86784-509-0.

Department of Mathematics
Fortaleza, CE-Brazil 60455-760
junior.bessa@alu.ufc.br

JOÃO VITOR DA SILVA
Universidade Estadual de Campinas - UNICAMP
Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica - IMECC
Departamento de Matemática
Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 651
Campinas - SP, Brazil 13083-859
jdasilva@unicamp.br

GLEYDSON C. RICARTE
Universidade Federal Ceará
Department of Mathematics
Fortaleza, CE-Brazil 60455-760
ricarte@mat.ufc.br