

# The limit points of the bass notes of arithmetic hyperbolic surfaces

Michael Magee

*To Peter Sarnak on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

## Abstract

We prove that the limit points of the bass notes of arithmetic hyperbolic surfaces are the interval  $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ .

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Related works	4
1.2	Acknowledgments	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Set up</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Probabilistic input</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Probabilistic spectral gap</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Geometric tangle freeness</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Local bounds for eigensections</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Bumping off the cusps</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Bumping to zero along tile edge</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.1</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Common fixed points of subgroups under random homomorphisms to permutation groups</b>	<b>28</b>

# 1 Introduction

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let*

$$\text{BASS}(\text{arith}_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \lambda_1(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}) : \Lambda \text{ is an arithmetic lattice in } \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \}.$$

*The limit points of  $\text{BASS}(\text{arith}_2)$  are the interval  $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ . In fact this is also true if we restrict to  $\Lambda \leq \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ .*

Here  $\lambda_1(Y)$  is the bottom of the non-trivial<sup>1</sup> spectrum of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on  $Y$ .

This theorem confirms a prediction of Sarnak that is part of his program ‘Prescribing the spectra of locally uniform geometries’. This program was described during his Chern lectures [Sar23] (U.C. Berkeley, Jan.-Feb. 2023) and encompasses, among other things, the geometry of numbers, the Markoff spectrum, the Oppenheim conjecture, and results about Euclidean sphere packings in one viewpoint. Let us now point to the backdrop of Theorem 1.1.

0. The fact that 0 is a limit point of  $\text{BASS}(\text{arith}_2)$  follows from an old result due to Randol [Ran74] and independently, Selberg [Sel65].

1. Selberg’s Eigenvalue Conjecture [Sel65] says that  $\frac{1}{4}$  is the only possible value of  $\lambda_1(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H})$  when  $\Lambda$  is a congruence subgroup of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ . The best progress towards this conjecture is Kim–Sarnak [Kim03, App. 2] giving a lower bound of  $\frac{975}{4096}$ .

2. There are obviously only finitely many subgroups of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$  with a given upper bound on their index and hence covolume in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Hence in establishing Theorem 1.1 one must have

$$\text{vol}(\Lambda_i \backslash \mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \infty.$$

If one extends consideration to all arithmetic lattices in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  the same is true by a result of Borel [Bor81].

3. It is clear that for non-compact lattices in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\lambda_1(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}) \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]$  since there is continuous spectrum of the Laplacian with support  $[\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$  [LP81]. For cocompact arithmetic lattices, a result of Huber [Hub74] states  $\limsup \lambda_1(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}) \leq \frac{1}{4}$  for any sequence with covolume tending to infinity; combined with the aforementioned result of Borel, this means any limit point of  $\lambda_1$  must be in  $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>A multiplicity-one zero is removed.

4. The fact that  $\frac{1}{4}$  is a limit point of  $\text{BASS}(\text{arith}_2)$  follows from work of Hide and the author [HM23]. If  $\text{BASS}(\text{arith}_2^\xi)$  denotes the bass notes of compact arithmetic surfaces then  $\frac{1}{4}$  is a limit point of  $\text{BASS}(\text{arith}_2^\xi)$  by work of Hide, Louder, and the author [LM23]. It seems possible to combine the methods of the current paper with estimates towards the Selberg conjecture [Kim03] (and Jacquet–Langlands [JL70]) to prove the limit points of  $\text{BASS}(\text{arith}_2^\xi)$  contain the interval  $[0, \frac{975}{4096}]$ . There is somewhat of a barrier<sup>2</sup> to use strong convergence as in this paper to obtain the maximal interval  $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$  as limit points of  $\text{BASS}(\text{arith}_2^\xi)$ , this therefore remains a very interesting open problem.

The result corresponding to Theorem 1.1 for  $d$ -regular graphs,  $d \geq 3$  fixed, in place of arithmetic hyperbolic surfaces has recently been proved by Alon and Wei [AW23]. See §§1.1 for a more detailed comparison between these works.

On route to Theorem 1.1 we prove a theorem that may be of independent interest. Before stating it we recall some notation. Given a finite set  $S$  and two functions  $\xi_1, \xi_2 : S \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ , the *Hamming distance*  $d_{\text{Ham}}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  between  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  is by definition the number of points in  $S$  at which the functions disagree.

Given a finite-area hyperbolic surface  $Y = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ , let

$$\text{Jac}^{(2)}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})$$

be the 2-torsion in the Jacobian variety of  $Y$ . Given any  $\chi \in \text{Jac}^{(2)}(Y)$  there is an Hermitian line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  over  $Y$  associated to  $\chi$  and with associated Laplacian operator etc; let  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_\chi)$  denote the smallest eigenvalue of this operator, if it exists, or  $\frac{1}{4}$  otherwise. Given a finite generating set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \text{Jac}^{(2)}(Y)$  let

$$d_{\text{Ham}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\chi_1, \chi_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d_{\text{Ham}}((\chi_1(b) : b \in \mathcal{B}), (\chi_2(b) : b \in \mathcal{B})).$$

Our main technical contribution is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X = \Gamma(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . There is  $c > 0$  such that the following holds. For any  $\lambda_0 < \frac{1}{4}$ , there is  $C(\lambda_0) > 0$  such that if  $Y_n$  is a uniformly*

---

<sup>2</sup>It seems to require knowing something like this. For  $\Gamma_2$  a surface group of genus 2, do there exist a sequence of  $\phi_i : \Gamma_2 \rightarrow S_{n_i}$  which are tangle-free on scale  $c(\log n_i)^\alpha$ ,  $c, \alpha > 0$ , and such that  $\text{std} \circ \phi_i : \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(n_i - 1)$  strongly converge to the regular representation of  $\Gamma_2$ ?

random degree  $n$  cover of  $Y$  then with probability tending to one as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  there is a generating set  $\mathcal{B}_n$  of  $\pi_1(Y_n)$  such that if  $d_{\text{Ham}}^{\mathcal{B}_n}(\chi_1, \chi_2) = 1$  and  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \leq \lambda_0$  then

$$|\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1}) - \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_2})| < C(\lambda_0)n^{-c\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}.$$

Remark While stated as a probabilistic result, the proof relies only on two deterministic conditions: that  $Y_n$  is connected, and that  $Y_n$  satisfies **GTF**( $K \log n$ ) of §3 for some uniform  $K > 0$  not depending on  $n$ .

We prove that **GTF**( $K \log n$ ) holds for random covers  $Y_n$  as above for some uniform  $K > 0$ . This is a geometric version of the tangle free condition used by Friedman [Fri08]. A geometric version of this property was proven to hold for compact Weil–Petersson random surfaces by Monk and Thomas [MT22] (see also [Mon20, GLMST21]). A very recent and independent preprint of Klukowski and Marković [KM24] proves a related geometric property — the ‘ $L$ -horoball property’ — of random covers of a cusped hyperbolic surface.

## 1.1 Related works

**Graphs.** As we mentioned before, the analog of Theorem 1.1 for all  $d$ -regular graphs was proved by Alon and Wei [AW23].

Our approach certainly has the same overall strategy as Alon–Wei (a type of discrete continuity between two known end points) but differs in several ways:

- We use two–covers rather than flipping edges in graphs. While it is possible flipping edges could have worked in our setting, using two–covers provides an alternative method that possibly extends more easily to more general manifolds e.g. hyperbolic three-manifolds. We plan to return to this topic.
- We produce (doubly) exponentially stronger bounds on the type of continuity one can obtain. This is at least partly because we relax girth conditions to tangle-free conditions, but are able to use them in a similar way.
- Obviously, we have to deal with all complications arising from the geometric setting, with the especial complication that our surfaces are

not compact (this complication was chosen in favor of having non-free fundamental groups, which is a separate issue in the compact case).

In the setting of  $d$ -regular graphs, one could also ask about the bass notes of only arithmetic graphs (those that arise as locally symmetric spaces attached to arithmetic lattices in e.g.  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ). This can likely be dealt with by covering spaces as we do here. In this case it may also be useful to use two-covers as in this paper instead of flips.

**Surfaces.** In the context of (compact) hyperbolic orbifolds, there is the analog question of Theorem 1.1 for all compact hyperbolic orbifolds. This has been studied by Kravchuk, Mazac, and Pal [KMP21] who obtain rigorous bounds on Laplacian spectra via a framework inspired by the conformal bootstrap in conformal field theory in conjunction with linear programming. This allows them to formulate a precise conjecture: if

$$\mathrm{BASS}(\mathrm{hyp}_2^c) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{ \lambda_1(\Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}) : \Lambda \text{ a cocompact lattice in } \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \},$$

then the limit points of  $\mathrm{BASS}(\mathrm{hyp}_2^c)$  should be an interval

$$[0, 15.79023\dots]$$

where the right hand endpoint is  $\lambda_1$  of a specific hyperbolic orbifold. In (*ibid.*) this conjecture is reduced [KMP21, Thm. 4.3] to four inequalities concerning  $\lambda_1$  of specific orbifolds (that should be numerically check-able) plus a conjecture about the global maximum of  $\lambda_1$  on the moduli space of genus 0 orbifolds with orbifold points of orders  $(2, 2, 2, 3)$ .

**Discrete bass note spectra.** While the current work addresses the *limit points* of  $\mathrm{BASS}(\mathrm{arith}_2)$ , and completes the picture for non-compact surfaces<sup>3</sup>, in the case of compact surfaces the *discrete* points of  $\mathrm{BASS}(\mathrm{arith}_2^c)$  and  $\mathrm{BASS}(\mathrm{hyp}_2^c)$  are also of basic interest. Sarnak conjectures [Sar23] that there are infinitely many such discrete points of  $\mathrm{BASS}(\mathrm{arith}_2^c)$ : concretely, there are infinitely many values  $\lambda = \lambda_1(Y) > \frac{1}{4}$  with  $Y$  arithmetic compact. This remains open.

In the setting of  $\mathrm{BASS}(\mathrm{hyp}_2^c)$ , in contrast to the arithmetic setting, Kravchuk, Mazac, and Pal [KMP21] explain that there should be three (precisely known) discrete points of  $\mathrm{BASS}(\mathrm{hyp}_2^c)$  and this is proved modulo resolving the same issues as previously discussed in the context of (*ibid.*).

---

<sup>3</sup>At least, without beginning a discussion about cusp forms.

## 1.2 Acknowledgments

We thank Noga Alon, Charles Bordenave, Benoit Collins, Vlad Marković, Doron Puder, Peter Sarnak, and Joe Thomas for conversations about this work.

Funding: This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1926686. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 949143).

## Notation

If  $S$  is a finite set we write  $\ell_0^2(S)$  for the set of  $\ell^2$  functions on  $S$  that are orthogonal to constant functions. We use the notation  $[n] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 2 Set up

Let  $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma(2) \subset \text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$  be the (projective image of the) principal congruence subgroup of level 2. This  $\Gamma$  is free of rank 2 on the generators

$$a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  is a hyperbolic 3-times punctured sphere.

We use  $\phi$  to denote a homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow S_n$ . Given  $\phi$ ,  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{H} \times [n]$  by  $\gamma(z, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\gamma z, \phi(\gamma)x)$ . We denote by

$$X_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma \backslash_\phi (\mathbb{H} \times [n])$$

the quotient by this action. The resulting  $X_\phi$  is a degree  $n$  Riemannian covering space of  $X$  and as such a hyperbolic surface. If  $X_\phi$  is connected — later we will ensure this — then

$$X_\phi \cong \Gamma_\phi \backslash \mathbb{H}$$

where  $\Gamma_\phi$  is the stabilizer subgroup

$$\Gamma_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Stab}_\phi(1).$$




Figure 2.1:  $F$ , fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$

Let

$$\mu_2 = \mu_2(\mathbb{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\{\pm 1\}, \times)$$

be the multiplicative group of the complex square roots of unity.

Now consider a general homomorphism  $\chi : \Gamma_\phi \rightarrow \mu_2$ . If  $\chi$  is non-trivial, it defines a (Galois) double cover  $X_{\phi, \chi}$  of  $X_\phi$  via

$$X_{\phi, \chi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ker(\chi) \backslash \mathbb{H}.$$

Smooth functions on  $X_{\phi, \chi}$  that are odd under the deck transformation involution correspond to smooth sections of the Hermitian line bundle

$$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\chi \rightarrow X_\phi$$

associated to  $\chi$ . This correspondence intertwines the following table of differential operators (acting on local trivializations of sections).

$C_{\text{odd}}^\infty(X_{\phi, \chi})$	$C^\infty(X_\phi; \mathcal{L}_\chi)$
$\nabla_{X_{\phi, \chi}}$	$\nabla_{X_\phi}$
$\Delta_{X_{\phi, \chi}}$	$\Delta_{X_\phi}$

Let  $F$  be an ideal hyperbolic quadrilateral in  $\mathbb{H}$  with vertices at  $-1, 0, 1, \infty$ . Then  $F$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma(2)$  with side pairings given by  $a, b$  as depicted in Figure 2.1. Let  $K$  denote the bounded component of  $X$  obtained

by cutting along the three closed horocycles<sup>4</sup> of length one. Let  $\mathcal{K} \subset F$  denote the subset corresponding to  $K$ . Let  $K_\phi$  denote the preimage of the core  $K$  in  $X_\phi$ . This is bounded by some closed horocycles that are lifts of the horocycles bounding  $K$ . This  $K_\phi$  is tiled by copies of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

The complement of  $K_\phi$  in  $X_\phi$  is a union of disjoint cusps. For  $z \in X_\phi \setminus K_\phi$ , let  $y(z)$  denote the coordinate of

$$z = x + iy(z)$$

in a model of the universal cover of the cusp in the hyperbolic upper half plane where the bounding horocycle of the cusp is covered by the line  $\text{Im}(z) \equiv 1$ . For example, if  $y(z) = 1$ , then  $z \in \partial K_\phi$ . We call this the *standard model of the cusp*.

### 3 Probabilistic input

We say that a family of events hold asymptotically almost surely (a.a.s.) if they hold with probability tending to one as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We will prove that for uniformly random

$$\phi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_n)$$

the following simultaneously happen a.a.s.

**Connected**  $X_\phi$  is connected. The fact that  $X_\phi$  is connected a.a.s. follows from a result of Dixon [Dix69] that states that two uniformly random and independent elements of  $S_n$  generate  $A_n$  a.a.s. This implies that the image of  $\phi$  is a.a.s. transitive on  $[n]$ , and this is what is required to ensure  $X_\phi$  connected.

**Spectral gap** For any non-trivial  $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mu_2)$ , let  $\chi(\theta)$  denote the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $\Gamma_\phi$ . We have a.a.s., for any non-trivial such  $\theta$

$$\lambda_1(X_{\phi, \chi(\theta)}) \geq \frac{1}{4} - o_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1). \quad (3.1)$$

Remark. This relies crucially on the special fact that any connected degree two covering space  $X_\theta$  of  $X$  has  $\lambda_1(X_\theta) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ . This, as well as (3.1) will be established in §4.

---

<sup>4</sup>By the collar lemma for non-compact surfaces [Bus92, Lemma 4.4.6], these horocycles are disjoint.



**Geometric tangle freeness**  $\mathbf{GTF}(c \log n)$  holds for some  $c > 0$  not depending on  $n$ :

**GTF( $R$ ):** For any  $z \in X_\phi$ , the fundamental group  $\pi_1(B_{X_\phi}(z, R))$  is cyclic (possibly trivial).

This will be established in §5.

Since there only finitely many conditions above, it obviously suffices to prove each occur a.a.s.

## 4 Probabilistic spectral gap

We assume  $X_\phi$  is connected here since we already explained this holds a.a.s.

First we establish the needed spectral properties of degree two covers of  $X$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between non-trivial  $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mu_2)$  and connected degree two covers  $X_\theta$  of  $X$  via taking kernels. Any non-trivial such  $\theta$  factors as

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma, \mu_2) \cong \mu_2 \times \mu_2 \rightarrow \mu_2$$

where the first two maps are canonical. Consider  $\Gamma(4)$ , the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  consisting of elements of  $\Gamma$  congruent to the class of  $\pm I$  modulo 4. It is not hard to check that the quotient

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma(4)$$

agrees with the map  $\Gamma \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma, \mu_2)$  above and hence any connected degree two cover  $X_\theta$  of  $X$  is an intermediate cover

$$X(4) = \Gamma(4) \backslash \mathbb{H} \rightarrow X_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{H}.$$

By a result of Huxley [Hux85, §6],  $\lambda_1(X(4)) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ . This implies  $\lambda_1(X_\theta) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ .

Now take an arbitrary non-trivial  $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mu_2)$  and let  $\chi(\theta)$  be the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $\Gamma_\phi$ . Hide and the author [HM23, Thm. 1] proved that since  $\lambda_1(X) \geq \frac{1}{4}$  (by Huxley [Hux85] again), a.a.s.

$$\lambda_1(X_\phi) \geq \frac{1}{4} - o_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1).$$

We explain how to generalize this result to obtain the same for  $X_{\phi, \chi(\theta)}$ . As we explained in §2, odd functions on  $X_{\phi, \chi(\theta)}$  correspond to sections of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(\theta)}$

in a Laplacian-respecting way. Sections of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(\theta)}$  correspond to sections of the Hermitian vector bundle

$$V_{\theta \otimes \rho_\phi} \rightarrow X$$

associated to the unitary representation  $\theta \otimes \rho_\phi$ , where  $\rho_\phi$  is the composition

$$\rho_\phi : \Gamma \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Perms}([n]) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2([n])).$$

Recall that if  $S$  is a finite set,  $\ell_0^2(S)$  denotes the orthocomplement in  $\ell^2(S)$  of the constant functions with respect to the standard inner product. We have a splitting  $\rho_\phi \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \rho_\phi^0$  where

$$\rho_\phi^0 : \Gamma \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{Perms}([n]) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_0^2([n])).$$

This means

$$\theta \otimes \rho_\phi \cong \theta \oplus (\theta \otimes \rho_\phi^0).$$

Sections of the associated bundle to the  $\theta$  direct summand above correspond exactly to odd functions on  $X_{\phi, \chi(\theta)}$  that are lifts from odd functions on  $X_\theta$ . This only yields eigenvalues  $\geq \frac{1}{4}$  by previous remarks on the spectrum of  $X_\theta$ . Thus the only sections of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi(\theta)}$  that can correspond to eigenvalues of the Laplacian below  $\frac{1}{4}$  correspond to sections of the sub-Hermitian vector bundle over  $X$  associated to  $\theta \otimes \rho_\phi^0$ . We now use two facts from operator algebras.

A. If  $\rho_\phi^0$  converge strongly in probability to the regular representation  $\lambda_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  (as they do in the current case by the main result of Bordenave–Collins [BC19]) then for any finite dimensional representation  $\theta$ ,  $\theta \otimes \rho_\phi^0$  converge strongly in probability to

$$\theta \otimes \lambda_\Gamma.$$

This is known as ‘matrix amplification’ e.g. [HT05, §9].

B. On the other hand, by Fell’s absorption principle,  $\theta \otimes \lambda_\Gamma \cong \lambda_\Gamma$ . So the upshot is that in this case,  $\theta \otimes \rho_\phi^0$  converges strongly to  $\lambda_\Gamma$ .

Now the method of [HM23], mutatis mutandis, implies that if  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi(\theta)})$  denotes the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian on sections, then a.a.s.

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi(\theta)}) \geq \frac{1}{4} - o_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1).$$

By the previous discussion, this gives a.a.s.

$$\lambda_1(X_{\phi, \chi(\theta)}) \geq \frac{1}{4} - o_{n \rightarrow \infty}(1),$$

i.e. **Spectral gap** holds a.a.s.

## 5 Geometric tangle freeness

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be a constant to be chosen later on. Recall for  $z \in X_\phi \setminus K_\phi$  the notation  $y(z)$ . If  $y(z) \geq n^\epsilon$  then the distance from  $y$  to  $\partial K_\phi$  is at least

$$d(y, \partial K_\phi) \geq \epsilon \log n.$$

This means that  $B_{X_\phi}(y, \epsilon \log n)$  has at most cyclic  $\pi_1$  and hence **GTF**( $\epsilon \log n$ ) holds. So we may assume  $y(z) < n^\epsilon$  henceforth — our constant  $c$  will be at most whatever  $\epsilon$  we choose.

Let  $K_\phi(\epsilon \log n)$  (resp.  $K(\epsilon \log n)$ ) be the points of  $X_\phi$  (resp.  $X$ ) at distance less than  $\epsilon \log n$  from  $K_\phi$  (resp.  $K$ ). So we currently assume  $z \in K_\phi(\epsilon \log n)$ . Fix some  $o \in K$ . Since  $K$  is compact there is some  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $K \subset B_X(o, R_0)$ , hence

$$K(\epsilon \log n) \subset B_X(o, R_0 + \epsilon \log n). \quad (5.1)$$

The fiber of  $o$  under the covering map has representatives

$$[(o, i)] \in \Gamma \backslash_\phi (\mathbb{H} \times [n]), \quad i \in [n].$$

Suppose that  $z \in K_\phi(\epsilon \log n)$ , which is tiled by copies of  $K(\epsilon \log n)$ . Let  $i$  be such that  $[(o, i)]$  is in the same  $K(\epsilon \log n)$ -tile as  $z$ . Then from (5.1),

$$z \in B_{X_\phi}([(o, i)], R_0 + \epsilon \log n).$$

Let  $R > 0$  be a parameter. If  $B_{X_\phi}(x, R)$  has larger than cyclic  $\pi_1$ , there are two simple non-trivial geodesic loops beginning and ending at  $x$  — not necessarily smoothly joining at  $x$  — of length  $\leq 4R$ . This means there are two simple non-trivial geodesic loops beginning and ending at  $[(o, i)]$  of length  $\leq 4R + 2R_0 + 2\epsilon \log n$ .

Any such simple geodesic loop covers a simple geodesic loop in  $X$  beginning and ending at  $o$ . This loop represents an element  $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, o)$ . It follows that  $i$  is a fixed point of  $\phi(\gamma)$  and

$$d(o, \gamma o) \leq 4R + 2R_0 + 2\epsilon \log n.$$

In the situation of the previous paragraph, we obtained:

- a number  $i \in [n]$

- two non-identity elements  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  that generate a free rank two group  $H \leq \Gamma = \pi_1(X, o)$ , such that  $i$  is a common fixed point of  $\phi(H)$  and  $d(o, \gamma_i o) \leq 4R + 2R_0 + \epsilon \log n$ .

We will now show that the above a.a.s. never happens: note that we have majorized the event of geometric tangles by an event depending only on elements of  $\Gamma$  and  $\phi$ .

#### Lattice point count

Consider that  $K$  has finite diameter  $D$  and volume  $V$ . If  $\gamma o \in B_{\mathbb{H}}(o, r)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  then  $\gamma K \subseteq B_{\mathbb{H}}(o, r + D)$ , and since  $B_{\mathbb{H}}(o, r + D)$  has volume  $\asymp e^{r+D} \asymp e^r$ , there are at most  $\asymp V^{-1} e^r \asymp e^r$  such  $\gamma$ . In summary,

$$|\{\gamma \in \Gamma : d(o, \gamma o) \leq r\}| \ll e^r. \quad (5.2)$$

#### Word lengths

Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $d(o, \gamma o) \leq r$ . We wish to bound the word length of  $\gamma$  with respect to the generators  $a, b$ , or what is the same, the number of times the geodesic arc in  $\mathbb{H}$  from  $o$  to  $\gamma o$  cuts a side of the tiling of  $\mathbb{H}$  given by  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $F$ .

Fix  $\gamma$  as above and let  $\alpha$  denote this aforementioned geodesic arc. We subdivide  $\alpha$  according to times when it leaves and enters

$$\mathfrak{K} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \gamma' \bar{K}.$$

By perturbing  $o$  an infinitesimal amount we can assume  $\alpha$  does not intersect any ‘corner’ in  $\partial \mathfrak{K} \cap \bigcup_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \gamma' \partial F$  — this is not really an important point, only a technical one.

Any thus obtained subsegment  $\alpha'$  of  $\alpha$  that is *outside*  $\mathfrak{K}$  intersects  $\bigcup_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \gamma' \partial F \leq \exp(2\ell_{\mathbb{H}}(\alpha'))$  times by direct calculation in the hyperbolic metric.

Any subsegment  $\alpha'$  that is *inside*  $\mathfrak{K}$  intersects  $\bigcup_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \gamma' \partial F$  at most  $1 + C\ell_{\mathbb{H}}(\alpha') \leq \exp(C\ell_{\mathbb{H}}(\alpha'))$  times for some  $C \geq 2$ . In total then the number of intersections is

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha'} \exp(C\ell_{\mathbb{H}}(\alpha')) \leq \prod_{\alpha'} \exp(C\ell_{\mathbb{H}}(\alpha')) = \exp(C\ell_{\mathbb{H}}(\alpha)).$$

In summary, there is  $C \geq 2$  such that  $d(o, \gamma o) \leq r$  implies that the word length of  $\gamma$  is at most  $e^{Cr}$ .

#### Probabilities of common fixed points

Now fix  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$6C\epsilon, 2\epsilon < \frac{1}{4}. \quad (5.3)$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}(R, n)$  denote the collection of rank two subgroups of  $\Gamma$  generated by two elements  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  with  $d(o, \gamma_i o) \leq 4R + 2R_0 + \epsilon \log n$ . Previously we proved  $\mathbf{GTF}(R)$  was majorized by the event that some element of  $\mathcal{H}(R, n)$  has a common fixed point in  $[n]$  under  $\phi$ . Let  $\text{fix}_H(\phi)$  denote the number of common fixed points of  $\phi(H)$  and suppose

$$n \geq e^{6C(4R+2R_0)}.$$

Then Proposition A.1 implies that for any  $H \in \mathcal{H}(R, n)$

$$\mathbb{E}_n[\text{fix}_H] \leq \frac{e^{6C(4R+2R_0+\epsilon \log n)}}{n} \stackrel{(5.3)}{\ll} \frac{n^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{C'R}}{n}$$

for some  $C' > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_n[\# \text{ common fixed points of subgroups } H \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{H}(R)] \\ & \leq \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}(R)} \mathbb{E}_n[\text{fix}_H] \\ & \ll \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}(R)} \frac{e^{C'R}}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\ & \stackrel{(5.2)}{\ll} e^{2(4R+2R_0+\epsilon \log n)} \frac{e^{C'R}}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \\ & \stackrel{(5.3)}{\ll} \frac{e^{C''R}}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C'' > 0$ . Now take

$$R = \frac{1}{4C''} \log n$$

to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_n[\# \text{ common fixed points of subgroups } H \text{ for } H \in \mathcal{H}(R, n)] \ll n^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$

so by Markoff's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}_n[\text{there is any } H \in \mathcal{H}(R, n) \text{ with any common fixed point}] \ll n^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Hence a.a.s.  $\mathbf{GTF}(c \log n)$  holds if  $c = \min\left(\frac{1}{4C''}, \epsilon\right) > 0$ .

## 6 Local bounds for eigensections

Recall from §3 **GTF**( $R$ ): For any  $z \in X_\phi$ , the geometric ball  $B_{X_\phi}(z, R)$  has cyclic fundamental group.

In this section, let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_n)$ , assume  $X_\phi$  is connected, and let  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma_\phi, \mu_2)$ . The purpose of this section is given an eigensection  $f$  of  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ , with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , to give a local bound on  $|f|$  that only depends on  $\lambda$  and  $\phi$ , under the assumption **GTF**( $c \log n$ ) for  $c$  as in §3.

**Proposition 6.1.** *For any  $0 < c' < c$  the following holds. Let  $\phi, \chi$  be as above. Assume that  $\phi$  satisfies **Connected** and **GTF**( $c \log n$ ). Suppose that  $f$  is any smooth section of  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$  and  $\|f\|_{L^2(X_\phi)} = 1$ . Then:*

1. *If  $z \in X_\phi \setminus K_\phi$ , and  $L$  is the length of the closed horocycle bounding the cusp containing  $z$ , then*

$$|f(z)|^2 \ll_c n^{-c\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{y(z)}{L} \log \left( \frac{2y(z)n^c}{L} \right) \right).$$

2. *If  $z \in K_\phi$  then*

$$|f(z)|^2 \ll_{c',c} n^{-c'\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}.$$

*The implied constants depend on  $\Gamma$  and  $c', c$  but nothing else.*

We will adapt and extend the argument of Gilmore–Le Masson–Sahlsten–Thomas [GLMST21] to the present context of cusped surfaces and also explain why it is uniform over line bundles. We will apply the pre-trace inequality from [Gam02, Prop. 5.2] that we recall here for the sake of the reader.

**Lemma 6.2.** *For  $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{4}]$  let  $r(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}$ . Let  $0 = \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_q \leq \frac{1}{4}$  denote the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on sections of  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  that are at most  $\frac{1}{4}$ , let  $f_j$  denote their corresponding  $L^2$ -normalized eigensections, viewed as  $\chi$ -equivariant functions for  $\Gamma_\phi$  acting on  $\mathbb{H}$ . For any compactly supported  $k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with non-negative Selberg transform  $h$ , for any  $z \in \mathbb{H}$*

$$\sum_{j \in [q]} h(r(\lambda_j)) |f_j(z)|^2 \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\phi} \chi(\gamma) k(d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, \gamma z)).$$

The original version of this inequality does not include the character  $\chi$  but it does not change the proof.

*Proof of Proposition 6.1.* Make the assumptions given in the proposition. Let  $k_t$  be defined by

$$k_t(\rho) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathbf{1}\{\rho \leq t\}}{\sqrt{\cosh t}}.$$

Then  $k_t$  is thought of as the kernel  $k_t(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k_t(d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y))$  on  $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ . As such, the convolution  $K_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k_t * k_t$  makes sense and corresponds to a compactly supported  $K_t : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The Selberg transform is a  $*$ -morphism, so if  $H_t$  denotes the Selberg transform of  $K_t$ , we have

$$H_t = |h_t|^2 \geq 0$$

where  $h_t$  is the Selberg transform of  $k_t$ . It is proved in [GLMST21, Proof of Thm. 4.1] that for  $t \geq 3$

$$h_t(r(\lambda_i)) \gg \sinh \left( t \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda} \right). \quad (6.1)$$

We can also estimate

$$\begin{aligned} K_t(x, y) &= k_t * k_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{\cosh t} \int_{z \in \mathbb{H}} \mathbf{1}\{d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, z) \leq t, d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, y) \leq t\} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{1}\{d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) \leq 2t\} \text{Vol}_{\mathbb{H}}(B_{\mathbb{H}}(x, t))}{\cosh t} \ll \mathbf{1}\{d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) \leq 2t\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemma 6.2 with this pair of functions  $K_t, H_t$  and

$$t = \frac{1}{2}c \log n$$

with  $c$  as in **GTF**( $c \log n$ ). Supposing  $f$  is an  $L^2$ -normalized eigensection of  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  we obtain for any  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  that

$$|f(z)|^2 \leq n^{-c\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\phi} \mathbf{1}\{d(z, \gamma z) \leq 2t\}. \quad (6.2)$$

Now suppose  $z \in X_\phi$  is fixed — the outcome of the following logic will not depend on  $z$ .

By **GTF**( $c \log n$ ) the collection of  $\gamma$  for which the right hand side of (6.2) is not zero lie inside a (possibly trivial) cyclic subgroup of  $\Gamma_\phi$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  denote this cyclic subgroup. Suppose it is not trivial (the trivial case is even easier).

First suppose the non-trivial elements of this  $\Gamma'$  are hyperbolic. If  $d(z, \gamma z) \leq 2t$  then the displacement length of  $\gamma$  is  $\leq 2t$ . But displacement length is a homomorphism

$$\Gamma' \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, +)$$

and the generator of  $\Gamma'$  has displacement length bounded below by  $\ell_0$ , the shortest length of a closed geodesic on  $X$ . This means the right hand side of (6.2) is at most

$$n^{-c\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \frac{4t+1}{\ell_0} \ll n^{-c'\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}$$

for any  $0 < c' < c$ .

Now suppose the non-trivial elements of  $\Gamma'$  are parabolic, and let  $\gamma_0$  be a generator of  $\Gamma'$ . We split into two cases.

Case 1.  $z$  is not in  $K_\phi$ .

This means  $z$  is in a cusp of  $X_\phi$  bounded by a horocycle of length  $L$  lying over a component of  $\partial K$ . After changing coordinates to the standard model of the cusp (cf. §2),  $\gamma_0(z) = z + L$  where  $L$  is the length of the closed horocycle in  $X_\phi$  covered by  $\text{Im}(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$ . In this model,  $z \in X_\phi$  corresponds to  $z = x + iy(z)$  with  $y(z) \geq 1$ . In this case, the previous argument does not work because

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, \gamma_0^n z) = 2 \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{|n|L}{2y(z)} \right) \quad (6.3)$$

and so  $4t+1$  above gets replaced by something exponential in  $t$ . But we note for later that  $d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, \gamma_0^n z) \leq 2t$  implies

$$n \leq \frac{2y(z)e^{2t}}{L}. \quad (6.4)$$

Instead, we depart from [GLMST21] and re-estimate  $K_t$ . This is already done in Gamburd [Gam02, Prop. 5.1] and gives

$$K_t(z, w) \ll \frac{e^t}{\cosh t} \mathbf{1}\{d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w) \leq 2t\} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w)} \ll \mathbf{1}\{d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w) \leq 2t\} e^{-\frac{1}{2}d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w)}.$$

Now  $\gamma_0^n$  with  $n \neq 0$  contributes to the right hand side of the pre-trace inequality at most (using (6.4) and (6.3))



$$\ll \mathbf{1} \left\{ |n| \leq \frac{2y(z)e^{2t}}{L} \right\} \frac{y(z)}{|n|L} \ll \frac{y(z)}{L} \mathbf{1} \left\{ |n| \leq \frac{2y(z)e^{2t}}{L} \right\} \frac{1}{|n|}.$$

Summing over all  $n$  (counting one for the identity) gives at most

$$\ll 1 + \frac{y(z)}{L} \log \left( \frac{2y(z)e^{2t}}{L} \right)$$

for the right hand side of pre-trace inequality. Putting things together with  $t = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \log n$  gives

$$|f(z)|^2 \leq n^{-c\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{y(z)}{L} \log \left( \frac{2y(z)n^c}{L} \right) \right).$$

Case 2.  $z \in K_\phi$ .

Take all lifts of  $\partial K$  to  $\mathbb{H}$ , where they form a countably infinite collection of disjoint horocircles. Each such horocircle is tangent to  $\partial\mathbb{H}$  at a fixed point of a parabolic element of  $\Gamma$ , and this gives a one-to-one correspondence between infinite cyclic parabolic subgroups and horocircles. Our assumption on  $z$  implies  $z$  lifts to a point disjoint from all closed discs bounded by these horocircles.

In this case we can still change coordinates in the universal cover so  $\gamma_0(z) = z + L$ ,  $L \in \pm\mathbb{N}$ , and  $z = x + iy$  with  $y \leq 1$ . Then running the same argument as in the previous case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)|^2 &\leq n^{-c\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{y(z)}{L} \log \left( \frac{2y(z)n^c}{L} \right) \right) \ll n^{-c\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \log(n^c) \\ &\ll n^{-c'\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 7 Bumping off the cusps

We need the following localization formula due to Ismagilov–Morgan–Simon–Sigal [CFKS87, Thm. 3.2].

**Theorem 7.1** (IMS localization formula). *Suppose  $(M, g)$  is a Riemannian manifold and  $\{J_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  are a family of smooth functions  $J_i : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that*

1.  $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} J_i^2 \equiv 1$
2. on any compact set  $K \subset M$ , only finitely many  $J_i$  are not zero
3.  $\sup_{x \in M} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |\nabla J_i(x)|^2 < \infty$ .

Then

$$\Delta = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} J_i \Delta J_i - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |\nabla J_i|^2.$$

Above,  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$  are the (non-negative) Laplace-Beltrami and gradient operators defined w.r.t.  $g$ .

Suppose that we are given  $X_\phi$  satisfying **Connected** and **GTF**( $c \log n$ ). Given  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma_\phi, \mu_2)$ , let  $f$  be an  $L^2$ -normalized section of  $\mathcal{L}_\chi$  on  $X_\phi$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  in  $[0, \lambda_0]$  with  $\lambda_0 < \frac{1}{4}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be connected components of  $X_\phi \setminus K_\phi$ , i.e. cusps. Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  denote the lengths of the closed horocycles bounding the respective  $\mathcal{C}_i$ . Fix universal  $J : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  smooth and such that

$$J(r) \equiv 1 \quad r \geq 3, \tag{7.1}$$

$$J(r) \equiv 0 \quad r \leq 2, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\sqrt{1 - J^2} \in C^\infty. \tag{7.3}$$

In the standard model of  $\mathcal{C}_i$  (cf. §2), let

$$J_i(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J\left(\frac{y(z)}{L_i}\right)$$

define a smooth cutoff on  $\mathcal{C}_i$  — then  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  have disjoint supports. Extend both functions by zero to the whole of  $X_\phi$ . Let

$$J_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{1 - (J_1^2 + J_2^2)}$$

and

$$f' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_0 f.$$

We aim to prove that  $f'$  has close to the same Rayleigh quotient as  $f$ . That is, we compare

$$\frac{\int_{X_\phi} |\nabla f|^2}{\int_{X_\phi} |f|^2}, \quad \frac{\int_{X_\phi} |\nabla f'|^2}{\int_{X_\phi} |f'|^2}.$$

The estimates involving  $|f|^2$  and  $|f'|^2$  integrals will rely on the following result of Gamburd [Gam02, Lemma 4.1].

**Theorem 7.2** (Gamburd’s Collar Lemma). *Suppose  $\lambda_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ . There exists  $c_{\lambda_0} > 0$  such that for any  $\phi, \chi, f$  as above, using coordinate  $y(z)$  in a fixed cusp  $\mathcal{C}_i$  of  $X_\phi$*

$$\int_{y(z)=L_i}^{2L_i} |f|^2 \geq c_{\lambda_0} \int_{y(z)=2L_i}^{\infty} |f|^2$$

(To obtain this statement from Gamburd’s result without thinking about line bundles, one can apply [Gam02, Lemma 4.1] to  $f$  viewed as a function on  $X_{\phi, \chi}$ , and work in the double cover of the cusp.)

It follows from Theorem 7.2 since in  $\mathcal{C}_i$ ,  $J_0 \equiv 1$  in  $y(z) \leq 2L_i$  that

$$\|f'\|^2 \geq \int_{y(z)=L_i}^{2L_i} |f|^2 \geq c_{\lambda_0} \int_{y(z)=2L_i}^{\infty} |f|^2 \geq c_{\lambda_0} \|J_i f\|^2.$$

Adding this for  $i = 1, 2$ , using  $\|J_1 f\|^2 + \|J_2 f\|^2 = 1 - \|f'\|^2$  and rearranging gives

$$\|f'\|^2 \geq \frac{c_{\lambda_0}}{2 + c_{\lambda_0}} > 0. \quad (7.4)$$

We now start the main argument of this §.

**Proposition 7.3.** *With notation as above, if  $\mathbf{GTF}(c \log n)$  holds, then for any  $c' < c$*

$$\frac{\langle \Delta f', f' \rangle}{\|f'\|^2} - \lambda \ll_{c', \lambda_0} n^{-2c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda}}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\mathfrak{J} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\nabla J_0|^2 + |\nabla J_1|^2 + |\nabla J_2|^2 \geq 0.$$

This is supported in  $X_\phi \setminus K_\phi$  and in  $\mathcal{C}_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{J}$  is supported on  $\{z : 2L_i \leq y(z) \leq 3L_i\}$ . By Theorem 7.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta f', f' \rangle &= \langle \mathfrak{J} f, f \rangle + \langle \Delta f, f \rangle - \langle \Delta J_1 f, J_1 f \rangle - \langle \Delta J_2 f, J_2 f \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{J} f, f \rangle + \lambda - \langle \Delta J_1 f, J_1 f \rangle - \langle \Delta J_2 f, J_2 f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By lifting, each  $J_i f$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , can be viewed as a smooth compactly supported function on a parabolic cylinder (extending a cusp region of  $X_{\tilde{\phi}}$ ). As the spectrum of the  $L^2$  Laplacian in this cylinder is bounded below by  $\frac{1}{4}$  it follows as in [HM23, Lemma 4.2] that

$$\langle \Delta J_i f, J_i f \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4} \|J_i f\|_2^2 \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Inserting this above and rearranging gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle \Delta f', f' \rangle &\leq \langle \mathfrak{J}f, f \rangle + \lambda - \frac{1}{4} (\|J_1 f\|_2^2 + \|J_2 f\|_2^2) \\ &= \langle \mathfrak{J}f, f \rangle + \lambda \|J_0 f\|_2^2 + \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{4} \right) (\|J_1 f\|_2^2 + \|J_2 f\|_2^2) \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

$$\leq \langle \mathfrak{J}f, f \rangle + \lambda \|f'\|_2^2. \quad (7.6)$$

Now we estimate

$$|\langle \mathfrak{J}f, f \rangle| \leq \|\mathfrak{J}\|_1 \max \left( \sup_{z \in \mathcal{C}_1, y(z) \leq 3L_1} |f(z)|^2, \sup_{z \in \mathcal{C}_2, y(z) \leq 3L_2} |f(z)|^2 \right)$$

By Proposition 6.1 we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{C}_i, y(z) \leq 3L_i} |f(z)|^2 \ll_c n^{-c\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} (1 + \log(6n^c)) \ll_{c'} n^{-c'\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}$$

for any  $c' < c$ . Hence

$$|\langle \mathfrak{J}f, f \rangle| \ll_{c'} \|\mathfrak{J}\|_1 n^{-c'\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}. \quad (7.7)$$

We hence turn to  $\|\mathfrak{J}\|_1$ . In  $\mathcal{C}_1$

$$\mathfrak{J} = |\nabla J_0|^2 + |\nabla J_1|^2 = y^2 \left( \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial y} \right)^2 + y^2 \left( \frac{\partial J_1}{\partial y} \right)^2.$$

Since derivatives of  $J$  and  $\sqrt{1-J^2}$  are uniformly bounded

$$\left( \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial y} \right)^2, \left( \frac{\partial J_1}{\partial y} \right)^2 \ll \frac{1}{L_1^2}.$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{J} \ll \frac{y^2}{L_1^2}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{J}$  is supported on  $y$  between  $2L_1$  and  $3L_1$  we have

$$\|\mathfrak{J}\|_{L^1(\mathcal{C}_1)} \ll \int_0^{L_1} \int_{2L_1}^{3L_1} \frac{y^2}{L_1^2} \frac{dy \wedge dx}{y^2} = 1.$$

Exactly the same bound holds in  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and hence for  $\|\mathfrak{J}\|_1$ , so

$$|\langle \mathfrak{J}f, f \rangle| \ll_{c'} n^{-c'} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}.$$

Using this in (7.6) gives

$$\langle \Delta f', f' \rangle - \lambda \|f'\|^2 \ll_{c'} n^{-c'} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda};$$

now dividing by  $\|f'\|^2$  and using (7.4) gives

$$\frac{\langle \Delta f', f' \rangle}{\|f'\|^2} - \lambda \ll_{c', \lambda_0} n^{-c'} \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}. \quad (7.8)$$

□

## 8 Bumping to zero along tile edge

Recall that  $\mathcal{K}$  is the fixed compact part of the fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the set of lengths of geodesic boundary segments of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Now let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote a infinite geodesic edge of the tiling of  $X_\phi$  by  $F$ -tiles. This edge meets one or two cusps. The case of one cusp is similar so suppose there are two, and call them  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  as in the previous section bounded by closed horocycles of lengths  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  respectively.

Let  $\kappa \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \sinh^{-1}(\frac{1}{8})$  and  $\Omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \ell(\mathcal{E} \cap K_\phi) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G}$ . We will use Fermi coordinates in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{E}$  of the form

$$(\rho, t) \in [-\kappa, \kappa] \times [-(\Omega + \log(4L_2)), (\Omega + \log(4L_1))] \xrightarrow{\theta} X_\phi$$

where  $\rho$  is the signed distance to  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $t$  is the (signed) arc-length along  $\mathcal{E}$ : here we pick a point  $p$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  midway between the two boundary components of  $K_\phi$  that  $\mathcal{E}$  intersects for which  $t(p) = 0$  and we have oriented  $\mathcal{E}$  from  $\mathcal{C}_2$  to  $\mathcal{C}_1$  in some way to fix the sign of  $\rho$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the image of this map  $\theta$ ; the construction of the constants we use ensure that  $\theta$  is a diffeomorphism to its image. In these coordinates the hyperbolic metric is

$$ds^2 = d\rho^2 + (\cosh \rho)^2 dt^2.$$




Figure 8.1: Illustration of Fermi tube  $\mathcal{T}$

Given  $f$  as in the previous section, let  $f' = J_0 f$  be the function obtained from the previous section. Let  $K_\phi^+$  denote the union of  $K_\phi$  and the regions of  $\mathcal{C}_i$  with  $y(z) \leq 4L_i$  in the standard model of each cusp. Then

$$K_\phi^+ \supseteq \mathcal{T}.$$

Let  $J$  be as before, satisfying (7.1)–(7.3). In the above Fermi coordinates in  $\mathcal{T}$ , let  $J^*(\rho, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J\left(\frac{2|\rho|}{\kappa}\right)$  for  $|\rho| \leq \kappa$  and extend by constant value one to a function on  $K_\phi^+$ . Both  $J^*$  and  $\sqrt{1 - (J^*)^2}$  are smooth. Now,  $J_0 J^*$  is smooth on  $K_\phi^+$  and extends (by zero) to a smooth function on all of  $X_\phi$ .

Let  $f'' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_0 J^* f$  and we will write  $J^* f'$  for this, understanding what it means (understanding the product only requires understanding  $J^*$  in the support of  $J_0$ ).

**Proposition 8.1.** *With notation as above, for any  $c' < c$  and  $\lambda < \lambda_0$*

$$\frac{\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle}{\langle f'', f'' \rangle} - \lambda \ll_{c', \lambda_0} n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda}}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$Q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} J_0^2 \left( |\nabla J^*|^2 + |\nabla \sqrt{1 - J^{*2}}|^2 \right) \geq 0,$$

$Q$  is supported in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Moreover,  $Q$  is smooth and bounded in  $\mathcal{T}$  (independently of  $\mathcal{E}$ ).

The IMS localization formula (Theorem 7.1) applied to  $f'$  tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle &= \langle \Delta f', f' \rangle + \langle Qf, f \rangle - \langle \Delta \sqrt{1 - J^{*2}} f', \sqrt{1 - J^{*2}} f' \rangle \\ &\leq \langle \Delta f', f' \rangle + \langle Qf, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q$  is supported in  $\mathcal{T}$ ,

$$\langle Qf, f \rangle \ll \text{area}(\mathcal{T}) \|f|_{\mathcal{T}}\|_\infty^2. \quad (8.1)$$

The area of  $\mathcal{T}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\kappa}^{\kappa} \int_{-\Omega - \log(4L_2)}^{\Omega + \log(4L_1)} \cosh \rho dt d\rho &= (2\Omega + \log(4L_1) + \log(4L_2)) \int_{-\kappa}^{\kappa} \cosh \rho d\rho \\ &\ll (2\Omega + \log(4L_1) + \log(4L_2)) \\ &\ll \log n \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

where the last inequality used both  $L_1, L_2 \leq n$ .

Using Proposition 6.1 and (8.2) in (8.1) gives for  $c' < c$

$$\langle Qf, f \rangle \ll_{c', \lambda_0} (\log n) n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \ll_{c', \lambda_0} n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}.$$

Hence

$$\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle - \langle \Delta f', f' \rangle \ll_{c', \lambda_0} n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}. \quad (8.3)$$

We also have

$$\langle f'', f'' \rangle = \langle f', f' \rangle - \langle J_0 \sqrt{1 - J^{*2}} f, J_0 \sqrt{1 - J^{*2}} f \rangle$$

and since  $J_0 \sqrt{1 - J^{*2}}$  is supported on  $\mathcal{T}$  and universally bounded, repeating the same arguments as before gives

$$\langle f'', f'' \rangle - \langle f', f' \rangle \gg_{c', \lambda_0} -n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}}. \quad (8.4)$$

Combining the above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle}{\langle f'', f'' \rangle} - \frac{\langle \Delta f', f' \rangle}{\langle f', f' \rangle} &= \frac{1}{\langle f', f' \rangle} (\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle - \langle \Delta f', f' \rangle) \\ &\quad + \frac{\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle}{\langle f'', f'' \rangle \langle f', f' \rangle} (\langle f', f' \rangle - \langle f'', f'' \rangle) \\ &\stackrel{(7.4)}{\ll_{c', \lambda_0}} \max(\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle - \langle \Delta f', f' \rangle, 0) \\ &\quad + \frac{\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle}{\langle f'', f'' \rangle} \max(\langle f', f' \rangle - \langle f'', f'' \rangle, 0) \\ &\stackrel{(8.3), (8.4)}{\ll_{c', \lambda_0}} n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle}{\langle f'', f'' \rangle} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies now rearranging and using Proposition 7.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \Delta f'', f'' \rangle}{\langle f'', f'' \rangle} &\leq \left( 1 + O_{c', \lambda_0} \left( n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \right) \right) \frac{\langle \Delta f', f' \rangle}{\langle f', f' \rangle} \\ &\quad + O_{c', \lambda_0} \left( n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \right) \\ &\leq \left( 1 + O_{c', \lambda_0} \left( n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \right) \right) \left( \lambda + O_{c', \lambda_0} \left( n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + O_{c', \lambda_0} \left( n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \right) \\ &= \lambda + O_{c', \lambda_0} \left( n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□



## 9 Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.1

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Suppose that  $\phi$  satisfies **Connected** and **GTF**( $c \log n$ ) for  $c > 0$ . Results of previous sections establish these hold a.a.s.

Let  $G_\phi$  denote the 4-regular Schreier graph constructed from the side pairing congruences  $A, B$  of the fundamental domain  $F$  acting on  $[n]$  via  $\phi$ . Since we assume  $X_\phi$  is connected, it is realized as  $\Gamma_\phi \backslash \mathbb{H}$  and moreover  $G_\phi$  is connected. This graph  $G_\phi$  encodes the dual structure of the tiling of  $X_\phi$  by  $\mathcal{K}$ -tiles. It has a distinguished vertex  $\otimes$  corresponding to the tile labeled ‘1’ under  $X_\phi = \Gamma_\phi \backslash (\mathbb{H} \times [n])$ . Let  $o_n$  denote an element of this tile. Since  $X_\phi$  deformation retracts to an embedded copy of  $G_\phi$ , we have (via this deformation retract)  $\pi_1(G_\phi, \otimes) \cong \pi_1(X_\phi, o_n) = \Gamma_\phi$ .

The edges of  $G_\phi$  are directed and labeled by  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $T$  denote a spanning tree for  $G_\phi$ . Gluing  $\mathcal{K}$ -tiles according to  $T$  gives a fundamental domain  $F_\phi$  for  $\Gamma_\phi$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Arbitrarily direct the elements of  $E(G_\phi \backslash T)$  (one could take the previously given directions, for example). For each  $e \in E(G_\phi \backslash T)$  there is a unique homotopy class of loop in  $G_\phi$  beginning and ending at  $\otimes$  that proceeds (uniquely) to the start point of  $e$  in  $T$ , traverses  $e$  in its given direction, then returns (uniquely) to  $\otimes$  in  $T$ . These loops give a free basis  $\mathcal{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\gamma_e : e \in E(G_\phi \backslash T)\}$  of the free group  $\Gamma_\phi \cong \pi_1(G_\phi, \otimes)$ . This is the basis described in Theorem 1.2. These elements are the side pairing congruences for  $F_\phi$ .

Let  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  be as in the statement of Theorem 1.2 with Hamming distance one w.r.t.  $\mathcal{B}$ . There is a one-to-one differential-operator-respecting correspondence between smooth sections of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_i}$  on  $X_\phi$  and smooth functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  such that

$$f \circ \gamma = \chi_i(\gamma)f; \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_\phi \tag{9.1}$$

as discussed in §2. This correspondence implies also that for any differential operator  $D$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  that

$$D[f \circ \gamma_e] = \chi_i(\gamma_e)D[f]; \quad e \in E(G_\phi \backslash T). \tag{9.2}$$

These functions are uniquely determined by their restriction to  $\overline{F_\phi}$  where they satisfy (9.2) for  $D$  defined in any neighborhood of  $\partial F_\phi$  and such that both sides of (9.2) can be evaluated with arguments in  $\partial F_\phi$ . (This condition is intended to make sure that the function on  $\overline{F_\phi}$  extends to a smooth  $\Gamma_\phi$ -periodic function on  $\mathbb{H}$ .) The conditions (9.2) are an ensemble of boundary conditions, one for each side-pairing congruence  $\gamma_e$  of  $F_\phi$ .

If  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  have Hamming distance one with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ , there is a unique  $e_0 \in E(G_\phi \setminus T)$  such that  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  differ at  $\gamma_{e_0}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the infinite geodesic in  $X_\phi$  corresponding to the edge  $e_0$ , and let  $f$  be the eigensection for  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1}$  corresponding to the bottom of its spectrum,  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1})$ . Let  $f''$  be the section of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1}$  that is the output of the previous §7–8 applied to  $f$ .

The fact that  $f''$  is zero in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{E}$  means that, using the previously mentioned correspondences, if we restrict  $f''$  to  $F_\phi$  and then lift it to a section of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_2}$ , we obtain a section  $F$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_2}$  with (by Proposition 8.1)

$$\frac{\langle \Delta F, F \rangle}{\langle F, F \rangle} \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1}) + O_{c', \lambda_0} \left( n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda}} \right).$$

By the variational principle this implies

$$\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_2}) \leq \lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_1}) + O_{c', \lambda_0} \left( n^{-c' \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \lambda}} \right).$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Given any  $\eta > 0$  — the ‘scale’ at which we want to find many  $\lambda$  below  $\frac{1}{4}$  — let  $c > 0$  and  $C \left( \frac{1}{4} - \eta \right) > 0$  be as in Theorem 1.2.

Using the results of §3 we can find  $n > 0$  such that

$$C \left( \frac{1}{4} - \eta \right) n^{-c\sqrt{\eta}} < \eta$$

and  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_n)$  such that  $X_\phi$  is connected,  $X_\phi = \Gamma_\phi \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , and find a generating set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\Gamma_\phi$  that satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 applied with  $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{4} - \eta$ . Moreover, we can ensure that for some fixed  $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mu_2)$

$$\lambda_1(X_{\phi, \chi(\theta)}) \geq \frac{1}{4} - \eta \tag{9.3}$$

(since **Spectral Gap** holds a.a.s.). let  $\chi_0$  denote the trivial representation of  $\Gamma_0$ , so sections of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}$  correspond to functions on

$$X_{\phi, \chi_0} \cong X_\phi \sqcup X_\phi$$

that are odd under the deck transformation swapping components. Hence  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}) = 0$  since there is a locally constant section of  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}$ .

According to the output of Theorem 1.2, when we move from  $\chi_0$  to  $\chi_\theta$  along a geodesic w.r.t.  $d_{\text{Ham}}^{\mathcal{B}}$ , at each step,  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{L}_\bullet)$  increases by at most  $\eta$ . But this value begins at zero and ends up at least  $\frac{1}{4} - \eta$ , so its values must be  $\eta$ -dense in  $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ .

Finally, if  $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_r = \chi(\theta)$  are the result of moving along this geodesic, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spec}(\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_{\chi_i}}) &\subset \text{spec}(\Delta_{X_{\phi, \chi_i}}) = \text{spec}(\Delta_{X_\phi}) \cup \text{spec}(\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_{\chi_i}}) \\ &\subseteq \left[\frac{1}{4} - \eta, \infty\right) \cup \text{spec}(\Delta_{\mathcal{L}_{\chi_i}}) \end{aligned}$$

from (9.3), so the corresponding  $\lambda_1(X_{\phi, \chi_i})$  are also  $\eta$ -dense in  $[0, \frac{1}{4}]$ .  $\square$

# A Common fixed points of subgroups under random homomorphisms to permutation groups

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a finitely generated free group with a fixed basis  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $H$  a finitely generated (necessarily free) subgroup. For  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_n)$ , let  $\text{fix}_H$  denote the number of points in  $[n]$  fixed by every element of  $\phi(H) \leq S_n$ . For a function  $F$  on  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_n)$  we write  $\mathbb{E}_n[F]$  for the expected value of  $F$  with respect to the uniform probability measure on the finite set  $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_n)$ . Let  $\ell_{\mathcal{B}}(H)$  denote the minimum, over all bases  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $H$ , of the sum of word lengths of  $\mathcal{B}'$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Proposition A.1.** *If  $\text{rank}(H) \geq 2$  and  $n \geq \ell_{\mathcal{B}}(H)^3$ , then*

$$\mathbb{E}_n(\text{fix}_H) \ll \frac{\ell_{\mathcal{B}}(H)^6}{n}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ell_{\mathcal{B}}(H)$ . In [PP15, Lemma 6.4], Puder and Parzanchevski show that there is a finite set  $\mathcal{Q}_H$  of rational functions, depending on  $H$ , such that:

- $\mathbb{E}_n[\text{fix}_H] = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}_H} q(n)$  for  $n \geq \ell_{\mathcal{B}}(H)$
- each  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_H$  is of the form

$$q(n) = \frac{(n)_{V(q)}}{\prod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} (n)_{E_b(q)}}$$

where  $V(q), E_b(q) \leq \ell_{\mathcal{B}}(H)$  and  $(n)_a$  is the falling Pochhammer symbol. Hence since (e.g. [MNP22, Lemma 5.23]) if  $a \leq \frac{1}{2}n$

$$n^a \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{n}\right) \leq (n)_a \leq n^a \tag{A.1}$$

we get for  $n \geq 2\ell_{\mathcal{B}}(H)^2$  that

$$q(n) \asymp n^{V(q) - \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} E_b(q)}$$

in the regime of  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\chi(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V(q) - \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} E_b(q)$ .

- For  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_H$ ,  $\chi(q) \leq 1 - \text{rank}(H)$ .

In fact, Puder and Parzanchevski give a much more detailed description of the set  $\mathcal{Q}_H$  above, but this will not be needed here. It follows immediately that for fixed  $H$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_n[\text{fix}_H] = O(n^{\chi(H)})$  but we need a version of this statement that is uniform over (mildly) varying  $H$ . It is possible to do this using the detailed description of  $H$  as was done in Puder [Pud15, §5] but we do not need such fine estimates so can bypass this by analytic methods. A variant form of the following trick appeared in [MNP22] for a similar purpose.

Suppose  $n \geq m^3$  and note from (A.1) that

$$m^3 \geq \mathbb{E}_{m^3}[\text{fix}_H] \gg \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}_H} (m^3)^{\chi(q)}.$$

Then supposing  $\text{rank}(H) \geq 2$

$$\mathbb{E}_n[\text{fix}_H] \ll \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}_H} n^{\chi(q)} \leq \sum_{\chi=-1}^{-\infty} m^{3(1-\chi)} n^{\chi} \ll \frac{m^6}{n}$$

as required. □

## References

- [AW23] Noga Alon and Fan Wei, *The limit points of the top and bottom eigenvalues of regular graphs*, 2023. [3](#), [4](#)
- [BC19] C. Bordenave and B. Collins, *Eigenvalues of random lifts and polynomials of random permutation matrices*, Ann. of Math. (2) **190** (2019), no. 3, 811–875. MR 4024563 [10](#)
- [Bor81] Armand Borel, *Commensurability classes and volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci., IV. Ser. **8** (1981), 1–33 (English). [2](#)
- [Bus92] Peter Buser, *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*, Prog. Math., vol. 106, Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 1992 (English). [8](#)

- [CFKS87] H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, W. Kirsch, and B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators, with application to quantum mechanics and global geometry.*, Springer Study edition. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag. ix, 319 pp.; DM 56.00 (1987)., 1987. [17](#)
- [Dix69] J. D. Dixon, *The probability of generating the symmetric group*, Math. Z. **110** (1969), 199–205 (English). [8](#)
- [Fri08] J. Friedman, *A proof of Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture and related problems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **195** (2008), no. 910, viii+100. MR 2437174 [4](#)
- [Gam02] A. Gamburd, *On the spectral gap for infinite index “congruence” subgroups of  $SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$* , Israel J. Math. **127** (2002), 157–200. MR 1900698 [14](#), [16](#), [18](#), [19](#)
- [GLMST21] C. Gilmore, E. Le Masson, T. Sahlsten, and J. Thomas, *Short geodesic loops and  $L^p$  norms of eigenfunctions on large genus random surfaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **31** (2021), no. 1, 62–110. MR 4244848 [4](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [HM23] Will Hide and Michael Magee, *Near optimal spectral gaps for hyperbolic surfaces*, Ann. Math. (2) **198** (2023), no. 2, 791–824 (English). [3](#), [9](#), [10](#), [19](#)
- [HT05] U. Haagerup and S. Thorbjørnsen, *A new application of random matrices:  $\text{Ext}(C_{\text{red}}^*(F_2))$  is not a group*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 2, 711–775. MR 2183281 [10](#)
- [Hub74] H. Huber, *Über den ersten Eigenwert des Laplace-Operators auf kompakten Riemannschen Flächen*, Comment. Math. Helv. **49** (1974), 251–259. MR 365408 [2](#)
- [Hux85] M. N. Huxley, *Introduction to Kloostermania*, 1985. [9](#)
- [JL70] H. Jacquet and R. P. Langlands, *Automorphic forms on  $GL(2)$* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 114, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. MR 0401654 [3](#)

- [Kim03] H. H. Kim, *Functoriality for the exterior square of  $GL_4$  and the symmetric fourth of  $GL_2$* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 1, 139–183, With appendix 1 by D. Ramakrishnan and appendix 2 by H. H. Kim and P. Sarnak. MR 1937203 [2](#), [3](#)
- [KM24] Adam Klukowski and Vladimir Marković, *Tangle free permutations and the Putman-Wieland property of random covers*, 2024, Preprint, arXiv:2402.19018. [4](#)
- [KMP21] Petr Kravchuk, Dalimil Mazac, and Sridip Pal, *Automorphic Spectra and the Conformal Bootstrap*. [5](#)
- [LM23] Larsen Louder and Michael Magee, *Strongly convergent unitary representations of limit groups*, 2023, arXiv:2210.08953 with Appendix by Will Hide and Michael Magee. [3](#)
- [LP81] P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips, *The asymptotic distribution of lattice points in Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces*, Functional analysis and approximation (Oberwolfach, 1980), Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., vol. 60, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1981, pp. 373–383. MR 650290 [2](#)
- [MNP22] Michael Magee, Frédéric Naud, and Doron Puder, *A random cover of a compact hyperbolic surface has relative spectral gap  $\frac{3}{16} - \varepsilon$* , Geom. Funct. Anal. **32** (2022), no. 3, 595–661 (English). [28](#), [29](#)
- [Mon20] L. Monk, *Benjamini-Schramm convergence and spectrum of random hyperbolic surfaces of high genus*, Analysis & PDE, to appear, available at arXiv:arXiv:2002.00869 (2020), arXiv:2002.00869. [4](#)
- [MT22] Laura Monk and Joe Thomas, *The tangle-free hypothesis on random hyperbolic surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2022** (2022), no. 22, 18154–18185 (English). [4](#)
- [PP15] D. Puder and O. Parzanchevski, *Measure preserving words are primitive*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **28** (2015), no. 1, 63–97. MR 3264763 [28](#)

- [Pud15] D. Puder, *Expansion of random graphs: new proofs, new results*, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 3, 845–908. MR 3385636 [29](#)
- [Ran74] B. Randol, *Small eigenvalues of the Laplace operator on compact Riemann surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 996–1000. MR 400316 [2](#)
- [Sar23] P. Sarnak, *Spectra of locally uniform geometries*, Chern Lectures, U.C. Berkeley Available at <http://publications.ias.edu/sarnak/paper/2728>, 2023. [2](#), [5](#)
- [Sel65] A. Selberg, *On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1965, pp. 1–15. MR 0182610 [2](#)

Michael Magee,  
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Lower Mountjoy,  
DH1 3LE Durham, UK  
IAS Princeton, School of Mathematics, 1 Einstein Drive, Princeton 08540,  
USA  
`michael.r.magee@durham.ac.uk`