

TOWARDS A CLASSIFICATION OF p^2 -DISCRIMINANT IDEAL TWINS OVER NUMBER FIELDS

ALYSON DEINES, ASIMINA S. HAMAKIOTES, ANDREEA IORGA, CHANGNINGPHAABI NAMOIJAM,
MANAMI ROY, AND LORI D. WATSON

ABSTRACT. Isogenous elliptic curves have the same conductor but not necessarily the same minimal discriminant ideal. In this article, we explicitly classify all p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves defined over a number field with the same minimal discriminant ideal for odd prime p where $X_0(p^2)$ has genus 0, i.e., $p = 3$ or 5 . As a consequence, we give a list of all p^2 -isogenous discriminant (ideal) twins over \mathbb{Q} for such p .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Results for $n = p^2$ for odd primes p	7
4. Proof for $n = 9$	9
5. Proof for $n = 25$	12
6. Explicit results over \mathbb{Q}	14
Appendix: Tables of valuations	16
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Isogenous elliptic curves defined over a number field have the same conductor; however, their minimal discriminant ideals might be different. We are interested in understanding when two (cyclic) isogenous elliptic curves also have the same minimal discriminant ideal, i.e., when they are discriminant ideal twins. This work continues the classification of discriminant (ideal) twins begun by the first author in [4] and continued in [2]. Discriminant ideal twins serve as an obstruction to determining the optimal quotient of the modular parameterization of an elliptic curve by a modular or Shimura curve using the algorithm given by Ribet and Takahashi in [10]. In [4], the first author determines all such obstructions for elliptic curves E defined over \mathbb{Q} with at least one prime of multiplicative reduction, providing a list of all j -invariants corresponding to semistable isogenous¹ discriminant twins. This result is extended to (non-semistable) p -isogenous elliptic curves defined over arbitrary number fields for $p \in \{3, 5, 7, 13\}$ by Barrios, Brucal-Hallara, Deines, Harris, and Roy in [2]. With a goal of completing the classification for other isogeny degrees we further extend this work by determining p^2 -isogenous discriminant ideal twins over arbitrary number fields in the case where p is an odd prime and $X_0(p^2)$ has genus 0, i.e., $p^2 \in \{9, 25\}$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11G05, 14K02, 14H10, 14H52.

Key words and phrases. minimal discriminants, discriminant twins, isogenies.

¹Throughout, we will restrict to cases of cyclic isogenies.

TABLE 1. j -invariant pairs for p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves

deg	j_1	j_2
4	$-1/15$	$56667352321/15$
	$35937/17$	$82483294977/17$
	287496	287496
9	$-50357871050752/19$	$32768/19$
	$4096000/37$	$727057727488000/37$
25	$-52893159101157376/11$	$-4096/11$
	$190705121216/71$	$3922540634246430781376/71$

If E_1 and E_2 are (cyclic) isogenous discriminant ideal twins, then we can create infinitely many more by twisting at primes ℓ coprime to 2 and the conductor of the curves. Note that twisting preserves j -invariants and so, even though twisting by such ℓ creates infinitely many pairs of isogenous elliptic curves with the same discriminant ideals, it does not create new twist families.

It is easy to check the Cremona database (via SageMath [6] or LMFDB [8]) and find all twist families of isogenous discriminant ideal twins over \mathbb{Q} . For each prime isogeny degree, all the j -invariant pairs found in the Cremona database are given in [2, Table 5.1]. For each p^2 -isogeny degree, all the j -invariant pairs found in the Cremona database are given in Table 1.

The next question to ask is, are there more j -invariant pairs of p or p^2 -isogenous discriminant ideal twins? The Cremona tables in LMFDB contain curves with conductor up to 299,996,953 and are complete for curves with conductor up to 500,000. With more data, would we find more discriminant ideal twins? For isogeny degrees $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 13$ over \mathbb{Q} , the answer is no. This was first examined by the first author [4] for elliptic curves with at least one prime with multiplicative reduction, and the more general prime isogeny case for elliptic curves defined over number fields was completed by Barrios, Brucal-Hallara, Deines, Harris, and Roy [2]. Similarly, the first author [4] also examined the cases $p^2 = 4, 9, 25$ for curves with at least one prime of multiplicative reduction.

In this paper, we continue this exploration and finish certain cases over \mathbb{Q} for curves without multiplicative reduction; we also work with curves with both multiplicative and non-multiplicative reduction over number fields, in general.

As in previous work, all isogenies are cyclic. Specifically, we examine when isogenous discriminant ideal twins defined over a number field K occur for p^2 -isogeny degrees coming from genus 0 modular curves for odd p , i.e., for isogeny degrees $n = 9, 25$. In [1], Barrios gives a parameterization of p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves with the property that their j -invariants are not both 0 and 1728. In particular, for $p = 3, 5$, if E_1 and E_3 are two p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves then there exist $t \in K$, $d \in K^\times/K^{\times 2}$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$ (see Table 3 for precise definition of $\mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$). Using this notation, we state our main results:

Theorem 1. *Let E_1 and E_3 be two 9-isogenous elliptic curves over a number field K such that their j -invariants are not equal. Suppose further that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{9,i}(t, d)$, where $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ given in Table 3. Then E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins if and only if for each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K ,*

$$(1) \quad \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t - 3) = 3k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3).$$

Moreover, the two curves are discriminant twins if and only if t satisfies (1) and $(t - 3)^8 \in \mathcal{O}_K^{12}$.

Theorem 2. *Let E_1 and E_3 be two 25-isogenous elliptic curves over a number field K such that their j -invariants are not equal. Suppose further that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{25,i}(t, d)$, where $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ given in Table 3. Then E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins if and only if for each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K ,*

$$(2) \quad \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t - 1) = k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5).$$

In fact, the two curves are discriminant twins if t satisfies (2).

We prove Theorem 1 (see Theorems 12 and 13) in Section 4 and Theorem 2 (see Theorems 14 and 15) in Section 5. The proofs of these theorems rely on the fact that we can take $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$, not just in K , which is proved in Lemma 9 of Section 3. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall preliminary definitions and results about elliptic curves. Section 3 discusses the cases where the curves have the same j -invariant. We treat the case when the two curves have j -invariants both equal to 0 or 1728 separately. As a consequence of our main theorems, in Section 6 it is confirmed that, over \mathbb{Q} , the Cremona database contains all the j -invariant pairs associated to p^2 -isogenies, for odd p . Examples and code are available on github [5].

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the Banff International Research Station (BIRS) and Women in Numbers 6 (23w5175) for the opportunity to initiate this collaboration. The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions and comments, and for identifying an error in an earlier version of the paper. CN was partially supported by a Colby College Research Grant. The AMS Simons Travel Grant program partially supported MR during this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we will recall some basic definitions and facts about elliptic curves; see Silverman [12] and [2, section 2] for details. To start, let K be a number field or a local field of characteristic 0. An elliptic curve E defined over K is given by a Weierstrass model

$$(3) \quad E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6,$$

with $a_i \in K$. The b_i and c_i -invariants are further defined as

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= a_1^2 + 4a_2, & b_4 &= 2a_4 + a_1a_3, & b_6 &= a_3^2 + 4a_6, \\ c_4 &= b_2^2 - 24b_4, & c_6 &= -b_2^3 + 36b_2b_4 - 216b_6, \\ \Delta &= (c_4^3 - c_6^2)/1728, & j &= c_4^3/\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

We say E is given by an *integral Weierstrass model* if each a_i is in the ring of integers of K for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.

An elliptic curve E' is K -isomorphic to E if there is an *admissible change of variables* $\tau : E \rightarrow E'$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto (u^2x + r, u^3y + su^2x + w)$, where $u, r, s, w \in K$, with $u \neq 0$. We write $\tau = [u, r, s, w]$. Consequently,

$$j' = j, \quad \Delta' = u^{-12}\Delta, \quad c'_4 = u^{-4}c_4, \quad c'_6 = u^{-6}c_6.$$

If any of $u, r, s, w \notin K$, then E and E' are isomorphic over an algebraic closure of K . When this occurs, i.e., when τ is defined over an extension of K , we say that E' is a *twist* of E .

In the case that K is a number field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K , let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of K and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the normalized valuation of the completion $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of K at \mathfrak{p} . Further, let $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the ring of integers of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By choosing an element of $\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$, we can find an element $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$ that has $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi) = 1$ and π is thus a uniformizer in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Consider E defined over K with Weierstrass equation as in (3). We view E as being defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ via the inclusion $\iota : K \hookrightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Via this inclusion we often conflate E being defined over K with E being defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If E is not already \mathfrak{p} -integral, then there is at least one coefficient a_i with negative valuation. The transformation $\tau = [u^{-1}, 0, 0, 0]$ on E gives a $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -isomorphic elliptic curve whose Weierstrass coefficients are $u^i a_i$. Thus, choosing u^{-1} to be an appropriate power of π we can find a model for E such that all coefficients $u^i a_i$ are in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, i.e., we can always find a \mathfrak{p} -integral Weierstrass model. As we chose π to be an element of \mathcal{O}_K , the model τE is defined over K in addition to $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

A \mathfrak{p} -integral Weierstrass model as constructed above will, by construction, have an integral discriminant $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta) \geq 0$. As $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is discrete, there will be a \mathfrak{p} -integral model such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta) \geq 0$ is minimal. This model will not be unique, but the minimal valuation of the discriminant will be.

Definition 3. A Weierstrass model for E defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is called a \mathfrak{p} -minimal (Weierstrass) model if $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta)$ is minimized subject to the constraint that the model is a \mathfrak{p} -integral Weierstrass model. Any Δ

such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta)$ is minimal is called a \mathfrak{p} -minimal discriminant of E , and we call $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta)$ the valuation of the minimal discriminant of E at \mathfrak{p} .

Remark 1. While we have been working prime by prime, we note that we can always find a \mathfrak{p} -integral model that is globally integral. By [3, Proposition 4.7.8], we can always construct $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ that has prescribed (non-negative) valuations at a finite set of prime ideals and non-negative valuation at all other primes. Using this element, we can transform E to an integral model τE that is integral and \mathfrak{p} -minimal at the prescribed set of primes. The caveat is that the discriminant of τE is not necessarily minimal at primes not in the prescribed set.

When working with elliptic curves over local fields, we will also consider the c_i -invariants along with the discriminant. The following definition will be used frequently in the later sections.

Definition 4. For \mathfrak{p} a prime of K , we define the signature of E defined over K with respect to \mathfrak{p} to be

$$\text{sig}_{\mathfrak{p}}(E) = (\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_4), \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_6), \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta)).$$

Next, we look at the global properties of elliptic curves related to the valuation of the minimal discriminant of E at \mathfrak{p} . Using the embedding ι , for each prime \mathfrak{p} of K , we can view an elliptic curve E defined over K as an elliptic curve defined over $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then, together with [2, Lemma 2.6] and the above discussion, we have the following global definition.

Definition 5. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over K . For each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K we can choose a \mathfrak{p} -minimal model given by an integral Weierstrass model that is K -isomorphic to E . This \mathfrak{p} -minimal model $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has discriminant $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is defined to be the \mathfrak{p} -minimal discriminant of E . The minimal discriminant ideal of E defined over K , denoted by \mathfrak{D}^{\min} , is the (integral) ideal of K given by

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\min} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}})}.$$

Over a number field, it is not always possible to find a single Weierstrass equation that is simultaneously minimal for every prime \mathfrak{p} , as illustrated in [2, Example 2.8]. When it is possible, we have a global minimal model as follows.

Definition 6. A global minimal model for E defined over K is an integral Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$$

such that the discriminant Δ of the equation satisfies $\mathfrak{D}^{\min} = (\Delta)$.

The conductor of an elliptic curve is closely related to its discriminant, and measures, in some sense, the arithmetic complexity of the curve. The conductor describes the possible bad reduction types of an elliptic curve. We will skip the definition here and refer to Silverman [12, Section VIII.11]. Next, we define the object of study, discriminant (ideal) twins.

Definition 7. Let K be a number field, and let E and E' be elliptic curves defined over K that are not K -isomorphic. We say that E and E' are discriminant ideal twins if they have the same minimal discriminant ideal and the same conductor. If, additionally, for each prime \mathfrak{p} there exist \mathfrak{p} -minimal models for E and E' defined over \mathcal{O}_K such that $\Delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Delta'_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then we say E and E' are discriminant twins.

Now that we have defined discriminant (ideal) twins, we can investigate the discriminant ideals of n -isogenous elliptic curves over number fields. Two elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 defined over the same field K are *isogenous* if there exists a non-constant morphism $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ with coefficients in K mapping the neutral element of E_1 to the neutral element of E_2 . An equivalent definition states that an isogeny is a morphism $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ that is surjective and has finite kernel, $\ker \varphi$. If $\ker \varphi \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for a natural number n , we say E_1 and E_2 are n -isogenous. Furthermore, the isogeny φ is defined over K when $\ker \varphi$ is $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant. For an n -isogeny $\varphi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ defined over K , the K -isomorphism class of

$(E, \ker \varphi)$ is a non-cuspidal K -rational point on the classical modular curve $X_0(n)$. Note that the non-cuspidal K -rational points of $X_0(n)$ parameterize isomorphism classes of pairs (E, C) where E is an elliptic curve defined over K and C is a cyclic subgroup of E of order n such that C is $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant.

In this article we focus on some n -isogenies when $X_0(n)$ has genus 0. In particular we focus on the case when $n = p^2$, for an odd prime p . If $E_1 \rightarrow E_3$ is a p^2 -isogeny, then there is an elliptic curve E_2 such that $E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1}$ is a p -isogeny for $i = 1, 2$. Here, E_1, E_2 and E_3 are non-isomorphic curves. Theorem 8 gives a parameterization of such curves E_i . Before introducing Theorem 8, let us define some polynomials T_i, S_i in Table 2 that will be used frequently in this article.

TABLE 2. Polynomials in t that appear in models for $\mathcal{C}_{p^2, i}(t, d)$

p^2	Notation	Polynomial
9	T_1	$t - 3$
	T_2	$t + 6$
	T_3	$t^2 + 3t + 9$
	T_4	$t^3 - 24$
	T_5	$t^3 + 234t^2 + 756t + 2160$
	T_6	$t^6 - 36t^3 + 216$
	T_7	$t^6 - 504t^5 - 16632t^4 - 123012t^3 - 517104t^2 - 1143072t - 1475496$
	T_8	t
	T_9	$t^2 - 6t + 36$
	T_{10}	$t^2 - 6t - 18$
	T_{11}	$t^4 + 6t^3 + 54t^2 - 108t + 324$
25	S_1	$t^4 + t^3 + 6t^2 + 6t + 11$
	S_2	$t^2 + 4$
	S_3	$t - 1$
	S_4	$t^{10} + 240t^9 + 2170t^8 + 8880t^7 + 34835t^6 + 83748t^5 + 206210t^4 + 313380t^3 + 503545t^2 + 424740t + 375376$
	S_5	$t^4 + 6t^3 + 21t^2 + 36t + 61$
	S_6	$t^{10} - 510t^9 - 13580t^8 - 36870t^7 - 190915t^6 - 393252t^5 - 1068040t^4 - 1508370t^3 - 2581955t^2 - 2087010t - 1885124$
	S_7	$t^{10} + 10t^8 + 35t^6 - 12t^5 + 50t^4 - 60t^3 + 25t^2 - 60t + 16$
	S_8	$t^4 + 3t^2 + 1$
	S_9	$t^{10} + 10t^8 + 35t^6 - 18t^5 + 50t^4 - 90t^3 + 25t^2 - 90t + 76$
	S_{10}	$t^2 + 3t + 1$
	S_{11}	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 11t^2 - 14t + 31$
	S_{12}	$t^4 + t^3 + 11t^2 - 4t + 16$

continued on next page

TABLE 2. Polynomials in t that appear in models for $\mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$

p^2	Notation	Polynomial
	S_{13}	$t^2 - 2t - 4$
	S_{14}	$t^4 - 4t^3 + 21t^2 - 34t + 41$

Theorem 8 (Barrios [1, Theorem 1]). *Let p be an odd prime such that $X_0(p^2)$ has genus 0 and let K be a number field or a local field of characteristic 0. Let E_1 and E_3 be elliptic curves defined over K such that the j -invariants of E_1 and E_3 are not both identically 0 or 1728. Suppose further that E_1 and E_3 are p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves over K so that there is an elliptic curve E_2 that is p -isogenous to both E_1 and E_3 . Then there are $t \in K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that E_i are K -isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$ given in Table 3 for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, respectively.*

TABLE 3. The elliptic curve $\mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d) : y^2 = x^3 + d^2 A_{p^2,i}(t)x + d^3 B_{p^2,i}(t)$

p^2	i	$A_{p^2,i}(t)$	$B_{p^2,i}(t)$
9	1	$-3 \cdot T_2 \cdot T_5$	$-2 \cdot T_7$
	2	$-3^5 \cdot T_2 \cdot T_8 \cdot T_9$	$-2 \cdot 3^6 \cdot T_{10} \cdot T_{11}$
	3	$-3^9 \cdot T_4 \cdot T_8$	$-2 \cdot 3^{12} \cdot T_6$
25	1	$-3^3 \cdot S_2 \cdot S_4$	$-2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot S_2^2 \cdot S_5 \cdot S_6$
	2	$-3^3 \cdot 5^4 \cdot S_2 \cdot S_{10} \cdot S_{11} \cdot S_{12}$	$-2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^6 \cdot S_2^2 \cdot S_5 \cdot S_8 \cdot S_{13} \cdot S_{14}$
	3	$-3^3 \cdot 5^8 \cdot S_2 \cdot S_7$	$-2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^{12} \cdot S_2^2 \cdot S_8 \cdot S_9$

Remark 2. We note that Theorem 8 differs slightly from [1, Theorem 1] in that the latter is stated more generally for any field K of characteristic relatively prime to $6p^2$, and $d \in K^\times / (K^\times)^2$. In this article, we will only consider number fields or local fields of characteristic 0. In this setting, if $d \in K^\times / (K^\times)^2$ and $d \notin \mathcal{O}_K$, then we may take a different representative $d' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{p,i}(t, d)$ is K -isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{p,i}(t, d')$. The curves in [1, Theorem 1] $\mathcal{C}_{n,i}(t, d)$ are given in the form $y^2 = x^3 + d^2 A_{n,i}(t)x + d^3 B_{n,i}(t)$. Let $\{\mathfrak{p}_j\}$ be the finite set of primes such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_j}(d) = -e_j$ where $e_j > 0$. By [3, Proposition 4.7.8], we can take $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\beta) = e_j$. The isomorphism $\tau = [1/\beta, 0, 0, 0]$ yields $\tau \mathcal{C}_{n,i}(t, d) : y^2 = x^3 + d^2 \beta^4 A_{n,i}(t)x + d^3 \beta^6 B_{n,i}(t)$. Thus setting $d' = d\beta^2$ gives $d' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d' = d$ in $K^\times / (K^\times)^2$.

Next, Table 4 gives the j -invariants, c_i -invariants, and discriminants of $\mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, which will be helpful in the work that follows. As d is a twisting parameter, we see that $j_{p,i}(t) = j_{p^2,i}(t, d)$ does not depend on d .

TABLE 4. The quantities $d^{-2}c_{4,i}(t, d)$, $d^{-3}c_{6,i}(t, d)$, $d^{-6}\Delta_i(t, d)$, and $j_i(t)$

p^2	i	$d^{-2}c_{4,i}(t, d)$	$d^{-3}c_{6,i}(t, d)$	$d^{-6}\Delta_i(t, d)$	$j_i(t)$
9	1	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot T_2 \cdot T_5$	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot T_7$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^6 \cdot T_1^9 \cdot T_3$	$T_2^3 \cdot T_5^3 \cdot T_1^{-9} \cdot T_3^{-1}$

continued on next page

TABLE 4. The quantities $j_i(t)$ and $2^{-12}3^{-12}d^{-6}\Delta_i(t, d)$

p^2	i	$d^{-2}c_{4,i}(t, d)$	$d^{-3}c_{6,i}(t, d)$	$d^{-6}\Delta_i(t, d)$	$j_i(t)$
2	2	$2^4 \cdot 3^6 \cdot T_2 \cdot T_8 \cdot T_9$	$2^6 \cdot 3^9 \cdot T_{10} \cdot T_{11}$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^{18} \cdot T_1^3 \cdot T_3^3$	$T_2^3 \cdot T_8^3 \cdot T_9^3 \cdot T_1^{-3} \cdot T_3^{-3}$
3	3	$2^4 \cdot 3^{10} \cdot T_4 \cdot T_8$	$2^6 \cdot 3^{15} \cdot T_6$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^{30} \cdot T_1 \cdot T_3$	$T_4^3 \cdot T_8^3 \cdot T_1^{-1} \cdot T_3^{-1}$
25	1	$2^4 \cdot 3^4 \cdot S_2 \cdot S_4$	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot S_2^2 \cdot S_5 \cdot S_6$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^{12} \cdot S_1 \cdot S_2^3 \cdot S_3^{25}$	$S_4^3 \cdot S_1^{-1} \cdot S_3^{-25}$
	2	$2^4 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^4 \cdot S_2 \cdot S_{10} \cdot S_{11} \cdot S_{12}$	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot S_2^2 \cdot S_5 \cdot S_8 \cdot S_{13} \cdot S_{14}$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5^{12} \cdot S_1^5 \cdot S_2^3 \cdot S_3^5$	$S_{10}^3 \cdot S_{11}^3 \cdot S_{12}^3 \cdot S_1^{-5} \cdot S_3^{-5}$
	3	$2^4 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^8 \cdot S_2 \cdot S_7$	$2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^{12} \cdot S_2^2 \cdot S_8 \cdot S_9$	$2^{12} \cdot 3^{12} \cdot 5^{24} \cdot S_1 \cdot S_2^3 \cdot S_3$	$S_7^3 \cdot S_1^{-1} \cdot S_3^{-1}$

3. RESULTS FOR $n = p^2$ FOR ODD PRIMES p

In this section, we prove a few results for p^2 -isogenous curves where $p \in \{3, 5\}$ that are used to establish our main results. Let K be a number field. Suppose that E_1 and E_3 are p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves over K so that there is an elliptic curve E_2 that is p -isogenous to both E_1 and E_3 . Note that the curves E_1 , E_2 and E_3 are non K -isomorphic curves. The following result ensures that one can assume $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ when using parametrized families in Theorem 8 for discriminant ideal twins.

Lemma 9. *Let p be an odd prime such that $X_0(p^2)$ has genus 0. Suppose that E_1 and E_3 are p^2 -isogenous discriminant ideal twins over K . Then there exists $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$.*

Proof. Since E_1 and E_3 are p^2 -isogenous, it follows from Theorem 8 that there exist elements $t \in K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$. It remains to show that $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ when E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins.

Towards a contradiction, assume that there exists a prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t) = -k < 0$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given such t , for a monic polynomial $f(t) = t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(f(t)) = \min(t^n, a_{n-1}t^{n-1}, \dots, a_0) = -kn = -k \cdot \deg(f)$. Looking at the j -invariant of E_1 when $p = 3$ in Table 4, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_1)) &= \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_2^3 \cdot T_5^3 \cdot T_1^{-9} \cdot T_3^{-1}) \\ &= 3\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_2) + 3\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_5) - 9\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_1) - \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_3) \\ &= -3k - 9k + 9k + 2k = -k, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows as $T_i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are monic. By similar arguments, using Table 4, for both $p = 3$ and $p = 5$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_1)) &= \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t) = -k, \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_2)) &= p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t) = -pk, \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_3)) &= p^2\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t) = -p^2k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the three curves must have either multiplicative reduction or potentially multiplicative reduction at \mathfrak{p} . Let $u_i \in K^\times$ be such that the curve $\tau_i E_i$ is minimal at \mathfrak{p} for $\tau_i = [u_i, 0, 0, 0]$. Let $\Delta(E_{i,\mathfrak{p}})$ be the minimal discriminant of E_i at \mathfrak{p} .

If the curves have multiplicative reduction, then from [7, Table 1] we know that

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{2,\mathfrak{p}})) = p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) \text{ as } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_2)) = p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_1))$$

and

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})) = p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{2,\mathfrak{p}})) \text{ as } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_3)) = p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_2)).$$

If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) \neq 0$, then E_1 and E_3 cannot be discriminant ideal twins. However, if $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = 0$, then we could potentially have discriminant twins, so let us consider $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}))$. Let $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) = f, \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) = e, \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(u_i) = \alpha_i$, and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) = \delta \geq 0$. Then, using Table 4, we get the following:

$n = p^2$	$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1,\min})$	$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}))$
9	$4\alpha_1 + 4f + 2e + 2\delta - 4k$	$12\alpha_1 + 12f + 6e + 6\delta - 11k$
25	$4f + 4e + 4\alpha_1 + 2\delta - 12k$	$12f + 12e + 12\alpha_1 + 6\delta - 35k$

As the curve is integral at \mathfrak{p} , we must have $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1,\min}) \geq 0$. Consequently,

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{i,\mathfrak{p}})) = 3\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1,\min}) + k \geq k > 0,$$

so $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}))$ cannot be 0. Therefore E_1 and E_3 cannot be discriminant ideal twins in the multiplicative reduction case. This is a contradiction to our assumption and hence $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ in this case.

Next, if the curves have potentially multiplicative reduction, then from [7, Table 1] we know that

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{2,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) + (p-1)k \text{ as } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_2)) = p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_1))$$

and

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{2,\mathfrak{p}})) + p(p-1)k \text{ as } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_3)) = p\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j(E_2)).$$

Therefore,

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) + (p^2-1)k \neq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})),$$

contradicting the assumption that E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins. It thus follows that $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$. \square

3.1. Equal j -invariants. In this section we categorize all p^2 -isogenous discriminant (ideal) twins for $p = 3, 5$ where the two curves share the same j -invariant. Note that the parameterized families of elliptic curves $\mathcal{C}_{p,i}(t, d)$ fail to capture the case when two p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves share the same j -invariant 0 or 1728. Thus, as with [2], we break our theorems into two categories $j = 0, 1728$ and otherwise. Recall from [2, Lemma 3.1] that isogenous curves with the same j -invariant have complex multiplication (CM) and will be isomorphic over any field containing their CM endomorphism ring. Thus they can only possibly be discriminant (ideal) twins over number fields that do not contain their CM endomorphism ring.

Remark 3. When the discriminant of a genus one curve is 0, the curve is singular. If t_0 is such that $\mathcal{C}_{n,i}(t_0, d)$ has discriminant 0, then we call t_0 a singular value.

Theorem 10. *Let $p \in \{3, 5\}$. There are no non-isomorphic p^2 -isogenous curves that both have j -invariant 0 or 1728.*

Proof. Following [2, Lemma 3.2], we compute valuations of the modular polynomials $\Phi_{p^2}(j, y)$ for $j = 0, 1728$ and $p = 3, 5$ at $y = j$. Only $\Phi_{25}(1728, y)$ has any appropriate factors, $\nu_{y=1728}(\Phi_{25}(1728, y)) = 2$; for code see [5]. Thus there are no non-isomorphic 9-isogenous curves that both have j -invariant 0 or 1728 and there are no non-isomorphic 25-isogenous curves that both have j -invariant 0. This leaves us to check the 25-isogeny case when both j -invariants are 1728.

Every cyclic 25-isogeny $E_1 \rightarrow E_3$ factors as two 5-isogenies: $E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow E_3$. If all three curves have j -invariant 1728, then by [2, Proposition 3.4], all three curves were actually isomorphic. To find the possible j -invariants for E_2 we use the 5-isogeny parameterization found in [1] to set $j(\mathcal{C}_{5,1}(t, d)) = 1728$. We then solve for t and determine the possible j -invariants for $\mathcal{C}_{5,2}(t, d)$. The polynomial factors as $j(\mathcal{C}_{5,1}(t, d)) - 1728 = (t^2 + 22t + 125)(t^2 - 500t - 15625)^2/t^5$ with the roots of the factor $t^2 + 22t + 125$ corresponding to singular values. Thus we look at the roots of $t^2 - 500t - 15625$, which are $t_0 = 250 \pm 125\sqrt{5}$. These give j -invariants 1728 and $\alpha, \bar{\alpha} = 22015749613248 \pm 9845745509376\sqrt{5}$ for $\mathcal{C}_{5,1}(t_0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{5,2}(t_0, 1)$ respectively. Next, we check whether the curve $\mathcal{C}_{5,2}(t_0, 1)$ is 5-isogenous to another elliptic curve with

j -invariant 1728 not isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{5,2}(t_0, 1)$. To do so, we reuse the trick from the beginning of this proof and factor the modular polynomial $\Phi_5(\alpha, y)$ to find $\nu_{y-1728}(\Phi_5(\alpha, y)) = 1$. As any curve with j -invariant α only has one 5-isogeny to a curve with j -invariant 1728 over \overline{K} , there are no (non-isomorphic) 25-isogenous curves that both have j -invariant 1728. \square

Theorem 11. *Let $p = 3, 5$. If E_1, E_3 are p^2 -isogenous discriminant ideal twins defined over a number field K with $j = j(E_1) = j(E_3)$, but $j \neq 0$ or 1728, then j is as follows. If $p = 3$, then t_0 is a root of $t^2 - 6t - 18$ and $j(E_1) = j(E_3) = 14776832t_0 + 32440512$ while $j(E_2) = 1728$ with CM endomorphism ring in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. If $p = 5$, then t_0 is a root of $t^2 - 2t - 4$ and $j(E_1) = j(E_2) = 9845745509376t_0 + 12170004103872$ and again $j(E_2) = 1728$ with CM endomorphism ring in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$.*

Proof. The proof is exactly analogous to the p -isogenous case for $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 13$ in [2]. For $p^2 = 9, 25$, factor the parameterized j -invariants $j_1(t) - j_3(t)$. For each factor $f(t)$, we let $\mathbb{Q}(t_0) \cong \mathbb{Q}[t]/(f(t))$ be the associated number field. If t_0 is not a singular value, then compute $E_1(t_0, 1), E_3(t_0, 1)$ and check if they are isomorphic over $\mathbb{Q}(t_0)$. If they are not isomorphic, then check if they are discriminant ideal twins and compute their CM field.

Following this algorithm in [5], we find that for $p^2 = 9$ the factors $t^2 + 3t + 9$ and $t - 3$ give singular values. The factor $t^2 - 6t - 18$ gives non-isomorphic curves over $\mathbb{Q}(t_0)$ that are discriminant twins. The rest of the factors give isomorphic curves over $\mathbb{Q}(t_0)$. Similarly, for $p^2 = 25$ we find that the factors $t^4 + t^3 + 6t^2 + 6t + 11, t^2 + 4$ and $t - 1$ give singular values. The factor $t^2 - 2t - 4$ gives non-isomorphic curves that are discriminant twins. As in the $p^2 = 9$ case, the rest of the factors give curves isomorphic over $\mathbb{Q}(t_0)$. Note that unlike the $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 13$ cases in [2], there are no non-isomorphic, non-discriminant ideal twin cases. \square

4. PROOF FOR $n = 9$

In this section, we parameterize all 9-isogenous discriminant ideal twins over number fields that do not share the same j -invariant. As we classified all 9-isogenous discriminant ideal twins over number fields that do share the same j -invariant, this completes the classification. Our main tool is the 9-isogeny elliptic curve parameterization due to Barrios [1]. Consider the polynomials T_m for $1 \leq m \leq 11$ in Table 2. Then for $i = 1, 3$ we can parameterize the two 9-isogenous curves as in Table 3. Moreover, the invariants $j_i, \Delta_i, c_{4,i}$, and $c_{6,i}$ are as given in Table 4.

Theorem 12. *Let E_1 and E_3 be two 9-isogenous elliptic curves over a number field K with the property that their j -invariants are not equal. If E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins, then there exists $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{9,i}(t, d)$, and for each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K ,*

$$(4) \quad \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t - 3) = 3k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad 0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3).$$

Moreover, if E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins, then t satisfies (4) and $(t - 3)^8 \in \mathcal{O}_K^{12}$.

Proof. By Lemma 9, there exist $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$, and thus we can say E_i is given by a model

$$y^2 = x^3 + d^2 A_{9,i}(t)x + d^3 B_{9,i}(t),$$

with $A_{9,i}(t), B_{9,i}(t) \in \mathcal{O}_K$ as defined in Table 3. Let \mathfrak{D}_i^{\min} denote the minimal discriminant ideal of E_i ; then $\mathfrak{D}_1^{\min} = \mathfrak{D}_3^{\min}$ since E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins. Note that the models currently given for E_1 and E_3 are not necessarily minimal at a given prime \mathfrak{p} and their discriminants Δ_1 and Δ_3 are not necessarily minimal at \mathfrak{p} either. As we are interested in the minimal discriminant ideal, we proceed prime by prime to construct \mathcal{O}_K -integral, \mathfrak{p} -minimal models of E_1 and E_3 to access and compare $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_1^{\min})$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{D}_3^{\min})$.

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) \geq 1$. Then the signature of E_3 is of the form $(\geq 10\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3), \geq 15\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3), \geq 30\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3))$. In this case, by [9, Tableau III], we know that the curve is not minimal at \mathfrak{p} . We can therefore make the change of variable $\tau_3 = [3^2, 0, 0, 0]$ to reduce this curve. From now on, replace E_3 by $\tau_3 E_3$.

For any prime \mathfrak{p} , we take $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ to be a local minimal model of E_i at \mathfrak{p} . Suppose further that $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ is \mathcal{O}_K -integral. Consequently, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$, where $\Delta(E_{i,\mathfrak{p}})$ is the discriminant of the local minimal model $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular, there exists $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K$ such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ and $\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})$. By [12, Proposition VII.1.3], there exists $u_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $u_{i,\mathfrak{p}}^{-12}\Delta_i = \Delta(E_{i,\mathfrak{p}})$. Consequently, $u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}^{-12}\Delta_1 = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}^{-12}\Delta_3$. Then

$$(5) \quad (t-3)^8 = \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_3} = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}}{u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{12}.$$

Note that if E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins, then $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$.

Let $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-3) = s_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) = \ell \geq 0$. Suppose first that E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins. Applying $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\cdot)$ to both sides of (5), we have

$$8s_{\mathfrak{p}} = 12\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}/u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Note that since the valuation takes integral values, $s_{\mathfrak{p}} = 3k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0$. We want to show that $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \ell$. In order to do this we investigate the possible values of $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}/u_{3,\mathfrak{p}})$.

We start by showing that we can locally take the twisting parameter d up to squares. For a prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K , let $\delta = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(d)$, and let $\pi \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$ be a uniformizer for $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We may write $d = d_{\mathfrak{p}}\pi^{2i_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, where $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(d_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, using the change of variables $[\pi^{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}, 0, 0, 0]$, we obtain the \mathfrak{p} -integral model

$$E'_i : y^2 = x^3 + d_{\mathfrak{p}}^2 A_{9,i}(t)x + d_{\mathfrak{p}}^3 B_{9,i}(t).$$

Note that if the signature of E_i is $(\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,i}), \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,i}), \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_i))$, then the signature of E'_i is $(\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,i}) - 4i_{\mathfrak{p}}, \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,i}) - 6i_{\mathfrak{p}}, \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_i) - 12i_{\mathfrak{p}})$. As we are concerned only with the signature of a minimal model, we therefore consider the signature of E'_i rather than E_i . From hereon, we replace E_i with E'_i .

First, we assume that $\ell = 0$. We will show that in this case $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. Towards the contradiction, let us assume that $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. This forces $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_2) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_3) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_5) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_7) = 0$. Then the signatures of the two curves are:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) &= 4\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,1}) &= 6\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) &= 12\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} + 9s_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,3}) &= 4\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,3}) &= 6\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) &= 12\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} + s_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) = 0$, then the two curves are already minimal at \mathfrak{p} . If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) \neq 0$, we can use [9, Tableau V] to see that E_1 and E_3 are minimal at \mathfrak{p} . In both cases, we observe that the two curves cannot be discriminant ideal twins (as $s_{\mathfrak{p}} = 3k_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$). This is a contradiction, hence $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$.

Next, we consider the case when $\ell \geq 1$. We want to show that $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \ell$, i.e., $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 3\ell$. Towards a contradiction, assume that $3\ell < s_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In this case, using Table 6, we observe that the signatures of the two curves are:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) &= 12\ell + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,1}) &= 18\ell + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) &= 9\ell + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} + 9s_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,3}) &= 4\ell + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,3}) &= 6\ell + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) &= 9\ell + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} + s_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we reduce the curve E_3 by $\tau_3 = [\pi^i, 0, 0, 0]$ (for some integer $i \geq 0$) and the curve E_1 by $\tau_1 = [\pi^{i+2\ell}, 0, 0, 0]$, then the new reduced curves will have the following signatures:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) &= 4\ell + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} - 4i, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,1}) &= 6\ell + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} - 6i, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) &= 9\ell + 3k_{\mathfrak{p}} + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} - 12i + 24(k_{\mathfrak{p}} - \ell), \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,3}) &= 4\ell + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} - 4i, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,3}) &= 6\ell + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} - 6i, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) &= 9\ell + 3k_{\mathfrak{p}} + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} - 12i. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\tau_1 E_1$ is an integral curve if and only if $\tau_3 E_3$ is an integral curve. Moreover, if we consider the value i for which one of these curves is minimal, then using [9, Tableau III], we observe that the other curve must also be minimal. It follows that these reductions give us minimal models $E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ and $E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}$. By noting that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = 24(k_{\mathfrak{p}} - \ell) + \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})) > \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$ (since $3k_{\mathfrak{p}} = s_{\mathfrak{p}} > 3\ell$), we conclude that in this case the two curves cannot be discriminant ideal twins, reaching a contradiction.

Hence we conclude that, for all primes \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K , t satisfies

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-3) = 3k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3).$$

Lastly, suppose that E_1 and E_2 are discriminant twins. Then for each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K , we can take $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ in (5). Consequently, we have that for \mathfrak{p} with $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) \neq 0$, we can write

$$(6) \quad (t-3) = (u_{\mathfrak{p}}\pi)^3,$$

where $u_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and π is a uniformizer. For prime \mathfrak{p} with $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) = 0$, it follows from (5) that there is a unit $u_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ such that

$$(7) \quad (t-3)^8 = u_{\mathfrak{p}}^{12}.$$

Now consider the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $(t-3)^8 \in \mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{12}$ for each prime \mathfrak{p} of K . We conclude by the Grunwald-Wang Theorem [13, Corollary 2] that $(t-3)^8 \in \mathcal{O}_K^{12}$. \square

Theorem 13. *Let E_1 and E_3 be two 9-isogenous elliptic curves over a number field K such that their j -invariants are not the same. If there exists $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that E_i is K -isomorphic to the elliptic curve $\mathcal{C}_{9,i}(t, d)$, and for each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K ,*

$$(8) \quad \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-3) = 3k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad 0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3),$$

then E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins. Moreover, if t satisfies (8) and $(t-3)^8 \in \mathcal{O}_K^{12}$, then E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins.

Proof. Let E_1 and E_3 be two 9-isogenous elliptic curves over a number field K . Suppose that there exist $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{9,i}(t, d)$ with t satisfying

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-3) = 3k_{\mathfrak{p}} = s_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

for some integer $0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3)$, for all primes \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K . Using the definitions of the invariants of the curve E_3 and [9, Tableau III], we note that we can reduce E_3 at primes \mathfrak{p} above 3. Thus, replace E_3 by $\tau_3 E_3$ where $\tau_3 = [3^2, 0, 0, 0]$. Let $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ is a minimal model of E_i at \mathfrak{p} .

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of \mathcal{O}_K . Let $\delta = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) \geq 0$. Note that we do not assume that $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-3) > 0$, then by (8) we have $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) > 0$. In order to prove our statement, we consider all the cases given in Table 6. This table computes the valuations of the polynomials T_m given in Table 2, deriving the signatures and the valuation of the j -invariants of the two curves. Note that the j -invariants satisfy $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_1) \geq 0$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(j_3) \geq 0$ for all the cases in Table 6 except for the case when $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = \ell/2$. Moreover, in all these cases, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) + 24k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, i.e., $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) \equiv \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) \pmod{12}$. Then by [2, Lemma 4.4], we conclude that the $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.

Next, we assume $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = \ell/2$. Let $\delta = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(d)$. Then, from Table 6,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) &= 8\ell + 2\delta, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,1}) &= 12\ell + 3\delta, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) &= 9\ell + 6\delta + \delta_3 + 9s_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,3}) &= 4\ell + 2\delta, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,3}) &= 6\ell + 3\delta, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) &= 9\ell + 6\delta + \delta_3 + s_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, δ_3 is a non-negative integer. If we reduce the curve E_3 by $\tau_3 = [\mathfrak{p}^i, 0, 0, 0]$ and the curve E_1 by $\tau_1 = [\mathfrak{p}^{i+2k_{\mathfrak{p}}}, 0, 0, 0]$ (for some integer $i \geq 0$), then the new reduced curves will have equal signatures, given as follows:

$$(4\ell + 2\delta - 4i, \quad 6\ell + 3\delta - 6i, \quad 9\ell + 6\delta + \delta_3 + s_{\mathfrak{p}} - 12i).$$

Consider the value of i for which $\tau_3 E_3$ is minimal. Then $\tau_1 E_1$ is also minimal (since they have the same signature). We conclude that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$ in this case.

Lastly, we assume that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-3) = 0$. We have the following cases:

- (a) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) \neq 0$. In this case, the curves E_1 and E_3 have the same signature, so they reduce in the same way. It follows that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.
- (b) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) = 0$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_3) \neq 0$. Again, in this case, the curves E_1 and E_3 have the same signature, so they reduce in the same way. It follows that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.
- (c) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) = 0$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(T_3) = 0$. If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) = 0$, then E_1 and E_3 are already minimal at \mathfrak{p} . If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) \neq 0$, then using [9, Tableau V], we can see that E_1 and E_3 are minimal at \mathfrak{p} . Thus, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.

We conclude that E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins when t satisfies (8).

It remains to show that if t satisfies (8) and $(t-3)^8 \in \mathcal{O}_K^{12}$, then E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins. To this end, for a prime \mathfrak{p} of K , let $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ be a \mathfrak{p} -minimal model of E_i . By [11, Proposition VII.1.3] there exists $u_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $u_{i,\mathfrak{p}}^{-12} \Delta_i = \Delta(E_{i,\mathfrak{p}})$. Moreover, since E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins (as they satisfy (8)), it is the case that there is a $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K$ with $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ such that $\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})$. It follows that:

$$(t-3)^8 = \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_3} = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}}{u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{12} \quad \implies \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} = (t-3)^8 \left(\frac{u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}}{u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{12}.$$

By our assumption, we get $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_K^{12}$. In particular, there is a $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}^{12} = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Now let $E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ be the elliptic curve obtained from $E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ via the isomorphism $[\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}, 0, 0, 0]$. Then $E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ is a \mathfrak{p} -minimal model with $\Delta(E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-12} \Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence $\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \Delta(E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}})$, which, in turn, yields that $\Delta(E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})$. This shows that for each \mathfrak{p} , there are \mathfrak{p} -minimal models of E_1 and E_3 having equal discriminants. Therefore E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins. \square

5. PROOF FOR $n = 25$

In this section, we parameterize all 25-isogenous discriminant ideal twins over number fields that do not share the same j -invariant. Again, as we have already classified all 25-isogenous discriminant ideal twins over number fields that do share the same j -invariant, this completes the classification. Our main tool is the 25-isogeny elliptic curve parameterization due to Barrios [1]. Consider the polynomials S_m for $1 \leq m \leq 14$ in Table 2. Then for $i = 1, 3$ we can parameterize the two 25-isogenous curves as in Table 3. Moreover, we can define the invariants j_i , Δ_i , $c_{4,i}$, and $c_{6,i}$ as in Table 4.

Theorem 14. *Let E_1 and E_3 be two 25-isogenous elliptic curves over K with the property that their j -invariants are not the same. If E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins then there exists $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{25,i}(t, d)$ and for each prime \mathfrak{p} of K , t satisfies*

$$(9) \quad \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-1) = k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad 0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5).$$

Proof. By Lemma 9, there exist $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$, and thus E_i is given by an \mathcal{O}_K -integral model. Now let \mathfrak{D}_i^{\min} denote the minimal discriminant ideal of E_i . Then $\mathfrak{D}_1^{\min} = \mathfrak{D}_3^{\min}$ since E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins.

Note that the curve E_3 is not minimal at the primes \mathfrak{p} above 5, so we can make the change of variables $\tau_3 = [5^2, 0, 0, 0]$ to reduce this curve. From hereon, replace E_3 by $\tau_3 E_3$.

For any prime \mathfrak{p} , let $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ denote a local minimal model of E_i at \mathfrak{p} . Suppose further that $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ is \mathcal{O}_K -integral. Consequently, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$. In particular, there exists $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K$ such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ and $\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})$. By [11, Proposition VII.1.3], there exists $u_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $u_{i,\mathfrak{p}}^{-12} \Delta_i = \Delta(E_{i,\mathfrak{p}})$. Consequently, $u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}^{-12} \Delta_1 = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}^{-12} \Delta_3$. Then

$$(10) \quad (t-1)^{24} = \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_3} = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}}{u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{12}.$$

Note that if E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins, then $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$.

Let $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_3) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-1) = k_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) \geq 0$. Suppose first that E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins. Applying $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\cdot)$ to both sides of (10) implies that

$$2k_{\mathfrak{p}} = 2\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}/u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Now, we will show that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t-1) \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5)$. For this we will investigate possible values of $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}/u_{3,\mathfrak{p}})$ over all primes \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K .

As in the proof of Theorem 12, we may write $d = d_{\mathfrak{p}} \pi^{2i_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for an uniformizer $\pi \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$ for $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(d_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \{0, 1\}$. Just as in the proof of Theorem 12, from hereon we may replace E_i by the isomorphic \mathfrak{p} -integral model E'_i using the change of variables $[\pi^{i_{\mathfrak{p}}}, 0, 0, 0]$. From hereon, we can thus replace $\delta = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(d)$ by $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \{0, 1\}$.

If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) = 0$, then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) = 0$. So in this case $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}/u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ and $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. We can therefore assume that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) > 0$.

We have two cases, depending on whether or not $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) = 0$. Firstly, assume that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) = 0$. We want to show that $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. Towards the contradiction, let us assume $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. Then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_2) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_4) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_5) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_6) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_7) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_8) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_9) = 0$. Then the signatures of the two curves are:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{sig}_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_1) &= (4\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 4\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, 6\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 6\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, 12\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 12\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} + 25k_{\mathfrak{p}}), \\ \text{sig}_{\mathfrak{p}}(E_3) &= (4\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 4\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, 6\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 6\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, 12\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + 12\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} + k_{\mathfrak{p}}).\end{aligned}$$

If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) = 0$, then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,3}) = 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so E_1 and E_3 are minimal at primes \mathfrak{p} with $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) = 0$. If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) > 0$, then using [9, Tableau V], we observe that the models of E_1 and E_3 are minimal at \mathfrak{p} in this case as well. If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) > 0$, then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) + 24k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,3})$, and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,1}) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,3})$. Note that if we reduce the curve E_3 by $\tau_3 = [\mathfrak{p}^i, 0, 0, 0]$ and E_1 by $\tau_1 = [\mathfrak{p}^{i+2k_{\mathfrak{p}}}, 0, 0, 0]$ (for some integer $i \geq 0$), we obtain two new curves with the property that $\tau_1 E_1$ is an integral model if and only if $\tau_3 E_3$ is an integral model. Choose i for which $\tau_3 E_3$ is a minimal model. By [9, Tableau III], we observe that $\tau_1 E_1$ is also a minimal model. In all these cases, we observe that the minimal models satisfy the fact that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})) + 24k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so the two curves cannot be discriminant ideal twins (since $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$), which is a contradiction.

Now, assume that $\ell = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) > 0$. In this case, $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 0$. We want to show that $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \ell$. Towards the contradiction, let us assume that $\ell < k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then by Table 7,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) &= 10\ell + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,1}) &= 15\ell + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) &= 5\ell + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} + 25k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,3}) &= 2\ell + 2\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,3}) &= 3\ell + 3\delta_{\mathfrak{p}}, & \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) &= 5\ell + 6\delta_{\mathfrak{p}} + k_{\mathfrak{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that, in order to obtain minimal models, E_3 can be reduced by $\tau_3 = [\mathfrak{p}^i, 0, 0, 0]$ if and only if E_1 can be reduced by $\tau_1 = [\mathfrak{p}^{i+2\ell}, 0, 0, 0]$ for some $i \geq 0$. In this case the minimal discriminants of E_1 and E_3 are not equal, since $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence we obtain that t satisfies

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t - 1) = k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5).$$

□

Theorem 15. *Let E_1 and E_3 be two 25-isogenous elliptic curves over K such that their j -invariants are not the same. If there exists $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that E_i is K -isomorphic to the elliptic curve $\mathcal{C}_{25,i}(t, d)$, and for each prime \mathfrak{p} of \mathcal{O}_K ,*

$$(11) \quad \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t - 1) = k_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad 0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5),$$

then E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins. Moreover, E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins.

Proof. Let E_1 and E_3 be two 25-isogenous elliptic curves over a number field K . Assume moreover that there exist $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{25,i}(t, d)$ with t satisfying the equation

$$\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t - 1) = k_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

for some integer $0 \leq k_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5)$. The curve E_3 is not minimal at the primes dividing 5, so we can replace E_3 by $\tau_3 E_3$, where $\tau_3 = [5^2, 0, 0, 0]$. Let $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ be a local minimal model of E_i at \mathfrak{p} .

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of K . If $k_{\mathfrak{p}} = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_3) > 0$, then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) > 0$. In order to prove the statement, we consider the cases given in Table 7. This table computes the signatures of the curves E_1 and E_3 , using the valuations of the polynomials S_j in Table 2. By looking at the signatures in each case of Table 7, we observe that in order to get minimal models $E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ and $E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}$, the curve E_3 can be reduced by $\tau_3 = [\mathfrak{p}^i, 0, 0, 0]$ if and only if the curve E_1 can be reduced by $\tau_1 = [\mathfrak{p}^{i+2k_{\mathfrak{p}}}, 0, 0, 0]$. Then, using the fact that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) = 24k_{\mathfrak{p}} + \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3)$, we conclude that the $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.

If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_3) = k_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$, then we have the following cases:

- (a) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_3) = 0$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_2) > 0$. If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) = 0$, then the two curves are already reduced at \mathfrak{p} , so $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$. It remains to consider the other cases.

- (i) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) > 0$ or $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) > 0$. In this case, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(v_{4,3})$, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,1}) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,3})$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3)$, so the two curves reduce in the same way. Thus, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.
- (ii) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) > 0$. Then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta_3) = 3\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_2)$, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,1}) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{4,3}) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_2)$, and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,1}) \geq 2\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_2)$, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(c_{6,3}) \geq 2\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_2)$, so the two curves reduce in the same way. Thus, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.
- (b) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_3) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_2) = 0$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_1) > 0$. If $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) = 0$, then the two curves are minimal at \mathfrak{p} . On the other hand, if $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) > 0$, then $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_3) > 0$, reaching a contradiction. Thus, $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.
- (c) Assume $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_1) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_2) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(S_3) = 0$, but $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) > 0$ or $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) > 0$. In this case, the two curves are minimal at \mathfrak{p} and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}}))$.

Hence, we obtained that E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins when (11) is satisfied.

It remains to show that E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins. To this end, for a prime \mathfrak{p} of K , let $E_{i,\mathfrak{p}}$ be a \mathfrak{p} -minimal model of E_i . By [11, Proposition VII.1.3], there exists $u_{i,\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $u_{i,\mathfrak{p}}^{-12}\Delta_i = \Delta(E_{i,\mathfrak{p}})$. Moreover, since E_1 and E_3 are discriminant ideal twins it is the case that there is a $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K$ such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mu_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ and $\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}\Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})$. It follows that

$$(t-1)^{24} = \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_3} = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(\frac{u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}}{u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{12} \implies \mu_{\mathfrak{p}} = (t-1)^{24} \left(\frac{u_{3,\mathfrak{p}}}{u_{1,\mathfrak{p}}} \right)^{12}.$$

Note that $\mu_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_K^{12}$ since $(t-1)^{24} \in \mathcal{O}_K^{12}$. In particular, there is a $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}^{12} = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$. Now let $E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ be the elliptic curve obtained from $E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ via the isomorphism $[\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}, 0, 0, 0]$. Then $E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}}$ is a \mathfrak{p} -minimal model with $\Delta(E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-12}\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}})$. Hence $\Delta(E_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{p}}\Delta(E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}})$, which, in turn, yields that $\Delta(E'_{1,\mathfrak{p}}) = \Delta(E_{3,\mathfrak{p}})$. This shows that for each \mathfrak{p} , there are \mathfrak{p} -minimal models of E_1 and E_3 having equal discriminants. Therefore E_1 and E_3 are discriminant twins. \square

6. EXPLICIT RESULTS OVER \mathbb{Q}

Proposition 16. *Up to twists, there are finitely many p^2 -isogenous discriminant ideal twins over \mathbb{Q} , for odd p for which $X_0(p^2)$ has genus 0. These elliptic curves, E_1 and E_3 , are given in Table 5 by their LMFDB label. The table also lists their j -invariant and minimal discriminant.*

TABLE 5. p^2 -isogenous discriminant ideal twins over \mathbb{Q}

p^2	E_1	E_3	$j(E_1)$	$j(E_3)$	$\Delta_{E_1}^{\min}$	$\Delta_{E_3}^{\min}$
9	37.b1	37.b2	$\frac{727057727488000}{37}$	$\frac{4096000}{37}$	37	37
	171.b1	171.b3	$\frac{-50357871050752}{19}$	$\frac{32768}{19}$	-13851	-13851
25	11.a1	11.a3	$\frac{-52893159101157376}{11}$	$\frac{-4096}{11}$	-11	-11
	18176.e1	18176.e3	$\frac{3922540634246430781376}{71}$	$\frac{190705121216}{71}$	36352	36352

Proof. Suppose E_1 and E_3 are two p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves that are discriminant ideal twins over \mathbb{Q} , for $p = 3, 5$. By Theorem 10, we can assume that the two curves have j -invariants not both identically 0 or 1728. By Lemma 9, we know that there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$.

If $p = 3$, then Theorem 1 says that $\nu_q(t-3) = 3k_q$, for $0 \leq k_q \leq \nu_q(3)$, for all rational primes q . This means that $t-3$ is either a unit in \mathbb{Q} or a unit multiplied by 3^3 . Since the only units in \mathbb{Q} are ± 1 , it follows that $t-3 = \pm 1$ or $t-3 = \pm 3^3$, which implies that $t = 2, 4, -24, 30$. Note that $j(\mathcal{C}_{9,1}(\pm 1 + 3, d)) = j(\mathcal{C}_{9,3}(\pm 27 + 3, d))$, so $\mathcal{C}_{9,3}(\pm 27 + 3, d)$ is a twist of $\mathcal{C}_{9,1}(\pm 1 + 3, d)$. Therefore, we only have to consider the pairs for which $t = \pm 1 + 3$. As d is a twisting parameter, for $t = 4$, we obtain the curves [37.b1](#) and [37.b3](#); for $t = 2$, we obtain the curves [171.b1](#) and [171.b3](#).

If $p = 5$, then Theorem 2 says that $\nu_q(t - 1) = k_q$, for $0 \leq k_q \leq \nu_q(5)$, for all rational primes q . This means that $t - 3$ is either a unit in \mathbb{Q} or a unit multiplied by 5. Since the only units in \mathbb{Q} are ± 1 , it follows that $t - 1 = \pm 1$ or $t - 1 = \pm 5$, which implies that $t = 0, 2, -4, 6$. Note that $j(\mathcal{C}_{25,1}(\pm 1 + 1, d)) = j(\mathcal{C}_{25,3}(\pm 5 + 1, d))$, so $\mathcal{C}_{25,3}(\pm 5 + 1, d)$ is a twist of $\mathcal{C}_{25,1}(\pm 1 + 1, d)$. Therefore, we only have to consider the pairs for which $t = \pm 1 + 1$. As d is a twisting parameter, for $t = 0$, we obtain the curves [11.a1](#) and [11.a3](#); for $t = 2$, we obtain the curves [18176.e1](#) and [18176.e3](#). \square

APPENDIX: TABLES OF VALUATIONS

Let E_1 and E_3 be two p^2 -isogenous elliptic curves, for $p = 3, 5$. It follows from the work of Barrios [1] that there exist elements $t, d \in K$ such that $E_i \cong \mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$, where the curves $\mathcal{C}_{p^2,i}(t, d)$ are defined in Table 3. For each $p = 3, 5$, let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of K . Let $\delta = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(d)$. Moreover, let

$$\ell = \begin{cases} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(3) & \text{if } p = 3 \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(5) & \text{if } p = 5 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s &= \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t - 3) & \text{if } p = 3 \\ k &= \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(t - 1) & \text{if } p = 5 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $k = k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in Theorems 14 and 15 and $s = s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in Theorems 12 and 13. Assume that $k > 0$, $s > 0$, and $\ell > 0$ and define the following tables. Note that in these tables, the values δ_i (for $1 \leq i \leq 8$) are integers with the property that $\delta_i \geq 0$, for all i .

TABLE 6. Cases for $n = 9$.

Poly.	$s < \ell/3$	$s = \ell/3$	$\ell/3 < s < \ell/2$	$s = \ell/2$	$\ell/2 < s < \ell$
T_1	s	s	s	s	s
T_2	s	s	s	s	s
T_3	$2s$	$2s$	$2s$	$2s$	$2s$
T_4	$3s$	$3s + \delta_4$	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ
T_5	$3s$	$3s$	$3s$	$3s$	$3s$
T_6	$6s$	$6s$	$6s$	$3\ell + \delta_6$	3ℓ
T_7	$6s$	$6s$	$6s$	$6s$	$6s$
T_8	s	s	s	s	s
$c_{4,1}$	$2\ell + 4s + 2\delta$	$10s + 2\delta$	$2\ell + 4s + 2\delta$	$8s + 2\delta$	$2\ell + 4s + 2\delta$
$c_{6,1}$	$3\ell + 6s + 3\delta$	$15s + 3\delta$	$3\ell + 6s + 3\delta$	$12s + 3\delta$	$3\ell + 6s + 3\delta$
Δ_1	$6\ell + 11s + 6\delta$	$29s + 6\delta$	$6\ell + 11s + 6\delta$	$23s + 6\delta$	$6\ell + 11s + 6\delta$
j_1	s	s	s	s	s
$c_{4,3}$	$2\ell + 4s + 2\delta$	$10s + \delta_4 + 2\delta$	$3\ell + s + 2\delta$	$7s + 2\delta$	$3\ell + s + 2\delta$
$c_{6,3}$	$3\ell + 6s + 3\delta$	$15s + 3\delta$	$3\ell + 6s + 3\delta$	$12s + \delta_6 + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$
Δ_3	$6\ell + 3s + 6\delta$	$21s + 6\delta$	$6\ell + 3s + 6\delta$	$15s + 6\delta$	$6\ell + 3s + 6\delta$
j_3	$9s$	$9s + 3\delta_4$	3ℓ	$6s$	3ℓ

continued on next page

TABLE 6. Cases for $n = 9$

Poly.	$\ell = s$	$\ell < s < 3\ell/2$	$s = 3\ell/2$	$3\ell/2 < s < 2\ell$	$s = 2\ell$
T_1	s	s	s	s	s
T_2	s	s	s	s	$2\ell + \delta_2$
T_3	$2s$	$2s$	$3\ell + \delta_3$	3ℓ	3ℓ
T_4	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ
T_5	$3s$	$3s$	$3s$	$3s$	$3s$
T_6	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ
T_7	$6s$	$6s$	$6s$	$6s$	$6s$
T_8	$\ell + \delta_8$	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ
$c_{4,1}$	$6s + 2\delta$	$2\ell + 4k + 2\delta$	$8\ell + 2\delta$	$2\ell + 4s + 2\delta$	$10\ell + \delta_2 + 2\delta$
$c_{6,1}$	$9s + 3\delta$	$3\ell + 6s + 3\delta$	$12\ell + 3\delta$	$3\ell + 6s + 3\delta$	$15\ell + 3\delta$
Δ_1	$17s + 6\delta$	$6\ell + 11s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + 9s + \delta_3 + 6\delta$	$9\ell + 9s + 6\delta$	$27\ell + 6\delta$
j_1	s	s	$s - \delta_3$	$3s - 3\ell$	$3\ell + 3\delta_2$
$c_{4,3}$	$4s + \delta_8 + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 2\delta$
$c_{6,3}$	$6s + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$
Δ_3	$9s + 6\delta$	$6\ell + 3s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + s + \delta_3 + 6\delta$	$9\ell + s + 6\delta$	$11\ell + 6\delta$
j_3	$3s + 3\delta_8$	$6\ell - 3s$	$s - \delta_3$	$3\ell - s$	ℓ
Poly.	$2\ell < s < 5\ell/2$	$s = 5\ell/2$	$5\ell/2 < s < 8\ell/3$	$s = 8\ell/3$	$8\ell/3 < s$
T_1	s	s	s	s	s
T_2	2ℓ	2ℓ	2ℓ	2ℓ	2ℓ
T_3	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ
T_4	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ
T_5	$3s$	$3s$	$3s$	$8\ell + \delta_5$	8ℓ
T_6	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ
T_7	$6s$	$15\ell + \delta_7$	15ℓ	15ℓ	15ℓ
T_8	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ
$c_{4,1}$	$4\ell + 3s + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 3s + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 3s + 2\delta$	$12\ell + \delta_5 + 2\delta$	$12\ell + 2\delta$
$c_{6,1}$	$3\ell + 6s + 3\delta$	$18\ell + \delta_7 + 3\delta$	$18\ell + 3\delta$	$18\ell + 3\delta$	$18\ell + 3\delta$
Δ_1	$9\ell + 9s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + 9s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + 9s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + 9s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + 9s + 6\delta$
j_1	3ℓ	3ℓ	3ℓ	$3\ell + 3\delta_5$	$27\ell - 9s$
$c_{4,3}$	$4\ell + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 2\delta$	$4\ell + 2\delta$
$c_{6,3}$	$6\ell + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$	$6\ell + 3\delta$
Δ_3	$9\ell + s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + s + 6\delta$	$9\ell + s + 6\delta$
j_3	$3\ell - s$	$3\ell - s$	$3\ell - s$	$3\ell - s$	$3\ell - s$

TABLE 7. Cases for $n = 25$

Poly.	$\ell < k$	$\ell = k$	$k < \ell < 2k$	$\ell = 2k$	$2k < \ell < 10k$	$\ell = 10k$	$10k < \ell$
S_1	2ℓ	2ℓ	2ℓ	$2\ell + \delta_1$	$4k$	$4k$	$4k$
S_2	ℓ	$\ell + \delta_2$	k	k	k	k	k
S_3	k	k	k	k	k	k	k
S_4	9ℓ	9ℓ	$10k$	$10k$	$10k$	$10k$	$10k$
S_5	3ℓ	$3\ell + \delta_5$	$2k + \ell$	$4k + \delta_5$	$4k$	$4k$	$4k$
S_6	10ℓ	$10\ell + \delta_6$	$10k$	$10k$	$10k$	$10k$	$10k$
S_7	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	$\ell + \delta_7$	$10k$
S_8	ℓ	ℓ	ℓ	$\ell + \delta_8$	$2k$	$2k$	$2k$
S_9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$c_{4,1}$	$10\ell + 2\delta$	$10k + \delta_2 + 2\delta$	$11k + 2\delta$	$11k + 2\delta$	$11k + 2\delta$	$11k + 2\delta$	$11k + 2\delta$
$c_{6,1}$	$15\ell + 3\delta$	$15k + 2\delta_2 + \delta_5 + \delta_6 + 3\delta$	$14k + \ell + 3\delta$	$16k + \delta_5 + 3\delta$	$16k + 3\delta$	$16k + 3\delta$	$16k + 3\delta$
Δ_1	$5\ell + 25k + 6\delta$	$30k + 3\delta_2 + 6\delta$	$2\ell + 28k + 6\delta$	$32k + \delta_1 + 6\delta$	$32k + 6\delta$	$32k + 6\delta$	$32k + 6\delta$
j_1	$25\ell - 25k$	0	$5k - 2\ell$	$k - \delta_1$	k	k	k
$c_{4,3}$	$2\ell + 2\delta$	$2k + \delta_2 + 2\delta$	$k + \ell + 2\delta$	$3k + 2\delta$	$k + \ell + 2\delta$	$11k + \delta_7 + 2\delta$	$11k + 2\delta$
$c_{6,3}$	$3\ell + 3\delta$	$3k + 2\delta_2 + 3\delta$	$2k + \ell + 3\delta$	$4k + \delta_8 + 3\delta$	$4k + 3\delta$	$4k + 3\delta$	$4k + 3\delta$
Δ_3	$5\ell + k + 6\delta$	$6k + 3\delta_2 + 6\delta$	$2\ell + 4k + 6\delta$	$8k + \delta_1 + 6\delta$	$8k + 6\delta$	$8k + 6\delta$	$8k + 6\delta$
j_3	$\ell - k$	0	$\ell - k$	$k - \delta_1$	$3\ell - 5k$	$25k + 3\delta_7$	$25k$

REFERENCES

- Alexander J. Barrios, *Explicit classification of isogeny graphs of rational elliptic curves*, Int. J. Number Theory **19** (2023), no. 4, 913–936. MR 4555392
- Alexander J. Barrios, Maila Brucal-Hallare, Alyson Deines, Piper Harris, and Manami Roy, *Prime isogenous discriminant ideal twins*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.19183v1>, 2024.
- Henri Cohen, *A course in computational algebraic number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 138, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010.
- Alyson Deines, *Discriminant twins*, Women in Numbers Europe II, Assoc. Women Math. Ser., vol. 11, Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 83–106. MR 3882707
- Alyson Deines, Asimina S. Hamakiotes, Andreea Iorga, Changningphaabi NamoiJam, Manami Roy, and Lori D. Watson, *Code for prime squared isogenous discriminant ideal twins*, <https://github.com/adeines/DiscriminantTwins/tree/master/WIN6>, 2024.
- The Sage Developers, *Sagemath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (version 9.1)*, 2020, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- Tim Dokchitser and Vladimir Dokchitser, *Local invariants of isogenous elliptic curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 6, 4339–4358. MR 3324930
- The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and modular forms database*, <http://www.lmfdb.org>, 2021, [Online; accessed 18 October 2021].
- Ioannis Papadopoulos, *Sur la classification de Néron des courbes elliptiques en caractéristique résiduelle 2 et 3*, J. Number Theory **44** (1993), no. 2, 119–152. MR 1225948
- Kenneth A. Ribet and S. Takahashi, *Parametrizations of elliptic curves by Shimura curves and by classical modular curves*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **94** **21** (1997), 11110–4.

11. Joseph H. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 151, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. MR 1312368
12. ———, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. MR 2514094
13. Shianghaw Wang, *On Grunwald's theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **51** (1950), 471–484. MR 33801

CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, SAN DIEGO, CA, USA
Email address: `aly.deines@gmail.com`

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT, USA
Email address: `asimina.hamakiotes@uconn.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY, USA
Email address: `ai324@cornell.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLBY COLLEGE, WATERVILLE, ME, USA
Email address: `cnamoijam@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LAFAYETTE COLLEGE, EASTON, PA, USA
Email address: `royma@lafayette.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE, HARTFORD, CT, USA
Email address: `lori.watson@trincoll.edu`