

Spectroscopic investigations on trivalent ruthenium ions in ruthenium perovskite oxide thin films

S. Nakata,¹ R. Takahashi,¹ R. Matsumoto,¹ L.-F. Zhang,² H. Sumida,³ S. Suzuki,⁴ T. C. Fujita,² M. Kawasaki,^{2,5} and H. Wadati^{1,6}

¹*Department of Material Science, Graduate School of Science, University of Hyogo, Aka, Hyogo 678-1297, Japan*

²*Department of Applied Physics and Quantum Phase Electronics Center, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

³*Mazda Motor Corporation, 3-1, Shinchi, Fuchu, Aki, Hiroshima 730-8670, Japan*

⁴*Laboratory of Advanced Science and Technology for Industry, University of Hyogo, 3-1-2, Koto, Kamigori, Aka, Hyogo 678-1205, Japan*

⁵*RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science (CEMS), Wako 351-0198, Japan*

⁶*Institute of Laser Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan*

(*Electronic mail: nakata@sci.u-hyogo.ac.jp)

(Dated: 5 March 2024)

The d^5 electron configurations under the crystal field, spin-orbit coupling, and Coulomb interaction give rise to a plethora of profound ground states. Ruthenium perovskite oxides exhibit a number of unconventional properties yet the Ru^{4+} state ($4d^4$) is usually stable in these materials. In this regard, Ru^{3+} ions in perovskite materials are expected to be a mesmerising playground of $4d^5$ electron configurations. Here, we report measurements of x-ray photoemission spectroscopy on recently synthesized perovskite ruthenium oxide thin films, LaRuO_3 and NdRuO_3 , whose valence state of the ruthenium ions is trivalent. We discuss correlation and spin-orbit effects from the valence-band spectra, in particular an additional peak structure around 3-5 eV, reminiscent of the so-called 3 eV peak observed in Sr_2RuO_4 . Moreover, we find that the core-level spectra of these materials are quantitatively different from those in other ruthenates which possess Ru^{4+} ions, e.g., SrRuO_3 . We therefore argue that the core level spectra of LaRuO_3 and NdRuO_3 are peculiar to the Ru^{3+} states.

The interplay between the spin-orbit interaction and Coulomb interaction has been studied as one of central topics in modern condensed matter physics^{1,2}. Notably, the physical properties of transition-metal compounds strongly depend on the number of electrons in the d orbitals³. In particular, the d^5 electron configuration under the crystal field of the honeycomb lattice structure, which gives rise to the filled $j = 3/2$ quartet and half-filled $j = 1/2$ doublet manifolds due to the spin-orbit entanglement between the t_{2g} orbitals and electron spin $1/2$, has been attracted in part because the ground state of these materials have theoretically been proposed as a Kitaev spin liquid state⁴ and experimental evidence to support this proposal have recently been accumulated in several candidate materials, e.g., $\alpha\text{-RuCl}_3$ ⁵ and $\beta\text{-Li}_2\text{IrO}_3$ ⁶.

Alongside the research avenue on the spin liquid candidate materials, the d^5 system in the perovskite structure is another mesmerizing playground with the spin-orbit interaction. For instance, Sr_2IrO_4 is understood to be a Mott insulator owing to the Mott-Hubbard splitting of the $j = 1/2$ doublet energy level although one would naively expect a metallic state because of the spatially extended $5d$ orbitals resulting in the large t_{2g} bandwidth without considering the spin-orbit interaction⁷. In the $4d$ element series, the $4d^5$ electronic configuration is chemically stable in rhodium compounds, e.g., SrRhO_3 ⁸, Sr_2RhO_4 ⁹, and $\text{Sr}_3\text{Rh}_2\text{O}_7$ ¹⁰, yet these materials are paramagnetic metals. A number of ruthenium perovskite compounds including a ferromagnetic metal SrRuO_3 , an unconventional superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 ¹¹, a Mott insulator Ca_2RuO_4 ¹² exhibit profound properties and therefore their electronic structures have extensively been studied. Nevertheless, the valence

number of ruthenium ions in these materials is typically four and trivalent ruthenium ions are usually unstable in a solid. As a consequence, the $4d^5$ analogues in perovskite ruthenium oxides have rarely been studied. Recently, Zhang *et al.* reported the fabrication of epitaxially stabilized perovskite ruthenium oxide thin films: LaRuO_3 and NdRuO_3 ¹³. Remarkably, Ru K -edge x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) revealed that the valence state of ruthenium ions in these compounds is trivalent ($4d^5$) despite chemical instabilities. These high-quality thin films also exhibited the substantially better conductivity in electrical transport measurements than powder samples previously reported.

In contrast to other $4d$ elements such as molybdenum whose different valence states yield distinct core-level photoemission spectra¹⁵, little was known other than Ru^{4+} ions in the case of ruthenates. Ru $3d$ core-level spectra yield a wealth of information of electron correlation effects in terms of well-screened and poorly-screened states^{16,17}. To this end, we have performed soft x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (SXPS) and hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (HAXPES) measurements on LaRuO_3 and NdRuO_3 thin films. The good conductivity of these new ruthenate thin films enables us to scrutinize the trivalent state of ruthenium ions in the perovskite oxides by means of photoemission experiments without ambiguities of charging effects. We find that all the ruthenium and oxygen core-level spectra in both compounds are similar each other but clearly distinct from those of a SrRuO_3 thin film ($4d^4$)¹⁸. We thus attribute these observations in LaRuO_3 and NdRuO_3 to the trivalent state of ruthenium ions. The core-level spectra of cations (La and Nd) also support this




FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of $LnRuO_3$ ($Ln = Nd, La$)¹⁴. (b) Survey SXPES scans of the $NdRuO_3$ and $LaRuO_3$ thin films collected at room temperature.

argument. Moreover, we find an additional peak structure near the Fermi level, reminiscent of the well known 3 eV peak observed in Sr_2RuO_4 whose origin has been debated for decades^{19–24}.

Epitaxial ruthenium oxide thin films ($LaRuO_3$ and $NdRuO_3$) were fabricated on $SrTiO_3$ (001) substrates by means of the pulsed laser deposition technique. Details for the sample growth and subsequent annealing processes can be seen in the recent report by Zhang *et al.*¹³. The crystal structure of both compounds was confirmed to be a perovskite structure (Fig. 1 (a)) by x-ray diffraction. The in-plane sample dimensions are 5×5 mm². The film thickness of $LaRuO_3$ and $NdRuO_3$ was controlled to be approximately 25 nm and 14 nm, respectively.

SXPES measurements on the $LaRuO_3$ and $NdRuO_3$ films were carried out using a spectrometer with a monochromatic Al K_{α} source ($h\nu = 1486.6$ eV) implemented in PHI 5000 VersaProbe system (ULVAC-PHI Inc.). The combined instrumental energy resolution was set to be $\Delta E \sim 450$ meV. The angle of the photoelectron trajectory is normal to the sample surface. HAXPES measurements on the $LaRuO_3$ and $NdRuO_3$ films were carried out at BL24XU of SPring-8. The incidence angle of the horizontally linearly polarized hard x-ray ($h\nu = 7994$ eV) was set at 2° . The photoemission spectra were collected with the Scienta R-4000 electron energy analyzer. The combined energy resolution is around 270 meV. All SXPES and HAXPES spectra were collected at room temperature. The binding energy of the spectra was calibrated using the Fermi edge of silver in electrical contact with samples.

Figure 1 (b) displays survey scans of the $LaRuO_3$ and $NdRuO_3$ thin films. The core-level peaks expected from the nominal compositions are clearly recognizable. Before discussing the valence band and core-level spectra in detail, we first make a comparison between the SXPES and HAXPES data in Fig. 2, as also done for $SrRhO_3$ thin films²⁵. The peak positions in all the SXPES and HAXPES spectra are nearly identical despite the significantly different electron inelastic mean free path of electrons excited by the soft and hard x-rays that we employed²⁶. The spectral weight in the valence band spectra is yet different between SXPES and HAXPES (Fig. 2 (c,d)). The atomic photoemission cross section ratio




FIG. 2. Core-level and valence band spectra of $NdRuO_3$ and $LaRuO_3$ collected with SXPES (black) and HAXPES (purple). (a) $La\ 3d$. (b) $Nd\ 3d$. (c,d) Valence band. (e,f) $Ru\ 3d$. (g,h) $O\ 1s$. For clarity, the spectral intensities are normalized.

of $Ru\ 4d$ vs. $O\ 2p$ is 40 : 1 at 1486.6 eV and 70 : 1 at 8047.8 eV²⁷, therefore the different spectral weight in these two measurements is generally anticipated. However, this atomic picture is quantitatively inconsistent with our experiments if one merely associates the intensity near the Fermi energy and at 5–7 eV with the $Ru\ 4d$ and $O\ 2p$ orbitals, respectively. This disagreement with the atomic picture indicates a hybridization between the $Ru\ 4d$ and $O\ 2p$ orbitals as in the case of other ruthenium compounds such as $SrRuO_3$ and Sr_2RuO_4 ²³. Moreover, the non-negligible intensity is discernible at 3–5 eV in both spectra. A similar feature is not clearly observed in

SrRuO₃ but in Sr₂RuO₄ and this aspect will be further discussed later. In Fig. 2 (e, f), the HAXPES intensity below 280 eV is pronounced and could be attributed to Sr 3*p* spectra of the SrTiO₃ substrates due to the longer inelastic mean free path. We ascribe a high energy shoulder in the O 1*s* core level appearing in the SXPES spectra to surface contamination since this feature is substantially suppressed in bulk-sensitive HAXPES (Fig. 2 (g,h)). We therefore attribute the main peak at 529.7 eV to the oxygen ions in the ruthenate thin films in the following discussion.

In light of these observations that our SXPES essentially measures bulk properties of the films, let us hereafter discuss the SXPES spectra of LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ in conjunction with an archetypical ruthenium oxide thin film SrRuO₃ whose ruthenium valence number is four (Fig. 3).

We first directly compare valence-band spectra (Fig. 3 (a)). The valence band spectra show the non-zero Fermi edge, indicative of the metallic states of these samples and consistent with transport measurements. The pseudospin splitting into the $j=1/2$ doublets and $j=3/2$ quartets was however not experimentally recognizable most likely because the energy resolution is not good enough to resolve these low energy features in the present work. In fact, the transition energy between these two spin states in another d^5 ruthenium compound α -RuCl₃ investigated by resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) was found to be approximately 250 meV²⁸ although more complicated band structures are anticipated in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ in part because these materials are much more metallic than α -RuCl₃.

The binding energy of the Ru 3*d* core levels of LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ are downwards shifted in comparison with SrRuO₃ (Fig. 3 (b)). By substituting a cation of SrRuO₃, such spectral shift was not observed¹⁶. We therefore interpret that these shifts are not caused by the cation radius of La and Nd larger than Sr. We attribute this spectral shift to the difference between tetravalent and trivalent ruthenium valence states as also observed in tetravalent and hexavalent molybdenum compounds¹⁵. A similar energy shift was reported for Ca₂RuO₄ thin films upon fluorination and interpreted as the transition from a tetravalent to trivalent valence state of ruthenium ions²⁹. Besides the energy shifts, the spectral shape of Ru 3*d*_{5/2} provides spectroscopic insights regarding well-screened and poorly-screened features¹⁷. In LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃, the poorly-screened feature is more pronounced than the well-screened feature compared to SrRuO₃, in which both features are comparable. Since it is known that the stronger electron correlation is the more (less) pronounced well-screened (poorly-screened) feature is, the electron correlation in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ is expected to be weaker than SrRuO₃. This disparity could yield insight into the fact that SrRuO₃ exhibits the ferromagnetic ordering despite the absence of magnetic ordering in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃.

Compared to SrRuO₃³⁰, another salient feature in the valence band spectra in both LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ is an additional peak structure around 3-5 eV (Fig. 3 (a)), which is reminiscent of the well known 3 eV peak of Sr₂RuO₄ whose origin has been discussed for decades¹⁹⁻²⁴. This feature has been associated with the lower Hubbard band of Ru 4*d* states

from SXPES and resonant SXPES measurements on the one hand^{20,21}, this is mainly ascribed to the oxygen states from the local density approximation calculations on the other hand²². Based on the discussion of the Ru 3*d* core level spectra¹⁷, Sr₂RuO₄ is more correlated than SrRuO₃. In the present work, we pointed out the correlation of LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ is even weaker than SrRuO₃ (Fig. 3 (b)). The 3 eV peak observed in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ could thus yield another implications on the relationship between the correlation and 3 eV peak in Sr₂RuO₄.

The clear chemical shifts were observed in the O 1*s* level in comparison with SrRuO₃ (Fig. 3 (c)). In particular, the binding energy of the O 1*s* peak in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ ($E_B \sim 529$ eV) shifts upwards by ~ 350 meV while the peak width is almost identical (Fig. 3 (d)). This shift and unchanged peak shape are qualitatively akin to hole-doped cuprates³¹. From the chemical point of view³², another O 1*s* peak corresponding to the O¹⁻ ions, which could experimentally be observed as a broadened peak, should be present if the average valence of oxygen ions are different among these compounds. Nevertheless, we did not observe such effect, suggesting that the oxygen valence number in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ is comparable with that of SrRuO₃³². We thus associate the shift of the O 1*s* peak with the different ruthenium valence states (i.e., trivalent and tetravalent) rather than the speculative change of the oxygen valence states. The binding energy of the shoulder peak is nearly identical among these three samples (Fig. 3 (d)). This sample-independent peak position also supports our argument that the shoulder peak is ascribed to surface contamination containing oxygen adsorbed on the sample surface, also verified by our HAXPES data (Fig. 2 (g,h)).

Spectral shapes of 3*d*/4*d* core levels in lanthanoid elements are also sensitive to their electronic states. From the chemical point of view, the valence number of La and Nd ions tends to be +3 in solids, but it is not trivial in the present case because ruthenium ions in oxides tend to be tetravalent. Figures 4 (a,b) display the 3*d* core level spectra of La and Nd ions. In Fig. 4 (a), the peak splitting is notable besides the spin-orbit splitting between 3*d*_{5/2} and 3*d*_{3/2} peaks due to the Coulomb attraction between core holes and valence electrons peculiar to the photoemission process, also known as the final state effect. As discussed in Ref.³³, the well-screened and poorly-screened features are very clearly observed in both compounds. Even if the valence number is the same, the spectral shape could differ depending on the subtle balance between the charge transfer energy and core-hole potential. Nevertheless, the intensity ratio of these two features in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ is comparable with that in La₂O₃ and Nd₂O₃, respectively. Our data thus imply that the trivalent La and Nd ions are surrounded by cations and anions in a similar fashion to La₂O₃ and Nd₂O₃³⁴. The 4*d* core level spectra of La and Nd (Fig. 4 (c,d)) can also be understood in the same manner³⁵. Overall, these SXPES spectral shapes are akin to those of trivalent La and Nd ions. Considering that the oxygen valence state is similar to the one in SrRuO₃ (Fig. 2 (d)), we conclude that the spectral shifts of Ru and O core levels in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ are peculiar to the trivalent state of ruthenium ions, which were previously revealed by the Ru *K*-edge XAS¹³.




FIG. 3. SXPS spectra of LaRuO₃ (red) and NdRuO₃ (blue). The spectra are vertically shifted for clarity. For comparison, the corresponding spectra of SrRuO₃ (gray) are also plotted. (a) Valence band. (b) Ru 3d. (c) O 1s. (d) The relative binding energy of the main peak and shoulder peak in the O 1s spectra.




FIG. 4. SXPS core level spectra of lanthanides. (a) La 3d. (b) Nd 3d. (c) La 4d. (d) Nd 4d.

In conclusion, we have investigated the core-level and valence band spectra of the LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ thin films by means of SXPS and HAXPES experiments. We found that the core level spectra are markedly different from those in Ru⁴⁺ ions in SrRuO₃. We therefore claim that these spectra in LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ are peculiar to the trivalent ruthenium valence states. This argument is supported by the core-level spectra of the cations (La and Nd) as well. We also found the 3 eV peak near the Fermi level, reminiscent of that observed in Sr₂RuO₄. This peak feature could yield insights into the origin of the 3 eV peak in Sr₂RuO₄ in a fresh fashion. Although the pseudospin splitting near the Fermi level was not detected in the present study, it is highly desirable to investigate low-energy electronic states with high energy-resolution

spectroscopy such as RIXS, which could potentially probe the excitation from the $j = 1/2$ doublet to the $j = 3/2$ quartet in a similar fashion to other $4d^5$ systems, e.g., α -RuCl₃^{28,36} in part because LaRuO₃ and NdRuO₃ films are rare materials accommodating $4d^5$ electrons in the square lattice.

We thank Arata Tanaka for informative discussions. The present work is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas “Quantum Liquid Crystals” No. 19H05824, JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) No. JP22H04958, JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Early-Career Scientists No. JP20K15168, The Mitsubishi Foundation, The Izumi Science and Technology Foundation, and The Tokuyama Science Foundation. The HAXPES measurements were performed using the beamline BL24XU at SPring-8 (Proposal Nos. 2022A3231 and 2022B3231). We thank Kento Takenaka and Koji Takahara for their technical supports during the HAXPES measurements.

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- W. Witczak-Krempa, G. Chen, Y. B. Kim, and L. Balents, “Correlated quantum phenomena in the strong spin-orbit regime,” *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **5**, 57–82 (2014).
- A. Georges, L. d. Medici, and J. Mravlje, “Strong Correlations from Hund’s Coupling,” *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **4**, 137–178 (2013).
- L. de’ Medici, J. Mravlje, and A. Georges, “Janus-faced influence of Hund’s rule coupling in strongly correlated materials,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 256401 (2011).
- G. Jackeli and G. Khaliullin, “Mott insulators in the strong spin-orbit coupling limit: From Heisenberg to a quantum compass and Kitaev models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 017205 (2009).
- K. W. Plumb, J. P. Clancy, L. J. Sandilands, V. V. Shankar, Y. F. Hu, K. S. Burch, H.-Y. Kee, and Y.-J. Kim, “ α -RuCl₃: A spin-orbit assisted Mott insulator on a honeycomb lattice,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 041112 (2014).
- T. Takayama, A. Kato, R. Dinnebier, J. Nuss, H. Kono, L. S. I. Veiga, G. Fabbri, D. Haskel, and H. Takagi, “Hyperhoneycomb Iridate β -Li₂IrO₃ as a Platform for Kitaev Magnetism,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 077202 (2015).
- B. J. Kim, H. Jin, S. J. Moon, J.-Y. Kim, B.-G. Park, C. S. Leem, J. Yu, T. W. Noh, C. Kim, S.-J. Oh, J.-H. Park, V. Durairaj, G. Cao, and E. Rotenberg, “Novel $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ Mott State Induced by Relativistic Spin-Orbit Coupling in Sr₂IrO₄,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 076402 (2008).
- K. Yamaura and E. Takayama-Muromachi, “Enhanced paramagnetism of the 4d itinerant electrons in the rhodium oxide perovskite SrRhO₃,” *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 224424 (2001).
- R. S. Perry, F. Baumberger, L. Balicas, N. Kikugawa, N. J. C. Ingle, A. Rost, J. F. Mercure, Y. Maeno, Z. X. Shen, and A. P. Mackenzie, “Sr₂RhO₄: a new, clean correlated electron metal,” *New J. Phys.* **8**, 175–175 (2006).
- K. Yamaura, Q. Huang, D. P. Young, Y. Noguchi, and E. Takayama-Muromachi, “Crystal structure and electronic and magnetic properties of the bilayered rhodium oxide Sr₃Rh₂O₇,” *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 134431 (2002).
- Y. Maeno, H. Hashimoto, K. Yoshida, S. Nishizaki, T. Fujita, J. G. Bednorz, and F. Lichtenberg, “Superconductivity in a layered perovskite without copper,” *Nature* **372**, 532–534 (1994).
- S. Nakatsujii, S.-i. Ikeda, and Y. Maeno, “Ca₂RuO₄: New Mott Insulators of Layered Ruthenate,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **66**, 1868–1871 (1997).
- L. F. Zhang, T. C. Fujita, Y. Masutake, M. Kawamura, T. Arima, H. Kumigashira, M. Tokunaga, and M. Kawasaki, “Unconventional anomalous Hall effect in epitaxially stabilized orthorhombic Ru³⁺ perovskite thin films,” (2023), arXiv:2305.09201.
- K. Momma and F. Izumi, “VESTA3 for three-dimensional visualization of

- crystal, volumetric and morphology data,” *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **44**, 1272–1276 (2011).
- ¹⁵H. Wadati, J. Mravlje, K. Yoshimatsu, H. Kumigashira, M. Oshima, T. Sugiyama, E. Ikenaga, A. Fujimori, A. Georges, A. Radetnac, K. S. Takahashi, M. Kawasaki, and Y. Tokura, “Photoemission and DMFT study of electronic correlations in SrMoO₃: Effects of Hund’s rule coupling and possible plasmonic sideband,” *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 205131 (2014).
- ¹⁶M. Takizawa, D. Toyota, H. Wadati, A. Chikamatsu, H. Kumigashira, A. Fujimori, M. Oshima, Z. Fang, M. Lippmaa, M. Kawasaki, and H. Koinuma, “Manifestation of correlation effects in the photoemission spectra of Ca_{1-x}Sr_xRuO₃,” *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 060404 (2005).
- ¹⁷H.-D. Kim, H.-J. Noh, K. H. Kim, and S.-J. Oh, “Core-level x-ray photoemission satellites in ruthenates: A new mechanism revealing the mott transition,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 126404 (2004).
- ¹⁸K. Fujioka, J. Okamoto, T. Mizokawa, A. Fujimori, I. Hase, M. Abbate, H. J. Lin, C. T. Chen, Y. Takeda, and M. Takano, “Electronic structure of SrRuO₃,” *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 6380–6383 (1997).
- ¹⁹T. Yokoya, A. Chainani, T. Takahashi, H. Katayama-Yoshida, M. Kasai, Y. Tokura, N. Shanthi, and D. D. Sarma, “Evidence for correlation effects in Sr₂RuO₄ from resonant and x-ray photoemission spectroscopy,” *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 8151–8154 (1996).
- ²⁰Z. V. Pchelkina, I. A. Nekrasov, T. Pruschke, A. Sekiyama, S. Suga, V. I. Anisimov, and D. Vollhardt, “Evidence for strong electronic correlations in the spectra of Sr₂RuO₄,” *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 035122 (2007).
- ²¹Z. V. Pchelkina, I. A. Nekrasov, T. Pruschke, S. Suga, V. I. Anisimov, and D. Vollhardt, “Reply to ‘Comment on ‘Evidence for strong electronic correlations in the spectra of Sr₂RuO₄’ ”,” *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 046102 (2008).
- ²²D. J. Singh, “Comment on ‘Evidence for strong electronic correlations in the spectra of Sr₂RuO₄’,” *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 046101 (2008).
- ²³S. Ryee, S. W. Jang, H. Kino, T. Kotani, and M. J. Han, “Quasiparticle self-consistent GW calculation of Sr₂RuO₄ and SrRuO₃,” *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 075125 (2016).
- ²⁴T. T. Tran, T. Mizokawa, S. Nakatsuji, H. Fukazawa, and Y. Maeno, “Correlation effects in Sr₂RuO₄ and Ca₂RuO₄: Valence-band photoemission spectra and self-energy calculations,” *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 153106 (2004).
- ²⁵Y. Zhang, M. Kim, J. Mravlje, C. Sohn, Y. Choi, J. Stremper, Y. Hotta, A. Yasui, J. Nichols, H. N. Lee, and H. Wadati, “Photoemission and dynamical mean field theory study of electronic correlations in a *t*_{2g}⁵ metal srho₃ thin film,” *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 085134 (2020).
- ²⁶S. Hüfner, *Photoelectron spectroscopy: principles and applications* (Springer, Berlin, 2003).
- ²⁷J. J. Yeh and I. Lindau, “Atomic subshell photoionization cross sections and asymmetry parameters: $1 \leq Z \leq 103$,” *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **32**, 1–155 (1985).
- ²⁸H. Suzuki, H. Liu, J. Bertinshaw, K. Ueda, H. Kim, S. Laha, D. Weber, Z. Yang, L. Wang, H. Takahashi, K. Fürsich, M. Minola, B. V. Lotsch, B. J. Kim, H. Yavaş, M. Daghofer, J. Chaloupka, G. Khaliullin, H. Gretarsson, and B. Keimer, “Proximate ferromagnetic state in the Kitaev model material α -RuCl₃,” *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4512 (2021).
- ²⁹S. Fukuma, A. Chikamatsu, T. Katayama, T. Maruyama, K. Yanagisawa, K. Kimoto, M. Kitamura, K. Horiba, H. Kumigashira, Y. Hirose, and T. Hasegawa, “Crystal structure and electronic property modification of Ca₂RuO₄ thin films via fluorine doping,” *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **6**, 035002 (2022).
- ³⁰E. B. Guedes, M. Abbate, K. Ishigami, A. Fujimori, K. Yoshimatsu, H. Kumigashira, M. Oshima, F. C. Vicentin, P. T. Fonseca, and R. J. O. Mossaneck, “Core level and valence band spectroscopy of SrRuO₃: Electron correlation and covalence effects,” *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 235127 (2012).
- ³¹A. Ino, T. Mizokawa, A. Fujimori, K. Tamasaku, H. Eisaki, S. Uchida, T. Kimura, T. Sasagawa, and K. Kishio, “Chemical Potential Shift in Overdoped and Underdoped La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2101–2104 (1997).
- ³²L. Q. Wu, Y. C. Li, S. Q. Li, Z. Z. Li, G. D. Tang, W. H. Qi, L. C. Xue, X. S. Ge, and L. L. Ding, “Method for estimating ionicities of oxides using O1s photoelectron spectra,” *AIP Adv.* **5**, 097210 (2015).
- ³³J. C. Fuggle, M. Campagna, Z. Zolnierok, R. Lässer, and A. Platau, “Observation of a relationship between core-level line shapes in photoelectron spectroscopy and the localization of screening orbitals,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 1597–1600 (1980).
- ³⁴W.-D. Schneider, B. Delley, E. Wuilloud, J.-M. Imer, and Y. Baer, “Electron-spectroscopic manifestations of the 4f states in light rare-earth solids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **32**, 6819–6831 (1985).
- ³⁵A. Kotani and H. Ogasawara, “Theory of core-level spectroscopy of rare-earth oxides,” *J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **60**, 257–299 (1992).
- ³⁶B. W. Lebert, S. Kim, V. Bisogni, I. Jarrige, A. M. Barbour, and Y.-J. Kim, “Resonant inelastic x-ray scattering study of α -RuCl₃: a progress report,” *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **32**, 144001 (2020).