

# Magnetic signatures of multicomponent superconductivity in pressurized $\text{UTe}_2$

Zheyu Wu,<sup>1</sup> Jiasheng Chen,<sup>1</sup> Theodore. I. Weinberger,<sup>1</sup> Andrej Cabala,<sup>2</sup> Vladimír Sechovský,<sup>2</sup> Michal Vališka,<sup>2</sup> Patricia L. Alireza,<sup>1</sup> Alexander G. Eaton,<sup>1,\*</sup> and F. Malte Grosche<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge,  
JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, United Kingdom*

<sup>2</sup>*Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,  
Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Ke Karlovu 5, Prague 2, 121 16, Czech Republic*

(Dated: March 12, 2024)

The heavy fermion material  $\text{UTe}_2$  possesses a rich phase diagram with multiple superconducting phases, several of which exhibit characteristics of odd-parity pairing. Here, we report on the pressure dependence of signatures of the superconducting transition in the temperature dependent magnetic susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  in high quality  $\text{UTe}_2$  single crystals. We resolve a single superconducting transition in  $\chi(T)$  at low pressures  $< 0.3$  GPa. At higher pressure, however, a second feature emerges in  $\chi(T)$ , which is located at the thermodynamic phase boundary between two separate superconducting states previously identified by specific heat studies. The observation of a two-step transition in  $\chi(T)$  can be understood as a consequence of the change in the London penetration depth, when  $\text{UTe}_2$  switches from one superconducting phase into another.

Few materials possess phase diagrams containing several distinct superconducting states. Examples include the heavy fermion metals  $\text{UPt}_3$  [1–3] and  $\text{U}_{1-x}\text{Th}_x\text{Be}_{13}$  [4, 5], both of which exhibit two anomalies in their specific heat as a function of temperature,  $C_p(T)$ , signifying a second-order thermodynamic phase transition between distinct superconducting states. The ferromagnet  $\text{URhGe}$  and the non-centrosymmetric compound  $\text{CeRh}_2\text{As}_2$  both have magnetic field-induced superconducting phases [6, 7] – the former case is understood to be caused by a field-induced electronic instability [8, 9], with the latter posited to coexist with either a quadrupolar density wave [10, 11] or antiferromagnetism [12].

Like  $\text{URhGe}$ , the heavy fermion paramagnet  $\text{UTe}_2$  also exhibits a magnetic field-induced superconducting state for a magnetic field,  $B$ , applied along the hard magnetic direction, which in  $\text{UTe}_2$  is the crystalline  $b$ -axis [13, 14]. Bulk-sensitive  $C_p(T, B)$  measurements have recently confirmed that this field-induced superconducting state (hereafter referred to as SC2) is a distinct thermodynamic phase from the zero-field superconducting groundstate (SC1) [15]. The field range over which SC2 is located is abruptly truncated at a threshold field  $B_m \approx 35$  T, which marks the metamagnetic transition to a field-polarised (FP) paramagnetic phase [16–18]. The FP state in turn hosts yet another superconducting phase (SC3) over a narrow angular range in the  $b - c$  rotation plane, which persists up to  $B \approx 70$  T [14, 19, 20].  $B_m$  decreases under the application of hydrostatic pressure,  $p$ . This leads to a reduction in the onset field of SC2, which in ambient pressure is located at  $\approx 15$  T but after a relatively small compression to  $p \approx 0.3$  GPa,  $B_m \approx 30$  T and SC2 is stabilized in 0 T [17, 18, 21, 22].

The observation of two anomalies in  $C_p(T)$  of  $\text{UTe}_2$  accompanied by signatures interpreted to indicate time-

reversal symmetry breaking (at ambient pressure and magnetic field), in samples grown by the chemical vapor transport (CVT) technique, led to the proposal that SC1 possesses a multicomponent chiral order parameter [23, 24]. However, subsequent measurements on a new generation of higher quality samples, grown in a molten salt flux (MSF) of NaCl and KCl, did not reproduce these results, exhibiting instead a singular sharp transition in  $C_p(T)$  [18, 25, 26] consistent with measurements on the highest  $T_c$  CVT specimens [27]. Furthermore, a recent study that observed no discontinuity in the shear elastic moduli of single- and double-transition samples on cooling through  $T_c$  argues strongly against the scenario of a multicomponent order parameter for the SC1 phase [28].

By contrast, the order parameter symmetry of the SC2 state has been much less extensively probed, owing to the experimental difficulties of requiring either high magnetic fields or high pressures to access SC2. Recent nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies in high magnetic fields at ambient pressure showed no significant change in the Knight shift – and hence in the local spin susceptibility – on crossing the SC2 - normal state phase boundary. A change in the local spin susceptibility was, however, observed, when  $\text{UTe}_2$  changes from SC1 to SC2 [29, 30]. This observation was interpreted in terms of a rotation of the dominant spin component of the spin-triplet Cooper pair, from being  $\parallel a$  for SC1 to  $\parallel b$  for SC2 [29]. Longitudinal electronic spin fluctuations for  $B \parallel b$  have thus been posited to underpin the SC2 phase [30, 31]. Moreover, accessing the SC2 state via high pressure rather than high field produced NMR results which, again, indicate that the  $b$ -axis spin susceptibility is unchanged with respect to normal state values [22]. Interestingly, the spin susceptibility along  $b$  was observed to change well below  $T_c$  for intermediate pressures  $p > 0.5$  GPa, leading the authors of ref. [22] to posit that SC1 and SC2 may coexist at high  $p$  and low  $T$ . This would result in a multicomponent superconducting state possessing two superconducting order parameters.

\* age28@cam.ac.uk

† fmg12@cam.ac.uk




FIG. 1. (a) dc magnetic moment,  $M$ , of a  $\text{UTe}_2$  single crystal at ambient pressure plotted as a function of temperature,  $T$ . The sample was mounted on a quartz holder and measured in a magnetic field of 1.0 mT. (b) ac magnetic susceptibility,  $\chi$ , of a  $\text{UTe}_2$  specimen in a MAC measured at incremental pressure points as indicated. Arrows mark superconducting transition anomalies at  $T_{c1}$  (blue arrow) and  $T_{c2}$  (magenta arrow). (c) Temperature derivatives of the data in panel b. A singular minimum is observed in the 0.25 GPa curve, identified with an arrow marking the SC1 transition. All higher pressure points exhibit an additional minimum, labelled as the SC2 transition.

Here, we report measurements of the temperature-dependent ac magnetic susceptibility,  $\chi(T)$  in pressurized  $\text{UTe}_2$  single crystals. We observe that the SC1 to SC2 thermodynamic phase boundary is associated with a clear anomaly in  $\chi(T)$ , characteristic of a transition in the superconducting order parameter [32, 33]. We compare our dataset to prior measurements on  $\text{UPt}_3$ , and discuss the implications on possible order parameter symmetries that may be present in  $\text{UTe}_2$ .

*Methods* – High quality  $\text{UTe}_2$  single crystals were grown by the MSF technique [25] in excess uranium. All samples were selected from the same growth batch from which we previously drew crystals for quantum oscillation measurements [34], underlining the high sample quality.  $\chi(T)$  measurements were performed using a microcoil setup in moissanite anvil cells (MACs) [35] with glycerol as the pressure medium. In this setup, a platelet sample with approximate thickness 50  $\mu\text{m}$  and diameter 200  $\mu\text{m}$  was loaded in a 10-turn microcoil. An ICEOxford  $^3\text{He}$  dipper probe was used to access temperatures down to 0.35 K.  $\chi(T)$  was inferred from the quadrature component of a lock-in measurement of the voltage across a pick-up coil, with the excitation current of 1 mA at 1.33 kHz applied to a concentric 170-turn outer drive coil. dc magnetic moment measurements at ambient pressure were performed in a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System using a  $^3\text{He}$  module.  $C_p(T)$  was measured by the ac-calorimetry method in a piston cylinder cell (PCC) using Daphne oil 7474 as pressure

medium [36]. Hydrostatic pressure values in MACs were calibrated by the frequency shift of ruby fluorescence [37], while for PCC measurements this was obtained from electrical transport measurements of the shift in  $T_c$  of an elemental lead sample [38].

*Results* – Figure 1 shows  $\chi(T)$  of compressed  $\text{UTe}_2$  at various hydrostatic pressure values as indicated. At ambient pressure (black curve, Fig. 1a) and 0.25 GPa (orange points, Fig. 1b) a single transition is observed, which is identified by a sharp sudden decrease of the susceptibility. This is as expected for the diamagnetic screening of magnetic flux by the spontaneous formation of supercurrents upon cooling below the superconducting critical temperature,  $T_c$ . By contrast, for the  $\chi(T)$  curves at  $p > 0.25$  GPa, *two* such transition anomalies are observed, as clearly identified in  $\partial\chi/\partial T$  labelled as  $T_{c1}$  and  $T_{c2}$  in Fig. 1c.

The presence of two distinct superconducting states in compressed  $\text{UTe}_2$  for  $p \gtrsim 0.3$  GPa has previously been reported from measurements of  $C_p(T)$  on CVT samples [18, 21, 39]. To determine whether the two features in  $\chi(T)$  at  $p > 0.25$  GPa correspond to bulk thermodynamic superconducting transitions, we also performed measurements of the resistivity,  $\rho(T)$ , and  $C_p(T)$  on an MSF  $\text{UTe}_2$  specimen. Figure 2 compares  $\chi$  and  $\partial\chi/\partial T$  for a sample measured in a MAC at  $p = 0.79$  GPa with  $\rho(T)$  and  $C_p(T)$  measured on a different sample in a PCC at 0.74 GPa. The resistivity falls to zero at the onset of the first anomaly in  $C_p(T)$ , and remains zero for all lower




FIG. 2. Resistivity  $\rho$  (a) and specific heat divided by temperature  $C_p/T$  (b) measured in a piston cylinder cell (PCC) at  $p = 0.74$  GPa compared with the susceptibility  $\chi$  (c) and  $\partial\chi/\partial T$  (d) for a sample in a moissanite anvil cell (MAC) at  $p = 0.79$  GPa. The two anomalies in  $\chi$  clearly correspond to the two anomalies seen in  $C_p$ .

temperatures below  $T \approx 3.16$  K at this pressure. Interestingly, the two features in  $\partial\chi/\partial T$  clearly correspond to the two  $C_p(T)$  anomalies. This agreement between heat capacity and magnetic susceptibility anomalies strongly suggests that the lower-temperature  $\chi(T)$  anomaly in  $\text{UTe}_2$  reflects the transition between the SC1 and SC2 superconducting phases.

Fig. 3 summarises the pressure dependence of the  $\chi(T)$  transition anomalies in  $\text{UTe}_2$  in a  $T$ - $p$  phase diagram (for  $B = 0$  T). Coexistence of SC1 & SC2 is indicated above 0.3 GPa, similar to [22]. We find that the higher  $T_c$  value at ambient pressure of our MSF-grown  $\text{UTe}_2$  compared to that of CVT-grown  $\text{UTe}_2$  translates to systematically higher  $T_c$  values for both the SC1 and SC2 states at all pressure points up to the critical pressure  $p_c \approx 1.46$  GPa, beyond which superconductivity disappears abruptly (see comparison to literature values in Supplementary Materials). Given that the residual Sommerfeld coefficient within the superconducting state vanishes for MSF-grown samples with ambient pressure  $T_c \simeq 2.1$  K [18], Fig. 3 constitutes the first report of the high-pressure phase diagram of  $\text{UTe}_2$  in the pristine quality limit.

For  $p > p_c$  we no longer observe any superconducting transitions in  $\chi(T)$ , consistent with prior studies on CVT  $\text{UTe}_2$  samples [18, 21, 39]. The highest pressure at which superconductivity is observed is 1.42 GPa ( $T_{c2} = 2.92$  K and  $T_{c1} = 0.85$  K). By contrast, at 1.50 GPa we do not observe any signatures of superconductivity. The  $\chi(T)$  at  $p > p_c$  is flat and featureless on cooling below 4 K (Fig. 1b). The sudden disappearance of superconducting transition anomalies and lack of strong magnetic transition anomalies in the uniform susceptibility is consistent with antiferromagnetic order above  $p_c$ , as recently iden-




FIG. 3. Temperature–pressure phase diagram of  $\text{UTe}_2$ . The SC1 (blue triangles) and SC2 (magenta diamonds) transition points are from our ac magnetic susceptibility measurements; the orange circles marking the antiferromagnetic (AFM) state are reproduced from ref. [21]. Solid lines are guides to the eye. The dashed line at  $p = 0.29$  GPa is located where ref. [41] identifies the first signature of the SC2 phase. We identify the critical pressure  $p_c$  at 1.46 GPa as the midpoint between our highest observed superconducting pressure point of 1.42 GPa and our next pressure of 1.50 GPa, which showed no signs of superconductivity (marked with a black triangle).

tified by neutron scattering measurements [40].

*Discussion* – Our finding of a kink in  $\chi(T)$  accompanying the transition between SC1 and SC2 is at first surprising because the onset of perfect conductivity could be expected to have already lead to complete screening of the interior of the sample at the upper transition, removing the possibility of a further jump in  $\chi(T)$  at the lower transition. Considering, however, the small sample size of order  $100 \mu\text{m}$ , susceptibility measurements may pick up the temperature dependence of the penetration depth  $\lambda$  near  $T_c$ , which limits the degree of flux expulsion. A similar double anomaly in  $\chi(T)$  has indeed been observed in  $\text{UPt}_3$  at ambient pressure, where the location of the kink in the  $\text{UPt}_3$  phase diagram was found to coincide with the bulk thermodynamic phase transition between the superconducting A and B phases. This was interpreted in terms of a change in  $\lambda$  at the transition [3, 42–44]. A similar result has also been reported for  $\text{U}_{0.97}\text{Th}_{0.03}\text{Be}_{13}$  [45].

Motivated by these reports, we interpret the lower- $T$  kink anomaly in  $\chi(T)$  as indicating an additional reduction in the London penetration depth. This result indicates a transition in the superconducting order parameter of  $\text{UTe}_2$  on crossing from SC2 to SC1.

Flux is not fully expelled within a surface layer of thickness  $\sim \lambda$ . The magnitude of the susceptibility signal for a sample of thickness  $t$  is consequently changed by  $\sim \lambda/t$ . Because  $\lambda$  diverges at  $T_c$  and is of order  $1 \mu\text{m}$  at low  $T$

in  $\text{UTe}_2$  (e.g. [46]), and  $t \simeq 50 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , the susceptibility signal can be expected to be very sensitive to  $\lambda$  in these measurements.

The symmetry of the superconducting order parameter in the SC1 state of  $\text{UTe}_2$  remains an open and actively pursued question. Recent bulk-sensitive ultrasonic measurements [28] suggest that the SC1 order parameter is single-component in character. However, the question of whether the gap function contains point nodes – and if so, where on the Fermi surface they are located – remains the topic of active debate. Measurements in CVT samples of thermal conductivity [46], NMR [47], specific heat [48], scanning SQUID [49] and pulse-echo ultrasound [28] were all interpreted as yielding results consistent with the presence of point-nodes located somewhere in the  $k_c = 0$  plane [50], consistent with the Fermi surface geometry of quasi-2D sheets that run along the  $c$  direction [34, 51–53]. However, subsequent NMR [54] and thermal conductivity [55] studies in high-quality MSF samples have instead been interpreted as being consistent with a full superconducting gap that does not intersect the Fermi surface at any point [56].

For the SC2 state, theoretical studies have likewise proposed a number of possible order parameter symmetries, including nodal [17] and fully gapped [57] odd-parity states. Another study [58] suggested that the superconducting state accessed for  $B \parallel b$  at ambient pressure is separate to that found under pressure in ambient magnetic field, and that the pressurized state may be even-parity in character. However, recent NMR studies under applied magnetic field [29, 30] and hydrostatic pressure [22] have reported strong similarities in the NMR spectra of these two phases, including a negligible change in the Knight shift at  $T_{c2}$ , a preferential alignment of the local spin susceptibility along the  $b$ -axis, and a relationship of increasing  $T_{c2}$  as the  $b$ -axis local spin susceptibility increases under either pressure or magnetic field. Each of

these observations strongly suggest that this is indeed the same odd-parity superconducting phase we label as SC2 – that is tuned by either applied pressure or applied magnetic field close to the  $b$ -axis – which is not present at  $B = 0 \text{ T}$  nor at  $p = 0 \text{ GPa}$ .

Our observation of a transition in the superconducting order parameter of  $\text{UTe}_2$ , manifested by an anomaly in the London penetration depth at the SC1–SC2 transition, naturally poses the questions: what precisely are the order parameters in SC1 and SC2? Does SC2 have a multicomponent order parameter outside its coexistence region with SC1? What are the topological properties of the superconductivity found in the coexistence region of SC1 and SC2? Quantitative measurements of the London penetration depth at high pressure, for example by the tunnel diode oscillator technique [59], will be of great value in making further progress on these outstanding questions.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to A. Carrington, M.J. Grant, D.V. Chichinadze, D. Shaffer, T. Hazra and A.J. Hickey for stimulating discussions. This project was supported by the EPSRC of the UK (grants EP/X011992/1 & EP/R513180/1). Crystal growth and characterization were performed in MGML (mgml.eu), which is supported within the program of Czech Research Infrastructures (project no. LM2023065). We acknowledge financial support by the Czech Science Foundation (GACR), project No. 22-22322S. A.G.E. acknowledges support from the Henry Royce Institute for Advanced Materials through the Equipment Access Scheme enabling access to the Advanced Materials Characterisation Suite at Cambridge, grants EP/P024947/1, EP/M000524/1 & EP/R00661X/1; and from Sidney Sussex College (University of Cambridge).

- 
- [1] R. A. Fisher, S. Kim, B. F. Woodfield, N. E. Phillips, L. Taillefer, K. Hasselbach, J. Flouquet, A. L. Giorgi, and J. L. Smith, Specific heat of  $\text{UPt}_3$ : Evidence for unconventional superconductivity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1411 (1989).
  - [2] K. Hasselbach, L. Taillefer, and J. Flouquet, Critical point in the superconducting phase diagram of  $\text{UPt}_3$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 93 (1989).
  - [3] R. Joynt and L. Taillefer, The superconducting phases of  $\text{UPt}_3$ , *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 235 (2002).
  - [4] H. R. Ott, H. Rudigier, Z. Fisk, and J. L. Smith, Phase transition in the superconducting state of  $\text{U}_{1-x}\text{Th}_x\text{Be}_{13}$  ( $x=0-0.06$ ), *Phys. Rev. B* **31**, 1651 (1985).
  - [5] J. S. Kim, B. Andraka, and G. R. Stewart, Investigation of the second transition in  $\text{U}_{1-x}\text{Th}_x\text{Be}_{13}$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **44**, 6921 (1991).
  - [6] F. Lévy, I. Sheikin, B. Grenier, and A. D. Huxley, Magnetic field-induced superconductivity in the ferromagnet  $\text{URhGe}$ , *Science* **309**, 1343 (2005).
  - [7] S. Khim, J. Landaeta, J. Banda, N. Bannor, M. Brando, P. Brydon, D. Hafner, R. KÜchler, R. Cardoso-Gil, U. Stockert, *et al.*, Field-induced transition within the superconducting state of  $\text{CeRh}_2\text{As}_2$ , *Science* **373**, 1012 (2021).
  - [8] E. Yelland, J. Barraclough, W. Wang, K. Kamenev, and A. Huxley, High-field superconductivity at an electronic topological transition in  $\text{URhGe}$ , *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 890 (2011).
  - [9] Y. Sherkunov, A. V. Chubukov, and J. J. Betouras, Effects of Lifshitz Transitions in Ferromagnetic Superconductors: The Case of  $\text{URhGe}$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 097001 (2018).
  - [10] D. Hafner, P. Khanenko, E.-O. Eljaouhari, R. KÜchler, J. Banda, N. Bannor, T. Lühmann, J. F. Landaeta, S. Mishra, I. Sheikin, E. Hassinger, S. Khim, C. Geibel, G. Zwicknagl, and M. Brando, Possible Quadrupole Density Wave in the Superconducting Kondo Lattice  $\text{CeRh}_2\text{As}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 011023 (2022).

- [11] K. Semeniuk, D. Hafner, P. Khanenko, T. Lühmann, J. Banda, J. F. Landaeta, C. Geibel, S. Khim, E. Hassinger, and M. Brando, Decoupling multiphase superconductivity from normal state ordering in  $\text{CeRh}_2\text{As}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, L220504 (2023).
- [12] G. Chajewski and D. Kaczorowski, Discovery of Magnetic Phase Transitions in Heavy-Fermion Superconductor  $\text{CeRh}_2\text{As}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 076504 (2024).
- [13] S. Ran, C. Eckberg, Q. P. Ding, Y. Furukawa, T. Metz, S. R. Saha, I. L. Liu, M. Zic, H. Kim, J. Paglione, and N. P. Butch, Nearly ferromagnetic spin-triplet superconductivity, *Science* **365**, 684 (2019).
- [14] S. Ran, I. L. Liu, Y. S. Eo, D. J. Campbell, P. M. Neves, W. T. Fuhrman, S. R. Saha, C. Eckberg, H. Kim, D. Graf, F. Balakirev, J. Singleton, J. Paglione, and N. P. Butch, Extreme magnetic field-boosted superconductivity, *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 1250 (2019).
- [15] A. Rosuel, C. Marcenat, G. Knebel, T. Klein, A. Pourret, N. Marquardt, Q. Niu, S. Rousseau, A. Demuer, G. Seyfarth, G. Lapertot, D. Aoki, D. Braithwaite, J. Flouquet, and J. P. Brison, Field-Induced Tuning of the Pairing State in a Superconductor, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 011022 (2023).
- [16] A. Miyake, Y. Shimizu, Y. J. Sato, D. Li, A. Nakamura, Y. Homma, F. Honda, J. Flouquet, M. Tokunaga, and D. Aoki, Metamagnetic Transition in Heavy Fermion Superconductor  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **88** (2019).
- [17] W.-C. Lin, D. J. Campbell, S. Ran, I.-L. Liu, H. Kim, A. H. Nevidomskyy, D. Graf, N. P. Butch, and J. Paglione, Tuning magnetic confinement of spin-triplet superconductivity, *npj Quantum Mater.* **5**, 68 (2020).
- [18] D. Aoki, J. P. Brison, J. Flouquet, K. Ishida, G. Knebel, Y. Tokunaga, and Y. Yanase, Unconventional superconductivity in  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **34**, 243002 (2022).
- [19] T. Helm, M. Kimata, K. Sudo, A. Miyata, J. Stirnat, T. Förster, J. Hornung, M. König, I. Sheikin, A. Pourret, *et al.*, Field-induced compensation of magnetic exchange as the possible origin of reentrant superconductivity in  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 37 (2024).
- [20] Z. Wu, T. I. Weinberger, A. J. Hickey, D. V. Chichinadze, D. Shaffer, A. Cabala, H. Chen, M. Long, T. J. Brumm, W. Xie, Y. Lin, Y. Skourski, Z. Zengwei, D. E. Graf, V. Sechovsky, G. G. Lonzarich, M. Valiska, F. M. Grosche, and A. G. Eaton, Quantum critical fluctuations generate intensely magnetic field-resilient superconductivity in  $\text{UTe}_2$  (2024), [arXiv:2403.02535 \[cond-mat.suprcon\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.02535).
- [21] S. M. Thomas, F. B. Santos, M. H. Christensen, T. Asaba, F. Ronning, J. D. Thompson, E. D. Bauer, R. M. Fernandes, G. Fabbris, and P. F. Rosa, Evidence for a pressure-induced antiferromagnetic quantum critical point in intermediate-valence  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *Sci. Adv.* **6**, 8709 (2020).
- [22] K. Kinjo, H. Fujibayashi, H. Matsumura, F. Hori, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, A. Nakamura, *et al.*, Superconducting spin reorientation in spin-triplet multiple superconducting phases of  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *Sci. Adv.* **9**, eadg2736 (2023).
- [23] I. M. Hayes, D. S. Wei, T. Metz, J. Zhang, Y. S. Eo, S. Ran, S. R. Saha, J. Collini, N. P. Butch, D. F. Agterberg, A. Kapitulnik, and J. Paglione, Multicomponent superconducting order parameter in  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *Science* **373**, 797 (2021).
- [24] D. S. Wei, D. Saykin, O. Y. Miller, S. Ran, S. R. Saha, D. F. Agterberg, J. Schmalian, N. P. Butch, J. Paglione, and A. Kapitulnik, Interplay between magnetism and superconductivity in  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 024521 (2022).
- [25] H. Sakai, P. Opletal, Y. Tokiwa, E. Yamamoto, Y. Tokunaga, S. Kambe, and Y. Haga, Single crystal growth of superconducting  $\text{UTe}_2$  by molten salt flux method, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **6**, 073401 (2022).
- [26] M. O. Ajeesh, M. Bordelon, C. Girod, S. Mishra, F. Ronning, E. D. Bauer, B. Maiorov, J. D. Thompson, P. F. S. Rosa, and S. M. Thomas, Fate of Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking in  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041019 (2023).
- [27] P. F. S. Rosa, A. Weiland, S. S. Fender, B. L. Scott, F. Ronning, J. D. Thompson, E. D. Bauer, and S. M. Thomas, Single thermodynamic transition at 2 K in superconducting  $\text{UTe}_2$  single crystals, *Commun. Mater.* **3**, 33 (2022).
- [28] F. Theuss, A. Shragai, G. Grissonnanche, I. M. Hayes, S. R. Saha, Y. S. Eo, A. Suarez, T. Shishidou, N. P. Butch, J. Paglione, and B. J. Ramshaw, Single-Component Superconductivity in  $\text{UTe}_2$  at Ambient Pressure (2023), [arXiv:2307.10938](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.10938).
- [29] K. Kinjo, H. Fujibayashi, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, A. Nakamura, Y. Shimizu, Y. Homma, D. X. Li, F. Honda, D. Aoki, K. Hiraki, M. Kimata, and T. Sasaki, Change of superconducting character in  $\text{UTe}_2$  induced by magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, L060502 (2023).
- [30] Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, P. Opletal, Y. Tokiwa, Y. Haga, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, D. Aoki, G. Knebel, G. Lapertot, S. Krämer, and M. Horvatić, Longitudinal Spin Fluctuations Driving Field-Reinforced Superconductivity in  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 226503 (2023).
- [31] Z. Wu, T. I. Weinberger, J. Chen, A. Cabala, D. V. Chichinadze, D. Shaffer, J. Pospisil, J. Prokleska, T. Haidamak, G. Bastien, V. Sechovsky, A. J. Hickey, M. J. Mancera-Ugarte, S. Benjamin, D. E. Graf, Y. Skourski, G. G. Lonzarich, M. Valiska, F. M. Grosche, and A. G. Eaton, Enhanced triplet superconductivity in next generation ultraclean  $\text{UTe}_2$  (2023), [arXiv:2305.19033](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.19033).
- [32] R. Prozorov and R. W. Giannetta, Magnetic penetration depth in unconventional superconductors, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **19**, R41 (2006).
- [33] E. Mueller, Y. Iguchi, C. Watson, C. W. Hicks, Y. Maeno, and K. A. Moler, Constraints on a split superconducting transition under uniaxial strain in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  from scanning SQUID microscopy, *Phys. Rev. B* **108**, 144501 (2023).
- [34] A. G. Eaton, T. I. Weinberger, N. J. M. Popiel, Z. Wu, A. J. Hickey, A. Cabala, J. Pospisil, J. Prokleska, T. Haidamak, G. Bastien, P. Opletal, H. Sakai, Y. Haga, R. Nowell, S. M. Benjamin, V. Sechovský, G. G. Lonzarich, F. M. Grosche, and M. Vališka, Quasi-2D Fermi surface in the anomalous superconductor  $\text{UTe}_2$ , *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 223 (2024).
- [35] P. L. Alireza and S. R. Julian, Susceptibility measurements at high pressures using a microcoil system in an anvil cell, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **74**, 4728 (2003).
- [36] S. Klotz, J. Chervin, P. Munsch, and G. Le Marchand, Hydrostatic limits of 11 pressure transmitting media, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **42**, 075413 (2009).
- [37] G. J. Piermarini, S. Block, J. D. Barnett, and R. A. Forman, Calibration of the pressure dependence of the R1

- ruby fluorescence line to 195 kbar, *J. Appl. Phys.* **46**, 2774 (1975).
- [38] M. Clark and T. Smith, Pressure dependence of  $T_c$  for lead, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **32**, 495 (1978).
- [39] D. Braithwaite, M. Vališka, G. Knebel, G. Lapertot, J. P. Brison, A. Pourret, M. E. Zhitomirsky, J. Flouquet, F. Honda, and D. Aoki, Multiple superconducting phases in a nearly ferromagnetic system, *Commun. Phys.* **2**, 1 (2019).
- [40] W. Knafo, T. Thebault, P. Manuel, D. D. Khalyavin, F. Orlandi, E. Ressouche, K. Beauvois, G. Lapertot, K. Kaneko, D. Aoki, D. Braithwaite, G. Knebel, and S. Raymond, Incommensurate antiferromagnetism in  $UTe_2$  under pressure (2023), [arXiv:2311.05455 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.05455).
- [41] S. M. Thomas, C. Stevens, F. B. Santos, S. S. Fender, E. D. Bauer, F. Ronning, J. D. Thompson, A. Huxley, and P. F. S. Rosa, Spatially inhomogeneous superconductivity in  $UTe_2$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 224501 (2021).
- [42] G. Bruls, D. Weber, B. Wolf, P. Thalmeier, B. Lüthi, A. d. Visser, and A. Menovsky, Strain–order-parameter coupling and phase diagrams in superconducting  $UPt_3$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2294 (1990).
- [43] P. J. C. Signore, B. Andraka, M. W. Meisel, S. E. Brown, Z. Fisk, A. L. Giorgi, J. L. Smith, F. Gross-Alltag, E. A. Schuberth, and A. A. Menovsky, Inductive measurements of  $UPt_3$  in the superconducting state, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 4446 (1995).
- [44] S. Schöttl, E. A. Schuberth, J. B. Kycia, and W. P. Halperin, Magnetic study of the  $UPt_3$  superconducting phases, *Phys. B: Condens. Matter* **280**, 174 (2000).
- [45] Y. Shimizu, S. Kittaka, S. Nakamura, T. Sakakibara, D. Aoki, Y. Homma, A. Nakamura, and K. Machida, Quasiparticle excitations and evidence for superconducting double transitions in monocrystalline  $U_{0.97}Th_{0.03}Be_{13}$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 100505 (2017).
- [46] T. Metz, S. Bae, S. Ran, I.-L. Liu, Y. S. Eo, W. T. Fuhrman, D. F. Agterberg, S. M. Anlage, N. P. Butch, and J. Paglione, Point-node gap structure of the spin-triplet superconductor  $UTe_2$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 220504 (2019).
- [47] H. Fujibayashi, G. Nakamine, K. Kinjo, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, A. Nakamura, Y. Shimizu, Y. Homma, D. Li, F. Honda, and D. Aoki, Superconducting Order Parameter in  $UTe_2$  Determined by Knight Shift Measurement, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **91**, 043705 (2022).
- [48] S. Kittaka, Y. Shimizu, T. Sakakibara, A. Nakamura, D. Li, Y. Homma, F. Honda, D. Aoki, and K. Machida, Orientation of point nodes and nonunitary triplet pairing tuned by the easy-axis magnetization in  $UTe_2$ , *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 032014 (2020).
- [49] Y. Iguchi, H. Man, S. M. Thomas, F. Ronning, P. F. S. Rosa, and K. A. Moler, Microscopic Imaging Homogeneous and Single Phase Superfluid Density in  $UTe_2$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 196003 (2023).
- [50] The authors of ref. [49] also noted their data would be consistent with a highly anisotropic full gap.
- [51] D. Aoki, S. Hironori, O. Petr, T. Yoshifumi, I. Jun, Y. Youichi, H. Hisatomo, N. Ai, L. Dexin, H. Yoshiya, S. Yusei, K. Georg, F. Jacques, and H. Yoshinori, First Observation of the de Haas–van Alphen Effect and Fermi Surfaces in the Unconventional Superconductor  $UTe_2$ , *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **91**, 083704 (2022).
- [52] T. I. Weinberger, Z. Wu, D. E. Graf, Y. Skourski, A. Cabala, J. Pospisil, J. Prokleska, T. Haidamak, G. Bastien, V. Sechovsky, G. G. Lonzarich, M. Valiska, F. M. Grosche, and A. G. Eaton, Quantum interference between quasi-2D Fermi surface sheets in  $UTe_2$  (2023), [arXiv:2307.00568 \[cond-mat.supr-con\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.00568).
- [53] T. I. Weinberger, Z. Wu, A. J. Hickey, D. E. Graf, G. Li, P. Wang, R. Zhou, A. Cabala, J. Pu, V. Sechovsky, M. Valiska, G. G. Lonzarich, F. M. Grosche, and A. G. Eaton, Pressure-enhanced  $f$ -electron orbital weighting in  $UTe_2$  mapped by quantum interferometry (2024), [arXiv:2403.03946 \[cond-mat.supr-con\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03946).
- [54] H. Matsumura, H. Fujibayashi, K. Kinjo, S. Kitagawa, K. Ishida, Y. Tokunaga, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, A. Nakamura, Y. Shimizu, *et al.*, Large Reduction in the  $a$ -axis Knight Shift on  $UTe_2$  with  $T_c = 2.1$  K, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **92**, 063701 (2023).
- [55] S. Suetsugu, M. Shimomura, M. Kamimura, T. Asaba, H. Asaeda, Y. Kosuge, Y. Sekino, S. Ikemori, Y. Kasahara, Y. Kohsaka, M. Lee, Y. Yanase, H. Sakai, P. Opletal, Y. Tokiwa, Y. Haga, and Y. Matsuda, Fully gapped pairing state in spin-triplet superconductor  $UTe_2$  (2023), [arXiv:2306.17549 \[cond-mat.supr-con\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.17549).
- [56] We note that recent thermal transport measurements on a new sample generation grown in a Te-flux have been interpreted as indicating a nodal superconducting gap [60].
- [57] J. Ishizuka, S. Sumita, A. Daido, and Y. Yanase, Insulator-Metal Transition and Topological Superconductivity in  $UTe_2$  from a First-Principles Calculation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 217001 (2019).
- [58] J. Ishizuka and Y. Yanase, Periodic Anderson model for magnetism and superconductivity in  $UTe_2$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 094504 (2021).
- [59] R. Giannetta, A. Carrington, and R. Prozorov, London penetration depth measurements using tunnel diode resonators, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **208**, 119 (2022).
- [60] I. M. Hayes, T. E. Metz, C. E. Frank, S. R. Saha, N. P. Butch, V. Mishra, P. J. Hirschfeld, and J. Paglione, Robust nodal behavior in the thermal conductivity of superconducting  $UTe_2$  (2024), [arXiv:2402.19353 \[cond-mat.supr-con\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.19353).