# CLASSY IX: The Chemical Evolution of the Ne, S, Cl, and Ar Elements

KARLA Z. ARELLANO-CÓRDOVA,<sup>1,2</sup> DANIELLE A. BERG,<sup>2</sup> MATILDE MINGOZZI,<sup>3</sup> BETHAN L. JAMES,<sup>3</sup> NOAH S. J., ROGERS,<sup>4</sup> EVAN D. SKILLMAN,<sup>5</sup> FERGUS, CULLEN,<sup>1</sup> RYAN, ALEXANDER,<sup>6</sup> RICARDO O. AMORÍN,<sup>7,8</sup> JOHN CHISHOLM,<sup>2</sup> MATTHEW HAYES,<sup>9</sup> TIMOTHY HECKMAN,<sup>10</sup> SVEA HERNANDEZ,<sup>3</sup> NIMISHA KUMARI,<sup>3</sup> CLAUS LEITHERER,<sup>11</sup> CRYSTAL L. MARTIN,<sup>12</sup> MICHAEL MASEDA,<sup>13</sup> THEMIYA NANAYAKKARA,<sup>14</sup> KAELEE PARKER,<sup>2</sup> SWARA RAVINDRANATH,<sup>15, 16</sup> ALLISON L. STROM,<sup>17</sup> FIORENZO VINCENZO,<sup>6</sup> AND AIDA WOFFORD<sup>18, 19</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh, EH9 3HJ, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Astronomy, The University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Stop C1400, Austin, TX 78712, USA

<sup>3</sup>AURA for ESA, Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>4</sup>Center for Interdisciplinary Exploration and Research in Astrophysics (CIERA), Northwestern University, 1800 Sherman Ave., Evanston, IL, 60201, USA

<sup>5</sup>Minnesota Institute for Astrophysics, University of Minnesota, 116 Church Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

<sup>6</sup>E.A. Milne Centre for Astrophysics, University of Hull, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK

<sup>7</sup>Instituto de Investigación Multidisciplinar en Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad de La Serena, Raul Bitrán 1305, La Serena 2204000, Chile

<sup>8</sup>Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de La Serena, Av. Juan Cisternas 1200 Norte, La Serena 1720236, Chile

<sup>9</sup>Stockholm University, Department of Astronomy and Oskar Klein Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics, AlbaNova University Centre, SE-10691, Stockholm, Sweden

<sup>10</sup>Center for Astrophysical Sciences, Department of Physics & Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>11</sup>Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>12</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

<sup>13</sup>Department of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA

<sup>14</sup>Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Victoria, AU

<sup>15</sup>Astrophysics Science Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Road, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

<sup>16</sup>Center for Research and Exploration in Space Science and Technology II, Department of Physics, Catholic University of America, 620 Michigan Ave N.E.,

Washington DC 20064, USA

<sup>17</sup>Department of Astrophysical Sciences, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

<sup>18</sup>Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Unidad Académica en Ensenada, Km 103 Carr. Tijuana-Ensenada, Ensenada 22860,

México

<sup>19</sup>Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, University of California San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Submitted to AASJournal ApJ

# ABSTRACT

To study the chemical evolution across cosmic epochs, we investigate Ne, S, Cl, and Ar abundance patterns in the COS Legacy Archive Spectroscopic SurveY (CLASSY). CLASSY comprises local star-forming galaxies (0.02 < z < 0.18) with enhanced star-formation rates, making them strong analogues to high-*z* star-forming galaxies. With direct measurements of electron temperature, we derive accurate ionic abundances for all elements and assess ionization correction factors (ICFs) to account for unseen ions and derive total abundances. We find Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O exhibit constant trends with gas-phase metallicity for 12+log(O/H) < 8.5 but significant correlation for Ne/O and Ar/O with metallicity for 12+log(O/H) > 8.5, likely due to ICFs. Thus, applicability of the ICFs to integrated spectra of galaxies could bias results, underestimating true abundance ratios. Using CLASSY as a local reference, we assess the evolution of Ne/O, S/O, and Ar/O in galaxies at *z* > 3, finding no cosmic evolution of Ne/O, while the lack of direct abundance determinations for S/O and Ar/O can bias the interpretation of the evolution of these elements. We determine the fundamental metallicity relationship (FMR) for CLASSY and compare to the high-redshift FMR, finding no evolution. Finally, we perform the first mass-neon relationship analysis across cosmic epochs, finding a slight evolution to high Ne at later epochs. The robust abundance patterns of CLASSY galaxies and their broad range of physical properties provide essential benchmarks for interpreting the chemical enrichment of the early galaxies observed with the JWST.

Corresponding author: Karla Z. Arellano-Córdova ziboney@gmail.com, k.arellano@ed.ac.uk

# *Keywords:* Dwarf galaxies (416), Galaxy chemical evolution (580), Galaxy spectroscopy (2171), Emission line galaxies (459)

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Recent observations of JWST have opened a new window into the exploration of the chemical enrichment of galaxies at z > 6 (e.g., Schaerer et al. 2022; Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022a; Curti et al. 2023a; Brinchmann 2023; Rhoads et al. 2023; Isobe et al. 2023b; Nakajima et al. 2023). The determination of the oxygen abundances (O/H, often referred to as metallicity) is an essential goal of JWST observations due to its strong relationship with galaxy properties such as stellar mass and star-formation rate. Such scaling relationships involve diverse mechanisms associated with galaxy formation and evolution such as star formation, outflows, or inflows of pristine gas (i.e., the baryon cycle; Tremonti et al. 2004; Tumlinson et al. 2017). Impressively, direct O/H abundances have already been computed for a small number of sources thanks to the successful detection of the temperaturesensitive emission line of [O III]  $\lambda$ 4363 at z > 7 (e.g., Schaerer et al. 2022; Curti et al. 2023a; Rhoads et al. 2023; Trump et al. 2023; Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022a; Laseter et al. 2023). While direct abundance determinations are often viewed as the most robust method, recent temperature inhomogeneities results in H IIregions may result in a strong bias in the numer of objects with high degree of ionization objects, like those observed at z < 7 (Méndez-Delgado et al. 2023a; Cameron et al. 2023b; Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022a). Such bias might have a significant impact on important scaling relations such as the mass-metallicity relation in metal-poor objects. Samples of galaxies at  $z \sim 0$  with robust determinations of electron temperature (i.e. Te, using different line diagnostics) and metallicities are crucial to assess this bias and the potential impacts on high redshift abundance determinations.

In addition to oxygen, strong emission lines associated with other elements are now being clearly detected at z > 4(e.g., Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022a; Nakajima et al. 2023; Isobe et al. 2023b; Marques-Chaves et al. 2023; Jones et al. 2023). Example includes Ne, S, and Ar, which are the most typical  $\alpha$ -elements observed in nebular studies in the local Universe (Izotov et al. 2006; Croxall et al. 2016). We do not include nitrogen here because it is the focus of an upcoming paper (Arellano-Córdova et al. in prep.) (see also, Stephenson et al. 2023). These elements are ejected into the interstellar medium (ISM) by core-collapse supernovae (CCSNe) (Henry & Worthey 1999; Prantzos et al. 2020; Kobayashi et al. 2020a).

Before JWST, the majority of  $\alpha$ -element abundance measurements were limited to H II regions and star-forming galaxies (SFGs) from the local universe. (e.g., Croxall et al. 2016; Arellano-Córdova et al. 2020; Berg et al. 2018; 2019a; Kumari et al. 2019; Domínguez-Guzmán et al. 2022; Guseva et al. 2011; Gusev et al. 2012; Izotov et al. 2006; Izotov et al. 2011; Rogers et al. 2022; Díaz & Zamora 2022). In general, many studies report little-to-no variation between  $\alpha$ -elements with respect to O and metallicity (e.g., Kennicutt et al. 2003a; Izotov et al. 2006; Berg et al. 2021; Rogers et al. 2022), with the exception of S/O which has been seen to decrease significantly as metallicity increases (e.g., S/O vs O/H, Amayo et al. 2021; Díaz & Zamora 2022; Izotov et al. 2006; Guseva et al. 2011).

Recent observations with JWST now present the opportunity to determine the chemical abundances of galaxies at high-z. Chemical abundances of SFGs have been studied in surveys like CEERS, GLASS, and JADES (Finkelstein et al. 2023; Treu et al. 2022; Eisenstein et al. 2023). For example, the abundance ratio of C/O have been reported in a few galaxies at z > 6 (e.g., Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022a; Cameron et al. 2023a; Jones et al. 2012; Marques-Chaves et al. 2023), while the chemical abundances of Ne, S, and Ar have been determined for multiple SFGs at z = 4-8 (e.g., Isobe et al. 2023a). Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022a) reported Ne/O abundance ratios for three galaxies at z > 7 using spectra from the JWST Early Release Observations (ERO, Pontoppidan et al. 2022). Comparing their results with local SFGs, the authors found that Ne/O does not appear to evolve with redshift. In the recent work by Isobe et al. (2023b), they studied the Ne/O ratio for a large sample of SFGs at  $z \sim 4-10$ in comparison with chemical evolution models (Watanabe et al. 2023). These authors also found a comparable results to those observed in galaxies at  $z \sim 0$  (Izotov et al. 2006; Isobe et al. 2022; Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022a; e.g.,).

Unfortunately, being unable to estimate  $T_e$  in most of the high-*z* galaxies in Isobe et al. (2023b) makes it hard to interpret the redshift evolution of Ne, S, and Ar. In general, accurate determinations of chemical abundances (e.g., C, N, Ne, S, Cl, Ar, and Fe) relies on two key aspects (1) the robust measurement of  $T_e$  (and electron density, see also, Sanders et al. 2016; Isobe et al. 2023a; Méndez-Delgado et al. 2023b) and (2) accurate ionization correction factors (ICFs, essential to account for the unseen ions) (e.g., Peimbert & Costero 1969; Thuan et al. 1995; Izotov et al. 2006; Pérez-Montero et al. 2007; Dors et al. 2016; Peimbert et al. 2017; Berg et al. 2021; Amayo et al. 2021).

The nebular structures are complex in nature, the issue here is that the integrated observations do not capture this complexity. In principle, ICFs are constructed under the prescription of a single ionization source or H II region, resulting in

a potentially large bias when these are applied to the integrated spectra of SFGs. This could result in spurious trends that are not compatible with the prediction of chemical evolution models (e.g., Alexander et al. 2023; Watanabe et al. 2023), and in turn, bias our interpretation of the abundance patterns in the early Universe. Therefore, to decipher the chemical evolution of these elements, it is more important than ever to establish samples of nearby galaxies that span an ample range of conditions in order to correctly understand that physical properties of galaxies at high-z. Local SFGs allow us to disentangle the ionization and temperature structure (Guseva et al. 2012; Izotov et al. 2006; Izotov et al. 2012; Mingozzi et al. 2022; Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022a). Thus, they provide a robust path to generate tools to understand the abundance ratios of metals from  $z \sim 0$  to z > 4 in galaxies already observed with the JWST such as CECILIA (Strom et al. 2023), and enable us to be prepared for the upcoming observations of the Extremely Large Telescopes (ELTs).

The main aim of this paper is to study the chemical evolution of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar<sup>1</sup>. These elements are synthesized in the interiors of massive stars as O. Therefore, their study can help us to constrain chemical evolution models of galaxies. Particularly, to compare observations of SFGs at different redshifts with theoretical models to understand the role of the CCSNe yields, which still suffer from large uncertainties. In this context, we use a sample of local SFGs from the COS Legacy Archive Spectroscopic SurveY (CLASSY, Berg et al. 2022; James et al. 2021) with properties similar to high-z galaxies (stellar mass, star-formation rate, and ionization parameter) characterizing robustly their physical conditions and ionic abundances. With CLASSY, we can inspect the ICFs to provide information concerning the potential biases affecting the computation of total abundance ratios. The analysis of the CLASSY galaxies will be a robust template to interpret the chemical evolution of metals across cosmic time.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we present the sample used in this analysis. Section 3 describes the methodology utilized to derive the physical condition and ionic abundances. The analysis of the ICFs and the total abundances is also described. The results for the abundance patterns of CLASSY are presented in Section 4.2. In Section 5, we compare our results with the abundance patterns of high-*z* galaxies and the bias in abundance determinations at high-*z*. In Section 6 we also discuss the evolution of some scaling relations. In Section 7, we summarize our conclusions.

In this paper, we use the following solar abundance ratios taken from Asplund et al. (2021): Ne/O $_{\odot}$  = -0.63 ± 0.06,

 $S/O_{\odot} = -1.57 \pm 0.05$ ,  $Cl/O_{\odot} = -3.38 \pm 0.20$ , and  $Ar/O_{\odot} = -2.31 \pm 0.11$  with a solar oxygen abundance of  $12 + log(O/H) = 8.69 \pm 0.04$ .

#### 2. SAMPLE

# 2.1. Main sample

We use the ancillary optical spectra of 43 local (0.002 <z < 0.18) SFGs from CLASSY (Berg et al. 2022; James et al. 2021). This collection of optical spectra comprises observations from the APO/SDSS, LBT/MODS, VLT/MUSE/VIMOS and MMT telescopes/instruments, with a broad range of physical properties (see Figure 1) such as reddening (0.02 < E(B-V) < 0.67), electron density, (10 < 0.67) $n_{e}$  (cm<sup>-3</sup>) < 1120), metallicity (7.0 < 12+log(O/H) < 8.7), a proxy of the ionization degree (0.5 < [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007/[O II]  $\lambda 3727 < 38.0$ ), stellar mass (6.2 < log ( $M_{\star}/M_{\odot}$ ) < 10.1), and star formation rate (-2.0 < log SFR ( $M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) < +1.6). The details concerning the optical observation are reported in Berg et al. (2022; hereafter Paper I), Mingozzi et al. (2022; hereafter Paper IV), and Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022b; hereafter Paper V).

## 2.2. Comparison samples

In addition, we have compiled different samples of SFGs and H II regions of disc galaxies to compare with the results of the abundance patterns of CLASSY. For this additional sample it was also possible to derive the chemical abundances using the measurements of electron temperature.

Integrated SFGs: We selected a sample of local dwarf SFGs reported in Berg et al. (2012), Berg et al. (2016), Izotov et al. (2006),Izotov et al. (2012), Izotov et al. (2017) and Berg et al. (2019a). We selected this sample because it covers lower-metallicities ( $7.0 < 12 + \log(O/H) < 8.2$ ), and the abundances are based on the  $T_e$ -sensitive method. Therefore, this sample will be useful to compare with our results for CLASSY.

H II regions: We have selected 44 Galactic H II regions of Arellano-Córdova et al. (2020) and Arellano-Córdova et al. (2021) with measurements of C, N, O, S, Cl, and Ar. This sample of Galactic H II regions covers a range in metallicity of  $8.0 < 12+\log(O/H) < 8.9$ . In addition, we use H II regions from The Chemical Abundances Of Spirals (CHAOS) project, which comprises  $\sim 200$  H II regions with chemical abundance determinations using the robust measurements of electron temperature (Croxall et al. 2016; Berg et al. 2020; Rogers et al. 2021; 2022). We have selected those H II regions reported in M33 (Rogers et al. 2022), which cover a range in metallicity between  $8.0 < 12+\log(O/H) < 8.7$ . The chemical abundances of CHAOS were derived following a methodology similar to this work as we explain below.

## 3. NEBULAR PHYSICAL CONDITIONS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> N/O and C/O abundances will be presented in upcoming CLASSY papers



**Figure 1.** Metallicity, SFR and stellar mass of the CLASSY sample in comparison to the additional sample of SFGs and H II regions collected from literature (Berg et al. 2012; Berg et al. 2016; 2019b; Izotov et al. 2012; 2017; Croxall et al. 2016; Arellano-Córdova et al. 2020; 2021; Rogers et al. 2022). The dashed lines identify the range in SFR and stellar mass of M33, and the Milky Way (MW) taken from Elia et al. (2022), Williams et al. (2018), Berg et al. (2020) and Licquia & Newman (2015), respectively.

To analyze the nebular gas of the CLASSY sample, we utilized the nebular analysis package PyNeb (Luridiana et al. 2015; version 1.1.14) to calculate the electron density ( $n_e$ ) and ( $T_e$ ) and chemical abundances. The physical conditions are based on our selection of atomic data using the 5-level atom model (De Robertis et al. 1987). The atomic data used in this study are listed in Table 1.

We determine the physical conditions and chemical abundances for all samples using a uniform method consistent with the procedure utilized for CLASSY. We use the reddening corrected fluxes compiled from SFGs reported in Berg et al. (2016; 2019b); Izotov et al. (2006); Izotov et al. (2017; 2021a). While for the sample of H II regions (CHAOS and the Milky Way H II regions), we have taken the chemical abundances reported in the original papers. In Figure 1, we show the range in metallicity (left), SFR (middle), and stellar mass (right) covered by the CLASSY sample and the additional sample.

 $T_{\rm e}$  and  $n_{\rm e}$  are key to derive accurate chemical abundances. In particular, it requires the detection of faint emission features sensitive to the electron temperature such as the [O III]  $\lambda$ 4363, [N II]  $\lambda$ 5755, [S III]  $\lambda$ 6312, and [O II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 7320,7330 auroral lines. Fortunately, most of the CLASSY sample we detect at least one  $T_{\rm e}$ -sensitive line and for others it is possible to trace the temperature structure using  $T_{\rm e}$ -diagnostics (see Paper I and Paper IV). In Paper I we have also identified those  $T_{\rm e}$ diagnostic used in the determination of the metallicity. For those galaxies with higher metallicity, we also inspected the [O III]  $\lambda$ 4363 profile to avoid any contamination of [Fe II] $\lambda$ 4359 (Curti et al. 2017; 12+log(O/H) > 8.4) to the observed flux of [O III]  $\lambda$ 4363, implying an underestimate of  $T_{\rm e}$ [O III] (see also Paper IV; Arellano-Córdova & Rodríguez 2020).

# 3.1. n<sub>e</sub> Measurements

 $n_{\rm e}$  was calculated for the CLASSY galaxies using the [S II]  $\lambda 6731/\lambda 6717$  diagnostic ratio, which primarily traces the low ionization region of the gas. A detailed analysis of different density diagnostics is presented in Paper IV which shows no significant differences in the metallicities calculated using other density diagnostics. Abundances are insensitive to densities below  $n_e \sim 5000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for 12+log(O/H) (< 0.2 dex difference than the low density limit), and are insensitive to even higher densities for other ratios like Ne/O and Ar/O (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006).

Density uncertainties were determined using a Boot Strap Monte Carlo error analysis. For each galaxy we generated a 1000 mock densities calculated by sampling [S II] ratios from a Gaussian distribution centered at the observed intensity ratio and with a width equal to the ratio uncertainty. The standard deviation of the 1000 simulated densities was then taken as the uncertainty on the calculated density.

#### 3.2. T<sub>e</sub> Measurements

We compute the electron temperature for the CLASSY galaxies with the following  $T_e$ -diagnostic ratios: [O II]  $\lambda\lambda 3726,3729/(\lambda\lambda7319,7320+\lambda\lambda7330,7331)^2$ , [N II]  $\lambda\lambda 6548,6584/\lambda 5755$ , [S III]  $\lambda\lambda 9069,9532/\lambda 6312$ , and [O III]  $\lambda\lambda 4959,5007/\lambda 4363$ , for the low- $T_e$ [O II] (or  $-T_e$ [N II]), intermediate- $T_e$ [S III], and high- $T_e$ [O III] ionization temperatures, respectively. It is important to mention that the [S III] lines can be strongly contaminated by telluric absorption in ground-based spectra, which is difficult to assess

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hereafter referred to as [O II]  $\lambda$ 3727 and [O II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 7320,7330 since such lines are blended due to the spectral resolution of the sample.

| Ion              | Transition Probabilities $(A_{ij})$                 | $A_{ij}^{\star}$ | Collision Strengths $(\Upsilon_{ij})$ | $\Upsilon_{ij}^{\star}$ |
|------------------|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| $O^+$            | Froese Fischer & Tachiev (2004)                     | FFT04            | Kisielius et al. (2009)               | Kal09                   |
| $O^{2+}$         | Froese Fischer & Tachiev (2004)                     | FFT04            | Aggarwal & Keenan (1999)              | AK99                    |
| $N^+$            | Froese Fischer & Tachiev (2004)                     | FFT04            | Tayal (2011)                          | T11                     |
| Ne <sup>2+</sup> | McLaughlin et al. (2011)                            | McL11            | McLaughlin et al. (2011)              | McL11                   |
| $S^+$            | Podobedova et al. (2009)                            | PKW09            | Tayal & Zatsarinny (2010)             | TZ10                    |
| $S^{2+}$         | Podobedova et al. (2009)                            | PKW09            | Grieve et al. (2014)                  | GRHK14                  |
| Cl <sup>2+</sup> | Fritzsche et al. (1999)                             | Fal99            | Butler & Zeippen (1989)               | BZ89                    |
| Cl <sup>3+</sup> | Kaufman & Sugar (1986),                             | KS86-MZ82-EM84   | Galavis et al. (1995)                 | GMZ95                   |
|                  | Mendoza & Zeippen (1982a), Ellis & Martinson (1984) |                  |                                       |                         |
| Ar <sup>2+</sup> | Mendoza (1983), Kaufman & Sugar (1986)              | M83-KS86         | Galavis, Mendoza, & Zeippen (1995)    | GMZ95                   |
| Ar <sup>3+</sup> | Mendoza & Zeippen (1982b)                           | MZ82             | Ramsbottom & Bell (1997)              | RB97                    |

Table 1. Atomic data used in this work

NOTE—\* Identified as in PYNEB:  $\Upsilon_{ij}$ \_coll and "A<sub>ij</sub>\_atom", respectively.

without the detection of [S III]  $\lambda$ 9532, which is typically more strongly affected (Vacca et al. 2003), specially in *z* = 0 objects. For most of the spectra, it was only possible to measure [S III]  $\lambda$ 9069. We have used it with the [S III] theoretical emissivity ratio to estimate the intensity of [S III]  $\lambda$ 9532 ([S III]  $\lambda$ 9532/ $\lambda$ 9069 = 2.47). In Table 5 in Appendix C, we list the results for *n*<sub>e</sub> and *T*<sub>e</sub> associated with the different diagnostics used in columns 2-6. These temperatures represent different ionization regions of the galaxy (see also Paper IV).

Only one or two  $T_{\rm e}$ -diagnostics were available for each galaxy. These diagnostics trace different regions of the gas based on the ionization structure of the nebula. If only one of  $T_{\rm e}$ -diagnostics is available,  $T_{\rm e}$ -T<sub>e</sub> relations are used to estimate the unavailable  $T_{\rm e}$  for a specific ionization region. To determined the complete temperature structure of each region, we have assessed some temperature relations from the literature Garnett (1990); Izotov et al. (2006; e.g.,) using CLASSY. We inspected such temperature relations minimize the uncertainties on the estimate of the missing temperature. in Appendix A, we compare several theoretical and empirical  $T_{\rm e}$  -  $T_{\rm e}$  relationships and find that the relationships of Garnett (1992) work well to estimate  $T_e(Low)$  and  $T_e(Int.)$  from  $T_{\rm e}$ [O III]. Additionally, we adopt the relationships of Rogers et al. (2021) when  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  is not measured. In Table 5, we present the results for  $T_e(Low)$ ,  $T_e(Int.)$ , and  $T_e(High)$  in columns 7-9, which represents the temperature structure for the CLASSY sample in this paper.

To calculate the uncertainties associated with the electron temperatures and density, we use Monte Carlo simulations. We generated a Gaussian distribution of 1000 random values for each line intensity of each galaxy. The distribution was centered at the observed intensity, with a sigma equal to the associated uncertainty derived using standard deviation.

## 4. NEBULAR ABUNDANCES

We use the following equation to calculate ionic abundances relative to hydrogen:

$$\frac{N(X^i)}{N(H^+)} = \frac{I_{\lambda(X^i)}}{I_{\rm H\beta}} \frac{j_{\rm H\beta}}{j_{\lambda(X^i)}},\tag{1}$$

where  $j_{\lambda(X^i)}$ , the emissivity coefficient, is sensitive to the adopted temperature. We derive the ionic abundances of O, Ne, S, Cl, and Ar, using the three-zone temperature structure adopted in Section 3 ( $T_e(Low)$ ,  $T_e(Int)$ , and  $T_e(High)$ ). We use  $T_{\rm e}({\rm Low})$  to calculate the ionic abundances of the low ionization ions such as O<sup>+</sup> and S<sup>+</sup>. For O<sup>+</sup>, we use the measurements of [O II]  $\lambda$ 3727 when available and [O II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 7320,7330 lines for the rest of the galaxies. For S<sup>+</sup>, we use the measurements of [S II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 6717,6731 lines, respectively. To calculate the ionic abundances of intermediate ionization ions, S<sup>2+</sup>,  $Cl^{2+}$ , and  $Ar^{2+}$ , we use the measurements of [S III]  $\lambda 6312$ , 9069, [Cl III]  $\lambda$ 5518, 5538, and [Ar III]  $\lambda$ 7135, 7751 lines, and  $T_{\rm e}({\rm Int})$  as a representative temperature of the intermediate ionization zone. For S, we have determined the  $S^{2+}$ using [S III]  $\lambda 6312$ , which allows us to increase the number of galaxies with measurements of S<sup>2+</sup>. Therefore, for those galaxies we measurements of both [S III]  $\lambda 6312$  and [S III]  $\lambda$ 9069, we calculated consistent results of the ionic abundance of S<sup>2+</sup>, which provide for a reliable use of [S III]  $\lambda$ 6312. For Cl, we determined the abundance only when both lines are measured to ensure an accurate determination of Cl<sup>2+</sup>. The ratio of [Cl III]  $\lambda$ 5518, 5538 is also a density diagnostic (see also Paper IV). Finally, we used  $T_{e}$ (High) to derive the ionic abundances for high and very high ionization species,  $O^{2+}$ ,  $Ne^{2+}$ ,  $Cl^{3+}$  and  $Ar^{3+}$ , using the [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007, [Ne III]  $\lambda$ 3869, [Cl IV]  $\lambda$ 8049, [Ar IV]  $\lambda\lambda$ 4711,41 lines, respectively.

# 4.1. ICF Tests

The significant line detections from O, Ne, S, Cl, and Ar in the CLASSY sample allow us to calculate their total abundances. For oxygen, the total O/H abundances were calculated in Berg et al. (2022) as the sum of the  $O^+/H^+$  and  $O^{2+}/H^+$  ion fractions, as the emission from  $O^0$  and  $O^{3+}$  is negligible in typical star-forming regions (e.g., Berg et al. 2021). The total O/H abundances are reproduced in Table 6 in Appendix C.

**Table 2.** Ionic abundances of the CLASSY galaxies with detection of both [Cl III]  $\lambda\lambda$ 5518,38 and [Cl IV]  $\lambda$ 8049 in CLASSY

| CLASSY     | [Cl IV] λ8049 | <sup>a</sup> Cl <sup>2+</sup> | <sup>b</sup> Cl <sup>3+</sup> | O <sub>32</sub> |
|------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| J0944-0038 | $0.19\pm0.01$ | •••                           | 3.76                          | 8.2             |
| J1044+0353 | $0.22\pm0.03$ | 3.18                          | 3.73                          | 3.6             |
| J1150+1501 | $0.09\pm0.02$ | 4.40                          | 3.65                          | 2.9             |
| J1225+6109 | $0.12\pm0.03$ | 4.08                          | 3.65                          | 6.8             |
| J1253-0312 | $0.21\pm0.02$ | 4.22                          | 3.90                          | 7.5             |
| J1323-0132 | $0.25\pm0.01$ | •••                           | 3.77                          | 38.0            |
| J1448-0110 | $0.16\pm0.03$ | 4.13                          | 3.82                          | 7.3             |
| J1545+0858 | $0.22\pm0.01$ | •••                           | 3.78                          | 9.2             |

NOTE—<sup>*a*</sup>In units of 12+log(Cl<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup>). <sup>*b*</sup> In units of 12+log(Cl<sup>3+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup>). The ionization parameter is defined as  $O_{32} = [O \text{ III}] \lambda 5007/[O \text{ II}] \lambda 3727.$ 

On the other hand, unlike oxygen, not all relevant ionic species are observed for the other  $\alpha$ -elements and so it is necessary to use an ionization correction factor (ICF) to account for the unobserved ionic species. To ensure robust total abundance determinations of these elements, we explored the performance of different ICFs.

First, we examine the ICFs of Amayo et al. (2021), which are focused on C, N, Ne S, Cl, and Ar. These ICFs are based on a grid of photoionization models from the Mexican Million Models database (Morisset 2009), cover the physical properties of both H II regions and integrated low-mass galaxies. covering a broad range of physical properties of extragalactic H II regions and blue compact dwarf galaxies (BCDs). The parametric expressions of these ICFs depend on the ionization parameter, measured as  $O^{2+}/(O^+ + O^{2+})$ .

Previous studies focused on the  $\alpha$ -elements in SFGs have proposed different sets of ICFs. In this context, we have analyzed the ICFs proposed by Izotov et al. (2006), which are based on photoionization models of N, Ne, O, S, Cl, Ar, and Fe by Stasińska & Izotov (2003). These ICFs also depend on the ionization parameter, but are calibrated for three different ranges of metallicity of integrated SFGs. For Ne, S and Ar, we have also explored the ICFs of Thuan et al. (1995), which are based on models covering a the properties in only local integrated SFGs, while and Dors et al. (2013) is based on H II regions and SFGs. The ICFs of both Thuan et al. (1995) and Dors et al. (2013) were calibrated on samples including both H II regions and integrated galaxies. Finally, for Cl, we include a new empirical ICF based on H II regions with high spectral resolution from Domínguez-Guzmán et al. (2022).

The ICFs derived by the different authors depend on the assumptions made for the nebular and stellar properties of the H II regions. For example, different input stellar spectral energy distributions (SED) parameters have been adopted for different studies. Major ingredients are the stellar evolution and atmosphere models. Izotov et al. (2006) and Dors et al. (2013) can be compared directly as their ICFs are based on the same set of stellar models from Starburst99 Leitherer et al. (1999). Note that Izotov et al. (2006) used the photoionization models of Stasińska & Izotov (2003) but with the more modern Starburst99 stellar models instead of a previous model generation. Amayo et al. (2021) adopted stellar SEDs obtained with the PopStar code Mollá et al. (2009), whose stellar atmosphere models for massive hot stars are identical to those in Starburst99. However, the isochrones in PopStars are based on Padova evolution models, as opposed to the Geneva models in Starburst99. Figure 4 of Vázquez & Leitherer (2005) highlights the significant differences between SEDs computed with Geneva and Padova tracks. The earlier ICFs of Thuan & Izotov (2005) were obtained with an unevolved zero-age main-sequence population of hot stars using unblanketed atmosphere models of Mihalas (1972). Therefore these SEDs produce a much harder ionizing spectrum than those discussed before.

Below we calculate the ionic abundances of Ne, Ar, S, and Cl and use them to analyze the ICFs using the Ne<sup>2+</sup>/O<sup>2+</sup>, Ar<sup>2+</sup> /O<sup>2+</sup> and (Ar<sup>2+</sup> + Ar<sup>3+</sup>)/O<sup>2+</sup>, (S<sup>+</sup> + S<sup>2+</sup>)/(O<sup>+</sup> + O<sup>2+</sup>), and Cl<sup>2+</sup>/O<sup>2+</sup> ionic ratios, respectively. To test the ICFs, we compare to the observed CLASSY galaxy trends. We have used the solar ratio of Ne/O<sub>☉</sub>, and S/O<sub>☉</sub> for scaling the ICFs with respect to the ionic abundance ratio used in the computation of the total abundance (e.g., Ne<sup>2+</sup>/O<sup>2+</sup>). Therefore, those ICFs with similar trends to those used in the CLASSY galaxies analyzes should result in total abundances close to the solar abundance. We also examined the resulting total abundance trends of these elements as a second test of the ICFs. In this context, we have analyzed different ICFs to find those most suitable the CLASSY sample and for SFGs with similar properties to the CLASSY galaxies.

#### 4.2. Ionic Abundances and Relative $\alpha$ -Abundances

In principle, Ne, S, Cl, and Ar production depends very little on metallicity because these elements are mainly synthesized in massive stars by the  $\alpha$ -capture process. Therefore, any dependence on metallicity of the abundance ratio relative to O might be associated with other properties such as the degree of ionization, dust depletion, the ICF, and/or observational problems (e.g., Amayo et al. 2021). We start by investigating the ICFs below.

# 4.2.1. Neon

For Ne, only one ionization state is observed,  $Ne^{2+}$  (41.0–63.5 eV), such that Ne/O abundances are typically determined using

$$\frac{\mathrm{Ne}}{\mathrm{O}} = \frac{\mathrm{Ne}^{2+}}{\mathrm{O}^{2+}} \times \mathrm{ICF(\mathrm{Ne})},\tag{2}$$



0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

-1.8L

-1.0

-1.2

-1.4

log (N $e^{2+}/O^{2+}$ )

log ((  $S^+ + S^{2+})/(O^+ + O^{2+})$ ) -1.6-1.8-2.0-2.2 -2.40.2 0.00.40.60.81.0O++/(O++O++) Figure 2. Ionic abundance ratios of Ne. S. and O used to correct

for the unseen ionic species. The different lines represent the ICFs derived by Peimbert & Costero (1969), Thuan et al. (1995), Izotov et al. (2006), Dors et al. (2013), Dors et al. (2016), and Amayo et al. (2021). Top: The ratio of  $Ne^{2+}/O^{2+}$  as a function of the ionization degree measured as  $O^{2+}/(O^+ + O^{2+})$  for the CLASSY galaxies with measurements of [Ne III]  $\lambda$ 3869. *Bottom*: the ratio of (S<sup>+</sup> + S<sup>2+</sup>)/(O<sup>+</sup>  $+ O^{2+}$ ) as a function of the ionization degree. The SFGs compiled from the literature are shown in circles. CLASSY galaxies follow the trends given by the ICFs of Dors et al. (2013) for Ne and Izotov et al. (2006) for S. 'Z' indicates the value of 12+log(O/H).

where Ne<sup>2+</sup> is determined from the [Ne III]  $\lambda$ 3868 emission line. Note that a similar equation is used to determine Ne/H such that Ne/H = Ne<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup>×ICF(Ne). We use the [Ne III]  $\lambda$ 3868 detection in 38 CLASSY galaxies (see Table 6) to calculate Ne<sup>2+</sup> and, subsequently, Ne/O.

We plot the  $Ne^{2+}/O^{2+}$  ratio as a function of the ionization parameter  $(O^{2+}/(O^{+} + O^{2+}))$  in the top panel of Figure 2. The different lines illustrate the ICFs analyzed for Ne from Peimbert & Costero (1969; solid black), Izotov et al. (2006; blue dashed, orange dot-dashed, and navy dotted), Dors et al. (2013; solid green), and Amayo et al. (2021; solid purple). Figure 2 shows that the Ne ICFs of Izotov et al. (2006; low

and intermediate metallicity represented as "Z") and Dors et al. (2013) have similar shapes as the  $Ne^{2+}/O^{2+}$  trend for the CLASSY galaxies, while the ICF of Amayo et al. (2021) overestimates the Ne ICF, leading to an overestimate of the total Ne/O ratio with respect to the solar value.

We present the Ne/O vs. O/H relation in the top left panel of Figure 3. The comparison samples are also plotted in the Ne/O-O/H plot, showing good agreement with the CLASSY sample for 12+log(O/H)< 8.4 Interestingly, the H II region samples have a large dispersion to low Ne/O abundances at 12+log(O/H)> 8.0. The Ne/O ratio of the CLASSY galaxies follows the expected constant abundance pattern with an average value of  $log(Ne/O) = -0.63 \pm 0.06$ , in excellent agreement with the solar abundance reported by Asplund et al.  $(2021; \log(\text{Ne/O})_{\odot} = -0.63 \pm 0.06)$ . However, for five CLASSY galaxies, we have determined super-solar values of  $\log(Ne/O) > -0.4$  (J0808+3948, J1144+4012, J1521+0759, J1525+0757, and J1612+081), which is independent of the ICF of Ne involved in this study.

The top right panel of Figure 3 shows the Ne/O ratio as a function of ionization  $(O^{2+}/(O^{+} + O^{2+}))$ . It is clear that for low-ionization regions (high-metallicity), there is a large dispersion extending to high values of Ne/O. If we exclude these outliers, the relation between the ionization degree and Ne/O is constant as is expected. We also used this plot to inspect the applicable range of each ICF used to derive Ne/O. In principle, the applicability of the Ne ICF of Dors et al. (2013) is restricted to values of  $O^{2+}/(O^+ + O^{2+}) > 0.2$ . This might explain the high values of Ne/O for the 4/5 galaxies with an ionization degree below this limit (excludes J1521+0759 with  $O^{2+}/(O^+ + O^{2+}) = 0.56$ ). However, note that the value of  $O^{2+}/(O^{+} + O^{2+})$  is highly sensitive to the temperature structure adopted. The five objects with high values of Ne/O are metal-rich, implying a decrease of the  $T_{\rm e}$ -sensitive line [O III]  $\lambda$ 4363. Therefore, here we find Ne/O increases with metallicity, which has been attributed to the depletion of O into dust grains (Izotov et al. 2006; Guseva et al. 2011).

We calculated the dispersion in Ne/O resulting from the use of these ICFS. For the ICFs of Izotov et al. (2006) and Dors et al. (2013), we calculated similar dispersions of 0.06 dex and 0.07 dex, respectively. The ICF of Amayo et al. (2021) produces a larger dispersion value of 0.12 dex and a strong correlation with metallicity. Therefore, we used and recommend the ICF of Dors et al. (2013) to derive the Ne/O ratios of CLASSY-like galaxies. We report the mean and dispersion values of Ne/O for the CLASSY sample in Table 3.

## 4.2.2. Sulfur

For sulfur, both S<sup>2+</sup> (22.3–34.8 eV) and S<sup>+</sup> (10.4–22.3 eV) span the low-ionization zone, although S<sup>+</sup> emission can also extend into the neutral H zone. We do not correct for this issue, but the generally high-ionization of the CLASSY



**Figure 3.** The Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O ratios versus metallicity (left) and ionization degree (right) relationships for the CLASSY galaxies. In principle, the ICFs are corrected by ionization effects. The additional SFGs are from Berg et al. (2012); Berg et al. (2016; 2019b); Izotov et al. (2006); Izotov et al. (2012; 2017), H II regions from the CHAOS survey (Rogers et al. 2021) of M33, and Galactic H II regions from Arellano-Córdova et al. (2020; 2021). For Cl, we have also added three galaxies of Izotov et al. (2021b) with measurements of [Cl III]  $\lambda\lambda$ 5518, 5531 for comparison. The black solid symbol and dashed line represents the solar abundance of Asplund et al. (2021). The mean and dispersion values of the abundance ratios are illustrated with a solid line and shadow box color-coded for the CLASSY galaxies. For Ar/O, we present two different results depending on which emission lines are used to determine the total abundance. The filled symbols represent the value of Ar/O derived using [Ar III] + [Ar IV], while that empty symbols illustrate the value of Ar/O derived using [Ar III]. For Ar/O, the dashed and solid lines indicate the mean and standard deviation of solid and empty symbols, respectively.

sample suggest that that the S<sup>+</sup> contribution from outside the H II region should be minimal. On the high-ionization end, we must consider contributions from S<sup>3+</sup> (34.8—47.2 eV). Thus, we determine the S/O abundance using

$$\frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{O}} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{S}^+ + \mathbf{S}^{2+}}{\mathbf{O}^+ + \mathbf{O}^{2+}}\right) \times \mathrm{ICF}(\mathbf{S}),\tag{3}$$

where S<sup>+</sup> is determined from the [S II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 6717,6731 emission doublet and S<sup>2+</sup> is determined from [S III]  $\lambda\lambda$ 6312,9069. Note that a similar equation is used to determine S/H such that S/H = (S<sup>+</sup>+S<sup>2+</sup>)/H<sup>+</sup>×ICF(S).

For the CLASSY S/O abundances, we only consider ICFs based on the use of both [S II] and [S III]. With this criterion, we used the resulting 41 CLASSY galaxies to calculate  $S^+$ ,  $S^{2+}$  and, subsequently, S/O. In this analysis we used the ICFs of Amayo et al. (2021), Dors et al. (2016), and Thuan et al. (1995). Note that some ICFs only use one of the S ions. We plot the  $(S^+ + S^{2+})/(O^+ + O^{2+})$  ratio as a function of the ionization parameter  $(O^{2+}/(O^{+} + O^{2+}))$  in the bottom panel of Figure 2. With the exception of two low-ionization outliers, all three ICFs trace the trend of the CLASSY sample well. Using all ICFs, we calculated three sets of CLASSY S/O abundances. All three S/O sets had dispersions around 0.15 dex, but the ICF of Thuan et al. (1995) provided the closest values of S/O to solar ( $\log(S/O = -1.57)$ ). Based on this analysis, we used and recommend the ICF provided by Izotov et al. (2006).

The resulting CLASSY S/O abundances are plotted in Figure 3 as a function of metallicity (left column) and ionization parameter (right column). The comparison samples are also plotted in the S/O–O/H plot, showing good agreement with the CLASSY sample for 12+log(O/H) < 8.4 We also noticed in Figure 3 that the sample of SFGs of Izotov et al. (2006) shows a large dispersion but with most of the sample centered on the solar abundance. Note also the large uncertainties of the metal-rich galaxies. In a similar way, the sample of H II regions shows a good agreement with the solar abundance in comparison with the CLASSY galaxies over the same range of metallicity.

We note that five galaxies have S/O values that are higher than the main CLASSY sample of S/O: J0127–0619, J0405–3648, J0944+3442, J1132+5722, and J1253–0312. To confirm the high values of S/O, we inspect the ionic abundance of S<sup>2+</sup> derived using [S III]  $\lambda$ 6312 and [S III]  $\lambda$ 9069. For galaxies with both measurements, we find a consistent agreement between the value of S<sup>2+</sup> derived using [S III]  $\lambda$ 6312 and [S III]  $\lambda$ 9069 (i.e., J0405–3648 and J1132+5722). Further, we examined whether the adopted *T*<sub>e</sub> affects the derived S/O for the five outliers. Domínguez-Guzmán et al. (2019) and Esteban et al. (2020) show that changes in the temperature structure introduce slight variation to the S/O ratio (and Cl/O and Ar/O) increasing with metallicity, in particular when the two-zone temperature structure is used.

In our analysis, for J0405-3648 and J1132+5722, we use the direct measurement of  $T_{e}[S \text{ III}]$  (or  $T_{e}(\text{Int.})$ ) to estimate  $S^{2+}$ , and for the rest of galaxies (J0127–0619, J0944+3442, and J1253-0312) with high S/O, we use the adopted temperature relations (see Section 3) to estimate  $T_{e}(Int.)$ . We estimate the mean value between  $T_{e}(Low)$  and  $T_{e}(High)$  whose result should be a proxy of  $T_e(Int.)$  (see also Domínguez-Guzmán et al. 2019). We have used this procedure to preclude that the high value of S/O could be due to the  $T_{\rm e}$ selected for those regions since  $T_{e}[S \text{ III}]$  might be affected by telluric lines, a possible blend of [S III]  $\lambda 6312$  with [O I]  $\lambda$ 6300, or reddening corrections that are biased low. We find that for these individual galaxies, the only two regions that show a significant change in the results are J0944-0038 and J1253-0312. Therefore, part of those differences might be associated with the estimate of  $T_{\rm e}$ [S III]. In this context, we have excluded those five galaxies for our analysis of S. We determine a mean value of  $\log(S/O) = -1.73 \pm 0.10$ which is 0.16 dex lower than the solar value of  $\log(S/O)_{\odot}$  =  $-1.57 \pm 0.05$  using a number of 35 galaxies since we have discarded the five outliers galaxies.

#### 4.2.3. Chlorine

The CLASSY sample provides the rare opportunity to trace the chemical abundances of Cl. The production of Cl is based on the radioactive decay of <sup>37</sup>Ar formed by a single neutron capture of <sup>36</sup>Ar (see Clayton 2003; Esteban et al. 2015). For Cl, typically only one ionization state is observed,  $Cl^{2+}$  (23.8–39.6 eV), such that Cl/O abundances are typically determined using

$$\frac{\mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{O}} = \frac{\mathrm{Cl}^{2+}}{\mathrm{O}^{2+}} \times \mathrm{ICF}(\mathrm{Cl}),\tag{4}$$

where  $Cl^{2+}$  is measured via the [Cl III]  $\lambda\lambda5518,5538$  doublet (see Paper IV). Note that a similar equation is used to determine S/H such that Cl/H = Cl<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup>×ICF(Cl). For our analysis of Cl, we use a sample of 15 CLASSY galaxies with a significant detections of [Cl III] to determine the Cl<sup>2+</sup> abundance and, subsequently, Cl/O.<sup>3</sup>

While [Cl III]  $\lambda$ 5518,5538 are the brightest Cl lines in SFGs, and contributions from other ionization states of Cl are typically negligible (e.g., Esteban et al. 2015), we detect Cl<sup>3+</sup> via [Cl IV]  $\lambda$ 8049 in eight CLASSY galaxies (see Table 2). With an ionization potential of ~39.6 eV, this line is only detected in high-ionization objects (e.g., Esteban et al. 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Note that [Cl III]  $\lambda\lambda$ 5518,5538 detections were not possible in the LBT/MODS spectra of three CLASSY galaxies (J0944–0038, J1323–0132, and J1545+0858) due to the wavelength gap between the blue and red arms. (see Figure 2 of Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022b).

We detect both  $Cl^{2+}$  and  $Cl^{3+}$  for five CLASSY galaxies, enabling a more direct measure of chlorine using  $Cl = Cl^{2+}$ +  $Cl^{3+}$ . However,  $Cl^+$  has an ionization potential of 13.0– 23.8 eV, similar to O<sup>+</sup>, and so an ICF should still be used to account for the potentially significant contribution from  $Cl^+$ (Esteban et al. 2015; Arellano-Córdova et al. 2020; Amayo et al. 2021).

We compared Cl ICFs from Izotov et al. (2006), Amayo et al. (2021), and Domínguez-Guzmán et al. (2022). We found that the ICF of Izotov et al. (2006) has the least dependence on metallicity and ionization parameter, and, thus, adopt the Cl ICF from Izotov et al. (2006) to derive Cl/O abundances for CLASSY. We show the resulting Cl/O abundances versus O/H in the third row of Figure 3. On average, we find the CLASSY galaxies to have subsolar Cl/O abundances, with a mean value of  $\log(Cl/O) = -3.62 \pm 0.17$ . This value is 0.24 dex lower than the solar value, but is consistent with previous studies of SFGs (e.g., Izotov et al. 2006; Izotov et al. 2017). We note that similar trends are obtained using the ICFs of Amayo et al. (2021) and Domínguez-Guzmán et al. (2022).

On the other hand, Arellano-Córdova et al. (2020) used a sample of Galactic H II regions to derive Cl/O abundances using the same ICFs as this work, but found a good agreement with  $\log(Cl/O)_{\odot} = -3.38\pm0.20$  (Asplund et al. 2021). Similarly, Rogers et al. (2022) found consistent results with  $Cl/O_{\odot}$  for the CHAOS sample of H II regions in M33 using the ICF of Amayo et al. (2021). However, the photospheric solar Cl abundance is somewhat uncertain, making it difficult to assess the significance of the subsolar Cl/O abundances of CLASSY. Nonetheless, it is interesting that the integrated S/O and Cl/O abundances of the CLASSY galaxies (and other dwarf SFGs, e.g., Berg et al. 2012; Berg et al. 2016) are lower than the abundances of individual H II regions within galaxies (e.g., Izotov et al. 2006).

The right column of Figure 3 shows the Cl/O ratio with respect to ionization parameter. We do not find a significant correlation of Cl/O with the ionization parameter, although there is a large dispersion in the data. This dispersion may be due to observational uncertainties associated with the faintness of the [Cl III] lines. Higher S/N [Cl III] and [Cl IV] observations are needed to determine how observational and ICF uncertainties are affecting the Cl/O–O/H trend.

## 4.2.4. Argon

For Ar, only the Ar<sup>2+</sup> ionization state is observed for the majority of the CLASSY optical spectra via detections of [Ar III]  $\lambda$ 7135 (significantly detected in 43 galaxies). Additionally, Ar<sup>3+</sup> (40.74–59.81 eV) is observed as [Ar IV]

 $\lambda\lambda 4711,4740^4$  for 22 of these CLASSY galaxies such that  $Ar^{2+} + Ar^{3+}$  can be used for Ar abundance determinations. However, the ionization potential of Ar<sup>+</sup> (15.76–27.63 eV) overlaps with the low-ionization zone. Therefore, an ICF must be used to account for the unseen Ar<sup>+</sup>, and sometimes Ar<sup>3+</sup>, ions in Ar abundance determinations using:

$$\frac{Ar}{O} = \frac{Ar^{2+} + Ar^{3+}}{O^{2+}} \times ICF(Ar).$$
 (5)

Note that a similar equation is used to determine Ar/H such that  $Ar/H = (Ar^{2+}+Ar^{3+})/H^+ \times ICF(Ar)$ . Additionally, some works only use  $Ar^{2+}$  in their ICFs and abundance calculations.

We present Ar abundances using two different approaches: (i) using both [Ar III]  $\lambda$ 7135 and [Ar IV]  $\lambda\lambda$ 4711,4741 lines  $(S/N \ge 3)$  for a sample of 22 SFGs (the preferred method) and (ii) using only [Ar III]  $\lambda$ 7135 (S/N  $\geq$  3) for the remaining 20 galaxies with [Ar III]  $\lambda$ 7135 detections. We test different ICFs for Ar from Thuan et al. (1995), Izotov et al. (2006), and Amayo et al. (2021). For method (i) using only [Ar III], the ICF( $Ar^{2+}$ ) results in a strong dependence on metallicity for  $12 + \log(O/H) > 8.2$  and a large dispersion up to 0.16 dex. For method (ii) using both [Ar III] and [Ar IV], we find that the ICF( $Ar^{2+} + Ar^{3+}$ ) of Izotov et al. (2006) and Amayo et al. (2021) are in excellent agreement, providing similar mean and dispersion values of log(Ar/O)  $= -2.36 \pm 0.09$  and  $\log(Ar/O) = -2.35 \pm 0.09$ , respectively. The ICF( $Ar^{2+} + Ar^{3+}$ ) of Thuan et al. (1995) also provides similar results.

In the bottom row of Figure 3 we present the Ar/O abundances for the CLASSY sample. The open diamonds represent those SFGs with only detections of [Ar III] while the solid diamonds represent those with detections of both [Ar III] and [Ar IV]. For the latter subset, we also determined Ar/O using only the detection of [Ar III] and found differences between the two methods of up to  $\Delta \log(Ar/O) \lesssim 0.10$ dex, but with  $\Delta \log(Ar/O) \lesssim 0.05$  dex for most galaxies. This implies that robust Ar/O determinations require detections of both [Ar III] and [Ar IV], particularly for high ionization objects (see also, Berg et al. 2021). Therefore, we adopt the ICF(Ar<sup>2+</sup> + Ar<sup>3+</sup>) of Izotov et al. (2006) (see also Table 3).

Using the more robust [Ar III] + [Ar IV] sample of CLASSY Ar/O abundances, we find a mean value of log(Ar/O) =  $-2.36 \pm 0.09$  that is in good agreement with both the solar abundance (log(Ar/O)<sub> $\odot$ </sub> =  $-2.31 \pm 0.11$ ) and the literature samples at lower metallicities (12+log(O/H) < 8.3). If we include the [Ar III]-only sample, however, then

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that [Ar IV]  $\lambda$ 4711 is often blended with the He I  $\lambda$ 4713 line in lowto moderate-resolution spectra ( $R \lesssim 2000$ ), and so care must be taken to account for the He I contribution to the flux.

the Ar/O vs. O/H relation shows an unexpected trend with metallicity at higher metallicities. Other studies of integrated galaxy spectra also have reported a dependence with metallicity in the Ar/O–O/H relation (e.g., Pérez-Montero et al. 2007; Kojima et al. 2021). On the other hand, the literature sample of H II regions is consistent with the solar Ar/O ratio even at higher metallicities.

The method (i) Ar/O abundances also show a trend with  $O^{2+}/(O^+ + O^{2+})$  in the right column of Figure 3 (open diamonds), which could be associated with the performance of the ICF with respect to ionization degree. In comparison, the values of Ar/O for galaxies with measurements of [Ar IV] are constant for  $O^{2+}/(O^+ + O^{2+}) > 0.6$ . Therefore, the performance of different ICFs may be more uncertain at high-metallicities and low-ionization parameter (e.g., Amayo et al. 2021).

4.2.5. 
$$Ar^{2+}/S^{2+} \sim Ar/S$$

We investigated the behavior of the abundance ratio of  $Ar^{2+}/S^{2+}$ . With similar ionization potentials of 27.6 eV and 23.3 eV for  $Ar^{2+}$  and  $S^{2+}$ , respectively, these two ions both trace the intermediate ionization state of the gas (e.g., Berg et al. 2021). In Figure 4, we present  $log(Ar^{2+}/S^{+2})$  with respect to metallicity (left panel) and ionization degree (right panel) for both the CLASSY sample and the comparison sample. For the CLASSY sample, we calculate a mean of  $log(Ar^{2+}/S^{2+}) = -0.58 \pm 0.06$ , which is in excellent agreement with the values reported in Kennicutt et al. (2003b) and Croxall et al. (2016) for individual H II regions in M101. However, Croxall et al. (2016) showed that Ar<sup>2+</sup>/S<sup>2+</sup> decreases significantly in low ionization objects  $(O^+/(O^+ + O^{2+}))$ > 0.6, see also Figure 4) due to the contribution of Ar<sup>+</sup> (dotted line in Figure 4). The CLASSY galaxies stay constant at larger values of O<sup>+</sup>/O for most of the sample. This implies that the Ar<sup>2+</sup>/S<sup>2+</sup> abundance ratio serves as a good approximation for the total Ar/S ratio,  $Ar^{2+}/S^{2+} \sim Ar/S$  (i.e., removing the use of the ICFs due to the similar ionization potentials).

While the  $Ar^{2+}/S^{2+} \sim Ar/S$  trend is relatively constant and the Ar/O trends from [Ar III]+[Ar IV] are similarly well behaved in Figure 3, the S/O trends are more messy due to large ICF uncertainties (see discussion in Section 4.2.2). Therefore, our analysis of Ar/S suggests that the most probable explanation for the low values of S/O, and subsequent large dispersion, is due to the ICF of S used. This implies that the adopted Izotov et al. (2006) ICF might be useful for individual H II region abundances, but may not be appropriate for integrated galaxy spectra.

#### 4.3. Total vs. Relative Abundances

In this section, we present the total abundances of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar and compare to their respective relative  $\alpha$ -

**Table 3.** Mean and standard deviation values of Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O for the CLASSY sample galaxies

| logX/O | Ν  | $\mu\pm\sigma$ | Lines              | ICF |
|--------|----|----------------|--------------------|-----|
| Ne/O   | 34 | $-0.63\pm0.06$ | [Ne III]           | 1   |
| S/O    | 31 | $-1.73\pm0.10$ | [S II] + [S III]   | 2   |
| Cl/O   | 15 | $-3.60\pm0.17$ | [Cl III]           | 2   |
| Ar/O   | 20 | $-2.46\pm0.18$ | [Ar III]           | 2   |
| Ar/O   | 22 | $-2.36\pm0.09$ | [Ar III] + [Ar IV] | 2   |

NOTE— (1) Dors et al. (2013), (2) Izotov et al. (2006). For the S/O ratios, we discard those galaxies with high S/O. The mean and dispersion values considering only the measurement of [Ar III] for 42 galaxies is  $-2.43\pm0.16$ .

abundances. In Figure 5, we show the comparison of the Ne/O abundance ratio derived for the CLASSY galaxies. In addition, we have added the results of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023), who derived multiple chemical abundances in a sample of SFGs from the SDSS. For Ne/O, Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) used the ICF of Torres-Peimbert et al. (1989) (Ne<sup>2+</sup>/O<sup>2+</sup>  $\approx$  Ne/O), which is based on the similarity of the ionization potentials of Ne<sup>2+</sup> and O<sup>2+</sup>.

The results of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) also show that the Ne/O ratio increases with metallicity at 12+log(O/H) ~ 8.21 (see also Izotov et al. 2011). In fact, for CLASSY and the sample from the literature (SFGs and H II regions), we obtained similar results showing a constant trend with metallicity (see Figure 3). Although, it is unclear what is the role of the ICF(Ne) at a low ionization degree, the ICFs in SFGs of Ne should be assessed, in particular, in metal-rich galaxies (12+log(O/H) > 8.2). However, chemical evolution models predict a secondary production of Ne at high metallicities in a similar way to N. In such as scenario Ne increases more quickly than O above the solar value (see Figure 39 of Kobayashi et al. 2020a).

We also compare S/O with respect to the sample of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023). Again, in Figure 5, we show the S/O vs. S/H for the CLASSY galaxies. In fact, for the set of galaxies of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) the results show lower values of S/O in comparison with CLASSY (12+log(S/H) = 5.5-6.5), while a few objects show high values of S/O. Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) also report a strong trend of S/O with metallicity, but it is unclear how many galaxies with measurements of [S II] and [S III] are used to derive S/O and S/H, since the use of only S<sup>+</sup> ([S II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 6717,31) can introduce a strong dependence of S/O with metallicity (see Amayo et al. 2021). However, we note that the sample of SDSS galaxies shows lower abundances relative to the solar value, in agreement



**Figure 4.** The ionic abundance ratio of  $Ar^{2+}/S^{2+}$  as a function of metallicity (*left*) and O<sup>+</sup>/O (*right*) for CLASSY. The circles are SFGs of the sample described in Section 2. The mean and standard deviation value of log( $Ar^{2+}/S^{2+}$ ) is illustrated with a solid line color-coded with CLASSY. The result of log( $Ar^{2+}/S^{2+}$ ) =  $-0.58 \pm 0.06$  is in agreement with those found in H II regions (Kennicutt et al. 2003b; Croxall et al. 2016) at O<sup>+</sup>/O < 0.60. While the value of log( $Ar^{2+}/S^{2+}$ ) for CLASSY stays constant, low ionization H II regions show a significant decrement at high values of O<sup>+</sup>/(O<sup>+</sup> + O<sup>2+</sup>)  $\ge 0.60$  (Croxall et al. 2016). This decrement is related to the increased contribution of Ar<sup>+</sup> in low ionization objects. The green solid line quantifies the decrement derived by Croxall et al. (2016) for H II regions in M101.

with the S/O trends of the CLASSY galaxies (see also Figure 3).

In the bottom panel of Figure 5, we also compare the Cl/O ratios of the CLASSY galaxies with the results of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023). While with CLASSY we get a constant trend with Cl/H, the sample of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) shows a slight trend of Cl/O as Cl/H increases. However, the dispersion in the Cl/O *vs.* O/H relationship (see also Figure 3) plus the few measurements in the sample Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) provide a less robust comparison.

As an additional comparison, in Figure 5 we show the Ar/O ratios with respect to Ar/H for CLASSY and the results of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023). We find a good agreement with the sample of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) around the range of  $12 + \log(Ar/H) = 5.3$ -5.9. However, at high values of Ar/H, we found an opposite behavior than Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023), whose sample shows a significant trend with the Ar/H abundance. An opposite behavior is also found at lower values of  $12+\log(Ar/H) \sim 5$ . Recently, Arnaboldi et al. (2022) analyzed the abundance pattern of the O/Ar vs. Ar/H relation for a sample of planetary nebulae in M31. Arnaboldi et al. (2022) found that the highest values of O/Ar ( $\sim$ 2.5) are the lowest values of Ar/H  $\sim$  6. With CLASSY, there are only a few galaxies with  $Ar/H \ge 6$ , and such a correlation is unclear. However, the sample of galaxies of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) shows that Ar/O increases as the total abundance of Ar increases. A significant correlation between Ar/O and Ar/S is not expected due to origin of these elements. However, chemical evolution models predict that such a correlation might be due to the additional contribution of Ar from Type-Ia SNe (Kobayashi et al. 2020a;b; Arnaboldi et al. 2022). Such a scenario suggests that the mechanism production of Ar can be due to both CCSNe and Type-Ia SNe, although a large time delay is expected until Ar be enrichment for this mechanism.

At lower values of Ar/H (and low metallicity) the trend with Ar/O is constant as expected for the nucleosynthesis origin of Ar and O, which are produced by CCSNe (see Figs 3 and 5). For the lowest values of Ar/H  $\sim$  5, the two different trends shown in CLASSY and the sample of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) might be related to the ICF and its performance in the integrated spectra of galaxies with a lower degree of ionization.

In general, the abundance patterns of Ne, S, and Ar of the CLASSY galaxies follow the expected trends for nucleosynthesis (with important bias at high metallicities). Another possible cause of the behavior is that the abundances of Ne, S, and Ar might be affected by the interplay between stellar yields and the stellar life of massive stars (Matteucci & Chiappini 2005). Using chemical evolution models Matteucci & Chiappini (2005) showed that the abundance ratio of [O/S] and [O/Si] (relative to the solar abundance) vary as a function of metallicity due to the non-negligible contribution of Type Ia SNe (Matteucci & Chiappini 2005; Arnaboldi et al. 2022; Kobayashi et al. 2020a). Therefore, while oxygen is uniquely produced by massive stars, the production of  $\alpha$ -elements is not constant due to the whole range of masses that those elements are produced (Matteucci & Chiappini 2005) as the metallicity increases. Chemical evolution models in the solar neighborhood also predict the expected constant behavior for S (and similar for Ne and S) but with a slight decrease of this abundance as metallicity increases (i.e., [Fe/H] in stars) Kobayashi et al. (2020a).

# 5. ABUNDANCE PATTERNS IN THE EARLY UNIVERSE

With JWST providing deep spectra of high-redshift galaxies, the first Ne, S, and Ar abundances have been provided for the early universe (e.g., Arellano-Córdova et al. 2022b; Isobe et al. 2023b; Marques-Chaves et al. 2023; Rogers et al. 2023). Using these studies, we can investigate the evolution of relative  $\alpha$ -abundance patterns of O, Ne, S, and Ar for the first time. In particular, Isobe et al. (2023b) derived Ne/O, S/O, and Ar/O abundances for a sample of 70 4 < z < 10 SFGs compiled from the ERO (Pontoppidan et al. 2022), GLASS (Treu et al. 2022), and CEERS (Finkelstein et al. 2023) programs. Isobe et al. (2023b) used direct  $T_e$  measurements for 13 galaxies, but had to assume a  $T_e = 15000 \pm 5000$  K and  $n_{\rm e} = 300 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  for the remaining 57 galaxies. We, therefore, augment the Isobe et al. (2023b) sample with direct  $T_e$  measurements for a  $z \sim 11$  galaxy from Marques-Chaves et al. (2023), three z > 7 galaxies from Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022b; replacing three galaxies reported in Isobe et al.), and a z > 3 galaxy from the CECILIA survey (Strom et al. 2023; Rogers et al. 2023). In order to consistently compare the CLASSY abundance patterns with z > 3 galaxies, we require direct measurements of  $T_{\rm e}$ , derived using either [O III]  $\lambda 4363$ or O III]  $\lambda$ 1666, and  $n_e$ , derived from [O II]  $\lambda$ 3729/ $\lambda$ 3726, with  $S/N \ge 4$ .

## 5.1. Cosmic evolution of Ne/O

In Figure 6 (a), we compare the Ne/O abundance pattern of CLASSY with the 10 z > 3 SFGs that meet of direct- $T_e$  requirement and have Ne/O measurements. We found that at z > 3 the average log(Ne/O) =  $-0.72\pm0.17$ . In comparison to the average log(Ne/O) =  $-0.63\pm0.06$  for the CLASSY sample, the higher redshift galaxies show similarly large scatter but sub-solar Ne/O abundances. One the other hand, the z = 8.678 SFG (yellow square) shows an excellent agreement with the solar abundance and the mean values of Ne/O for CLASSY. This result is consistent with the lack of evidence of cosmic evolution of Ne/O as reported in Arellano-Córdova

Miranda-Pérez et al. (2023) -1.2SFGs -0.4This work -1.4-0.5log(Ne/O) log(S/O) -0.6 -1.6-0.7-1.8-0.8-2.0-0.9 $-2.2 \\ 5.0 \\ 5.0$  $-1.0^{L}_{-0.0}$ 6.57.0 7.58.0 8.5 9.0 5.56.0 6.57.07.58.0 12+log(Ne/H) 12+log(S/H) -2.50-1.6-2.75-1.8-3.00-2.0-3.25 -2.2 log(Cl/O) log(Ar/O) -2.4-3.50-2.6-3.75-4.00-2.8-4.25-3.0-4.50 L -3.2⊾ 4.5 3.5 4.0 4.55.05.56.0 5.0 5.56.06.57.0 12+log(Cl/H) 12+log(Ar/H)

Figure 5. The relative abundances of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar with respect to Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O. The circles and pentagons represent the sample of SFGs compiled in this study and 85  $z \sim 0$  SFGs of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023). The solar symbol in each plot indicates the photosphere abundance of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar of Asplund et al. (2021). The solid line indicates the fit of the abundance ratios for CLASSY (see Figure 3). The abundances of the sample of SFGs of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023) were determined using different ICFs than those implied in this study. The Ne/O and Ar/O ratios show a significant trend with respect to the relative abundances of Ne and Ar.

et al. (2022b) for z > 7 galaxies using JWST/NIRSpec ERO observations (Pontoppidan et al. 2022; Trump et al. 2023). The low  $\log(Ne/O) < -1.0$  values in the Isobe et al. (2023b) sample are explained in that work using chemical evolution models of Watanabe et al. (2023). These authors concluded that the models with  $M \ge 30 M_{\odot}$  can reproduce the low values of Ne/O since Ne is reduced due to the high temperature in the carbon-burning layer of these stars, which should be typical at high-z (see, Isobe et al. 2023b).

Low values of Ne/O are also observed in local SFGs, but typically only from H II region spectra at high-metallicities  $(12+\log(O/H) > 8.0;$  see Figure 3). The cause of these low values of Ne/O is still unclear but it might be related to the ionization structure of the gas, or to ICFs that have been poorly calibrated for the extreme conditions and integrated spectra of high-z galaxies. Therefore, to evaluate this discrepancy in the abundance patterns of Ne/O, it is crucial to increase the number of galaxies at z > 3 with accurate  $T_{e}$ -

based abundances. In this context, the present study with CLASSY provides a robust foundation to compare the Ne/O abundances of high-z galaxies and evaluate its chemical evolution across cosmic time.

## 5.2. Cosmic Evolution of S/O

Figure 6 (b) shows the evolution of the S/O abundance pattern. Unfortunately, only two high-z galaxies have  $T_e$ -based S/O measurements (1 from Isobe et al. (2023b) and 1 from Rogers et al. (2023)). Thus, we also consider galaxies from Isobe et al. (2023b) with S/O measurements but no  $T_{\rm e}$  detection in order to increase our sample of high-z galaxies to seven. Note that we have added only those galaxies whose uncertainties are also reported (i.e., avoiding upper limit results). In this context, it is crucial to be careful with the interpretation of relative abundances in our comparison of CLASSY with high-z galaxies due to the missing detection

-0.2

-0.3

CLASSY



**Figure 6.** *Top:* Relative  $\alpha$ -abundances versus oxygen abundance for the CLASSY sample ( $z \sim 0$ ; orange diamonds) in comparison with SFGs at z > 3 from Rogers et al. (2023; turquoise triangle), Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022b; pink stars), Isobe et al. (2023b; green circles), and Marques-Chaves et al. (2023; gold squares). The error-weighted mean  $\alpha$ -ratios are indicated by the solid orange lines in each panel (see also Section 3). Panel (a) shows the Ne/O trend, panel (b) shows the S/O trend, and panel (c) shows the Ar/O trend, with an additional  $z \sim 0$  comparison sample from Kojima et al. (2021; blue pentagons). Note that the abundance patterns of S/O and Ar/O of Isobe et al. (2023b) were estimated using  $T_e = 15000\pm5000$  K and  $n_e = 300$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. *Bottom:* The same abundance patterns as shown in the top row, but with CLASSY abundances recalculated assuming  $T_e = 15000\pm5000$  K and  $n_e = 300$  cm<sup>-3</sup> to match the method of the high-z sample in Isobe et al. (2023b). While the low- and high-z trends overlap, the bottom row plots show significant decreasing trends with increasing metallicity and larger dispersions than the trends in the top row. This result shows the importance of characterizing the temperature structure of the gas in SFGs.

of  $T_{\rm e}$ . However, these results can provide a general view of the high-*z* abundance distributions.

Interestingly, the high-*z* sample in Figure 6 (b) shows very little scatter and is in good agreement with the average  $z \sim 0$  CLASSY trend. In particular, the mean S/O abundance of the high-*z* sample (log(S/O) =  $-1.88 \pm 0.06$ ) is consistent with the average value of the CLASSY sample (log(S/O) =  $-1.73 \pm 0.10$ ), suggesting there is no cosmic evolution of the S/O abundance. However, it is important to mention that the high-*z* S/O values are computed using only the measurements of [S II]  $\lambda\lambda 6717, 31$  (see Figure B1 of Isobe et al. 2023b). The use of solely the low-ionization S lines might introduce significant correlations in the S/O trend with the ionization parameter and metallicity (e.g., Amayo et al. 2021).

#### 5.3. Cosmic Evolution of Ar/O

Here we consider the cosmic evolution of the Ar/O–O/H trend. Similar to S/O, only the  $z \sim 3$  galaxy from Rogers et al. (2023) has a  $T_e$ -based Ar/O abundance. We again consider galaxies from Isobe et al. (2023b) with Ar/O measurements but no  $T_e$ detection, however, this only adds one galaxy to the high-*z* sample. With no significant sample of high-*z* Ar/O

abundances, we limit our analysis of Ar/O to the abundance from Rogers et al. (2023) but still show a visual examination in Figure 6 (c).

Interestingly, the Ar/O ratio reported in Rogers et al. (2023) at  $z \sim 3$  is significantly lower than the average  $z \sim 0$  value of the CLASSY sample. However, Figure 3 also shows that four CLASSY galaxies (J0808+3948, J0940+2935, J1144+4015, J1525+0757) have similar values of Ar/O as in Rogers et al. (2023) for a similar 12+log(O/H) > 8.0. A detailed inspection with a large sample of high-*z* galaxies (at moderate metallicities) is needed to evaluate the Ar/O evolution. The Ar/O ICFs may also require updated calibrations for integrated galaxy spectra.

# 5.4. Temperature effects in abundance determinations at high redshift

The increase of z > 3 galaxies with the emission lines necessary to derive  $\alpha$ -element abundances is an extraordinary opportunity to investigate the relative chemical enrichment of Ne, S, and Ar. However, some high-z systems lack the auroral line detections necessary for a robust  $T_e$  measurement. Accurate chemical abundance determinations requires  $T_e$  measurements since collisionally excited lines (e.g., [Ne III], [Ar III], and [S III]) depend exponentially on  $T_e$  (e.g., Osterbrock & Ferland 2006; Peimbert et al. 2017).

Most high-*z* abundance measurements still lack  $T_e$  measurements and must, therefore, assume fixed  $T_e$  and  $n_e$  values. In this context, it is important to assess the bias introduced relative and total abundance determinations by unknown temperatures. To evaluate this bias, we re-calculated the abundance patterns of the CLASSY galaxies following the procedure of Isobe et al. (2023b) when  $T_e$  is not available. This procedure uses fixed values of  $T_e$ = 15000 K and  $n_e$ = 300 cm<sup>-3</sup>.

In the bottom row of Figure 6, we show the Ne/O, S/O, and Ar/O trends as a function of O/H for the CLASSY sample assuming fixed  $T_e$  and  $n_e$  values. Compared to the  $T_e$ -abundances in the top row, the fixed- $T_e$  values show much strong correlations with metallicity. These trends also extend to lower Ne/O, S/O, and Ar/O values, suggesting that fixed temperatures may underestimate relative  $\alpha$ -abundances. Therefore, the implications of comparing samples with abundances derived using different  $T_e$  methods/assumptions can lead to significant bias in our interpretation of the chemical enrichment history. Therefore, we stress that in order to accurately evaluate the chemical evolution of galaxies, a sample of galaxies with high-S/N detections and robust measurements of the  $T_e$ -sensitive [O III], [S III], and/or [N II] lines are necessary to reduce possible bias.

#### 6. SCALING RELATIONS OF METALS

Here, we discuss the abundance patterns of O, Ne, S, Cl, and Ar as a function of the galaxy properties such as stellar mass and SFR for CLASSY in comparison with the results of z > 3 galaxies.

#### 6.1. Mass-Metallicity Relation (MZR)

The mass-metallicity relation (MZR) is shaped by evolutionary processes associated with the baryon cycle and so provides essential information on the growth and evolution of galaxies (e.g., Lequeux et al. 1979; Tremonti et al. 2004; Maiolino & Mannucci 2019; Curti et al. 2023b; Nakajima et al. 2023). Here, we compare the MZR of CLASSY with our high-z (z > 3) galaxy sample. The SFR and stellar masses were compiled from the original papers. In Figure 7, we show the mass-metallicity relation (MZR) for CLASSY derived in Paper I).

First, we compare these results with other local SFGs. In the top panel of Figure 7, we plot the slope (magenta dashed line) derived using the Low-Mass Local Volume Legacy (LMLVL,  $z \sim 0$ ) of Berg et al. (2012) with  $T_e$  direct abundances. The CLASSY sample follows the trend derived for LMLVL SFGs, with a similar slope and with a slight offset to higher metallicities (see also Paper I). In Figure 7, we have

also added as pink stars the results of z > 7 galaxies analyzed in Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022a). As shown in Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022a), there is no evidence for evolution of the MZR given that the z > 7 galaxies follow the local MZR with the dispersion ( $\sigma = 0.29$ ).

Recently, some authors have focused on understanding the physical processes driving the MZR, and its evolution across cosmic time using a statistical sample of z > 3 galaxies (e.g., Curti et al. 2023b; Nakajima et al. 2023). Here, we compare the slopes derived in Nakajima et al. (2023) and Curti et al. (2023b), who used samples of SFGs obtained from CEERS (Finkelstein et al. 2023), GLASS (Treu et al. 2022), and JADES (Eisenstein et al. 2023), respectively. The range of valid masses for these slopes is  $M_* < 10^{9.5}$  M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>. It is important to note that the slopes derived in such studies depend on the empirical calibrators (with few objects with  $T_{e}$ metallicities, e.g., Nakajima et al. 2023). Both samples cover similar ranges in redshift (z = 3 - 10). The z = 4 - 10 MZR derived by Nakajima et al. (2023; dashed green line) follows the trend of CLASSY galaxies and the LMLVL sample with a slight offset to lower metallicities. On the other hand, the MZR of galaxies at z > 6 is flatter than that of the  $z \sim 0$  CLASSY and LVLML samples. Similarly, Curti et al. (2023b) found a relatively flat MZR slope for their full z = 3 - 10 sample (0.17 $\pm 0.03$ ), and a significantly flatter slope  $(0.11\pm0.05)$  for the highest redshift galaxies in their sample (z = 6 - 10). Such flat slopes have been attributed to a different feedback mechanism dominating in dwarf  $M_{\star} < 10^{9.5}$  $M_{\odot} z \sim 2-3$  galaxies (Li et al. 2023).

Since a steep slope is seen for all masses in the the local CLASSY  $T_e$ -method MZR, the shallower slopes of the z > 3 MZRs may be the result of using empirical calibrations. On the other hand, the z > 3 MZR slopes are compatible with the results derived for  $z \sim 0$  green pea and blueberry galaxies using the direct method (Yang et al. 2017), which systematically differs from our CLASSY results. Therefore, a significant sample of  $z \sim 3$  galaxies with direct metallicities are fundamental to constrain the MZR to the mild/strong evolution of the MZR at those redshifts (e.g., Sanders et al. 2021; Strom et al. 2023; Rogers et al. 2023). Such a sample could then improve the performance of empirical calibrations, which will play a crucial role in understanding the evolution and shape of the MZR across cosmic time(e.g., Kewley & Ellison 2008; Patrício et al. 2016).

#### 6.2. Fundamental Metallicity Relation (FMR)

The relation between stellar mass, metallicity, and SFR is the so-called fundamental metallicity relation (Mannucci et al. 2010; FMR), due to the secondary dependence of the MZR (Ellison et al. 2008). The FMR was first characterized for z = 0-4, and it is associated with important evolutionary processes in galaxy formation, primarily the infall of pristine

gas which acts to elevate the SFR at the same time as diluting the gas-phase metallicity (e.g., Mannucci et al. 2010; Lara-López et al. 2010; Andrews & Martini 2013; Sanders et al. 2021; Kumari et al. 2021).

The functional form of the FMR was defined by Mannucci et al. (2010) as

$$\mu_{\alpha} = \log(M_{\star}) - \alpha \cdot \log(\text{SFR}), \tag{6}$$

where stellar mass and SFR have units of  $M_{\odot}$  and  $M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Adopting this equation, we determine the best fit FMR to the CLASSY data to have  $\alpha = 60$  such that:

$$12 + \log(O/H) = (0.40 \pm 0.08) \times \mu_{60} + (4.7 \pm 0.65),$$
 (7)

with a standard deviation of  $\sigma = 0.32$  dex. We illustrate our derived FMR in in the middle panel of Figure 7 in comparison to z > 3 galaxies with metallicities determined using the direct method. The results of Arellano-Córdova et al. (2020), Jones et al. (2023), Marques-Chaves et al. (2023), and Nakajima et al. (2023) illustrate observations of *JWST* for z > 3galaxies, while the result of Citro et al. (2023) corresponds to ground-based observations of a lensed galaxy at  $z \sim 4$ .

We also compare to the FMR relations of Sanders et al. (2021) and Andrews & Martini (2013). Note, however, both Sanders et al. (2021) and Andrews & Martini (2013) derived their FMRs using stacked spectra of  $z \sim 0$  galaxies, but find  $\mu_{\alpha} = 0.60$  and 0.66, respectively, in good agreement with the FMR for CLASSY galaxies. Interestingly, the CLASSY galaxies with the lowest metallicities (12+log(O/H)~ 7.5) depart from the general trend of the sample and the FMR, (J0405–3646, J1132–5722, J0127–0519, J0337–0502, and J934+5514). although three of these galaxies (J0337–0502, J0405–3646 and J934+5514) have properties that match well with the properties of z > 7 galaxies in Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022a) within the uncertainties.

In general, the z > 3 sample shows good consistency with the z = 0 CLASSY FMR, suggesting not evolution in the FMR for z = 0-3, Recently, Nakajima et al. (2023) analyzed the cosmic evolution of the FMR relation for z = 4-10 galaxies. These authors reported that galaxies at z < 8 are consistent with the local FMR but find evidence for an evolution at z > 8, suggesting a fundamental change in the physical processes acting on galaxies at the earliest epochs. Similarly, Curti et al. (2023b) report an evolution away from the local FMR for galaxies at z > 6.

Although we do not see strong evidence for an FMR evolution in the middle plot of Figure 7, the sample size is small. As before, however, it is important to note that the results of Curti et al. (2023b) and Nakajima et al. (2023) are based on empirical metallicity calibrations that can be strongly affected by uncertainties in the ionization and temperature structure of the H II regions at high redshift. Larger samples of  $T_e$ -based metallicities at z > 3 will again be crucial in robustly characterising the FMR evolution at the highest redshifts (e.g., Stasińska 2010; Patrício et al. 2016; Nakajima et al. 2022; 2023).

#### 6.3. The mass-neon relation (MNeR)

Since Ne has the same nucleosynthesic origins as O, the total Ne/H abundance also correlates with the stellar mass. In the bottom panel of Figure 7, we present the mass-neon relation (MNeR) for CLASSY. The solid line in Figure 7 represents the unweighted linear least squares fit to the CLASSY data, and it is presented in the following expression:

$$12 + \log(\text{Ne/H}) = (5.93 \pm 0.33) + (0.18 \pm 0.04) \times \log M_{\star}, (8)$$

with a standard deviation of  $\sigma = 0.22$  and log  $M_{\star}$  in units of  $M_{\odot}$ . Note that we have omitted metal-rich galaxies with high values of Ne/O in CLASSY (see Figure 3). We have added the sample of z > 4 galaxies from Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022b), Isobe et al. (2023b), and Marques-Chaves et al. (2023) with direct  $T_{\rm e}$  abundance determinations.

We measure the first MNeR at high redshift, finding an unweighted least-squares linear trend of:

$$12 + \log(\text{Ne/H}) = (4.81 \pm 1.58) + (0.27 \pm 0.19) \times \log M_{\star}, (9)$$

with a standard deviation of  $\sigma = 0.24$  and log  $M_{\star}$  in units of  $M_{\odot}$ . We also provide in Table 4 the best fits to S, Cl, and Ar with respect to the stellar mass, showing similar slopes of  $\sim 0.20-0.24$  (see Figure 10 in Appendix B.)

The MNeR in figure 7 suggests some evolution, but the lower Ne/H tend of the z > 4 galaxies are consistent within the MNeR uncertainties. The differences between z > 7galaxies of Arellano-Córdova et al. (2022b; purple stars) and z = 4 - 8 galaxies of Isobe et al. (2023b; teal cirlces) might be due to the ICFs or the presence of a young population of massive stars (Isobe et al. 2023b). On the other hand, CEERS-1019 of Marques-Chaves et al. (2023) is in agreement with the sample of galaxies of Isobe et al. (2023b), showing a lower Ne/H abundance than the local SFGs. The dispersion in the high-z MNeR is comparable to what we find in CLASSY (see Table 4). Although, this is the first assessment of the Ne-MR at high-z, robust measurements of Ne/H and Ne/O with Te and a robust ICF are necessary to better interpret the shape of the MNeR and confirm or refute the lower values of Ne/O at z > 6.

## 7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigated the abundance patterns of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar using a sample of 43 SFGs from the CLASSY survey with significant  $T_e$  measurements. The CLASSY sample has enhanced SFRs compared to other local sample of SFGs, making it a useful reference sample for high-redshift galaxies.



**Figure 7.** *Left:* The evolution of the MZR. The  $z \sim 0$  CLASSY sample is shown as orange diamonds, with the best-fit MZR from Berg et al. (2022) shown as an orange line. In comparison, we also plot high-*z* galaxies from Arellano-Córdova et al. (z > 7; 2022a; pink stars), Nakajima et al. (z > 4; 2023; teal circles), and Marques-Chaves et al. ( $z \sim 9$  2023; yellow square) and MZRs from Berg et al. (2012; z = 0), Nakajima et al. (2023; z = 4-10), and Curti et al. (2023b; z = 6-10). *Center:* The evolution of the FMR for CLASSY in comparison to z > 3 galaxies with  $T_e$ -direct abundance determinations. The green line is the projection fit of the FMR of Sanders et al. (2021; at z = 0-3) and Andrews & Martini (2013; at  $z \sim 0$ ) using stacked spectra with metallicity determined using strong-line methods and the  $T_e$ -sensitive method, respectively. CLASSY and z > 3 galaxies follow the FMR relation with no apparent evolution. *Right:* Evolution of the MNeR. The solid line represents the best fit to the CLASSY data, while the dashed line illustrates the fit to the high-*z* galaxy sample. There appears to be an evolution of the MNeR: higher redshift galaxies have both a steeper MNeR slope of  $0.27\pm0.19$  and a lower y-intercept.

**Table 4.** The mass and abundance pattern relations of O, Ne, S, Cl, and Ar for CLASSY galaxies

| Ion | $\alpha$          | $12 + \log(X/H)_0$ | $\sigma$ |
|-----|-------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Ne  | $0.20 {\pm} 0.04$ | $5.93 \pm 0.31$    | 0.22     |
| S   | $0.24{\pm}0.04$   | $4.32 \pm 0.33$    | 0.28     |
| Cl  | $0.24{\pm}0.09$   | $2.47 {\pm} 0.75$  | 0.34     |
| Ar  | $0.20 {\pm} 0.03$ | $3.97 {\pm} 0.23$  | 0.23     |

NOTE—  $\alpha$  represents the slope and 12+log(X/H) is the total abundance of each element labeled in column 1. 12+log(X/H) =  $\alpha$ M<sub>\*</sub> + 12+log(X/H)<sub>0</sub>.  $\sigma$  represents the dispersion of the data with respect to the linear fit.

We used different sets of  $T_e$ -diagnostics to provide a robust characterization of the different ionization and temperature structures of the gas for each galaxy. Further, we analyzed a set of literature ICFs and determined the most appropriate ICFs for use with the CLASSY galaxies. The detailed inspection of the ICFs,  $T_e$ , and ionization structure of the CLASSY galaxies reduces biases related to the scatter and systematic trends with metallicity. As a result, we present robust measurements of abundance patterns of Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O, and the total abundances of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar for CLASSY.

We examine the abundance patterns of CLASSY compared to two samples of local star-forming systems: (i) individual H II regions and (ii) integrated galaxies, to access the appropriateness of local calibrations for higher-redshift samples. We then compared to a high-redshift literature sample (z > 3) of abundances to investigate the chemical evolution of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar. Finally, the broad galaxy properties covered in CLASSY (see Paper I and Paper IV) allow us to analyze essential scaling relations related to galaxy evolution. Our main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

- With the accurate determination of the ionic abundances of the CLASSY galaxies, we carefully inspect the ICFs for Ne, S, Cl, and Ar. We find that the ICF for Ne of Dors et al. (2013) provides less scatter and an excellent agreement with the solar abundance. For the rest of the elements (S, Cl, and Ar), the ICFs of Izotov et al. (2006) show less dispersion in comparison with other sets of ICFs examined in this study (e.g., Amayo et al. 2021; Thuan et al. 1995; Dors et al. 2016). However, we emphasize that the application of these ICFs needs to be done with care since these ICFs are not representative of the integrated spectra of SFGs. However, the size of the ICF scatter is not enough to explain the unexpected trends that we are seeing as a function of metallicity, particularly at high metallicity (see Section 4.2).
- We present the abundance patterns of Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O in concert with O/H. We find that these abundance patterns show a constant behavior with metallicity as expected for nucleosynthesis at  $\sim 7.0 < 12 +$ log(O/H) < 8.5. We confirm the high values of Ne/O at high O/H might be due to inaccurate ICFs (e.g., Izotov et al. 2006; Guseva et al. 2011; Amayo et al. 2021). For

Ar/O, we find a significant trend with metallicity which is not expected at  $12 + \log(O/H) > 8.2$ . It is possibly explained by the uncertain performance of the ICF of Ar at high metallicities, in particular, when [Ar III] line alone is used to calculate Ar/O.

- We analyze the abundance trends of Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O with respect to the relative abundances of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar. Here, we also confirm the trend at high metallicities as the Ne/H abundance increases. The explanation is still unclear, and a future exploration using chemical evolution models (Kobayashi et al. 2020a; Alexander et al. 2023; Amayo et al. 2021) is needed. In a similar way, the Ar/O vs. Ar/H produces a significant trend as Ar/H increases, although the direction of this trend is opposite for CLASSY when compared to the sample of Miranda-Pérez & Hidalgo-Gámez (2023). Again, this suggests an important bias that might be related to the ICFs of Ar. Therefore, we stress the importance of revising the construction of the ICFs for all the elements, specially when they are applied to galaxies whose spectra are integrated.
- We use the CLASSY abundance patterns as a reference to compare with the results of Ne, S, and Ar in z > 4 galaxies observed with the JWST. We carefully selected those galaxies with direct measurement of the metallicity using the  $T_{\rm e}$ -sensitive method. We confirm that galaxies at z > 7 show similar values of Ne/O to  $z \sim 0$  galaxies. However, a group of high-z galaxies show very low values of Ne/O (see also, Isobe et al. 2023b). For S/O and Ar/O, we selected galaxies where the ionic abundances were calculated using a fixed value of  $T_{e}$  and  $n_{e}$ . However, we stress that fixing a unique value of these two physical properties can result in a significant correlation with O/H (see Figure 6). Therefore, in this analysis, we confirm that there is no evolution of Ne/O, S/O, and Ar/O across cosmic time and that S/O and Ar/O should be robustly evaluated in z > 4 SFGs.
- The analysis of the MZR and FMR derived in CLASSY and z > 4 galaxies show no redshift evolution in terms of scatter. For the MZR, CLASSY galaxies show a steeper slope than those studied at high-z and a probable evolution at z > 8 (e.g., Nakajima et al. 2023). Note that we consider here those SFGs with abundance derived using the  $T_e$ -sensitive method. We present a new set of scaling relations based on CLASSY galaxies for Ne, S, Cl, and Ar (see Appendix B), which shows a slope similar to O, which is expected for the nucleosynthesis involved in those elements. We also report the M-NeR relation

for high-z galaxies, whose slope is steeper than the CLASSY galaxies and shifted to lower values of Ne.

Finally, the measurements of the physical conditions and chemical abundance of CLASSY allowed us to assess important biases involved in chemical abundance determinations of different elements. Therefore, CLASSY can be used as a reference to the abundance patterns of  $z \sim 0$  and high-z SFGs. Particularly, there are different JWST programs dedicated to the analysis of the chemical compositions of galaxies across cosmic time. These programs are focused on the detection of  $T_{\rm e}$ -sensitive emission lines at  $z \sim 3$  (CECILIA; Strom et al. 2023), Aurora (JWST program ID:1914), and EXCELS (JWST program ID: 3543) at z = 2-5. In addition, this analysis will be useful for the upcoming observations of the Extremely Large Telescopes that will need robust abundance templates at  $z \sim 0$  to trace and interpret the chemical enrichment of the Universe. We stress that the selection of ICFs plays an essential role in chemical abundance determinations of metals; however, much work remains to address the biases involved with the building of ICFs to correctly represent the broad range of conditions seen in SFGs. Our analysis with CLASSY provides a careful assessment of the abundance ratios of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar that can help to constrain the nucleosynthesis of chemical evolution models for a broad range of metallicities.

The CLASSY team thanks the referee for thoughtful feedback that improved this paper. We thank Chiaki Kobayashi for discussions on the chemical evolution of  $\alpha$ -elements. KZA-C and DAB are grateful for the support for this program, HST-GO-15840, that was provided by NASA through a grant from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Associations of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Incorporated, under NASA contract NAS5-26555. The CLASSY collaboration extends special gratitude to the Lorentz Center for useful discussions during the "Characterizing Galaxies with Spectroscopy with a view for JWST" 2017 workshop that led to the formation of the CLASSY collaboration and survey. The CLASSY collaboration thanks the COS team for all their assistance and advice in the reduction of the COS data. KZA-C and FC acknowledge support from a UKRI Frontier Research Guarantee Grant (PI Cullen; grant reference EP/X021025/1). BLJ and MM are thankful for support from the European Space Agency (ESA). JB acknowledges support by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) through the research grants UIDB/04434/2020 and UIDP/04434/2020, through work contract No. 2020.03379.CEECIND, and through FCT project PTDC/FISAST/4862/2020. RA acknowledges support from ANID Fondecyt Regular 1202007. This work also uses observations obtained with the Large Binocular Telescope (LBT). The LBT is an international collaboration among institutions in the United States, Italy and Germany.

LBT Corporation partners are: The University of Arizona on behalf of the Arizona Board of Regents; Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, Italy; LBT Beteiligungsge-sellschaft, Germany, representing the Max-Planck Society, The Leibniz Institute for Astrophysics Potsdam, and Heidelberg University; The Ohio State University, University of 19 Notre Dame, University of Minnesota, and University of Virginia.

Funding for SDSS-III has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, and the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science.

The SDSS-III website is http://www.sdss3.org/. SDSS-III is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the SDSS-III Collaboration including the University of Arizona, the Brazilian Participation Group, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Carnegie Mellon University, University of Florida, the French Participa-

tion Group, the German Participation Group, Harvard University, the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias, the Michigan State/Notre Dame/JINA Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics, Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, New Mexico State University, New York University, Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the Spanish Participation Group, University of Virginia, University of Washington, and Yale University.

This work also uses the services of the ESO Science Archive Facility, observations collected at the European Southern Observatory under ESO programmes 096.B-0690, 0103.B-0531, 0103.D-0705, and 0104.D-0503.

Software: jupyter (Kluyver et al. 2016), astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2018; 2022), PyNeb (Luridiana et al. 2015), LinRegConf (Flury 2024).

# REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, K. M., & Keenan, F. P. 1999, ApJS, 123, 311
- Alexander, R. K., Vincenzo, F., Ji, A. P., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 522, 5415, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad1312
- Amayo, A., Delgado-Inglada, G., & Stasińska, G. 2021, MNRAS, 505, 2361, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab1467
- Andrews, B. H., & Martini, P. 2013, ApJ, 765, 140, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/765/2/140
- Arellano-Córdova, K. Z., Esteban, C., García-Rojas, J., & Méndez-Delgado, J. E. 2020, MNRAS, 496, 1051, doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa1523
- Arellano-Córdova, K. Z., & Rodríguez, M. 2020, MNRAS, 497, 672, doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa1759
- Arellano-Córdova, K. Z., Berg, D. A., Chisholm, J., et al. 2022a, ApJL, 940, L23, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac9ab2
- Arellano-Córdova, K. Z., Mingozzi, M., Berg, D. A., et al. 2022b, ApJ, 935, 74, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac7854
- Arnaboldi, M., Bhattacharya, S., Gerhard, O., et al. 2022, A&A, 666, A109, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202244258
- Asplund, M., Amarsi, A. M., & Grevesse, N. 2021, A&A, 653, A141, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202140445
- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Lim, P. L., et al. 2022, ApJ, 935, 167, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac7c74
- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., SipHocz, B. M., et al. 2018, aj, 156, 123, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f
- Berg, D. A., Chisholm, J., Erb, D. K., et al. 2021, ApJ, 922, 170, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac141b
- Berg, D. A., Erb, D. K., Auger, M. W., Pettini, M., & Brammer, G. B. 2018, ApJ, 859, 164

- Berg, D. A., Erb, D. K., Henry, R. B. C., Skillman, E. D., & McQuinn, K. B. W. 2019a, ApJ, 874, 93, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab020a
- Berg, D. A., Pogge, R. W., Skillman, E. D., et al. 2020, ApJ, 893, 96, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab7eab
- Berg, D. A., Skillman, E. D., Henry, R. B. C., Erb, D. K., & Carigi, L. 2016, ApJ, 827, 126
- Berg, D. A., Skillman, E. D., Marble, A., et al. 2012, ApJ, 754, 98
- Berg, D. A., James, B. L., King, T., et al. 2022, ApJS, 261, 31, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/ac6c03
- Brinchmann, J. 2023, MNRAS, 525, 2087, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad1704
- Butler, K., & Zeippen, C. J. 1989, A&A, 208, 337
- Cameron, A. J., Katz, H., & Rey, M. P. 2023a, MNRAS, 522, L89, doi: 10.1093/mnrasl/slad046
- Cameron, A. J., Katz, H., Rey, M. P., & Saxena, A. 2023b, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2302.10142, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2302.10142
- Citro, A., Berg, D. A., Erb, D. K., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2305.14414, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2305.14414
- Clayton, D. 2003, Handbook of Isotopes in the Cosmos
- Croxall, K. V., Pogge, R. W., Berg, D. A., Skillman, E. D., & Moustakas, J. 2016, ApJ, 830, 4
- Curti, M., Cresci, G., Mannucci, F., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 465, 1384, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stw2766
- Curti, M., D'Eugenio, F., Carniani, S., et al. 2023a, MNRAS, 518, 425, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac2737
- Curti, M., Maiolino, R., Curtis-Lake, E., et al. 2023b, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2304.08516, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2304.08516

De Robertis, M. M., Dufour, R. J., & Hunt, R. W. 1987, JRASC, 81, 195

Díaz, Á. I., & Zamora, S. 2022, MNRAS, 511, 4377, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac387

Domínguez-Guzmán, G., Rodríguez, M., Esteban, C., & García-Rojas, J. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1906.02102, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1906.02102

Domínguez-Guzmán, G., Rodríguez, M., García-Rojas, J., Esteban, C., & Toribio San Cipriano, L. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 4497, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac2974

Dors, O. L., Pérez-Montero, E., Hägele, G. F., Cardaci, M. V., & Krabbe, A. C. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 4407, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stv2995

Dors, O. L., Hägele, G. F., Cardaci, M. V., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 432, 2512, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stt610

Eisenstein, D. J., Johnson, B. D., Robertson, B., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2310.12340, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2310.12340

Elia, D., Molinari, S., Schisano, E., et al. 2022, ApJ, 941, 162, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aca27d

Ellis, D. G., & Martinson, I. 1984, PhyS, 30, 255, doi: 10.1088/0031-8949/30/4/007

Ellison, S. L., Patton, D. R., Simard, L., & McConnachie, A. W. 2008, ApJL, 672, L107, doi: 10.1086/527296

Esteban, C., Bresolin, F., García-Rojas, J., & Toribio San Cipriano, L. 2020, MNRAS, 491, 2137, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stz3134

Esteban, C., García-Rojas, J., & Pérez-Mesa, V. 2015, MNRAS, 452, 1553, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stv1367

Finkelstein, S. L., Bagley, M. B., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2023, ApJL, 946, L13, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/acade4

Flury, S. 2024, LinRegConf, 0.1. https://github.com/sflury/LinRegConf

Fritzsche, S., Fricke, B., Geschke, D., Heitmann, A., & Sienkiewicz, J. E. 1999, ApJ, 518, 994, doi: 10.1086/307328

Froese Fischer, C., & Tachiev, G. 2004, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables, 87

Galavis, M. E., Mendoza, C., & Zeippen, C. J. 1995, A&AS, 111, 347

Garnett, D. R. 1990, ApJ, 363, 142

Garnett, D. R. 1992, AJ, 103, 1330

Grieve, M. F. R., Ramsbottom, C. A., Hudson, C. E., & Keenan, F. P. 2014, ApJ, 780, 110, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/780/1/110

- Gusev, A. S., Pilyugin, L. S., Sakhibov, F., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 1930
- Guseva, N. G., Izotov, Y. I., Fricke, K. J., & Henkel, C. 2012, A&A, 541, A115, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201118742
- Guseva, N. G., Izotov, Y. I., Stasińska, G., et al. 2011, A&A, 529, A149

Henry, R. B. C., & Worthey, G. 1999, PASP, 111, 919, doi: 10.1086/316403

Isobe, Y., Ouchi, M., Nakajima, K., et al. 2023a, ApJ, 956, 139, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/acf376

Isobe, Y., Ouchi, M., Suzuki, A., et al. 2022, ApJ, 925, 111, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac3509

Isobe, Y., Ouchi, M., Tominaga, N., et al. 2023b, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2307.00710, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2307.00710

Izotov, Y. I., Guseva, N. G., Fricke, K. J., Henkel, C., & Schaerer, D. 2017, MNRAS, 467, 4118

Izotov, Y. I., Guseva, N. G., & Thuan, T. X. 2011, ApJ, 728, 161, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/161

Izotov, Y. I., Stasińska, G., Meynet, G., Guseva, N. G., & Thuan, T. X. 2006, A&A, 448, 955

Izotov, Y. I., Thuan, T. X., & Guseva, N. G. 2012, A&A, 546, A122

- James, B. L., Berg, D. A., King, T., et al. 2021, ApJ
- James, B. L., Tsamis, Y. G., Barlow, M. J., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 398, 2, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15172.x
- Jones, T., Stark, D. P., & Ellis, R. S. 2012, ApJ, 751, 51

Jones, T., Sanders, R., Chen, Y., et al. 2023, ApJL, 951, L17, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/acd938

- Kaufman, V., & Sugar, J. 1986, Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, 15, 321, doi: 10.1063/1.555775
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C., Armus, L., Bendo, G., et al. 2003a, PASP, 115, 928
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C., Bresolin, F., & Garnett, D. R. 2003b, ApJ, 591, 801
- Kewley, L. J., & Ellison, S. L. 2008, ApJ, 681, 1183
- Kisielius, R., Storey, P. J., Ferland, G. J., & Keenan, F. P. 2009, MNRAS, 397, 903, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14989.x

Kluyver, T., Ragan-Kelley, B., Pérez, F., et al. 2016, in Positioning and Power in Academic Publishing: Players, Agents and Agendas, ed. F. Loizides & B. Schmidt, IOS Press, 87 – 90

Kobayashi, C., Karakas, A. I., & Lugaro, M. 2020a, ApJ, 900, 179, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/abae65

Kobayashi, C., Leung, S.-C., & Nomoto, K. 2020b, ApJ, 895, 138, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab8e44

Kojima, T., Ouchi, M., Rauch, M., et al. 2021, ApJ, 913, 22, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/abec3d

Kumari, N., James, B. L., Irwin, M. J., & Aloisi, A. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 1103, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stz343

Kumari, N., Maiolino, R., Trussler, J., et al. 2021, A&A, 656, A140, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202140757

Lara-López, M. A., Cepa, J., Bongiovanni, A., et al. 2010, A&A, 521, L53, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201014803

- Laseter, I. H., Maseda, M. V., Curti, M., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2306.03120, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2306.03120
- Leitherer, C., Schaerer, D., Goldader, J. D., et al. 1999, ApJS, 123, 3

- Lequeux, J., Peimbert, M., Rayo, J. F., Serrano, A., & Torres-Peimbert, S. 1979, A&A, 80, 155
- Li, M., Cai, Z., Bian, F., et al. 2023, ApJL, 955, L18, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/acf470
- Licquia, T. C., & Newman, J. A. 2015, ApJ, 806, 96, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/806/1/96
- Liu, X. W., Storey, P. J., Barlow, M. J., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 312, 585, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.2000.03167.x
- Loaiza-Agudelo, M., Overzier, R. A., & Heckman, T. M. 2020, ApJ, 891, 19, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab6f6b
- Luridiana, V., Morisset, C., & Shaw, R. A. 2015, A&A, 573, A42
- Maiolino, R., & Mannucci, F. 2019, A&A Rv, 27, 3, doi: 10.1007/s00159-018-0112-2
- Mannucci, F., Cresci, G., Maiolino, R., Marconi, A., & Gnerucci, A. 2010, MNRAS, 408, 2115, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17291.x
- Marques-Chaves, R., Schaerer, D., Kuruvanthodi, A., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2307.04234, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2307.04234
- Matteucci, F., & Chiappini, C. 2005, PASA, 22, 49, doi: 10.1071/AS04042
- McLaughlin, B. M., Lee, T.-G., Ludlow, J. A., et al. 2011, Journal of Physics B Atomic Molecular Physics, 44, 175206, doi: 10.1088/0953-4075/44/17/175206
- Menacho, V., Östlin, G., Bik, A., et al. 2021, MNRAS, 506, 1777, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab1491
- Méndez-Delgado, J. E., Esteban, C., García-Rojas, J., Kreckel, K.,
  & Peimbert, M. 2023a, Nature, 618, 249,
  doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-05956-2
- Méndez-Delgado, J. E., Esteban, C., García-Rojas, J., et al. 2023b, MNRAS, 523, 2952, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad1569
- Mendoza, C. 1983, in IAU Symposium, Vol. 103, Planetary Nebulae, ed. L. H. Aller, 143–172
- Mendoza, C., & Zeippen, C. J. 1982a, MNRAS, 199, 1025, doi: 10.1093/mnras/199.4.1025
- Mihalas, D. 1972, Non-LTE model atmospheres for B and O stars.
- Mingozzi, M., James, B. L., Arellano-Córdova, K. Z., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2209.09047. https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.09047
- Miranda-Pérez, B. E., & Hidalgo-Gámez, A. M. 2023, ApJ, 952, 76, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/acdb4b
- Mollá, M., García-Vargas, M. L., & Bressan, A. 2009, MNRAS, 398, 451, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15160.x
- Morisset, C. 2009, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 80, 397, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.0909.3313
- Nakajima, K., Ouchi, M., Isobe, Y., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2301.12825, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2301.12825
- Nakajima, K., Ouchi, M., Xu, Y., et al. 2022, ApJS, 262, 3, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/ac7710

- Osterbrock, D. E., & Ferland, G. J. 2006, Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei
- Patrício, V., Richard, J., Verhamme, A., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 4191
- Peimbert, M., & Costero, R. 1969, Boletin de los Observatorios Tonantzintla y Tacubaya, 5, 3
- Peimbert, M., Peimbert, A., & Delgado-Inglada, G. 2017, PASP, 129, 082001, doi: 10.1088/1538-3873/aa72c3
- Pérez-Montero, E., Hägele, G. F., Contini, T., & Díaz, Á. I. 2007, MNRAS, 381, 125, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12213.x
- Pilyugin, L. S. 2007, MNRAS, 375, 685
- Podobedova, L. I., Kelleher, D. E., & Wiese, W. L. 2009, Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, 38, 171
- Pontoppidan, K. M., Barrientes, J., Blome, C., et al. 2022, ApJL, 936, L14, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac8a4e
- Prantzos, N., Abia, C., Cristallo, S., Limongi, M., & Chieffi, A. 2020, MNRAS, 491, 1832, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stz3154
- Ramsbottom, C. A., & Bell, K. L. 1997, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables, 66, 65, doi: 10.1006/adnd.1997.0741
- Rhoads, J. E., Wold, I. G. B., Harish, S., et al. 2023, ApJL, 942, L14, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/acaaaf
- Rogers, N. S. J., Skillman, E. D., Pogge, R. W., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2209.03962. https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.03962
  —. 2021, ApJ, 915, 21, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/abf8b9
- Rogers, N. S. J., Strom, A. L., Rudie, G. C., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2312.08427, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2312.08427
- Rubin, R. H. 1986, ApJ, 309, 334, doi: 10.1086/164606
- Sanders, R. L., Shapley, A. E., Kriek, M., & others. 2016, ApJ, 816, 23
- Sanders, R. L., Shapley, A. E., Jones, T., et al. 2021, ApJ, 914, 19, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/abf4c1
- Schaerer, D., Marques-Chaves, R., Barrufet, L., et al. 2022, A&A, 665, L4, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202244556

Stasińska, G. 2005, A&A, 434, 507

- Stasińska, G. 2010, in Stellar Populations Planning for the Next Decade, ed. G. R. Bruzual & S. Charlot, Vol. 262, 93–96, doi: 10.1017/S1743921310002590
- Stasińska, G., & Izotov, Y. 2003, A&A, 397, 71, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20021510
- Stephenson, M. G., Arellano-Córdova, K. Z., Berg, D. A., Mingozzi, M., & James, B. L. 2023, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 7, 31, doi: 10.3847/2515-5172/acbc12
- Strom, A. L., Rudie, G. C., Trainor, R. F., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2308.13508, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2308.13508
- Tayal, S. S. 2011, ApJS, 195, 12
- Tayal, S. S., & Zatsarinny, O. 2010, ApJS, 188, 32, doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/188/1/32
- Thuan, T. X., & Izotov, Y. I. 2005, ApJ, 161, 240
- Thuan, T. X., Izotov, Y. I., & Lipovetsky, V. A. 1995, ApJ, 445, 108

23

- Torres-Peimbert, S., Peimbert, M., & Fierro, J. 1989, ApJ, 345, 186, doi: 10.1086/167894
- Tremonti, C. A., Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 898
- Treu, T., Roberts-Borsani, G., Bradac, M., et al. 2022, ApJ, 935, 110, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac8158
- Trump, J. R., Arrabal Haro, P., Simons, R. C., et al. 2023, ApJ, 945, 35, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/acba8a
- Tumlinson, J., Peeples, M. S., & Werk, J. K. 2017, ARA&A, 55, 389, doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-091916-055240
- Vacca, W. D., Cushing, M. C., & Rayner, J. T. 2003, PASP, 115, 389, doi: 10.1086/346193

- Vázquez, G. A., & Leitherer, C. 2005, ApJ, 621, 695, doi: 10.1086/427866
- Watanabe, K., Ouchi, M., Nakajima, K., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2305.02078, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2305.02078
- Williams, T. G., Gear, W. K., & Smith, M. W. L. 2018, MNRAS, 479, 297, doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty1476
- Yang, H., Malhotra, S., Gronke, M., et al. 2017, ApJ, 844, 171, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa7d4d

## APPENDIX

# A. TEMPERATURE STRUCTURE OF CLASSY

In Figure 8 we present the temperature relations implied on the results of  $T_e[O II]$ ,  $T_e[N II]$ ,  $T_e[S III]$ , and  $T_e[O III]$  for CLASSY color coded with the ionization parameter,  $P = [O III] \lambda\lambda 4959$ , 5007/([O II]  $\lambda 3727 + [O III] \lambda\lambda 4959$ , 5007). For reference, the dashed line represents the one-to-one relation in each plot. The different lines in Figure 8 represent some common temperature relations used in the literature (e.g., Garnett 1992; Izotov et al. 2006). For a sample of 13 CLASSY galaxies, we analyze the  $T_e[N II] - T_e[O II]$  relation (see panel (a) of Figure 8), which trace the low ionization zone of the nebula. Some galaxies show significant departures to high values of  $T_e[N II]$  (see Table 5). We have derived  $T_e[N II]$  up to 6000 K larger than  $T_e[O II]$ , which is not expected if  $T_e[N II]$  and  $T_e[O II]$  trace similar gas conditions. For example, for J0036-3333, we measured  $T_e[N II] = 27200\pm100$  K, while a higher value was reported by Menacho et al. (2021;  $T_e[N II] = 52700$  K) for a similar region than in these observations. Another example is J0127-0619, with a value of  $T_e[N II] = 30400\pm2700$  (see Table 5). This galaxy shows a broad component on the  $T_e$ -sensitive [N II]  $\lambda 5755$  line and the high value of  $T_e[N II]$  could be related to the contribution of that component (see also James et al. 2009). However, we have used the fluxes related to the narrow component in our calculations (see also Paper IV).

One of the explanations for those high values in  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$  could be associated with the contribution by recombination. [N II]  $\lambda$ 5755 might be affected for the contribution of recombination process of N<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> (Rubin 1986) overestimating the value of  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$ . Typically, to estimate the contribution by recombination to [N II]  $\lambda$ 5755, we use the expression derive by Liu et al. (2000), which depends on the measurement of N<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> and can be estimated as N<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> = N/H - N<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup>. Note that such an estimate is quite uncertain since it depends on the estimate of the N<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> abundance. Menacho et al. (2021) also analyzed the contribution by recombination to the [N II]  $\lambda$ 5755 line (Liu et al. 2000; Stasińska 2005). Those authors found that this process is about 50%, which implies an overestimate of  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$ . However, the [O II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 7220,30 lines are even more affected by recombination; these authors found a minor contribution by this process to the emission of [O II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 7220,30 (less than 5%). Since both auroral lines should be affected in the same way, it is still uncertain as to what is driving the high values of  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$  (Menacho et al. 2021; Loaiza-Agudelo et al. 2020). In addition, in panel (a) of Figure 8 we have added the temperature relation of Méndez-Delgado et al. (2023b) based on a sample of H II regions, which shows a steeper relation in comparison to CLASSY and the 1:1 line. In general, our results of the  $T_e[O \text{ II}]$ - $T_e[N \text{ II}]$  relation are more consistent with a 1:1 line (see also Rogers et al. 2022).

Panels (b) and (c) of Figure 8, show the temperature relations of  $T_e[O III]$  as a function of  $T_e[N II]$  and  $T_e[O II]$ , respectively. We found a large discrepancy between  $T_e[N II]$  vs.  $T_e[O III]$  due to the high values of  $T_e[N II]$  for this sample. The dispersion in the  $T_e[N II]$ - $T_e[O III]$  relationship is discussed in previous studies, mainly for samples of H II regions (e.g. Pilyugin 2007; Croxall et al. 2016; Arellano-Córdova & Rodríguez 2020; Berg et al. 2020; Rogers et al. 2021; Méndez-Delgado et al. 2023a). Although part of the dispersion might be real, these authors claim that part of such dispersion could be due to dependence on the ionization parameter (see Figure 8), the difference of the age of the stellar population and observational problems such as the detection and measurement of faint  $T_e$ -sensitive lines.

For the  $T_e[O II]$  vs.  $T_e[O III]$  relation, we found that SFGs with P < 0.8 follow the relations of Garnett (1992) and Izotov et al. (2006) for the range of temperatures associated to the CLASSY galaxies, while that that some galaxies with P > 0.8 departs to high values of  $T_e[O II]$ . Recently, Méndez-Delgado et al. (2023b) analyzed the density and temperature structure in H II regions, finding that the presence of high density clouds can have a direct impact on  $T_e[O II]$  in comparison to  $T_e[N II]$ . For those galaxies in our sample with measurements of  $T_e[O II]$  and  $T_e[N II]$ , we have recalculated O<sup>+</sup> to evaluate the impact of  $T_e[O II]$  and  $T_e[N II]$  on the total abundance of O. We can perform this exercise for 11 galaxies with measurements of  $T_e[O II]$  and  $T_e[N II]$ . For these galaxies, we compare O/H implied by the contribution of O<sup>+</sup> by comparing the results of  $T_e[O II]$  and  $T_e[N II]$ . We find that the differences in O/H are lower than 0.09 dex for most of the galaxies, while for four galaxies (J0021+0052, J1359+572, J1025+3622, J1105+4444) the differences are in the range of 0.12-0.17 dex. In particular, for such galaxies, the values of  $T_e[N II]$  are relatively high (see also Figure 8). As an alternative, we also analyzed the results implied using a temperature relation to estimate  $T_e[O II]$  from  $T_e[O III]$ . Thus, we compare the differences in O/H derived using the temperature relation and the direct measurement of  $T_e[O II]$ . We found differences lower than 0.08 dex. Therefore, it is reasonable to use the direct measurement of  $T_e[O II]$  to calculate O<sup>+</sup> for CLASSY.

In panel (c) of Figure 8, we show the  $T_e$ [S III] *vs.*  $T_e$ [O III] relationship, which represents  $T_e$  associated to the intermediate and high ionization zones,  $T_e$ (Int.) and  $T_e$ (High), respectively. We find that most of the CLASSY galaxies follow the trend implied by the relation of Garnett (1992), as was reported previously in Paper IV and Paper V.



**Figure 8.** Temperature relations for the CLASSY sample as a function of the ionization parameter ( $P = [O \text{ III}] \lambda\lambda4959,5007$ //([O II]  $\lambda3727 + [O \text{ III}] \lambda\lambda4959,5007$ )). *Top:* The low-ionization  $T_e[O \text{ II}] T_e[N \text{ II}]$  relation and the  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$ - $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  relation representative of the low and high ionization gas. *Bottom:* A comparison between the  $T_e[O \text{ II}]$ - $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  relation, and the intermediate and high ionization zones,  $T_e[S \text{ III}]$  and  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$ . The dashed line represents the one-to-one relation. The temperature relations of Garnett (1992), Izotov et al. (2006), Rogers et al. (2021), and Méndez-Delgado et al. (2023b) are represented in different lines and are labeled in each panel, respectively. While  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  and  $T_e[S \text{ III}]$ as a function of  $T_e[O \text{ III}]$  follow the temperature relation from the literature, the  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$ - $T_e[O \text{ III}]/T_e[O \text{ III}]$ /relations show a large dispersion with a significant depart from those relations of the literature due to at high values of  $T_e[N \text{ II}]$ .

As a summary, from the different temperature diagnostics derived in CLASSY, we selected  $T_e[O II]$  as the preferred  $T_e(Low)$  when  $T_e[O II]$  is measured, otherwise we use the value derived for  $T_e[N II]$  with exception of those galaxies with very high  $T_e[N II]$ . For such galaxies, we use as reference the measurement of  $T_e[S III]$  or  $T_e[O III]$  to estimate  $T_e(Low)$ . For J0808+3948, we use  $T_e[N II]$  as  $T_e(Low)$ .

# B. GALAXY PROPERTY CORRELATIONS

For this section, we investigate any correlation between Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O ratios (and Ne/H, S/H, Cl/H, Ar/H) and the galaxy properties such as the stellar mass, SFR, and EW(H $\beta$ ). We use the galaxy properties of CLASSY derived in Paper I. Figure 9 illustrates the comparison between Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O and SFR (left), Stellar mass (middle), and EW(H $\beta$ ) (right), respectively. In general, all the abundance ratios show a constant trend with all the galaxy properties. For Ne/O, there is a slight correlation between the SFR and the stellar mass as Ne/O increases. As we mentioned in Sec. 4.2.1, such a slight trend could be due to issues with the ICF of Ne. For Cl/O, the dispersion is larger than the rest of the elements showing a possible correlation with the stellar mass and EW(H $\beta$ ). One possibility to confirm or discard the trend of Cl/O with the galaxy properties is the detection of [Cl III]  $\lambda\lambda$ 5518,31 at higher matllicities or log(M<sub>\*</sub>/M $\odot$ ) > 10. However, [Cl III]  $\lambda\lambda$ 5518,31 are very



**Figure 9.** The abundance ratios of Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O, and Ar/O with respect to SFR (left), stellar mass (middle), and EW(H $\beta$ ) (right) for CLASSY. The orange line indicates the abundance ratio for CLASSY (see also Figure 3). The comparison of the abundance ratios derived in SFGs of the CLASSY sample shows a constant trend with the galaxy properties. The Cl/O abundance ratio shows a tentative correlation with stellar mass. A similar behavior is also shown in Figure 3 as a function of O/H.

faint making difficult the analysis of the Cl/O ratios. However, our results with CLASSY in comparison with H II regions with CHAOS suggest a possible correlation of Cl/O between metallicity and the stellar mass (see also Figure 3). In addition, Figure 10 shows the correlations between Ne/H, S/H, Cl/H, and Ar/H with respect to the SFR (left) and the stellar mass (right). Overall,

such elements show a trend with those galaxy properties. Although, for Cl, the dispersion is larger it is also evident a slight trend with SFR and the stellar mass. Also note the total abundance derived for each element depends on the measurement of O/H (e.g., Ne/H =Ne/O × O/H). We have fitted a linear relation to the results of Figure 10, which are presented in Table 4. In general, we found slopes ranging from 0.20 to 0.24. The stepper slopes correspond to S and Cl,  $0.24\pm0.04$  and  $0.24\pm0.09$ , respectively. However, we calculated a large scatter of the fit with respect to the data of 0.34 for Cl. For Ne and Ar, we found similar values of the slope with a scatter of  $\sigma \sim 0.20$  (see Table 4). Note that for Ne, we discarded the galaxies with high values of Ne/O to the fit.

For the comparison with the SFR, the different abundance patterns show a large dispersion at low values of SFR < 0 and a constant behavior, while a tentative correlation with less scatter is more evident when the SFR increases for S and Ar. Although there is not a correlation between the EW(H $\beta$ ), Ne/H and S/H increase at fixed SFR in galaxies with low values of EW(H $\beta$ ). However, the large dispersion at lower values of Ne, S, and Ar is consistent with no correlation of these elements as SFR decreases.

# C. TABLES: PHYSICAL CONDITIONS, CHEMICAL ABUNDANCES AND GALAXY PROPERTIES

In Table 5, we present the results of  $T_e$  and  $n_e$  for CLASSY, and the selected temperature structure in columns 7-9 to determine the chemical abundances (see Section 3 and Appendix A). In Table 6 list the stellar mass and SFR of CLASSY in columns 2-4. The metallicity, and the abundance ratios of Ne/O, S/O, and Cl/O and Ar/O in columns 4-8.



**Figure 10.** The total abundance ratios of Ne, S, Cl, and Ar with respect to SFR (left) and the stellar mass (right) for CLASSY in color codded with the EW of H $\beta$ . Note that relation between Ne/H and MNeR is the same reported in Fig. 7 for CLASSY. The solid lines show the best fit to the data with the stellar mass (see Table 6). The comparison with the SFR shows constant behaviors at log(SFR) < 0. A tentative correlation of S/H and Ar/H with respect to SFR could be evident, but lower values of SFR show a large scatter as the abundance patterns decrease, showing no significant correlation with the SFR.

| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $   |            |                |                                    |                         |                          |                                    |                                    |                                   |                                    |
|--|------------|----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $  |            | $n_e[S II]$    | $T_{\rm e}[{\rm O~II}]$            | $T_{\rm e}[{\rm N~II}]$ | $T_{\rm e}[{\rm S~III}]$ | $T_{\rm e}[{\rm O~III}]$           | $T_e(Low)$                         | $T_e(\text{Int.})$                | $T_e(\text{High})$                 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | Galaxy     | $(cm^{-3})$    | (K)                                | (K)                     | (K)                      | (K)                                | (K)                                | (K)                               | (K)                                |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0021+0052 | 97±32          | $11500 \pm 300$                    | $21300 \pm 800$         |                          | $12300 \pm 300$                    | $11500 \pm 300$                    | $11900 \pm 200$                   | $12300 \pm 300$                    |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0036-3333 | <100           |                                    | $27200 {\pm}~100$       | $11400 \pm 100$          |                                    | $10600 \pm 400$                    | $11400 \pm 900$                   | $11700{\pm}~300$                   |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0127-0619 | $408 \pm 40$   |                                    | $30400 \pm 2700$        |                          | $18300 \pm 400$                    | $15800 \pm 1700$                   | $16900 \pm 400$                   | $18300{\pm}400$                    |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0337-0502 | $180 {\pm} 10$ |                                    |                         | $20200 {\pm}~100$        |                                    | $16500\pm 600$                     | $20200 \pm 1500$                  | $22200 {\pm}~100$                  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0405-3648 | $28 \pm 16$    |                                    |                         | $23500 \pm 1800$         |                                    | $18800 \pm 1400$                   | $23500 \pm 2800$                  | $26300 {\pm}~100$                  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0808+3948 | $1179 \pm 100$ |                                    | $7500 \pm 100$          |                          |                                    | $7500\pm100$                       | $6900 \pm 1000$                   | $6500 \pm 100$                     |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0823+2806 | $144{\pm}24$   | $10800 {\pm}~100$                  | $10800 \pm 500$         |                          | $10900 \pm 100$                    | $10800 \pm 100$                    | $10800 \pm 100$                   | $10900 \pm 100$                    |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0926+4427 | $96 \pm 70$    | $11700 \pm 1100$                   |                         |                          | $14800{\pm}~400$                   | $11700 \pm 1100$                   | $14000\pm400$                     | $14800{\pm}400$                    |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | J0934+5514 | <100           |                                    |                         |                          | $20400\pm200$                      | $17300 \pm 1800$                   | $18600 \pm 200$                   | $20400 \pm 200$                    |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0938+5428 | $106 \pm 37$   | $11500\pm200$                      | $15600 \pm 1200$        |                          | $11000\pm200$                      | $11500 \pm 200$                    | $10800\pm200$                     | $11000\pm200$                      |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | J0940+2935 | 9±16           |                                    |                         | $15400 \pm 1300$         | $12200 \pm 1000$                   | $11600 \pm 1400$                   | $15400 \pm 1300$                  | $12200 \pm 1000$                   |
| J0944+3442       113±48         15300±1600       13700±1800       14400±1300       15300±1600         J0944-0038       138±56       15500±400        14800±500       15600±100       15500±400       14800±500       15600±100 | J0942+3547 | $50 \pm 18$    |                                    | $17900 \pm 3000$        |                          | $12900 {\pm}~100$                  | $12000 \pm 1300$                   | $12400 \pm 100$                   | $12900 {\pm}~100$                  |
| $J0944-0038  138\pm 56  15500\pm 400 \qquad \cdots \qquad 14800\pm 500  15600\pm 100  15500\pm 400  14800\pm 500  15600\pm 100$  | J0944+3442 | $113 \pm 48$   | •••                                |                         |                          | $15300 \pm 1600$                   | $13700 \pm 1800$                   | $14400 \pm 1300$                  | $15300 \pm 1600$                   |
|  | J0944-0038 | $138 \pm 56$   | $15500 \pm 400$                    |                         | $14800 \pm 500$          | $15600 \pm 100$                    | $15500 \pm 400$                    | $14800 \pm 500$                   | $15600 \pm 100$                    |
| J1016+3754 36 $\pm$ 25 16400 $\pm$ 500 17500 $\pm$ 200 15200 $\pm$ 1600 16400 $\pm$ 500 17500 $\pm$ 200  | J1016+3754 | $36{\pm}25$    | •••                                |                         | $16400 \pm 500$          | $17500 \pm 200$                    | $15200 \pm 1600$                   | $16400 \pm 500$                   | $17500 \pm 200$                    |
| J1024+0524 76±19 11400±200 ··· 15300±100 11400±200 14400±100 15300±100   | J1024+0524 | 76±19          | $11400 \pm 200$                    |                         |                          | $15300 \pm 100$                    | $11400 \pm 200$                    | $14400 \pm 100$                   | $15300 \pm 100$                    |
| $J1025+3622 	198\pm56 	10600\pm 300 	13900\pm 2600 	\cdots 	12400\pm 200 	10600\pm 300 	12000\pm 200 	12400\pm 200$  | J1025+3622 | $198 \pm 56$   | $10600 \pm 300$                    | $13900 \pm 2600$        |                          | $12400 \pm 200$                    | $10600 \pm 300$                    | $12000 \pm 200$                   | $12400 \pm 200$                    |
| $J1044+0353$ $267\pm19$ $23400\pm200$ $17600\pm200$ $15300\pm1600$ $23400\pm200$ $17600\pm200$   | J1044+0353 | $267 \pm 19$   |                                    |                         | $23400 \pm 200$          | $17600 \pm 200$                    | $15300 \pm 1600$                   | $23400 \pm 200$                   | $17600 \pm 200$                    |
| $J1105+4444  113\pm23  10100\pm100  12600\pm1100  \cdots  11200\pm100  10100\pm100  11000\pm100  11200\pm100$  | J1105+4444 | $113\pm23$     | $10100 \pm 100$                    | 12600±1100              |                          | $11200 \pm 100$                    | $10100 \pm 100$                    | $11000 \pm 100$                   | $11200 \pm 100$                    |
| $J1112+5503  408\pm93  11400\pm400  9800\pm600  \cdots  \cdots  11400\pm400  12600\pm1300  12100\pm100$  | J1112+5503 | 408±93         | $11400 \pm 400$                    | $9800\pm 600$           |                          |                                    | $11400 \pm 400$                    | $12600 \pm 1300$                  | $12100 \pm 100$                    |
| $J1119+5130 < 100 \cdots 15800\pm 1100 15600\pm 400 13900\pm 1500 15800\pm 1100 15600\pm 400$  | J1119+5130 | <100           |                                    |                         | $15800 \pm 1100$         | $15600 \pm 400$                    | $13900 \pm 1500$                   | $15800 \pm 1100$                  | $15600 \pm 400$                    |
| $J_{1129+2034}$ 83±15 $10900\pm 100$ $10500\pm 100$ $10300\pm 1200$ $10900\pm 100$ $10500\pm 100$  | J1129+2034 | 83±15          |                                    |                         | $10900 \pm 100$          | $10500 \pm 100$                    | $10300 \pm 1200$                   | $10900 \pm 100$                   | $10500 \pm 100$                    |
| J1132+1411 95 $\pm$ 24 12000 $\pm$ 200 ··· ·· 10900 $\pm$ 100 12000 $\pm$ 200 10700 $\pm$ 100 10900 $\pm$ 100  | J1132+1411 | 95±24          | $12000 \pm 200$                    |                         |                          | $10900 \pm 100$                    | $12000 \pm 200$                    | $10700 \pm 100$                   | $10900 \pm 100$                    |
| $J1132+5722 	122\pm41  	15000\pm1300 	18300\pm600 	15800\pm1700 	15000\pm1300 	18300\pm600$  | J1132+5722 | $122 \pm 41$   |                                    |                         | $15000 \pm 1300$         | $18300 \pm 600$                    | $15800 \pm 1700$                   | $15000 \pm 1300$                  | $18300 \pm 600$                    |
| J1144+4012 109±48 8600±500 ··· ·· ·· ·· 8600±500 8500±1200 8100±600  | J1144+4012 | $109 \pm 48$   | $8600 \pm 500$                     |                         |                          |                                    | $8600 \pm 500$                     | $8500 \pm 1200$                   | $8100 \pm 600$                     |
| $J1148+2546  113\pm10  10300\pm100  \cdots  12900\pm100  13700\pm100  10300\pm100  12900\pm100  13700\pm100$   | J1148+2546 | $113 \pm 10$   | $10300 \pm 100$                    |                         | $12900 \pm 100$          | $13700 \pm 100$                    | $10300 \pm 100$                    | $12900 \pm 100$                   | $13700 \pm 100$                    |
| J1150+1501 85±12 $14300\pm1200$ 12000± 100 11800± 100 11200±1200 12000± 100 11800± 100   | J1150+1501 | 85±12          |                                    | $14300 \pm 1200$        | $12000 \pm 100$          | $11800 \pm 100$                    | $11200 \pm 1200$                   | $12000 \pm 100$                   | $11800 \pm 100$                    |
| $J1157+3220$ $67\pm15$ $10400\pm1000$ $9400\pm200$ $9200\pm200$ $9400\pm1100$ $9400\pm200$ $9200\pm200$  | J1157+3220 | 67±15          |                                    | $10400 \pm 1000$        | $9400 \pm 200$           | $9200 \pm 200$                     | $9400 \pm 1100$                    | $9400 \pm 200$                    | $9200 \pm 200$                     |
| J1200+1343 172±53 14200±400 12500±1200 ··· 12300±200 14200±400 11900±200 12300±200   | J1200+1343 | $172\pm53$     | $14200 \pm 400$                    | $12500 \pm 1200$        |                          | $12300 \pm 200$                    | $14200 \pm 400$                    | $11900 \pm 200$                   | $12300 \pm 200$                    |
| 11225+6109 $24+19$ $13900+300$ $13600+100$ $12500+1400$ $13900+300$ $13600+100$  | J1225+6109 | $24 \pm 19$    |                                    |                         | $13900 \pm 300$          | $13600 \pm 100$                    | $12500 \pm 1400$                   | $13900 \pm 300$                   | $13600 \pm 100$                    |
| $J1253-0312  437\pm35  17300\pm300  12200\pm500  \cdots  13800\pm100  17300\pm300  13200\pm100  13800\pm100$   | J1253-0312 | $437 \pm 35$   | $17300 \pm 300$                    | $12200 \pm 500$         |                          | $13800 \pm 100$                    | $17300 \pm 300$                    | $13200 \pm 100$                   | $13800 \pm 100$                    |
| 11314+3452 180+15 $11400+900$ 12200+100 11200+100 10800+1200 12200+100 11200+100   | J1314+3452 | $180 \pm 15$   |                                    | $11400 \pm 900$         | $12200 \pm 100$          | $11200 \pm 100$                    | $10800 \pm 1200$                   | $12200 \pm 100$                   | $11200 \pm 100$                    |
| $11323-0132  629+100  14600+300  \cdots  16300+200  18000+100  14600+300  16300+200  18000+100$  | J1323-0132 | $629 \pm 100$  | $14600 \pm 300$                    |                         | $16300 \pm 200$          | $18000 \pm 100$                    | $14600 \pm 300$                    | $16300 \pm 200$                   | $18000 \pm 100$                    |
| $J1359+5726  69+34  J1300+200  J7200+2600  \cdots  J3500+200  J1300+200  J2900+200  J3500+200$   | J1359+5726 | 69+34          | $11300 \pm 200$                    | 17200 + 2600            |                          | $13500 \pm 200$                    | $11300 \pm 200$                    | $12900 \pm 200$                   | $13500 \pm 200$                    |
| $11416+1223  295+61  10700+300  \cdots  \cdots  \cdots  \cdots  10700+300  11500+1200  11000+200$  | J1416+1223 | $295 \pm 61$   | $10700 \pm 300$                    |                         |                          |                                    | $10700 \pm 300$                    | $11500 \pm 1200$                  | $11000 \pm 200$                    |
| $1418+2102  73+13  \cdots  18700+100  18500+200  15900+1700  18700+100  18500+200$   | J1418+2102 | 73+13          |                                    |                         | $18700 \pm 100$          | $18500 \pm 200$                    | $15900 \pm 1700$                   | $18700 \pm 100$                   | $18500 \pm 200$                    |
| $11428 \pm 1653 \qquad 119 \pm 62 \qquad 10100 \pm 500 \qquad 9900 \pm 1400 \qquad \cdots \qquad 11200 \pm 600 \qquad 10100 \pm 500 \qquad 11200 \pm 600$  | J1428+1653 | 119+62         | $10100 \pm 500$                    | $9900 \pm 1400$         |                          | $11200 \pm 200$                    | $10100 \pm 500$                    | $11000 \pm 500$                   | $11200 \pm 600$                    |
| 11429+0643 $63+50$ $13400+500$ $15100+1500$ $15000+200$ $13400+500$ $14200+200$ $15000+200$  | I1429+0643 | 63+50          | $13400 \pm 500$                    | $15100 \pm 1500$        |                          | $15000 \pm 200$                    | $13400\pm 500$                     | $14200 \pm 200$                   | $15000 \pm 200$                    |
| $11448-0110  120+29  14200+200  15800+2200  \dots  13200+100  14200+200  1200+100  13200+100$  | I1448-0110 | 120+29         | $13100 \pm 300$<br>$14200 \pm 200$ | $15800 \pm 2200$        |                          | $13000 \pm 200$<br>$13200 \pm 100$ | $13100 \pm 300$<br>$14200 \pm 200$ | $1200 \pm 200$<br>$12700 \pm 100$ | $13200 \pm 200$<br>$13200 \pm 100$ |
| $11521+0759  76+68  8900+500  \cdots  \cdots  \cdots  8900+500  8900+1200  8500+100$   | 11521+0759 | 76+68          | $8900 \pm 500$                     |                         |                          |                                    | $8900 \pm 500$                     | $8900 \pm 1200$                   | $8500 \pm 100$                     |
| $11525+0757  186+60  8300+200  \cdots  \cdots  \cdots  8300+200  8100+1100  7600+100$  | I1525+0757 | $186 \pm 60$   | $8300 \pm 200$                     |                         |                          |                                    | $8300 \pm 200$                     | $8100 \pm 1200$                   | $7600 \pm 100$                     |
| $11545+0858  153+10  \cdots  14400+100  16300+100  14400+1500  14400+100  16300+100$   | J1545+0858 | $153 \pm 10$   |                                    |                         | $14400 \pm 100$          | $16300 \pm 100$                    | $14400 \pm 1500$                   | $14400 \pm 100$                   | $16300 \pm 100$                    |
| $J1612+0817  484\pm 88  9300\pm 300  11000\pm 700  \cdots  \cdots     9300+300  9400+1100  9000+100$   | J1612+0817 | 484 + 88       | $9300 \pm 300$                     | 11000 + 700             |                          |                                    | 9300 + 300                         | $9400 \pm 1100$                   | $9000 \pm 100$                     |

 Table 5. Electron density and temperature structure of CLASSY

NOTE—In columns 7-9 show the low, intermediate, and high ionization  $T_e(low)$ ,  $T_e(Int)$ , and  $T_e(low)$ , respectively, used to calculate the ionic abundances see Section 3.

| Galaxy     | z      | M <sub>star</sub>       | log SFR                 | $12 + \log(O/H)$ | log(Ne/O)        | log(S/O)         | log(Cl/O)        | log(Ar/O)        |
|------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|            |        |                         |                         |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| J0021+0052 | 0.0984 | $9.09^{+0.18}_{-0.28}$  | $1.07^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$  | $8.16 \pm 0.17$  | $-0.52 \pm 0.03$ | $-1.73 \pm 0.03$ | $-3.70 \pm 0.03$ | $-2.34 \pm 0.03$ |
| J0036-3333 | 0.0206 | $9.14^{+0.26}_{-0.22}$  | $1.01^{+0.19}_{-0.21}$  | $8.16 \pm 0.17$  |                  | $-1.66 \pm 0.06$ | $-3.48 \pm 0.06$ | $-2.39 \pm 0.05$ |
| J0127-0619 | 0.0054 | $8.74_{-0.15}^{+0.18}$  | $-0.75^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$ | $7.53 \pm 0.08$  |                  | $-1.45 \pm 0.03$ | $-3.41 \pm 0.06$ | $-2.04 \pm 0.02$ |
| J0337-0502 | 0.0135 | $7.06^{+0.24}_{-0.21}$  | $-0.32^{+0.07}_{-0.11}$ | $7.23\pm0.04$    |                  | $-1.80\pm0.01$   | $-3.82 \pm 0.02$ | $-2.31 \pm 0.01$ |
| J0405-3648 | 0.0028 | $6.61_{-0.28}^{+0.28}$  | $-1.81^{+0.31}_{-0.27}$ | $6.99 \pm 0.07$  |                  | $-1.45\pm0.07$   |                  | $-2.19\pm0.07$   |
| J0808+3948 | 0.0912 | $9.12_{-0.17}^{+0.30}$  | $1.26^{+0.18}_{-0.25}$  | $8.77\pm0.05$    | $-0.49\pm0.05$   | $-1.63\pm0.12$   |                  | $-2.77\pm0.14$   |
| J0823+2806 | 0.0472 | $9.38_{-0.19}^{+0.33}$  | $1.48^{+0.15}_{-0.32}$  | $8.25\pm0.01$    | $-0.53\pm0.02$   | $-1.70\pm0.02$   | $-3.61\pm0.05$   | $-2.37\pm0.02$   |
| J0926+4427 | 0.1807 | $8.76_{-0.26}^{+0.30}$  | $1.03_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$  | $8.05\pm0.09$    | $-0.56\pm0.12$   | $-1.66\pm0.12$   |                  | $-2.40\pm0.12$   |
| J0934+5514 | 0.0025 | $6.27_{-0.20}^{+0.15}$  | $-1.52^{+0.09}_{-0.07}$ | $7.09\pm0.01$    | $-0.64\pm0.01$   |                  |                  |                  |
| J0938+5428 | 0.1021 | $9.15_{-0.29}^{+0.18}$  | $1.05^{+0.20}_{-0.17}$  | $8.22\pm0.02$    | $-0.59\pm0.03$   | $-1.63\pm0.03$   |                  | $-2.39\pm0.02$   |
| J0940+2935 | 0.0017 | $6.71_{-0.40}^{+0.23}$  | $-2.01_{-0.37}^{+0.42}$ | $7.99\pm0.09$    | $-0.73\pm0.12$   | $-1.83\pm0.10$   |                  | $-2.73\pm0.10$   |
| J0942+3547 | 0.0149 | $7.56^{+0.21}_{-0.29}$  | $-0.76^{+0.19}_{-0.12}$ | $8.00\pm0.09$    | $-0.59\pm0.02$   | $-1.63\pm0.02$   |                  | $-2.32\pm0.02$   |
| J0944+3442 | 0.0048 | $6.83_{-0.25}^{+0.44}$  | $-0.78^{+0.19}_{-0.16}$ | $7.66\pm0.22$    | $-0.57\pm0.14$   | $-1.39\pm0.13$   |                  | $-2.34\pm0.13$   |
| J0944-0038 | 0.0200 | $8.19_{-0.23}^{+0.40}$  | $-0.01^{+0.28}_{-0.65}$ | $7.82\pm0.01$    | $-0.70\pm0.01$   | $-1.66\pm0.02$   |                  | $-2.29\pm0.02$   |
| J1016+3754 | 0.0039 | $6.72_{-0.22}^{+0.27}$  | $-1.17_{-0.18}^{+0.18}$ | $7.57\pm0.04$    | $-0.68\pm0.02$   | $-1.72\pm0.02$   |                  | $-2.34\pm0.02$   |
| J1024+0524 | 0.0332 | $7.89_{-0.24}^{+0.37}$  | $0.21^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$  | $7.89 \pm 0.01$  | $-0.67\pm0.01$   | $-1.76\pm0.02$   |                  | $-2.38\pm0.02$   |
| J1025+3622 | 0.1265 | $8.87_{-0.27}^{+0.25}$  | $1.04_{-0.18}^{+0.14}$  | $8.19\pm0.02$    | $-0.62 \pm 0.03$ | $-1.79\pm0.04$   |                  | $-2.52\pm0.03$   |
| J1044+0353 | 0.0129 | $6.80^{+0.41}_{-0.26}$  | $-0.59^{+0.11}_{-0.14}$ | $7.55\pm0.02$    | $-0.70\pm0.01$   | $-2.12\pm0.01$   | $-3.93\pm0.01$   | $-2.54\pm0.01$   |
| J1105+4444 | 0.0215 | $8.98_{-0.24}^{+0.29}$  | $0.69^{+0.28}_{-0.22}$  | $8.28\pm0.01$    | $-0.66\pm0.02$   | $-1.81\pm0.02$   | $-3.66\pm0.04$   | $-2.48\pm0.02$   |
| J1112+5503 | 0.1316 | $9.59_{-0.19}^{+0.33}$  | $1.60^{+0.20}_{-0.25}$  | $8.02\pm0.05$    | $-0.62\pm0.07$   | $-1.65\pm0.11$   |                  | $-2.34\pm0.08$   |
| J1119+5130 | 0.0045 | $6.77_{-0.28}^{+0.15}$  | $-1.58^{+0.21}_{-0.12}$ | $7.58\pm0.08$    | $-0.65\pm0.03$   | $-1.72\pm0.04$   |                  | $-2.50\pm0.05$   |
| J1129+2034 | 0.0047 | $8.09_{-0.27}^{+0.37}$  | $-0.37^{+0.38}_{-0.56}$ | $8.31 \pm 0.01$  | $-0.67\pm0.02$   | $-1.76\pm0.02$   |                  | $-2.49\pm0.02$   |
| J1132+1411 | 0.0176 | $7.31_{-0.26}^{+0.23}$  | $-1.07^{+0.27}_{-0.35}$ | $8.20\pm0.01$    | $-0.58\pm0.02$   | $-1.70\pm0.02$   | $-3.48\pm0.03$   | $-2.41\pm0.02$   |
| J1132+5722 | 0.0050 | $8.68_{-0.19}^{+0.28}$  | $0.44^{+0.24}_{-0.27}$  | $7.34\pm0.02$    | $-0.62\pm0.04$   | $-1.48\pm0.06$   |                  | $-2.26\pm0.06$   |
| J1144+4012 | 0.1269 | $9.89_{-0.29}^{+0.18}$  | $1.51_{-0.29}^{+0.20}$  | $8.65\pm0.08$    | $-0.45\pm0.15$   |                  |                  | $-2.73\pm0.18$   |
| J1148+2546 | 0.0451 | $8.14_{-0.24}^{+0.34}$  | $0.53_{-0.14}^{+0.17}$  | $8.09\pm0.02$    | $-0.72\pm0.01$   | $-1.81\pm0.01$   |                  | $-2.47\pm0.01$   |
| J1150+1501 | 0.0024 | $6.84_{-0.30}^{+0.28}$  | $-1.33_{-0.23}^{+0.29}$ | $8.15\pm0.02$    | $-0.70\pm0.01$   | $-1.71\pm0.01$   | $-3.63\pm0.02$   | $-2.36\pm0.01$   |
| J1157+3220 | 0.0110 | $9.04_{-0.18}^{+0.32}$  | $0.97_{-0.42}^{+0.21}$  | $8.44\pm0.03$    | $-0.69\pm0.04$   | $-1.76\pm0.04$   | $-3.55\pm0.06$   | $-2.50\pm0.04$   |
| J1200+1343 | 0.0668 | $8.12_{-0.42}^{+0.47}$  | $0.75_{-0.16}^{+0.20}$  | $8.11\pm0.01$    | $-0.56\pm0.03$   | $-1.58\pm0.02$   |                  | $-2.28\pm0.02$   |
| J1225+6109 | 0.0023 | $7.12_{-0.24}^{+0.34}$  | $-1.08^{+0.26}_{-0.26}$ | $8.02\pm0.06$    | $-0.71\pm0.01$   | $-1.82\pm0.01$   | $-3.70\pm0.04$   | $-2.43\pm0.02$   |
| J1253-0312 | 0.0227 | $7.65_{-0.23}^{+0.51}$  | $0.56^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$  | $7.98 \pm 0.01$  | $-0.63\pm0.01$   | $-1.45\pm0.01$   | $-3.28\pm0.01$   | $-2.24\pm0.01$   |
| J1314+3452 | 0.0029 | $7.56_{-0.21}^{+0.30}$  | $-0.67^{+0.23}_{-0.55}$ | $8.27\pm0.23$    | $-0.67\pm0.01$   | $-1.79\pm0.01$   | $-3.85\pm0.03$   | $-2.48\pm0.01$   |
| J1323-0132 | 0.0225 | $6.31_{-0.10}^{+0.26}$  | $-0.72^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$ | $7.72\pm0.02$    | $-0.64\pm0.01$   | $-1.73\pm0.01$   |                  | $-2.23\pm0.01$   |
| J1359+5726 | 0.0338 | $8.41_{-0.26}^{+0.31}$  | $0.42^{+0.20}_{-0.14}$  | $8.05\pm0.01$    | $-0.64\pm0.02$   | $-1.78\pm0.02$   |                  | $-2.44\pm0.02$   |
| J1416+1223 | 0.1232 | $9.59_{-0.26}^{+0.32}$  | $1.57^{+0.21}_{-0.25}$  | $8.15\pm0.03$    | $-0.51\pm0.06$   | $-1.68\pm0.10$   |                  | $-2.47\pm0.08$   |
| J1418+2102 | 0.0086 | $6.22_{-0.35}^{+0.49}$  | $-1.13^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$ | $7.50\pm0.03$    | $-0.64\pm0.01$   | $-1.91\pm0.01$   | $-3.72\pm0.01$   | $-2.41\pm0.01$   |
| J1428+1653 | 0.1817 | $9.56^{+0.15}_{-0.23}$  | $1.22^{+0.26}_{-0.19}$  | $8.28\pm0.07$    | $-0.58\pm0.08$   | $-1.79\pm0.09$   |                  | $-2.44\pm0.08$   |
| J1429+0643 | 0.1735 | $8.80_{-0.21}^{+0.35}$  | $1.42_{-0.17}^{+0.11}$  | $7.95\pm0.02$    | $-0.55\pm0.02$   | $-1.70\pm0.03$   |                  | $-2.24\pm0.02$   |
| J1448-0110 | 0.0274 | $7.61_{-0.24}^{+0.41}$  | $0.39_{-0.14}^{+0.13}$  | $8.04\pm0.02$    | $-0.65\pm0.02$   | $-1.61\pm0.01$   | $-3.55\pm0.03$   | $-2.34\pm0.01$   |
| J1521+0759 | 0.0943 | $9.00^{+0.29}_{-0.30}$  | $0.95_{-0.17}^{+0.16}$  | $8.66\pm0.05$    | $-0.37\pm0.14$   | $-1.62\pm0.31$   |                  | $-2.68\pm0.15$   |
| J1525+0757 | 0.0758 | $10.10^{+0.28}_{-0.42}$ | $1.00^{+0.69}_{-0.24}$  | $8.76\pm0.05$    | $-0.36\pm0.08$   | $-1.68\pm0.31$   |                  | $-2.66\pm0.14$   |
| J1545+0858 | 0.0377 | $7.52_{-0.26}^{+0.43}$  | $0.37_{-0.17}^{+0.13}$  | $7.75\pm0.02$    | $-0.65\pm0.00$   | $-1.69\pm0.01$   |                  | $-2.31\pm0.01$   |
| J1612+0817 | 0.1491 | $9.78_{-0.26}^{+0.28}$  | $1.58_{-0.24}^{+0.28}$  | $8.48\pm0.19$    | $-0.43\pm0.06$   | $-1.78\pm0.21$   |                  | $-2.49\pm0.10$   |

Table 6. Galaxy properties, metallicity, and chemical abundance ratios of Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O and Ar/O of CLASSY

NOTE—Columns 2-4: Redshift, stellar mass and SFR taken from Paper I, respectively. Column 5: metallicity, and columns 6-9: the Ne/O, S/O, Cl/O and Ar/O abundance ratios.