EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH (CERN)



CERN-EP-2024-077 LHCb-DP-2023-004 September 18, 2024

Tracking of charged particles with nanosecond lifetimes at LHCb

LHCb collaboration[†]

Abstract

A method is presented to reconstruct charged particles with lifetimes between 10 ps and 10 ns, which considers a combination of their decay products and the partial tracks created by the initial charged particle. Using the Ξ^- baryon as a benchmark, the method is demonstrated with simulated events and proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.0 fb⁻¹ collected with the LHCb detector in 2018. Significant improvements in the angular resolution and the signal purity are obtained. The method is implemented as part of the LHCb Run 3 event trigger in a set of requirements to select detached hyperons. This is the first demonstration of the applicability of this approach at the LHC, and the first to show its scaling with instantaneous luminosity.

Published in EPJC 84 (2024) 761

C 2024 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. CC BY 4.0 licence.

[†]Authors are listed at the end of this paper.

1 Introduction

Today's large-scale experiments at the LHC are designed to efficiently reconstruct the trajectories of charged particles that traverse the entire tracking system, such as pions, kaons, and muons. Despite having a finite lifetime, these particles can be treated as practically stable due to the significant boost they experience upon production at the LHC. Short-lived particles, with lifetimes $\tau < 10 \text{ ps}$, are exclusively reconstructed through the identification of their decay products. The purpose of this article is to demonstrate a method for reconstructing charged particles which are neither short- nor very long-lived: specifically particles with a lifetime in the range of 10 ps to 10 ns and sufficient momentum to travel more than 10 cm before their decay.

The interest in such particles, subsequently referred to as long-lived charged particles, originates on the one hand from the search for hypothetical beyond the Standard Model (SM) particles, that are produced on shell [1,2]. On the other hand, the reconstruction of long-lived particles provides an opportunity to study SM processes involving (long-lived) charged hyperons, which are ground-state baryons containing one or more strange quarks and no heavy quarks. Notably, the Σ^+ , Σ^- , Ω^- , and Ξ^- baryons¹ are charged and have sufficiently long lifetimes to travel over 50 cm from their production vertex under typical LHC production conditions. Research involving these particles includes, but is not limited to, the precise study of *CP* violation and rare decays [3,4], which are sensitive to physics beyond the SM, as well as hadron spectroscopy.

The LHCb experiment at the LHC is designed to study b and c hadrons. It is equipped with a vertex detector (VELO) that operates close to the beam axis. While this configuration is optimal for the study of heavy flavour hadrons, long-lived particles can escape the VELO before decaying. Consequently, only a fraction of the decay products can be reconstructed with the information of the VELO detector. A fraction of the decays can be recovered using tracks reconstructed with hits of tracking detectors downstream of the VELO, albeit with a worsened resolution. The reconstruction of long-lived charged particles may benefit from the information of hits in the VELO produced prior to the decay. This approach has been very fruitful at experiments outside of the LHC. The (fixed-target) FOCUS and E687 experiments [5,6] employed this method to study charged hyperons as part of their physics programmes. Furthermore, the HyperCP experiment [7] was tailored to the tracking of these long-lived particles. The proposed ALICE 3 experiment at the LHC includes design elements that capture signals of strange particles before they decay [8].

Within LHCb, the initial interest in this technique originated from a different perspective: there is a long-standing need for new, precise measurements of the K^+ meson mass, as the world-best measurements are not in agreement [9, 10]. Subsequent to this study, using the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay mode, several adaptations of these reconstruction techniques have been proposed for broader physics studies involving hyperons [11].

Out of the charged particles with nanosecond lifetimes, the Ξ^- baryon forms an excellent laboratory to understand how the information in the VELO detector can be beneficial, for two main reasons. Firstly, it is abundantly produced at the LHC in different production mechanisms. Secondly, and most importantly, it predominantly decays to particles which leave signatures in the downstream tracking detectors. This property

¹The inclusion of charge conjugate processes and the use of natural units are implicit throughout this article.



Figure 1: Schematic side-view of the LHCb detector as it operated during Runs 1 and 2, which shows the positioning of the various subdetectors. The horizontal axis in this picture corresponds to the beam axis.

allows a direct comparison to the conventional reconstruction algorithms available at LHCb.

This article presents the performance benefits of tracking charged hyperons in the LHCb vertex detector before they decay, a procedure referred to as *VELO matching*, applied to the Ξ^- baryon. The outcomes are derived from data collected by the LHCb experiment during Run 2 of the LHC. Subsequently, this reconstruction technique is implemented within the LHCb trigger for Run 3. This manuscript closes with a discussion on other use-cases and potential extensions of the method. It is the first to demonstrate the performance of this tracking approach in LHC collision data, and assess its scalability for experiments conducted at higher instantaneous luminosity.

2 Detector and simulation

The LHCb detector [12, 13] that operated during Runs 1 and 2 of the LHC is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$. A schematic of the detector is shown in Fig. 1. It includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector, the aforementioned VELO, surrounding the pp interaction region [14]; a large-area silicon-strip detector, the TT, located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm; and three stations of silicon-strip detectors (Inner Tracker) and straw drift tubes (Outer Tracker) [15] placed downstream of the magnet, referred to as the T stations. The complete tracking system provides a measurement of the momentum, p, of charged particles with a relative uncertainty that varies from 0.5% at low momentum to 1.0% at 200 GeV. Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished using information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov (RICH) detectors [16]. The impact parameter (IP) corresponds to the minimum distance of a track to a reconstructed pp



Figure 2: Schematic view of the different track types relevant for this paper, along with the used tracking detectors for these track types, showing the VELO detector, the TT detector, the magnet and the downstream tracking stations (T). The names of the tracking detectors along with the positioning of the magnet are indicated below the figure.

interaction vertex, referred to as a primary vertex (PV), and is measured with a resolution of $(15 + 29/p_T) \mu m$, where p_T is the component of the momentum transverse to the beam, in GeV.

Figure 2 shows an overview of the relevant track types used in this paper: VELO tracks, composed of at least three hits in the VELO; downstream tracks, which have hits in the T stations and the TT detector; and long tracks, which have hits in the VELO detector, T stations and optionally the TT detector. The momentum is inferred from the track's curvature in the magnetic field. Most physics analyses make use of long tracks, as they provide the best momentum and angular resolution among all of the track types. The VELO resides outside the magnetic field, and charged particles follow an approximately straight trajectory through the detector. Therefore, a VELO track only provides angular information about the particle's initial trajectory, and no information about the particle's absolute momentum.

Simulated events are used to validate the proposed method. In the simulation, *pp* collisions are generated using PYTHIA [17] with a specific LHCb configuration [18]. Decays of unstable particles are described by EVTGEN [19], in which final-state radiation is generated using PHOTOS [20]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector, and its response, are implemented using the GEANT4 toolkit [21] as described in Ref. [22].

3 Candidate selection and VELO matching method

The Ξ^- baryons are reconstructed as part of an abundant weak decay of the Ξ_c^+ baryon, $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$, which is used to benchmark the VELO matching method. The Ξ^- baryon primarily decays to $\Lambda \pi^-$, with $\Lambda \to p\pi^-$. These decays are selected in the $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV



Figure 3: Illustration of the $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay. The VELO modules are drawn as vertical gray lines; reconstructed final state particles as colored straight lines; trajectories of decaying particles as dashed lines; decay vertices with their corresponding spatial uncertainty as colored ellipses; and the orange crosses represent hits from the Ξ^- traversing the sensors.

pp collision data recorded by the LHCb detector in 2018, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 2.0 fb⁻¹. The reconstruction and selection procedure is split in two parts: a conventional selection, which uses downstream or long tracks to reconstruct the hyperons in the LHCb software trigger, and an offline step which matches the result of the downstream reconstruction with a candidate Ξ^- -baryon track in the vertex detector, as illustrated in Fig. 3.

3.1 Reconstruction and selection

The online event selection is performed by a trigger [23,24], which consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by two software stages, applying partial (HLT1) and full event reconstruction (HLT2). While generic selections are used in the hardware and first software stage, dedicated selection algorithms for $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ candidates, using both long- and downstream-track reconstruction, have been deployed for 2018 data taking. This dedicated path of selecting and reconstructing candidates contributes more than 70% to the considered $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ signal decays, in which the Ξ^- is reconstructed from downstream tracks only. The remaining 30% is selected by trigger selections based on the topology of *b*-hadron decays [25, 26], and an inclusive $\Xi^- \to A\pi^-$ trigger selection, using only downstream tracks. Events passing the inclusive $\Xi^- \to A\pi^-$ trigger selection are randomly discarded, such that on average one in 20 events is selected, in order to fit into the budgeted bandwidth of the LHCb triggers.

The dedicated Ξ^- hyperon trigger selections start by building a Λ baryon candidate from two oppositely charged downstream tracks, which are of good quality² ($\chi^2_{track}/ndf < 4$) and combine to a vertex, applying modest quality requirements ($\chi^2_{vertex}/ndf < 30$). These two tracks need to pass proton and pion particle-identification criteria, using combined information of the LHCb PID detectors [27]. Another downstream track, assumed to be a pion, is combined with the Λ -baryon candidate to reconstruct a Ξ^- candidate. This combination is required to point towards the interaction region. The dedicated $\Xi^+_c \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ trigger selection combines two displaced pions, reconstructed as long

²The quality of the tracks is evaluated through the differences between the reconstructed trajectory with the track's cluster positions. Similarly, for vertices the smallest distance between the reconstructed vertex and the contributing particles are used.

tracks with a significant IP with respect to any PV, with the Ξ^- candidate to form a Ξ_c^+ candidate. The candidate's vertex is required to have a good quality ($\chi^2_{\text{vertex}}/\text{ndf} < 10$), be upstream of the Ξ^- decay vertex, and point back to a PV.

3.2 VELO matching algorithm

After the reconstruction of Ξ^- candidates from downstream tracks, a newly developed matching algorithm finds VELO tracks that are compatible with the Ξ^- trajectory. To simplify the computation initially, both the Ξ^- trajectory and the VELO track are assumed to be straight lines, extrapolated from the Ξ^- decay vertex and the last hit in the VELO. The IP between the VELO track and the Ξ^- decay vertex is required to be less than 5 mm. In the majority of cases this requirement selects only one VELO track per Ξ^- candidate. Those VELO tracks are refitted with a Kalman filter using the $\Xi^$ momentum, as reconstructed from downstream tracks, as input to obtain a more precise estimate of the VELO track parameters and their covariance matrix. In the track fit, the Ξ^- candidate is extrapolated through the detector material and the fringe field of the dipole magnet to the position of the last hit recorded on the VELO track.

As a last step, the algorithm re-computes the IP and a significance, χ^2_{Match} , for the matching procedure from the refitted VELO track and the transported Ξ^- candidate:

$$\chi^2_{ ext{Match}} = \left(oldsymbol{s}_{ ext{Downstream}} - oldsymbol{s}_{ ext{VELO}}
ight) \left(oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ ext{Downstream}} + oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ ext{VELO}}
ight)^{-1} \left(oldsymbol{s}_{ ext{Downstream}} - oldsymbol{s}_{ ext{VELO}}
ight)^{ op}$$

with a vector of track parameters $\mathbf{s} = (x, y, t_x, t_y)$ and its covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$; where x, y are the positions and t_x, t_y the slopes with respect to the beam axis. This vector is defined for both the VELO track and the extrapolated Ξ^- candidate, reconstructed from downstream tracks, at the last VELO measurement. It is possible to further refine the selection based on χ^2_{Match} , if needed. In the presented analysis, only a loose requirement is placed on the maximum matching χ^2_{Match} , which covers nearly all correct matches in simulated data. The χ^2_{Match} also provides a ranking of possible multiple matches: in case there is more than one VELO track passing the IP selection, the one with the best χ^2_{Match} is chosen.

4 Performance

The large resolution on the decay vertices of the Ξ^- candidates in the conventional, or downstream, reconstruction makes their selection particularly susceptible to backgrounds. One expects that only a small fraction of random combinations can be matched to a VELO track, such that applying the VELO matching procedure results in a reduction of these background contributions. Using the Ξ_c^+ decays as benchmark, this is confirmed in data: Fig. 4 shows the distribution of the $\Xi^-\pi^+\pi^+$ invariant mass, $m(\Xi^-\pi^+\pi^+)$, of candidates passing a loose, yet typical, trigger and offline selection for Ξ_c^+ baryon decays as described in Sec. 3. The right panel in the same figure presents the same distribution after the VELO matching procedure. The signal purity, calculated within the interval containing the central 95.45% (2σ) of the signal probability density function, is improved from 12% to 26%. The fitted signal yields suggest a large efficiency of the matching method, compatible with unity. In simulation the efficiency of the matching algorithm



Figure 4: Invariant-mass distributions of $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ candidates, selected using typical online selection criteria, for (left) the standard reconstruction and (right) the VELO matching procedure. The fits, used to determine signal and background yields, are overlaid.



Figure 5: Invariant-mass distributions of $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ candidates with the full offline selection criteria for (left) the standard reconstruction, and (right) the VELO matching procedure. The fits, used to determine signal and background yields, are overlaid.

varies between 96% and 97% depending on the kinematics of the Ξ^- baryon, or whether the simulated Ξ^- is produced promptly or from heavy flavor decays.

A strict MVA-based [28] selection is subsequently applied to the same data set, using information related to particle identification, kinematics, and topological characteristics of the Ξ_c^+ baryon. In the training of the classifier, simulated $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ events are used as signal proxy, while candidates from the sideband region, *i.e.* masses between 2220 and 2440 MeV or 2500 and 2520 MeV, are used as background proxy. The selection requirements are tuned separately for both reconstruction procedures, such that the same signal purity of 95% is obtained in the invariant-mass distributions, as shown in Fig. 5. The application of the matching procedure leads to a notable increase in the number of retained Ξ_c^+ decays by 21%, demonstrating its benefit over the conventional reconstruction.

4.1 Mass resolution

In addition to the resulting improved signal significance, an improved mass resolution is particularly valuable in the identification of resonances close to production thresholds



Figure 6: Left: Invariant-mass resolution of the $\Xi^-\pi^+$ combination in simulation for the standard reconstruction and the VELO matching. Right: Normalised signal-to-background ratio (S/B) in data for Ξ_c^+ decays as a function of $n_{\rm PV}$ for the standard, downstream-track reconstruction of the Ξ^- baryon, and the reconstruction which additionally matches the Ξ^- candidate to a VELO track. The points on each curve are normalised separately to their signal-to-background ratio at $n_{\rm PV} = 1$, to illustrate the differential behaviour.

and in accurately measuring their properties. A simulation study shows that the VELOmatching procedure improves the mass resolution of the $\Xi^-\pi^+$ pair in the $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^-\pi^+\pi^+$ decay by over 1 MeV, or 25%, as presented in the left panel of Fig. 6. The relative improvement is lower at higher masses of the $\Xi^-\pi^+$ system, as at higher masses the uncertainty on the momenta of the decay products is increasingly important.

4.2 Pile-up

An upgrade of the LHCb experiment [29], the installation of which was completed in 2022, will take data for the rest of Run 3 of the LHC (2022–2025). During Run 3, LHCb operates at a higher instantaneous luminosity by increasing the average number of ppinteractions per beam crossing to about 5.2, compared to about 1.1 in 2018. If no new techniques are applied, the increase in the number of collisions is expected to lead to an increase of backgrounds in which the signal candidate is composed of tracks associated to a different primary interaction. This could be particularly problematic for trigger selections, in which criteria are kept to a minimum to avoid biasing the data. Using the Ξ_c^+ decay chain as benchmark, this potential decrease in the signal-to-background ratio is evaluated as a function of the number of PVs in the event, $n_{\rm PV}$. The data taken in 2018 include sufficient events with multiple PVs to evaluate the decrease in performance up to $n_{\rm PV} = 4$. The applied selection criteria correspond to those in the HLT2 trigger selection, supplemented with a requirement on the Ξ_c^+ baryon flight direction being compatible with originating from a PV. The signal and background yields are determined through unbinned maximum-likelihood fits to the $\Xi^-\pi^+\pi^+$ mass distributions. The full mass range, as implemented in the HLT2 trigger selection, is considered, as it determines the rate and the efficiency of the selection. Since the signal purity is higher at $n_{\rm PV} = 1$ for the VELO-matched Ξ^- candidates, the differential behaviour is evaluated by first normalising the results to the signal-to-background ratio at $n_{\rm PV} = 1$.

The results are presented in the right panel of Fig. 6. For the standard reconstruction, in $n_{\rm PV} = 4$ events, the signal-to-background ratio deteriorates to only $(33.5 \pm 3.1)\%$ of its

original value. However, when using the VELO matching, $(61.3\pm5.4)\%$ of its original value is retained. This result underlines the importance of implementing the VELO matching early in the selection for Run 3 of the LHC, ideally already in the software trigger, as it enables a significantly improved utilization of the limited available bandwidth.

4.3 Identification of detached hyperon decays

In the study of long-lived charged-particle decays, it is helpful to be able to distinguish the particles created in the primary interaction from those originating from heavy flavour decays. Because of the non-negligible lifetime of charm and beauty hadrons, their decay products do not necessarily point to a primary interaction. For this reason, the IP is frequently used as the primary discriminating observable in the identification of particles produced in such decays.

Long-lived particles that are reconstructed using only downstream tracks have a considerably worse pointing resolution, corresponding to a resolution on the IP close to 1 mm. This prevents the statistical separation between Ξ^- baryons produced promptly, those via Ξ_c^+ decays, and those from Ξ_b^- decays, as is shown in the top-left panel of Fig. 7. Instead, as is seen in the top-right panel of this figure, the enhanced angular resolution of the Ξ^- matched to a VELO track enables the separation of distinct production modes. The extended tails on the left side of the distribution pertaining to b and c hadron decays predominantly originate from the exponential nature of the decay-time distribution. This separation between the components is seen to increase already with a mild minimum decay-time requirement³, t > 0.2 ps, as presented in the bottom panels of Fig. 7.

5 Inclusive hyperon trigger selections

During the course of Run 2 of the LHC, an inclusive trigger selection aimed at identifying Ξ^- baryons from downstream tracks was implemented within the framework of LHCb's second-stage software trigger. This selection has been presented in Sec. 3. However, to control the high rates of primarily prompt Ξ^- , including a considerable fraction of combinatorial background events, events passing this trigger selection are randomly discarded, such that on average only one in every 20 events is selected.

Following the presented performance improvements, the initial and computationally fast IP-based matching of the VELO track to the Ξ^- candidate, discussed in Sec. 3.2, has been implemented to run as part of the software trigger for the upgraded LHCb experiment. In addition to the desired improvement in the signal-to-background ratio, the VELO matching provides a discrimination between prompt and secondary Ξ^- baryons, as shown in Sec. 4.3. This enables the implementation of an inclusive event trigger for detached charged hyperons, focusing on Ξ^- baryons produced in decays of c and b hadrons. In this trigger selection, Ξ^- candidates are required to have high transverse momentum and the matched VELO track, by a selection on the IP, is required to be displaced from any PV in the event. For the Run 2 detector, these requirements remove about half of the prompt Ξ^- baryons, while maintaining a high efficiency for decays from charm (>93%) and beauty hadrons (>98%). For the Run 3 detector at a given pile-up, the performance

³This decay-time requirement has also been used in the optimisation of the LHCb event trigger [30], and is representative for the events selected in a data analysis.



Figure 7: Distributions of the minimal natural logarithms of the IP, in mm, of the Ξ^- baryon with respect to a PV, (left) with the standard reconstruction, (right) with the VELO matching method, (top) without a decay-time requirement, and (bottom) with a decay-time requirement t > 0.2 ps. The "from Ξ_c^+ " (from Ξ_b^-) component corresponds to simulated $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ $(\Xi_b^- \to J/\psi \Xi^-)$ decays. In each of the components, the Ξ^- baryon is reconstructed through the $\Xi^- \to A\pi^-$ decay.

is expected to be even better due to the improved resolution of the new, pixel-based vertex detector [31]. This approach will select Ξ^- baryons without having to discard events randomly. Following this strategy, a similar inclusive trigger selection has been implemented for detached Ω^- baryons, reconstructed through its prominent $\Omega^- \to \Lambda K^-$ decay.

6 Outlook

The presented tracking procedure has many prospects for application within the LHCb physics programme. Concerning the Run 3 trigger, work is ongoing to implement the method in the partial event reconstruction in HLT1, where the reconstruction of down-stream tracks has been added recently. In the field of strange physics, the superior background rejection and angular information are also expected to be strong advantages throughout. The rare $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$ decay is a good example. The latest result of the LHCb collaboration [32], which is the world's best measurement, is obtained using only long tracks, as these provided a superior experimental resolution. With the VELO matching procedure, the considered dataset can be extended to include decays of the Σ^+ baryon downstream of the vertex detector, more than doubling the expected data sample.

In the introduction, the application of this technique to a measurement of the K^+ meson mass was mentioned. The exploratory study [10] identified that the small opening angle inherent to the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay requires additional attention, as signals from the K^+ meson can be falsely associated to one of the decay products, and vice-versa. The presented technique can be further refined, taking such overlaps into consideration. This technique, together with the precise momentum resolution of the LHCb spectrometer, could allow for the desired, precise measurement of the K^+ meson mass.

The precise angular resolution of the long-lived particle is expected to benefit analyses of decays with unreconstructed particles. An illustrative example is that of the semileptonic decay $\Xi^- \to A\mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ [33], in which the neutrino is not reconstructed. Topological constraints, including the system's mass and direction information, enable the determination of the neutrino's momentum. This technique has been applied regularly in the context of heavy flavour decays [34,35]. The limiting resolution for heavy flavour decays hinges on the direction of the long-lived particle. If the direction of the hyperon is measured via a track, rather than inferred from the secondary and primary vertices as in the case of heavy flavour decays, a superior resolution is anticipated. Consequently, it is expected that decays with missing particles, such as these semileptonic decays, can be studied with improved precision.

The presented tracking procedure focuses on scenarios where the long-lived particle decays prior to reaching the TT detector, allowing it to be reconstructed as a VELO track, and its decay products as downstream tracks. In situations where the particle's flight distance extends further downstream, the decay products are not anticipated to be reconstructed as downstream tracks. Instead, the long-lived particle passes through both the VELO and the TT tracking detectors, and potentially the tracking detectors located downstream of the magnet. The particle traverses substantially more of the dipole magnet's field in this case, allowing for an accurate estimate of its momentum. This makes the reconstruction of the long-lived particle feasible without the need to reconstruct its decay products. To reject backgrounds of other, abundant, stable particles, it is possible to still search for signals of these decay products in the downstream tracking stations. In this scenario, another approach might prove promising: the particle-identification detectors could be used to help identify the particle species. Figure 8 presents the expected Cherenkov angles in the RICH detector upstream of the dipole magnet, RICH1, for the common particle species, along with several hyperons. For momenta below 80 GeV, the hyperons could be distinguished from the abundant pions, kaons and protons, when only accounting for the finite resolution of the RICH detectors of below 1 mrad [36]. Studies with fast simulation [37] show that this momentum range would cover over 95% of the Ξ^- baryons considered in the analysis of Sec. 4, and that more than 25% of all Ξ^- baryons in the LHCb acceptance will decay downstream of the TT detector. However, the momentum resolution of tracks reconstructed using only the VELO and TT information is limited. This could potentially jeopardise the discrimination based on the RICH information between hyperons and more abundant particle species. Therefore, while recommending further exploration in this direction, it is anticipated that using additional information from the underlying decay topology will still be necessary to attain a satisfactory performance.

Conversely, the presented methodology can be extended to encompass decays that happen within the VELO detector. Taking the example of $\Xi^- \to \Lambda \pi^-$ decays mentioned earlier, both the Ξ^- and π^- could be reconstructed as distinct tracks with different



Figure 8: Cherenkov angles for different charged particle species traversing the RICH1, which uses C_4F_{10} gas as radiator.

slopes. This reconstruction would use information from the VELO detector to provide an adequate angular resolution. This scenario, or decay topology, is referred to as kink reconstruction. If these kinks can be resolved and isolated well, they form a starting point to reconstruct the decay of a charged particle into charged and neutral particles. Notable examples include the studied $\Xi^- \to \Lambda \pi^-$ decay, but also charged pion and kaon decays, $\Sigma^+ \to p\pi^0$ decays, and yet-to-be-explored decays at LHCb such as the $\Sigma^- \to n\pi^$ decay. Their reconstruction would enable the study of currently inaccessible charm and beauty decays, such as $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Sigma^- J/\psi \pi^+$. Related to kink topologies is the addition of single, isolated hits in the vicinity of a reconstructed decay vertex as constraints in the reconstruction of the decaying particle. Such a technique would not only work for decaying charged particles like the Ξ^- , but also K_S^0 meson and Λ baryon decays, whose decay products have traversed too few sensors to be reconstructed as VELO tracks.

7 Conclusion and summary

Using data recorded by the LHCb experiment in 2018, the reconstruction of partial tracks of Ξ^- baryons in the vertex detector has demonstrated an improvement in capabilities to study long-lived charged particles at the LHC.

Using the $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay as a benchmark, the performance of a newly implemented VELO matching algorithm was studied. The efficiency of the matching algorithm was found to vary between 96 and 97% depending on the production mode and kinematics of the Ξ^- baryon. Despite this small loss in efficiency, the VELO matching leads to an increase of more than 20% in the number of retained $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ signal events compared to the standard LHCb reconstruction, given a selection purity of 95%. The mass resolution of the $\Xi^-\pi^+$ combination improves by 25% and the signal-to-background ratio as a function of the number of PVs improves significantly. In view of Run 3 and beyond, the latter is particularly important, as it offers insights into how the efficacy of this reconstruction algorithm will extend to environments with higher instantaneous luminosities. Finally, it has been shown that the VELO matching method allows for clear discrimination of Ξ^- baryons produced in heavy flavor decays as opposed to the standard reconstruction. This enabled the implementation of inclusive detached Ξ^- and Ω^- event triggers for Run 3 data taking at LHCb, which would have not been feasible with the standard reconstruction method given the trigger bandwidth constraints. These results highlight the potential impact of tailored procedures to maximise the sensitivity of the LHC experiments in their searches for physics beyond the Standard Model.

Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MCID/IFA (Romania); MICINN (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE NP and NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), and Polish WLCG (Poland). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from ARC and ARDC (Australia); Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, CAS CCEPP, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Sci. & Tech. Program of Guangzhou (China); Minciencias (Colombia); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions, ERC and NextGenerationEU (European Union); A*MIDEX, ANR, IPhU and Labex P2IO, and Région Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (France); AvH Foundation (Germany); ICSC (Italy); GVA, XuntaGal, GENCAT, Inditex, InTalent and Prog. Atracción Talento, CM (Spain); SRC (Sweden); the Leverhulme Trust, the Royal Society and UKRI (United Kingdom).

Supplemental material

A Distribution of χ^2_{Match}

The distributions of the χ^2_{Match} variable, introduced in Sec. 3.2, are shown in Fig. 9. The selection only considers matches up to $\chi^2_{\text{Match}} = 48$.



Figure 9: Distributions of χ^2_{Match} for data corresponding to (left) the online selection, as introduced in Fig. 4, and (right) the offline selection, as introduced in Fig. 5. No significant differences between the two distributions are expected, since the purity of Ξ^- candidates entering the selection are similar, while the background in Fig. 4 mainly comes from combinations of a real Ξ^- baryons with random pions.

References

- M. Fairbairn *et al.*, Stable massive particles at colliders, Phys. Rept. 438 (2007) 1, arXiv:hep-ph/0611040.
- [2] J. Alimena et al., Searching for long-lived particles beyond the Standard Model at the Large Hadron Collider, J. Phys. G47 (2020) 090501, arXiv:1903.04497.
- [3] A. A. Alves Junior et al., Prospects for measurements with strange hadrons at LHCb, JHEP 05 (2019) 048, arXiv:1808.03477.
- [4] G. Alonso-Álvarez et al., Strange physics of dark baryons, Phys. Rev. D105 (2022) 115005, arXiv:2111.12712.
- [5] FOCUS collaboration, J. M. Link et al., Reconstruction of vees, kinks, Ξ⁻'s, and Ω⁻'s in the FOCUS spectrometer, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A484 (2002) 174, arXiv:hep-ex/0109028.
- [6] E-687 collaboration, P. L. Frabetti et al., Description and performance of the Fermilab E687 spectrometer, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A320 (1992) 519.
- [7] HyperCP collaboration, R. A. Burnstein et al., HyperCP: A high-rate spectrometer for the study of charged hyperon and kaon decays, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A541 (2005) 516, arXiv:hep-ex/0405034.
- [8] ALICE collaboration, Letter of intent for ALICE 3: A next-generation heavy-ion experiment at the LHC, arXiv:2211.02491.
- [9] Particle Data Group, R. L. Workman et al., Review of particle physics, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022 (2022) 083C01.
- [10] A. Contu, A method to study long lived charged particles at LHCb, CERN-LHCb-PUB-2014-032, 2014.
- [11] F. M. Brochu, Considerations on Ξ^- reconstruction in LHCb, arXiv:1610.07825.
- [12] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. et al., The LHCb detector at the LHC, JINST 3 (2008) S08005.
- [13] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., LHCb detector performance, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A30 (2015) 1530022, arXiv:1412.6352.
- [14] R. Aaij et al., Performance of the LHCb Vertex Locator, JINST 9 (2014) P09007, arXiv:1405.7808.
- [15] P. d'Argent et al., Improved performance of the LHCb Outer Tracker in LHC Run 2, JINST 12 (2017) P11016, arXiv:1708.00819.
- [16] M. Adinolfi et al., Performance of the LHCb RICH detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C73 (2013) 2431, arXiv:1211.6759.
- [17] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 852, arXiv:0710.3820.

- [18] I. Belyaev et al., Handling of the generation of primary events in Gauss, the LHCb simulation framework, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 331 (2011) 032047.
- [19] D. J. Lange, The EvtGen particle decay simulation package, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A462 (2001) 152.
- [20] P. Golonka and Z. Was, PHOTOS Monte Carlo: A precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays, Eur. Phys. J. C45 (2006) 97, arXiv:hep-ph/0506026.
- [21] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison et al., Geant4 developments and applications, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 53 (2006) 270; Geant4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli et al., Geant4: A simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A506 (2003) 250.
- [22] M. Clemencic et al., The LHCb simulation application, Gauss: Design, evolution and experience, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 331 (2011) 032023.
- [23] R. Aaij et al., Performance of the LHCb trigger and full real-time reconstruction in Run 2 of the LHC, JINST 14 (2019) P04013, arXiv:1812.10790.
- [24] R. Aaij et al., A comprehensive real-time analysis model at the LHCb experiment, JINST 14 (2019) P04006, arXiv:1903.01360.
- [25] V. V. Gligorov and M. Williams, Efficient, reliable and fast high-level triggering using a bonsai boosted decision tree, JINST 8 (2013) P02013, arXiv:1210.6861.
- [26] T. Likhomanenko et al., LHCb topological trigger reoptimization, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 664 (2015) 082025, arXiv:1510.00572.
- [27] R. Aaij et al., Selection and processing of calibration samples to measure the particle identification performance of the LHCb experiment in Run 2, Eur. Phys. J. Tech. Instr. 6 (2019) 1, arXiv:1803.00824.
- [28] H. Voss, A. Hoecker, J. Stelzer, and F. Tegenfeldt, TMVA Toolkit for Multivariate Data Analysis with ROOT, PoS ACAT (2007) 040.
- [29] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., The LHCb Upgrade I, arXiv:2305.10515, to appear in JINST.
- [30] C. Fitzpatrick and V. V. Gligorov, Anatomy of an upgrade event in the upgrade era, and implications for the LHCb trigger, CERN, Geneva, 2014.
- [31] LHCb collaboration, LHCb VELO Upgrade Technical Design Report, CERN-LHCC-2013-021, 2013.
- [32] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Evidence for the rare decay $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) 221803, arXiv:1712.08606.
- [33] N. Cabibbo, E. C. Swallow, and R. Winston, Semileptonic hyperon decays and CKM unitarity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 251803, arXiv:hep-ph/0307214.
- [34] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Observation of a new Ξ_b^- resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018) 072002, arXiv:1805.09418.

- [35] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Measurement of the relative $B^- \to D^0/D^{*0}/D^{**0}\mu^-\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ branching fractions using B^- mesons from \overline{B}_{s2}^{*0} decays, Phys. Rev. **D99** (2019) 092009, arXiv:1807.10722.
- [36] LHCb collaboration, LHCb PID Upgrade Technical Design Report, CERN-LHCC-2013-022, 2013.
- [37] G. A. Cowan, D. C. Craik, and M. D. Needham, RapidSim: an application for the fast simulation of heavy-quark hadron decays, Comput. Phys. Commun. 214 (2017) 239, arXiv:1612.07489.

LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij³⁶ , A.S.W. Abdelmotteleb⁵⁵ , C. Abellan Beteta⁴⁹, F. Abudinén⁵⁵ , T. Ackernley⁵⁹, J. A. Adams⁶⁷, A. A. Adefisoye⁶⁷, B. Adeva⁴⁵, M. Adinolfi⁵³, P. Adlarson⁷⁹, C. Agapopoulou¹³, C.A. Aidala⁸⁰, Z. Ajaltouni¹¹, S. Akar⁶⁴, K. Akiba³⁶ (b), P. Albicocco²⁶ (b), J. Albrecht¹⁸ (b), F. Alessio⁴⁷ (b), M. Alexander⁵⁸ (b), Z. Aliouche⁶¹, P. Alvarez Cartelle⁵⁴, R. Amalric¹⁵, S. Amato³, J.L. Amey⁵³, Y. Amhis^{13,47}, L. An⁶, L. Anderlini²⁵, M. Andersson⁴⁹, A. Andreianov⁴², P. Andreola⁴⁹, M. Andreotti²⁴, D. Andreou⁶⁷, A. Anelli^{29,p}, D. Ao⁷, F. Archilli^{35,v} \bigcirc , M. Argenton²⁴ \bigcirc , S. Arguedas Cuendis⁹ \bigcirc , A. Artamonov⁴² \bigcirc , M. Artuso⁶⁷ D. E. Aslanides¹² D. M. Atzeni⁶³ D. B. Audurier¹⁴ D. Bacher⁶² D. I. Bachiller Perea¹⁰, S. Bachmann²⁰, M. Bachmayer⁴⁸, J.J. Back⁵⁵, P. Baladron Rodriguez⁴⁵ , V. Balagura¹⁴ , W. Baldini²⁴ , H. Bao⁷ J. Baptista de Souza Leite⁵⁹ , M. Barbetti^{25,m}, I. R. Barbosa⁶⁸ , R.J. Barlow⁶¹ M. Barnyakov²³, S. Barsuk¹³, W. Barter⁵⁷, M. Bartolini⁵⁴, J. Bartz⁶⁷, F. Barvshnikov⁴², J.M. Basels¹⁶, G. Bassi³³, B. Batsukh⁵, A. Bay⁴⁸, A. Beck⁵⁵, A. Beck⁵⁵, A. Beck⁵⁵, A. Beck⁵⁵, A. Beck⁵⁵, B. Batsukh⁵, B. Batsukh⁵, A. Beck⁵⁵, B. Batsukh⁵, B. Batsuk⁵, B. Ba M. Becker¹⁸ \bigcirc , F. Bedeschi³³ \bigcirc , I.B. Bediaga² \bigcirc , S. Belin⁴⁵ \bigcirc , V. Bellee⁴⁹ \bigcirc , K. Belous⁴² \bigcirc , I. Belov²⁷ (D), I. Belyaev³⁴ (D), G. Benane¹² (D), G. Bencivenni²⁶ (D), E. Ben-Haim¹⁵ (D), A. Berezhnoy⁴² , R. Bernet⁴⁹ , S. Bernet Andres⁴³ , A. Bertolin³¹ , C. Betancourt⁴⁹ , F. Betti⁵⁷, J. Bex⁵⁴, Ia. Bezshyiko⁴⁹, J. Bhom³⁹, M.S. Bieker¹⁸, N.V. Biesuz²⁴, P. Billoir¹⁵ D, A. Biolchini³⁶ D, M. Birch⁶⁰ D, F.C.R. Bishop¹⁰ D, A. Bitadze⁶¹ D, A. Bizzeti D, T. Blake⁵⁵ D, F. Blanc⁴⁸ D, J.E. Blank¹⁸ D, S. Blusk⁶⁷ D, V. Bocharnikov⁴² D, J.A. Boelhauve¹⁸ D, O. Boente Garcia¹⁴ D, T. Boettcher⁶⁴ D, A. Bohare⁵⁷ D, A. Boldyrev⁴² (D, C.S. Bolognani⁷⁶ (D, R. Bolzonella^{24,l} (D, N. Bondar⁴² (D, F. Borgato^{31,47} (D, S. Borghi⁶¹ (D, M. Borsato^{29,p} (D, J.T. Borsuk³⁹ (D, S.A. Bouchiba⁴⁸ (D, T.J.V. Bowcock⁵⁹ (D, A. Boyer⁴⁷, C. Bozzi²⁴, M.J. Bradley⁶⁰, A. Brea Rodriguez⁴⁸, N. Breer¹⁸, J. Brodzicka³⁹ D, A. Brossa Gonzalo⁴⁵ D, J. Brown⁵⁹ D, D. Brundu³⁰ D, E. Buchanan⁵⁷, A. Buonaura⁴⁹ , L. Buonincontri³¹ , A.T. Burke⁶¹ , C. Burr⁴⁷ , A. Butkevich⁴² J.S. Butter⁵⁴ (D, J. Buytaert⁴⁷ (D, W. Byczynski⁴⁷ (D, S. Cadeddu³⁰ (D, H. Cai⁷², R. Calabrese^{24,l} , S. Calderon Ramirez⁹, L. Calefice⁴⁴, S. Cali²⁶, M. Calvi^{29,p}, M. Calvo Gomez⁴³, P. Camargo Magalhaes^{2,z}, J. Cambon Bouzas⁴⁵, P. Campana²⁶, D.H. Campora Perez⁷⁶, A.F. Campoverde Quezada⁷, S. Capelli²⁹, L. Capriotti²⁴, R. Caravaca-Mora⁹ , A. Carbone^{23,j} , L. Carcedo Salgado⁴⁵ , R. Cardinale^{27,n} , A. Cardini³⁰ , P. Carniti^{29,p}, L. Carus²⁰, A. Casais Vidal⁶³, R. Caspary²⁰, G. Casse⁵⁹, J. Castro Godinez⁹, M. Cattaneo⁴⁷, G. Cavallero²⁴, V. Cavallini^{24,l}, S. Celani²⁰, D. Cervenkov⁶², S. Cesare^{28,0}, A.J. Chadwick⁵⁹, I. Chahrour⁸⁰, M. Charles¹⁵, Ph. Charpentier⁴⁷, C.A. Chavez Barajas⁵⁹, M. Chefdeville¹⁰, C. Chen¹² , S. Chen⁵ , Z. Chen⁷ , A. Chernov³⁹ , S. Chernyshenko⁵¹ , V. Chobanova⁷⁸, S. Cholak⁴⁸, M. Chrzaszcz³⁹, A. Chubykin⁴², V. Chulikov⁴², P. Ciambrone²⁶, X. Cid Vidal⁴⁵, G. Ciezarek⁴⁷, P. Cifra⁴⁷, P.E.L. Clarke⁵⁷, M. Clemencic⁴⁷ , H.V. Cliff⁵⁴ , J. Closier⁴⁷ , C. Cocha Toapaxi²⁰ , V. Coco⁴⁷ J. Cogan¹² D, E. Cogneras¹¹ D, L. Cojocariu⁴¹ D, P. Collins⁴⁷ D, T. Colombo⁴⁷ D, A. Comerma-Montells⁴⁴ , L. Congedo²², A. Contu³⁰, N. Cooke⁵⁸, I. Corredoira ⁴⁵, A. Correia¹⁵, G. Corti⁴⁷, J.J. Cottee Meldrum⁵³, B. Couturier⁴⁷, D.C. Craik⁴⁹, M. Cruz Torres^{2,g} , E. Curras Rivera⁴⁸ , R. Currie⁵⁷ , C.L. Da Silva⁶⁶ , S. Dadabaev⁴² L. Dai⁶⁹ $(D, X. Dai⁶ (D, E. Dall'Occo¹⁸ <math>(D, J. Dalseno^{45} (D, C. D'Ambrosio^{47} (D, J. Daniel^{11} (D, J. Daniel^{1$ A. Danilina⁴² (D, P. d'Argent²² (D, A. Davidson⁵⁵ (D, J.E. Davies⁶¹ (D, A. Davis⁶¹ (D, O. De Aguiar Francisco⁶¹ D, C. De Angelis^{30,k} D, J. de Boer³⁶ D, K. De Bruyn⁷⁵ D, S. De Capua⁶¹ , M. De Cian^{20,47} , U. De Freitas Carneiro Da Graca^{2,b} , E. De Lucia²⁶ , J.M. De Miranda² (D, L. De Paula³ (D, M. De Serio^{22,h} (D, P. De Simone²⁶ (D, F. De Vellis¹⁸ (D,

J.A. de Vries⁷⁶ , F. Debernardis²² , D. Decamp¹⁰ , V. Dedu¹² , L. Del Buono¹⁵ , B. Delaney⁶³, H.-P. Dembinski¹⁸, J. Deng⁸, V. Denysenko⁴⁹, O. Deschamps¹¹, F. Dettori^{30,k} [D], B. Dey⁷⁴ [D], P. Di Nezza²⁶ [D], I. Diachkov⁴² [D], S. Didenko⁴² [D], S. Ding⁶⁷ [D], L. Dittmann²⁰, V. Dobishuk⁵¹, A. D. Docheva⁵⁸, C. Dong⁴, A.M. Donohoe²¹, F. Dordei³⁰ (D, A.C. dos Reis² (D, A. D. Dowling⁶⁷ (D, W. Duan⁷⁰ (D, P. Duda⁷⁷ (D, M.W. Dudek³⁹ (b), L. Dufour⁴⁷ (b), V. Duk³² (b), P. Durante⁴⁷ (b), M. M. Duras⁷⁷ (b), J.M. Durham⁶⁶ \bigcirc , O. D. Durmus⁷⁴ \bigcirc , A. Dziurda³⁹ \bigcirc , A. Dzyuba⁴² \bigcirc , S. Easo⁵⁶ \bigcirc , E. Eckstein¹⁷, U. Egede¹, A. Egorychev⁴², V. Egorychev⁴², S. Eisenhardt⁵⁷, E. Ejopu⁶¹ (b), L. Eklund⁷⁹ (b), M. Elashri⁶⁴ (b), J. Ellbracht¹⁸ (b), S. Ely⁶⁰ (b), A. Ene⁴¹ (b), E. Epple⁶⁴ \bigcirc , J. Eschle⁶⁷ \bigcirc , S. Esen²⁰ \bigcirc , T. Evans⁶¹ \bigcirc , F. Fabiano^{30,k,47} \bigcirc , L.N. Falcao² \bigcirc , Y. Fan⁷ D, B. Fang⁷² D, L. Fantini^{32,r} D, M. Faria⁴⁸ D, K. Farmer⁵⁷ D, D. Fazzini^{29,p} D, L. Felkowski⁷⁷ D, M. Feng^{5,7} D, M. Feo^{18,47} D, M. Fernandez Gomez⁴⁵ D, A.D. Fernez⁶⁵ D. F. Ferrari²³, F. Ferreira Rodrigues³, M. Ferrillo⁴⁹, M. Ferro-Luzzi⁴⁷, S. Filippov⁴², R.A. Fini²², M. Fiorini^{24,l}, K.M. Fischer⁶², D.S. Fitzgerald⁸⁰, C. Fitzpatrick⁶¹, F. Fleuret¹⁴ D, M. Fontana²³ D, L. F. Foreman⁶¹ D, R. Forty⁴⁷ D, D. Foulds-Holt⁵⁴ D, M. Franco Sevilla⁶⁵ (D), M. Frank⁴⁷ (D), E. Franzoso^{24,l} (D), G. Frau²⁰ (D), C. Frei⁴⁷ (D), D.A. Friday⁶¹, J. Fu⁷, Q. Fuehring¹⁸, Y. Fujii¹, T. Fulghesu¹⁵, E. Gabriel³⁶, G. Galati^{22,h} (D, M.D. Galati³⁶ (D, A. Gallas Torreira⁴⁵ (D, D. Galli^{23,j} (D, S. Gambetta⁵⁷ (D, S.M. Gandelman³ \bigcirc , P. Gandini²⁸ \bigcirc , B. Ganie⁶¹ \bigcirc , H. Gao⁷ \bigcirc , R. Gao⁶² \bigcirc , Y. Gao⁸ \bigcirc , Y. Gao⁶ D, Y. Gao⁸, M. Garau^{30,k} D, L.M. Garcia Martin⁴⁸ D, P. Garcia Moreno⁴⁴ D, J. García Pardiñas⁴⁷ D, K. G. Garg⁸ D, L. Garrido⁴⁴ D, C. Gaspar⁴⁷ D, R.E. Geertsema³⁶ D, L.L. Gerken¹⁸, E. Gersabeck⁶¹, M. Gersabeck⁶¹, T. Gershon⁵⁵, Z. Ghorbanimoghaddam⁵³, L. Giambastiani³¹, F. I. Giasemis^{15,e}, V. Gibson⁵⁴, H.K. Giemza⁴⁰ (D, A.L. Gilman⁶² (D, M. Giovannetti²⁶ (D, A. Gioventù⁴⁴ (D, P. Gironella Gironell⁴⁴, C. Giugliano^{24,l}, M.A. Giza³⁹, E.L. Gkougkousis⁶⁰, F.C. Glaser^{13,20} , V.V. Gligorov¹⁵ , C. Göbel⁶⁸ , E. Golobardes⁴³ , D. Golubkov⁴² , A. Golutvin^{60,42,47} (D), A. Gomes^{2,a,†} (D), S. Gomez Fernandez⁴⁴ (D), F. Goncalves Abrantes⁶² (D), M. Goncerz³⁹ , G. Gong⁴ , J. A. Gooding¹⁸ , I.V. Gorelov⁴² , C. Gotti²⁹ , J.P. Grabowski¹⁷ , L.A. Granado Cardoso⁴⁷ , E. Graugés⁴⁴ , E. Graverini^{48,t} L. Grazette⁵⁵, G. Graziani, A. T. Grecu⁴¹, L.M. Greeven³⁶, N.A. Grieser⁶⁴, L. Grillo⁵⁸ , S. Gromov⁴² , C. Gu^{14} , M. Guarise²⁴ , M. Guittiere¹³ , V. Guliaeva⁴² P. A. Günther²⁰ , A.-K. Guseinov⁴⁸ , E. Gushchin⁴² , Y. Guz^{6,42,47} , T. Gys⁴⁷ K. Habermann¹⁷, T. Hadavizadeh¹, C. Hadjivasiliou⁶⁵, G. Haefeli⁴⁸, C. Haen⁴⁷, J. Haimberger⁴⁷, M. Hajheidari⁴⁷, M.M. Halvorsen⁴⁷, P.M. Hamilton⁶⁵, J. Hammerich⁵⁹, Q. Han⁸, X. Han²⁰, S. Hansmann-Menzemer²⁰, L. Hao⁷, N. Harnew⁶², M. Hartmann¹³, J. He^{7,c}, P. H. Hein Nogarolli Vilela Pereira³, F. Hemmer⁴⁷, C. Henderson⁶⁴, R.D.L. Henderson^{1,55}, A.M. Hennequin⁴⁷, K. Hennessy⁵⁹, L. Henry⁴⁸, J. Herd⁶⁰, J. Herdieckerhoff¹⁸, P. Herrero Gascon²⁰, J. Heuel¹⁶, A. Hicheur³, G. Hijano Mendizabal⁴⁹, D. Hill⁴⁸, S.E. Hollitt¹⁸, J. Horswill⁶¹ (D, R. Hou⁸ (D, Y. Hou¹¹ (D, N. Howarth⁵⁹, J. Hu²⁰, J. Hu⁷⁰ (D, W. Hu⁶ (D, X. Hu⁴ , W. Huang⁷ , W. Hulsbergen³⁶ , R.J. Hunter⁵⁵ , M. Hushchyn⁴² D. Hutchcroft⁵⁹ , D. Ilin⁴² , P. Ilten⁶⁴ , A. Inglessi⁴² , A. Iniukhin⁴² , A. Ishteev⁴² , K. Ivshin⁴² , R. Jacobsson⁴⁷ , H. Jage¹⁶ , S.J. Jaimes Elles^{46,73} , S. Jakobsen⁴⁷ E. Jans³⁶ (b), B.K. Jashal⁴⁶ (b), A. Jawahery^{65,47} (b), V. Jevtic¹⁸ (b), E. Jiang⁶⁵ (b), X. Jiang^{5,7} (b), Y. Jiang⁷ , Y. J. Jiang⁶ , M. John⁶² , D. Johnson⁵² , C.R. Jones⁵⁴ , T.P. Jones⁵⁵ , S. Joshi⁴⁰ (b), B. Jost⁴⁷ (b), N. Jurik⁴⁷ (b), I. Juszczak³⁹ (b), D. Kaminaris⁴⁸ (b), S. Kandybei⁵⁰ (b), Y. Kang⁴ , C. Kar¹¹ , M. Karacson⁴⁷ , D. Karpenkov⁴² , A. M. Kauniskangas⁴⁸ , J.W. Kautz⁶⁴ (D), F. Keizer⁴⁷ (D), M. Kenzie⁵⁴ (D), T. Ketel³⁶ (D), B. Khanji⁶⁷ (D), A. Kharisova⁴² (D), S. Kholodenko³³ , G. Khreich¹³, T. Kirn¹⁶ , V.S. Kirsebom²⁹, O. Kitouni⁶³, S. Klaver³⁷ D, N. Kleijne^{33,s} D, K. Klimaszewski⁴⁰ D, M.R. Kmiec⁴⁰ D, S. Koliiev⁵¹ D,

L. Kolk¹⁸, A. Konoplyannikov⁴², P. Kopciewicz^{38,47}, P. Koppenburg³⁶, M. Korolev⁴², I. Kostiuk³⁶, O. Kot⁵¹, S. Kotriakhova, A. Kozachuk⁴², P. Kravchenko⁴², L. Kravchuk⁴², M. Kreps⁵⁵, P. Krokovny⁴², W. Krupa⁶⁷, W. Krzemien⁴⁰ , O.K. Kshyvanskyi⁵¹, J. Kubat²⁰, S. Kubis⁷⁷ , M. Kucharczyk³⁹ V. Kudryavtsev⁴² (D), E. Kulikova⁴² (D), A. Kupsc⁷⁹ (D), B. K. Kutsenko¹² (D), D. Lacarrere⁴⁷ (D), A. Lai³⁰, A. Lampis³⁰, D. Lancierini⁵⁴, C. Landesa Gomez⁴⁵, J.J. Lane¹, R. Lane⁵³ \bigcirc , C. Langenbruch²⁰ \bigcirc , J. Langer¹⁸ \bigcirc , O. Lantwin⁴² \bigcirc , T. Latham⁵⁵ \bigcirc , F. Lazzari^{33,t}, C. Lazzeroni⁵², R. Le Gac^{12} , R. Lefèvre¹¹, A. Leflat⁴², S. Legotin⁴² (\bigcirc , M. Lehuraux⁵⁵ (\bigcirc , E. Lemos Cid⁴⁷ (\bigcirc , O. Leroy¹² (\bigcirc , T. Lesiak³⁹ (\bigcirc , B. Leverington²⁰ , A. Li⁴ , H. Li⁷⁰ , K. Li⁸ , L. Li⁶¹ , P. Li⁴⁷ , P.-R. Li⁷¹ , Q. Li⁵ (D, S. Li⁸ (D, T. Li^{5,d} (D, T. Li⁷⁰ (D, Y. Li⁸, Y. Li⁵ (D, Z. Lian⁴ (D, X. Liang⁶⁷ (D, S. Libralon⁴⁶ D, C. Lin⁷ D, T. Lin⁵⁶ D, R. Lindner⁴⁷ D, V. Lisovskyi⁴⁸ D, R. Litvinov³⁰ D, F. L. Liu¹, G. Liu⁷⁰, K. Liu⁷¹, S. Liu^{5,7}, Y. Liu⁵⁷, Y. Liu⁷¹, Y. L. Liu⁶⁰, A. Lobo Salvia⁴⁴, A. Loi³⁰, J. Lomba Castro⁴⁵, T. Long⁵⁴, J.H. Lopes³, A. Lopez Huertas⁴⁴, S. López Soliño⁴⁵, C. Lucarelli^{25,m}, D. Lucchesi^{31,q}, M. Lucio Martinez⁷⁶ , V. Lukashenko^{36,51} , Y. Luo⁶ , A. Lupato³¹ , E. Luppi^{24,l} K. Lynch²¹ , X.-R. Lyu⁷ , G. M. Ma⁴ , R. Ma⁷ , S. Maccolini¹⁸ , F. Machefert¹³ , F. Maciuc⁴¹ (D), B. M. Mack⁶⁷ (D), I. Mackay⁶² (D), L. M. Mackey⁶⁷ (D), L.R. Madhan Mohan⁵⁴ (D), M. M. Madurai⁵² (D, A. Maevskiy⁴² (D, D. Magdalinski³⁶ (D, D. Maisuzenko⁴² (D, M.W. Majewski³⁸, J.J. Malczewski³⁹, S. Malde⁶², L. Malentacca⁴⁷, A. Malinin⁴², T. Maltsev⁴² , G. Manca^{30,k} , G. Mancinelli¹² , C. Mancuso^{28,13,o} R. Manera Escalero⁴⁴, D. Manuzzi²³, D. Marangotto^{28,0}, J.F. Marchand¹⁰, R. Marchevski⁴⁸ , U. Marconi²³, S. Mariani⁴⁷ , C. Marin Benito⁴⁴ , J. Marks²⁰ A.M. Marshall⁵³, G. Martelli^{32,r}, G. Martellotti³⁴, L. Martinazzoli⁴⁷, M. Martinelli^{29,p}, D. Martinez Santos⁴⁵, F. Martinez Vidal⁴⁶, A. Massafferri², R. Matev⁴⁷, A. Mathad⁴⁷, V. Matiunin⁴², C. Matteuzzi⁶⁷, K.R. Mattioli¹⁴, A. Mauri⁶⁰, E. Maurice¹⁴, J. Mauricio⁴⁴, P. Mavencourt⁴⁸, M. Mazurek⁴⁰, M. McCann⁶⁰, L. Mcconnell²¹, T.H. McGrath⁶¹, N.T. McHugh⁵⁸, A. McNab⁶¹, R. McNulty²¹, B. Meadows⁶⁴, G. Meier¹⁸, D. Melnychuk⁴⁰, F. M. Meng⁴, M. Merk^{36,76} (D), A. Merli⁴⁸ (D), L. Meyer Garcia⁶⁵ (D), D. Miao^{5,7} (D), H. Miao⁷ (D), M. Mikhasenko^{17, f} , D.A. Milanes⁷³ , A. Minotti^{29, p} , E. Minucci⁶⁷ , T. Miralles¹¹ , B. Mitreska¹⁸, D.S. Mitzel¹⁸, A. Modak⁵⁶, A. Mödden¹⁸, R.A. Mohammed⁶², R.D. Moise¹⁶ , S. Mokhnenko⁴², T. Mombächer⁴⁷, M. Monk^{55,1}, S. Monteil¹¹, A. Morcillo Gomez⁴⁵ (D), G. Morello²⁶ (D), M.J. Morello^{33,s} (D), M.P. Morgenthaler²⁰ (D), A.B. Morris⁴⁷ \bigcirc , A.G. Morris¹² \bigcirc , R. Mountain⁶⁷ \bigcirc , H. Mu⁴ \bigcirc , Z. M. Mu⁶ \bigcirc , E. Muhammad⁵⁵, F. Muheim⁵⁷, M. Mulder⁷⁵, K. Müller⁴⁹, F. Muñoz-Rojas⁹, R. Murta⁶⁰ (\square), P. Naik⁵⁹ (\square), T. Nakada⁴⁸ (\square), R. Nandakumar⁵⁶ (\square), T. Nanut⁴⁷ (\square), I. Nasteva³ (\square), M. Needham⁵⁷ , N. Neri^{28,o} , S. Neubert¹⁷ , N. Neufeld⁴⁷ , P. Neustroev⁴², J. Nicolini^{18,13} , D. Nicotra⁷⁶ , E.M. Niel⁴⁸ , N. Nikitin⁴² , P. Nogga¹⁷, N.S. Nolte⁶³ , C. Normand⁵³ , J. Novoa Fernandez⁴⁵ , G. Nowak⁶⁴ , C. Nunez⁸⁰ , H. N. Nur⁵⁸ , A. Oblakowska-Mucha³⁸ , V. Obraztsov⁴², T. Oeser¹⁶, S. Okamura^{24,l,47} A. Okhotnikov⁴², R. Oldeman^{30,k}, F. Oliva⁵⁷, M. Olocco¹⁸, C.J.G. Onderwater⁷⁶, R.H. O'Neil⁵⁷, J.M. Otalora Goicochea³, P. Owen⁴⁹, A. Oyanguren⁴⁶, O. Ozcelik⁵⁷, K.O. Padeken¹⁷ (D), B. Pagare⁵⁵ (D), P.R. Pais²⁰ (D), T. Pajero⁴⁷ (D), A. Palano²² (D), M. Palutan²⁶ , G. Panshin⁴², L. Paolucci⁵⁵, A. Papanestis⁵⁶, M. Pappagallo^{22,h}, L.L. Pappalardo^{24,l}, C. Pappenheimer⁶⁴, C. Parkes⁶¹, B. Passalacqua²⁴, G. Passaleva²⁵ , D. Passaro^{33,s} , A. Pastore²² , M. Patel⁶⁰ , J. Patoc⁶² , C. Patrignani^{23,j}, A. Paul⁶⁷, C.J. Pawley⁷⁶, A. Pellegrino³⁶, J. Peng⁵, M. Pepe Altarelli²⁶, S. Perazzini²³, D. Pereima⁴², A. Pereiro Castro⁴⁵, P. Perret¹¹, A. Perro⁴⁷ (D), K. Petridis⁵³ (D), A. Petrolini^{27,n} (D), J. P. Pfaller⁶⁴ (D), H. Pham⁶⁷ (D), L. Pica³³ (D),

M. Piccini³² , B. Pietrzyk¹⁰ , G. Pietrzyk¹³ , D. Pinci³⁴ , F. Pisani⁴⁷ , M. Pizzichemi^{29,p}, V. Placinta⁴¹, M. Plo Casasus⁴⁵, F. Polci^{15,47}, M. Poli Lener²⁶, A. Poluektov¹², N. Polukhina⁴², I. Polyakov⁴⁷, E. Polycarpo³, S. Ponce⁴⁷, D. Popov⁷ D, S. Poslavskii⁴² D, K. Prasanth⁵⁷ D, C. Prouve⁴⁵ D, V. Pugatch⁵¹ D, G. Punzi^{33,t} (b), S. Qasim⁴⁹ (b), W. Qian⁷ (b), N. Qin⁴ (b), S. Qu⁴ (b), R. Quagliani⁴⁷ (b), R.I. Rabadan Trejo⁵⁵, J.H. Rademacker⁵³, M. Rama³³, M. Ramírez García⁸⁰, M. Ramos Pernas⁵⁵ , M.S. Rangel³ , F. Ratnikov⁴² , G. Raven³⁷ M. Rebollo De Miguel⁴⁶ (D), F. Redi^{28,i} (D), J. Reich⁵³ (D), F. Reiss⁶¹ (D), Z. Ren⁷ (D), P.K. Resmi⁶², R. Ribatti^{33,s}, G. R. Ricart^{14,81}, D. Riccardi^{33,s}, S. Ricciardi⁵⁶, K. Richardson⁶³, M. Richardson-Slipper⁵⁷, K. Rinnert⁵⁹, P. Robbe¹³, G. Robertson⁵⁸ , E. Rodrigues⁵⁹ , E. Rodriguez Fernandez⁴⁵ , J.A. Rodriguez Lopez⁷³ E. Rodriguez Rodriguez⁴⁵ , A. Rogovskiy⁵⁶ , D.L. Rolf⁴⁷ , P. Roloff⁴⁷ V. Romanovskiy⁴², M. Romero Lamas⁴⁵, A. Romero Vidal⁴⁵, G. Romolini²⁴, F. Ronchetti⁴⁸ , M. Rotondo²⁶ , S. R. Roy²⁰ , M.S. Rudolph⁶⁷ , T. Ruf⁴⁷ , M. Ruiz Diaz²⁰ , R.A. Ruiz Fernandez⁴⁵, J. Ruiz Vidal^{79,aa}, A. Ryzhikov⁴², J. Ryzka³⁸ D, J. J. Saavedra-Arias⁹ D, J.J. Saborido Silva⁴⁵ D, R. Sadek¹⁴ D, N. Sagidova⁴² D, D. Sahoo⁷⁴ D, N. Sahoo⁵² D, B. Saitta^{30,k} D, M. Salomoni^{29,p} D, C. Sanchez Gras³⁶ D, I. Sanderswood⁴⁶ (D), R. Santacesaria³⁴ (D), C. Santamarina Rios⁴⁵ (D), M. Santimaria^{26,47} (D), L. Santoro ², E. Santovetti³⁵, A. Saputi^{24,47}, D. Saranin⁴², G. Sarpis⁵⁷, L. Santovetti³⁵, C. Sarpis⁵⁷, D. Saranin⁴², C. Sarpis⁵⁷, D. Saranin⁴², S. Sarpis⁵⁷, S. Sar M. Sarpis⁶¹ (D), C. Satriano^{34,u} (D), A. Satta³⁵ (D), M. Saur⁶ (D), D. Savrina⁴² (D), H. Sazak¹⁶ (D), L.G. Scantlebury Smead⁶², A. Scarabotto¹⁸, S. Schael¹⁶, S. Scherl⁵⁹, M. Schiller⁵⁸, H. Schindler⁴⁷, M. Schmelling¹⁹, B. Schmidt⁴⁷, S. Schmitt¹⁶, H. Schmitz¹⁷, O. Schneider⁴⁸, A. Schopper⁴⁷, N. Schulte¹⁸, S. Schulte⁴⁸, M.H. Schune¹³, R. Schwermer⁴⁷, G. Schwering¹⁶, B. Sciascia²⁶, A. Sciuccati⁴⁷, S. Sellam⁴⁵, A. Semennikov⁴², T. Senger⁴⁹, M. Senghi Soares³⁷, A. Sergi²⁷, N. Serra⁴⁹, L. Sestini³¹, A. Seuthe¹⁸, Y. Shang⁶, D.M. Shangase⁸⁰, M. Shapkin⁴², R. S. Sharma⁶⁷ (D, I. Shchemerov⁴² (D, L. Shchutska⁴⁸ (D, T. Shears⁵⁹ (D, L. Shekhtman⁴² (D, Z. Shen⁶ \bigcirc , S. Sheng^{5,7} \bigcirc , V. Shevchenko⁴² \bigcirc , B. Shi⁷ \bigcirc , Q. Shi⁷ \bigcirc , E.B. Shields^{29,p} \bigcirc , Y. Shimizu¹³ , E. Shmanin⁴² , R. Shorkin⁴² , J.D. Shupperd⁶⁷ , R. Silva Coutinho⁶⁷ , G. Simi³¹ , S. Simone^{22,h} , N. Skidmore⁵⁵ , T. Skwarnicki⁶⁷ , M.W. Slater⁵² J.C. Smallwood⁶², E. Smith⁶³, K. Smith⁶⁶, M. Smith⁶⁰, A. Snoch³⁶, L. Soares Lavra⁵⁷, M.D. Sokoloff⁶⁴, F.J.P. Soler⁵⁸, A. Solomin^{42,53}, A. Solovev⁴², I. Solovyev⁴² $(\bar{D}, R. Song^1 (\bar{D}, Y. Song^{48} (\bar{D}, Y. Song^4 (\bar{D}, Y. S. Song^6 (\bar{D}, Y. Song^6$ F.L. Souza De Almeida⁶⁷, B. Souza De Paula³, E. Spadaro Norella^{28,0}, E. Spedicato²³, J.G. Speer¹⁸, E. Spiridenkov⁴², P. Spradlin⁵⁸, V. Sriskaran⁴⁷, F. Stagni⁴⁷ D, M. Stahl⁴⁷ D, S. Stahl⁴⁷ D, S. Stanislaus⁶² D, E.N. Stein⁴⁷ D, O. Steinkamp⁴⁹ D, O. Stenyakin⁴², H. Stevens¹⁸, D. Strekalina⁴², Y. Su⁷, F. Suljik⁶², J. Sun³⁰, L. Sun⁷² , Y. Sun⁶⁵ , D. S. Sundfeld Lima², W. Sutcliffe⁴⁹, P.N. Swallow⁵² , F. Swystun⁵⁴ , A. Szabelski⁴⁰, T. Szumlak³⁸, Y. Tan⁴ , M.D. Tat⁶², A. Terentev⁴², F. Terzuoli^{33,w}, F. Teubert⁴⁷, E. Thomas⁴⁷, D. J.D. Thompson⁵², H. Tilquin⁶⁰, E. V. Tisserand¹¹ D, S. T'Jampens¹⁰ D, M. Tobin⁵ D, L. Tomassetti^{24,1} D, G. Tonani^{28,o,47} D, X. Tong⁶ D, D. Torres Machado² D, L. Toscano¹⁸ D, D.Y. Tou⁴ D, C. Trippl⁴³ D, G. Tuci²⁰ D, N. Tuning³⁶ (D), L.H. Uecker²⁰ (D), A. Ukleja³⁸ (D), D.J. Unverzagt²⁰ (D), E. Ursov⁴² (D), A. Usachov³⁷, A. Ustyuzhanin⁴², U. Uwer²⁰, V. Vagnoni²³, A. Valassi⁴⁷, G. Valenti²³ \bigcirc , N. Valls Canudas⁴⁷ \bigcirc , H. Van Hecke⁶⁶ \bigcirc , E. van Herwijnen⁶⁰ \bigcirc , C.B. Van Hulse^{45,y} \mathbb{D} , R. Van Laak⁴⁸ \mathbb{D} , M. van Veghel³⁶ \mathbb{D} , G. Vasquez⁴⁹ \mathbb{D} , R. Vazquez Gomez⁴⁴, P. Vazquez Regueiro⁴⁵, C. Vázquez Sierra⁴⁵, S. Vecchi²⁴, J.J. Velthuis⁵³ (D), M. Veltri^{25,x} (D), A. Venkateswaran⁴⁸ (D), M. Vesterinen⁵⁵ (D), M. Vieites Diaz⁴⁷, X. Vilasis-Cardona⁴³, E. Vilella Figueras⁵⁹, A. Villa²³, P. Vincent¹⁵, F.C. Volle⁵², D. vom Bruch¹², N. Voropaev⁴², K. Vos⁷⁶, G. Vouters¹⁰,

C. Vrahas⁵⁷ (D), J. Walsh³³ (D), E.J. Walton¹ (D), G. Wan⁶ (D), C. Wang²⁰ (D), G. Wang⁸ (D), J. Wang⁶ , J. Wang⁵ , J. Wang⁴ , J. Wang⁷² , M. Wang²⁸ , N. W. Wang⁷ R. Wang⁵³ (b), X. Wang⁸, X. Wang⁷⁰ (b), X. W. Wang⁶⁰ (b), Z. Wang¹³ (b), Z. Wang⁴ (b), Z. Wang²⁸, J.A. Ward^{55,1}, M. Waterlaat⁴⁷, N.K. Watson⁵², D. Websdale⁶⁰, Y. Wei⁶, J. Wendel⁷⁸, B.D.C. Westhenry⁵³, D.J. White⁶¹, M. Whitehead⁵⁸, A.R. Wiederhold⁵⁵, D. Wiedner¹⁸, G. Wilkinson⁶², M.K. Wilkinson⁶⁴, M. Williams⁶³ , M.R.J. Williams⁵⁷ , R. Williams⁵⁴ , F.F. Wilson⁵⁶ , W. Wislicki⁴⁰ M. Witek³⁹ (D, L. Witola²⁰ (D, C.P. Wong⁶⁶ (D, G. Wormser¹³ (D, S.A. Wotton⁵⁴ (D, H. Wu⁶⁷ (D, J. Wu⁸ D, Y. Wu⁶ D, K. Wyllie⁴⁷ D, S. Xian⁷⁰, Z. Xiang⁵ D, Y. Xie⁸ D, A. Xu³³ D, J. Xu⁷ D, L. Xu⁴ D, L. Xu⁴ D, M. Xu⁵⁵ D, Z. Xu¹¹ D, Z. Xu⁷ D, Z. Xu⁵ D, D. Yang⁴ D, S. Yang⁷ D, X. Yang⁶ (D), Y. Yang^{27,n} (D), Z. Yang⁶ (D), Z. Yang⁶⁵ (D), V. Yeroshenko¹³ (D), H. Yeung⁶¹ (D), H. Yin⁸ D, C. Y. Yu⁶ D, J. Yu⁶⁹ D, X. Yuan⁵ D, E. Zaffaroni⁴⁸ D, M. Zavertyaev¹⁹ D, M. Zdybal³⁹ (b, C). Zeng^{5,7} (b, M). Zeng⁴ (b, C). Zhang⁶ (b, D). Zhang⁸ (b, J). Zhang⁷ (b, J). L. Zhang⁴ $(D, S. Zhang^{69})$, S. Zhang⁶ $(D, Y. Zhang^{6})$, Y. Zhang⁶ $(D, Y. Z. Zhang^{4})$, Y. Zhao²⁰ $(D, Y. Zhang^{6})$, Y. Zhang⁶ $(D, Y. Zhang^{6$ A. Zharkova⁴² (D), A. Zhelezov²⁰ (D), X. Z. Zheng⁴ (D), Y. Zheng⁷ (D), T. Zhou⁶ (D), X. Zhou⁸ (D), Y. Zhou⁷ , V. Zhovkovska⁵⁵ , L. Z. Zhu⁷ , X. Zhu⁴ , X. Zhu⁸ , V. Zhukov¹⁶ , J. Zhuo⁴⁶ \bigcirc , Q. Zou^{5,7} \bigcirc , D. Zuliani³¹ \bigcirc , G. Zunica⁴⁸ \bigcirc .

¹School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

²Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³ Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

⁵Institute Of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Beijing, China

⁶School of Physics State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

⁷University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁸Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China

⁹Consejo Nacional de Rectores (CONARE), San Jose, Costa Rica

¹⁰ Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France

¹¹Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France

¹²Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France

¹³ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France

¹⁴Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

¹⁵LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France

¹⁶I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

¹⁷ Universität Bonn - Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany

¹⁸Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

¹⁹ Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany

²⁰ Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

²¹School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

²²INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

²³INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

²⁴INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

²⁵INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

²⁶INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

²⁷INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

²⁸INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy

²⁹INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

³⁰INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy

³¹ Università degli Studi di Padova, Università e INFN, Padova, Padova, Italy

³²INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

³³INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

³⁴INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

³⁵INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

³⁶Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands

³⁷Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

³⁸AGH - University of Krakow, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland

³⁹Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland ⁴⁰National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland

⁴¹Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania ⁴²Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁴³DS4DS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain

⁴⁴ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

⁴⁵Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (IGFAE), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

⁴⁶Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia, Spain

⁴⁷ European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

⁴⁸ Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
 ⁴⁹ Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

⁵⁰NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine

⁵¹Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine

⁵²University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

⁵³H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

⁵⁴Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

⁵⁵Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

⁵⁶STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

⁵⁷School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

⁵⁸School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

⁵⁹Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

⁶⁰Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

⁶¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

⁶²Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

⁶³Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States

⁶⁴University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States

⁶⁵University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States

⁶⁶Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, NM, United States

⁶⁷Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States

⁶⁸Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to ³

⁶⁹School of Physics and Electronics, Hunan University, Changsha City, China, associated to ⁸

⁷⁰Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of

Quantum Matter, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, associated to 4

⁷¹Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, associated to ⁵

⁷²School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, associated to ⁴

- ⁷³Departamento de Fisica, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, associated to ¹⁵
- ⁷⁴Eotvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary, associated to ⁴⁷

⁷⁵ Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, associated to ³⁶

⁷⁶Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands, associated to ³⁶

⁷⁷ Tadeusz Kosciuszko Cracow University of Technology, Cracow, Poland, associated to ³⁹

⁷⁸ Universidade da Coruña, A Coruna, Spain, associated to ⁴³

⁷⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, associated to ⁵⁸

⁸⁰ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, associated to ⁶⁷

⁸¹Departement de Physique Nucleaire (SPhN), Gif-Sur-Yvette, France

^a Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, Brazil

^bCentro Federal de Educação Tecnológica Celso Suckow da Fonseca, Rio De Janeiro, Brazil

^cHangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou, China

 $^{d}School \ of \ Physics \ and \ Electronics, \ Henan \ University$, Kaifeng, China

^eLIP6, Sorbonne Universite, Paris, France

^fExcellence Cluster ORIGINS, Munich, Germany

^g Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras, Tegucigalpa, Honduras ^h Università di Bari, Bari, Italy ⁱ Universita degli studi di Bergamo, Bergamo, Italy ^j Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^k Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy ¹Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy ^mUniversità di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ⁿ Università di Genova, Genova, Italy ^oUniversità degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy ^pUniversità di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^qUniversità di Padova, Padova, Italy ^r Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^sScuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy ^t Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^u Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy ^v Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

^w Università di Siena, Siena, Italy

^x Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy

^y Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Spain

^z Facultad de Ciencias Fisicas, Madrid, Spain

^{aa} Department of Physics/Division of Particle Physics, Lund, Sweden

 $^{\dagger}Deceased$