# Revealing mysteries in gamma-ray bursts: the role of gluon condensation

Wei Zhu<sup>a</sup><sup>\*</sup>, Xu-Rong Chen<sup>b</sup> and Yu-Chen Tang<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, P.R. China b Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000,P.R. China <sup>c</sup>Key Laboratory of Dark Matter and Space Astronomy, Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, P.R. China

#### Abstract

We use a newly recognized gluon distribution in the nucleon, which was predicted by a QCD evolution equation to consistently explain several intriguing phenomena associated with gamma-ray bursts. They are the GeV-TeV spectra of GRB 221009A, the remarkably symmetrical explosion cloud in kilonova AT2017gfo, and the absence of a very high-energy gamma-ray signature in GRB 170817A. We find that these occurrences can be attributed to the gluon condensation within nucleons, i.e., a significant number of soft gluons within nucleons are condensed at a critical momentum, resulting in the emergence of a steep and high peak in the gluon distributions. Through this profound connection between microscopic and macroscopic phenomena, we have not only expanded the applications of the hadronic scenario in cosmic gamma-ray emissions but also presented new evidence for the existence of gluon condensation.

keywords: Gamma-ray bursts; GRB 221009A; AT2017gfo/GRB 170817A/GW170817;

Gluon condensation

arXiv:2403.10055v2 [astro-ph.HE] 24 Apr 2024 [arXiv:2403.10055v2 \[astro-ph.HE\] 24 Apr 2024](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.10055v2)

<sup>∗</sup>Corresponding author, E-mail: wzhu@phy.ecnu.edu.cn

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) represent the most potent and luminous electromagnetic events observed in distant galaxies since the early universe. Long-duration GRBs are theorized to originate from the collapse of massive stars, while short-duration bursts are believed to result from the merger of two compact celestial objects, such as neutron stars or black holes. Despite the numerous theoretical models proposed to explain both long and short GRBs, the fundamental question surrounding these phenomena remains an enigma. To exemplify, we present two recent instances as follows.

Recent reports of TeV spectra have opened a completely new energy window, expected to advance studies in this field. For instance, a highly energetic outburst initially detected by Swift-XRT [1] was promptly confirmed as GRB 221009A by Fermi-GBM [2,3] and Fermi-LAT [4,5]. Unfortunately, space-based detectors, limited in their resolution capabilities to gamma-ray signals up to the 10 GeV energy level, faced constraints. However, the Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory (LHAASO) detectors overcame this limitation, recording very high-energy (VHE) photons in the TeV energy range from GRB 221009A within the next 30 minutes [6]. The extensive array of ground-based detectors enabled an unprecedented level of precision, revealing that the gamma-ray brightness of GRB 221009A exceeded that of any previously observed GRB by 50 times. This extraordinary event has provided us with a more comprehensive VHE spectrum of the brightest GRB ever detected. It is conceivable that this long-duration GRB originated from the gravitational collapse of a massive star, leading to the formation of either a neutron star or a black hole. Upon the creation of a neutron star or black hole, the resultant formation of powerful particle jets containing protons, nuclei, and electrons occurs. These particles undergo acceleration, reaching velocities approaching the speed of light, primarily driven by shock waves. Subsequently, they release high-energy and VHE photons into space while traversing through remnants of the progenitor stars. The spectra of GRB 221009A present a distinctive structure in the GeV-TeV band, which is a new clue in unraveling the mystery of GRBs. Despite the numerous theoretical models proposed to elucidate these high-energy events, the true nature of the VHE radiation source remains elusive.

An illustrative example of a short-duration GRB is the kilonova AT2017gfo [7], originating from the gravitational wave event GW 170817 [8], also referred to as short-duration GRB 170817A due to the observation of electromagnetic (EM) counterparts [9]. This event marked the first multi-messenger observation related to the coalescence of two neutron stars. Upon scrutinizing the spectra of AT2017gfo, researchers made a surprising discovery: during its early stages, this kilonova exhibited a perfectly spherical shape [10]. This contradicts the expected characteristics of ultra-dense matter, as hydrodynamical models suggested that the resulting explosion cloud should have a flattened shape. This finding implies that the merger of two compact neutron cores occurred with minimal resistance. The specific mechanism underlying this spherical explosion remains a mystery.

Gamma-rays in cosmic rays generally stem from two sources [11]: (i) the leptonic scenario, involving curvature radiation or inverse Compton scattering of high-energy electrons, accompanied by low-energy (KeV) synchrotron radiation spectra, and (ii) the hadronic scenario, occurring in a two-step process: proton collisions initially produce a large number of secondary particles, with the pion meson being chief among them, and the neutral  $\pi^0$  decays electromagnetically, resulting in a pair of photons. It is reasonable to consider that the hadronic scenario should dominate as a mechanism. This is because protons and nuclei carry most of the kinetic energy in the primary particle jets and are also accelerated by shock waves. Additionally, their radiation loss is minimal. However, in GRB studies, the application of the hadronic scenario is restricted. The reason is as follows.

A proton consists of quarks and gluons. In high-energy proton collisions, hundreds or even thousands of secondary particles, predominantly  $\pi$  meson, can be generated. As the collision energy increases, an increasing number of gluons with small values of x within the proton (where x denotes the ratio of the longitudinal momentum of the gluon to that of the proton) contribute to the production of secondary particles. Consequently, gluons play a dominant role in pion production. The abundance of gluons rises steadily as  $x$ decreases, without forming a distinct peak in the meson energy spectrum. This finding aligns with experimental observations at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), currently the largest high-energy collider. On the other hand, the electromagnetic decay of the neutral  $\pi^0$  results in a peak in the gamma-ray spectrum at  $m_{\pi}/2$ , which is shifted to nearly 1 GeV due to the increased production of pions. Consequently, the energy spectra of the conventional hadronic scenario consistently cluster around  $\sim 1$  GeV in  $E_{\gamma}^2 \Phi_{\gamma}$  (referred to as the  $\pi$ -bump) [12]. Therefore, the hadronic scenario appears to be less appealing for independently explaining TeV emission in GRBs. The case of GRB 221009A has prompted us to revisit the structure of the proton. It is conceivable that the energy of the proton may surpass the acceleration capabilities of the LHC, potentially leading to a new understanding of the nature of gluons within the proton. . In this paper, our focus is on the hadronic scenario, with consideration given to a novel understanding of nucleon structure. According to the evolution equations in quantum chromodynamics (QCD), gluon distributions are predicted to gradually approach an equilibrium state characterized by the splitting and fusion of gluons, a phenomenon known as the color glass condensate (CGC) [13], although this does not represent a true physical condensation. A further development of the evolution equations is the Zhu-Shen-Ruan (ZSR) equation [14,15], which suggests that at high energy, the evolution of gluon distributions in nucleons exhibits chaotic behavior, resulting in extremely strong shadowing and antishadowing effects. This

leads to the accumulation of numerous gluons within a narrow phase space defined by a critical momentum  $(x_c, k_c)$ . This phenomenon is referred to as gluon condensation (GC) (see Fig. 1)  $[16,17]$ .



Figure 1: The evolution of gluon distribution in a QCD evolution equation [16,17] from the CGC to GC, where gluons at  $x < x_c$  are condensed at a critical momentum  $(x_c, k_c)$ . All coordinates are on the logarithmic scale. There are two characteristics of this distribution: a sharp peak at the critical momentum and no gluons present at  $x < x_c$ . We will show their different performances in GRB 221009A and GRB 170817A, respectively.

A substantial distortion in the gluon distribution function, as suggested by the GCeffect, would likely have been noticeable in proton colliders. The absence of its detection can be attributed to the limitation that the energy levels at the LHC are insufficient for the gluon peaks at  $(x_c, k_c)$  to have reached the interaction zone. This realization prompted us to explore cosmic rays, where protons can be accelerated to energy levels surpassing those achievable at the LHC. The approach is straightforward and can be computed by directly substituting the distribution illustrated in Fig. 1 into the conventional hadronic framework. A concise derivation can be found in Sec. 2, with a more detailed explanation provided in [18-20].

In Section 3, we demonstrate that the resulting gamma-ray spectrum conforms to a

broken power-law (BPL) distribution, featuring an attenuation factor in the tail. We refer to the traditional hadronic scenario incorporating the gluon condensation effect as the GC-model, its spectrum exhibits exceptional agreement with the GRB 221009A spectrum.

The concept of GC not only involves the accumulation of gluons in the vicinity of  $(x_c, k_c)$  but also implies the disappearance of gluons smaller than  $x_c$ . Consequently, when the proton-proton (pp) collision energy surpasses the threshold  $s_{cut}$ , its total scattering cross-section is significantly suppressed, rendering the colliding protons transparent. Given that the threshold  $s_{cut}$  is very high for pp and pA collisions, observing this phenomenon becomes challenging. An exception may be binary neutron star mergers, as the neutron star nucleus, being infinitely heavy, can have a sufficiently low  $S_{cut}$  making the merger drag-free. We will qualitatively discuss the kilonova AT2017gfo event in Sec. 4. Finally, the discussions a summary are given in Sec. 5.

### 2 THE GC-MODEL

The GC-model is a combination of the traditional hadronic scenario and the GC-effect in the nucleon. One can be expected that when the condensation peak of the gluon distribution in the proton shown in Fig. 1 enters the pp interaction region, the resulting pion multiplicity will increase sharply, even reaching a saturation state. Surprisingly, we can prove that it leads to the BPL of the gamma spectrum using only energy conservation and relativistic covariance, which distinguishes the GC-model from other models. For the convenience of readers' understanding this model, we systematically reconstruct the derivations in [18-20].

In the hadronic scenario, approximately half of the energy from parent protons is absorbed by valence quarks during a pp collision, which subsequently forms the leading par-

ticles. The residual energy undergoes conversion into secondary hadrons, predominantly pions, within the central region. Subsequently,  $\pi_0 \to 2\gamma$  takes place. The spectrum of these photons in the Lab. system is computed by [11]

$$
\Phi_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma}) = C_{\gamma} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{\text{GeV}}\right)^{-\beta_{\gamma}} \int_{E_{\pi}^{\min}}^{\infty} dE_{\pi} \left(\frac{E_{p}}{\text{GeV}}\right)^{-\beta_{p}} N_{\pi}(E_{p}, E_{\pi}) \frac{d\omega_{\pi-\gamma}(E_{\pi}, E_{\gamma})}{dE_{\gamma}}, \tag{2.1}
$$

where the spectral index  $\beta_{\gamma}$  incorporates the energy loss due to photon absorption by the medium, and  $N_{\pi}$  is the multiplicity of pions,  $C_{\gamma}$  is a normalization constant that includes the kinematic factor and the flux dimension. As customary, the accelerated protons are assumed to follow a simple power law (PL)  $N_p \propto E_p^{-\beta_p}$  near the source.

For calculating  $N_{\pi}$ , we substitute the GC-distribution in Fig. 1 to the multiplicity  $N_g$ of gluon mini-jet [21,22]

$$
\frac{dN_g}{dk_T^2 dy} = \frac{64N_c}{(N_c^2 - 1)k_T^2} \int q_T dq_T \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \alpha_s(\Omega) \frac{F(x_1, \frac{1}{4}(k_T + q_T)^2) F(x_2, \frac{1}{4}(k_T - q_T)^2)}{(k_T + q_T)^2 (k_T - q_T)^2},
$$
(2.2)

where  $\Omega = Max\{k_T^2\}$  $T_T^2$ ,  $(k_T + q_T)^2/4$ ,  $(k_T - q_T)^2/4$ ; The longitudinal momentum fractions of interacting gluons are fixed by kinematics  $x_{1,2} = k_T e^{\pm y} / \sqrt{S}$ .

As anticipated, an evident surge in  $N_g$  becomes apparent when  $\sqrt{S}$  surpasses  $\sqrt{S_{GC}}$ , signifying the active involvement of the gluon distribution peaks in the generation of secondary particles (see Fig. 2). Nevertheless, this augmentation ceases beyond a certain higher energy threshold, leading to a rapid decline in meson yield to zero. This decline occurs due to the unavailability of gluons smaller than  $x_c$ . The resulting high plateau effect in the meson yield distinctly surpasses the modest peak associated with  $\pi^0$  decay, contributing to a bulge in the gamma-ray spectrum.

In the subsequent stage, we encounter  $N_{\pi}$ . The relationships among  $N_{\pi}$ ,  $E_p$ , and  $E_{\pi}$  in Eq. (2.1) are highly intricate, since the energy-momentum of  $\pi$  is randomly distributed.



Figure 2: A schematic diagram for the ratio of the multiplicity of gluon mini-jets with and without the GC-effect. The empty circles are the solutions of Eq. (2.2) using the input in Fig. 1 and the solid curve is their smoothed result. All scales are taken as logarithmic unit.

Conventionally, a multi-parameter empirical formulation is employed to articulate  $N_{\pi}$ . However, when addressing cosmic rays, whose energies may surpass the scale of the LHC, these empirical formulas are non-existent.

Fortunately, QCD evolution equations can be used to predict these unknown knowledge, in particular, the GC-effect can be employed to simplify this relationship. Experimental observations indicate that as collision energy increases,  $N_{\pi}$  also increases due to the greater participation of gluons in the process of generating lot of new particles. Recent research by Roberts et al. suggests that the effective hadron mass might be dynamically generated as a result of gluon nonlinearities [23]. We envisage that when a substantial number of condensed gluons at the threshold  $x_c$  suddenly participate in the  $pp$ -collisions, it inevitably leads to a dramatic increase in the production of secondary meson. Since meson has mass, their yield  $N_{\pi}$  is inherently constrained. In accordance with quantum theory, newly generated particles through collisions are initially excited from off-mass-shell to on-mass-shell, acquiring relative kinetic energy from the remaining interaction energy. In principle, the condensed gluons engaging in collisions may simultaneously generate a considerable number of secondary off-mass-shell meson at a given interaction energy. If these mesons are excited to on-mass-shell, they are capable of saturating all available energy, indicating that nearly all kinetic energies in collisions at the center-of-mass (C.M.) frame are utilized in creating the rest pions. This results in almost no relative momentum for the newly-formed meson, leading to the maximum value of  $N_{\pi}$ . While the validity of this saturation approximation will be scrutinized by subsequent observed data, adopting this limit allows us to circumvent the complex hadronization mechanism.



Figure 3:  $pp \rightarrow N_\pi$  in the different systems, where almost all available kinetic energies of collisions at the C.M. system are used to create pions due to the GC-effect.

We simplify the process of proton collisions producing secondary hadrons  $pp \to X$  as  $pp \rightarrow pp + N_{\pi}$ , where pions dominate the secondary hadrons and they are in a stationary state at the C.M. system due to the GC-effect.

Energy conservation in Fig. 3 is written

$$
E_p + m_p \quad [in the Lab system] = \tilde{m}_p \gamma_1 + \tilde{m}_p \gamma_2 + N_{\pi} m_{\pi} \gamma \quad [in the C.M. system], \quad (2.3)
$$

where  $\tilde{m}_p$  marks the leading particle and  $\gamma_i$  is the Lorentz factor. On the other hand, the square of relativistic invariant total energy  $S = (p_1 + p_2)^2$  in the two systems is

$$
S = 2m_p^2 + 2E_p m_p
$$
 [in the Lab system] =  $(2\tilde{E}_p^* + N_\pi m_\pi)^2$  [in the C.M.system]. (2.4)

The proportion of the total collision energy occupied by the leading particles and the central particles is independent of the selection of the reference frame. Using a following empirical relation [24], we remove the physical quantities about the leading particles in Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4)

$$
2\tilde{E}_p^* = \left(\frac{1}{k} - 1\right)N_\pi m_\pi,\tag{2.5}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{m}_p \gamma_1 + \tilde{m}_p \gamma_2 = \left(\frac{1}{k} - 1\right) N_\pi m_\pi \gamma,\tag{2.6}
$$

 $k \simeq 1/2$  is the inelasticity. Thus, we have

$$
\sqrt{2m_p^2 + 2E_p m_p} = \frac{1}{k} N_\pi m_\pi,\tag{2.7}
$$

and

$$
E_p + m_p = \frac{1}{k} N_\pi m_\pi \gamma,
$$
\n(2.8)

We appoint that the energy in both equations above is measured in GeV units. After taking the logarithm on both sides of the equations, using Eq. (2.7) and  $\tilde{E}_p \equiv E_p + m_p$ , we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\ln(2\tilde{E}_p m_p) = \ln\left(\frac{1}{k}N_\pi m_\pi\right),\tag{2.9}
$$

or

$$
\ln N_{\pi} = 0.5 \ln(\tilde{E}_p / GeV) + a,\tag{2.10}
$$

where  $a \equiv 0.5 \ln(2m_p/GeV) - \ln(m_\pi/GeV) + \ln k$ .

Similarly, Eq. (2.8) leads to

$$
\ln \tilde{E}_p = \ln \left( \frac{1}{k} N_\pi m_\pi \gamma \right) = \ln N_\pi + \ln m_\pi + \ln \gamma - \ln k = \frac{1}{2} \ln \tilde{E}_p + \frac{1}{2} \ln (2m_p) + \ln \gamma, (2.11)
$$

where Eq. (2.10) is used. Therefore,

$$
\ln \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \tilde{E}_p - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2m_p). \tag{2.12}
$$

Since  $E_{\pi} = m_{\pi} \gamma$ , we have

$$
\ln E_{\pi} = \ln \gamma + \ln m_{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \tilde{E}_p - \frac{1}{2} \ln (2m_p) + \ln m_{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \tilde{E}_p + b', \qquad (2.13)
$$

with  $b' = \ln m_{\pi} - 1/2 \ln(2m_p)$ . Using Eq. (2.10) we have

$$
\ln N_{\pi} = \ln(E_{\pi}/GeV) + a - b' = \ln(E_{\pi}/GeV) + b,
$$
\n(2.14)

where  $b \equiv \ln(2m_p/GeV) - 2\ln(m_\pi/GeV) + \ln k$ .

Thus, under the saturation condition for  $N_{\pi}$ , only two universal conditions (relativistic covariance and conservation of energy) can determine the pion yield, which is a straight line in double logarithmic coordinates, i.e., the typical PL in  $E_{\gamma} \in [E_{\pi}^{GC}, E_{\pi}^{max}]$  in Fig. 4.



Figure 4: A schematic diagram for the ratio of the multiplicity of pion with and without the GC-effect. Note that the fluctuations in Fig. 2 have disappeared due to Eqs. (2.10) and (2.14). All scales are taken as logarithmic units.

Substituting a standard spectrum of  $\pi^0 \to 2\gamma$  into Eq. (2.1), i.e.,

$$
\frac{d\omega_{\pi-\gamma}(E_{\pi}, E_{\gamma})}{dE_{\gamma}} = \frac{2}{\beta_{\pi}E_{\pi}} H[E_{\gamma}; \frac{1}{2}E_{\pi}(1-\beta_{\pi}), \frac{1}{2}E_{\pi}(1+\beta_{\pi})],
$$
\n(2.15)

where  $\beta_{\pi} \sim 1$ , and  $H(x; a, b) = 1$  (  $a \le x \le b$ ) or  $H(x; a, b) = 0$  (otherwise), we can analytically calculate the GC-spectrum. Since  $E_p = E_\pi^2$  $\int_{\pi}^{2} \exp(-2(a-b))$  and  $N_{\pi} = E_{\pi} \exp b$ , we have

$$
\Phi_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma}) = C_{\gamma} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{GC}}\right)^{-\beta_{\gamma}} \int_{E_{min}}^{\infty} dE_{\pi} \left(\frac{E_{p}}{E_{p}^{GC}}\right)^{-\beta_{p}} N_{\pi} \frac{2}{\beta_{\pi} E_{\pi}}
$$

$$
\simeq \begin{cases} 2e^b C_{\gamma} (E_{\pi}^{GC})^{2\beta_p} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{GC}}\right)^{-\beta_{\gamma}} \int_{E_{\pi}^{GC}}^{\infty} dE_{\pi} E_{\pi}^{-2\beta_p}, & \text{if } E_{\gamma} \le E_{\pi}^{GC}, \\ 2e^b C_{\gamma} (E_{\pi}^{GC})^{2\beta_p} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{GC}}\right)^{-\beta_{\gamma}} \int_{E_{\gamma}}^{\infty} dE_{\pi} E_{\pi}^{-2\beta_p}, & \text{if } E_{\pi}^{GC} < E_{\gamma} < E_{\pi}^{max}, \\ 2e^b C_{\gamma} (E_{\pi}^{GC})^{2\beta_p} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{GC}}\right)^{-\beta_{\gamma}} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{max}} + 1\right) \int_{E_{\gamma}}^{\infty} dE_{\pi} E_{\pi}^{-2\beta_p}. & \text{if } E_{\gamma} \ge E_{\pi}^{max}. \end{cases} \tag{2.16}
$$

Note that the integration intervals are shown in Fig. 4 and a phenomenological exponential cut factor in Eq. (2.16) describes the suppression of the energy spectrum at  $E_{\gamma} > E_{\pi}^{max}$ due to the gluons at  $x < x_c$  have been condensed at  $x_c$ .

The integrating results are (see Fig. 5)

$$
E_{\gamma}^{2} \Phi_{\gamma}^{GC}(E_{\gamma}) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{2e^{b}C_{\gamma}}{2\beta_{p}-1} (E_{\pi}^{GC})^{3} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{GC}}\right)^{-\beta_{\gamma}+2} & \text{if } E_{\gamma} \leq E_{\pi}^{GC}, \\ \frac{2e^{b}C_{\gamma}}{2\beta_{p}-1} (E_{\pi}^{GC})^{3} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{GC}}\right)^{-\beta_{\gamma}-2\beta_{p}+3} & \text{if } E_{\pi}^{GC} < E_{\gamma} < E_{\pi}^{max}, \\ \frac{2e^{b}C_{\gamma}}{2\beta_{p}-1} (E_{\pi}^{GC})^{3} \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{GC}}\right)^{-\beta_{\gamma}-2\beta_{p}+3} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\gamma}}{E_{\pi}^{max}}+1\right). & \text{if } E_{\gamma} \geq E_{\pi}^{max}, \end{cases} \tag{2.17}
$$

where  $E_{\pi}^{GC}$  is the break energy at the GC-threshold determined by the critical momentum  $(x_c, k_c)$ . We call Eq. (2.17) as the GC-spectrum

We establish a relation between  $E_{\pi}^{GC}$  and  $E_{\pi}^{max}$  in Eq. (2.17). According to Eq. (2.2) and Fig. 2, the GC plays a role if the GC peak is located at  $y_{max} = \ln(\sqrt{S}/k_c)$ , i.e.,

$$
x_c = \frac{k_c}{\sqrt{S_{GC}}} e^{-y_{max}} = \frac{k_c^2}{S_{GC}}.
$$
\n(2.18)

Using  $\sqrt{S} = \sqrt{2m_pE_p}$  and Eqs. (2.10) and (2.14), which gives a relation between  $E_{\pi}$  and  $E_p$ , one can get

$$
E_{\pi}^{GC} = exp\left(0.5 \ln \frac{k_c^2}{2m_p x_c} + a - b\right).
$$
 (2.19)

On the other hand,  $S_{max}$  in Fig. 2 corresponds to the position where the GC peak disappears in the center of the rapidity y, i.e.,

$$
x_c = \frac{k_c}{\sqrt{S_{max}}}e^0 = \frac{k_c}{\sqrt{S_{max}}}.
$$
\n(2.20)

therefore,

$$
E_p^{max} = \frac{k_c^2}{2m_p x_c^2}.
$$
\n(2.21)

Recycling Eqs.  $(2.10)$  and  $(2.14)$  we obtain

$$
E_{\pi}^{max} = exp\left(0.5 \ln \frac{k_c^2}{2m_p x_c^2} + a - b\right).
$$
 (2.22)

Comparing it with Eq. (2.19) we have

$$
E_{\pi}^{max} = e^{b-a} \sqrt{\frac{2m_p}{k_c^2}} \left( E_{\pi}^{GC} \right)^2, \tag{2.23}
$$

where all energies take the GeV unit.

It is important to note that if the actual accelerated proton energy fails to reach  $E_p^{max}$ , premature suppression of the  $\gamma$ -ray spectra will occur. In such instances, a free parameter  $E_{\pi}^{cut} < E_{\pi}^{max}$  will substitute  $E_{\pi}^{max}$  in the mentioned above formulas.

Finally, using Eqs. (2.10) and (2.14) we have a useful relation

$$
E_p + m_p = \frac{2m_p}{m_\pi^2} E_\pi^2.
$$
\n(2.24)

We emphasize once again that Eqs. (2.17), (2.23) and (2.24) are not the commonly used qualitative empirical parameter formulas in the literature, but rather the analytical solutions of the GC-model. It demonstrates the inherent relationship among different parameters, and these parameters have clear physical meanings in the GC-spectrum shown in Fig. 5. The GC-spectrum has the following characteristics. (i) The PL at  $E_{\gamma}$  <  $E_{\pi}^{GC}$  origins from a steep increase in the  $\pi$ -number (see Fig. 4), thus the lower limit of



Figure 5: A schematic GC-spectrum predicted by the hadronic model with the GC-effect.

integration in Eq. (2.1) is independent of  $E_{\gamma}$ , while the PL at  $E_{\gamma} > E_{\pi}^{GC}$  is a result of Eq. (2.14); (ii) The gamma signal disappears at  $E_{\gamma} > E_{\pi}^{max}$  if the protons are accelerated above  $E_p^{max}$ . We will show these two points in the following examples.

# 3 THE BROKEN POWER-LAW IN GRB 221009A

We employ the GC-model to investigate the GRB 221009A event. When analyzing events of this nature, corrections for the influence of extragalactic background light (EBL) becomes imperative, particularly as high-energy photons traverse vast interstellar distances. Notably, LHAASO has recently provided new intrinsic spectral energy distributions that have undergone these corrections, covering the time span from 230 to 900 seconds after the trigger [25]. Regrettably, the available LHAASO data are constrained to energies above 200 GeV. However, gamma-ray spectra at lower energies for GRB 221009A have been captured by Fermi-LAT over the initial 2000 seconds following the Fermi-GBM trigger [26]. It is worth noting that data within the first 300 seconds from Fermi-LAT may potentially saturate the detectors [27]. Consequently, we exclusively utilize the LHAASO data during the interval of 300-900 seconds to seamlessly connect with the Fermi-LAT data, as illustrated in Fig. 6.



Figure 6: An intrinsic spectral energy distribution of GRB 221009A compares with the GC-spectrum for the p-heavy nuclei, pp collisions (the solid curve) and sum of the of them (point-broken curve), where the contributions of the EBL attenuation are deducted from the observed spectra (the dashed curve) using the model of Saldana-Lopez et al [28]. Data are taken from LHAASO in [300-900]s [25] and Fermi-LAT in [300,900]s [26]. The parameters: for the p-heavy nuclei collisions,  $C_{\gamma} = 3.09 \times 10^{-12} (GeV^{-2}cm^{-2}s^{-1}),$  $\beta_{\gamma} = 1.53, \ \beta_{p} = 0.867, \ E_{\pi}^{GC} = 100 \ GeV$  and  $E_{\pi}^{cut} = 14 \ TeV$ ; for the pp-collisions,  $C_{\gamma} = 9 \times 10^{-19} (GeV^{-2} cm^{-2} s^{-1}), \ \beta_{\gamma} = 0, \ \beta_{p} = 0.867 \text{ and } E_{\pi}^{GC} = 20 \; TeV.$  We noticed that the work [25] used the traditional hadronic model to fit this spectrum. Due to the fact that the GC model describes  $N_{\pi}$  using far fewer free parameters than that of the traditional hadronic model, the former should be have better fitting goodness.

Figure 6 presents the spectral energy distribution of GRB 221009A and its comparison with the GC-spectrum. The value of  $E_{\pi}^{GC}$  relies on the baryon number A of the target nucleus in the pA (or AA) collisions. For instance,  $E_{\pi}^{GC}$  is  $\sim 20 \; TeV$  in the pp-collisions, and it is 100  $GeV$  for the p-heavy nucleus collisions [17]. Note that the broken point at  $\sim$  100 GeV in Fig. 6 is also consistent with the previous fitting GRB 180720B and GRB 190114C in [20].

The observational precision of LHAASO has seen significant improvement owing to the extensive signals collected by its expansive detector array. The notable alignment between the linear segment of the GC-spectrum and the LHAASO data is particularly striking, even accounting for the exceptionally small statistical errors. The PL behavior of the meson yield in Eqs. (2.10) and (2.14) outcome serves to reinforce the credibility of the saturation assumption in  $N_{\pi}$ .

The LHAASO data reveal that gamma-ray events beyond 10 TeV in the intrinsic spectrum of GRB221009A do not exhibit softening. This phenomenon is also observed in the VHE gamma-ray spectra of some AGNs [20]. The observation of the hardening phenomenon in TeV gamma-rays from cosmological distances carries significant implications for potential new physics beyond the standard model. For instance, it has been interpreted as originating from axions [29] or Lorentz invariance violation [30]. Axions are hypothetical particles introduced by theoretical models beyond the Standard Model (SM). These unobserved particles couple with two photons, leading to a suppression of EBL absorption of high-energy gamma-rays and increased transparency of space. Alternatively, if the threshold for interacting with EBL is slightly increased due to Lorentz invariance violation, the process  $\gamma \gamma \to e^+ e^-$  is suppressed, resulting in a more transparent universe. These scenarios highlight the potential for TeV gamma-ray observations to provide insights into novel physics beyond our current understanding.

The GC-model may provide a realistic explanation for the observed hardening VHE gamma-ray spectra. In Fig. 6, we present a GC-spectrum represented by the dashed lines, derived from the pp collisions, with  $\beta_{\gamma} \sim 0$  indicating negligible energy loss of gamma-rays in the pp-collision environment [20].

The hardening gamma spectrum caused by GC can occur in different types of processes, as long as there are high-energy pp collisions there. In fact, similar spectra have been observed in the energy spectra of various celestial objects, including 1ES 0229+200, 1ES 1101-232, 1ES 0347-121, PKS 2005-489, H1426+482, and MKn 501 (see Fig. 8 in [20]). The GC-spectra near  $E_{\pi}^{GC} \sim 20 TeV$  imply a necessity for proton acceleration beyond  $E_p^{GC} \sim 40$  EeV. It is noteworthy that a recent detection of an extremely energetic cosmic ray at 244 EeV by the surface detector array of the Telescope Array experiment [31] is of particular relevance. Taking into account the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) suppression, this observation suggests that EeVatrons in extragalactic sources could potentially serve as the sources of the GC-spectrum beyond the TeV energies, we will discuss it in detail elsewhere.

We make a comparison of the radiation powers between the hadronic scenario without the GC-effect and with the GC-effect. In the former case, a significant portion of the kinetic energy in hadronic collisions typically transforms into heat for secondary particles in the central region of the collisions. Consequently, the meson yield follows a logarithmic dependence, i.e.,  $N_{\pi} \propto \ln(\sqrt{S/m_{\pi}})$ , where  $\sqrt{S}$  is the interaction energy in the centerof-mass (C.M.) system. On the other hand, the GC-effect maximizes the utilization of available kinetic energy to generate new particles, resulting in a meson yield proportional to  $\sqrt{S/m_{\pi}}$ . Consequently, the ratio of  $N_{\pi}$  with the GC-effect to  $N_{\pi}$  without the GC-effect is much larger than 1. This outcome underscores that the GC-effect facilitates the efficient conversion of collision kinetic energy into radiation energy. This is one of the reasons why GRB displays strong radiation power.

## 4 THE COLOR PENETRATION IN GRB 170817A

The distribution of condensed gluons within a nucleon not only reveals a pronounced peak at  $(x_c, k_c)$  but also indicates the absence of gluons at  $x < x_c$ . Let's consider a neutron (n) incident on a nucleus  $(A)$ . The average penetration distance  $(L)$  of the neutron is defined as  $L = 1/(\sigma N_A)$ , where  $N_A$  represents the nuclear density. The cross section  $\sigma(\sqrt{S})$ encompasses contributions from both elastic and inelastic scattering, as well as resonance excitation. In the parton picture [33-35], at high energy, valence quarks tend to traverse with a loss of only approximately 2% of their initial momentum. These valence quarks give rise to outgoing hadrons in the fragmentation region. Interactions between small partons from the two incident hadrons in the rapidity central range dominate the cross section. The resistance between two colliding nucleons is contingent upon the multiplicity and strength of interactions among their partons. Consequently, the predominant contribution to the cross section  $\sigma$  arises from the exchange of small partons between the two colliding nucleons, particularly since these small partons, mainly gluons, exhibit large multiplicity and low momentum transfer.

Specifically, the cross section  $\sigma(pp \to X)$  at high energy is dominated by the production of gluon mini-jet  $\sigma(\sqrt{S}) \propto N_g(\sqrt{S})$ . Note that  $\sigma(\sqrt{S} > \sqrt{S_{max}}) \to 0$  due to Fig. 4. It means that  $L \to \infty$  at  $\sqrt{S} > \sqrt{S_{max}}$  and the nucleus becomes traversable. Therefor, this pair of neutron stars can maintain spherical merging with almost no resistance and an explosion occurs at the maximum spherical overlap. We call it the color penetration.  $\sqrt{S_{max}}$  is its threshold, which relates to the value of  $x_c$ . For example, for a heavy nucleus, its  $E_{\pi}^{GC} \sim 100 \; GeV$  and  $\sqrt{S_{max}} \sim 1 \; PeV$ . Thus, the color penetration exists in the extra high energy hadronic collisions and it is an extremely rare event. In reference [15] it was predicted that this effect may impede the increase of new particle events in future ultra high energy hadron colliders.

However, the binary neutron star merger scenario may change this situation. The core A ∗ of a neutron star is a huge nucleus composed of nearly an infinite number of neutrons, making the GC-critical value  $x_c$  significant increases in such a large nucleus [17]. In principle,  $x_c$  approaches 1 as A approaches infinity. However, the kinematical restriction leads to a maximum  $x_c < 1$  at  $A \rightarrow \infty$ . Let us detail it. Since pions dominate the secondary particles in  $pp \to X$ , we consider  $\sigma(pp \to \pi)$ . Energy conservation demands  $E_p \geq m_p$  and  $E_{\pi} \geq m_{\pi}$  in Eq. (2.11). Therefore,  $E_{\pi,min}^{GC} = 0.135 \text{ GeV}, E_{p,min}^{GC} =$ 0.938 GeV, which corresponds to  $E_{\pi}^{max} = 0.255$  GeV, or  $\sqrt{S_{max}} = 3.7$  GeV and  $x_c = 0.27$ if  $k_c \sim 1$  GeV. It implies that two neutrons from two star cores at the C.M. system have energies  $E_{c.m.} + E_{c.m.} = 3.7 \text{ GeV}$  and the Lorentz factor  $\gamma = 1.85/0.938 = 2 \text{ or }$  $\beta = v/c = 0.87.$ 

If we consider the neutron star in GRB 170817A with a radius of approximately  $R \sim 20$  km and a spin frequency of  $f \sim 2000/s$ , each neutron during the merging process exhibits a  $\beta \sim 0.67$ . Taking into account the additional contribution of the star orbit rotation, the phenomenon of color penetration becomes plausible. When a collision manages to breach the shell barrier of neutron stars and penetrate the core area from both sides, a notable reduction in the cross-sectional area  $\sigma$  is observed. This suggests that binary neutron stars can merge with minimal resistance until two neutrons reach each other's boundaries. This scenario has the potential to result in a spherically symmetric explosion source. However, it's important to note that not all binary neutron star mergers exhibit the color penetration effect unless they possess a sufficiently high collision energy.

The color penetration observed in GRB 170817A is accompanied by a characteristic gamma-ray spectrum. Cosmic gamma-rays typically arise from the  $pp \to \pi^0 \to 2\gamma$ process within the hadronic scenario. This process is highly affected by the gluon distributions in nucleons. The GC-effect may give rise to the typical BPL with an exponential suppression factor in gamma-ray energy spectra. Besides, in a nucleon-nucleon collision,  $\Phi_{\gamma,max}^{GC}(pA)/\Phi_{\gamma,max}^{GC}(A^*A^*) \sim \sqrt{S_{max}(pA)}/\sqrt{S_{max}(A^*A^*)} \gg 1$ , since  $E_{\pi}^{max}(pA) \gg$  $E_{\pi}^{max}(A^*A^*)$ . Therefore, the GC-peak at the MeV band in the merger of binary neutron stars is significantly reduced.

It is noteworthy that no hard gamma-rays were detected by Fermi-GBM [36] and IN-TEGRAL [37] during the merger time of GW 170817. Despite subsequent analyses of data recorded by high-energy ( $> 100MeV$ ) and VHE ( $> 100GeV$ ) gamma-ray detectors, such as Fermi-LAT, no instances of high-energy counterparts conclusively associated with the event were identified [38,39]. An interruption in data collection by Fermi-LAT during the trigger moment of GW 170817, caused by its passage through the South Atlantic Anomaly, prevented an assessment of the presence or absence of high-energy emission. Nevertheless, negative observations were recorded with H.E.S.S., a detector that commenced observations merely five hours after GW 170817. The GC-model predicts that the gamma-ray spectrum of GRB 170817A exhibits an isolated, narrow, and weak spectrum in the MeV range.

An energy range approximately centered around  $\sim 200$  MeV represents an intermediate zone, positioned between the KeV and GeV ranges, which has received limited attention in investigations of GRB emissions. This is attributed to both the characteristics of scintillation detectors used for GRB observations, where the efficiency of photon detection in this range is insufficient, and the steeper and narrower BPL shape of the GC-spectrum. Fortunately, a new space-based gamma-ray telescope, GAMMA-400, has been designed to continuously measure within the 20 MeV to several TeV energy range [40]. The exceptional characteristics of this instrument make it a potent tool for identifying GC-spectral signatures at MeV in short GRB events. Obviously, our discussion on the GRB 170817A event is only a preliminary qualitative analysis. Further research using fluid dynamics is necessary.

# 5 DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

(i) Most GRBs are detected below a few MeV. However, the GC-spectra Eq. (2.17) may span a broad VHE range and precise measurements are required to distinguish the GCmodel from other theoretical models. A new electromagnetic window in the VHE domain was just opened recently by ground-based imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes. Unfortunately, GRBs are rare and highly directional events in the universe, occurring over extremely short time periods. It is a low-probability event to observe such cosmic ray events simultaneously and completely with high precision. GRB221009A is currently the best-recorded event we have collected. The GRB 221009A spectrum of such a complete GeV-TeV energy band is exceptionally rare, with an estimated probability of occurrence at one in ten thousand years [41], and of course, it is a rare opportunity to test the GCmodel. We have previously discussed GRB 190114C and GRB 180720B in [20], but it is evidently difficult to make conclusions due to the scarcity of observational data. We look forward to encountering GRB events similar to GRB 221009A in the future.

Of course, a few examples alone are not enough to judge a model. Fortunately, GC occurs at the most fundamental level of the proton. The GC-spectra should also be widely displayed in other high-energy gamma spectra. In fact, the observed BPL form in gamma-ray spectra is not exclusive to GRB 221009A, it has also been documented in active galactic nuclei (AGNs) [20], pulsars [42,43] and Galactic center [44]. In fact, more than fifty such events have been cited by the GC-model. These examples imply that the hadronic scenario involving the GC-effect is a prevalent phenomenon in nature, albeit with varied manifestations in different environments. For instance, in a GRB event, gamma-ray emissions occur when particle jets collide with the remnants of precursor stars,

leading to prompt emissions over a short duration. In contrast, in AGNs, similar collisions may persist for an extended period due to the continuous production of a large number of PeV protons through potent acceleration mechanisms, although our understanding of these mechanisms remains incomplete. This diversity in manifestations underscores the complexity and richness of the hadronic scenario associated with the GC-effect across different astrophysical contexts.

(ii) Comparing GC with Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC), we observe that, despite both involving multi-bosons sharing a single wave function, they represent distinct physical phenomena. Thus, GC opens a new window into nature. For example, the GC-model efficiently generates electromagnetic radiation in the universe. While positive and negative electron annihilation undoubtedly maximizes photon production efficiency, such events are rare in nature. Inverse Compton scattering is a common mechanism for explaining VHE gamma-ray spectra, but it requires an ample supply of soft photons to serve as targets for scattering electrons. Furthermore, GC represents a first instance of the butterfly effect occurring in elementary particles. Consequently, concerns about the efficacy of perturbation treatment are unnecessary, as once the CGC exhibits a strong chaotic phenomenon, it proves challenging to suppress. Additionally, the  $\pi$ -cluster, as an intermediate state in the GC-model, closely resembles  $\pi$ -condensation, a phenomenon anticipated in nuclear physics. This warrants further investigation.

(iii) The maximum energies in  $p-Pb$  and  $Pb-Pb$  collisions at the LHC are 8.16 TeV and 5.02 TeV, respectively. Additionally, the Auger collaboration indirectly used cosmic ray data at the top of the atmosphere and found that the  $pA$  cross section at  $\sqrt{s} \sim 100 TeV$ is a normal value of  $\sim$  567*mb* with no significant increment. An important question arises: why have they not recorded the GC-effect? We consider  $E_{\pi}^{GC}(Pb - Pb) = 100 GeV$  and  $E_{\pi}^{GC}(p-light \ nuclei) = 1TeV$  according to Fig. 11 in [17]. The results are  $\sqrt{S_{Pb-Pb}^{GC}} =$ 

1TeV, 
$$
\sqrt{S_{Pb-Pb}^{cut}} = 100TeV
$$
,  $\sqrt{S_{P-light\ nuclei}^{GC}} = 10TeV$ , and  $\sqrt{S_{P-light\ nuclei}^{cut}} = 10 PeV$ .  
Since these estimations are based on central collisions, the actual collision threshold should  
be larger than these estimations. Therefore, we caution that further increases in hadron  
collision energies in the upcoming LHC plans may lead to unexpectedly intense gamma-  
rays in the accelerator, resembling artificial mini-GRBs, and potentially causing damage  
to the detectors [17]. Moreover, GC raises the possibility of a sudden disappearance of  
gluon distributions, potentially halting the increase of new particle events in an ultra-  
high-energy heavy-ion collider [15].

(iv) We have noticed some attempts to study GRB beyond the traditional hadronic scenario. For example, work [45] uses the magnetohydrodynamic simulation tracking the magnetosphere of a collapsing magnetar. The results suggest a novel GRB scenario, which creates a delayed high-energy counterpart of the merger gravitational waves; work [46] presents a mechanism based on internal self-annihilation of dark matter accreted from the galactic halo in the inner regions of neutron stars that may trigger full or partial conversion into a quark star. This mechanism differs in many aspects from the most discussed scenario associating short GRBs with compact object binary mergers; Work [47] simulates neutron-star-to-quark-star burning at stellar scales and estimate the resulting energy release and ejecta, which can help us to understand GRBs. Although the GC-model alon is also a new attempt, but it is a natural development of the mature traditional hadronic scenario, where the corrections of the GC-effect to the cross section of pp-collisions are considered. The GC-model employs fewer free parameters and assumptions, while describing the spectral energy distribution that encompasses the richest information from the radiation source. Additionally, based on the parameters obtained from fitting the GCmodel to various cosmic ray spectra, we anticipate the direct observation of the GC-effect in the next-generation high-energy hadron collider, without the need for special detectors.

Therefore, the GC-model is a topic worth our attention.

To summarize, we illustrate a simple logical relationship between GC in the proton and the GRB spectra during the burst phase. When the CGC gluons undergo evolution through the singularity of a nonlinear QCD evolution equation, these gluons will enter a chaotic state. The resulting intense oscillations in the gluon distribution may lead to strong antishadowing, causing a large number of soft gluons to condense at a critical momentum. On the other hand, during the burst phase of a GRB event, protons are accelerated above the GC-threshold, leading to a sudden influx of condensed gluons into the proton-nuclei collisions, giving rise to the observed bump in the gamma-ray spectrum. Moreover, as long as these pion yield saturates, energy conservation and relativistic covariance directly result in the BPL form the gamma spectrum, as observed by Fermi-LAST and LHAASO. Besides, the remarkably symmetrical explosion cloud in kilonova AT2017gfo and the absence of a very high-energy gamma-ray signature in GRB 170817A also can qualitatively explained by the above GC-model.

Acknowledgments We thank Zi-Qing Xia for the useful comments. We also thank Qi-hui Chen and Wei Kou for the help in the calculations.

#### References

- [1] J. Kennea, Grb221009a: Swift detected transient may be grb, GCNCIRCULAR 32635 https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/other/221009A.gcn3(2022).
- [2] P. Veres, Grb221009a: Fermi gbm detection of an extraordinarily bright grb, GCN-CIRCULAR 32636 https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/other/221009A.gcn3(2022).
- [3] S. Lesage, Grb221009a: Fermi gbm observation, GCNCIRCULAR 32642 https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/other/221009A.gcn3(2022).
- [4] E. Bissaldi, Grb221009a or swiftj1913.1+1946: Fermi-lat detection, GCNCIRCULAR 32637 https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/other/221009A.gcn3(2022).
- [5] R. Pillera, Grb221009a: Fermi-lat refined analysis, GCNCIRCULAR 32658 https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/other/221009A.gcn3(2022).
- [6] Z. Cao, et al., A tera-electron volt afterglow from a narrow jet in an extremely bright gamma-ray burst. Science 380 (2023) 1390.
- [7] S.J. Smartt, et al. A kilonova as the electromagnetic counter part to a gravitationalwave source, Nature 551 (2017) 75.
- [8] B.R. Abbott, et al., GW170817: Observation of gravtation waves from a binary neutron star inspiral, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 161101.
- [9] B.R. Abbott, et al., Multi-messenger observations of a binary neutron star merger. Astrophys. J. 848 (2017) L12.
- [10] A. Sneppen, Spherical symmetry in the kilonova AT2017gfo/GW170817, Nature 614 (2023) 436.
- [11] F.A. Aharonian, Very high energy cosmic gamma radiation: A crucial windowon the extreme universe (Singapore: World Scientific Publishing, (2004) https://doi.org/10.1142/4657.
- [12] M. Ackermann, et al., Detection of the characteristic pion-decay signature in supernova remnants, Science 339 (2013) 807.
- [13] L. McLerran, The CGC and the glasma: Two lectures at the Yukawa Institute, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 187 (2011) 17.
- [14] W. Zhu, Z.Q. Shen and J.H. Ruan, Chaotic effects in a nonlinear QCD evolution equation. Nucl. Phys. B911 (2016) 1.
- [15] W. Zhu, Z.Q. Shen and J.H. Ruan, Can a chaotic solution in the QCD evlition equation restrain high-energy collider physics? Chin, Phys. Lett. 25 (2008) 3605.
- [16] W. Zhu and J.S. Lan, The gluon condensation at high energy hadron collisions, Nucl. Phys. B916 (2017) 647.
- [17] W. Zhu, Q.C. Chen, Z. Cui and J.H. Ruan, The gluon condensation in hadron collisions. Nucl. Phys. B984 (2022) 115961.
- [18] W. Zhu, J.S. Lan and J.H. Ruan, The gluon condensation in high energy cosmic rays. In. J. of Mode. Phys. E27 (2018) 1850073.
- [19] W. Zhu, P. Liu, J.H. Ruan and F. Wang, Possible evidence for the gluon condensation effect in cosmic positron and agmma-Ray spectra, Astrophys. J. 889 (2020) 127.
- [20] W. Zhu, Z. Zheng, P. Liu, L. Wan, J.H. Ruan and F. Wang, Looking for the possible gluon condensation signature in sub-TeV gamma-ray spectra: from active galactic nuclei to gamma ray bursts. J.Cosmol. Astrophys. J. 01 (2021) 038.
- [21] L.V. Gribov, E.M. Levin and M.G. Ryskin, Semihard Processes in QCD, Phys. Rep. 100 (1083) 1.
- [22] A. Szczurek, From unintegrated gluon distributions to particle production in hadronic collisions at high energies, a talk at the XI international workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering, St. Petersburg, 23-27 April (2003) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0309146\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0309146).
- [23] C.D. Roberts, Insights into the Origin of Mass, Summary of a plenary presentation at "INPC 2019 - 27th International Nuclear Physics Conference, Glasgow, UK, (2019) [\[arXiv:1909.12832\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12832).
- [24] V.V. Anisovich, M.N. Kobrinsky, J. Nyri and Y.M. Shabelski, Quark model and high energy collisions, World Sciwntific (1985).
- [25] The LHAASO Collaboration, Very high-energy gamma-ray emission beyond 10 TeV from GRB221009A, Science Advances, 9 (2023) 2778.
- [26] T.A. Dzhatdoev, E.I. Podlesnyi and G.I. Rubtsov, First constraints on the strength of the extragalactic magnetic field from  $\gamma$ -ray observations of GRB 221009A. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 527 (2024) 95.
- [27] Fermi-LAT, Caveats about analyzing GRB 221009A data, https:fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/grb221009a.html.
- [28] A. Saldana-Lopez, A.Dominguez, P.G.Perez-Gonzalez, J.Finke, M.Ajello, J.R.Primack, V.S.Paliya and A.Desai, An observational determination of the evolving extragalacticback background light from the multiwavelength HST/CANDELS survey in the Fermi and CTA era. ont.Not.R.Astron.Soc. 507 (2021) 5144.
- [29] V. Anastassopoulos, New CAST Limiton the axion-photon interaction, Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 584.
- [30] The LHAASO Collaboration, Exploring Lorentz invariance violation from ultra highenergy  $\gamma$ -rays observed by LHAASO, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128** (2022) 051102.
- [31] Telescope Array Collaboration, An extremely energetic cosmic ray observed by a surface detect orarray, science, doi/10.1126/science.abo5095.
- [32] S. Sahu, I. Abigail, V. Polanco and S. Rajpoot, Very high-energy afterglow emission of GRB190829A: evidence for its hadronic origin? Astrophys. J. 929 (2022) 70.
- [33] R.F. Feynman, Photon-hadron interactions, Benjammin, Reading, Mass., (1972).
- [34] S.D. Drell and T.N. Yan, Partons and their applications at high energies, Ann. of Phys. 66 (1971) 578.
- [35] R.C. Haw, Hadron structure and soft processes, Europhysics Study Conference on Partons in Soft-Hadronic Processes, 137 (1981) Report number: OITS-165, C81- 03-08-5
- [36] A. Goldstein, et al., An ordinary short gamma-ray burst with extraordinary implications: Fermi-GBM detection of GRB170817A, Astrophys. J. 848 (2017) L14.
- [37] V. Savchenko, et al., Integral detection of the firstprompt gamma-ray signal coincident with the gravitational-wave event GW170817, Astrophys. J. 848 (2017) L15.
- [38] B.P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T.D. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley and C. Adamsetal, Multi-messenger observations of a binary neutron star merger, Astrophys. J. 848 (2017) L12.
- [39] B. Patricelli, A. Stamerra, M. Razzano, E. Pian and G. Cella, Searching for gammaray counterparts to gravitational waves from merging binary neutron stars with the Cherenkov telescope array, JCAP 05 (2018) 56.
- [40] A.A. Leonov, M. Galper, N.P. Topchiev and I.V. Arkhangelskaja, Capabilities of the GAMMA-400 gamma-ray telescope to detect gamma-ray bursts from lateral directions, Advances in Space Research, 69 (2022) 514.
- [41] Z.G. Dai, An unprecedented discovery: a tera-electron volt afterglow of an extremely bright gamma-ray burst, Modern Physics, 35 (2023) 43.
- [42] J.H. Ruan, Z. Zheng and W. Zhu, Exploring the possible gluon condensation signature in gamma-ray emission from pulsars, Astrophys. J. 8 (2021) 065.
- [43] Z.C. Zou, Y.F. Huang, C.M. Li, H.R. Zheng and W. Zhu, Gluon condensation signature in the GeV gamma-ray spectra of pulsars. Phys. Rev. D107 (2023) 063032.
- [44] W. Zhu, Z.Q. Xia, Y.C Tang and L. Feng, Gluon condensation: from nucleon to Galactic center, Phys. Rev. D108 (2023) 123035.
- [45] E.R. Most, A.M. Beloborodov and B. Ripperda, Monster shocks, gamma-ray bursts and black hole quasi-normal modes from neutron-star collapse, [arXiv:2404.01456.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.01456)
- [46] M.A. Perez-Garca, F. Daigne and J. Silk, Short gamma-ray bursts and dark matter seeding in neutron stars, Astrophys. J. 768 (2013) 145.
- [47] R. Ouyed, The macro-physics of the quark-nova: astrophysical implications, Universe, 8 (2022) 322.