

Affective Behaviour Analysis via Integrating Multi-Modal Knowledge

Wei Zhang^{1,*}, Feng Qiu^{1,*}, Chen Liu^{1,2}, Lincheng Li^{1,†}, Heming Du², Tiancheng Guo², Xin Yu²

¹ Netease Fuxi AI Lab

² The University of Queensland

{zhangwei05, qiufeng, lilincheng}@corp.netease.com, chen.liu7@uqconnect.edu.au,

{Heming.du, xin.yu}@uq.edu.au, alan5gtcc@gmail.com

Abstract

Affective Behavior Analysis aims to facilitate technology emotionally smart, creating a world where devices can understand and react to our emotions as humans do. To comprehensively evaluate the authenticity and applicability of emotional behavior analysis techniques in natural environments, the 6th competition on Affective Behavior Analysis in-the-wild (ABAW) utilizes the Aff-Wild2, Hume-Vidmimic2, and C-EXPR-DB datasets to set up five competitive tracks, i.e., Valence-Arousal (VA) Estimation, Expression (EXPR) Recognition, Action Unit (AU) Detection, Compound Expression (CE) Recognition, and Emotional Mimicry Intensity (EMI) Estimation. In this paper, we present our method designs for the five tasks. Specifically, our design mainly includes three aspects: 1) Utilizing a transformer-based feature fusion module to fully integrate emotional information provided by audio signals, visual images, and transcripts, offering high-quality expression features for the downstream tasks. 2) To achieve high-quality facial feature representations, we employ Masked-Auto Encoder as the visual features extraction model and fine-tune it with our facial dataset. 3) Considering the complexity of the video collection scenes, we conduct a more detailed dataset division based on scene characteristics and train the classifier for each scene. Extensive experiments demonstrate the superiority of our designs.

1. Introduction

Affective Behavior Analysis is dedicated to enhancing the emotional intelligence of artificial intelligence systems by analyzing and understanding human emotional behavior [30, 32–39, 54, 58, 75, 77, 80]. It involves identifying and interpreting the emotions and feelings people express through facial expressions, voice, body language, etc. The

goal is to enable computers and robots to better understand human emotional states for more natural and effective human-machine interactions, support mental monitoring, and improve applications in education, entertainment, and social interactions [17, 19, 56, 63, 64].

The 6th Affective Behavior Analysis competition (ABAW6) has set up the following five tasks to analyze various aspects of human emotions and expressions. Action Unit (AU) Detection aims to identify facial action types from the Facial Action Coding System based on facial muscle movements [1, 37, 46, 65]. Compound Expression (CE) Recognition requires recognizing complex expressions that combine two or more basic expressions [12, 23, 60, 69]. Emotional Mimicry Intensity (EMI) Estimation evaluates the intensity of an individual’s emotional mimicry [18, 24, 41, 70]. Expression Recognition (EXPR) identifies basic emotional expressions like happiness, sadness, and anger [16, 44, 57, 69, 84]. Valence-arousal (VA) estimation determines people’s emotional states on continuous emotional dimensions, where “valence” refers to the positivity or negativity of the emotion, and “arousal” refers to the level of emotional activation [27, 31, 37, 47, 55].

To enhance the applicability of affective behavior analysis techniques in the real world, ABAW6 assesses the method performance on Aff-Wild2 [29], C-EXPR-DB [28], and Hume-Vidmimic2 [39], in which videos are captured in uncontrolled natural environments. Specifically, Aff-Wild2 showcases individuals of different skin tones, ages, and genders, under varied lighting, with assorted backgrounds and head poses, thereby enriching its diversity and applicability. C-EXPR-DB is designed to analyze multiple emotions that occur simultaneously on the face. It consists of videos sourced from YouTube, which feature naturally occurring emotions and expressions. Hume-Vidmimic2 emphasizes capturing and analyzing the complexity of human emotions in a manner that closely mirrors natural human interactions. It bridges the gap between the controlled environment of most emotion recognition datasets and the unpredictability and richness of the natural world.

*Equal contribution

†Corresponding author

Based on the characteristics of the above datasets, we establish our objectives to fully utilize the emotional information provided in multimodal data and to enhance the applicability of our method in real-world scenarios. In this paper, we detail our method designs in three aspects. Firstly, to obtain high-quality image features, we integrate a large-scale facial image dataset and utilize the self-supervised model Masked Auto Encoder (MAE) [22, 79] to learn deep feature representations from these emotional data, enhancing the performance of downstream tasks. Moreover, we leverage a transformer-based model to fuse the multi-modal information. This architecture facilitates the interactions across modalities (*i.e.*, audio, visual, text) and provides scalable, efficient, and effective solutions for integrating multimodal information [71]. Lastly, we adopt an ensemble learning strategy to improve the applicability of our method in various scenes. In this strategy, we divide the whole dataset into multiple sub-datasets according to their distinct background characteristics and assign these sub-datasets to different classifiers. After that, we integrate the outputs of these classifiers to obtain the final prediction results.

Experiments conducted on the three datasets demonstrate the effectiveness of our design choices. Overall, our contributions are three-fold:

- We integrate a large-scale facial expression dataset and fine-tune MAE on it to obtain an effective facial expression feature extractor, enhancing the performance for downstream tasks.
- We employ a transformer-based multi-modal integration model to facilitate the interactions of multi-modalities, enriching the expression features extracted from multimodal data.
- We adopt an ensemble learning strategy, which trains multiple classifiers on sub-datasets with different background characteristics and ensemble the results of these classifiers to attain the final results. This strategy enables our method to generalize better in various environments.

2. Related Work

2.1. Action Unit Detection

Detecting Action Units (AU) in the wild is a challenging yet crucial advancement task in facial expression analysis, pushing the boundaries of applicability from controlled laboratory settings to real-world environments [1, 46, 65]. This endeavor addresses the inherent variability in lighting, pose, occlusion, and emotional context encountered in natural environments [37]. Recent works highlight the effectiveness of multi-task frameworks in leveraging extra regularization, such as the extra label constraint, to enhance detection performance. Zhang *et al.* [78] introduce a streaming model to concurrently execute AU detection, expression, recogni-

tion, and Valence-Arousal (VA) regression. Cui *et al.* [8] present a biomechanics-guided AU detection approach to explicitly incorporate facial biomechanics for AU detection. Moreover, to achieve robust and generalized AU detection, some works take generic knowledge (*i.e.* static spatial muscle relationships) into account [7], while others consider integrating multi-modal knowledge to obtain rich expression features [82].

2.2. Compound Expression Recognition

Compound Expression Recognition (CER) gains attention for identifying complex facial expressions that convey a combination of basic emotions, reflecting more nuanced human emotional states [12, 23]. Typical methods focus on recognizing basic emotional expressions with deep learning methods, paving the way for more advanced methods capable of deciphering compound expressions [4, 20, 25, 76]. Notable efforts in this area include leveraging convolutional neural networks for feature extraction and employing recurrent neural networks or attention mechanisms to capture the subtleties and dynamics of facial expressions over time. Researchers have also explored multi-task learning frameworks to simultaneously recognize basic expressions more accurately and robustly [21, 44, 68]. Due to the complexity of human emotions in the real world, detecting a single expression is not suitable for real-life scenarios. Therefore, Dimitrios [28] curates a Multi-Label Compound Expression dataset, C-EXPR. Besides, he also proposes C-EXPR-NET, which addresses both CER and AU detection tasks simultaneously, achieving improved results in recognizing multiple expressions [28].

2.3. Emotional Mimicry Intensity Estimation

Emotional Mimicry Intensity (EMI) Estimation delves into the nuanced realm of how individuals replicate and respond to the emotional expressions of others [41, 70]. It aims to quantify the degree of mimicry and its emotional impact. Traditionally, facial mimicry has been quantified through the activation of facial muscles, either measured by electromyography (EMG) or analyzed through the frequency and intensity of facial muscle movements via the Facial Action Coding System (FACS) [15]. However, these techniques are either invasive or require significant time and effort. Recent advancements [11, 11, 66] leverage computer vision and statistical methods to estimate facial expressions, postures, and emotions from video recordings, enabling the identification of facial and behavioral mimicry. Despite being currently less precise than physiological signal-based measurements, this video-based approach is non-invasive, automatable, and applicable to multimodal contexts, making it scalable for real-time, real-world uses, such as in human-agent social interactions.




Figure 1. The overview of our proposed method. We first utilize the images in the facial image datasets to train the Image Encoder in a self-supervised manner, thus obtaining the visual feature F_I . Then we leverage the pre-trained audio encoder and text encoder to attain the audio feature F_A and text feature F_T . Note that we only devise the text encoder for the EMI task. Subsequently, we concat these features and feed them into the Transformer Encoders. Here, we train these encoders on subsets divided based on background characteristics. Finally, we employ a voting strategy to attain the final results.

2.4. Expression Recognition

Expression Recognition has witnessed substantial growth, driven by the integration of psychological insights and advanced deep learning techniques [44, 57, 69]. Recently, the adaptation of transformer-based models from natural language processing (NLP) [67] to computer vision tasks [13] has led to their application in extracting spatial and temporal features from video sequences for emotion recognition. Notably, Zhao *et al.* [83] introduce a transformer model specifically for dynamic facial expression recognition, the Former-DFER, which includes CSFormer [73] and T-Former [73] modules to learn spatial and temporal features, respectively. Ma *et al.* [51] developed a Spatio-Temporal Transformer (STT) that captures both spatial and temporal information through a transformer-based encoder. Additionally, Li *et al.* [43] proposed the NR-DFERNet, designed to minimize the influence of noisy frames within video sequences. While these advancements represent significant progress in addressing the challenges of dynamic facial expression recognition (DFER) with discrete labels, they overlook the interference from the background in images. To address this, we incorporate ensemble learning into our method.

2.5. Valence-arousal Estimation

Valence-arousal estimation focuses on mapping emotional states onto a two-dimensional space, where valence represents the positivity or negativity of emotion, and arousal indicates its intensity or activation level [27, 31, 37]. Conventional approaches mainly relied on physiological signals, such as heart rate or skin conductance, to estimate these dimensions [2, 40, 42]. However, with advancements in deep

learning, researchers shift towards leveraging visual and auditory cues from facial expressions, voice tones, and body language. Notably, convolutional neural networks and recurrent neural networks have been extensively applied to capture the nuanced and dynamic aspects of emotions from images, videos, and audio data [3, 52, 72].

Recent studies introduce transformer models to better handle the sequential and contextual nature of emotional expressions in multi-modal data [5, 26, 61]. These improvements have not only improved the accuracy and efficiency of valence-arousal estimation but also broadened its applicability in real-world scenarios, such as human-computer interaction and mental health assessment [14, 50, 62]. Despite progress, challenges remain in capturing the complex and subjective nature of emotions, necessitating further research into model interpretability and the integration of diverse data sources.

3. Method

In this section, we describe our method for analyzing human affective behavior. The architecture flow is illustrated in Fig. 1. The proposed approach addresses two critical problems: 1) the emotional information in the multimodal data is not fully explored and 2) the model has poor generalization ability for videos with complex backgrounds. For a clear exposition, we first introduce how we utilize the encoders to extract features from multi-modal data in Sec. 3.1. Then we detail the transformer-based multi-modal feature fusion method in Sec. 3.2. Finally, in Sec. 3.3, we present the ensemble learning strategy that is leveraged to enhance the model generalization ability.

3.1. Feature Extraction Encoder

Image Encoder. In this work, we employ MAE as the image encoder since its self-supervised training manner enables the extracted features more generalizable. To further attain powerful and expressive features, we construct a large-scale facial image dataset which consists of Affect-Net [53], CASIA-WebFace [74], CelebA [48], IMDB-WIKI [59], and WebFace260M [85]. The total number of our integrated dataset is 262M. Based on the integrated facial dataset, we finetune MAE through facial image reconstruction. Specifically, in the pre-training phase, our method adopts the “mask-then-reconstruct” strategy. Here images are dissected into multiple patches (measuring 16×16 pixels), with a random selection of 75% being obscured. These masked images are then input into the encoder, while the decoder restores them to the corresponding original. We adopt the pixel-wise L2 loss to optimize the model, ensuring the reconstructed facial images closely mirror the originals.

After the pre-training, we modify the model for specific downstream tasks by detaching the MAE decoder and incorporating a fully connected layer to the end of the encoder. This alternation facilitates the model to better adapt to the downstream tasks.

Audio Encoder. Considering that the tone and intonation of the speech can also reflect certain emotional information, we leverage VGGish [6] as our audio encoder to generate the audio representation. Given that VGGish is trained on the large-scale dataset VGGSound and can capture a wide range of audio features, we directly utilize it as the feature extractor without training on our dataset.

Text Encoder. Compared to other tracks, EMI not only provides audio and visual frames but also includes a transcript for each video. Here, we employ the large off-the-shelf model LoRA [10] to extract features from the transcript.

3.2. Transformer-based Multi-modal Fusion

We fuse features across different modalities to obtain more reliable emotional features and utilize the fused feature for downstream tasks. By combining information from various modalities such as visual, audio, and text, we achieve a more comprehensive and accurate emotion representation.

To align the three modalities at the temporal dimension, we trim each video into multiple clips with k frames. For each frame, we employ our image encoder to extract the visual feature f_I . In this fashion, we attain the visual feature $F_I^{K \times d}$ for the whole clip. Here, d represents the feature dimension. Meanwhile, we employ the audio and text encoders to generate the features for the whole clip, and the features are expressed by $F_A^{1 \times d}$ and $F_T^{1 \times d}$, respectively. Subsequently, we concat these features and input them into the Transformer Encoder. Specifically, our transformer encoder consists of four encoder layers with a dropout rate of 0.3. The output is then fed into a fully connected layer to

adjust the final output dimension according to the task requirements. Note that, at the feature fused stage, the image encoder, audio encoder, and text encoder are all fixed, while only the transformer encoder as well as the fully connected layer are trainable.

3.3. Ensemble Learning

To improve the applicability of affective behavior analysis methods, the 6th ABAW leverages the datasets collected from the real world as the test data. Given the complex backgrounds in the videos, we adopt the ensemble learning strategy to enable our method robust against complex environments. Specifically, we first partition the dataset into multiple subsets according to the background characteristics, ensuring each subset contains images with similar background properties. Next, we separately train the classifiers for each subset to effectively capture emotional information within the images.

During the inference stage, we integrate predictions from classifiers on each subset via a voting method. Specifically, for each sample, we allow classifiers from each subset to classify it and record the predictions from each classifier. Finally, we employ a voting mechanism based on these predictions to determine the ultimate label. Here, we select the label with the highest number of votes as the final classification result. Our voting method effectively reduces errors caused by biases in classifiers from individual subsets, thereby enhancing overall classification performance.

3.4. Training Objectives

Objectives for Image Encoder. To enhance the adaptability of the Image Encoder across various tasks, we fine-tune it for each downstream task. Specifically, when dealing with AU and EXPR, we optimize the model via cross-entropy loss \mathcal{L}_{AU-CE} and $\mathcal{L}_{EXPR-CE}$, respectively. They are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{AU-CE} = -\frac{1}{12} \sum_{j=1}^{12} W_{au_j} [y_j \log \hat{y}_j + (1 - y_j) \log (1 - \hat{y}_j)], \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{EXPR-CE} = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=1}^8 W_{exp-j} z_j \log \hat{z}_j, \quad (2)$$

where \hat{y} and \hat{z} represent the predicted results for the action unit and expression category respectively, whereas y and z denote the ground truth values for the action unit and expression category.

In the VA task, to better capture the correlation between valence and arousal and thus improve the accuracy of emotion recognition, we leverage the consistency correlation co-

efficient as the model optimization function, defined as:

$$\text{CCC}(\mathcal{X}, \hat{\mathcal{X}}) = \frac{2\rho_{\mathcal{X}\hat{\mathcal{X}}}\delta_{\mathcal{X}}\delta_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}}{\delta_{\mathcal{X}}^2 + \delta_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}^2 + (\mu_{\mathcal{X}} - \mu_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}})^2}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{VA-CCC}} = 1 - \text{CCC}(\hat{v}_{\text{batch}_i}, v_{\text{batch}_i}) + 1 - \text{CCC}(\hat{a}_{\text{batch}_i}, a_{\text{batch}_i}). \quad (4)$$

Here, \hat{v} and \hat{a} represent the predicted valence and arousal value. $\delta_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\delta_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ indicate the ground-truth sample set and the predicted sample set. $\rho_{\mathcal{X}\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ is the Pearson correlation coefficient between \mathcal{X} and $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$, $\delta_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\delta_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ are the standard deviations of \mathcal{X} and $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$, and $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\mu_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ are the corresponding means. The numerator $2\rho_{\mathcal{X}\hat{\mathcal{X}}}\delta_{\mathcal{X}}\delta_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ represents the covariance between the $\delta_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\delta_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ sample sets.

Objectives for Transformer-based Fusion Model. For each task, we utilize the same training objective as the Image Encoder for optimizing the transformer-based fusion model. Additionally, since the generated results are frame-wise rather than at the clip level, we employ a smoothing strategy to improve the consistency of the predictive results.

Specifically, our strategy is conducted in two steps, we first utilize the facial detection model RetinaNet [9] to identify which frame suffers from face loss due to the crop data augmentation operation and then replace the frames without faces with adjacent frames to ensure the integrity of faces in the sequence. In the second step, we leverage a Gaussian filter to refine the likelihood estimations for AU, EXPR, as well as VA. It is formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(y - \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot f(x) \cdot e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma} \right)^2 dx, \quad (5)$$

where y represents the predicted value of the five downstream tasks, $f(x)$ is the predicted likelihood estimation before applying the Gaussian filter, and e is the base of the natural logarithm. x and μ represent the input value and the mean of the distribution, respectively. σ indicates the standard deviation of the distribution, determining the width of the Gaussian curve. The Gaussian filter's sigma parameter is tuned specifically for each task, with the precise configurations detailed in the experimental setup section.

4. Experiment

In this section, we will first introduce the evaluation metrics datasets as well as the implementation details. Then we evaluate our model on the ABAW6 competition metrics.

4.1. Evaluation metrics

To assess the model performance on each track, ABAW set a specific evaluation metric for each track.

Valence-Arousal Estimation. The performance measure (P) is the mean Concordance Correlation Coefficient (CCC) of valence and arousal, as follows:

$$P = \frac{\text{CCC}_{\text{arousal}} + \text{CCC}_{\text{valence}}}{2}. \quad (6)$$

Here, the calculation method of CCC is defined in Eq. 3. **Expression Recognition.** The performance assessment is conducted by averaging F1 score across all 8 categories, defined as:

$$\begin{cases} F1 = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}; \\ \text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}; \\ \text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

$$P = \frac{\sum_{c=1}^8 F1_c}{8}. \quad (8)$$

Here, c represents the category ID, TP represents True Positives, FP represents False Positives, and FN represents False Negatives.

Action Unit Detection. The performance is evaluated by averaging the F1 score across all 12 categories, formulated as:

$$P = \frac{\sum_{c=1}^{12} F1_c}{12} \quad (9)$$

Here, the calculation way of $F1$ is the same as the Eq. 7.

Compound Expression Recognition. In this track, the performance measure P is the average F1 Score across all 7 categories, calculated as:

$$P = \frac{\sum_{c=1}^7 F1_c}{7} \quad (10)$$

Here, the calculation way of $F1$ is the same as the Eq. 7.

Emotional Mimicry Intensity Estimation. EMI evaluates the performance by averaging Pearson's correlations (ρ) across the emotion dimensions, defined as:

$$P = \frac{\sum_{c=1}^6 \rho_c}{6}. \quad (11)$$

4.2. Datasets

The first tracks of ABAW6 are based on Aff-wild2 which contains around 600 videos annotated with AU, base expression category, and VA. The AU detection track utilizes 547 videos of around 2.7M frames that are annotated in terms of 12 action units, namely AU1, AU2, AU4, AU6, AU7, AU10, AU12, AU15, AU23, AU24, AU25, AU26. The performance measure is the average F1 Score across all 12 categories. The expression recognition track utilizes 548

Table 1. The AU F1 scores (in %) of models that are trained and tested on different folds (including the original training/validation set of *Aff-Wild2* dataset).

Val Set	AU1	AU2	AU4	AU6	AU7	AU10	AU12	AU15	AU23	AU24	AU25	AU26	Avg.
Official	55.29	51.40	65.81	68.61	76.08	75.00	75.24	37.65	18.89	30.89	83.41	44.98	56.94
fold-1	62.61	46.20	71.22	77.71	67.44	69.69	74.62	36.32	29.43	21.75	81.56	40.73	56.61
fold-2	64.23	54.35	73.85	77.33	77.49	76.70	80.74	29.05	28.96	18.47	87.71	43.63	59.37
fold-3	58.55	48.37	60.05	71.22	72.43	74.29	75.43	29.81	19.52	32.86	83.37	47.63	56.13
fold-4	53.34	39.34	66.26	70.67	66.51	69.39	71.76	39.49	25.17	32.40	82.27	40.05	54.72
fold-5	53.50	44.68	63.45	72.02	69.72	74.00	78.24	38.81	23.67	7.56	81.24	43.67	54.22

videos of around 2.7M frames that are annotated in terms of the 6 basic expressions (i.e., anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness, surprise), plus the neutral state, plus a category ‘other’ that denotes expressions/affective states other than the 6 basic ones. The performance measure is the average F1 Score across all 8 categories. The VA estimation track utilizes 594 videos of around 3M frames of 584 subjects annotated in terms of valence and arousal. The performance measure is the mean Concordance Correlation Coefficient (CCC) of valence and arousal.

The fourth track of ABAW6 utilizes 56 videos which are from the C-EXPR-DB database. The complete C-EXPR-DB dataset contains 400 videos totaling approximately 200,000 frames, with each frame annotated for 12 compound expressions. For this track, the task is to predict 7 compound expressions for each frame in a subset of the C-EXPR-DB videos. Specifically, the 7 compound expressions are Fearfully Surprised, Happily Surprised, Sadly Surprised, Disgustedly Surprised, Angrily Surprised, Sadly Fearful, and Sadly Angry. The evaluation metric for this track is the average F1 Score across all 7 categories.

The fifth track of ABAW6 is based on the multimodal Hume-Vidmimic2 dataset which consists of more than 15,000 videos totaling over 25 hours. Each subject of this dataset needs to imitate a ‘seed’ video, replicating the specific emotion displayed by the individual in the video. Then the imitators are asked to annotate the emotional intensity of the seed video using a range of predefined emotional categories (Admiration, Amusement, Determination, Empathic Pain, Excitement, and Joy). A normalized score from 0 to 1 is provided as a ground truth value for each seed video and each performance video of the imitator. The evaluation metric for this track is the average Pearson’s correlation across the 6 emotion dimensions.

In addition to the official datasets mentioned above, we also used some additional data from the open-source and private datasets. For the AU detection track, we use the extra dataset BP4D [81] to supplement some of the limited AU categories in *Aff-wild2*. For the expression recognition track, we use the extra dataset RAF-DB [45] and AffectNet [53] to supplement the Anger, Disgust, and Fear data.

For the fourth track, we utilize our private video dataset and annotated these videos based on the rules of 7 compound expressions for training and testing.

4.3. Implementatal Setting

We utilize retinaface [9] to detect faces for each frame and normalize them to a size of 224x224. We pre-train an MAE on a large facial images dataset that consists of several open-source face images datasets (i.e., AffectNet [53], CASIA-WebFace [74], CelebA [48] and IMDB-WIKI [59], Webface260M [85]). We use this MAE as the basic feature extractor to capture the visual information for facial images in each track. The pre-training process is trained for 800 epochs with a batch size of 4096 on 8 NVIDIA A30 GPUs, using the AdamW optimizer [49]. For the tasks of AU detection, expression recognition and VA estimation, we incorporate the temporal, audio, and other information to further improve the performance. At this stage, the training data consists of continuous video clips of 100 frames. The learning rate is set as 0.0001 using the AdamW optimizer. To reduce the gap caused by data division, we conduct five-fold cross-validation for all the tracks.

4.4. Results for AU Detection

In this section, we show our final results for the task of AU detection. The model is evaluated by the average F1 score for 12 AUs. Table 1 presents the F1 results on the official validation set and five-fold cross-validation set.

4.5. Results for Expression Recognition

In this section, we show our final results for the task of expression recognition. The model is evaluated by the average F1 score for 8 categories. Table 2 presents the F1 results on the official validation set and five-fold cross-validation set.

4.6. Results for VA Estimation

In this section, we show our final results for the task of VA estimation. The model is evaluated by CCC for valence and arousal. Table 4 presents the F1 results on the official validation set and five-fold cross-validation set.

Table 2. The expression F1 scores (in %) of models that are trained and tested on different folds (including the original training/validation set of *Aff-Wild2* dataset).

Val Set	Neutral	Anger	Disgust	Fear	Happiness	Sadness	Surprise	Other	Avg.
Official	70.21	73.93	50.34	21.83	59.05	66.41	36.51	66.11	55.55
fold-1	70.06	37.21	32.12	22.71	61.77	77.61	45.62	51.58	49.83
fold-2	67.36	44.45	21.21	42.50	62.22	78.24	36.67	70.00	52.83
fold-3	73.64	71.60	45.01	23.25	47.67	77.05	46.81	65.56	56.32
fold-4	65.41	71.00	53.70	23.27	61.62	61.79	27.76	72.68	54.65
fold-5	64.03	31.23	35.66	67.64	67.97	69.75	52.12	55.64	55.51

Table 3. The Pearson’s correlations of models that are trained and tested on different folds (including the original training/validation set of *Hume-Vidmimic2*).

	Admiration	Amusement	Determination	Empathic Pain	Excitement	Joy	Avg.
Official	0.5942	0.4982	0.5090	0.2275	0.4961	0.4580	0.4638
fold-1	0.5880	0.4842	0.4914	0.2089	0.4852	0.4338	0.4486
fold-2	0.5193	0.4385	0.4031	0.3715	0.3734	0.3717	0.4129
fold-3	0.5195	0.4496	0.3947	0.4924	0.4129	0.3843	0.4422
fold-4	0.5955	0.4950	0.5134	0.2492	0.5068	0.4576	0.4696
fold-5	0.5199	0.4377	0.4040	0.3739	0.3717	0.3719	0.4132

Table 4. The VA CCC scores of models that are trained and tested on different folds (including the original training/validation set of *Aff-Wild2* dataset).

Val Set	Valence	Arousal	Avg.
Official	0.5523	0.6531	0.6027
fold-1	0.6408	0.6195	0.6302
fold-2	0.6033	0.6758	0.6395
fold-3	0.6773	0.6961	0.6867
fold-4	0.6752	0.6486	0.6619
fold-5	0.6591	0.7019	0.6801

4.7. Results for EMI Estimation

In this section, we show our final results for the task of EMI estimation. The model is evaluated by Pearson’s correlations. Table 3 presents Pearson’s correlation scores on the official validation set and five-fold cross-validation set.

5. Conclusion

In summary, our study contributes to advancing Affective Behavior Analysis, aiming to make technology emotionally intelligent. Through a comprehensive evaluation of the ABAW competition, we address five competitive tracks. Our method designs integrate emotional cues from multi-modal data, ensuring robust expression features. We achieve significant performance across all tracks, indicating the effectiveness of our approach. These results highlight

the potential of our method in enhancing human-machine interactions and technological advancements toward devices understanding and responding to human emotions.

References

- [1] Soufiane Belharbi, Marco Pedersoli, Alessandro Lameiras Koerich, Simon Bacon, and Eric Granger. Guided interpretable facial expression recognition via spatial action unit cues. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.00281*, 2024. 1, 2
- [2] Patricia J Bota, Chen Wang, Ana LN Fred, and Hugo Plácido Da Silva. A review, current challenges, and future possibilities on emotion recognition using machine learning and physiological signals. *IEEE access*, 7:140990–141020, 2019. 3
- [3] Paul Buitelaar, Ian D Wood, Sapna Negi, Mihael Arcan, John P McCrae, Andrejs Abele, Cecile Robin, Vladimir Andryushechkin, Housam Ziad, Hesam Sagha, et al. Mixedemotions: An open-source toolbox for multimodal emotion analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 20(9): 2454–2465, 2018. 3
- [4] Felipe Zago Canal, Tobias Rossi Müller, Jhennifer Cristine Matias, Gustavo Gino Scotton, Antonio Reis de Sa Junior, Eliane Pozzebon, and Antonio Carlos Sobieranski. A survey on facial emotion recognition techniques: A state-of-the-art literature review. *Information Sciences*, 582:593–617, 2022. 2
- [5] Haifeng Chen, Dongmei Jiang, and Hichem Sahli. Transformer encoder with multi-modal multi-head attention for continuous affect recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 23:4171–4183, 2020. 3

- [6] Honglie Chen, Weidi Xie, Andrea Vedaldi, and Andrew Zisserman. Vggsound: A large-scale audio-visual dataset. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 721–725. IEEE, 2020. 4
- [7] Zijun Cui, Tengfei Song, Yuru Wang, and Qiang Ji. Knowledge augmented deep neural networks for joint facial expression and action unit recognition. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, Red Hook, NY, USA, 2020. Curran Associates Inc. 2
- [8] Zijun Cui, Chenyi Kuang, Tian Gao, Kartik Talamadupula, and Qiang Ji. Biomechanics-guided facial action unit detection through force modeling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8694–8703, 2023. 2
- [9] Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Evangelos Ververas, Irene Kotsoia, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Retinaface: Single-shot multi-level face localisation in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5203–5212, 2020. 5, 6
- [10] Shilpa Devalal and A Karthikeyan. Lora technology-an overview. In *2018 second international conference on electronics, communication and aerospace technology (ICECA)*, pages 284–290. IEEE, 2018. 4
- [11] Muhterem Dindar, Sanna Järvelä, Sara Ahola, Xiaohua Huang, and Guoying Zhao. Leaders and followers identified by emotional mimicry during collaborative learning: A facial expression recognition study on emotional valence. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 13(3):1390–1400, 2020. 2
- [12] Rongkang Dong and Kin-Man Lam. Bi-center loss for compound facial expression recognition. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 2024. 1, 2
- [13] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020. 3
- [14] Andrius Dzedzickis, Artūras Kaklauskas, and Vytautas Bucinskas. Human emotion recognition: Review of sensors and methods. *Sensors*, 20(3):592, 2020. 3
- [15] Paul Ekman and Wallace V Friesen. Facial action coding system. *Environmental Psychology & Nonverbal Behavior*. 2
- [16] Amir Hossein Farzaneh and Xiaojun Qi. Facial expression recognition in the wild via deep attentive center loss. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision*, pages 2402–2411, 2021. 1
- [17] Chiara Filippini, David Perpetuini, Daniela Cardone, Antonio Maria Chiarelli, and Arcangelo Merla. Thermal infrared imaging-based affective computing and its application to facilitate human robot interaction: A review. *Applied Sciences*, 10(8):2924, 2020. 1
- [18] Matthias Franz, Marc A Nordmann, Claudius Rehagel, Ralf Schäfer, Tobias Müller, and Daniel Lundqvist. It is in your face—alexithymia impairs facial mimicry. *Emotion*, 21(7):1537, 2021. 1
- [19] Riccardo Gervasi, Federico Barravecchia, Luca Mastrogiacommo, and Fiorenzo Franceschini. Applications of affective computing in human-robot interaction: State-of-art and challenges for manufacturing. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 237(6-7):815–832, 2023. 1
- [20] Jianzhu Guo, Zhen Lei, Jun Wan, Egils Avots, Noushin Hajarolasvadi, Boris Knyazev, Artem Kuharenko, Julio C Silveira Jacques Junior, Xavier Baró, Hasan Demirel, et al. Dominant and complementary emotion recognition from still images of faces. *IEEE Access*, 6:26391–26403, 2018. 2
- [21] Luma Akram Harbawee. *Artificial Intelligence Tools for Facial Expression Analysis*. PhD thesis, University of Exeter (United Kingdom), 2019. 2
- [22] Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 16000–16009, 2022. 2
- [23] Shuangjiang He, Huijuan Zhao, Li Yu, Jinqiao Xiang, Congju Du, and Juan Jing. Compound facial expression recognition with multi-domain fusion expression based on adversarial learning. In *2022 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*, pages 688–693. IEEE, 2022. 1, 2
- [24] Alison C Holland, Garret O’Connell, and Isabel Dziobek. Facial mimicry, empathy, and emotion recognition: a meta-analysis of correlations. *Cognition and Emotion*, 35(1):150–168, 2021. 1
- [25] Essam H Houssein, Asmaa Hammad, and Abdelmgeid A Ali. Human emotion recognition from eeg-based brain-computer interface using machine learning: a comprehensive review. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 34(15):12527–12557, 2022. 2
- [26] Xincheng Ju, Dong Zhang, Junhui Li, and Guodong Zhou. Transformer-based label set generation for multi-modal multi-label emotion detection. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM international conference on multimedia*, pages 512–520, 2020. 3
- [27] Dimitrios Kollias. Abaw: Valence-arousal estimation, expression recognition, action unit detection & multi-task learning challenges. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2328–2336, 2022. 1, 3
- [28] Dimitrios Kollias. Multi-label compound expression recognition: C-expr database & network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5589–5598, 2023. 1, 2
- [29] Dimitrios Kollias and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Aff-wild2: Extending the aff-wild database for affect recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.07770*, 2018. 1
- [30] Dimitrios Kollias and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Expression, affect, action unit recognition: Aff-wild2, multi-task learning and arcface. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.04855*, 2019. 1
- [31] Dimitrios Kollias and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Affect analysis in-the-wild: Valence-arousal, expressions, action units and a

- unified framework. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.15792*, 2021. [1](#), [3](#)
- [32] Dimitrios Kollias and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Analysing affective behavior in the second abaw2 competition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3652–3660, 2021. [1](#)
- [33] Dimitrios Kollias, Viktoriia Sharmanska, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Face behavior a la carte: Expressions, affect and action units in a single network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.11111*, 2019.
- [34] Dimitrios Kollias, Panagiotis Tzirakis, Mihalis A Nicolaou, Athanasios Papaioannou, Guoying Zhao, Björn Schuller, Irene Kotsia, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Deep affect prediction in-the-wild: Aff-wild database and challenge, deep architectures, and beyond. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pages 1–23, 2019.
- [35] Dimitrios Kollias, Attila Schulc, Elnar Hajiyeu, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Analysing affective behavior in the first abaw 2020 competition. In *2020 15th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG 2020)*, pages 637–643. IEEE, 2020.
- [36] Dimitrios Kollias, Viktoriia Sharmanska, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Distribution matching for heterogeneous multi-task learning: a large-scale face study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.03790*, 2021.
- [37] Dimitrios Kollias, Panagiotis Tzirakis, Alice Baird, Alan Cowen, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Abaw: Valence-arousal estimation, expression recognition, action unit detection & emotional reaction intensity estimation challenges. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5888–5897, 2023. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [38] Dimitrios Kollias, Panagiotis Tzirakis, Alice Baird, Alan Cowen, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Abaw: Valence-arousal estimation, expression recognition, action unit detection & emotional reaction intensity estimation challenges. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5888–5897, 2023.
- [39] Dimitrios Kollias, Panagiotis Tzirakis, Alan Cowen, Stefanos Zafeiriou, Chunchang Shao, and Guanyu Hu. The 6th affective behavior analysis in-the-wild (abaw) competition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19344*, 2024. [1](#)
- [40] Jure Kranjec, S Beguš, G Geršak, and J Drnovšek. Non-contact heart rate and heart rate variability measurements: A review. *Biomedical signal processing and control*, 13:102–112, 2014. [3](#)
- [41] Beibei Kuang, Xueting Li, Xintong Li, Mingxiao Lin, Shanrou Liu, and Ping Hu. The effect of eye gaze direction on emotional mimicry: A multimodal study with electromyography and electroencephalography. *NeuroImage*, 226:117604, 2021. [1](#), [2](#)
- [42] Bharat Lal, Raffaele Gravina, Fanny Spagnolo, and Pasquale Corsonello. Compressed sensing approach for physiological signals: A review. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 2023. [3](#)
- [43] Hanting Li, Mingzhe Sui, Zhaoqing Zhu, et al. Nr-dfnet: Noise-robust network for dynamic facial expression recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.04975*, 2022. [3](#)
- [44] Shan Li and Weihong Deng. Deep facial expression recognition: A survey. *IEEE transactions on affective computing*, 13(3):1195–1215, 2020. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [45] Shan Li, Weihong Deng, and JunPing Du. Reliable crowdsourcing and deep locality-preserving learning for expression recognition in the wild. In *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 2584–2593. IEEE, 2017. [6](#)
- [46] Yante Li, Xiaohua Huang, and Guoying Zhao. Micro-expression action unit detection with spatial and channel attention. *Neurocomputing*, 436:221–231, 2021. [1](#), [2](#)
- [47] Xiaolong Liu, Lei Sun, Wenqiang Jiang, Fengyuan Zhang, Yuanyuan Deng, Zhaopei Huang, Liyu Meng, Yuchen Liu, and Chuanhe Liu. Evaef: Ensemble valence-arousal estimation framework in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5862–5870, 2023. [1](#)
- [48] Ziwei Liu, Ping Luo, Xiaogang Wang, and Xiaoou Tang. Deep learning face attributes in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 3730–3738, 2015. [4](#), [6](#)
- [49] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017. [6](#)
- [50] Danielle Lottridge, Mark Chignell, and Aleksandra Jovicic. Affective interaction: Understanding, evaluating, and designing for human emotion. *Reviews of Human Factors and Ergonomics*, 7(1):197–217, 2011. [3](#)
- [51] Fuyuan Ma, Bin Sun, and Shutao Li. Spatio-temporal transformer for dynamic facial expression recognition in the wild. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.04749*, 2022. [3](#)
- [52] Javier Marín-Morales, Carmen Llinares, Jaime Guixeres, and Mariano Alcañiz. Emotion recognition in immersive virtual reality: From statistics to affective computing. *Sensors*, 20(18):5163, 2020. [3](#)
- [53] Ali Mollahosseini, Behzad Hasani, and Mohammad H Mahoor. Affectnet: A database for facial expression, valence, and arousal computing in the wild. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 10(1):18–31, 2017. [4](#), [6](#)
- [54] Dang-Khanh Nguyen, Ngoc-Huynh Ho, Sudarshan Pant, and Hyung-Jeong Yang. A transformer-based approach to video frame-level prediction in affective behaviour analysis in-the-wild. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09293*, 2023. [1](#)
- [55] R Gnana Praveen, Patrick Cardinal, and Eric Granger. Audio-visual fusion for emotion recognition in the valence-arousal space using joint cross-attention. *IEEE Transactions on Biometrics, Behavior, and Identity Science*, 2023. [1](#)
- [56] Minglun Ren, Nengying Chen, and Hui Qiu. Human-machine collaborative decision-making: An evolutionary roadmap based on cognitive intelligence. *International Journal of Social Robotics*, 15(7):1101–1114, 2023. [1](#)
- [57] I Michael Revina and WR Sam Emmanuel. A survey on human face expression recognition techniques. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, 33(6):619–628, 2021. [1](#), [3](#)
- [58] Albert D Ritzhaupt, Rui Huang, Max Sommer, Jiawen Zhu, Anita Stephen, Natercia Valle, John Hampton, and Jingwei Li. A meta-analysis on the influence of gamification in

- formal educational settings on affective and behavioral outcomes. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(5):2493–2522, 2021. 1
- [59] Rasmus Rothe, Radu Timofte, and Luc Van Gool. Deep expectation of real and apparent age from a single image without facial landmarks. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 126(2):144–157, 2018. 4, 6
- [60] Jiahui She, Yibo Hu, Hailin Shi, Jun Wang, Qiu Shen, and Tao Mei. Dive into ambiguity: Latent distribution mining and pairwise uncertainty estimation for facial expression recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 6248–6257, 2021. 1
- [61] Gopendra Vikram Singh, Mauajama Firdaus, Asif Ekbal, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. Emoint-trans: A multimodal transformer for identifying emotions and intents in social conversations. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 31:290–300, 2022. 3
- [62] Rukshani Somarathna, Tomasz Bednarz, and Gelareh Mohammadi. Virtual reality for emotion elicitation—a review. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 2022. 3
- [63] Boštjan Šumak, Saša Brdnik, and Maja Pušnik. Sensors and artificial intelligence methods and algorithms for human–computer intelligent interaction: A systematic mapping study. *Sensors*, 22(1):20, 2021. 1
- [64] Martina Szabóová, Martin Sarnovský, Viera Maslej Krešňáková, and Kristína Machová. Emotion analysis in human–robot interaction. *Electronics*, 9(11):1761, 2020. 1
- [65] Gauthier Tallec, Edouard Yvinec, Arnaud Dapogny, and Kevin Bailly. Multi-label transformer for action unit detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.12531*, 2022. 1, 2
- [66] Giovanna Varni, Isabelle Hupont, Chloe Clavel, and Mohamed Chetouani. Computational study of primitive emotional contagion in dyadic interactions. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 11(2):258–271, 2017. 2
- [67] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017. 3
- [68] Juntao Wang and Tsunenori Mine. Multi-task learning for emotion recognition in conversation with emotion shift. In *Proceedings of the 37th Pacific Asia Conference on Language, Information and Computation*, pages 257–266, 2023. 2
- [69] Kai Wang, Xiaojiang Peng, Jianfei Yang, Shijian Lu, and Yu Qiao. Suppressing uncertainties for large-scale facial expression recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 6897–6906, 2020. 1, 3
- [70] Tanja SH Wingenbach, Mark Brosnan, Monique C Pfaltz, Peter Peyk, and Chris Ashwin. Perception of discrete emotions in others: Evidence for distinct facial mimicry patterns. *Scientific reports*, 10(1):4692, 2020. 1, 2
- [71] Peng Xu, Xiatian Zhu, and David A Clifton. Multimodal learning with transformers: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2023. 2
- [72] Xinyu Yang, Yizhuo Dong, and Juan Li. Review of data features-based music emotion recognition methods. *Multimedia systems*, 24:365–389, 2018. 3
- [73] Dongjie Ye, Zhangkai Ni, Hanli Wang, Jian Zhang, Shiqi Wang, and Sam Kwong. Csformer: Bridging convolution and transformer for compressive sensing. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2023. 3
- [74] Dong Yi, Zhen Lei, Shengcai Liao, and Stan Z Li. Learning face representation from scratch. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.7923*, 2014. 4, 6
- [75] Yufeng Yin, Minh Tran, Di Chang, Xinrui Wang, and Mohammad Soleymani. Multi-modal facial action unit detection with large pre-trained models for the 5th competition on affective behavior analysis in-the-wild. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10590*, 2023. 1
- [76] Lin Yue, Weitong Chen, Xue Li, Wanli Zuo, and Minghao Yin. A survey of sentiment analysis in social media. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 60:617–663, 2019. 2
- [77] Stefanos Zafeiriou, Dimitrios Kollias, Mihalis A Nicolaou, Athanasios Papaioannou, Guoying Zhao, and Irene Kotsia. Aff-wild: Valence and arousal ‘in-the-wild’ challenge. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*, 2017 *IEEE Conference on*, pages 1980–1987. IEEE, 2017. 1
- [78] Wei Zhang, Zunhu Guo, Keyu Chen, Lincheng Li, Zhimeng Zhang, Yu Ding, Runze Wu, Tangjie Lv, and Changjie Fan. Prior aided streaming network for multi-task affective analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) Workshops*, pages 3539–3549, 2021. 2
- [79] Wei Zhang, Feng Qiu, Suzhen Wang, Hao Zeng, Zhimeng Zhang, Rudong An, Bowen Ma, and Yu Ding. Transformer-based multimodal information fusion for facial expression analysis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2428–2437, 2022. 2
- [80] Wei Zhang, Bowen Ma, Feng Qiu, and Yu Ding. Multi-modal facial affective analysis based on masked autoencoder. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5792–5801, 2023. 1
- [81] Xing Zhang, Lijun Yin, Jeffrey F Cohn, Shaun Canavan, Michael Reale, Andy Horowitz, Peng Liu, and Jeffrey M Girard. Bp4d-spontaneous: a high-resolution spontaneous 3d dynamic facial expression database. *Image and Vision Computing*, 32(10):692–706, 2014. 6
- [82] Yong Zhang, Weiming Dong, Bao-Gang Hu, and Qiang Ji. Classifier learning with prior probabilities for facial action unit recognition. In *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5108–5116, 2018. 2
- [83] Zengqun Zhao and Qingshan Liu. Former-dfer: Dynamic facial expression recognition transformer. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 1553–1561, 2021. 3
- [84] Zengqun Zhao, Qingshan Liu, and Shanmin Wang. Learning deep global multi-scale and local attention features for facial expression recognition in the wild. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 30:6544–6556, 2021. 1

- [85] Zheng Zhu, Guan Huang, Jiankang Deng, Yun Ye, Junjie Huang, Xinze Chen, Jiagang Zhu, Tian Yang, Jiwen Lu, Dalong Du, et al. Webface260m: A benchmark unveiling the power of million-scale deep face recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10492–10502, 2021. 4, 6