Kernel Modelling of Fading Memory Systems

Yongkang Huo, Thomas Chaffey, Rodolphe Sepulchre

Abstract— The paper introduces a kernel-based framework to model and identify time-invariant systems with the fading memory property. The key departure from the previous literature is to bypass the state-space representation of the model. Instead, a kernel representation is used to directly model the memory functional that maps past inputs to the present output. We explore the versatility of this approach to encode important system properties in the hyperparameters of the kernel. The approach is illustrated on the Hodgkin and Huxley model of neuronal excitability.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of machine learning has boosted our ability to identify nonlinear systems. Many models have been proposed for nonlinear system identification, both parametric [1]–[4] and non-parametric [5]. A particular area of recent interest has been in kernel-based identification methods [6]–[14]. It remains a challenge, however, to use identified models for control design, as they often lack guarantees on key system properties such as passivity and small gain. This issue has motivated the framework of "identification for control" [15]. Identifying models which satisfy pre-specified system properties has recently received interest [16], [17]. System properties such as Lipschitz continuity have become recognized as important robustness properties in the machine learning literature [18]–[21].

The recent article [22] introduced a kernel-based framework for system identification which allows the data fitting to be regularized with input-output properties specified in the form of incremental integral quadratic constraints. The method identifies an input-output operator, but the kernel representation of the operator lacks a state-space realization or a concrete manner to simulate the input-output trajectories forward in time.

To resolve this issue, the starting observation in the present paper is that any time-invariant causal operator is determined by a memory functional that maps the past of the input to the present output. This observation was also the starting point of the seminal paper [1] of Boyd and Chua, who defined fading memory as an elementary continuity property

Y. Huo and T. Chaffey are with the University of Cambridge, Department of Engineering, Trumpington Street, CB2 1PZ, {yh415, tlc37}@cam.ac.uk. R. Sepulchre is with KU Leuven, Department of Electrical Engineering (STADIUS), KasteelPark Arenberg, 10, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium, rodolphe.sepulchre@kuleuven.be.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the Advanced ERC Grant Agreement SpikyControl n.101054323. The work of Y. Huo was supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) grant 10671447 for the University of Cambridge Centre for Doctoral Training, the Department of Engineering. The work of T. Chaffey was supported by Pembroke College, Cambridge.

for such operators. The standard approach in the literature of fading memory systems has been to represent fading memory operators with state-space models. Here we bypass such statespace representations and consider instead a direct kernelbased representation of the fading memory functional.

We discuss the potential benefit of such direct representations to impose input-output properties difficult to capture with nonlinear state-space representations.

Our work is motivated by conductance-based models of spiking neurons. Such models lack the fading memory property and can exhibit complex input-output behaviors. Yet, they can be represented as port interconnections of circuit elements with the fading memory property [4]. Nonlinear state-space models of such "conductance-based models" lack elementary system properties required for system analysis and design, see e.g. [23]. With this motivation in mind, we apply our approach to the circuit elements of the Hodgkin–Huxley model of a neuron [24], and test the resulting model by simulating the neuron's action potential.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section II, we introduce some notation and background on fading memory. The novel kernel-based framework is introduced in Section III. We identify simple examples of systems by regularized least squares. In section IV, we introduce a new kernel that can be regarded as enforcing a linear parameter varying system representation. In section V, the LPV kernel is used to model the circuit elements of Hodgkin and Huxley. Conclusions are drawn in Section VI.

II. PRELIMINARIES

We consider time invariant, continuous time, causal systems represented by operators mapping *m*-dimensional input signals to *n*-dimensional output signals. We denote the input and output spaces by \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} , respectively. Input and output signals on the entire time axis \mathbb{R} are denoted $u_c \in \mathcal{U}$ and $y_c \in \mathcal{Y}$, respectively. We denote the spaces of past inputs by

$$\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}} \subseteq \{u(\cdot) : (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^m\}.$$

 $|\cdot|$ denotes the l^2 norm in \mathbb{R}^n . For $1 \le p < \infty$, the L^p norm is given by

$$||u||_p = \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 |u(t)|^p dt\right)^{1/p}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}_{past}$ and

$$|u_c||_p = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u_c(t)|^p dt\right)^{1/p},$$

for $u_c \in \mathcal{U}$.

The L_{∞} norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t} |u(t)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over the domain of u.

We borrow the following notation from Boyd and Chua [1]. A system is an operator $G: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{Y}$. Let U_{τ} denote the delay operator, $(U_{\tau}u_c)(t) := u(t + \tau)$. Then system G is said to be time invariant (TI) if $GU_{\tau}u_c = U_{\tau}Gu_c$. G is said to be causal if $u_c(t) = v_c(t)$ for $t \leq 0$ implies $(Gu_c)(t) = (Gv_c)(t)$. G is continuous if it is a continuous function $G: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{Y}$. Boyd and Chua [1] show that each TI causal operator G has a unique functional $F: \mathcal{U}_{past} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ mapping past input to current output, such that

$$Fu \coloneqq (Gu)(0).$$

We call this functional the *memory functional*. Each timeinvariant and causal operator G is uniquely determined by its memory functional F, and vice versa.

Define $P : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}$ to be the truncation operator, $(Pu_c)(t) := u_c(t), \forall t \leq 0$ and zero otherwise. Then we have

$$(Gu_c)(t) = FPU_t u_c.$$

Since PU_t is a continuous operator, G is continuous if and only if F is continuous. Hence we say that an operator G is continuous if and only if its memory functional $F : \mathcal{U}_{\text{past}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous: For all $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, such that, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}$

$$\sup_{t \le 0} |u(t) - v(t)| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |Fu - Fv| < \delta$$

Let H be a map between arbitrary normed spaces \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Y} . Then, if, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\|Hu - Hv\|_{\mathcal{V}} \le \lambda \, \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{U}},$$

 λ is said to be a *Lipschitz constant* of *H*, and *H* is said to be Lipschitz. This definition applies equally to operators and memory functionals.

Operator H is said to have *incremental small gain* if it has a Lipschitz contant less than or equal to 1. The Lipschitz constant is a bound on the incremental gain of the system, hence an important measure of robustness.

III. KERNEL MODELING OF FADING MEMORY SYSTEMS

In this section, we develop a kernel-based framework to learn the memory functional of a fading memory system.

We achieve this goal through several steps. Firstly, we generalize the definition of fading memory to allow an Hilbert space to be the input space. The motivation is to construct a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) of memory functionals. Secondly, we define the fading memory functional F in this RKHS and introduce a universal kernel that can effectively approximate all fading memory functionals. Thirdly, we explore how incremental small gain property of the system can be imposed through regularization, enhancing the stability and generalization of the kernel model. Finally, we illustrate the application of our kernel model on simple examples.

A. Fading memory

Fading memory is an important stability property which expresses that the influence of the past input on the current output fades away over time. A LTI system with fading memory property has a convolution representation. Fading memory was originally introduced to system approximation by Boyd and Chua [1], where it was shown that Volterra series are universal approximators of systems with fading memory. The concept later received attention in the context of robust control [25], [26]. Recently Gonon, Grigoryeva, and Ortega [27] introduced the *reservoir kernel* as a universal approximant for the Volterra series expansion of a fading memory operator.

Instead of the original fading memory definition in [1], we adopt and extend the fading memory norm definition of [28] and the concept of fading memory normed spaces of Grigoryeva and Ortega [2]. We use this definition to define the input signal space as a Hilbert space with fading memory norm, which enables in turn a kernel representation of the memory functional.

Let $w: (-\infty, 0] \to (0, 1]$ be a decaying weight, such that w(0) > 0 and $\lim_{t \to -\infty} w(t) = 0$. We define the (w, p) fading memory norm of a past signal x as

$$||u||_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}} := ||u||_{w,p} := ||uw||_p$$

and the corresponding (w, p) fading memory normed space as

$$\mathcal{W}_{w,p} \coloneqq \{ x : (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}^m \mid ||x||_{w,p} < \infty \}.$$

Fading memory is then defined as the continuity with respect to a fading memory norm. Note that compared with the fading memory norm in [1], this definition applies to any p norm.

Choosing p = 2 defines a Hilbert space with fading memory norm $||u||_{\mathcal{U}_{past}} = ||u||_{w,2}$ and inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{U}_{past}} = \langle u, v \rangle_{w} := \langle uw, vw \rangle$ and $\langle u, v \rangle$, denotes the inner product on L^2 .

Definition 1. Consider an operator $G : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{Y}$. For $\mathcal{U}_{past} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{w,p}$, we say that G has (w, p) fading memory if and only if its functional $F : \mathcal{U}_{past} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous with respect to the (w, p) fading memory norm: for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $u, v \in \mathcal{U}_{past}$,

$$\|u - v\|_{w,p} < \delta \Rightarrow |Fu - Fv| < \varepsilon.$$

Fading memory normed spaces will be used as input spaces when we construct RKHS of fading memory functionals. In addition, formulating fading memory in terms of continuity on a fading memory normed space provides flexibility to characterize functionals with multiple fading memory weights, considering the intersections of fading memory normed spaces is still a fading memory normed space.

B. Kernel representation of fading memory functionals

The theory of approximation in Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces is well-established for continuous functions in real vector spaces [29], and its has been extended to arbitrary separable Hilbert spaces in [30]. We combine these results with the vector valued kernels defined in [31] to approximate any continuous vector valued functional on a compact subset of a separable Hilbert space. Firstly, we define the RKHS of functionals $\{F : \mathcal{U}_{past} \to \mathbb{R}^n\}$, with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Definition 2 (RKHS). A mapping $K : \mathcal{U}_{past} \times \mathcal{U}_{past} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a *reproducing kernel* of \mathcal{H} if :

- 1) $K(\cdot, u)\alpha : \mathcal{U}_{\text{past}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a member of \mathcal{H} for all $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- 2) The *reproducing property* holds: for all $u \in U_{\text{past}}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $F \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$\langle \alpha, Fu \rangle = \langle F, K(\cdot, u)\alpha \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$
 (1)

┛

A *universal kernel* is one which can approximate all fading memory functionals:

Definition 3 (Universal kernel [32]). Let $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of all continuous functionals mapping \mathcal{U}_{past} to \mathbb{R}^n . Then if \mathcal{H} is dense in $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the corresponding kernel K is called a *universal kernel* of $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The following theorem of Christmann and Steinwart [30] shows how to find such a kernel for $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, when \mathcal{U}_{past} is a compact set.

Theorem 1 ([30, Thm. 2.2]). Let U_{past} be a compact subset of a separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U_{past}}$. Let $\sigma(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function that can be expressed by its Taylor series

$$\sigma(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^r$$

If $c_n > 0$ for all n then the kernel $k : \mathcal{U}_{past} \times \mathcal{U}_{past} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$k(u,v) = \sigma(\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{U}_{past}})$$

is a universal kernel of $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R})$.

Any universal kernel in $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}, \mathbb{R})$ induces a corresponding universal kernel in $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 2. For any universal kernel k in $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R})$

$$k_e(u,v) = k(u,v)I$$

is a universal kernel in $C(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Proof. Any functional F in $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be expressed as

$$Fu = [F_1u, \ldots, F_ju, \ldots, F_nu], F_j \in C(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Assume k is a universal kernel of $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}, \mathbb{R})$, then, by Moore-Aronszajn theorem, every F can be approximated arbitrarily closely by \hat{F} such that, for all $\alpha'_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_i, u \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}$,

$$\hat{F}u = \left[\sum_{i=0}^{l} \alpha'_{1,i} k(u_i, u), \dots, \sum_{i=0}^{l} \alpha'_{n,i} k(u_i, u)\right] \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{l} [\alpha'_{1,i}, \dots, \alpha'_{n,i}] k(u_i, u)$$
(3)

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{l} \alpha_i Ik(u_i, u), \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(4)

where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. \hat{F} then belongs to a kernel space with kernel $k_e(u, v) = Ik(u, v)$.

A particular example of a universal kernel of $C(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Gaussian-type Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel with $\sigma \neq 0$:

$$k_e(u,v) = I \exp\left(-\frac{\|u-v\|_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}}^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Using these results, if the input space \mathcal{U}_{past} is a compact subset of a separable Hilbert space, we can use kernel methods to approximate any functional in $C^0(\mathcal{U}_{past}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with a universal kernel. In Appendix I, we show that the set of bounded and rate limited signals is a compact subset of a separable Hilbert space with a fading memory norm. The same set of signals is adopted in the original work of Boyd and Chua.

C. Kernel regularized learning of memory functional

Kernel methods are popular in machine learning for their ability to *regularize* the data fit of the approximation.

Given a finite data set of past inputs and current outputs, together with a fading memory kernel, the representer theorem provides an optimal approximant with the fading memory property.

Theorem 3 (Representer Theorem [29, Thm. 8.7]). *Given l* past inputs and current outputs $(u_i, y_i(0)) \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{past} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, let \mathcal{H} an RKHS of functionals from $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{past}$ to \mathbb{R}^n . Then the regularized least-square problem

$$\min_{\hat{F} \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=0}^{l} |\hat{F}u_i - y_i(0)|^2 + \gamma \|\hat{F}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

has a unique solution given by

$$\hat{F}u = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \alpha_i k(u_i, u), \quad \hat{\alpha} = (K + \gamma I)^{-1} Y,$$

where $\hat{\alpha}$ is a column vector of α_i , $K_{i,j} = k(u_i, u_j)$ and Y is a column vector of $y_i(0)$.

The regularized least squares problem minimizes the sum of an empirical loss and the norm of the functional. Minimizing the empirical loss provides a good data fit whereas minimizing the functional norm gives better generality and robustness to noise in the data. The regularization parameter γ controls the trade-off between data fit and regularization.

With regularization on the fading memory functional, we can also impose the incremental small gain property on the learned system. In this part we will first show the relationship between the Lipschitz constant of the functional and the incremental gain of the system and hence prove that by regularizing the Lipschitz constant of the approximated functional, we can ensure that the approximated system has incremental small gain.

First, we define Lipschitz Kernels.

Definition 4. A kernel k(u, v) is said to have *Lipschitz* constant r if

$$|k(u,u) - 2k(u,v) + k(v,v)| \le r^2 ||u - v||_{\mathcal{U}_{rest}}^2,$$
 (5)

for some r > 0. It is said to be an non-expansive kernel in [22] when $0 < r \le 1$.

Lemma 1. If a kernel has Lipschitz constant r, then

$$|Fu - Fv| \le r ||F||_{\mathcal{H}} ||u - v||_{\mathcal{U}_{past}}.$$

This lemma is a direct application of [22][lemma 1]. Van Waarde and Sepulchre [22][prop. 2] provide several examples of kernels which satisfy property (5). In particular, the Gaussian-type RBF-kernel is a universal kernel with Lipschitz constant $r = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$. We now give a condition on the Lipschitz constant of the functional which guarantees an incremental small gain operator.

Theorem 4 (Incremental small gain regularization). Let \mathcal{U}_{past} be a subset of a separable Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{U}_{past}}^2 = \|\cdot\|_{w,2}^2$. Assume that the weight function w satisfies $\int_{-\infty}^0 w(t)dt < \infty$. Suppose $G : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{Y}$, and its memory functional $F : \mathcal{U}_{past} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant β . Then G has incremental small gain if $\beta^2 < \frac{1}{c^2}$, where $c := \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 w(t)^2 dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. For $u_c, v_c \in \mathcal{U}$, let $u(t, \cdot)$ and $v(t, \cdot)$ denote the truncated u_c and v_c at time t such that

$$u(t,\tau) = \begin{cases} u_c(t+\tau) & \tau \le 0\\ 0 & \tau > 0 \end{cases}$$

It follows that $Pu_t, Pv_t \in \mathcal{U}_{past}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gu_c - Gv_c\|_2^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |Gu_c(t) - Gv_c(t)|^2 dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |FPu(t, \cdot) - FPv(t, \cdot)|^2 dt \\ &< \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^2 \|Pu(t, \cdot) - Pv(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{U}_{past}}^2 dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} |u_c(t+\tau) - v_c(t+\tau)|^2 w(\tau)^2 d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let $w'_i(\tau) = w_i(\tau)$ for $\tau \leq 0$ and $w'_i(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau > 0$. Then

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u_c(\tau) - v_c(\tau)|^2 w'(t+\tau)^2 d\tau dt$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^2 |u_c(\tau) - v_c(\tau)|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w'(t+\tau)^2 dt d\tau.$$

Note that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w'_i (t+\tau)^2 dt = c^2$, so we have

$$c^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^{2} |u_{c}(\tau) - v_{c}(\tau)|^{2} d\tau = \beta^{2} c^{2} ||u_{c} - v_{c}||_{2}^{2}.$$

If $\beta^2 < \frac{1}{c^2}$, it follows that the operator G has incremental small gain.

To impose incremental small gain on the approximated system, as described in Theorem 4, it suffices to choose a sufficiently large value for γ . Obviously, there exists a trade-off between the size of the bias γ and the incremental gain of the approximated system: enforcing a smaller gain requires a larger bias.

D. Examples

In this section, we illustrate the proposed method on elementary examples. The code for these examples can be found at https://github.com/Huoyongtony/Reg ularized-Learning-of-Nonlinear-Fading-Mem ory-Systems.

Example 1 (Choosing different fading memory norm spaces). To illustrate the role of the fading memory norm, we apply the kernel approximation to the LTI system

$$H(s) = \frac{s+1}{(s+3)(s+10)}$$

Using our prior knowledge that the system is linear, we choose a linear model defined by a bilinear kernel. We assume the input space is a fading memory normed space with a exponential fading memory weight, $w(t) = e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}t}$:

$$||u||_{\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}} = ||u||_w = \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 u(t)^2 e^{\lambda t} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The corresponding inner product is

$$\langle u, v \rangle_w = \int_{-\infty}^0 u(t)v(t)e^{\lambda t}dt,$$

which also defines the *canonical* bilinear kernel. The following experiment shows the effect of varying the rate λ .

To generate the training data set, we simulate the LTI system with 100 sine waves of different frequencies (e^{-5} to e^{5} rad/s). We consider the output value of each response at t = 2s and the corresponding past input in the last 2 second as training data. We then test the step response of the approximated system.



Fig. 1. Approximating LTI with linear kernel, solid line is real system, dashed lines are approximated systems

The results of this experiment are shown in Figure 1. If the fading memory decays slower than the slowest pole of the system, given enough data, the approximation is always accurate. If the fading memory decays faster than the slowest pole, the approximation become less accurate but still captures the fast dynamics. If the fading memory decays faster than all poles, the approximation error is large. *Example* 2 (Kernel regularized learning of nonlinear systems). We consider a saturated first-order lag with equation

$$\dot{x} = -5x + u, y = \operatorname{sat}(x), \operatorname{sat}(x) = \begin{cases} -5 & x < -5 \\ x & |x| \le 5 \\ 5 & x > 5. \end{cases}$$

We choose an exponential fading memory with $\lambda = 4$. Again, we probed the system with sine waves of different frequencies (angular speed 0-30 rad/s) and amplitude (0-50). We took 3 points from the output, at times 0.666s, 1.333s and 2s, and their corresponding past input in the last 2 seconds from each response as training data. The system was approximated with a Gaussian RBF kernel (v = 0.25).

Figures 2 and 3 show the output of the original and approximate systems when subject to step inputs, for two different values of the regularization constant γ . The step response experiments illustrate that a higher regularization constant favors a smoother input-output behavior. This is expected as we have proved that regularization can control the Lipschitz constant β . Moreover, a higher regularization constant gives better generality and better robustness to noise in the data. For the value $\gamma = 0.01$, the Lipschitz constant of the approximated operator is about 11.



Fig. 2. Solid lines are outputs of the original system, dashed lines are outputs of an RBF kernel approximation. Each color represents a different step input. $\gamma = 1e^{-4}$.



Fig. 3. Solid lines are outputs of the original system, dashed lines are outputs of an RBF kernel approximation. Each color represents a different step input. $\gamma = 0.01$.

E. Comparison with previous frameworks

Model representations that can approximate fading memory systems have received broad attention in the literature. The model representation considered in the original paper of Boyd and Chua is a state-space model of the Hammerstein-type: a bank of linear filters that maps the input signal to a LTI statespace in \mathbb{R}^N followed by a static non-linearity readout that maps the state in \mathbb{R}^N to output in \mathbb{R}^n . The authors proved that this bank of linear filters can serve as a bijection between a compact signal set in $\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}$ and the state-space \mathbb{R}^N if N is large enough. In this case, for a given filter bank, the approximation problem reduces to approximating a nonlinear readout that maps the state to output. Boyd and Chua chose polynomials as the universal approximators of the nonlinear readout and the combination of bank of filters and polynomial readout is in fact a Volterra series. In practice, for a data set of finite dimension, we only need a finite N that is large enough for the bank of filters to be a bijection between the data set and state-space.

Obviously the limitation of the above approach is that the bijection between a compact set in \mathcal{U}_{past} and \mathbb{R}^N is a theoretical concept.

Maas and Sontag [3] considered a neural-network representation of fading memory systems, providing an interesting bridge to approximation by artificial neural networks. Building upon this work, Maass and Markram introduced the concept of *reservoir computing/liquid state machine* [33]. The main idea is as follows: given a complex dynamical system with multiple inputs and a readout, it is highly likely that this dynamical system is a continuous bijective map from the signal data set to \mathbb{R}^N provided that the system dynamics are "rich enough," hence the *reservoir* terminology.

Expanding on the work on reservoir computing, Grigoryeva and Ortega [2] used artificial neural networks as the universal approximators of the nonlinear readout. This approach represents a step forward towards an algorithmic identification method.

All models above rely on the same conceptual construction: compactness of the set of past signals U_{past} guarantees uniform convergence of the approximation by the classical Stone-Weierstrass theorem. The methods of approximation then follow these steps:

- 1) Project data points from compact set \mathcal{U}_{past} to \mathbb{R}^N , where N is chosen large enough to define a bijective continuous map $\phi : \mathcal{U}_{past} \to \mathbb{R}^N$.
- 2) Approximate the function from the projected data in \mathbb{R}^N to the outputs in \mathbb{R}^n using a universal approximator, such as a polynomial, sigmoid gate, or kernel. This produces a function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$.
- 3) Obtain the approximated functional $\hat{F} = \hat{f} \circ \phi$.

All the methods above suffer from the same limitation: the bijection between the infinite dimensional set of signals and the finite-dimensional state-space is defined theoretically but not algorithmically.

Secondly, all the methods suffer the limitation of nonlinear state-space models to capture incremental input-output properties. This limitation has been a key motivation for the present work, see [23] for further details.

A key novelty in the present paper is to bypass the problem of finding a bijection from the data set to state-space $\phi : \mathcal{U}_{\text{past}} \to \mathbb{R}^N$. Furthermore, as will be discussed in the rest of the paper, the kernel framework provides a versatile approximation methodology to encode input-output properties in the model structure.

IV. LINEAR PARAMETER VARYING FADING MEMORY SYSTEMS

In section III-D, we illustrated how to learn a simple nonlinear system from input-output data. But for such a simple system, we need a lot of data and the generalization properties of the model beyond the data fit are not guaranteed. This is the limitation of all universal approximators.

The potential of a kernel-based framework lies in encoding relevant a prior information about the system in the choice of the kernel.

We illustrate this potential with the choice of a particular kernel that enforces gain-scheduling properties akin to those of the linear-parameter varying (LPV) framework. LPV systems are systems that have linear behavior at all "operating points". The parameters of the LTI model depend on a time-varying "scheduling variable".

An example of a scheduling variable for the memory functional of a fading memory system is the linear functional $\langle \cdot, w \rangle$. This inner product provides the current value of the convolution of input with impulse response w. This "filtered" past input provides a measure of the past input amplitude in the w-norm. In the frequency domain, the gain scheduling variable is simply the Laplace transform of u at $s = \lambda$:

$$\langle u, w \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{0} u(t) e^{\lambda t} dt = U(\lambda).$$

We consider the following LPV kernel:

$$k(u,v) = \exp\left(\frac{(\langle u,w\rangle - \langle v,w\rangle)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \langle u,v\rangle_w.$$
 (6)

This kernel weights the dot product kernel with a scalar weight that only depends on the scheduling variable. It defines a kernel as the product of two kernels.

For a data set consisting of N past inputs and current outputs $\{u_i, y(0)_i\}_N$, the representer theorem provides a model of the form:

$$y(0)^* = \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \exp\left(\frac{\langle u^*, w \rangle - c_i}{2\sigma^2}\right) \langle u^*, u_i \rangle_w, \qquad (7)$$

where $c_i = \frac{\langle u_i, w \rangle \rangle^2}{2\sigma^2}$, u^* is the test input and $y(0)^*$ is the predicted output.

This expression shows that the resulting model is a linear combination of LTI models, in which the weight of each linear system $\langle u^*, u_i \rangle_w$ reflects how similar the past input u is to the data u_i in terms of their Laplace transform at $s = \lambda$: $(\langle u, w \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle)^2 = (U(\lambda) - U_i(\lambda))^2$ for U(s) the Laplace transform of u_i .

In that sense, the least-square approximation can be regarded as a non-parametric identification method for a fading memory LPV system. Most recent non-parametric LPV identification methods [34]–[36] start from a state-space representation. Here the LPV structure is embedded in the choice of the kernel, making the identification process of LPV systems no different from the identification of a family of linear systems parameterized by the "magnitude" of past inputs.

A. Kernel learning of nonlinear systems with LPV kernel

To illustrate how the choice of a kernel can improve the generalization ability of the identification method, we apply the LPV kernel on the simple nonlinear system analyzed in the previous section (with a saturation threshold of 0.5 rather than 5).

We consider two sets of data. The first set only contains 20 step responses with input step size from -10 to 10. The second set only contains responses of 20 sine waves with amplitude 0 to 10 at frequency $\omega = 5$ rad/s and $\omega = 10$ rad/s. Then we select 50 points from the output with uniform time step and their corresponding past input up to 1000 time step, giving to a total of 1000 data point pairs for each data set.

Figure 4 illustrates the result of training both an RBF model and a LPV model with the step response data set and test it with a sine wave from the sine data set. Conversely, Figure 5 illustrates the result of training both models with the sine data set and testing them with a step in the step response data set.



Fig. 4. RBF and LPV model trained with step responses and a test with sin wave. $\gamma = 0.0006, \sigma = 1, \lambda = 5$.



Fig. 5. RBF and LPV trained with step responses and a test with sin wave. $\gamma = 0.0006, \sigma = 1, \lambda = 5$.

Both experiments suggest that the LPV kernel generalizes much better than the RBF kernel, which is not surprising given the nature of the saturated LTI system.

V. A KERNEL-BASED APPROXIMATION OF HODGKIN-HUXLEY MODEL

In their seminal paper [24], Hodgkin and Huxley modelled the excitable behaviors of a biophysical neuron as a parallel port interconnection of a leaky capacitor and two current sources, the so-called potassium and sodium ion channel currents.

They designed an experiment to identify the input-output response of each ion channel current separately, from a series of step input responses of different magnitude. Figure 6 reproduces the experimental responses recorded for the potassium current. Those step responses suggest a current-voltage relationship with fading memory and positive conductance.



Fig. 6. Graph of data of conductance of potassium ion channel under different polarisation used in Hodgkin-Huxley model [24, Fig. 3].

Hodgkin and Huxley proposed the following state-space model for the potassium channel:

$$y = 36n^{4}(u - V_{K})$$

$$\dot{n} = \alpha_{n}(u)(1 - n) - \beta_{n}(u)n,$$

$$\alpha_{n}(u) = \frac{0.1 - 0.01(-V_{r} + u)}{\exp(1 - 0.1(-V_{r} + u)) - 1}$$

$$\beta_{n}(u) = \frac{0.125}{\exp(0.0125(-V_{r} + u))}$$

with $V_r = -65.1$ and $V_K = -77$. V_r is the resting potential of the neuron.

We propose to use the LPV kernel introduced in the previous section to model the potassium channel.

$$k(u,v) = \exp\left(\frac{(\langle u,w\rangle - \langle v,w\rangle)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \langle u,v\rangle_w u(0)v(0).$$
 (8)

We can see this LPV kernel retains the conductance structure $I(0)/(V(0) - V_k)$ by the multiplication of the instantaneous voltage u(0).

The LPV model is trained as follows: we collect 50 step responses from the system with step amplitude -4.9 to 95.1, and -65.1 being the as initial condition. For each experiment, we apply a step and record its response for 10 seconds. We construct the data set by collecting 20 points uniformly sampled from each recorded response and their corresponding past input up to the last 10 second.

Figure 8 compares the current output of the LPV model and state-space model for a spiky input.



Fig. 7. Open loop response of LPV model of potassium channel compared with the true potassium channel. Orange line is the true response and blue line is the response from the approximated system $\gamma = 0.0001, \sigma = 10, \lambda = 0.2$.

We proceed similarly to identify the LPV model of the sodium channel current, for which Hodgkin and Huxley identified the following state-space model:

$$y = 120m^{3}h(u - V_{N}a)$$

$$\dot{m} = \alpha_{m}(u)(1 - m) - \beta_{m}(u)m$$

$$\dot{h} = \alpha_{h}(u)(1 - h) - \beta_{h}(u)h$$

$$\alpha_{m}(u) = \frac{2.5 - 0.1(-V_{r} + u)}{\exp(2.5 - 0.1(-V_{r} + u)) - 1}$$

$$\beta_{m}(u) = \frac{4}{\exp((-V_{r} + u)/18}$$

$$\alpha_{h}(u) = \frac{0.07}{\exp(0.05(-V_{r} + u))}$$

$$\beta_{h}(u) = \frac{1}{\exp(3 - 0.1(-V_{r} + u)) + 1}$$

with $V_r = -65.1$ and $V_{Na} = 50$.

To acknowledge the two distinct time scales of this fading memory system, we identify the sodium conductance with the LPV kernel

$$k(u,v) = \exp\left(\frac{(\langle u, w_1 \rangle - \langle u, w_1 \rangle)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right)$$

$$\cdot \exp\left(\frac{(\langle u, w_2 \rangle - \langle u, w_2 \rangle)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right) \langle u, v \rangle_{w_1} u(0)v(0). \quad (9)$$

where the distinct weights w_1 and w_2 are meant to capture the two distinct time constants of activation and inactivation of the channel.

Similarly to the potassium channel, the LPV kernel with conductance structure is used to identify the memory functional of the sodium channel.

We train the model from the following data: we collect 40 step and impulse responses from the system with step amplitude -4.9 to 95.1, and -65.1 as initial condition. For each experiment, we apply a step and record its response for 13

second, following by an impulse and we record the response for another 2 second.

In this case, the data set consists of two distinct parts. The first part is the step response data, which is constructed by collecting 25 points uniformly sampled from each recorded 15 second response and their corresponding past input up to the last 15 second. The second part is the impulse response data at different operating point. This data set is constructed by collecting 25 points uniformly sampled from the last 2 second of each recorded response and their corresponding past input up to last 15 second.

Figure 8 compares the sodium channel current output of the LPV model and state-space model. λ_w refer to the decaying rate of w and λ_{w_2} refer to the decaying rate of w_2 .



Fig. 8. Open loop response of LPV model of sodium channel compared with the true sodium channel. Orange line is the true response and blue line is the response from the approximated system $\gamma = 0.0000001, \sigma = 9.5, \sigma_2 = 2.5, \lambda_w = 0.2, \lambda_{w_2} = 1.7$.

Finally we test the performance of the LPV models in the full neuronal circuit consisting of a leaky capacitor in parallel with the potassium and sodium currents. Figure 9 illustrates the result of applying a noisy input to the circuit.



Fig. 9. Closed loop response of the approximated model. Blue line is the true response and orange line is the response from the approximated system.

The kernel model regarded as an approximation of the statespace Hodgkin-Huxley model is quite reliable in predicting the timing of spikes. This result is quite encouraging considering that this model is obtained with limited data, and that the spiking behavior is quite different from the fading memory behavior of the circuit elements.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a kernel-based framework for modelling and identification of nonlinear fading memory systems. The proposed framework departs from the state-space representations that have dominated the literature on fading memory systems. In contrast, we use a kernel to model the memory functional.

Kernel approximations are well suited for regularized learning and to encode a prior knowledge about input-output properties.

We illustrated the value of LPV kernels when modelling systems that have linear response for inputs of a given "amplitude". Finally, we illustrated the potential of this framework for the identification of non-fading memory systems such as the excitable nonlinear circuit of Hodgkin and Huxley. The LPV kernel captures the fading memory properties of the circuit components, and the interconnected circuit captures the excitability property of the state-space model. Future work will exploit the proposed kernel representation for system analysis of neuronal circuits.

Appendix I Compactness with respect to the fading memory NORM

In general, it is difficult to find a useful class of input signals which is compact with respect to an L_p norm. However, Boyd and Chua [1] observed that the set of bounded and rate-limited input signals is compact with respect to a fading memory norm. In this section, we develop this result for arbitrary fading memory normed spaces.

Definition 5. We define the set \mathcal{U}_{past} to be the set of signals $u \in \mathcal{W}_{w,p}$ such that there exist some $M_1, M_2 \ge 0$ such that, for all $s, t \in (-\infty, 0]$, $|u(t)| \le M_1$ and $|u(s) - u(t)| \le M_2 |s - t|$.

The following lemma generalizes [2, Corollary 2.7] to arbitrary norms in continuous time.

Lemma 2. $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{past}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{W}_{w,p}$.

Proof. We show that $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\text{past}}$ is compact with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{w,p}$, for any w and p. To do this, we need to show that every sequence in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\text{past}}$ has a convergent sub-sequence. Boyd and Chua [1, Lem. 1] shows that every sequence in $\mathcal{U}_{\text{past}}$ has a sub-sequence which converges in the infinity norm $\|\cdot\|_{w,\infty}$ when the output dimension m = 1. This can be extend to m > 1 by combining the converging sub-sequence in each coordinate to construct a sub-sequence that converge in all coordinates. We now show that such a sub-sequence $u_n \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\text{past}}$, and let u_{n_k} be a converging sub-sequence: $\exists u_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{\text{past}}$

$$\|u_{n_k} - u_0\|_{w,\infty} \to 0, k \to \infty.$$

We know that:

$$||u_{n_k} - u_0||_{w,p} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 |(u_{n_k}(t) - u_0(t))w(t)|^p \, dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
(10)

Since the infinite norm of $|(u_{n_k}(t) - u_0(t))w(t)|^p$ tends to zero, as $k \to \infty$. This is uniform convergence, so $||u_{n_k} - u_0||_{w,p} \to 0$. Hence, $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{past}$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{W}_{w,p}$. \Box

Remark: If $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{past} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{w_j,2}$, since L^2 is a separable Hilbert space, $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{past}$ is a compact subset of a separable Hilbert space.

REFERENCES

- S. Boyd and L. Chua, "Fading memory and the problem of approximating nonlinear operators with volterra series," *IEEE Transactions* on Circuits and Systems, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 1150–1161, 1985, ISSN: 0098-4094. DOI: 10.1109/TCS.1985.1085649.
- [2] L. Grigoryeva and J.-P. Ortega, "Echo state networks are universal," *Neural Networks*, vol. 108, pp. 495–508, 2018, ISSN: 08936080. DOI: 10.1016/j.neunet.2018.08.025.
- [3] W. Maass and E. Sontag, "Neural systems as nonlinear filters," *Neural Computation*, vol. 12, 2000. DOI: 10.1162 / 089976600300015123.
- [4] T. B. Burghi, M. Schoukens, and R. Sepulchre, System identification of biophysical neuronal models, 2020. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv. 2012.07691. arXiv: 2012.07691[cs, eess, q-bio].
- [5] N. M. Boffi, S. Tu, and J.-J. Slotine, "Nonparametric adaptive control and prediction: Theory and randomized algorithms," in 2021 60th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), Austin, TX, USA: IEEE, 2021, pp. 2935–2942, ISBN: 978-1-66543-659-5. DOI: 10.1109/ CDC45484.2021.9682907.
- [6] G. Pillonetto and G. De Nicolao, "A new kernel-based approach for linear system identification," *Automatica*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 81–93, 2010, ISSN: 0005-1098. DOI: 10.1016/j.automatica.2009. 10.031.
- [7] G. Pillonetto, M. H. Quang, and A. Chiuso, "A New Kernel-Based Approach for NonlinearSystem Identification," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 56, no. 12, pp. 2825–2840, 2011, ISSN: 0018-9286. DOI: 10.1109/TAC.2011.2131830.
- [8] G. Pillonetto, F. Dinuzzo, T. Chen, G. De Nicolao, and L. Ljung, "Kernel methods in system identification, machine learning and function estimation: A survey," *Automatica*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 657–682, 2014, ISSN: 0005-1098. DOI: 10.1016/j.automatica.2014. 01.001.
- [9] F. Dinuzzo, "Kernels for Linear Time Invariant System Identification," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 3299– 3317, 2015, ISSN: 0363-0129. DOI: 10.1137/130920319.
- [10] J. Bouvrie and B. Hamzi, "Kernel Methods for the Approximation of Nonlinear Systems," *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 2460–2492, 2017, ISSN: 0363-0129, 1095-7138. DOI: 10.1137/14096815X.
- [11] M. Khosravi and R. S. Smith, "On Robustness of Kernel-Based Regularized System Identification," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 19th IFAC Symposium on System Identification SYSID 2021, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 749–754, 2021, ISSN: 2405-8963. DOI: 10.1016/j.ifacol. 2021.08.451.
- [12] M. Khosravi and R. S. Smith, "Kernel-based identification with frequency domain side-information," *Automatica*, vol. 150, p. 110813, 2023, ISSN: 0005-1098. DOI: 10.1016/j.automatica.2022. 110813.
- L. Ljung, T. Chen, and B. Mu, "A shift in paradigm for system identification," *International Journal of Control*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 173–180, 2020, ISSN: 0020-7179. DOI: 10.1080/00207179.2019.1578407.
- [14] G. Bottegal, A. Y. Aravkin, H. Hjalmarsson, and G. Pillonetto, "Robust EM kernel-based methods for linear system identification," *Automatica*, vol. 67, pp. 114–126, 2016, ISSN: 0005-1098. DOI: 10.1016/j. automatica.2016.01.036.
- [15] M. Gevers, "Identification for control," Annual Reviews in Control, vol. 20, pp. 95–106, 1996, ISSN: 1367-5788. DOI: 10.1016/S1367– 5788 (97) 00008-4.
- [16] M. Revay, R. Wang, and I. R. Manchester, "Recurrent Equilibrium Networks: Unconstrained Learning of Stable and Robust Dynamical Models," in 2021 60th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), 2021, pp. 2282–2287. DOI: 10.1109/CDC45484.2021. 9683054.
- [17] M. Revay, R. Wang, and I. R. Manchester, "A Convex Parameterization of Robust Recurrent Neural Networks," *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1363–1368, 2021, ISSN: 2475-1456. DOI: 10.1109/ LCSYS.2020.3038221.

- [18] N. B. Erichson, O. Azencot, A. Queiruga, L. Hodgkinson, and M. W. Mahoney, "Lipschitz Recurrent Neural Networks," presented at the International Conference on Learning Representations, 2023.
- [19] E. Winston and J. Z. Kolter, "Monotone operator equilibrium networks," in *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, ser. NIPS'20, Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc., 2020, pp. 10718–10728, ISBN: 978-1-71382-954-6.
- [20] M. Revay and I. Manchester, "Contracting Implicit Recurrent Neural Networks: Stable Models with Improved Trainability," in *Proceedings* of the 2nd Conference on Learning for Dynamics and Control, PMLR, 2020, pp. 393–403.
- [21] M. Fazlyab, A. Robey, H. Hassani, M. Morari, and G. J. Pappas, "Efficient and accurate estimation of lipschitz constants for deep neural networks," in *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 1025, Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc., 2019, pp. 11427–11438.
- [22] H. J. van Waarde and R. Sepulchre, Kernel-based models for system analysis, 2021. arXiv: 2110.11735[math].
- [23] R. Sepulchre, T. Chaffey, and F. Forni, "On the incremental form of dissipativity," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 25th International Symposium on Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems MTNS 2022, vol. 55, no. 30, pp. 290–294, 2022, ISSN: 2405-8963. DOI: 10.1016/j. ifacol.2022.11.067.
- [24] A. L. Hodgkin and A. F. Huxley, "A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve," *The Journal of Physiology*, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 500–544, 1952, ISSN: 0022-3751.
- [25] J. S. Shamma and R. Zhao, "Fading-memory feedback systems and robust stability," *Automatica*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 191–200, 1993, ISSN: 0005-1098. DOI: 10.1016/0005-1098(93)90182-S.
- [26] I. Sandberg, "Approximately finite memory and the circle criterion," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory* and Applications, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 473–476, 1994, ISSN: 1558-1268. DOI: 10.1109/81.298359.
- [27] L. Gonon, L. Grigoryeva, and J.-P. Ortega. "Reservoir kernels and Volterra series." arXiv: arXiv: 2212.14641. (2022), [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.14641 (visited on 03/29/2023), preprint.
- [28] M. Matthews and G. Moschytz, "The identification of nonlinear discrete-time fading-memory systems using neural network models," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing*, vol. 41, no. 11, pp. 740–751, 1994, Conference Name: IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing, ISSN: 1558-125X. DOI: 10.1109/82. 331544.
- [29] V. I. Paulsen and M. Raghupathi, An Introduction to the Theory of Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016, ISBN: 978-1-107-10409-9. DOI: 10.1017/CB09781316219232.
- [30] A. Christmann and I. Steinwart, "Universal kernels on non-standard input spaces," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 23, Curran Associates, Inc., 2010.
- [31] C. A. Micchelli and M. Pontil, "On learning vector-valued functions," *Neural Computation*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 177–204, 2005, ISSN: 0899-7667, 1530-888X. DOI: 10.1162/0899766052530802.
- [32] A. Caponnetto, C. A. Micchelli, M. Pontil, and Y. Ying, "Universal multi-task kernels," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 9, no. 52, pp. 1615–1646, 2008, ISSN: 1533-7928.
- [33] W. Maass and H. Markram, "On the computational power of circuits of spiking neurons," *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 593–616, 2004, ISSN: 0022-0000. DOI: 10.1016/j. jcss.2004.04.001.
- [34] P. L. dos Santos and T.-P. A. Perdicoúlis, "A non-parametric LPV approach to the indentification of linear periodic systems," *IFAC*-*PapersOnLine*, 4th IFAC Workshop on Linear Parameter Varying Systems LPVS 2021, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 13–19, 2021, ISSN: 2405-8963. DOI: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2021.08.574.
- [35] E. S. Tehrani and R. E. Kearney, "A non-parametric linear parameter varying approach for identification of linear time-varying systems.," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 17th IFAC Symposium on System Identification SYSID 2015, vol. 48, no. 28, pp. 733–738, 2015, ISSN: 2405-8963. DOI: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.12.217.
- [36] E. Sobhani Tehrani and R. E. Kearney, "A non-parametric approach for identification of parameter varying hammerstein systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 6348–6362, 2022, ISSN: 2169-3536. DOI: 10. 1109/ACCESS.2022.3141704.



Yongkang Huo received a engineering degree in 2022, from the University of Cambridge, UK. He is now doing his Ph.D with Rodolphe Sepulchre in engineering in the University of Cambridge. His research interests are in nonlinear control and machine learning.



Thomas Chaffey (S17) received a B.Sc. (advmath) in mathematics and computer science in 2015 and an M.P.E. in mechanical engineering in 2018, from the University of Sydney, Australia. He received a Ph.D. in engineering from the University of Cambridge, UK, in 2022. He currently holds the Maudslay–Butler Research Fellowship at Pembroke College, University of Cambridge. His research interests are in nonlinear systems, circuits and optimization. He received the Best Student Paper Award at the 2021 European

Control Conference and the Outstanding Student Paper Award at the 2021 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control.



Rodolphe Sepulchre received the engineering degree (1990) and the PhD degree (1994), both from the Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium. He is professor of engineering at the KU Leuven (Belgium) and at the University of Cambridge (UK). He is a fellow of IFAC (2020), IEEE (2009), and SIAM (2015). He received the IEEE CSS Antonio Ruberti Young Researcher Prize in 2008 and the IEEE CSS George S. Axelby Outstanding Paper Award in 2020. He was elected at the Royal Academy of Belgium

in 2013. He is Editor-in-Chief of IEEE Control Systems. He co-authored the monographs Constructive Nonlinear Control (1997, with M. Jankovic and P. Kokotovic) and Optimization on Matrix Manifolds (2008, with P.-A. Absil and R. Mahony). His current research interests are focused in nonlinear control, with a focus on the feedback control principles of neuronal circuits.