

On black bounce space-times in non-linear electrodynamics

G. Alencar,^{1,*} Kirill A. Bronnikov,^{2,3,4,†} Manuel E. Rodrigues,^{5,6,‡}

Diego Sáez-Chillón Gómez,^{7,1,§} and Marcos V. de S. Silva^{1,8,¶}

¹*Department of Physics, Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC),
Campus do Pici, Fortaleza - CE, C.P. 6030, 60455-760 - Brazil*

²*Center of Gravitation and Fundamental Metrology,
VNIIMS, Ozyornaya ulitsa 46, Moscow 119361, Russia*

³*Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, ulitsa Miklukho-Maklaya 6, Moscow, 117198, Russia*

⁴*National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI", Kashirskoe shosse 31, Moscow 115409, Russia*

⁵*Faculdade de Ciências Exatas e Tecnologia, Universidade Federal do Pará
Campus Universitário de Abaetetuba, 68440-000, Abaetetuba, Pará, Brazil*

⁶*Faculdade de Física, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Física,
Universidade Federal do Pará, 66075-110, Belém, Pará, Brazil*

⁷*Department of Theoretical, Atomic and Optical Physics, Campus Miguel Delibes,
University of Valladolid UVA, Paseo Belén, 7, 47011 Valladolid, Spain*

⁸*Faculdade de Física, Universidade Federal do Pará,
Campus Universitário de Salinópolis, 68721-000, Salinópolis, Pará, Brazil*

One of the main issues in gravitation is the presence of singularities in the most common space-time solutions of General Relativity, as the case of black holes. A way of constructing regular solutions that remove spacelike singularities consists in implement a bounce on such space-time, leading to what is usually known as black bounce space-times. Such space-times are known to describe regular black holes or traversable wormholes. However, one of the main issues lies on reconstructing the appropriate source that leads to such a solution. In this paper, a reconstruction method is implemented to show that such types of metrics can be well accommodated in non-linear electrodynamics with the presence of a scalar field. Some of the most important black bounces solutions are reconstructed in this framework, both in 3+1 as in 2+1 dimensions. For the first time in the literature, these solutions have an electrically charged source of matter from non-linear electrodynamics. Specific features are indicated that distinguish electric sources from magnetic ones, previously found for the same space-times.

arXiv:2403.12897v3 [gr-qc] 28 Jul 2024

* geova@fisica.ufc.br

† kb20@yandex.ru

‡ esialg@gmail.com

§ diego.saez@uva.es

¶ marco2s303@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Space-time singularities arise commonly in Einstein’s theory of General Relativity (GR) under very natural assumptions [1], which are known to push the validity limits of the theory. Nevertheless, those solutions containing spacelike or timelike singularities, as black holes or Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker space-times, seem to describe accurately well real physical systems. In particular, black holes are known to be the final state of critical collapse for those massive stars that, after the nuclear fuel is over, do not get stabilized as the degeneration pressure is not sufficient to hold the star [2]. In GR, such collapsing objects form remediless a spacelike singularity and eventually an event horizon that hides the geodesics incompleteness for external observers. Hence, over the last decades a great effort has been followed on understanding such objects as the edges of GR. In addition, these solutions have also attracted a lot of attention since the first detection of gravitational waves which are known to be generated by the coalescence of binary systems formed by black holes and/or neutron stars [3]. Also, reconstruction of the images surrounding supermassive black holes at the centers of M87 galaxy and the Milky Way have provided new ways of analyzing such objects in the search for GR extensions and new physics [4, 5].

Then, one may say that this is the starting point of a new era in gravitational physics, when precise tests at the strong-field regime of gravity can be performed. In this sense, going beyond GR (and/or beyond the Standard Model of Particles) means that one may leave behind the family of solutions that describe every black hole in GR, the so-called Kerr family, in which all the features of a particular black hole are characterized by just three parameters: its mass, angular momentum and charge, as states the no-hair theorem. However, beyond standard physics, other types of solutions are found, which might describe black holes as well but also other more exotic objects as wormholes, gravastars or boson stars, among many others (for a taxonomy on compact objects see [6]). Nevertheless, the search for black hole-like solutions that remain regular everywhere is being one of the main scenarios explored currently. While the first work pointing to such possibility now is half a century old [7], many others have been published recently, specially in the aim of exploring theories beyond GR or high dimensions [8–13]. In this sense, some models explore the possibility of removing the space-time region containing the singularity and matching it to another regular region through — generally — a wormhole, while some others push the geodesics away from the singularity to an infinite value of its affine parameter (see, for instance, [14]).

Another simple way of regularizing some well-known solutions from GR was proposed in [15], where basically the radius of the 2-sphere is forced to be bounded from below, such that the inner region of such a static object reaches a minimum size. In a globally static case, a wormhole throat connects the original region with another exterior and regular region, making, as a whole, a traversable wormhole. In cases where the solution contains an event horizon, and the minimum size is reached in a nonstatic region beyond it, such a minimum is called a black bounce (BB), and the whole configuration then has the nature of a regular black hole. Sometimes, all solutions regularized in this way are called “BB solutions.”

Explicit wormhole solutions have been widely explored in the literature, despite their speculative character that is sometimes observed just as an academic training exercise [16]. However, in general, wormholes provide the best way of removing the inner singularity from black holes, as shown in some previous works [17–19]. In addition, the possibility of the existence of such type of solutions have been intensively explored, not only from the theoretical point of view but also regarding some possible observational effects, as gravitational lensing [20–24] or the images formed when the object is surrounded by an accretion disk [25–27].

In this paper, we focus on the analysis of several BB space-times and particularly explore the way they can be reproduced in the framework of GR with sources formed by non-linear electrodynamics (NED) and a canonical or phantom scalar field. A significant issue arises when trying to obtain a consistent source that generates such BB solutions since non-canonical sources are necessary [28]. In this sense, NED seems to provide a way of reconstructing BB solutions (see Refs. [14, 29–37]). Moreover, such solutions are not restricted to spherically symmetric space-times but also include regular black strings, i.e. space-times with cylindrical symmetry [36–39]. Hence, here we review some of these solutions, both spherically symmetric and cylindrically symmetric ones, and implement a reconstruction procedure to obtain the corresponding NED Lagrangian together with the corresponding scalar field potential and its canonical or phantom nature depending on the sign of its kinetic term. Moreover, regular black hole solutions are also explored in $2 + 1$ dimensions, including the regularized version of the Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) black hole that forms a case of BB [40]. Finally, the Null Energy Condition (NEC) is also analyzed in a general way applicable to any of the space-times considered in the paper.

An important feature of the present study is that we here consider NED sources in the form of an electric field instead of previously used magnetic ones [33–37] and attract attention to an important property of electric NED solutions, that they quite generically require different NED Lagrangians in different parts of space. This happens if the transformed electromagnetic invariant P (see Eq. (18) below) is not a monotonic function of the standard invariant $F = F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}/4$ (see [32, 41, 42] for details), and we come across this phenomenon in some BB solutions analyzed in this study.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces the action containing a NED Lagrangian and a scalar field. Section III is devoted to spherically symmetric BB, while Section IV deals with black string space-times. Black bounces in 2 + 1 dimensions are explored in Section V. In Section VI, the energy conditions are analyzed. Finally, Section VII gathers the conclusions of the paper.

II. NON-LINEAR ELECTRODYNAMICS IN THE PRESENCE OF A SCALAR FIELD

Along this paper, we are considering the Hilbert-Einstein action in the presence of a scalar field and a NED Lagrangian, which can be expressed as follows:

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x \left[\frac{R}{2\kappa^2} + \epsilon g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) - L(F) \right], \quad (1)$$

where g is the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\kappa^2 = 8\pi$, ϕ is the scalar field, $V(\phi)$ the scalar field potential, $L(F)$ is the NED Lagrangian, $F = \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$, and $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic field tensor. In addition, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ depending on whether the scalar field is canonical (+) or phantom (-).

The corresponding field equations are obtained by varying the action (1) with respect to ϕ , A_μ , and $g^{\mu\nu}$, leading to:

$$\nabla_\mu [L_F F^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu [\sqrt{-g} L_F F^{\mu\nu}] = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$2\epsilon \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi = -\frac{dV(\phi)}{d\phi}, \quad (3)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = \kappa^2 (T_{\mu\nu}^\phi + T_{\mu\nu}^{EM}), \quad (4)$$

where $L_F = dL/dF$ whereas $T_{\mu\nu}^\phi$ and $T_{\mu\nu}^{EM}$ are the stress-energy tensors for the scalar field and electromagnetic field, respectively, which are given by:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{EM} = g_{\mu\nu} L(F) - L_F F_\nu^\alpha F_{\mu\alpha}, \quad (5)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^\phi = 2\epsilon \partial_\nu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - g_{\mu\nu} (\epsilon \partial^\alpha \phi \partial_\alpha \phi - V(\phi)). \quad (6)$$

Note that along this paper, we are considering just the contribution of an electric field, so that the only nonzero components of the electromagnetic field tensor are $F^{01} = -F^{10}$. Alternatively, one might consider the presence of only a magnetic field with the only nonzero components given by $F^{23} = -F^{32}$, as was done in a few previous papers [33–37]. Both cases can provide in general the same BB solutions with the appropriate though different Lagrangians (see [32]). Moreover, one may also consider the presence of both electric as magnetic fields (the dyonic case), but then the calculations turn out to be much more complex and do not lead to any new observations of interest. Finally, the presence of a canonical or phantom scalar field is required in order to satisfy the field equations, since otherwise the diagonal components of the Einstein tensor G_μ^ν do not match the corresponding T_μ^ν components of NED alone when considering BB solutions.

Note also that for static spherically/cylindrically symmetric space-times, as the ones analyzed below, Eq. (2) for the electromagnetic field reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} [\sqrt{-g} L_F F^{10}] = 0, \quad (7)$$

where x^1 is a radial coordinate. Then, it is straightforward to reconstruct the corresponding NED Lagrangian in terms of the radial coordinate for a particular metric of that kind. However, the reconstruction of the NED Lagrangian in terms of F involving an electric field is subject to the possibility of inverting the expression of $F(x^1)$, which generally turns out to be difficult and not always unambiguous. In the following sections, we show explicitly the reconstruction procedure for a number of BB solutions but in many cases express the Lagrangian F in terms of the auxiliary invariant P which is simply related to x^1 .

III. SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC BLACK BOUNCES

Let us start by considering the class of static BB space-times with spherical symmetry. For simplicity, we focus on those metrics whose rr-component is the inverse of the tt-component. Then, the most general space-time metric

matching such requirements is given by:

$$ds^2 = f(r)dt^2 - f(r)^{-1}dr^2 - \Sigma^2(r) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) . \quad (8)$$

It is straightforward to obtain the corresponding components of the Einstein tensor that read:

$$\begin{aligned} G_0^0 &= -\frac{f'(r)\Sigma'(r)}{\Sigma(r)} - \frac{f(r)\Sigma'(r)^2}{\Sigma(r)^2} - \frac{2f(r)\Sigma''(r)}{\Sigma(r)} + \frac{1}{\Sigma(r)^2} , \\ G_1^1 &= -\frac{f'(r)\Sigma'(r)}{\Sigma(r)} - \frac{f(r)\Sigma'(r)^2}{\Sigma(r)^2} + \frac{1}{\Sigma(r)^2} , \\ G_2^2 = G_3^3 &= -\frac{f'(r)\Sigma'(r)}{\Sigma(r)} - \frac{f''(r)}{2} - \frac{f(r)\Sigma''(r)}{\Sigma(r)} . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since the space-time is spherically symmetric, one has to assume that $\phi = \phi(r)$ while the electric field is also radial, with the only non-zero component given by $F^{01} = -F^{10}$. From the electrodynamics equations (7), the electric field can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [\sqrt{-g} L_F F^{10}] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Sigma^2(r) L_F F^{10} = q = \text{const}, \quad (10)$$

where q represents a constant electric charge. Then, the invariant F can be obtained through an algebraic equation if the NED Lagrangian is known:

$$L_F^2 F = -\frac{q^2}{2\Sigma^4(r)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad F = F(r) . \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, the stress-energy tensors (5) and (6) are given by:

$$T^{\phi\mu}_{\nu} = \epsilon f \phi'^2 \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, 1) + \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} V(\phi) , \quad (12)$$

$$T^{EM\mu}_{\nu} = \text{diag}\left(L + \frac{q^2}{L_F \Sigma^4}, L + \frac{q^2}{L_F \Sigma^4}, L, L\right) . \quad (13)$$

As pointed out above, for these two tensors it holds $T^{EM^0}_0 = T^{EM^1}_1$ and $T^{\phi^0}_0 = T^{\phi^2}_2$, while the Einstein tensor in general does not have such symmetries, therefore, an arbitrary given metric cannot be ascribed to a source in the form of a scalar field or an electric field only, but they do the job when both come into play, as can be easily shown by considering a combination of both stress-energy tensors:

$$G^2_2 - G^0_0 = \kappa^2 T^2_2 - \kappa^2 T^0_0 = -\frac{\kappa^2 q^2}{L_F \Sigma^4} , \quad (14)$$

$$G^1_1 + G^0_0 = \kappa^2 T^1_1 + \kappa^2 T^0_0 = 2\kappa^2 \left(L + \frac{q^2}{L_F \Sigma^4} + V \right) . \quad (15)$$

The scalar field equation (3) yields

$$\frac{2}{\Sigma^2(r)} \partial_r [\Sigma^2(r) f(r) \partial_r \phi] = V'(\phi) . \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, by combining again the $\binom{0}{0}$ and $\binom{1}{1}$ components of the Einstein field equations (4) as

$$G^1_1 - G^0_0 = \frac{2f\Sigma''}{\Sigma} = \kappa^2 T^1_1 - \kappa^2 T^0_0 = -2\kappa^2 \epsilon f \phi'^2 , \quad (17)$$

the corresponding solution for the scalar field $\phi = \phi(r)$ is achieved. Hence, $L_F = L_F(r)$ is provided as a function of r through Eq. (14) whereas the corresponding scalar potential $V = V(r)$ can be obtained through Eq. (16), and one can immediately find the NED Lagrangian as $L = L(r)$ in (15). However, as pointed above, for regular solutions with an electric source, an explicit reconstruction of the expression for the NED Lagrangian $L(F)$ is difficult analytically. To circumvent this issue, one might consider the auxiliary field $P_{\mu\nu} = L_F F_{\mu\nu}$ and the Lagrangian as a function of

$$P = P^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} / 4 = L_F^2 F, \quad (18)$$

where the invariant P is given in terms of the electric charge by

$$P = -\frac{q^2}{2\Sigma^4}, \quad (19)$$

which makes it easy to express L in terms of P , if $L(r)$ is known. Meanwhile, if the function $F(P)$ is not monotonic (which is often the case), it is impossible to obtain a unique expression for $L(F)$, and this Lagrangian function turns out to be different in different space-time regions.

In what follows, some particular examples of spherically symmetric BB solutions are analyzed, and the corresponding Lagrangians are reconstructed.

A. Simpson-Visser black bounce

The Simpson-Visser space-time is described by the metric (8), where $f(r)$ and $\Sigma(r)$ are given by [15]

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{\sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^2(r) = a^2 + r^2. \quad (20)$$

This space-time describes a BB as far as $a \neq 0$, since the radius of the 2-spheres is bounded from below due to $\Sigma > 0$. The bounce might be hidden behind an event horizon if $a < 2m$ while it describes a traversable wormhole in case of absence of event horizon, provided by $a > 2m$. By following the procedure described above, the full set of functions describing the corresponding Lagrangians are obtained for the space-time (20) as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r) &= \frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)}{\kappa\sqrt{-\epsilon}}, & V(r) &= \frac{4ma^2}{5\kappa^2(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \\ L(r) &= -\frac{9ma^2}{5\kappa^2(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, & L_F(r) &= \frac{\kappa^2 q^2 \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{3a^2 m}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

One can note that the scalar field and its potential V coincide with those obtained in the case where the NED with a magnetic field was used [33]. However, the form of the NED Lagrangian is not the same as there, as one would expect since the two fields behave differently, and there is no electric-magnetic duality in the general NED.

Using the above relations (21) together with (11), the scalar F is obtained:

$$F(r) = -\frac{9m^2 a^4}{2q^2 \kappa^4 (a^2 + r^2)^3}. \quad (22)$$

It is now straightforward to assure the correctness of our calculations by verifying the validity of the relation

$$\frac{dL}{dr} - L_F \frac{dF}{dr} = 0. \quad (23)$$

In this particular case, the Lagrangian $L(F)$ can be explicitly expressed in terms of F without the need of using the auxiliary field P . The corresponding NED Lagrangian is

$$L(F) = -\left(\frac{3 \cdot 2^{5/2} \kappa^4 3 |q|^5}{125 a^4 m^2}\right)^{1/3} |F|^{5/6}. \quad (24)$$

Moreover, the scalar field potential can be explicitly obtained in terms of ϕ by combining the expressions (21), leading to:

$$V(\phi) = \frac{4m}{5\kappa^2 a^3} \cos^5(\kappa\phi). \quad (25)$$

Thus the full Lagrangian is obtained for the case of Simpson-Visser BB.

Let us also note that due to the expression $\Sigma(r) = \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}$, we have $\Sigma''/\Sigma = a^2/\Sigma^4 > 0$, and in (17) we have to choose $\epsilon = -1$, so that the scalar field is phantom, as should have been expected because with this $\Sigma(r)$ the coordinate value $r = 0$ corresponds either to a wormhole throat or to a BB in the precise meaning of these words.

In fact, we will get a similar situation in all examples to be considered in the present paper: in other words, in all these BB space-times we are dealing with phantom scalar fields. A more general and more complex situation is described in [43], involving scalar fields that are phantom only in a strong field region.




Figure 1. Plots of $F(r)$, $F(P)$ and $L(F)$ (left to right) for particular values of the parameters of the Bardeen BB: $q = a = 0.2$ and $m = 1$. The extremum points P_1 and P_2 of the function $F(P)$ correspond to branching of the Lagrangian function $L(F)$.

B. Bardeen black bounce

Let us now consider an extension of the Bardeen solution BB, which is described by the following functions in the space-time metric (8),

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2mr^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^2(r) = a^2 + r^2. \quad (26)$$

Similarly to the previous case, for $a \neq 0$ this class of space-time metrics describes either a BB hidden by two horizons when $0 < a/m \leq 4\sqrt{3}/9$ while it represents a traversable wormhole otherwise (for more details, see Ref. [28]). Following again the same reconstruction procedure, by considering the full action (1), the corresponding magnitudes for the electromagnetic side and the scalar field potential yield:

$$\begin{aligned} L(r) &= \frac{3m(34a^4 - 91a^2r^2)}{35\kappa^2(a^2 + r^2)^{7/2}}, & L_F(r) &= -\frac{q^2\kappa^2(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}{a^2m(2a^2 - 13r^2)}, \\ \phi(r) &= \frac{1}{\kappa} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right), & \epsilon &= -1, & V(r) &= \frac{4m(7a^2r^2 - 8a^4)}{35\kappa^2(a^2 + r^2)^{7/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The scalar field solution depends on the radial coordinate as in the previous example, but not the scalar field potential, which is expressed in terms of the scalar field as

$$V(\phi) = \frac{4m(7\tan^2(\kappa\phi) - 8)}{35\kappa^2a^3} \cos^7(\kappa\phi). \quad (28)$$

The electromagnetic scalar $F(r)$ is determined as

$$F(r) = -\frac{a^4(2ma^2 - 13mr^2)^2}{2q^2\kappa^4(a^2 + r^2)^5}. \quad (29)$$

Once again, as is necessary, the electromagnetic Lagrangian and the function L_F satisfy the condition (23).

The function $F(r)$ cannot be inverted explicitly to obtain $r = r(F)$ and hence the corresponding NED Lagrangian $L(F)$. However, we may use the auxiliary field P to obtain $L(P)$, which yields

$$L(P) = -\frac{3 \cdot 2^{3/4} m |P|^{5/4} \left(91\sqrt{2}a^2q - 250a^4\sqrt{|P|}\right)}{35\kappa^2q^{7/2}}. \quad (30)$$

These results show that BB solutions can be consistently reconstructed with an electric source, similarly to the cases with a magnetic source [33–35].

However, the absence of a monotonic relationship between the invariants F and P inevitably leads to different branches of the Lagrangian function $L(F)$, each of these corresponding to a monotonicity range of $F(P)$, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Thus three different NED theories are working in different ranges of r .

IV. CYLINDRICALLY SYMMETRIC BLACK BOUNCES

Let us now consider a class of BB solutions that are cylindrically symmetric instead of the spherically symmetric cases analysed in the previous section. Such space-times are usually known as Black Strings. For such solutions, a general space-time metric can be described by the following line element:

$$ds^2 = f(\rho)dt^2 - f(\rho)^{-1}d\rho^2 - \Sigma^2(\rho)(dz^2 + d\varphi^2). \quad (31)$$

In this case, the nonzero components of the Einstein tensor are

$$G^0_0 = -\frac{f'(\rho)\Sigma'(\rho)}{\Sigma(\rho)} - \frac{f(\rho)\Sigma'(\rho)^2}{\Sigma(\rho)^2} - \frac{2f(\rho)\Sigma''(\rho)}{\Sigma(\rho)}, \quad (32)$$

$$G^1_1 = -\frac{f'(\rho)\Sigma'(\rho)}{\Sigma(\rho)} - \frac{f(\rho)\Sigma'(\rho)^2}{\Sigma(\rho)^2}, \quad (33)$$

$$G^2_2 = -\frac{f'(\rho)\Sigma'(\rho)}{\Sigma(\rho)} - \frac{f''(\rho)}{2} - \frac{f(\rho)\Sigma''(\rho)}{\Sigma(\rho)}. \quad (34)$$

Meanwhile, the electric field has a similar expression to the one for the spherically symmetric case,

$$F^{10} = \frac{q}{\Sigma^2(\rho)}L_F^{-1}. \quad (35)$$

Note also that the relations (14)-(17) still hold in the cylindrical case with just changing $r \rightarrow \rho$, so that the procedure of reconstructing the full Lagrangian is similar to the one described in the previous section. In this section, two particular cylindrically symmetric solutions are explored.

A. Regular Black String I

Let us consider the space-time (31) with the following metric functions:

$$f(\rho) = \frac{16k^4 \left(1 - \sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2}\right)}{q^2 (a^2 + \rho^2)}, \quad \Sigma^2(\rho) = \frac{q^2 (\rho^2 + a^2)}{4k^2}. \quad (36)$$

Here k is a positive constant, q is the effective electric/magnetic charge, and a is again a free regularization parameter leading to a bounce in the solution. This regular space-time (for $a \neq 0$) with cylindrical symmetry, also known as an ‘‘inverted black hole’’ [44] has been previously analysed in Ref. [36]. Similarly to the cases above, it represents a regular solution that describes either a wormhole with the presence of two horizons ($a < 1$) or a Bianchi type I solution with(out) an extremal horizon for $a = 1$ ($a > 1$) otherwise. Using the relations (14)-(17), we obtain the following set of expressions for the regular black string:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\rho) &= \frac{1}{\kappa} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho}{a} \right), \quad \epsilon = -1, \quad V(\rho) = \frac{32a^2k^4 \left(3\sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2} - 5\right)}{15\kappa^2q^2 (a^2 + \rho^2)^3}, \\ L(\rho) &= -\frac{8k^4 \left[a^2 \left(27\sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2} - 50\right) + 30\rho^2 \right]}{15\kappa^2q^2 (a^2 + \rho^2)^3}, \quad L_F(\rho) = \frac{2\kappa^2 (a^2 + \rho^2)^{3/2}}{a^2 \left(3\rho^2 - 4\sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2}\right) + 4\rho^2\sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2} + 3a^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The scalar potential can be expressed explicitly in terms of ϕ as follows:

$$V(\phi) = \frac{32k^4 \left[3a\sqrt{1 + \tan^2(\kappa\phi)} - 5\right]}{15\kappa^2q^2a^4} \cos^6(\kappa\phi). \quad (38)$$

Since the electromagnetic solution satisfies the condition (23), the corresponding scalar F can be obtained as

$$F(\rho) = -\frac{2k^4 \left[a^2 \left(3\rho^2 - 4\sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2}\right) + 4\rho^2\sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2} + 3a^4 \right]^2}{\kappa^4q^2 (a^2 + \rho^2)^5}. \quad (39)$$

This function cannot be inverted in order to obtain an analytic form for $L(F)$. Nevertheless, as before, an explicit form of the NED Lagrangian in terms of the scalar $P = L_F^2 F$, which here has the same expression as in the spherically symmetric case (18), yields

$$L(P) = \frac{P}{30\kappa^2} \left[\frac{a^2}{k^2} |2P|^{1/4} \left(27|q|^{1/2} k - 40|2P|^{1/4} q \right) + 60 \right]. \quad (40)$$

As was the case with Bardeen BB, the dependence $F(P)$ is not monotonic and leads to three branches of the Lagrangian function $L(F)$ valid in different ranges of ρ , as illustrated in Fig. 2.




Figure 2. Plots of $F(\rho)$, $F(P)$ and $L(F)$ (left to right) for particular values of the parameters of the black string-1 BB: $k = q = a = 0.2$. The qualitative behavior of all functions is similar to Fig. 1.

B. Black string II

As a second regular Black String solution, we consider the regularized version of Lemos's cylindrical solution [45], with the line element (31) described by

$$f(\rho) = \alpha^2 (a^2 + \rho^2) - \frac{b}{\alpha \sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2}}, \quad \Sigma^2(\rho) = a^2 + \rho^2, \quad (41)$$

where α , b and α are positive constants. This space-time contains a regular BB at $\rho = 0$ between two event horizons on its both sides located at $\rho^2 = \rho_h^2 = (b^{1/3}/\alpha)^2 - a^2$ as long as $a < b^{1/3}/\alpha$; it has a horizon coinciding with a throat at $\rho = 0$ (also called a “black throat”) if $a = b^{1/3}/\alpha$; lasty, it describes a traversable wormhole if $a > b^{1/3}/\alpha$ (for a complete analysis, see Ref. [38]). Through the relations (14)–(17), the corresponding solution for the scalar field and the Lagrangian are reconstructed in terms of the radial coordinate ρ :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\rho) &= \frac{1}{\kappa} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho}{a} \right), \quad \epsilon = -1, \quad V(\rho) = \frac{2a^2 [5\alpha^3 (a^2 + \rho^2)^{3/2} + b]}{5\alpha\kappa^2 (a^2 + \rho^2)^{5/2}}, \\ L(\rho) &= -\frac{3}{10\alpha\kappa^2} \left(\frac{3a^2b}{(a^2 + \rho^2)^{5/2}} + 10\alpha^3 \right), \quad L_F(\rho) = \frac{2\alpha\kappa^2 q^2 \sqrt{a^2 + \rho^2}}{3a^2b}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The scalar field is the same as in the previous cases, while the electromagnetic magnitudes satisfy the condition (23), and its Lagrangian is consistent with the one obtained in [37]. The corresponding scalar F can be obtained through the condition (23), leading to:

$$F(\rho) = -\frac{9a^4b^2}{8\alpha^2\kappa^4q^2 (a^2 + \rho^2)^3}. \quad (43)$$

Contrary to the previous solution, here this function can be inverted in such a way that the NED Lagrangian can be written explicitly in terms of F as follows:

$$L(F) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt[3]{3\alpha\kappa^4}(-F)^{5/6}q^2}{5a^{4/3}b^{2/3}\sqrt{q^2/3}} - \frac{3\alpha^2}{\kappa^2}. \quad (44)$$

Finally, the scalar field potential can be easily computed from (42),

$$V(\phi) = \frac{10\alpha^3 a^3 \sec^3(\kappa\phi) + 2b}{5\alpha\kappa^2 a^3} \cos^5(\kappa\phi). \quad (45)$$

The scalar field is phantom, as before.

In the next section, regular solutions in 2 + 1 dimensions are reconstructed.

V. BLACK BOUNCES IN 2+1 DIMENSIONS

The line element that describes BB space-times in 2 + 1 dimensions can be written as:

$$ds^2 = f(r)dt^2 - f(r)^{-1}dr^2 - \Sigma^2(r)d\varphi^2, \quad (46)$$

while the nonzero components of the Einsteins tensor are

$$\begin{aligned} G^0_0 &= -\frac{f'(r)\Sigma'(r)}{2\Sigma(r)} - \frac{f(r)\Sigma''(r)}{\Sigma(r)}, \\ G^1_1 &= -\frac{f'(r)\Sigma'(r)}{2\Sigma(r)}, \\ G^2_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}f''(r). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Once again one has in general $G^0_0 \neq G^1_1$ and $G^0_0 \neq G^2_2$, which means that NED or a scalar field taken separately cannot be a source, but both together they do. As in the previous sections, here the only nonzero component of the electrodynamic tensor is assumed to be $F^{10} = -F^{01}$, so that there is only an electric field. Then, by solving the electrodynamic equations given in (7), the electric field in 2 + 1 dimensions takes the form

$$F^{10} = \frac{q^2}{\Sigma} L_F^{-1}, \quad (48)$$

whence the scalar F is

$$F = -\frac{q^2}{2L_F^2 \Sigma^2}. \quad (49)$$

By exploring the symmetries of the stress-energy tensor and by assuming the presence of a cosmological constant, one gets the following relations:¹

$$G^2_2 - G^0_0 = \kappa^2 T^2_2 - \kappa^2 T^0_0 = -\frac{\kappa^2 q^2}{L_F \Sigma^2}, \quad (50)$$

$$G^1_1 + G^0_0 + \frac{2}{l^2} = \kappa^2 T^1_1 + \kappa^2 T^0_0 = 2\kappa^2 \left(L + \frac{q^2}{L_F \Sigma^2} + V \right), \quad (51)$$

$$G^1_1 - G^0_0 = \frac{f\Sigma''}{\Sigma} = \kappa^2 T^1_1 - \kappa^2 T^0_0 = -2\kappa^2 \epsilon f \phi'^2. \quad (52)$$

These equations are very similar to those in 3 + 1 dimensions. As far as L_F is obtained as a function of r , the corresponding NED Lagrangian $L(r)$ and the scalar field potential $V(r)$ are computed using Eq. (3). We will analyze two explicit examples of such space-times.

¹ For this type of space-times in 2 + 1 dimensions, a nonzero cosmological constant is assumed since we are considering a regular version of the BTZ solution, which corresponds to the Einstein equations in 2 + 1 with a cosmological constant.

A. Regular BTZ Black Hole

The regular BTZ solution was proposed in Ref. [40] as a generalization of the Banados–Teitelboim–Zanelli black hole solution [46] and is described by the line element (46) with the functions

$$f(r) = -M + \frac{r^2 + a^2}{l^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^2(r) = r^2 + a^2, \quad (53)$$

where M is the dimensionless mass a and l are constants. This space-time is singularity-free and contains event horizons if $a \leq l\sqrt{M}$ and represents a traversable wormhole otherwise. By applying the reconstruction method described above, one finds:

$$\begin{aligned} L(r) &= -\frac{3a^2M}{4\kappa^2(a^2 + r^2)^2}, & L_F(r) &= \frac{\kappa^2 q^2 (a^2 + r^2)}{a^2 M}, \\ V(r) &= \frac{a^2(2a^2 + l^2M + 2r^2)}{4\kappa^2 l^2 (a^2 + r^2)^2}, & \phi(r) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa^2}} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right), \quad \epsilon = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

The electromagnetic invariant F is found as

$$F(r) = -\frac{a^4 M^2}{2\kappa^4 q^2 (a^2 + r^2)^3}. \quad (55)$$

Once again, we are dealing with a phantom scalar field, and using it together with (55), $V(\phi)$ and $L(F)$ are computed explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L(F) &= -\frac{3\kappa^{2/3} (|F|q^2)^{2/3}}{2(2a^2M)^{1/3}}, \\ V(\phi) &= \frac{\cos^2(\sqrt{2}\kappa\phi) (4a^2 + l^2M \cos(2\sqrt{2}\kappa\phi) + l^2M)}{8a^2\kappa^2 l^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

One might choose to consider the auxiliary field $P^{\mu\nu}$ instead of the field $F^{\mu\nu}$, then the NED Lagrangian is expressed as

$$L(P) = -\frac{3a^2 M P^2}{\kappa^2 q^4}. \quad (57)$$

Thus the regular BTZ black hole is shown to be a consistent solution with NED in the presence of a phantom scalar field.

B. Regular Einstein-CIM space-time

Finally, another 2 + 1-dimensional black hole solution is considered, described by a regular version of the Einstein-CIM solution that arises from coupling of a three-dimensional gravitational theory with conformally invariant Maxwell electrodynamics [47]. The original solution is given by the line element (46) with

$$f(r) = -M + \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{Q}{2r}, \quad \Sigma(r) = r, \quad Q := (2q^2)^{3/4}, \quad (58)$$

where M plays the role of an effective mass, and q is the electric charge. After applying the regularization method, these functions become:

$$f(r) = -M + \frac{r^2 + a^2}{l^2} - \frac{Q}{2\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}, \quad \Sigma^2(r) = r^2 + a^2. \quad (59)$$

Once again, we can apply the reconstruction formalism to determine the content that generates this solution, which leads to

$$L(r) = \frac{10Qr^2 - a^2 (15M\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} + 14Q)}{20\kappa^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (60)$$

$$L_F(r) = \frac{4\kappa^2 q^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}{a^2 (4M\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} + 3Q) - 3Qr^2}, \quad (61)$$

$$F(r) = -\frac{[a^2 (4M\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} + 3Q) - 3Qr^2]^2}{32\kappa^4 q^2 (a^2 + r^2)^4}, \quad (62)$$

$$\phi(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa^2}} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{a} \right), \quad \epsilon = -1. \quad (63)$$

$$V(r) = \frac{a^2 [l^2 (5M\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} + 4Q) + 10(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}]}{20\kappa^2 l^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (64)$$

The form of the scalar field remains the same as in the previous cases, while the potential of the scalar field shows a significant difference. For this solution, we are unable to write a closed form for $L = L(F)$ since the expression $F = F(r)$ cannot be inverted, but the expression for the scalar potential $V(\phi)$ can be computed,

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{20\kappa^2} \left(\frac{5M \cos^4(\sqrt{2\kappa}\phi)}{a^2} + \frac{4Q \cos^6(\sqrt{2\kappa}\phi) \sqrt{a^2 \sec^2(\sqrt{2\kappa}\phi)}}{a^4} + \frac{10 \cos^2(\sqrt{2\kappa}\phi)}{l^2} \right). \quad (65)$$

As before, using the auxiliary field $P = FL_F^2 = -\frac{q^2}{2(a^2 + r^2)}$, one can obtain the form of $L(P)$ as

$$L(P) = -\frac{3a^2 MP^2}{\kappa^2 q^4} - \frac{P^{3/2} [48a^2 P(2q^2)^{1/4} + 5(2q^2)^{5/4}]}{5\kappa^2 q^4}. \quad (66)$$

Thus the regular 2 + 1D space-time given by (59) can also be reproduced by this kind of source. It also turns out that in this case the dependence $F(P)$ is not monotonic, hence the form of $L(F)$ is again multivalued, similarly to what was found in two previous examples, see Fig. 3.




Figure 3. Plots of $F(r)$, $F(P)$ and $L(F)$ (left to right) for particular values of the parameters of the regular Einstein-CIM BB: $a = Q = 0.15$ and $M = 1$.

VI. ENERGY CONDITIONS

To conclude the paper, let us now analyze in a general way the energy conditions that can be applied to every case discussed above. To do so, we restrict ourselves to the Null Energy Condition (NEC) since its violation implies violation of the the weak, strong, and dominant energy conditions as well [19]. To analyze the NEC, one must compute

$$0 < E_{\text{null}} \equiv \rho + p_{\parallel} = T_1^1 - T_0^0 = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} (G_1^1 - G_0^0) = \frac{2f\Sigma''}{\kappa^2 \Sigma} = -2\epsilon f \phi'^2. \quad (67)$$

Recall also that outside any outer horizon that may be present in a metric under consideration, one has

$$T^0_0 = \rho, \quad T^1_1 = -p_{\parallel}, \quad T^2_2 = T^3_3 = p_{\perp}. \quad (68)$$

However, inside a simple horizon that may be present, the t and r coordinates swap their timelike/spacelike nature, and the stress-energy tensor components do as well,

$$T^0_0 = -p_{\parallel}, \quad T^1_1 = \rho, \quad T^2_2 = T^3_3 = p_{\perp}. \quad (69)$$

With all that, the NEC can be written as

$$0 < E_{\text{null}} = \begin{cases} T^0_0 - T^1_1, & f > 0, \\ -T^0_0 + T^1_1, & f < 0, \end{cases} \quad \frac{1}{\kappa^2} (G^1_1 - G^0_0) = \frac{2f\Sigma''}{\kappa^2\Sigma} = -2\epsilon f\phi'^2, \quad (70)$$

while in all examples considered in this paper $\epsilon = -1$, and

$$E_{\text{null}} = \begin{cases} -2f\phi'^2, & f > 0 \\ 2f\phi'^2, & f < 0 \end{cases} = -2|f|\phi'^2. \quad (71)$$

Since we here use an arbitrary f , Eq. (71) is valid for all the cases considered previously. Equation (71) also implies that a minimum of the radius $\Sigma(r)$ leads to NEC violation when it takes place in any region. The same analysis was carried out, for example, in [15] and [48] using other notations and other sign conventions but with the same result.

Thus all regular black holes or wormholes accurately modeled by our space-time geometries (depending on the value of a) violate all classical energy conditions associated with the stress-energy tensor.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

Along this paper, we have reviewed some of the most important BB space-times. Great attention drawn on this type of solutions lies on the feature that they are free from singularities, leading to different configurations depending on relative values of the free parameters of the metric tensor. An important achievement here is that a simple and straightforward method is implemented in order to reconstruct a particular theory that contains such BB space-times as exact solutions. To do so, in the framework of the Hilbert-Einstein action, a NED Lagrangian and a scalar field are involved, and it has been shown that every case leads to an analytical form of the Lagrangian for both the electromagnetic and scalar field, while the latter has always to be a phantom field. The inclusion of both NED and scalar field Lagrangians turns out to be completely necessary in order to satisfy the asymmetry among different components of the Einstein tensor. Such method is applied to a good number of solutions, including BB with spherical symmetry, black strings that possess cylindrical symmetry, and some BB solutions in $2 + 1$ dimensions.

We have also considered, for the first time in the literature, electric sources of NED for the solutions under consideration. A similar problem setting with magnetic sources has been considered in [33–37] for these and some others BB solutions. A possible regularization of spherical space-times more general than (8), with two arbitrary functions of the radial coordinate, was considered in [43], it was shown that any such metric may be obtained as a solution of GR with a magnetic field in the framework of NED and a scalar field which can change its nature from canonical to phantom (a “trapped ghost” scalar [49]). It is of interest that an arbitrary static spherically symmetric metric can be obtained with a single field source if one invokes the general (Bergmann-Wagoner-Nordtvedt) scalar-tensor theory of gravity, but this description turns out to be possible only piecewise [50].

The main difference between electric and magnetic NED sources of BB metrics is that magnetic ones are always unambiguous while in electric ones the Lagrangian $L(F)$ suffers branching at extremum points of the function $L(P)$. The same behavior of electric NED solutions was found to be inevitable in regular black hole models with a center $r = 0$ at which the electric field must vanish [41]. Unlike that, as we saw in the present paper, electric sources of BB solutions may avoid branching but possess this feature in many cases. One can note that this branching, having much in common with phase transitions, seems to be a phenomenon of separate interest, deserving a further study.

To know the form of the sources of BB and other regular space-times is fundamental since they influence the thermodynamics of black holes [51], shadows [52], and causality [53], and are essential for studying perturbations in the material content of the solutions [54].

Hence, next steps might be focused on the analysis of the viability of such Lagrangians and the way such space-times can be extended to obtain more realistic theoretical models.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.A. and M.E.R. would like to thank Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq, Brazil for partial financial support. G.A. and M.S. would like to thank Fundação Cearense de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (FUNCAP) for partial financial support. DSG is supported by the Spanish National Grant PID2020-117301GA-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 (“ERDF A way of making Europe” and “PGC Generación de Conocimiento”). K.B. acknowledges funding from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, Project “New Phenomena in Particle Physics and the Early Universe” FSWU-2023-0073.

-
- [1] R. Penrose, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14**, 57-59 (1965) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.14.57; S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, Cambridge University Press, 2023, ISBN 978-1-00-925316-1, 978-1-00-925315-4, 978-0-521-20016-5, 978-0-521-09906-6, 978-0-511-82630-6, 978-0-521-09906-6 doi:10.1017/9781009253161.
- [2] P. J. Joshi, *Gravitational Collapse and Spacetime Singularities*, Cambridge University Press 2008.
- [3] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, no.6, 061102 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102 [arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc]].
B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, no.16, 161101 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101 [arXiv:1710.05832 [gr-qc]].
- [4] K. Akiyama *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **875**, L1 (2019) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab0ec7 [arXiv:1906.11238 [astro-ph.GA]].
- [5] K. Akiyama *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **930**, no.2, L12 (2022) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ac6674.
- [6] V. Cardoso and P. Pani, *Living Rev. Rel.* **22**, no.1, 4 (2019) doi:10.1007/s41114-019-0020-4 [arXiv:1904.05363 [gr-qc]].
- [7] J. M. Bardeen, *Proc. Int. Conf. GR5, Tbilisi* **174** (1968).
- [8] C. Lan, H. Yang, Y. Guo and Y. G. Miao, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **62**, no.9, 202 (2023) doi:10.1007/s10773-023-05454-1 [arXiv:2303.11696 [gr-qc]].
- [9] C. Bambi, Springer Singapore, 2023, doi:10.1007/978-981-99-1596-5 [arXiv:2307.13249 [gr-qc]].
- [10] G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, *Universe* **1**, no.2, 173-185 (2015) doi:10.3390/universe1020173 [arXiv:1509.02430 [hep-th]].
- [11] G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 044014 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.044014 [arXiv:1207.6004 [gr-qc]].
- [12] P. Bueno, P. A. Cano and R. A. Hennigar, [arXiv:2403.04827 [gr-qc]].
- [13] T. Karakasis, N. E. Mavromatos and E. Papantonopoulos, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.2, 024001 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.024001 [arXiv:2305.00058 [gr-qc]].
- [14] M. Guerrero and D. Rubiera-Garcia, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.2, 024005 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024005 [arXiv:2005.08828 [gr-qc]].
- [15] A. Simpson and M. Visser, *JCAP* **02**, 042 (2019); arXiv:1812.07114.
- [16] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, *Am. J. Phys.* **56**, 395-412 (1988) doi:10.1119/1.15620.
- [17] G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia and A. Sanchez-Puente, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, no.3, 143 (2016) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-3999-7 [arXiv:1504.07015 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. Simpson, [arXiv:2110.05657 [gr-qc]].
- [19] M. Visser, “Lorentzian wormholes: From Einstein to Hawking”, Published in: Woodbury, USA: AIP (1995) 412 p.
- [20] S. U. Islam, J. Kumar and S. G. Ghosh, *JCAP* **10**, 013 (2021) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/10/013 [arXiv:2104.00696 [gr-qc]].
- [21] J. R. Nascimento, A. Y. Petrov, P. J. Porfirio and A. R. Soares, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.4, 044021 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.044021 [arXiv:2005.13096 [gr-qc]].
- [22] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.2, 024033 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.024033 [arXiv:2011.03932 [gr-qc]].
- [23] S. Ghosh and A. Bhattacharyya, *JCAP* **11**, 006 (2022) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/11/006 [arXiv:2206.09954 [gr-qc]].
- [24] A. Chowdhuri, S. Ghosh and A. Bhattacharyya, *Front. Phys.* **11**, 1113909 (2023) doi:10.3389/fphy.2023.1113909 [arXiv:2303.02069 [gr-qc]].
- [25] M. Guerrero, G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia and D. S. C. Gómez, *JCAP* **08**, 036 (2021) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2021/08/036 [arXiv:2105.15073 [gr-qc]].
- [26] M. Guerrero, G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia and D. Gómez Sáez-Chillón, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.8, 084057 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.084057 [arXiv:2202.03809 [gr-qc]].
- [27] G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia and D. S. C. Gómez, *Phys. Lett. B* **829**, 137045 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137045 [arXiv:2110.10002 [gr-qc]].
- [28] F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, M. V. de Sousa Silva, A. Simpson and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, no.8, 084052 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.084052 [arXiv:2009.12057 [gr-qc]].
- [29] G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 124059 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.124059 [arXiv:1110.0850 [gr-qc]].

- [30] G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2098 (2012) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2098-7 [arXiv:1112.0475 [gr-qc]].
- [31] G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia and H. Sanchis-Alepuz, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2804 (2014) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2804-8 [arXiv:1311.0815 [hep-th]].
- [32] K. A. Bronnikov, in: “Regular Black Holes. Towards a New Paradigm of Gravitational Collapse” (Ed. by Cosimo Bambi, Springer Series in Astrophysics and Cosmology (SSAC)), p. 37–67; arXiv: 2211.00743.
- [33] M. E. Rodrigues and M. V. d. S. Silva, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, no.4, 044064 (2023); arXiv:2302.10772.
- [34] K. A. Bronnikov and R. K. Walia, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 044039 (2022); arXiv: 2112.13198.
- [35] Pedro Cañate, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 024031 (2022); arXiv: 2202.02303.
- [36] K. A. Bronnikov, M. E. Rodrigues and M. V. de S. Silva, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.2, 024065 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.024065 [arXiv:2305.19296 [gr-qc]].
- [37] A. Lima, G. Alencar, R. N. Costa Filho and R. R. Landim, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **55**, no.10, 108 (2023) doi:10.1007/s10714-023-03156-x [arXiv:2306.03029 [gr-qc]].
- [38] A. M. Lima, G. M. de Alencar Filho and J. S. Furtado Neto, *Symmetry* **15**, no.1, 150 (2023) doi:10.3390/sym15010150 [arXiv:2211.12349 [gr-qc]].
- [39] A. Lima, G. Alencar and D. Sáez-Chillon Gómez, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, no.6, 064038 (2024) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.064038 [arXiv:2307.07404 [gr-qc]].
- [40] J. Furtado and G. Alencar, *Universe* **8**, no.12, 625 (2022) doi:10.3390/universe8120625 [arXiv:2210.06608 [gr-qc]].
- [41] K.A. Bronnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 044005 (2001); arXiv: gr-qc/0006014.
- [42] K.A. Bronnikov. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **27**, 184105 (2018); arXiv: 1711.00087.
- [43] K.A. Bronnikov. *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 064029 (2022); arXiv: 2206.09227.
- [44] K.A. Bronnikov. *Russ. Phys. J.* **22**, 6, 594–600 (1979).
- [45] José P. S. Lemos, *Phys. Lett. B* **353**, 46 (1995).
- [46] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, and J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1849 (1992); arXiv: hep-th/9204099.
- [47] S. H. Hendi, B. Eslam Panah and R. Saffari, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **23**, no.11, 1450088 (2014) doi:10.1142/S0218271814500886 [arXiv:1408.5570 [hep-th]].
- [48] K.A. Bronnikov. *Particles* **2018**, 1, 5 (2018); arXiv: 1802.00098.
- [49] K.A. Bronnikov and S.V. Sushkov, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **27**, 095022 (2010); ArXiv: 1001.3511.
- [50] K.A. Bronnikov, K. Badalov, and R. Ibadov, *Grav. Cosmol.* **29**, 43 (2023); arXiv: 2212.04544.
- [51] M. E. Rodrigues, M. V. de S. Silva and H. A. Vieira, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.8, 084043 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.084043 [arXiv:2203.04965 [gr-qc]].
- [52] M. A. A. de Paula, H. C. D. Lima, Junior, P. Cunha, V.P. and L. C. B. Crispino, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.8, 084029 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.084029 [arXiv:2305.04776 [gr-qc]].
- [53] S. Tomizawa and R. Suzuki, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.12, 124072 (2023) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.124072 [arXiv:2309.10535 [gr-qc]].
- [54] E. Franzin, S. Liberati and V. Vellucci, *JCAP* **01**, 020 (2024) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2024/01/020 [arXiv:2310.11990 [gr-qc]].