

EXTENDING MATROID CLASSES CLOSED UNDER FLATS

JAGDEEP SINGH AND VAIDY SIVARAMAN

ABSTRACT. We call a class \mathcal{M} of matroids hereditary if it is closed under flats. We denote by \mathcal{M}^{ext} the class of matroids M that is in \mathcal{M} , or has an element e such that $M \setminus e$ is in \mathcal{M} . We prove that if \mathcal{M} has finitely many forbidden flats, then so does \mathcal{M}^{ext} .

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider simple matroids. The notation and terminology follows [3]. A class \mathcal{M} of matroids is called **hereditary** if it is closed under flats. For a prime power q , some examples of such classes are $GF(q)$ -targets [1, 2].

A matroid M is in the **extension class**, \mathcal{M}^{ext} , of a hereditary class \mathcal{M} if M is in \mathcal{M} , or M contains an element e such that $M \setminus e$ is in \mathcal{M} . For a hereditary class \mathcal{M} of matroids, we call a matroid F a **forbidden flat** for \mathcal{M} if F is not in \mathcal{M} but every proper flat of F is in \mathcal{M} . Note that a matroid M is in \mathcal{M} if and only if M contains no forbidden flat for \mathcal{M} . Nelson and Nomoto in [1] show that the class of binary targets has a finite list of forbidden flats, namely $\{U_{3,3}, U_{2,3} \oplus U_{1,1}\}$. Oxley and Mizell in [2] generalized the result to $GF(q)$ -targets for a prime power $q > 2$ and found the finite list of their forbidden flats. We show that if a hereditary class \mathcal{M} has a finite number of forbidden flats, then so does \mathcal{M}^{ext} . An immediate consequence of the result is that the list of forbidden flats for the extension class of $GF(q)$ -targets is finite.

2. EXCLUSIONS FOR THE EXTENSION CLASS

Lemma 2.1. *\mathcal{M}^{ext} is hereditary.*

Proof. Let M be a matroid in \mathcal{M}^{ext} and let F be a flat of M . If M is in \mathcal{M} , then $M|F$ is in \mathcal{M} , and so $M|F$ is in \mathcal{M}^{ext} . Therefore M has an element e such that $M \setminus e$ is in \mathcal{M} . If e is not in F , then $M|F$ is in \mathcal{M} so in \mathcal{M}^{ext} . Thus e is in F . It follows that $(M|F) \setminus e$ is in \mathcal{M} so $M|F$ is in \mathcal{M}^{ext} . \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a hereditary class of matroids and let r denote the maximum rank of a forbidden flat for \mathcal{M} , and k denote the maximum*

Date: March 26, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05B35.

Key words and phrases. Hereditary classes, matroid extensions, Forbidden flats.

number of elements in a forbidden flat for \mathcal{M} . If M is a forbidden flat for \mathcal{M}^{ext} , then the rank of M is at most the maximum of $\{2r, r + k(r - 1)\}$.

Proof. Note that M is not in \mathcal{M} so $E(M)$ has a subset S such that $M|S$ is a forbidden flat for \mathcal{M} . Let $S = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$. Since for each i in $\{1, \dots, k\}$, the matroid $M \setminus e_i$ is not in \mathcal{M} , we have a subset F_i of $E(M) - e_i$ such that $(M \setminus e_i)|F_i$ is a forbidden flat for \mathcal{M} . Observe that, if $S \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i$ is contained in a hyperplane H of M , then the matroid $M|H$ is not in \mathcal{M}^{ext} , a contradiction to the minimality of M . Therefore, $r(M) = r(S \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i)$.

If the intersection of a pair of flats in $\{S, F_1, \dots, F_k\}$, say S and F_1 , is empty, then $r(M) = r(S \cup F_1)$, otherwise $S \cup F_1$ is contained in a hyperplane H of M , and $M|H$ is not in \mathcal{M}^{ext} , a contradiction. Thus $r(M) = r(S \cup F_1) \leq 2r$. Therefore we may assume that each such pair has a non-empty intersection. It now follows from the submodularity of the rank function that $r(S \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i) \leq r + k(r - 1)$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Nelson and K. Nomoto, The structure of claw-free binary matroids, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **150** (2021), 76–118.
- [2] M. Mizell and J. Oxley, Matroids arising from nested sequence of flats in projective and affine geometries, arxiv: 2307.02423.
- [3] J. Oxley *Matroid Theory*, Second edition, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY, BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Email address: `singhjagdeep070@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, MISSISSIPPI

Email address: `vaidysivaraman@gmail.com`