

Confronting CP symmetry of order 4 with experimental data

Igor P. Ivanov* and Duanyang Zhao

*School of Physics and Astronomy, Sun Yat-sen University,
Daxue road 2, Tangjiawan, 519082 Zhuhai, China*

E-mail: ivanov@mail.sysu.edu.cn, zhaody8@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

CP4 3HDM is a three-Higgs-doublet model based on a CP symmetry of order 4 (CP4). It is the minimal model incorporating CP4 without leading to accidental symmetries or running into immediate conflict with experiment. Imposing CP4 on the lagrangian induces remarkably tight connections between the scalar and Yukawa sectors, including the unavoidable tree-level flavor-changing neutral couplings (FCNC). Here, we explore whether it is at all possible in the CP4 3HDM to suppressed FCNC to a level compatible with the neutral meson oscillation constraints. We express the FCNC matrices in terms of physical quark observables and quark rotation parameters, and scan the Yukawa parameter space using the quark masses and mixing parameters as input. With this procedure, we find that only two out of the eight possible CP4 Yukawa sectors are compatible with the K , B , B_s and, in particular, D -meson oscillation constraints. The results clearly indicate a way how to construct phenomenologically viable benchmark CP4 3HDMs.

Corfu Summer Institute 2023 "School and Workshops on Elementary Particle Physics and Gravity" (CORFU2023)

23 April - 6 May , and 27 August - 1 October, 2023

Corfu, Greece

*Speaker

1. The curious case of CP4 3HDM

Multi-Higgs-doublet models are an attractive framework to build models beyond the Standard Model (bSM). The simple idea of generations applied to the Higgs sector, together with very few assumptions, can lead to numerous phenomenological and cosmological consequences. The two-Higgs-doublet model (2HDM) proposed by T. D. Lee half a century ago [1] has become a standard playground for collider searches of additional Higgs bosons [2, 3]. The three-Higgs-doublet model (3HDM), first proposed by S. Weinberg in 1976 [4] as a means of combining CP violation with natural flavor conservation, was actively explored in 1980's and flourished again in the past decade, see [5] for a brief historical overview. There is already an extensive literature on models using four or more Higgs doublets, see [6] for a relevant literature review. In short, the multi-Higgs-doublet framework remains an actively explored and phenomenologically attractive option, with new phenomenological opportunities still being explored.

In most cases, multi-Higgs models are shaped by additional global symmetries which act on scalars and fermions. Various options for the symmetry groups and representation choices have been used. For example, the 2HDM with a softly broken \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry [2] allows one to implement the natural flavor conservation principle [7–9] and, as a result, to avoid the tree-level Higgs-mediated flavor changing neutral couplings (FCNC). Eliminating FCNCs altogether is not compulsory, though. Certain amount of tree-level FCNCs can be tolerated if they are sufficiently suppressed [10]. Remarkably, in certain symmetry-based models, such as the famous Branco-Grimus-Lavoura (BGL) model [11] and its generalizations [12–14], this suppression is naturally controlled by the small elements of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix.

The 2HDMs, however, offer a very limited choice of global symmetry groups [15–19]. 3HDMs can accommodate many more symmetry options for model building [20–22], including several non-abelian finite groups, as well as novel options for CP violation. What is less known is that the 3HDMs can also accommodate a new form of CP symmetry, which is physically distinguishable from the traditional CP [23]. It was noticed long ago that the action of discrete symmetries, such as CP , on quantum fields is not uniquely defined [24–27]. If a model contains several fields with identical gauge quantum numbers, this freedom in defining CP is further enhanced: one can consider a general CP transformation (GCP) which not only maps the fields to their conjugates but also mixes them [28, 29]:

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \xrightarrow{CP} C\mathcal{P} \phi_i(\mathbf{r}, t) (C\mathcal{P})^{-1} = X_{ij} \phi_j^*(-\mathbf{r}, t), \quad X_{ij} \in U(N). \quad (1)$$

Here, we showed the GCP action on the complex scalar fields ϕ_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$. The conventional CP choice $X_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ is just one of many possible choices and is basis-dependent. If a model does not respect the conventional CP but is invariant under a GCP with a suitable matrix X , then the model is explicitly CP -conserving [27].

The presence of the matrix X has consequences. Applying the GCP twice leads to a Higgs family transformation, $\phi_i \mapsto (XX^*)_{ij} \phi_j$, which may be non-trivial. It may happen that one arrives at the identity transformation only when the GCP transformation is applied k times; by definition, such a CP symmetry has order k . The conventional CP is of order 2; the next option is a CP symmetry of order 4, denoted CP4. Since the order of a transformation is basis-invariant, a model

based on a CP_4 represents a physically distinct CP -invariant model which cannot be achieved with the conventional CP .

Within the 2HDM, imposing CP_4 on the scalar sector always leads to the usual CP [19]. In order to implement CP_4 and avoid any conventional CP , one needs to pass to three Higgs doublets. This model, dubbed CP_4 3HDM, was constructed in [30] building upon results of [31] and was found to possess remarkable features which sometimes defy intuition [23, 30, 32]. If CP_4 remains unbroken at the minimum of the Higgs potential, it can protect the scalar dark matter candidates against decay [33] and may be used to generate radiative neutrino masses [34]. Multi-Higgs-doublet models based on even higher order CP symmetries were constructed in [35].

CP_4 symmetry can also be extended to the Yukawa sector leading to very particular patterns of the Yukawa matrices [36]. In order to avoid mass degenerate quarks, the CP_4 symmetry of the model must be spontaneously broken. Then, it turns out that the Yukawa sector contains enough free parameters to accommodate the experimentally measured quark masses and mixing, as well as the appropriate amount of CP violation. Tree-level Higgs-mediated FCNCs are unavoidable in the CP_4 3HDM and must be checked. The scalar alignment assumption used in [36] guarantees that the SM-like Higgs h_{SM} does not change the quark flavor. But the other neutral scalars certainly do. The scan performed in [36] produced parameter space points which satisfied the kaon and B -meson oscillation parameters. However the FCNC processes in the up-quark sector, such as D -meson oscillations or flavor-changing top-quark processes, were not included in [36].

A few years later, Ref. [37] revealed that the charged Higgs boson couplings to the top quark ruled out the vast majority of the parameter space points believed viable in [36]. Almost all examples found in [36] contained one or two charged Higgs bosons lighter than the top quark, opening up non-standard top decay channels, which entered in conflict with the LHC Run 2 data or with the total top width measurements.

Clearly, one needs to repeat the parameter space scan including the new constraints. However, an additional downside of [36] was that only a very small fraction of the random scan points passed all the flavor constraints. This was due to the wide-spread but intrinsically inefficient scanning method, which used the parameters of the lagrangian as input. In this method, one begins with a random point in the parameter space, finds quark masses and mixing parameters way off their experimental values, and then iteratively seeks for a suitable combination of the parameters which would lead to the measured quark masses and mixing values. It would be much more efficient to use the physical observables — quark masses and mixing parameters — as input, and then to proceed directly to computing the FCNC processes.

Here, we report the results of the recent work [38], in which we constructed this scanning procedure and, using it, dramatically limited the number of viable CP_4 3HDM Yukawa sectors.

2. 3HDM with CP -symmetry of order 4

2.1 The scalar sector of CP_4 3HDM

The 3HDMs make use of three Higgs doublets ϕ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ with identical quantum numbers. CP_4 acts on Higgs doublets by conjugation accompanied with a rotation in the doublet space.

Following [30, 36], we use the following form of the CP4:

$$\phi_i \xrightarrow{CP} X_{ij} \phi_j^*, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Applying this transformation twice leads to a non-trivial transformation in the space of doublets: $\phi_1 \mapsto \phi_1$, $\phi_{2,3} \mapsto -\phi_{2,3}$. In order to get the identity transformation, we must apply CP4 four times, hence the order-4 transformation. Any CP-type transformation of order 4 acting in the space of three complex fields can always be presented in the form (2) by a suitable basis change [26].

The most general renormalizable 3HDM potential respecting this symmetry was presented in [30]. It can be written as $V = V_0 + V_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 = & -m_{11}^2 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1) - m_{22}^2 (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 + \phi_3^\dagger \phi_3) + \lambda_1 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1)^2 + \lambda_2 \left[(\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2)^2 + (\phi_3^\dagger \phi_3)^2 \right] \\ & + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1) (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 + \phi_3^\dagger \phi_3) + \lambda'_3 (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2) (\phi_3^\dagger \phi_3) \\ & + \lambda_4 \left[(\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2) (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_1) + (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_3) (\phi_3^\dagger \phi_1) \right] + \lambda'_4 (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_3) (\phi_3^\dagger \phi_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with all parameters real, and

$$V_1 = \lambda_5 (\phi_3^\dagger \phi_1) (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_1) + \lambda_8 (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_3)^2 + \lambda_9 (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_3) (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 - \phi_3^\dagger \phi_3) + h.c. \quad (4)$$

with real λ_5 and complex λ_8, λ_9 . Out of the two remaining complex parameters, only one can be made real by a suitable rephasing of ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 . However, in this work we prefer to keep λ_8, λ_9 complex because we will rely on the residual rephasing freedom to make the vacuum expectation values (vevs) real.

Minimization of this potential and the resulting scalar bosons mass matrices were studied in [36]. In order to avoid pathologies in the quark sector, CP4 must be spontaneously broken. The three doublets acquire vevs, which can in principle be complex, but the rephasing freedom allows us to render the three vevs real:

$$\langle \phi_i^0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_1, v_2, v_3) \equiv \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} (c_\beta, s_\beta c_\psi, s_\beta s_\psi). \quad (5)$$

With $v = 246$ GeV fixed, the position of the minimum is described by two angles β and ψ (in the expression above, we used the shorthand notation for sines and cosines of these angles). Using these angles as input parameters, we rotate the three doublets to a Higgs basis as

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_\beta & s_\beta c_\psi & s_\beta s_\psi \\ -s_\beta & c_\beta c_\psi & c_\beta s_\psi \\ 0 & -s_\psi & c_\psi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

In this Higgs basis, the vev is located only in H_1 , while $\langle H_2^0 \rangle = \langle H_3^0 \rangle = 0$. The would-be Goldstone modes populate H_1 , while all fields in the doublets H_2, H_3 are physical scalars degrees of freedom. Expanding the potential near the minimum, we obtain five neutral scalar bosons and two pairs of charged Higgses. In general, all neutral Higgs bosons can couple to WW and ZZ pairs. However, if one fixes $m_{11}^2 = m_{22}^2$, the model exhibits scalar alignment: one of the neutral Higgses h_{SM} couples to the WW and ZZ exactly as in the SM, while the other four neutral bosons h_2 through h_5 decouple from these channels. In this study, we assume scalar alignment.

2.2 The Yukawa sector of the CP4 3HDM

The general expressions for the quark Yukawa sector in the 3HDM is

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{Q}_L^0 (\Gamma_1 \phi_1 + \Gamma_2 \phi_2 + \Gamma_3 \phi_3) d_R^0 + \bar{Q}_L^0 (\Delta_1 \tilde{\phi}_1 + \Delta_2 \tilde{\phi}_2 + \Delta_3 \tilde{\phi}_3) u_R^0 + h.c. \quad (7)$$

Here, we use the notation of [39] extended to the 3HDM. The three generations of quarks are implicitly assumed everywhere, their indices suppressed for brevity. The superscript 0 for the quark fields indicates that these are the starting quark fields; when we pass to the physical quark fields by diagonalizing the quark mass matrices, we will remove this superscript.

CP4 symmetry can be extended to the Yukawa sector of 3HDM. This extension is not unique, but there is a limited number of structurally different options. This problem was solved in [36] and yielded four distinct cases, labeled A , B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 , separately in the up and down-quark sectors. In the down-quark sector, case A of [36] represents the trivial solution

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} \\ g_{12}^* & g_{11}^* & g_{13}^* \\ g_{31} & g_{31}^* & g_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_{2,3} = 0, \quad (8)$$

which is completely free from FCNCs, while cases B_1 , B_2 , B_3 involve all three Yukawa matrices:

- Case B_1 :

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ g_{31} & g_{31}^* & g_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -g_{22}^* & -g_{21}^* & -g_{23}^* \\ g_{12}^* & g_{11}^* & g_{13}^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

- Case B_2 :

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & g_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & g_{13}^* \\ 0 & 0 & g_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & 0 \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{22}^* & -g_{21}^* & 0 \\ g_{12}^* & -g_{11}^* & 0 \\ g_{32}^* & -g_{31}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

- Case B_3 :

$$\Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & 0 \\ -g_{12}^* & g_{11}^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & g_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & g_{23} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -g_{23}^* \\ 0 & 0 & g_{13}^* \\ g_{32}^* & -g_{31}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

In all cases, all the parameters apart from g_{33} can be complex. A similar set of cases is found in the up-quark sector.

Since the up and down sectors involve the same left-handed doublets, one can only combine cases A or B_2 in the up sector with A or B_2 in the down sectors, and cases B_1 or B_3 in the up sector with B_1 or B_3 in the down sector. This leads to eight possible pairings. One of them, (A, A) , should be disregarded as it is unable to generate the CP-violating phase in the CKM matrix. Thus, we are left with seven viable combinations for the CP4 invariant Yukawa sector:

$$(\text{down, up}): \quad (B_1, B_1), \quad (B_1, B_3), \quad (B_3, B_1), \quad (B_3, B_3), \quad (12)$$

$$(A, B_2), \quad (B_2, A), \quad (B_2, B_2). \quad (13)$$

Since case (A, A) is excluded, we arrive at the important conclusion that FCNCs are unavoidable in CP4 3HDM.

3. Controlling FCNC in the CP4 3HDM

3.1 General FCNC matrices in 3HDM

Starting from the general Yukawa sector (7) and inserting the vevs (5), which are real in the basis we work in, we write the quark mass matrices as

$$M_d^0 = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}(\Gamma_1 c_\beta + \Gamma_2 s_\beta c_\psi + \Gamma_3 s_\beta s_\psi), \quad M_u^0 = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}(\Delta_1 c_\beta + \Delta_2 s_\beta c_\psi + \Delta_3 s_\beta s_\psi). \quad (14)$$

They are, in general, non-diagonal and complex. The interaction of the neutral (complex) scalars with the quarks can be described both in the initial basis and in the Higgs basis we chose; the relation between the two bases is given by

$$\Gamma_1 \phi_1^0 + \Gamma_2 \phi_2^0 + \Gamma_3 \phi_3^0 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}(H_1^0 M_d^0 + H_2^0 N_{d2}^0 + H_3^0 N_{d3}^0), \quad (15)$$

where

$$N_{d2}^0 = M_d^0 \cot \beta - \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}s_\beta} \Gamma_1, \quad N_{d3}^0 = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}(-\Gamma_2 s_\psi + \Gamma_3 c_\psi). \quad (16)$$

For the up-quark sector, we obtain

$$\Delta_1 (\phi_1^0)^* + \Gamma_2 (\phi_2^0)^* + \Delta_3 (\phi_3^0)^* = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}[(H_1^0)^* M_u^0 + (H_2^0)^* N_{u2}^0 + (H_3^0)^* N_{u3}^0], \quad (17)$$

where

$$N_{u2}^0 = M_u^0 \cot \beta - \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}s_\beta} \Delta_1, \quad N_{u3}^0 = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}(-\Delta_2 s_\psi + \Delta_3 c_\psi). \quad (18)$$

As usual, these mass matrices are diagonalized by unitary transformations of the quark fields, $d_L^0 = V_{dL} d_L$ and so on, which lead to the CKM matrix $V_{\text{CKM}} = V_{uL}^\dagger V_{dL}$ and

$$D_d = V_{dL}^\dagger M_d^0 V_{dR} = \text{diag}(m_d, m_s, m_b), \quad D_u = V_{uL}^\dagger M_u^0 V_{uR} = \text{diag}(m_u, m_c, m_t). \quad (19)$$

The same quark rotation matrices also act on the matrices N : $N_{d2} = V_{dL}^\dagger N_{d2}^0 V_{dR}$ and $N_{u2} = V_{uL}^\dagger N_{u2}^0 V_{uR}$, and, similarly, for N_{d3} , N_{u3} .

The four Yukawa matrices N_{d2} , N_{d3} , N_{u2} , N_{u3} are the key objects of this work. They describe the coupling patterns of the neutral complex fields H_2^0 and H_3^0 with the three generations of physical quarks. Their off-diagonal elements indicate the strength of FCNC. Within the 2HDM, we would only get N_{d2} and N_{u2} , see e.g. [39]. The additional matrices N_{d3} and N_{u3} arise in the 3HDM, and their patterns can be very different from N_{d2} and N_{u2} .

3.2 The inversion procedure

Fitting quark masses and the CKM matrix is, in general, a non-trivial task for multi-Higgs-doublet models with generic Yukawa sectors. One begins with several Yukawa matrices Γ_i and Δ_i , which usually have many free parameters, multiply them by vevs v_i and sum them to produce the mass matrices M_d^0 and M_u^0 , see Eqs. (14). In general, this passage is irreversible: if one only




Figure 1: In a generic multi-Higgs model, one begins with Γ_i, Δ_i , computes the mass matrices and diagonalizes them. This procedure, indicated by thin arrows, is usually irreversible. In certain models, one can perform inversion (thick light blue arrows), which allows one to pass directly from the quark properties to the FCNC matrices.

knows M_d^0 and vevs, one cannot recover individual Γ_i . Another problem is that, if matrices Γ_i, Δ_i are not generic, it is not guaranteed that they can reproduce the known quark masses and mixing parameters at all, especially when the vev alignment is also constrained by the scalar sector.

In the light of these difficulties, one is often forced to scan the multi-dimensional parameter space in a way which is intrinsically inefficient. If one knows vevs and randomly selects Γ_i, Δ_i , one obtains M_d^0 and M_u^0 , which lead to quark masses and mixing very different from their experimental values. One then repeats the scan many times, trying to iteratively approach the measured values.

However, in certain classes of models one can invert the above procedure: that is, knowing M_d^0 and vevs, one can uniquely reconstruct each Γ_i . Inversion significantly facilitates the phenomenological study of the model. Instead of a random scan over Γ_i, Δ_i , one takes the physical quark masses and mixing parameters as input, parametrizes V_{dL}, V_{dR} , and V_{uR} in a suitable way, and directly obtains a parameter space point which automatically agrees with the experimental quark properties. No parameter sets are wasted anymore. Moreover, one can express the physical quark coupling matrices N_d and N_u via quark masses and mixing as well as V_{dL}, V_{dR} , and V_{uR} . Such a procedure offers a better control over FCNC within a given class of models.

The inversion procedure is available not only in models with natural flavor conservation, such as the Type-I or Type-II 2HDMs, but also in the BGL model [11], which allows for small FCNCs controlled by the third row of V_{CKM} . A similar inversion procedure was recently constructed in the $U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetric 3HDM [40], where the quark sector closely resembled the BGL model.

The first scan of the CP4 3HDM parameter space reported in [36] was done in the traditional way, by randomly choosing Γ_i, Δ_i . Although the possibility of inversion was already mentioned in that work, it was not used in the scan. Here we report that in the recent paper [38], we successfully constructed the inversion procedure for all Yukawa sectors of the CP4 3HDM.

Then, starting from the physical quark parameters m_q, V_{CKM} and parametrizing the quark rotation matrices, we can then calculate $N_{d2}, N_{d3}, N_{u2}, N_{u3}$ for the physical quark couplings with the second and third doublet scalars H_2^0 and H_3^0 in the Higgs basis. Although these scalars are not yet the mass eigenstates, the matrices provide a clear picture of the FCNC magnitude and patterns.

3.3 Target values for the FCNC magnitude

The Higgs-mediated contributions to the neutral meson oscillation parameters depend on the off-diagonal elements of N_d and N_u , on the masses of the new Higgs bosons, and on the interference patterns among different scalars [12, 41]. In this work, we address a concrete question: is it possible to achieve, within CP4 3HDM, sufficiently small FCNC couplings which would satisfy all the neutral meson oscillation constraints for a 1 TeV Higgs boson without relying on additional cancellation?

If for some Yukawa sector of the CP4 3HDM it turns out possible, there are good chances that a full phenomenological study will identify viable points with reasonably heavy Higgs bosons. Conversely, if it turns out impossible already for 1 TeV Higgses, the chances to find a phenomenologically acceptable version of the explicitly CP4-invariant 3HDM will be bleak.

To define the target parameters, we follow [41] and rewrite the coupling matrix of a generic real scalar S of unspecified CP properties with down quarks as

$$\frac{1}{v} \bar{d}_{Li} (N_d)_{ij} d_{Rj} + h.c = \bar{d}_i \left(A_{ij} + i B_{ij} \gamma^5 \right) d_j, \quad A = \frac{N_d + N_d^\dagger}{2v}, \quad iB = \frac{N_d - N_d^\dagger}{2v}. \quad (20)$$

Both A and B are hermitean matrices. For example, $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ oscillations place constraints on $|a_{ds}| = |A_{12}|$ and $|b_{ds}| = |B_{12}|$, and so on. A similar construction for the up-quark sector allows us to constrain the (uc) elements with the aid of $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ oscillations. We consider the off-diagonal FCNC elements acceptable for a 1 TeV scalar if they satisfy the following upper limits borrowed from [41]:

$$K^0 - \bar{K}^0 : \quad |a_{ds}| < 3.7 \times 10^{-4}, \quad |b_{ds}| < 1.1 \times 10^{-4}, \quad (21a)$$

$$B^0 - \bar{B}^0 : \quad |a_{db}| < 9.0 \times 10^{-4}, \quad |b_{db}| < 3.4 \times 10^{-4}, \quad (21b)$$

$$B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0 : \quad |a_{sb}| < 45 \times 10^{-4}, \quad |b_{sb}| < 17 \times 10^{-4}, \quad (21c)$$

$$D^0 - \bar{D}^0 : \quad |a_{uc}| < 5.0 \times 10^{-4}, \quad |b_{uc}| < 1.8 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (21d)$$

For a lower mass of the scalar S , the upper limits on these couplings will decrease proportionally.

Below, we will check one by one all seven Yukawa sectors given in Eqs. (12) or (13). If a sector manages to produce “viable” points, that is, parameter sets which pass all the above constraints, we consider this case promising. If a sector is unable to produce points passing simultaneously all the constraints, we say the this sector is “ruled out”.

4. FCNCs in the CP4 3HDM: results

4.1 General expressions

Using the expressions for matrices Γ_i in Eq. (9)–(11) and the vevs parametrized via β and ψ in Eq. (5), we can explicitly compute M_d^0 as well as N_{d2}^0 and N_{d3}^0 . Our goal is to relate them, that is, to express N_{d2}^0 and N_{d3}^0 via M_d^0 , which will then allow us to express N_{d2} and N_{d3} in terms of quark masses and quark rotation matrices.

The detailed derivation and the exact results for all the cases B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 can be found in the paper [38]. Here we only illustrate the results with the case B_1 . We begin with N_{d2}^0 , Eq. (16),

which can be expressed as

$$N_{d2}^0 = M_d^0 \cot \beta - \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}s_\beta} \Gamma_1 = R_3^0 \cdot M_d^0. \quad (22)$$

Here, $R_3^0 = \text{diag}(\cot \beta, \cot \beta, -\tan \beta)$. After the mass matrix bidiagonalization, we get

$$N_{d2} = V_{dL}^\dagger N_{d2}^0 V_{dR} = V_{dL}^\dagger R_3^0 V_{dL} \cdot V_{dL}^\dagger M_d^0 V_{dR} = R_3 \cdot M_d. \quad (23)$$

This leads to a simple expression for the FCNC matrix N_{d2} , which makes it clear that the off-diagonal elements of N_{d2} are fully controlled by the third row of the matrix V_{dL} :

$$(N_{d2})_{ij} = \cot \beta m_{d_j} \delta_{ij} - \frac{m_{d_j}}{c_\beta s_\beta} (V_{dL,3i})^* V_{dL,3j}. \quad (24)$$

A similar analysis holds for the up-quark sector. These expressions are familiar from the BGL model and the $U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -symmetric 3HDM studied in [40]. This is not surprising: with our definition of the Higgs basis, the second doublet H_2 of our model matches the second doublet of the 2HDM in the Higgs basis.

N_{d3} has no counterpart in the BGL model. It turns out that, thanks to the special form of the Yukawa matrices in CP4 3HDM, the matrix N_{d3}^0 can be expressed in terms of M_d^{0*} :

$$N_{d3}^0 = \frac{1}{s_\beta} P_4 \cdot M_d^{0*} \cdot R_2, \quad \text{where} \quad P_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

After the quark field rotations, we get

$$N_{d3} = \frac{1}{s_\beta} V_{dL}^\dagger P_4 V_{dL}^* \cdot V_{dL}^T M_d^{0*} V_{dR}^* \cdot V_{dR}^T R_2 V_{dR} = \frac{1}{s_\beta} P_4^{(dL)} \cdot M_d \cdot R_2^{(dR)}. \quad (26)$$

Here, we used the fact that the diagonal matrix M_d is real. We also defined

$$P_4^{(dL)} = V_{dL}^\dagger P_4 V_{dL}^*, \quad R_2^{(dR)} = V_{dR}^T R_2 V_{dR}. \quad (27)$$

Using the similar approach, one can express N_d 's and N_u 's for the remaining cases B_2 and B_3 , see details in [38].

A qualitative analysis presented in [38] allows one to understand how the parameters of the quark rotation matrices affect the magnitude of the off-diagonal elements. The main qualitative observations are that, first, it is easy to suppress the FCNC couplings from N_{d2} but not from N_{d3} , and second, that the (ds) off-diagonal couplings from N_{d3} , which are relevant for kaon mixing, are governed by m_s , not m_d or $\sqrt{m_d m_s}$. This is due to the fact that the CP4 symmetry mixes the first two quark generations. Similarly, the D -meson mixing parameters receive contributions from (uc) couplings of the matrix N_{u3} , which inherit m_c . All these off-diagonal couplings can still be suppressed by the small rotation angles, but the presence of the second generation quark masses explains why it is a highly non-trivial task to avoid large FCNC in the CP4 3HDM.

4.2 Numerical results

We are now ready to address the main question formulated in Section 3.3: is it possible to find examples of the CP4 3HDM Yukawa sectors which satisfy all the meson oscillation constraints given in Eqs. (21)? We implemented the inversion procedure described in Section 3 using the experimentally known quark masses, the CKM matrix, and the scalar vevs as input parameters. We chose the quark rotation matrices in such a way that, in the original basis before the quark mass matrices diagonalization, we could recover M_d^0 and M_u^0 exactly of the type that is required for each Yukawa sector. For cases A , B_1 , and B_2 , this can be done analytically, while for case B_3 we resorted to a numerical procedure.

The angles and phases of the quark rotation matrices represent the space in which we performed numerical scans. We ran different versions of the scan, either exploring the full ranges of the rotation angles and phases or staying close to the block-diagonal quark rotation matrices. For each parameter space point, we computed the dimensionless FCNC quantities a_{ij} and b_{ij} defined in Section 3.3 and checked whether they could satisfy the constraints at least for a 1 TeV scalar.




Figure 2: Constraints from the kaon and D -meson oscillations (left) and from B/B_s -meson oscillations (right) for the subset of points which satisfy all the meson oscillation constraints. The dashed boxes show the constraints of Eq. (21).

Starting with the CP4-invariant Yukawa scenario (B_1, B_1) , we observed the off-diagonal entries of the Higgs-quark coupling matrices N_{d2} , N_{d3} , N_{u2} , N_{u3} could be controlled through appropriate parameters of the quark rotation matrices, especially by choosing the quark rotation matrices sufficiently close to the block-diagonal form. We also noticed that the D -meson oscillations placed the strongest constraints. The fact that the previous numerical analysis of CP4 3HDM [36] did not include D -mesons makes it well possible that all the (B_1, B_1) points considered there as viable could be in fact ruled out by the D -meson constraints.

In Fig. 2 we show viable points, which pass all the meson oscillation constraints, on the D -meson vs kaon FCNC coefficients plane (left) and on the B_s vs B -meson coefficients plane (right). These plots show that couplings of H_2^0 and H_3^0 to quarks are shaped by different meson oscillation constraints. N_{d2} and N_{u2} (blue points) satisfy the kaon and D -meson constraints by large margin, and their main limitations come from B physics. For N_{d3} and N_{u3} (red points), we observe the opposite trend: B physics constraints play a minor role, and the strongest restrictions come from kaons and D -mesons. This is a manifestation of the structurally different forms of the corresponding




Figure 3: Constraints from the B/B_s -meson oscillations on the CP4-invariant Yukawa case (B_2, B_2) . All red points fall outside the box, shown by the red dashed line.

matrices.

This first example shows that the Yukawa sector (B_1, B_1) is a promising option for a CP4 3HDM benchmark model. It turns out that in other Yukawa scenarios of the CP4 3HDM, significant tension arises among several meson oscillation constraints. For an illustration, we show in Fig. 3 the scan results for the Yukawa case (B_2, B_2) and show the distribution of b_{db} and b_{sb} , which are the most tightly constrained coefficients affecting B and B_s meson oscillations. Although off-diagonal elements of the matrix N_{d2} (blue points), describing the coupling of H_2^0 to quarks, can be well suppressed, the corresponding elements of the H_3^0 coupling matrix N_{d3} (red points) are outside the box far large margin. This means that, in a full phenomenological study, the Yukawa scenario (B_2, B_2) would have a chance to satisfy all the constraints only if we used the new scalar masses of the order of several TeV. But the scalar sector of the CP4 3HDM model does not have decoupling limit, so such high masses can never be attained. Thus, the scenario (B_2, B_2) is already ruled out for CP4 3HDM.

Apart from (B_1, B_1) , only the Yukawa scenario (A, B_2) can produce viable points. In this case, the down quark sector has no FCNC at all, and the only constraint comes from the D -meson oscillations. We found that, in a certain region of the parameter space, it could be satisfied simultaneously for N_{u2} and N_{u3} .

5. Summary

Neutral meson oscillations put to a severe test all multi-Higgs models that feature Higgs-induced tree-level flavor changing neutral couplings [10]. CP4 3HDM, the three-Higgs-doublet model with a CP symmetry of order 4, features strong connections between the scalar and Yukawa sectors and, therefore, possesses potentially dangerous FCNCs. In this communication, we reported the results of [38] in which we investigated whether and how strongly FCNC effects be suppressed within CP4 3HDM.

To this end, we constructed a new scanning procedure which uses the quark masses and CKM matrix as input parameters, expressed the FCNC matrices of the new scalars via these physical parameters and the quark rotation matrices, and performed scans over angles and phases of the rotation matrices. Using this procedure, we found that out of eight possible CP4 3HDM Yukawa sectors, only two could lead to potentially viable models; these are the scenarios (B_1, B_1) and (A, B_2) . All the remaining scenarios unavoidably lead to FCNC couplings which are too large even for a 1 TeV new scalar, and we consider them ruled out.

We are now working on a full phenomenological study of the CP4 3HDM based on either of these two Yukawa scenarios.

Acknowledgments

I.P.I. wishes to thank the organizers of the Corfu Summer Institute for inviting him to this inspiring workshop and setting up the wonderful working atmosphere.

References

- [1] T.D. Lee, *A Theory of Spontaneous T Violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **8** (1973) 1226.
- [2] G.C. Branco, P.M. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M.N. Rebelo, M. Sher and J.P. Silva, *Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models*, *Phys. Rept.* **516** (2012) 1 [1106.0034].
- [3] LHC HIGGS CROSS SECTION WORKING GROUP collaboration, *Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector*, 1610.07922.
- [4] S. Weinberg, *Gauge Theory of CP Violation*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37** (1976) 657.
- [5] I.P. Ivanov, *Building and testing models with extended Higgs sectors*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **95** (2017) 160 [1702.03776].
- [6] J. Shao and I.P. Ivanov, *Symmetries for the 4HDM: extensions of cyclic groups*, *JHEP* **10** (2023) 070 [2305.05207].
- [7] S.L. Glashow and S. Weinberg, *Natural Conservation Laws for Neutral Currents*, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 1958.
- [8] E.A. Paschos, *Diagonal Neutral Currents*, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 1966.
- [9] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, *CP Conservation in the Presence of Instantons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 1440.
- [10] M. Sher, *Flavor-changing neutral currents in the Higgs sector*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **37** (2022) 2230011 [2207.06771].
- [11] G.C. Branco, W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *Relating the scalar flavor changing neutral couplings to the CKM matrix*, *Phys. Lett. B* **380** (1996) 119 [hep-ph/9601383].

- [12] F.J. Botella, G.C. Branco, A. Carmona, M. Nebot, L. Pedro and M.N. Rebelo, *Physical Constraints on a Class of Two-Higgs Doublet Models with FCNC at tree level*, *JHEP* **07** (2014) 078 [[1401.6147](#)].
- [13] F.J. Botella, G.C. Branco, M. Nebot and M.N. Rebelo, *Flavour Changing Higgs Couplings in a Class of Two Higgs Doublet Models*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 161 [[1508.05101](#)].
- [14] J.M. Alves, F.J. Botella, G.C. Branco, F. Cornet-Gomez and M. Nebot, *Controlled Flavour Changing Neutral Couplings in Two Higgs Doublet Models*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 585 [[1703.03796](#)].
- [15] I.P. Ivanov, *Minkowski space structure of the Higgs potential in 2HDM*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 035001 [[hep-ph/0609018](#)].
- [16] C.C. Nishi, *CP violation conditions in N-Higgs-doublet potentials*, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 036003 [[hep-ph/0605153](#)].
- [17] I.P. Ivanov, *Minkowski space structure of the Higgs potential in 2HDM. II. Minima, symmetries, and topology*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 015017 [[0710.3490](#)].
- [18] M. Maniatis, A. von Manteuffel and O. Nachtmann, *A New type of CP symmetry, family replication and fermion mass hierarchies*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **57** (2008) 739 [[0711.3760](#)].
- [19] P.M. Ferreira, H.E. Haber and J.P. Silva, *Generalized CP symmetries and special regions of parameter space in the two-Higgs-doublet model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 116004 [[0902.1537](#)].
- [20] I.P. Ivanov and E. Vdovin, *Discrete symmetries in the three-Higgs-doublet model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 095030 [[1206.7108](#)].
- [21] I.P. Ivanov and E. Vdovin, *Classification of finite reparametrization symmetry groups in the three-Higgs-doublet model*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2309 [[1210.6553](#)].
- [22] N. Darvishi and A. Pilaftsis, *Classifying Accidental Symmetries in Multi-Higgs Doublet Models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 095008 [[1912.00887](#)].
- [23] H.E. Haber, O.M. Ogreid, P. Osland and M.N. Rebelo, *Symmetries and Mass Degeneracies in the Scalar Sector*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 042 [[1808.08629](#)].
- [24] G. Feinberg and S. Weinberg, *On the phase factors in inversions*, *Nuovo Cimento* **14** (1959) 571.
- [25] T.D. Lee and G.C. Wick, *Space Inversion, Time Reversal, and Other Discrete Symmetries in Local Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **148** (1966) 1385.
- [26] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum theory of fields. Vol. 1: Foundations*, Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [27] G.C. Branco, L. Lavoura and J.P. Silva, *CP Violation*, vol. 103 (1999).

- [28] G. Ecker, W. Grimus and H. Neufeld, *A Standard Form for Generalized CP Transformations*, *J. Phys. A* **20** (1987) L807.
- [29] W. Grimus and M.N. Rebelo, *Automorphisms in gauge theories and the definition of CP and P*, *Phys. Rept.* **281** (1997) 239 [[hep-ph/9506272](#)].
- [30] I.P. Ivanov and J.P. Silva, *CP-conserving multi-Higgs model with irremovable complex coefficients*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 095014 [[1512.09276](#)].
- [31] I.P. Ivanov, V. Keus and E. Vdovin, *Abelian symmetries in multi-Higgs-doublet models*, *J. Phys. A* **45** (2012) 215201 [[1112.1660](#)].
- [32] A. Aranda, I.P. Ivanov and E. Jiménez, *When the C in CP does not matter: anatomy of order-4 CP eigenstates and their Yukawa interactions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 055010 [[1608.08922](#)].
- [33] I.P. Ivanov and M. Laletin, *Dark matter from CP symmetry of order 4: evolution in the asymmetric regime*, *JCAP* **05** (2019) 032 [[1812.05525](#)].
- [34] I.P. Ivanov, *Radiative neutrino masses from order-4 CP symmetry*, *JHEP* **02** (2018) 025 [[1712.02101](#)].
- [35] I.P. Ivanov and M. Laletin, *Multi-Higgs models with CP-symmetries of increasingly high order*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 015021 [[1804.03083](#)].
- [36] P.M. Ferreira, I.P. Ivanov, E. Jiménez, R. Pasechnik and H. Serôdio, *CP4 miracle: shaping Yukawa sector with CP symmetry of order four*, *JHEP* **01** (2018) 065 [[1711.02042](#)].
- [37] I.P. Ivanov and S.A. Obodenko, *Constraining CP4 3HDM with Top Quark Decays*, *Universe* **7** (2021) 197 [[2104.11440](#)].
- [38] D. Zhao, I.P. Ivanov, R. Pasechnik and P. Zhang, *Limiting FCNC induced by a CP symmetry of order 4*, *JHEP* **04** (2023) 116 [[2302.03094](#)].
- [39] F.J. Botella, F. Cornet-Gomez and M. Nebot, *Flavor conservation in two-Higgs-doublet models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 035046 [[1803.08521](#)].
- [40] D. Das, P.M. Ferreira, A.P. Morais, I. Padilla-Gay, R. Pasechnik and J.P. Rodrigues, *A three Higgs doublet model with symmetry-suppressed flavour changing neutral currents*, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 079 [[2106.06425](#)].
- [41] M. Nebot and J.P. Silva, *Self-cancellation of a scalar in neutral meson mixing and implications for the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 085010 [[1507.07941](#)].