

Digital Twins and Testbeds for Supporting AI Research with Autonomous Vehicle Networks

Anıl Gürses, Gautham Reddy, Saad Masrur, Özgür Özdemir, İsmail Güvenç, Mihail L. Sichitiu, Alphan Şahin, Ahmed Alkhateeb, Magreth Mushi, Rudra Dutta

Abstract—Digital twins (DTs), which are virtual environments that simulate, predict, and optimize the performance of their physical counterparts, hold great promise in revolutionizing next-generation wireless networks. While DTs have been extensively studied for wireless networks, their use in conjunction with autonomous vehicles featuring programmable mobility remains relatively under-explored. In this paper, we study DTs used as a development environment to design, deploy, and test artificial intelligence (AI) techniques that utilize real-world (RW) observations, e.g. radio key performance indicators, for vehicle trajectory and network optimization decisions in autonomous vehicle networks (AVN). We first compare and contrast the use of simulation, digital twin (software in the loop (SITL)), sandbox (hardware-in-the-loop (HITL)), and physical testbed (PT) environments for their suitability in developing and testing AI algorithms for AVNs. We then review various representative use cases of DTs for AVN scenarios. Finally, we provide an example from the NSF AERPAW platform where a DT is used to develop and test AI-aided solutions for autonomous unmanned aerial vehicles for localizing a signal source based solely on link quality measurements. Our results in the physical testbed show that SITL DTs, when supplemented with data from RW measurements and simulations, can serve as an ideal environment for developing and testing innovative AI solutions for AVNs.

Index Terms—5G, 6G, artificial intelligence, autonomous vehicle networks, digital twin, drone, UAV, UGV.

I. INTRODUCTION

The pervasiveness of digitization has propelled the emergence of a concept known as digital twin (DT) in a wide range of application areas in the last decade. The emerging importance of DTs stems from their transformative impact on data-driven decision-making processes within the interconnected systems, enabling the integration of multiple data sources at single point [2]. DTs have gained significant attention recently for their potential in designing, evaluating, and testing wireless networks, particularly in addressing the complexities inherent in the development and validation of these systems in real-world (RW) environments [3]. Standardization bodies, such as 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) and ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T), have also recognized the importance of DTs [4].

As 3GPP nears completion of fifth generation new radio (5G NR) standard, researchers are already eyeing the development of the next generation of wireless networks. The sixth generation (6G) is expected to support an even broader range of applications, including more advanced autonomous vehicles (AVs), specifically uncrewed aerial vehicles (UAVs)

This work is funded in part by NSF award CNS-1939334, and the datasets used in numerical results are available publicly at [1].




Figure 1: DT assisted AVN technology development cycle from idea to RW deployment, including continuous refinement of DT through validation and tuning.

and uncrewed ground vehicles (UGVs), along with artificial intelligence (AI) integrations. Digital twin networks (DTNs) are poised to play a critical role in enabling this new wave of applications, which has the potential to revolutionize various industries. As DTs progressively advance, they possess the potential to transform the development and evaluation process of vertical use cases of wireless networks by incorporating AI techniques. For example, DT-enabled development environment can train, validate, and test AI algorithms for UAVs in autonomous vehicle networks (AVNs) without concerns related to airspace safety or wireless spectrum access rights. With the growing prevalence of AI across various applications, the ability to intelligently adapt AV trajectories and wireless network configurations in response to changing environmental and network conditions is becoming increasingly crucial. However, the current stage of DT technology in facilitating research and development in these areas remains in its infancy.

A critical requirement of DTs is to not only have a strong Physical-to-Virtual (P2V) connection where a physical environment is accurately characterized in the virtual environment but also a strong Virtual-to-Physical (V2P) connection as depicted in Fig. 1, where development of different network components in the virtual environment can be seamlessly transferred into the physical world [5]. This interplay between the two environments requires a high-fidelity representation of the physical environment in the virtual environment. However, this requirement poses a significant challenge due to the complexity introduced by AVNs [6]. Research and development of a realistic DTs for AVNs with P2V and V2P features require addressing multiple challenges. First, a DT should support the capability of developing and testing RW AV, specifically UAV and UGV, and radio software in a virtualized environment, so that intricate software interactions and constraints involving




Figure 2: Interactions between PT, DT, sandbox, and simulation for experiment development and testing.

AVs and radio nodes are captured. Second, a DT should be accompanied by a RW physical twin (PT) testbed in order to be able to validate P2V and V2P connections. Having a tightly coupled DT and PT is also crucial for seamlessly moving experiments back and forward between the two environments for continuous improvement of a new idea. Finally, it is crucial to realistically model the 3D RW propagation conditions in the DT at the frequencies of interest, especially when training new AI techniques in the DT environment prior to deployment in RW.

The main goal of our work is to address the aforementioned challenges associated with developing AVNs. In this paper, we first present a comparative overview of development environments for AVNs, including the simulation, DT, sandbox, and PT. Next, we present representative AVN scenarios where AI-based solutions are critical for AV trajectory and wireless network optimization, and elaborate how DTs can be used to develop such solutions. We then delve into the details of Aerial Experimentation and Research Platform for Advanced Wireless (AERPAW) platform [1] and their corresponding DT-enabled wireless research experimentation environment. Finally, we present a case study on AI-aided signal source localization, where the solution approach is first developed in AERPAW’s DT, calibrated using RW data, and seamlessly moved for testing in the PT testbed by moving software containers to the testbed. Our representative results in the DT and PT environments show that DTs can significantly reduce costs and accelerate the AI development cycle for addressing AVN challenges compared to development and testing exclusively in a testbed environment.

II. DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENTS FOR AUTONOMOUS VEHICLE NETWORKS

Although there are no established standards defined for DT-enabled networks yet, various potential applications have been envisioned. In the context of AVNs, we envision three major use cases: 1) development and testing, 2) model training and

validation for AI inference engines, and 3) real-time decision offloading. This paper primarily focuses on the first two use cases of DTs. To facilitate these use cases, DTs must be able to engage with the physical realm, including the sandbox, PT, and simulations as shown in Fig. 2.

A. Development Using Simulations

Simulations serve as an essential foundation for developing AI solutions for AVNs by providing a controlled simplified environment for testing and validating the system. Simulations utilize simplified representations of the system components to isolate and analyze key dynamics, primarily focusing on the specific component being developed. With the flow envisioned by DTs, simulations can be made accurate and realistic, as the models are refined continuously based on the RW data collected from the system components in PT. By enabling the modeling and analysis of key aspects of the system without having to build physical prototypes, simulations save time and resources while ensuring that only optimal solutions are passed into the implementation phase. Due to the abstractions used in the simulations, the development done for the simulations is not directly deployable to RW. For this specific reason, simulations are primarily used to anticipate system behavior while excluding some impairments that arise from implementation challenges. As a result, while simulations are invaluable for theoretical understanding and analysis of AI aided AVNs, they are only one part of a comprehensive development process that includes iterative refinement.

B. Development Using Digital Twins (SITL)

The advancements in software development and computer hardware have led to the realization of complex virtual environments that can accurately mimic the behavior of physical systems, making it possible to emulate complex dynamics in digital space. In this paper, we envision DTs that use environment emulators, as shown in Fig. 3, where actual AV, radio, and other (e.g. vision) software interact with each other




Figure 3: Interaction of various vehicle and fixed-node software through the environment emulator in the AVN-DT. AI inference engines at each vehicle and fixed node, as well as a centralized entity, are also illustrated.

through the environment emulator, therefore capturing the complex protocol, radio, and vehicle control interactions in the AVN. Although there are two types of emulators, hardware-in-the-loop (HITL) and software-in-the-loop (SITL) emulators, a complete DT can only be realized with SITL, with every component being digitally presented in a virtual environment, which brings significant advantages in experiment scalability, cost, and simultaneous use of the DTs by multiple users in a containerized platform.

The primary challenge with SITL emulators is the emulation’s accuracy at various levels, such as wireless channel and vehicle mobility, which is impacted by the complexity of the environment and the system. To tackle this challenge, we emphasize the significance of incorporating a DT tuning engine for calibration of the various models (e.g. channel and vision) based on RW data acquired from the PT. The role of the DT tuning engine encompasses the analysis of RW data, the extraction of certain statistics, and the refining of the model deployed to the SITL emulator. Once the AI inference engines at individual nodes, as well as the centralized AI inference engine that may be interacting with all fixed and AV nodes, are fully developed and tested, the software containers can be moved seamlessly to the sandbox and PT environments for further testing and refinement. As a result, DTs can significantly reduce integration efforts for developing and testing AI solutions for AVNs compared to development and testing exclusively in a simulation environment.

We want to note that emulators and simulators are often mistakenly thought to be the same. However, they differ significantly in their approaches to system modeling: emulators use the genuine software of a system, whereas simulators create simplified models based on mathematical representations. In the realm of DTs, employing multiple emulators operating on the DT (as depicted in Fig. 3) grants the ability to inspect protocol behavior at various levels, providing a deeper understanding of intricate protocol interactions.

C. Development Using a Sandbox (HITL)

As described in Fig. 2, a sandbox development environment offers another alternative through the employment of

actual hardware paired with either HITL channel emulators or isolated RF environments. In HITL emulators, signals are transmitted via cable to the channel emulator, which emulates the wireless channel propagation, before being received by the receivers. An example of this can be seen with AERPAAW’s utilization of the Keysight PropSim channel emulator, as shown in Fig. 2. In contrast, isolated RF environments involve transmitting and receiving signals within a contained space, with path loss controlled by an attenuator to simulate varying wireless channel conditions. Although isolated RF environments provide valuable insights into hardware impairments like those from radio front ends in SDRs, their scalability is limited.

D. Development Using Physical Twins (RW Testbed)

As a final development environment, PTs, which are RW environments equipped with actual hardware components in a testbed, can be used for the development of AI solutions for AVNs. At first blush, testbeds may seem to be the most appealing development environment due to their realistic operational characteristics that closely resemble RW deployments. However, testbeds are not scalable, flexible, and cost/time-effective, especially for AVN experiments that require AV pilots, long testing time, and experimental spectrum licenses that are more restrictive for aerial vehicles, among other factors. Specifically in the context of AI development, testbeds are not ideal for the development of AI inference engines, as they require a large number of experiments to be conducted to train and validate the AI models. Consequently, testbeds are best suited for final system validation and for collecting RW data for AI-aided AVNs.

III. EXAMPLE USES OF AI WITH DIGITAL TWINS

DTNs can enhance the efficiency and performance of the AI-aided AVNs by leveraging the available virtual ground for training and validation, which allows for *jointly* adapting the trajectories of the AVs and the parameter configurations of the wireless network. In this section, we provide several related use case examples as shown in Fig. 4 and detail the interactions between the AI engines and the DTNs.




Figure 4: AVN application examples where AI techniques can be developed in a DT environment for *jointly* optimizing AV trajectory control, swarm formation, and wireless network parameters before they get deployed in the RW.

A. Data Collection with UAVs

The use of UAVs in areas with weak or non-existent cellular coverage, such as rural areas, has gained significant importance. In agriculture systems, for instance, sensors spread across farms are used to improve crop yields and save costs in rural areas. However, due to the large number of sensors and the size of the farms, it is not feasible to collect the data over-the-air from a single location. To address this challenge, UAVs can be deployed to fly along an optimized trajectory, efficiently collecting all the data from sensors in a timely manner [7]. Similar applications include using UAVs to collect data from roadside units on roads, or from military sensors on a battlefield. Although these applications can be optimized through various techniques, integrating DTs can further enhance the performance of the deployed AI-aided algorithms by enabling offline training to handle edge-case scenarios. For instance, within the DT, a reinforcement learning model can be trained to optimize the UAV's flight trajectory for data collection, considering the wireless channel conditions and the UAV battery life.

B. Disaster Recovery, and Search and Rescue with UAVs

Natural disasters such as earthquakes, wildfires, and hurricanes can cause significant damage to the infrastructure, which leads communication systems to be either overloaded or nonfunctional in affected areas. In such scenarios, UAVs can act as base stations (BSs) or relays to provide wireless connectivity and aid search and rescue teams. Recovering the cellular coverage and locating those who are missing in these areas can be achieved by using UAVs as moving BSs. AI algorithms can optimize UAVs placement and trajectory considering parameters such as signal quality and wireless coverage [8]. With the integration of DT in the development cycle, the efficiency of AI algorithms can be improved, to better adapt UAVs and network parameters to the environment, propagation, and other dynamics.

C. Counter UAS Applications

One or more UAVs equipped with AI-aided decision mechanisms can also be used to detect, localize, and track other signal sources, such as jammers in a dynamic spectrum-sharing environment, enemy units in a battlefield, or unauthorized UAVs in an airspace. For example, a swarm of AVs localizing and tracking unauthorized UAVs is presented in [9]. Once one of the tracking UAVs approaches the unauthorized UAV, various interdiction methods can be deployed, such as jamming the remote control or GPS links of the target UAV or throwing a net to render the target UAV inoperable. Such complex scenarios can be generated in DT for training and validation of AI-aided decision mechanisms.

D. Federated Learning and Over-the-air Computation

Federated learning (FL) is a well-studied distributed learning framework where model training is *federated* across multiple edge devices, sharing local information such as model parameters with an edge server for aggregation. In the context of UAVs, FL is a promising approach to reduce UAV energy consumption as the training can be handled with fewer communication instances between the sensors and UAVs, as opposed to acquiring a larger number of data samples from many sensors, while also *jointly* optimizing the locations of the UAVs [10]. To improve the spectrum utilization further for FL, a growing research area is over-the-air computation (OAC), which takes advantage of the signal superposition property of wireless channels in the computation, e.g., average of local gradients [11]. The remarkable gain obtained with OAC is that the resource usage can be reduced to a one-time cost as all sensors transmit simultaneously with OAC. Integrating such techniques into DTs can provide unparalleled insights and optimization opportunities, enabling RW deployment of FL in AVNs with unprecedented efficiency and scalability.

E. Beamforming and Tracking with UAVs

Millimeter wave (mmWave) communication systems require deploying large antenna arrays to achieve sufficient

beamforming gains. Realizing these gains, however, requires mmWave transceivers to align their beams, typically through a beam-sweeping process over a pre-defined codebook. These codebook sizes scale with the number of antennas resulting in high beam sweeping overhead that makes it hard for these systems to support highly mobile vehicular applications. In this context, DTs offer an interesting framework for assisting beam prediction and tracking in UAV communication systems. For example, DTs can be utilized to generate high-fidelity site-specific datasets that capture the spatial and temporal characteristics of the target environment and UAV mobility patterns [12]. These datasets can be used to develop and train the machine learning (ML) models, relaxing the need for collecting RW datasets. Further, in the operation phase, DTs—deployed at the edge or cloud—can be leveraged to provide near real-time situation awareness to the UAVs. This awareness may enable the UAVs to make proactive predictions about, for example, future blockages, and hence guide proactive beam switching decisions and avoid potential link disconnections.

F. Coverage Extension with UAVs

With the always-increasing demand for connectivity, UAVs can be used in conjunction with cellular towers and other UAVs to increase the range of operation, enhance network capacity, and provide a more reliable and secure communication infrastructure. However, manually controlling drones to extend coverage is impractical due to additional operational costs and compromised accuracy. AI-based solutions can be employed to optimize flight trajectories for ideal operation by designing and dynamically adapting them. By leveraging DTs for training and validation of the AI models in this context, researchers can ensure accurate and safe testing of complex scenarios.

IV. AERPAW DT FOR SUPPORTING AVN RESEARCH

AERPAW [1] is a research platform funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) to support research and development for AVNs. AERPAW offers a unique experimental setup combining RW outdoor wireless testing with a dedicated virtual development environment. By providing a tightly interconnected virtual and physical platform for validating both the P2V and V2P connections, researchers can advance the field of AI-aided AVNs and address the complexities associated with wireless networks and autonomous vehicle systems. Experiment workflow in the AERPAW platform, also highlighted in Fig. 2, involves the development and initial testing in the DT, sandbox testing with Keysight Prosim channel emulator, and the final testing in the PT, where simulations can be used to improve the training and calibration process.

As a first step for the incoming experimenter, the DT of the AERPAW testbed is generated for the initial development based on the configuration provided by the experimenter. This configuration includes the details about the experiment such as the numbers and types of portable nodes (e.g., UAV, UGV), and fixed nodes (e.g., BSs). AERPAW platform brings up a set of Docker containers for the experiment and initiates the DT components such as the channel and vehicle emulator.

Software for a typical experiment consists of three main components: 1) radio, 2) vehicle, and 3) application (e.g., network traffic generation) software.

AERPAW's DT is a distinctive development environment that allows users to use the same software components as in the PT. This is accomplished by using SITL vehicle emulator, virtual radio hardware stack, and the I/Q channel emulator developed by the AERPAW team. All the emulation components are containerized and tightly connected to each other through AERPAW's networking frame, enabling the experimenter to develop and test the software in the DT environment. As a result, experiments can be transferred between different deployment options without making any adjustments to the developed software. This feature allows experimenters to develop and test their software without the need for abstraction of the system and the hassle of the integration of the software into the real hardware. Additionally, this allows the experiments to be deployed back to the DT for further testing and validation after the initial testing in the PT. The experiment deployment cycle can be repeated multiple times to improve the software, DT and AI model based on the data collected from the RW.

V. CASE STUDY: AI-AIDED SIGNAL SOURCE LOCALIZATION WITH AVNS

In this section, we provide a case study to illustrate how a DT can be used to develop and test AI algorithms in AVNs. AERPAW platform recently hosted the AERPAW Find-a-Rover (AFAR) Student Challenge [1]. In this competition, teams were tasked with localizing an UGV, similar to the use case discussed in Section III-B, using signals broadcasted at a narrowband (125 KHz) waveform by the UGV. Due to the narrow bandwidth of the signal, the complex 3D propagation conditions, and the variations in the orientation and the tilt of the UAV, the received signal strength is subject to deep fading effects that can be on the order of up to 60 dB as the UAV navigates through the field. Participants developed their AI-based trajectory control and localization solutions based on signal strength variation in AERPAW's DT. Upon completion of development, experiment containers from each team were deployed by AERPAW personnel in the PT for performance evaluation in the RW environment. As a representative example, this section provides the solution approach and representative results from one of the teams.

A. Development in AERPAW Digital Twin

We first created a simulator of a representative scenario to generate a large-scale signal strength dataset, which is later used for training an AI algorithm. The channel propagation model in the simulator is derived from the two-ray path loss model with due consideration of antenna radiation patterns [13]. Fig. 5 captures the fading nature of received signal strength index (RSSI) values against the distance of separation between the UAV and the UGV. The simulated model includes nodes that closely mimic the DT in the loss pattern, whereas the deep fades in the RW are considerably higher than those in the AERPAW DT environment. This type of difference between RW testbeds and the models




Figure 5: RSSI variation in the DT and the PT across the UAV's trajectory. The deep fades in the RW environment adversely affect the localization performance if the training is performed exclusively based on the DT environment.

significantly affects the performance of systems developed based on statistical simulations. In this section, we first present the RW localization performance from systems developed in a simulator+DT environment and then augment the development cycle with RW data to demonstrate enhanced performance.

Particle Filters: We explored two approaches for localizing the rover in the AFAR challenge. The first is a traditional technique based on particle filters (PFs). PFs are well-suited for non-linear measurement models [14], making them an attractive choice for the Radio Frequency (RF) source localization task. The PF is implemented as a recursive process with three steps: state update, measurement update, and particle redistribution. The PF begins with a uniform distribution of particles posing as UGV positions across the search area. Next, the UAV is allowed to traverse on a fixed trajectory to gather RSSI measurements across the search area. At each UAV position on the trajectory, sample RSSI values are derived from all the particles using the path loss model present in the simulator. The sample values are compared against the current RSSI measurement to derive their likelihood assuming an underlying Gaussian distribution for the deviation. At each iteration, the location estimate is obtained as a weighted average of all the particle positions with the weights defined by their respective normalized likelihood probabilities. These weights are also used to redistribute particles before the next iteration to avoid particle impoverishment.

Convolutional Neural Networks: Deep Learning has proven its prowess in various domains, and its potential application in localization is a promising research avenue [15]. We introduce Finger-CNN as our second localization approach, which combines the strength of the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) with the fingerprinting approach. This CNN-based algorithm is designed for classification tasks, facilitating the partitioning of the area of interest (AoI) into smaller regions and subsequently determining the presence or absence of the RF source within those defined regions. The CNN needs a 2D array as input, which is achieved by combining RSSI data with spatial coordinates of UAV positions to form an image. A visual representation, shown in Fig. 5, presents UAV positions as points in a scatter plot, where each point is color-coded according to its respective RSSI value. The AoI for the UGV




Figure 6: Localization results using particle filter and finger-CNN techniques. For improved performance, the development in the DT is also supported with RW data.

is a rectangle divided into C equal smaller rectangles. During training data generation, UGV is randomly positioned within AoI, and class labels are assigned based on which rectangle it falls into. During testing, the Finger-CNN predicts UGV's class, which is converted into longitude and latitude, providing precise location prediction within the AoI.

The Finger-CNN model consists of three stages: feature extraction, flattening, and classification. Feature extraction involves three convolutional layers with increasing complexity, each followed by max pooling. In the classification stage, the final layer consists of C neurons with softmax activation, producing a probability distribution across the classes. The Finger-CNN model is implemented using JAX and Flax, which are optimized for high-performance numerical computing and large-scale machine learning. The total dataset was divided into three parts: 70% for training, and 15% each for testing and validation. The model was trained for 200 epochs with a learning rate of $1e-3$, using an exponential decay learning rate scheduler that reduces the learning rate by a factor of 0.1, and a weight decay of 0.001 was applied.

B. Results and Discussion

Fig. 6 shows localization errors across 3 trials with varying rover positions. Trial 1 and Trial 2 are when the UGV is inside the UAV flight area, while Trial 3 represents the case when the UGV is outside this area (see Fig. 5). We present localization results for PF and Finger-CNN for three different scenarios: 1) development in a DT (Sim+DT) environment, and then tested in DT; 2) development in a DT (Sim+DT) environment, and then tested in RW; 3) development in a DT (Sim+DT) environment with calibration based on RW data, and then tested in RW. For Scenario-1 and Scenario-2, PF operates with a path loss model matching the DT and the Finger-CNN is trained with a simulator as suggested in Fig. 2 while fine-tuning of network parameters is done with the help of DT. When tested in the RW (Scenario-2), the Finger-CNN provides high accuracy in Trial 1 and Trial 2 owing to in-depth RSSI fingerprint knowledge of the UAV search area. On the other hand, Finger-CNN suffers from insufficient training data for the out-of-search-area case as seen with Trial 3. PF optimally tuned for noise removal is range agnostic and better estimates the rover position for Scenario-2. Overall, the localization errors are very high for Scenario-2 due to the unaccounted RW channel effects encountered for the first time when testing in the PT.

To improve the localization results we gather RW logs from all AFAR submission teams and use them to finetune our simulator without overfitting our models. We redevelop the methods as before with changes only to the training data. The modified training data now accounted for a wider spread in RSSI values, deep fades, and a steeper path loss model. The redeveloped estimation methods are tested with the data logs for each trial. The new results, shown as Scenario-3 in Fig. 6 have lower error margins as the PF is better tuned for the offset in RSSI values, and the Finger-CNN can generalize better even for the out-of-search area scenario in the third trial. While we do not consider real-time optimization of the UAV trajectory in this work, this was used by several AFAR teams [1] and further gains in localization accuracy are possible.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this article, we explore the role of DTs as a development environment for AI-aided AVNs. We study the potential of using DTs to improve system functionality in various use cases that involve optimization of AV trajectories and wireless parameters. Moreover, we discuss the development environments commonly used for AVNs, positioning DT as a crucial component within this pipeline. At last, we provide an in-depth case study using AERPAW's DT and PT, as well as the development cycle, for signal source localization employing AI techniques. Our results demonstrate that a DT development environment that uses RW data for calibration, supported by additional simulations for fine-tuning parameters, can serve as an ideal platform for developing and testing AI-aided AVN technologies. Future research directions include the development of more complex AI algorithms for AVNs within DT, such as federated learning and over-the-air computation, and the integration of these algorithms into the AERPAW platform for further validation and testing.

BIOGRAPHIES

Anil Gürses is a PhD student in Electrical Engineering at NC State University. His research focuses on digital twins and wireless channel emulation.

Gautham Reddy is a PhD student in Electrical Engineering at NC State University. His research focuses on 5G, network architectures, and ORAN.

Saad Masrur is a PhD student in Electrical Engineering at NC State University. His research focuses on wireless communication and ML.

Özgür Özdemir is an Associate Research Professor at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at NC State University. His research interests include mmWave channel sounding, SDRs, and UAV communications.

İsmail Güvenç is a Professor at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at NC State University. His recent research interests include 5G/6G wireless networks and UAV communications.

Mihail L. Sichițiu is a Professor at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at NC State University. His recent research interests include UAV networks and digital twins.

Alphan Şahin is an Assistant Professor at the University of South Carolina. His research is on signal processing techniques for the physical layer of wireless communication systems.

Ahmed Alkhateeb is an Assistant Professor at ASU. He holds a PhD from UT Austin and has received awards including the 2016 IEEE Signal Processing Society Young Author Best Paper Award.

Magreth Mushi is AERPAW Platform Director. She contributed significantly to the development of AERPAW's network and the Digital Twin architecture. She holds a PhD from North Carolina State University.

Rudra Dutta is a Professor at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at NC State University. His recent research interests include network orchestration and software-defined networks.

REFERENCES

- [1] AERPAW, "Aerial Experimentation and Research Platform for Advanced Wireless," 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://aerpaw.org/>
- [2] Y. Dai, K. Zhang, S. Maharjan, and Y. Zhang, "Deep reinforcement learning for stochastic computation offloading in digital twin networks," *IEEE Trans. Industrial Informatics*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 4968–4977, 2021.
- [3] L. U. Khan, W. Saad, D. Niyato, Z. Han, and C. S. Hong, "Digital-twin-enabled 6G: Vision, architectural trends, and future directions," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 74–80, 2022.
- [4] ITU-T, "Digital twin network – Requirements and architecture," 2 2022, recommendation ITU-T Y.3090.
- [5] D. Jones, C. Snider, A. Nassehi, J. Yon, and B. Hicks, "Characterising the digital twin: A systematic literature review," *CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology*, vol. 29, May 2020.
- [6] A. Masaracchia, V. Sharma, B. Canberk, O. A. Dobre, and T. Q. Duong, "Digital twin for 6g: Taxonomy, research challenges, and the road ahead," *IEEE Open J. Commun. Society*, pp. 2137–2150, 2022.
- [7] Y. Wang, Z. Hu, X. Wen, Z. Lu, and J. Miao, "Minimizing data collection time with collaborative UAVs in wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 98 659–98 669, 2020.
- [8] X. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Chen, and L. Hanzo, "Trajectory design and power control for multi-UAV assisted wireless networks: A machine learning approach," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 68, no. 8, 2019.
- [9] F. Koohifar, I. Guvenc, and M. L. Sichițiu, "Autonomous tracking of intermittent RF source using a UAV swarm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 15 884–15 897, 2018.
- [10] V. P. Chellapandi, L. Yuan, C. G. Brinton, S. H. Žak, and Z. Wang, "Federated learning for connected and automated vehicles: A survey of existing approaches and challenges," *IEEE Trans. Intelligent Veh.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 119–137, 2024.
- [11] A. Şahin and R. Yang, "A survey on over-the-air computation," *IEEE Commun. Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1877–1908, 2023.
- [12] A. Alkhateeb, S. Jiang, and G. Charan, "Real-time digital twins: Vision and research directions for 6G and beyond," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 61, no. 11, pp. 128–134, 2023.
- [13] S. J. Maeng, H. Kwon, O. Ozdemir, and I. Guvenc, "Impact of 3-D antenna radiation pattern in UAV air-to-ground path loss modeling and RSRP-based localization in rural area," *IEEE Open J. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 4, pp. 1029–1043, 2023.
- [14] F. Gustafsson, F. Gunnarsson, N. Bergman, U. Forssell, J. Jansson, R. Karlsson, and P.-J. Nordlund, "Particle filters for positioning, navigation, and tracking," *IEEE Trans. Sig. Proc.*, pp. 425–437, 2002.
- [15] K. S. Kim, S. Lee, and K. Huang, "A scalable deep neural network architecture for multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization based on Wi-Fi fingerprinting," *Big Data Analytics*, vol. 3, pp. 1–17, 2018.