

DIVISION PROPERTIES OF COMMUTING POLYNOMIALS

KIMIKO HASEGAWA AND RIN SUGIYAMA

ABSTRACT. Polynomials commute under composition are referred to as *commuting polynomials*. In this paper, we study division properties for commuting polynomials with rational (and integer) coefficients. As a consequence, we show an algebraic particularity of the commuting polynomials coming from weighted sums for cycle graphs with pendant edges ([2]).

1. INTRODUCTION

Polynomials commute under composition are referred to as *commuting polynomials*. A set of pairwise commuting polynomials containing one polynomial of each positive degree is called a *chain* (Definition 1.1). The most easy and important example is the monic monomials $\{x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Another important example is given by the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (Definition 2.1).

Conjugation by a linear polynomial defines an equivalence relation called *similarity*. The similarity plays a key role to study commuting polynomials and chains. In 1950s, Block and Theilman [1], Jacobstahl [4] independently proved the classification theorem for chains (Theorem 1.4), which states that up to similarity there are only two chains; one is the monic monomials. The other is the Chebyshev polynomials.

Recently, Fujita-Hasegawa-Inaba-Kondo [2] gave two formulas for the weighted sums for cycle graphs with pendant edges, which use the following polynomials

$$(1.1) \quad F_n(x) = 2T_n\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) - 2, \quad \tilde{F}_n(x) = (x + 1)^n - 1.$$

By direct computations, we easily see that the two sets $\{F_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{F}_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are also chains and similar to $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ respectively. Furthermore, the factorization of $F_n(x)$ in [2] for some small n suggests a general form of factorization.

Our motivation comes from particular algebraic properties of $F_n(x)$ and $\tilde{F}_n(x)$, and we will show its particularity in all chains.

We now give a more precise description of our work.

1.1. Commuting polynomials. We recall several notions from [8] ([1] and [7]). Let A be an integral domain containing \mathbb{Z} and K be the quotient field of A .

Definition 1.1. A *chain* in $A[x]$ is a set of polynomials $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A[x]$ which satisfies $\deg(f_n(x)) = n$ and any two polynomials of the set commute with each other.

Remark 1.2. A chain of commuting polynomials is called an entire set of commutative polynomials in [1].

Date: April 3, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13A05, Secondary 13F20.

Key words and phrases. Chebyshev polynomial; commute under composition; divisor.

Definition 1.3. Let $f(x), g(x) \in K[x]$. Then $f(x)$ is *similar* to $g(x)$ if there exists $\lambda(x) = ax + b \in K[x]$ ($a \neq 0$) such that $g(x) = \lambda^{-1}(f(\lambda(x)))$.

Let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{g_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be chains in $K[x]$. Then $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *similar* to $\{g_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if and only if there exists $\lambda(x) = ax + b \in K[x]$ ($a \neq 0$) such that $g_n(x) = \lambda^{-1}(f_n(\lambda(x)))$ for any n .

The following is the classification theorem for chains.

Theorem 1.4 ([1], [4]). *Let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a chain in $K[x]$. Then $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is similar to only one of the two $\{x^n\}$ or $\{T_n(x)\}$.*

From Theorem 1.4, we use the following terminology in this paper.

Definition 1.5. A chain is said to be of *monomial type* (resp. *Chebyshev type*) if it is similar to $\{x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$).

By the definition of similarity, a chain $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Chebyshev (resp. monomial) type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is presented as follows; let $\lambda(x) = ax + b$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a \neq 0$),

$$f_n(x) = \lambda^{-1}(T_n(\lambda(x))) = \frac{1}{a}(T_n(ax + b) - b)$$

$$\left(\text{resp. } f_n(x) = \lambda^{-1}(\lambda(x)^n) = \frac{1}{a}((ax + b)^n - b) \right)$$

1.2. Results. We discuss the following conditions for chains of Chebyshev (resp. monomial) type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ separately.

Definition 1.6. We define conditions (i)–(iv) for a chain $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ as follows;

Condition (i): For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n(x)$ is a monic polynomial

Condition (ii): For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$

Condition (iii): For all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \mid n \Leftrightarrow f_m(x) \mid f_n(x)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

Condition (iv): $\gcd(f_m(x), f_n(x)) = f_{\gcd(m, n)}(x)$

We interpret Conditions (i)–(iii) in terms of $\lambda(x) = ax + b$, i.e., conditions on a and b . In particular, for Condition (iii), we first consider the Euclidean division of $f_n(x)$ by $f_m(x)$ and give a description of the quotient and the remainder (Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 3.2). Using the description of the remainder, we discuss the condition on a, b corresponding to Condition (iii) (Corollary 2.8 and Corollary 3.3). For Condition (iv), we first give the factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ (Theorem 2.13) and using it we discuss a property on the greatest common divisor (Corollary 2.14 and Corollary 3.4).

As a consequence of results in this paper, we have the following corollary which shows an algebraic particularity of the two chains $\{F_n(x)\}$ and $\{\tilde{F}_n(x)\}$ of (1.1) in all chains.

Corollary 1.7 (Corollary 4.1). *Suppose a chain $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies all of Condition (i), Condition (ii) and Condition (iii). Then we have*

$$f_n(x) = F_n(x) = 2T_n\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) - 2 \quad \text{or} \quad f_n(x) = \tilde{F}_n(x) = (x + 1)^n - 1.$$

Additionally, in this case Condition (iv) is also satisfied.

Remark 1.8. For any sequence of polynomials, we can ask whether Conditions (i)–(iv) hold or not. For example, Webb and Parberry [6] proved that Fibonacci polynomials $\{U_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy Conditions (ii)–(iv). Condition (i) holds except $U_0 = 0, U_1 = 1$. But $\{U_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not chain. It is natural to ask how much Condition (i)–(iv) characterize a sequence $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of polynomials with $\deg f_n(x) = n$ but not a chain.

This paper is organized as follows. We discuss conditions in Definition 1.6 for chains of Chebyshev type in §2, for chains monomial type in §3. We state (again) the consequence of our results in this paper in §4.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Hajime Fujita and Takefumi Kondo for fruitful discussions and valuable comments for this work, and to Daisuke Shiomi for valuable comments to an earlier draft of this paper.

2. CHAINS OF CHEBYSHEV TYPE

We first recall the definition and some properties of Chebyshev polynomials from [5]. From §2.2, we discuss conditions in Definition 1.6 for a chain of Chebyshev type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$

2.1. Chebyshev polynomials.

Definition 2.1. The *Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind* $T_n(x)$ is defined by the following recurrence relation;

$$(2.1) \quad T_0(x) = 1, \quad T_1(x) = x, \quad T_n(x) = 2xT_{n-1}(x) - T_{n-2}(x) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

The *Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind* $U_n(x)$ is defined by the following recurrence relation;

$$U_0(x) = 1, \quad U_1(x) = 2x, \quad U_n(x) = 2xU_{n-1}(x) - U_{n-2}(x) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Remark 2.2. The Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(x)$ and $U_n(x)$ also may be defined as follows;

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x), \quad U_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} T'_{n+1}(x) = \frac{\sin((n+1) \arccos x)}{\sin(\arccos x)}$$

Proposition 2.3 ([5, p.6, Property 2]). *For any m, n ($m \leq n$), the quotient $q_{m,n}(x)$ and the remainder $r_{m,n}(x)$ of the Euclidean division of $T_n(x)$ by $T_m(x)$ are given by*

$$q_{m,n}(x) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^i T_{n-(2i-1)m}(x), \quad r_{m,n}(x) = (-1)^l T_{|n-2lm|}(x),$$

if there is an integer $l \geq 1$ satisfying $(2l-1)m < n \leq 2lm$, otherwise

$$q_{m,n}(x) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (-1)^i T_{n-(2i-1)m}(x) + (-1)^{l-1}, \quad r_{m,n}(x) = 0,$$

where l satisfies $n = (2l-1)m$.

2.2. Monic and integer coefficient conditions. We discuss conditions in Definition 1.6 for a chain $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Chebyshev type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$;

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{a} (T_n(ax+b) - b) \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a \neq 0).$$

We prepare a lemma about the recurrence relation for $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a chain of Chebyshev type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $f_n(x)$ has the following recurrence relation for $n \geq 3$*

$$f_n(x) = 2(ax+b) \cdot f_{n-1}(x) - f_{n-2}(x) + 2bx + \frac{2b(b-1)}{a}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_n(x) &= \frac{1}{a}T_n(ax+b) - \frac{b}{a} \\
&= \frac{1}{a}(2(ax+b)T_{n-1}(ax+b) - T_{n-2}(ax+b)) - \frac{b}{a} \\
&= 2(ax+b)f_{n-1}(x) + \frac{2b(ax+b)}{a} - f_{n-2}(x) - \frac{2b}{2} \\
&= 2(ax+b) \cdot f_{n-1}(x) - f_{n-2}(x) + 2bx + \frac{2b(b-1)}{a}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a chain of Chebyshev type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, that is, $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{a}(T_n(ax+b) - b)$. Then we have*

- (1) *Condition (i) holds if and only if $a = \frac{1}{2}$.*
- (2) *Condition (ii) holds if and only if $a \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $b-1 \in a\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. (1) It is clear because the leading coefficient of $T_n(x)$ is 2^{n-1} .

(2) Since $f_2(x) = 2ax^2 + 4bx + \frac{(2b+1)(b-1)}{a}$ and $f_3(x) = 4a^2x^3 + 12abx^2 + 3(4b^2 - 1)x + \frac{4(b^3-b)}{a}$, by an elementary computation, we have

$$f_2(x), f_3(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \iff a \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } b-1 \in a\mathbb{Z}.$$

In this case, we also have

$$2(ax+b), 2bx + \frac{2b(b-1)}{a} \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

From the recurrence relation (Lemma 2.4)

$$f_n(x) = 2(ax+b) \cdot f_{n-1}(x) - f_{n-2}(x) + 2bx + \frac{2b(b-1)}{a},$$

the desired statement is obtained by induction on n . □

2.3. Euclidean division.

Proposition 2.6. *For $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($a \neq 0$), let $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{a}(T_n(ax+b) - b)$. For any positive integers m, n ($m \leq n$), the quotient $q_{m,n}(x)$ and the remainder $r_{m,n}(x)$ of the Euclidean division of $f_n(x)$ by $f_m(x)$ are given as follows;*

(2.2)

$$q_{m,n}(x) = 2a \sum_{i=1}^k c_i f_{n-im}(x) + d_{m,n},$$

(2.3)

$$r_{m,n}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{a}(b(c_k - 1) - c_{k-1}) & \text{if } n = km \\ c_{k+1}f_{n-km}(x) - c_k f_{(k+1)m-n}(x) + \frac{b}{a}(c_{k+1} - c_k - 1) & \text{if } m \nmid n \end{cases}$$

Here $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor$ and $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}[b]$ is defined by the following recurrence relation

$$c_0 = 0, \quad c_1 = 1, \quad c_{i+1} = 2bc_i - c_{i-1} \quad (i \geq 1),$$

and $d_{m,n} \in \mathbb{Z}[b]$ is defined as

$$d_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 2b \sum_{i=1}^k c_i - c_k & \text{if } n = km \\ 2b \sum_{i=1}^k c_i & \text{if } m \nmid n \end{cases}$$

Proof. Put $g_n(x) = T_n(x) - b$, and let $Q_{m,n}(x)$ and $R_{m,n}(x)$ be the quotient and the remainder of the Euclidean division of $g_n(x)$ by $g_m(x)$. Then we have

$$q_{m,n}(x) = Q_{m,n}(ax + b), \quad r_{m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{a}R_{m,n}(ax + b)$$

since $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{a}g_n(ax + b)$ and $g_n(x) = af_n(\frac{x-b}{a})$. Thus our task is to compute $Q_{m,n}(x)$ and $R_{m,n}(x)$.

From Proposition 2.3 we have

$$T_n(x) = 2T_{n-m}(x)T_m(x) - T_{|n-2m|}(x) \quad (n \geq m)$$

and hence

$$(2.4) \quad g_n(x) = 2(g_{n-m}(x) + b)g_m(x) + 2bg_{n-m}(x) - g_{|n-2m|}(x) + 2b(b-1).$$

Using this relation and induction on k , we will prove that

$$Q_{m,n}(x) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k c_i g_{n-im}(x) + d_{m,n},$$

$$R_{m,n}(x) = \begin{cases} b(c_k - 1) - c_{k-1} & \text{if } n = km \\ c_{k+1}g_{n-km}(x) - c_k g_{(k+1)m-n}(x) + b(c_{k+1} - c_k - 1) & \text{if } m \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

The statement for $k = 1$ is clear from (2.4). We first prove in case $n = 2m$. By (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{2m}(x) &= 2(g_m(x) + b)g_m(x) + 2bg_m(x) - g_0(x) + 2b(b-1) \\ &= (2g_m + 4b)g_m + 2b^2 - b - 1 \end{aligned}$$

which shows the statement for $n = 2m$.

We assume that $n = km$ ($k > 2$). From (2.4) and the induction hypothesis, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{m,km}(x) &= 2g_{(k-1)m}(x) + 2b + 2bQ_{m,(k-1)m} - Q_{m,(k-2)m} \\ &= 2 \left(g_{(k-1)m}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (2bc_i - c_{i-1})g_{(k-i-1)m}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + 2bd_{m,(k-1)m} - d_{m,(k-2)m} + 2b \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^k c_i g_{(k-i)m}(x) + 2b \sum_{i=1}^k c_i - c_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m,km}(x) &= 2bR_{m,(k-1)m} - R_{m,(k-2)m} + 2b(b-1) \\ &= 2b^2(c_{k-1} - 1) - 2bc_{k-2} - b(c_{k-2} - 1) + c_{k-3} + 2b(b-1) \\ &= b(c_k - 1) - c_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the case $m \nmid n$. If $k = 2$, by (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x) &= 2(g_{n-m}(x) + b)g_m(x) + 2bg_{n-m}(x) - g_{|n-2m|}(x) + 2b(b-1) \\ &= (2g_{n-m}(x) + 4bg_{n-2m} + 2b(2b+1))g_m(x) \\ &\quad + (4b^2 - 1)g_{n-2m} - 2bg_{3m-n}(x) + b(4b^2 - 2b - 2) \end{aligned}$$

and hence the statement holds for $k = 2$. We assume that $k > 2$. By the same argument as above, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{m,n}(x) &= 2g_{n-m}(x) + 2b + 2bQ_{m,n-m} - Q_{m,n-2m} \\ &= 2 \left(g_{n-m}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (2bc_i - c_{i-1})g_{n-(i+1)m}(x) \right) \\ &\quad + 2b \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2bc_i - 2b \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} c_i + 2b \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^k c_i g_{n-im}(x) + 2b \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m,n}(x) &= 2bR_{m,n-m} - R_{m,n-2m} + 2b(b-1) \\ &= (2bc_k - c_{k-1})g_{n-km}(x) - (2bc_{k-1} - c_{k-2})g_{(k+1)m-n}(x) \\ &\quad + b(2bc_k - 2bc_{k-1} - c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} - 1) \\ &= c_{k+1}g_{n-km}(x) - c_k g_{(k+1)m-n}(x) + b(c_{k+1} - c_k - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now the statement is proved. \square

We next give an explicit formula for c_k used in Proposition 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. *For $b \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define $c_k \in \mathbb{Z}[b]$ by the recurrence relation*

$$c_0 = 0, \quad c_1 = 1, \quad c_{i+1} = 2bc_i - c_{i-1} \quad (i \geq 1).$$

Then an explicit formula of c_k is given as follows

- (1) in case $b = 1$, $c_k = k$
- (2) in case $b = -1$, $c_k = (-1)^{k-1}k$
- (3) in case $b = 0$,

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & (k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ 1 & (k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}) \\ -1 & (k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}) \end{cases}$$

- (4) in case $b = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & (k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}) \\ 1 & (k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{6}) \\ -1 & (k \equiv 4, 5 \pmod{6}) \end{cases}$$

- (5) in case $b = -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$c_k = \begin{cases} 0 & (k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}) \\ 1 & (k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}) \\ -1 & (k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}) \end{cases}$$

In case $b \neq \pm 1$, we have

$$c_k = \frac{\alpha^k - \alpha^{-k}}{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}$$

Here $\alpha = b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1}$.

Proof. (1) In case $b = 1$, we have

$$c_0 = 0, c_1 = 1, c_2 = 2, \dots$$

and if $c_i = i$ for all $i \leq k$, then

$$c_{k+1} = 2k - (k - 1) = k + 1.$$

Hence we get $c_k = k$ for all k .

(2) In case $b = -1$, we have

$$c_0 = 0, c_1 = 1, c_2 = -2, c_3 = 3 \dots$$

and if $c_i = (-1)^{i-1}i$ for all $i \leq k$, then

$$c_{k+1} = -2(-1)^{k-1}k - (-1)^{k-2}(k-1) = (-1)^k(k+1).$$

Hence we get $c_k = (-1)^{k-1}k$ for all k .

(3) In case $b = 0$, we have

$$c_0 = 0, c_1 = 1, c_2 = 0, c_3 = -1, c_4 = 0, c_5 = 1 \dots$$

and suppose that

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ 1 & (i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}) \\ -1 & (i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}) \end{cases}$$

for all $i \leq k$, then $c_{k+1} = 2bc_k - c_{k-1} = -c_{k-1}$ is

$$c_{k+1} = \begin{cases} 0 & (k+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}) \\ 1 & (k+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}) \\ -1 & (k+1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

(4) In case $b = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$c_0 = 0, c_1 = 1, c_2 = 1, c_3 = 0, c_4 = -1, c_5 = -1, c_6 = 0 \dots$$

and suppose that

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}) \\ 1 & (i \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{6}) \\ -1 & (i \equiv 4, 5 \pmod{6}) \end{cases}$$

for all $i \leq k$, then $c_{k+1} = 2bc_k - c_{k-1} = c_k - c_{k-1}$ is

$$c_{k+1} = \begin{cases} -1 - (-1) = 0 & (k+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}) \\ 0 - (-1) = 1 & (k+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}) \\ 1 - 0 = 1 & (k+1 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}) \\ 1 - 1 = 0 & (k+1 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}) \\ 0 - 1 = -1 & (k+1 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}) \\ -1 - 0 = -1 & (k+1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}). \end{cases}$$

(5) In case $b = -\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$c_0 = 0, c_1 = 1, c_2 = -1, c_3 = 0, c_4 = 1, c_5 = -1 \dots$$

and suppose that

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0 & (i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}) \\ 1 & (i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}) \\ -1 & (i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}) \end{cases}$$

for all $i \leq k$, then $c_{k+1} = 2bc_k - c_{k-1} = -c_k - c_{k-1}$ is

$$c_{k+1} = \begin{cases} -(-1) - 1 = 0 & (k+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}) \\ 0 - (-1) = 1 & (k+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}) \\ -1 - 0 = -1 & (k+1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}). \end{cases}$$

Lastly we give an explicit formula for $b \neq \pm 1$. From the recurrence relation of c_k , we have the characteristic equation

$$(2.5) \quad x^2 - 2bx + 1 = 0,$$

and $\alpha = b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1}$ is a root of (2.5) and the other root is $\alpha^{-1} = b - \sqrt{b^2 - 1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} c_{k+2} - \alpha c_{k+1} = \alpha^{-1}(c_{k+1} - \alpha c_k) \\ c_{k+2} - \alpha^{-1} c_{k+1} = \alpha(c_{k+1} - \alpha^{-1} c_k), \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} c_{k+1} - \alpha c_k = \alpha^{-k}(c_1 - \alpha c_0) = \alpha^{-k} \\ c_{k+1} - \alpha^{-1} c_k = \alpha^k(c_1 - \alpha^{-1} c_0) = \alpha^k. \end{cases}$$

From (2.6), we have

$$(\alpha - \alpha^{-1})c_k = \alpha^k - \alpha^{-k} \iff c_k = \frac{\alpha^k - \alpha^{-k}}{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}.$$

The proof now completes. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let $f_n(x)$ be as in Proposition 2.6. If $b \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$, then $f_m(x)$ is not a divisor of $f_n(x)$ for any $m < n$. In case $b = 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$, a condition that $f_m(x)$ is a divisor of $f_n(x)$ is equivalent to the following*

- (1) in case $b = 0$, $m \mid n$ and $\gcd(n/m, 2) = 1$.
- (2) in case $b = 1$, $m \mid n$.
- (3) in case $b = -1$, $m \mid n$ and $\gcd(n/m, 2) = 1$.
- (4) in case $b = \frac{1}{2}$, $m \mid n$ and $\gcd(n/m, 6) = 1$.
- (5) in case $b = -\frac{1}{2}$, $m \mid n$ and $\gcd(n/m, 3) = 1$.

Proof. The condition that $f_m(x)$ is a divisor of $f_n(x)$ is equivalent to that the remainder $r_{m,n}(x)$ is zero. By Proposition 2.6, if $r_{m,n}(x)$ is zero for $m \nmid n$ then we have

- (i) in case $n - km \neq (k+1)m - n$, $c_{k+1} = c_k = 0$ and $b = 0$.
- (ii) in case $n - km = (k+1)m - n$, $c_{k+1} = c_k$ and $b = 0$.

But, if $b = 0$ then both of conditions (i) and (ii) on c_k can not be happen by Lemma 2.7. Thus $r_{m,n}(x)$ is not zero for any $m \nmid n$.

Let $n = km$. If $b \neq \pm 1$ then we have by Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{m,n}(x) = 0 &\iff b(c_k - 1) - c_{k-1} = 0 \\ &\iff 2b(c_k - 1) - 2c_{k-1} = 0 \\ &\iff (\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) \left(\frac{\alpha^k - \alpha^{-k}}{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}} - 1 \right) - 2 \left(\frac{\alpha^{k-1} - \alpha^{-k+1}}{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}} \right) = 0 \\ &\iff (\alpha^{k+1} - 1)(\alpha^{k-1} - 1)(\alpha^2 - 1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1}$. Since roots of unity in a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} are

$$\pm 1, \quad \pm \sqrt{-1}, \quad \pm \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2}, \quad \pm \frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2}.$$

Thus if $b \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$ then $r_{m,n}(x)$ is not zero, which proves the first statement of this corollary. In case $b = 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 2.7 again one can easily prove that the condition $b(c_k - 1) - c_{k-1} = 0$ is equivalent to the desired one. \square

Remark 2.9. In case $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, Proposition 2.6 and Corollary 2.8 have proved in [5].

2.4. Greatest common divisor and Factorization. From Proposition 2.5, a chain of monic polynomials of the Chebyshev type in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is given by

$$\left\{ 2T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} + b \right) - 2b \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \left(b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right).$$

We first describe all roots of $T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) - b$ and give the factorization of $2T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} + b \right) - 2b$ for $b = 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$. Using these, we show a property for the greatest common divisor in case of Chebyshev type.

Lemma 2.10. *For any $n > 0$, we have $T_n \left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2} \right) = \frac{t^n + t^{-n}}{2}$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on n . For $n = 1, 2$, we have

$$T_1 \left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2} \right) = \frac{t+t^{-1}}{2}, \quad T_2 \left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2} \right) = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2} \right)^2 - 1 = \frac{t^2 + t^{-2}}{2}.$$

For $n \geq 3$, by the recurrence relation of $T_n(x)$ and the induction hypothesis, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n \left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2} \right) &= 2 \cdot \left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2} \right) T_{n-1} \left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2} \right) - T_{n-2} \left(\frac{t+t^{-1}}{2} \right) \\ &= (t+t^{-1}) \cdot \frac{t^{n-1} + t^{-(n-1)}}{2} - \frac{t^{n-2} + t^{-(n-2)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{t^n + t^{-n}}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.11. *For $b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, the roots of $T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) - b$ are given as follows;*

(1) *in case $|b| \leq 1$,*

$$x = 2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta_b + 2i\pi}{n} \right) \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1).$$

Here $\theta_b = \text{Arccos}(b)$. In particular, for $b = \pm 1$, the roots not equal to ± 2 are multiple roots.

(2) *in case $b > 1$,*

$$x = \sqrt[n]{b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1}} \zeta_n^i + \sqrt[n]{b - \sqrt{b^2 - 1}} \zeta_n^{-i} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

(3) *in case $b < -1$,*

$$x = \sqrt[n]{|b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1}|} \zeta_{2n}^{2i+1} + \sqrt[n]{|b - \sqrt{b^2 - 1}|} \zeta_{2n}^{-2i-1} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Proof. We first prove (1). Put $x = 2 \cos t$. Then we have

$$T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) - b = T_n(\cos t) - b = \cos(nt) - \cos \theta_b = 0.$$

Solving the last equation about t , we get

$$t = \pm \frac{\theta_b + 2i\pi}{n} \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1)$$

and thus the roots are given by

$$x = 2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta_b + 2i\pi}{n} \right) \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1).$$

Furthermore, since $\theta_1 = 0$ and $\theta_{-1} = \pi$, the roots for $b = 1$ and $b = -1$ are of the form respectively

$$2 \cos \left(\frac{2i\pi}{n} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \cos \left(\frac{(2i+1)\pi}{n} \right) \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) - b \right) = \frac{n}{2} U_{n-1} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right).$$

Since it is known that the roots of $U_{n-1}(x)$ are given by

$$\cos \frac{i\pi}{n} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1),$$

we obtain that for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ except $i = k$ when $n = 2k$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) - 1 \right) \Big|_{x=2 \cos(\frac{2i\pi}{n})} = \frac{n}{2} U_{n-1} \left(\cos \frac{2i\pi}{n} \right) = 0$$

and for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ except $i = k$ when $n = 2k+1$,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) + 1 \right) \Big|_{x=2 \cos(\frac{(2i+1)\pi}{n})} = \frac{n}{2} U_{n-1} \left(\cos \frac{(2i+1)\pi}{n} \right) = 0.$$

Hence in cases $b = \pm 1$ the roots not equal to ± 2 are multiple roots, and the proof for (1) completes.

We next put $x = t + t^{-1}$. Then Lemma 2.10, we have

$$T_n \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) - b = T_n \left(\frac{t + t^{-1}}{2} \right) - b = \frac{t^n + t^{-n}}{2} - b = 0.$$

Solving the last equation about t , we get

$$t = \begin{cases} \sqrt[n]{b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 1}} \zeta_n^i & (b > 1) \\ \sqrt[n]{|b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 1}|} \zeta_{2n}^{2i+1} & (b < -1) \end{cases} \quad (i = 0, \dots, n-1)$$

which shows (2) and (3). □

To give the factorization of $f_n(x)$, we prepare some notation.

Definition 2.12. For any positive integer n , we write $\Psi_n(x)$ for the minimal polynomial of $2 \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n})$, and put

$$c_n(x) := \begin{cases} x & (n : \text{odd}) \\ x(x+4) & (n : \text{even}). \end{cases}$$

$$c_n^*(x) := \begin{cases} x & (n : \text{odd}) \\ 1 & (n : \text{even}). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.13. For $b = 0, \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$, the factorization of $f_n(x) = 2T_n(\frac{x}{2} + b) - 2b$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is given as follows;

(1) in case $b = 0$, $f_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{k|n \\ \gcd(n/k, 2)=1}} \Psi_{4k}(x).$

(2) in case $b = 1$, $f_n(x) = c_n(x) \prod_{k|n, 2 < k} \Psi_k(x+2)^2.$

$$(3) \text{ in case } b = -1, f_n(x) = c_n^*(x) \prod_{\substack{k|n, 1 < k \\ \gcd(n/k, 2)=1}} \Psi_{2k}(x-2)^2.$$

$$(4) \text{ in case } b = \frac{1}{2}, f_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{k|n \\ \gcd(n/k, 6)=1}} \Psi_{6k}(x+1).$$

$$(5) \text{ in case } b = -\frac{1}{2}, f_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{k|n \\ \gcd(n/k, 3)=1}} \Psi_{3k}(x-1).$$

Proof. Since $2 \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n})$ is an algebraic integer, the minimal polynomial $\Psi_n(x)$ is a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Also it is known that the degree of $\Psi_n(x)$ is $\frac{\phi(n)}{2}$. Here $\phi(-)$ is the Euler's totient function. Thus a polynomial of the form $\Psi_n(x+b)$ with $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $\frac{\phi(n)}{2}$.

Since $f_n(x) = 2(T_n(\frac{x+2b}{2}) - b)$, by Proposition 2.11, the right hand side of the desired factorization is a divisor of $f_n(x)$. Since the both side of the factorization are monic, it is now enough to show that the degree of the right hand side is n .

(1) We write $n = 2^e m$ with odd m .

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \left(\prod_{\substack{k|n \\ \gcd(n/k, 2)=1}} \Psi_{4k}(x) \right) &= \sum_{l|m} \deg \Psi_{2^{e+2}l}(x) \\ &= \sum_{l|m} \frac{\phi(2^{e+2}l)}{2} = \sum_{l|m} 2^e \phi(l) = 2^e \sum_{l|m} \phi(l) = 2^e m = n \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \left(c_n(x) \prod_{\substack{k|n \\ 2 < k}} \Psi_k(x+2)^2 \right) &= \deg(c_n(x)) + \sum_{\substack{k|n \\ 2 < k}} 2 \deg(\Psi_k(x+2)) \\ &= \deg(c_n(x)) + \sum_{\substack{k|n \\ 2 < k}} 2 \cdot \frac{\phi(k)}{2} = \sum_{k|n} \phi(k) = n. \end{aligned}$$

(3) We write $n = 2^e m$ with odd m . If $e = 0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \left(c_n^*(x) \prod_{\substack{k|n, 1 < k \\ \gcd(n/k, 2)=1}} \Psi_{2k}(x-2)^2 \right) &= \deg c_n^*(x) + \sum_{l|m, 1 < l} 2 \deg \Psi_{2l}(x-2) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{l|m, 1 < l} \phi(2l) \\ &= \sum_{l|m} \phi(l) = m = n. \end{aligned}$$

If $e > 0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \left(c_n^*(x) \prod_{\substack{k|n, 1 < k \\ \gcd(n/k, 2)=1}} \Psi_{2k}(x-2)^2 \right) &= \deg c_n^*(x) + \sum_{l|m} 2 \deg \Psi_{2^{e+1}l}(x-2) \\ &= \sum_{l|m} \phi(2^{e+1}l) \\ &= 2^e \sum_{l|m} \phi(l) = 2^e m = n. \end{aligned}$$

(4) We write $n = 2^{e_1} 3^{e_2} m$ with $\gcd(6, m) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \left(\prod_{\substack{k|n \\ \gcd(n/k, 6)=1}} \Psi_{6k}(x+1) \right) &= \sum_{l|m} \deg \Psi_{2^{e_1+1} 3^{e_2+1} l}(x+1) \\ &= \sum_{l|m} \frac{\phi(2^{e_1+1} 3^{e_2+1} l)}{2} \\ &= 2^{e_1} 3^{e_2} \sum_{l|m} \phi(l) = 2^{e_1} 3^{e_2} m = n. \end{aligned}$$

(5) We write $n = 3^e m$ with $\gcd(3, m) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \left(\prod_{\substack{k|n \\ \gcd(n/k, 3)=1}} \Psi_{3k}(x-1) \right) &= \sum_{l|m} \deg \Psi_{3^{e+1}l}(x-1) \\ &= \sum_{l|m} \frac{\phi(3^{e+1}l)}{2} = 3^e \sum_{l|m} \phi(l) = 3^e m = n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.14. *Let $f_n(x) = 2T_n(\frac{x}{2} + b) - 2b$. For any positive integers m, n ($m < n$), put $d = \gcd(m, n)$ and $m = dm_1, n = dn_1$. Then we have*

$$\gcd(f_m(x), f_n(x)) = f_d(x)$$

in the following cases;

- (1) $b = 0, \gcd(m_1, 2) = \gcd(n_1, 2) = 1$,
- (2) $b = 1$,
- (3) $b = -1, \gcd(m_1, 2) = \gcd(n_1, 2) = 1$,
- (4) $b = \frac{1}{2}, \gcd(m_1, 6) = \gcd(n_1, 6) = 1$,
- (5) $b = -\frac{1}{2}, \gcd(m_1, 3) = \gcd(n_1, 3) = 1$.

Otherwise, $f_m(x)$ and $f_n(x)$ are coprime.

Proof. We first prove that $f_m(x)$ and $f_n(x)$ are coprime in case $b > 1$. It is enough to show that $T_m(\frac{x}{2}) - b$ and $T_n(\frac{x}{2}) - b$ are coprime. By Proposition 2.11, let $\alpha = \sqrt[m]{B} \zeta_m^i + \sqrt[m]{B}^{-1} \zeta_m^{-i}$ be a root of $T_m(\frac{x}{2}) - b$ where $B = b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1}$. Suppose that $T_n(\frac{\alpha}{2}) - b = 0$ for some $n > m$. By Lemma 2.10, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right) - b = 0 &\iff (\sqrt[m]{B} \zeta_m^i)^n + (\sqrt[m]{B} \zeta_m^i)^{-n} - 2b = 0 \\ &\iff (\sqrt[m]{B} \zeta_m^i)^n = B \text{ or } B^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

If $(\sqrt[m]{B}\zeta_m^i)^n = B$ (resp. $(\sqrt[m]{B}\zeta_m^i)^n = B^{-1}$), then we have $B^n = B^m$ (resp. $B^n = B^{-m}$) and thus B is a roots of unity. But it is impossible because $B = b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1} > b > 1$. So $T_m(\frac{x}{2}) - b$ and $T_n(\frac{x}{2}) - b$ have no common root, and hence they are coprime. The same argument works in case $b < -1$.

We next prove (4) in case $b = \frac{1}{2}$. The other cases are proven by the same argument.

By the factorization of $f_n(x)$ (Theorem 2.13), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(f_m(x), f_n(x)) &= \prod_{\substack{k|m, k|n \\ \gcd(m/k, 6) = \gcd(n/k, 6) = 1}} \Psi_{6k}(x+1) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{k|d \\ \gcd(m_1 d/k, 6) = \gcd(n_1 d/k, 6) = 1}} \Psi_{6k}(x+1). \end{aligned}$$

If $\gcd(m_1, 6) = \gcd(n_1, 6) = 1$ then we obtain that for $k | d$,

$$\gcd(m_1 d/k, 6) = \gcd(n_1 d/k, 6) = 1 \iff \gcd(d/k, 6) = 1.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(f_m(x), f_n(x)) &= \prod_{\substack{k|d \\ \gcd(m_1 d/k, 6) = \gcd(n_1 d/k, 6) = 1}} \Psi_{6k}(x+1) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{k|d \\ \gcd(d/k, 6) = 1}} \Psi_{6k}(x+1) = f_d(x). \end{aligned}$$

If $\gcd(m_1 n_1, 6) > 1$ then the condition $\gcd(m_1 d/k, 6) = \gcd(n_1 d/k, 6) = 1$ can not hold. Thus in this case $f_m(x)$ and $f_n(x)$ are coprime. \square

Remark 2.15. In case $b = 0$, Theorem 2.13 and Collary 2.14 have essentially proved in [3] and [5] respectively.

3. CHAINS OF MONOMIAL TYPE

We here discuss conditions in Definition 1.6 for a chain $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of monomial type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$;

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{a}((ax+b)^n - b) \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a \neq 0).$$

3.1. Monic and integer coefficient conditions.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a chain of monomial type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then we have*

- (1) *Condition (i) holds if and only if $a = 1$.*
- (2) *Condition (ii) holds if and only if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a | b(b-1)$.*

Proof. (1) It is obvious since the leading coefficient of $f_n(x)$ is a^{n-1} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) Since $f_2(x) = ax^2 + 2bx + \frac{b^2-b}{a}$ and $f_3(x) = a^2x^3 + 3abx^2 + 3b^2x + \frac{b^3-b}{a}$, it is easily seen that

$$f_2(x), f_3(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \iff a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a | b(b-1).$$

On the other hand, for any $n \geq 2$, we have

$$f_n(x) = (ax+b)f_{n-1}(x) + bx + \frac{b^2-b}{a}.$$

Thus, by induction on n , the desired statement is proved. \square

3.2. Euclidean Division.

Proposition 3.2. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($a \neq 0$), let $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{a}((ax + b)^n - b)$. For any m, n ($m \leq n$), the quotient $q_{m,n}(x)$ and the remainder $r_{m,n}(x)$ of the Euclidean division of $f_n(x)$ by $f_m(x)$ are given by

$$q_{m,n}(x) = a \sum_{i=1}^k b^{i-1} f_{n-im}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^k b^i, \quad r_{m,n}(x) = b^k f_{n-km}(x) + \frac{b^{k+1} - b}{a},$$

where $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{m} \rfloor$.

Proof. Let $g_n(x) = x^n - b$ and the quotient $Q_{m,n}(x)$ and the remainder $R_{m,n}(x)$ of the Euclidean division of $g_n(x)$ by $g_m(x)$. Then we have

$$q_{m,n}(x) = Q_{m,n}(ax + b), \quad r_{m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{a} R_{m,n}(ax + b)$$

since $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{a} g_n(ax + b)$ and $g_n(x) = a f_n(\frac{x-b}{a})$. By an elementary computation, we have

$$Q_{m,n} = \sum_{i=1}^k b^{i-1} x^{n-im}, \quad R_{m,n}(x) = b^k x^{n-km} - b,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.3. Let $f_n(x)$ be as in Proposition 3.2. If $b \neq 0, \pm 1$, then $f_m(x)$ is not a divisor of $f_n(x)$ for any $m < n$. In case $b = 0, \pm 1$, a condition that $f_m(x)$ is a divisor of $f_n(x)$ is equivalent to the following

- (1) in case $b = 0$, $m \leq n$.
- (2) in case $b = 1$, $m \mid n$.
- (3) in case $b = -1$, $m \mid n$ and $\gcd(n/m, 2) = 1$.

Proof. The condition that $f_m(x)$ is a divisor of $f_n(x)$ is equivalent to that the remainder $r_{m,n}(x)$ is zero. By Proposition 3.2, the statement in case $b = 0$ is clear, and in case $b \neq 0$, we have

$$r_{m,n}(x) = 0 \iff n = km \text{ and } b^k - b = 0.$$

Since $b \in \mathbb{Q}$, the equation $b^k - b = 0$ for $k > 1$ implies that $b = 0, \pm 1$. This shows the statement in case $b \neq 0, \pm 1$. And the statements in case $b = \pm 1$ is now clear, and the proof completes. \square

3.3. Greatest common divisor and Factorization. From Proposition 3.1, a chain of monic polynomials of monomial type in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is given by

$$\{(x + b)^n - b\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad (b \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We omit a discussion for $b = 0$ below.

The following factorizations in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ are well known

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{k \mid n} \Phi_k(x), \quad x^n + 1 = \prod_{\substack{k \mid 2n \\ \gcd(2n/k, 2) = 1}} \Phi_k(x).$$

Here $\Phi_k(x)$ is k -th cyclotomic polynomial, i.e. the minimal polynomial of a primitive k -th root of unity. Thus we have the factorizations

$$(3.1) \quad (x + 1)^n - 1 = \prod_{k \mid n} \Phi_k(x + 1), \quad (x - 1)^n + 1 = \prod_{\substack{k \mid 2n \\ \gcd(2n/k, 2) = 1}} \Phi_k(x - 1).$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $f_n(x) = (x + b)^n - b$ ($b \neq 0$). For any positive integers m, n ($m < n$), put $d = \gcd(m, n)$ and $m = dm_1, n = dn_1$. Then we have*

$$\gcd(f_m(x), f_n(x)) = f_d(x)$$

in the following cases;

- (1) $b = 1$,
- (2) $b = -1, \gcd(m_1, 2) = \gcd(n_1, 2) = 1$.

Otherwise, $f_m(x)$ and $f_n(x)$ are coprime.

Proof. In cases (1) and (2), the assertion follows from the factorizations in (3.1). In case $b = -1$, if one of m_1, n_1 is even, there is no k such that

$$k \mid 2n, k \mid 2m, \gcd(2n/k, 2) = \gcd(2m/k, 2) = 1.$$

Thus $f_m(x)$ and $f_n(x)$ are coprime.

Next we assume $b > 1$. Then the roots of $f_m(x)$ are given by

$$-b + \sqrt[m]{b} \zeta_m^j \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1).$$

If $f_n(-b + \sqrt[m]{b} \zeta_m^j) = 0$ for some $m < n$, then we have

$$f_n(-b + \sqrt[m]{b} \zeta_m^j) = 0 \iff b^{\frac{n}{m}} \zeta_m^{jn} = b \implies b^{n-m} = 1 \implies b = \pm 1$$

which contradicts to the assumption $b > 1$. Thus $f_m(x)$ and $f_n(x)$ has no common roots, and hence they are coprime. The same argument works in case $b < -1$, and the proof complets. \square

4. A CONSEQUENCE

As a consequence of all results in §3 and §4, we have the following.

Corollary 4.1 (Corollary 1.7). *Let $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a chain of Chebyshev (resp. monomial) type in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies all of Condition (i), Condition (ii) and Condition (iii) if and only if $(a, b) = (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ (resp. $(a, b) = (1, 1)$), that is,*

$$f_n(x) = 2T_n\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) - 2 \quad (\text{resp. } f_n(x) = (x + 1)^n - 1).$$

Additionally, in this case Condition (iv) is also satisfied.

Corollary 4.1 shows the algebraic particularity of the chains $\{F_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{F}_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (1.1) in all chains. Since both polynomials come from the weighted sums for cycle graphs with pendant edges, it is natural to ask a graph theoretical explanation of the division properties and the commutativity under composition. Such graph theoretical approach to these properties will be developed in a subsequent paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. D. Block and H. P. Thielman. COMMUTATIVE POLYNOMIALS. The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2, Issue 1 (January 1, 1951), pp.241–243.
- [2] H. Fujita, K. Hasegawa, Y. Inaba, T. Kondo, Weighted sums of rooted spanning forests on cycles with pendant edges, preprint, arXiv:2402.07209v1.
- [3] H. J. Hsiao, On factorization of Chebyshev's polynomials of the first kind, Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica 12 (1), 1984, pp. 89–94
- [4] E. Jacobsthal, Über vertauschbare Polynome, Math. Z. 63 (1955) 243–276.
- [5] M. O. Rayes, V. Trevisan, P. S. Wang, Factorization of Chebyshev Polynomials, <https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/otherpapers/ICM-199802-0001.pdf>. (1998)
- [6] W. A. Webb and E. A. Parberry, Divisibility properties of Fibonacci polynomials, Fibonacci Quart. 7 (1969), 457–463.
- [7] S. J. Williams, An Analysis of Polynomials that Commute Under Composition, (2013). Honors Theses. 756. <https://digitalworks.union.edu/theses/756>

- [8] K. Zimmerman, Commuting polynomials and self-similarity, *New York J. Math.* 13 (2007) 89–96.

(K. Hasegawa) JAPAN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY
Email address: m1716072hk@ug.jwu.ac.jp

(R. Sugiyama) JAPAN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY
Email address: sugiyamar@fc.jwu.ac.jp