

CARTAN FLAT NON-DEGENERATE CR LIE GROUPS

KEIZO HASEGAWA AND HISASHI KASUYA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we determine all the simply connected non-degenerate CR Lie groups, which are flat with respect to the Cartan connection: in terms of associated Lie algebras, we assert that the only Cartan flat non-degenerate CR Lie algebras are $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, and \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} with its modifications, where $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})$ is the affine Lie algebra of dimension 2 and \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} is the Heisenberg Lie algebra of dimension $2m+1$. Furthermore, we determine all the (flat and non-flat) non-degenerate CR structures on each of these Lie groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Sasaki manifold M has a canonical underlining CR structure on its contact distribution. Conversely, a Sasaki manifold M can be characterized as a strictly pseudo-convex CR manifold which satisfies the integrability condition (normality) to the CR structure; namely, its canonically extended complex structure on $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times M$ (Kähler cone) is integrable, defining a Kähler structure on \tilde{M} .

The standard Sasaki structure on $S^3 \simeq \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a (left-invariant) Sasaki structure on $\mathrm{SU}(2)$; and it admits a real one parameter family of (invariant) CR structures (deformation) containing the standard one but all others are non-Sasakian. It is well known (due to Nirenberg [10]) that these non-standard CR structures can not be even locally embedded into \mathbb{C}^2 as a hypersurface.

There are many examples of Sasakian Lie algebras; but the only Sasakian unimodular Lie algebras are $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} (Heisenberg Lie algebra) with its modifications $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m+1}$ (due to our previous work [7]). In particular, the only Sasakian semi-simple Lie algebras are $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (already known for contact structures due to Boothby-Wang [5]), and the only Sasakian nilpotent Lie algebras are Heisenberg Lie algebras (due to Andrada-Fino-Vezzoni [2]). We see in this paper (see *Theorem 1* in Sect. 4), extending this result, that the only strictly pseudo-convex CR nilpotent Lie algebras are Heisenberg Lie algebras. It is known (due to Hano [9]) that a Kählerian unimodular solvable Lie algebra is meta-abelian. To be more precise, it is a modification $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_{2m}$ of an abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{a}_{2m} ; and the Sasakian solvable Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m+1}$ above is a central extension of $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_{2m}$ ([1, 7]).

Cartan connection for CR structures is the Cartan connection of type G/H_0 , where $G = \mathrm{SU}(p+1, q+1)$ with $p+q = m$ and H_0 is the isotropic subgroup of G for the canonical action of G on the standard hyperquadric surface of \mathbb{C}^{m+1} (where $(p, q) = (m, 0)$ correspond to the sphere S^{2m+1}). Note that G is a semisimple Lie group, and H_0 includes a Borel subgroup of G (Parabolic Cartan connection). A hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} has a canonical CR structure induced from the complex structure of \mathbb{C}^{m+1} . Cartan connection (introduced by Cartan [6] and developed by Tanaka [11] and Chern-Moser [8]) can be applied to CR structures on hypersurfaces

to grasp their local invariances. In particular, a hypersurface with flat Cartan connection correspond to being locally isomorphic to a hyperquadric surface (which includes a sphere). Cartan himself, applying the so-called *Cartan's equivalence method*, classified all the homogeneous CR manifolds of dimension three, in particular three-dimensional CR Lie groups up to equivalence [6]. The arguments in [6] on the classification were elaborated with modern terminologies in a recent paper of Bor-Jacobowitz [3] (also in an earlier paper of Burns-Shnider [4]).

We show in this paper a partial generalization of the Cartan's result (see *Theorem 4* in Sect. 6) that the only Cartan flat non-degenerate CR Lie algebras are $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} with its modifications $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m+1}$. Furthermore, we determine all the (flat and non-flat) non-degenerate CR structures on each of these corresponding Lie groups with explicit holomorphic embeddings for all these of hypersurface type in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} .

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some basic terminologies and definitions for our study of CR Lie groups (Lie algebras) in this paper.

Definition 1. An *almost Hermitian structure* on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a pair $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$ consisting of a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and a skew-symmetric complex structure $J \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$. The triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$ is called an *almost Hermitian Lie algebra*. The almost Hermitian structure is *integrable* if the Nijenhuis tensor N_J of J vanishes, that is,

$$N_J(X, Y) := [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[X, JY] - J[JX, Y] = 0$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A Hermitian Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$ is called *Kähler* if its fundamental 2-form $\omega = \langle J \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is closed. It is *locally conformally Kähler (shortly lcK)* if

$$d\omega = \omega \wedge \theta$$

for some closed 1-form $\theta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ (called the *Lee form*). An lcK Lie algebra is *Vaisman* if $\nabla\theta = 0$ (parallel), where $\nabla \in \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection.

Definition 2. A *contact metric structure* on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a quadruple $(\phi, \eta, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \tilde{J})$ consisting of (i) a *contact structure* $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$: $\phi \wedge (d\phi)^n \neq 0$; (ii) the *Reeb field* $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$: $i(\eta)\phi = 1$, $i(\eta)d\phi = 0$; (iii) the *(1, 1)-tensor* \tilde{J} , $\tilde{J}^2 = -I + \phi \otimes \eta$; and (iv) the *scalar product* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$: $\langle X, Y \rangle = \phi(X)\phi(Y) + d\phi(\tilde{J}X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A *Sasaki structure* on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a contact metric structure $(\phi, \eta, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \tilde{J})$ satisfying $\langle [\eta, X], Y \rangle + \langle X, [\eta, Y] \rangle = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ (*Killing field*), and the integrability of $J = \tilde{J}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ on $\mathcal{D} = \ker \phi$ (*CR-structure*).

For any simply connected Sasakian Lie group G , its *Kähler cone* $C(G)$ is defined as $C(G) = \mathbb{R}_+ \times G$ with the Kähler form $\Omega = r dr \wedge \phi + \frac{r^2}{2} d\phi$, where a compatible complex structure \hat{J} is defined by $\hat{J}\eta = \frac{1}{r}\partial_r$ and $\hat{J}|_{\mathcal{D}} = J$.

For any Sasakian Lie group G with contact form ϕ , we can define an lcK form $\omega = \frac{2}{r^2}\Omega = \frac{2}{r}dr \wedge \phi + d\phi$; or taking $t = -2 \log r$, $\omega = -dt \wedge \phi + d\phi$ on $\mathbb{R} \times G$, which is of Vaisman type.

Note. We can define a family of complex structures \hat{J} compatible with ω by

$$\hat{J}(\partial_t - l\eta) = k\eta, \quad \hat{J}(k\eta) = -(\partial_t - l\eta), \quad \hat{J}|_D = J, \quad (2.1)$$

where $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$ ($k \neq 0$).

Definition 3. A CR structure on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a complex subalgebra \mathfrak{k} of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \{0\}$, where $r = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} - 2 \dim \mathfrak{k} \geq 0$ is the codimension of the CR structure. Note that the case $r = 0$ corresponds to the integrable complex structure on \mathfrak{g} .

The Levi form is a Hermitian on \mathfrak{k} with values in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathfrak{k} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$, defined by $h(X, Y) = -\sqrt{-1} [X, \bar{Y}] \pmod{\mathfrak{k} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{k}}}$. The CR structure is *non-degenerate* if the Levi form is non-degenerate; and *strictly pseudoconvex* if the Levi form is either positive definite or negative definite.

Two CR Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 are *CR-equivalent* if there is a linear isomorphism Φ such that the extended automorphism $\hat{\Phi}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ maps \mathfrak{k}_1 to \mathfrak{k}_2 ; or equivalently, Φ maps \mathcal{D}_1 to \mathcal{D}_2 such that $J \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_1} = \Phi_{\mathcal{D}_2} J$.

In this paper we consider only the non-degenerate CR structures with $r = 1$

Notes.

- (1) A CR structure on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is also defined by a pair (D, J) , where D is a subspace of co-dimension 1 of \mathfrak{g} , and $J : D \rightarrow D$ with $J^2 = -1$ satisfying the conditions: for $X, Y \in D$, $[JX, Y] + [X, JY] \in D$ and $N_J(X, Y) = 0$.
- (2) We have the correspondence: $\mathfrak{k} = \{X - \sqrt{-1}JX | X \in D\} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$.
- (3) For a strictly pseudoconvex CR structure (D, J) on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have a contact metric structure $(\phi, \eta, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \tilde{J})$ with $D = \ker \phi$. It defines a Sasaki structure on \mathfrak{g} if and only if the condition $[\eta, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ (*normality*) holds. This is equivalent to say that the extended complex structure \hat{J} on the Kähler cone $C(G)$ is integrable.
- (4) Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra of dimension 3. Then, CR structures \mathfrak{k} of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are of dimension 1, and the set of non-degenerate CR structures \mathfrak{k} of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with the elements of $P(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathbb{C}P^2$ which are *regular*, meaning that $\mathfrak{k} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \{0\}$ (non-real) and $\mathfrak{k} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{k}}$ is not a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ (non-degenerate).

Example. A non-degenerate real hyperquadric Q of dimension $2m + 1$ is a hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} defined by the following equation:

$$v = \sum_{i,j=1}^m h_{i,j} z_i \bar{z}_j,$$

in the complex coordinate $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1})$, $z_{m+1} = u + \sqrt{-1}v$ of \mathbb{C}^{m+1} , where $H = (h_{i,j})$ is a non-degenerate Hermitian matrix. A hyperquadric Q is of *type* (p, q) ($p + q = m$) when H has p positive and q negative eigenvalues. In the complex homogeneous coordinates

$(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_{m+1})$ of $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$, \bar{Q} is expressed as

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(\zeta_0 \bar{\zeta}_{m+1} - \bar{\zeta}_0 \zeta_{m+1}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m h_{i,j} \zeta_i \bar{\zeta}_j, \quad (2.2)$$

which is a homogeneous space of $PSU(p+1, q+1)$ with isotropy subgroup H_0 .

Note. The sphere S^{2m+1} is a hyperquadric surface of type $(m, 0)$, which admit a canonical strictly pseudoconvex CR structure. As we see later, the Heisenberg Lie group H_{2m+1} admits a non-degenerate CR structure of any type (p, q) .

3. COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON $\mathfrak{u}(2)$, $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ AND $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}$

In this section we briefly review the results of our previous work [7] on complex structures on $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ and $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}$. We refer to the original paper for the detail discussion and proofs.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{u}(2) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

For \mathfrak{g}_1 , take a basis $\{X_1, Y_1, Z_1\}$ for $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ with bracket multiplication defined by

$$[X_1, Y_1] = -Z_1, [Z_1, X_1] = -Y_1, [Z_1, Y_1] = X_1, \quad (3.1)$$

and T as a generator of the center \mathbb{R} of \mathfrak{g}_1 , where we set

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Z_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For \mathfrak{g}_2 , take a basis $\{X_2, Y_2, Z_2\}$ for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with bracket multiplication defined by

$$[X_2, Y_2] = Z_2, [Z_2, X_2] = -Y_2, [Z_2, Y_2] = X_2, \quad (3.2)$$

and T as a generator of the center \mathbb{R} of \mathfrak{g}_1 , where we set

$$X_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, Z_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 1. Each \mathfrak{g}_i , $i = 1, 2$ admits a family of complex structures $J_{i,\delta}$, $\delta = k + \sqrt{-1}l$ ($k \neq 0$) defined by

$$J_{i,\delta}(T - lZ) = kZ, J_{i,\delta}(kZ) = -(T - lZ), J_{i,\delta}X_i = \pm Y_i, J_{i,\delta}Y_i = \mp X_i.$$

Conversely, the above family of complex structures exhaust all complex structures on each Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_i .

Let $\mathfrak{gh} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra with a standard basis $\{T, X_i, Y_i, Z\}$ for which non-zero bracket multiplications are given by

$$[X_i, Y_i] = -Z, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 2. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gh} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}$ admits a family of complex structures $J = J_{(\delta; \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_m)}$, $\delta = k + \sqrt{-1}l \in \mathbb{C}$ ($c \neq 0$), $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, defined by

$$J(T - lZ) = kZ, \quad J(kZ) = -(T - lZ), \quad JX_i = \varepsilon_i Y_i, \quad JY_i = -\varepsilon_i X_i.$$

Conversely, the above family of complex structures exhausts all complex structures on \mathfrak{gh} .

Proposition 1. ([7]) The complex structures on $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ and \mathfrak{gh}_{2m+2} are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and \mathbb{C}^{m+1} respectively.

(1) For the case of $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$; we consider a biholomorphic map Φ_δ :

$$\Phi_\delta : (\mathbb{R} \times SL(2, \mathbb{R}), J_\delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^*$$

defined by

$$g = \left(t, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) \longrightarrow \left(\left(\frac{ac + bd}{c^2 + d^2} \right) + \sqrt{-1} \left(\frac{1}{c^2 + d^2} \right), e^{\delta t} (d + \sqrt{-1}c) \right),$$

where $\delta = k + \sqrt{-1}l$. Then, it induces a biholomorphic map $\bar{\Phi}_\delta$ from the universal coverings $(\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}), \bar{J}_\delta)$ to $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$, where \bar{J}_δ is the induced integrable complex structure from J_δ .

(2) For the case of $\mathbb{R} \times SU(2)$; we have a canonical biholomorphic map Φ_δ :

$$\Phi_\delta : (\mathbb{R} \times SU(2), J_\delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$$

defined

$$(t, z_1, z_2) \longrightarrow (e^{\delta t} z_1, e^{\delta t} z_2),$$

where $\delta = k + \sqrt{-1}l$ and $SU(2)$ is identified with

$$\mathcal{S}^3 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1\}$$

by the correspondence

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -\bar{z}_2 \\ z_2 & \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow (z_1, z_2).$$

(3) For the case of $GH_{2m+2} = \mathbb{R} \times H_{2m+1}$; we have a canonical biholomorphic map Φ_δ :

$$\Phi_\delta : (\mathbb{R} \times H_{2m+1}, J_\delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$$

defined by

$$\left(t, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x} & z \\ 0 & \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{y}^t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{y}, (2kt + \frac{1}{2}(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y}\|^2)) + \sqrt{-1}(2(lt + z) - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})),$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i x_i y_i$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}$.

4. CR STRUCTURES ON $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ AND \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}

Non-degenerate CR structures on $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ are already known [6, 3]. We determine in this section non-degenerate CR structures on \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} , and prove a structure theorem of non-degenerate CR nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in general (Theorem 1).

- (1) For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$, the Cartan-Killing form is negative definite. Since we have $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong SO(3)$, we can take any subspace of dimension 2 for \mathcal{D} . So, we can let $\mathcal{D} = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ with a family of complex structures defined by $JX = tY$ ($t > 0$). Then each $\mathfrak{k}_t = \langle X + t\sqrt{-1}Y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ defines a strictly pseudoconvex CR structure on \mathfrak{g} . Since we have

$$[X + t\sqrt{-1}Y, Z] = Y - t\sqrt{-1}X = -t\sqrt{-1}\left(X + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{t}Y\right),$$

which is in \mathfrak{k}_t iff $t = 1$. That is, the normality is satisfied only for $t = 1$, which is the case of the standard Sasaki structure on S^3 . Note that it is well known that CR structure $\mathfrak{k}_t, t \neq 1$ on S^3 is non-embeddable in \mathbb{C}^2 as a hypersurface.

- (2) For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, the Cartan-Killing form is Lorentzian. Since we have $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong SO(2, 1)$, we can take, as a subspace of dimension 2 for CR structure on \mathfrak{g} , either $\mathcal{D}_Z = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $\mathcal{D}_X = \langle Y, Z \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$, where Z is a timelike vector and X is a spacelike vector w.r.t. Lorentzian metric. Then, each $\mathfrak{k}_{Z,t} = \langle X - t\sqrt{-1}Y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ or $\mathfrak{k}_{X,t} = \langle Y - t\sqrt{-1}Z \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $t > 0$ defines a strictly pseudoconvex CR structure on \mathfrak{g} . The normality is satisfied only for $\mathfrak{k}_{Z,1} = \langle X - \sqrt{-1}Y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is the case of the standard Sasaki structure on $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

- (3) For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, take a basis a basis $\{X, Y, Z\}$ for which the bracket multiplication is defined by

$$[X, Y] = -Z, [Y, Z] = 0, [Z, X] = Z. \quad (4.1)$$

Take another basis, changing Z into $Z' = Z - Y$, we have

$$[X, Y] = -Z' - Y, [Y, Z'] = 0, [Z', X] = 0.$$

Let x, y, z' be the Maurer-Cartan forms corresponding to X, Y, Z' respectively. We have

$$dx = 0, dy = x \wedge y, dz' = x \wedge y.$$

Then, we have the only CR structure $\mathcal{D} = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_t = \langle X - t\sqrt{-1}Y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the contact form $\phi = z'$. It should be noted that this CR structures is isomorphic to the CR structure $\mathfrak{k}_{Z,t}$ on $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

- (4) For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}$, since the CR structure is non-degenerate and $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle Z \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$, we see that $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$ and Z must be the Reeb field of the contact structure $\mathcal{D} = \langle X_i, Y_j \rangle, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the normality is trivially satisfied, extending any complex structure on \mathcal{D} to $\mathfrak{gh} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}$. According to Lemma 2, the complex structures on \mathcal{D} are given by $JX_i = \varepsilon_i Y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \varepsilon_i = \pm 1$. We see that the above biholomorphism Φ_1 from GH_{2m+2} to \mathbb{C}^{m+1} embeds the CR structure on the Heisenberg Lie group H_{2m+1} into a hyperquadratic surfaces of all type (p, q) in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} . And conversely, these exhort all non-degenerate CR structures on H_{2m+1} .

Theorem 1. *A non-degenerate CR nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is pseudo-Sasakian, which is a central extension by \mathbb{R} of a pseudo-Kählerian nilpotent Lie algebra. In particular, a strictly pseudo-convex CR nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is Sasakian, and thus a Heisenberg Lie algebra (which is a central extension by \mathbb{R} of an abelian Lie algebra).*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-degenerate CR structure on \mathfrak{g} of dimension $2m + 1$. with a contact form ϕ ($\mathcal{D} = \ker \phi$) and the Reeb field η . We have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \langle \eta \rangle$, and $i(\eta)\phi = 1$, $i(\eta)d\phi = 0$. Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of \mathfrak{g} (which is non-trivial). It is sufficient to show that $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle \eta \rangle$, since it shows that the integrability condition is trivially satisfied; and thus \mathfrak{g} is a pseudo-Sasakian nilpotent Lie algebra. In fact, we then have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the induced non-degenerate closed 2-form $d\bar{\phi}$ on $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$ defines a pseudo-Kählerian structure on \mathfrak{n} ; and thus \mathfrak{g} is pseudo-Sasakian. In particular, in case \mathfrak{g} is a strictly pseudo-convex CR nilpotent Lie algebra, $d\bar{\phi}$ is Kählerian, and thus \mathfrak{n} must be abelian, that is, \mathfrak{g} is a Heisenberg Lie algebra.

Now, since $d\phi$ is non-degenerate on \mathcal{D} and $d\phi(X, Y) = \phi([X, Y])$, we have $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathcal{D} \oplus Z(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $i(\eta)d\phi = 0$, we must have $Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle \eta \rangle$. \square

Note. There is a pseudo-Sasakian nilpotent Lie algebras as a central extension by \mathbb{R} of a pseudo-Kähler (non-abelian) nilpotent Lie algebra. For example, we can take $\mathfrak{gh} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_3$ as a (non-Kählerian) pseudo-Kählerian nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 4.

5. CARTAN CONNECTION

Let M be a manifold of dimension n and $P = L(M)$ be the frame bundle over M with group $H = G(n, \mathbb{R})$ and the projection $\pi : P \rightarrow M$. The solder form θ on P is defined by

$$\theta(X) = u^{-1}(\pi_*(X)) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for $X \in T_u P$ ($u \in P$).

Let w be a linear connection on P satisfying

- (i) $R_a^* w = a^{-1} w a$ ($a \in H$)
- (ii) $w(A^*) = A$ ($A \in \mathfrak{h}$); A^* : the fundamental vector field on P

Then we have

$$T_u(P) \cong \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathfrak{h}$$

by $X \rightarrow \omega(X) = (\theta(X), w(X))$ (absolute parallelism).

Let $G = \text{Aff}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes H$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathfrak{h}$, and $A(M) = P \times_H G$ (affine frame bundle over M). $P = L(M)$ is a H -reduction of $A(M)$; and we have $T(M) \cong P \times_H \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ with adjoint representation of H on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$.

We see that ω defines a *Cartan connection* on P as defined in the following.

Definition 4. Let H a closed subgroup of G ; and P be a H -principal bundle over a manifold M with \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form ω (*Cartan connection*), satisfying

- (i) $R_a^* \omega = \text{Ad}(h^{-1}) \omega$ ($h \in H$)
- (ii) $\omega(A^*) = A$ ($A \in \mathfrak{h}$)
- (ii) $\omega_p : T_p(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{g}$ ($p \in P$) (absolute parallelism)

Note. We have $\dim M = \dim G - \dim H$; P is a H -reduction of the G -principal bundle $P \times_H G$; and $T(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} P \times_H \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. In particular, the Cartan connection $\omega \bmod \mathfrak{h} : P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ is horizontal and defines a *solder form* on P .

The curvature Ω of the Cartan connection ω is defined as

$$\Omega = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega.$$

Example. Let $M = G/H$ be a homogeneous space. Then, G is a H -principal bundle over M . The Maurer-Cartan form ω (\mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form) on G defines a flat Cartan connection with the structure condition:

$$d\omega = -\omega \wedge \omega.$$

Note. In case $M = G/H$ is *reductive*; that is, \mathfrak{g} has the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$$

with $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ for some closed subgroup K of G , the Maurer-Cartan form ω decomposes as

$$\omega = \omega_{\mathfrak{h}} + \omega_{\mathfrak{k}},$$

where $\omega_{\mathfrak{h}}$ defines a connection on G as a H -principal bundle; and $\omega_{\mathfrak{k}}$ defines a solder form.

A Cartan connection ω of type G/H on a H -principal bundle P is called of reductive type if G/H is of reductive type. Affine connection is of reductive type.

Cartan's equivalence method is to associate any non-degenerate CR manifold M (of hypersurface type) H_0 -principal bundle P over M with a Cartan connection ω with value on $\mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$, where H_0 is the isotropy subgroup of $SU(p+1, q+1)$ as acting on a hyperquadric surface of type (p, q) . The existence and uniqueness of the bundle P is due to Cartan, Tanaka, and Chern-Moser [6, 11, 8]. To be more precise, for given non-degenerate CR manifolds M_1, M_2 and a CR-equivalent map $\phi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, there exists uniquely a bundle isomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ preserving the Cartan connections ω_1, ω_2 on the corresponding principal bundles P_1, P_2 respectively. (see Th. 4.4, [11]).

6. CARTAN FLAT NON-DEGENERATE CR LIE GROUPS

Let $G = SU(p+1, q+1)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$, $p+q = m$, and H_0 the isotropy subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_0 . Since the Cartan connection ω on a CR manifold M gives $T(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} P \times_H \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0$; and $\omega \bmod \mathfrak{h}_0 : P \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0$ is horizontal, defining a solder form on P , we have a canonical isomorphism as vector spaces:

$$T_x(M) \hookrightarrow T_p(P) = \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0,$$

where $x \in M, p \in P$ with $\pi(p) = x$. In particular, if the Cartan connection is flat, the horizontal distribution is integrable. Hence, for a flat non-degenerate CR Lie group L with Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} , we have a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0$ and a canonical isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0.$$

Here, we take an open neighborhood U of e on L , setting $\mathfrak{L} = T_e U$ and ω_0 the restriction of ω on \mathfrak{L} . Then, $\omega_0 : \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is injective as we have $\dim \mathfrak{L} = \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0$; and it is a Lie algebra homomorphism as the $\text{graph}(\omega_0) = \{(X, \omega_0(X)) \in \mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \mid X \in \mathfrak{L}\}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ due to the L -invariance and flatness of the connection (i.e. involutivity of $\text{graph}(\omega_0)$). In fact, applying the Cartan's equivalence method, the action of L as a CR automorphism on L lifts to that as a bundle automorphism on P preserving the connection ω ; and the vector field $(X, \omega(X))$ on $TU \times TG$ is involutive. Hence, $\text{graph}(\omega_0) = \{(X, \omega_0(X)) \in \mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \mid X \in \mathfrak{L}\}$ defines a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, showing that $\omega_0 : \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an injective Lie algebra homomorphism.

We have thus shown the following fundamental result.

Theorem 2. *Let L be a non-degenerate flat CR Lie group with its Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} . Then \mathfrak{L} must be a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} satisfying*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0 \tag{6.1}$$

for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$ with its isotropy subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_0 as a vector space direct sum.

It should be noted that \mathfrak{g} has the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0. \tag{6.2}$$

Note. In terms of Lie groups, this is equivalent to say that the Lie group L acts simply transitively on the homogeneous space G/H_0 .

Let us consider first the case $p = 1, q = 0$, that is, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2, 1)$. We have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} X + X^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0\}$$

By calculation, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be written as

$$X = X_{(a,b,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \gamma & b \\ \alpha & -(\beta - \bar{\beta}) & -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\gamma} \\ a & \sqrt{-1}\bar{\alpha} & -\bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The isotropy subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_0 of \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \gamma & b \\ 0 & -(\beta - \bar{\beta}) & -\sqrt{-1}\bar{\gamma} \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \mid \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_{1,0}$ of \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}(\beta) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\beta - \bar{\beta}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \mid \beta \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_{1,0}$ of \mathfrak{g} is given as

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}(a, \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & -(\beta - \bar{\beta}) & 0 \\ a & \sqrt{-1}\bar{\alpha} & -\bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, a \in \mathbb{R} \right\};$$

that is, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h}_3 \rtimes_{ad} \mathfrak{a}$, where \mathfrak{a} acts on \mathfrak{h}_3 as the adjoint representation ad in \mathfrak{g} .

For the general cases: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(m+2, \mathbb{C}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ 0 & I_{p,q} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} X + X^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ 0 & I_{p,q} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0\}.$$

By calculation, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ can be written as

$$X = X_{(a,b,\beta,u,v)} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & -\sqrt{-1}v^* & b \\ u & \mathbf{u}_{p,q} & v \\ a & \sqrt{-1}\bar{u}^* & -\bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, u, v \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (6.3)$$

and $\mathbf{u}_{p,q} = \mathbf{u}(p, q)$ with the condition $\text{tr } \mathbf{u}_{p,q} + 2 \text{Im } \beta = 0$. The isotropy subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_0 of \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \beta & -\sqrt{-1}v^* & b \\ 0 & \mathbf{u}_{p,q} & v \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \mid \beta \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, u, v \in \mathbb{C}^m \right\}.$$

The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$ of \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$\mathfrak{a}_{p,q} = \mathfrak{a}(c, d, D) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c - \sqrt{-1}d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -c - \sqrt{-1}d \end{pmatrix} \mid c, d \in \mathbb{R}, D \in \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{C}) \right\};$$

where $D = \sqrt{-1} \text{dia}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)$, $d_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m = 2d$. The Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{p,q}$ of $\mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$ is given as

$$\mathfrak{b}_{p,q} = \mathfrak{b}(a, c, d, u, D) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c - \sqrt{-1}d & 0 & 0 \\ u & D & 0 \\ a & \sqrt{-1}\bar{u}^* & -c - \sqrt{-1}d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, u \in \mathbb{C}^m \right\};$$

that is, $\mathfrak{b}_{p,q} = \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} \rtimes_{ad} \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$, where $\mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$ acts on \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} as the adjoint representation ad in \mathfrak{g} . It should be noted that we can decompose $U \in \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$ as

$$U = U_{(c,d,D_0)} = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1}d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\sqrt{-1}d}{m} I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{-1}d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, $D_0 = \sqrt{-1} \text{dia}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)$ with $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m = 0$.

Note that a subalgebra \mathfrak{l} of $\mathfrak{b}_{p,q}$, satisfying the condition (6.1) can be considered as a *modification* $\mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}(\tau)$ of \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} by a Lie algebra homomorphism $\tau : \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}(\tau)$ is the

subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} \rtimes_{ad} \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$ consisting of the graph $(X, \tau(X))$ with the bracket multiplication defined by

$$[(X, \tau(X)), (Y, \tau(Y))}_\tau = ([X, Y] + \tau(X)(Y) - \tau(Y)(X), [\tau(X), \tau(Y)]).$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$ is abelian, we can consider $\mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}(\tau)$ as a Lie algebra on the space \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} with the modified bracket multiplication defined by

$$[X, Y]_\tau = [X, Y] + \tau(X)(Y) - \tau(Y)(X).$$

We will now determine all subalgebras \mathfrak{l} of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$ satisfying (6.1); and then see which of these Lie algebras admit non-degenerate CR structures.

We first show in *Examples* below that $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and \mathfrak{h}_3 actually admit flat non-degenerate CR structures, explicitly constructing the subalgebras \mathfrak{l} satisfying (6.1) which are isomorphic to these Lie algebras.

Examples.

(1) For $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathcal{D} = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_t = \langle X + t\sqrt{-1}Y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ ($t > 0$) defines a strictly pseudoconvex CR structure on \mathfrak{l} . Let x, y, z be the Maurer-Cartan form dual to X, Y, Z . Then we have

$$dx = -y \wedge z, dy = -z \wedge x, dz = -x \wedge y$$

We set

$$\phi = 2z, \psi = \sqrt{t}x + \sqrt{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}y.$$

Then $\mathcal{D} = \ker \phi$ and $\psi(\mathfrak{k}_t) = 0$; and we have

$$d\phi = \sqrt{-1}\psi \wedge \bar{\psi}, \quad d\psi = b_t \phi \wedge \psi + c_t \phi \wedge \bar{\psi},$$

where $b_t = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}(\frac{1}{t} + t)$, $c_t = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}(\frac{1}{t} - t)$.

We define $\mathfrak{su}(2, 1)$ -valued 1-form Φ_t by

$$\Phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} b_t \phi & \sqrt{-1}(b_t \bar{\psi} + c_t \psi) & (|c_t|^2 - |b_t|^2) \phi \\ \psi & -2b_t \phi & b_t \psi + c_t \bar{\psi} \\ \phi & \sqrt{-1} \bar{\psi} & b_t \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

which defines CR structures with parameter $t > 0$ on \mathfrak{l} . We see that Φ_t is closed by the bracket multiplication on $\mathfrak{su}(2, 1)$ only for $t = 1$, defining a subalgebra isomorphic to \mathfrak{l} :

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\phi & -\frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi} & -\frac{1}{4}\phi \\ \psi & -\sqrt{-1}\phi & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi \\ \phi & \sqrt{-1}\bar{\psi} & \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\phi \end{pmatrix}$$

(2) For $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{D} = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_t = \langle X - t\sqrt{-1}Y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ ($t > 0$) defines a strictly pseudoconvex CR structure on \mathfrak{I} . Let x, y, z be the Maurer-Cartan form dual to X, Y, Z . Then we have

$$dx = y \wedge z, dy = z \wedge x, dz = -x \wedge y$$

We set

$$\phi = 2z, \psi = \sqrt{t}x - \sqrt{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}y.$$

Then $\mathcal{D} = \ker \phi$ and $\psi(\mathfrak{k}_t) = 0$; and we have

$$d\phi = \sqrt{-1}\psi \wedge \bar{\psi}, \quad d\psi = b_t \phi \wedge \psi + c_t \phi \wedge \bar{\psi},$$

where $b_t = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{t} + t\right)$, $c_t = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{t} - t\right)$.

We define $\mathfrak{su}(2, 1)$ -valued 1-form Φ_t by

$$\Phi_t = \begin{pmatrix} b_t \phi & \sqrt{-1}(b_t \bar{\psi} + c_t \psi) & (|c_t|^2 - |b_t|^2) \phi \\ \psi & -2b_t \phi & b_t \psi + c_t \bar{\psi} \\ \phi & \sqrt{-1} \bar{\psi} & b_t \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

which defines CR structures with parameter $t > 0$ on \mathfrak{I} . We see that Φ_t is closed by the bracket multiplication on $\mathfrak{su}(2, 1)$ only for $t = 1$, defining a subalgebra isomorphic to \mathfrak{I} :

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\phi & \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi} & -\frac{1}{4}\phi \\ \psi & \sqrt{-1}\phi & -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\psi \\ \phi & \sqrt{-1}\bar{\psi} & -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\phi \end{pmatrix}$$

(3) For $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{h}_3$ (Heisenberg Lie algebra), $\mathcal{D} = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \langle X + \sqrt{-1}Y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ defines a strictly pseudoconvex CR structure on \mathfrak{I} . Let x, y, z be the Maurer-Cartan form dual to X, Y, Z . Then we have $dz = x \wedge y, dx = dy = 0$. We set

$$\phi = z, \psi = \frac{1}{2}(x + \sqrt{-1}y).$$

Then $\mathcal{D} = \ker \phi$ and $\psi(\mathfrak{k}) = 0$; and we have

$$d\phi = \sqrt{-1}\psi \wedge \bar{\psi}, \quad d\psi = 0$$

We define $\mathfrak{su}(2, 1)$ -valued 1-form Φ by

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \psi & 0 & 0 \\ \phi & \sqrt{-1}\psi & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which defines a CR structure on \mathfrak{I} .

Theorem 3. *The only three dimensional Lie algebras which admit Cartan flat non-degenerate CR structures are $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and \mathfrak{h}_3 , among which CR structures on $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic to those on $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and no other CR structures are isomorphic each other.*

Proof. We have seen in *Examples* above that $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and \mathfrak{h}_3 admit Cartan flat non-degenerate CR structures, expressing these as subalgebras satisfying the condition (6.1). We have also seen in Sect. 4 that non-degenerate CR structures on $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic to the non-degenerate CR structures of type D_Z on $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Since $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ are the only three dimensional semisimple Lie algebras, it is sufficient to show that the only three dimensional solvable Lie algebras \mathfrak{l} satisfying the condition (6.1) are $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and \mathfrak{h}_3 . Since the Borel subalgebras are all conjugate, we may assume that \mathfrak{l} is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h}_3 \rtimes_{ad} \mathfrak{a}$, where \mathfrak{a} is the Cartan subalgebra generated by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, V = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we take the basis $\{X, Y, Z\}$ for \mathfrak{h}_3 :

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the bracket multiplications:

$$[X, Y] = -Z, [X, Z] = [Y, Z] = 0,$$

the action of \mathfrak{a} on \mathfrak{h}_3 is given by

$$\text{ad}_U(X) = X, \text{ad}_U(Y) = Y, \text{ad}_U(Z) = 2Z, \text{ad}_V(X) = Y, \text{ad}_V(Y) = -X, \text{ad}_V(Z) = 0.$$

Note that the action of U is diagonal and the action of V is skew-symmetric.

We can express $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h}_3 \rtimes_{ad} \mathfrak{a}$ as a Lie algebra extension:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_3 \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have $\mathfrak{h}_3 = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$. Let \mathfrak{l} be a three dimensional subalgebra of \mathfrak{b} . We have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}_3 \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{l} \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}_3$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{h}_3 of dimension 3, 2 or 1; and \mathfrak{c} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{a} with $\dim \mathfrak{c} = 0, 1$ or 2 accordingly.

For the case \mathfrak{l} is a modification of \mathfrak{h}_3 by a Lie algebra morphism $\tau : \mathfrak{h}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, we have

$$\ker \tau = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}_3, \text{im } \tau = \mathfrak{c}, \dim \ker \tau + \dim \text{im } \tau = \dim \mathfrak{l}$$

Since $Z \in \ker \tau$, we have $\dim \mathfrak{c} = 1$ or 2. In case $\dim \mathfrak{c} = 1$, we can assume $Y \in \ker \tau$. Then, we have $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}_3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$, and $\mathfrak{l} = [\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}$, where the action is diagonal. In case $\dim \mathfrak{c} = 2$, we have $\dim \ker \tau = 1$ and thus $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}] = \langle Z \rangle$. Since the action of V on Z is trivial, we see that $\mathfrak{l} \simeq \mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

For the case that \mathfrak{l} is *not* any modification of \mathfrak{h}_3 , we can see that \mathfrak{l} must be either $\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_3$ or \mathfrak{l}_1 ; having a basis $\{X, Z, U\}$ (or $\{Y, Z, U\}$) with bracket multiplication

$$[Z, U] = 2Z, [X, U] = X, [X, Z] = 0 \text{ (or } [Z, U] = 2Z, [Y, U] = Y, [Y, Z] = 0);$$

or \mathfrak{l}_2 ; having a basis $\{Z, U, V\}$ with bracket multiplication

$$[Z, U] = 2Z, [Z, V] = 0, [U, V] = 0.$$

We see that \mathfrak{L}_1 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}$, and \mathfrak{L}_2 is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

It is not hard to check that $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}$ does not admit a non-degenerate CR structure. In fact, let ϕ be a contact form and η the Reeb field with $i(\eta)\phi = 1$. We can set $\phi = pu + by + cz$ and $\eta = pU + bY + cZ$, where u, y, z be the Maurer-Cartan forms corresponding to U, Y, Z respectively, and $p, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $bc \neq 0, p^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$. Then we have $i(\eta)d\phi(U) \neq 0$. \square

In order to determine all subalgebras \mathfrak{L} of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$ with non-degenerate CR structures for general dimension, satisfying (6.1), note first that a non-degenerate CR structure in general is locally isomorphic to a contact structure; and thus for a Lie groups (algebra), it must admits an invariant contact structure. According to a well known theorem of Boothby and Wang [5], the only semisimple Lie algebras admitting contact structures are $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, for the determination of Cartan flat non-degenerate CR Lie algebras, it is sufficient to consider the cases of solvable Lie algebras and show that if the Levi-decomposition of the given Lie algebra has a non-trivial radical (the maximal solvable ideal), then it must be solvable Lie algebra (contained in the Borel subalgebra) (see *Lemma 3*).

We first consider solvable Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{L} of the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{p,q} = \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} \rtimes_{ad} \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$ satisfying (6.1). For simplicity, we write simply $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{a}$ for $\mathfrak{b}_{p,q}, \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1}, \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$.

As in the 3-dimensional case, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.4)$$

with $\mathfrak{h} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$; and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{L} \cap \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{L} \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.5)$$

We have the standard basis $\{X_i, Y_j, Z\}$ for \mathfrak{h} :

$$X_i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e^i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} e_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y_j = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{-1} e^j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with only non-zero bracket multiplications defined by

$$[X_i, Y_j] = -\delta_{i,j} Z, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where e^i is the i -th unit column vector of \mathbb{C}^m and e_i is the i -th unit row vector of \mathbb{C}^m . The Cartan algebra \mathfrak{a} is decomposed as

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{s}, \quad (6.6)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -c \end{pmatrix} \middle| c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \mathfrak{s} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1} d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\sqrt{-1} d}{m} I_m + D_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{-1} d \end{pmatrix} \middle| d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

where $D_0 = \sqrt{-1} \text{dia}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)$ with $d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_m = 0$. We have a basis $\{U\}$ for \mathfrak{t} and a basis $\{V_k\}, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ for \mathfrak{s} such that

$$\text{ad}_U(X_i) = X_i, \text{ad}_U(Y_j) = Y_j, \text{ad}_U(Z) = 2Z$$

for all $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and

$$\text{ad}_{V_k}(X_k) = Y_k, \text{ad}_{V_k}(Y_k) = -X_k, \text{ad}_{V_k}(X_j) = 0, \text{ad}_{V_k}(Y_j) = 0$$

for all $j \neq k$.

We first consider the case when \mathfrak{I} is a modification of \mathfrak{h} by a Lie algebra morphism $\tau : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$. In case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{t}$, that is, $\tau : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$, we have $\dim \ker \tau = \dim(\mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{h}) = 2m$; and $\dim \text{im } \tau = 1$. We have $\ker \tau = \mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{h} = [\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{I}] \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m-1}$ on which U acts diagonally:

$$\mathfrak{I} \simeq (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m-1}) \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.7)$$

In case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s}$, that is, $\tau : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$, we have $\dim \ker \tau = \dim(\mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{h}) = 2p + 1$; and $\dim \text{im } \tau = 2q$ with $p + q = m, p \geq q$. We can assume that $\ker \tau = \mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{h} = [\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{I}]$ is generated by $X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_p, Y_p, Z$, and $\text{im } \tau$ is generated by $\tau(X_{p+1}), \tau(Y_{p+1}), \dots, \tau(X_p), \tau(Y_m)$. As shown in the paper [7], \mathfrak{I} is the central extension by \mathbb{R} of a meta abelian Lie algebra \mathfrak{m} :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2q} \rightarrow 0,$$

with the action of \mathbb{R}^{2q} on \mathbb{R}^{2p} is given by

$$\text{ad}_{X_{p+i}}(X_j) = Y_j, \text{ad}_{X_{p+i}}(Y_j) = -X_j, \text{ad}_{Y_{p+i}}(X_j) = Y_j, \text{ad}_{Y_{p+i}}(Y_j) = -X_j,$$

where $p + q = 2m, i = 1, 2, \dots, q, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Thus we have in this case

$$\mathfrak{I} \simeq \overline{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m+1}. \quad (6.8)$$

In the general case of \mathfrak{a} , that is, $\tau : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, we consider a composition of $\tau_t : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ and $\tau_s : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$. Let \mathfrak{h}_s be a modification of \mathfrak{h} by τ_s . Then, we can define a well defined modification $\overline{\tau}_t : \mathfrak{h}_s \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$ with $\overline{\tau}_t([\mathfrak{h}_s, \mathfrak{h}_s]) = 0$; and the modification by $\tau : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is obtained as the composition $\tau_t \circ \tau_s$. We thus obtain

$$\mathfrak{I} \simeq (\mathbb{R} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m-1}) \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.9)$$

where $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m-1}$ is a modification of \mathfrak{h}_{2m-1} by $\tau_s : \mathfrak{h}_{2m-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$.

Next, we consider the case that \mathfrak{I} is not any modification of \mathfrak{h} . Since $\mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{h} with $\dim \mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{h} \geq m$, which is invariant by the action of $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ in (6.5), we see that \mathfrak{I} must be either $\mathfrak{I}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ or $\mathfrak{I}_1 = (\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m-1}) \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}$; having a basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_{m-1}, Z, U\}$ (or $\{X_1, \dots, X_{m-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_m, Z, U\}$ with the bracket multiplication

$$[X_i, U] = X_i, [Y_j, U] = Y_j, [Z, U] = 2Z, [X_i, Y_j] = -\delta_{i,j}Z;$$

or $\overline{\mathfrak{I}} \simeq (\mathbb{R} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m-1}) \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}$; having a basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_p, Y_1, \dots, Y_{p-1}, Z, U, W_1, \dots, W_{2q}\}$ with $p + q = m$, where $W_j \in \mathfrak{s}$, and $\{X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{p-1}, W_1, \dots, W_{2q}\}$ being a basis of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m-1}$.

The integrability of complex structure on the CR structure \mathcal{D} is preserved under modification (c.f. [7]); and thus CR structures on \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} and those of $\overline{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m+1}$ are isomorphic.

It is not hard to check that neither $(\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{2m-1}) \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}$ nor $(\mathbb{R} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m-1}) \rtimes_{ad} \mathbb{R}$ admits a non-degenerate CR structure. In fact, let ϕ be a contact form and η the Reeb field with $i(\eta)\phi = 1$. We can set $\phi = pu + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j y_j + cz$ and $\eta = pU + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i X_i + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j + cZ$,

where u, x_i, y_j, z be the Maurer-Cartan forms corresponding to U, X_i, Y_j, Z respectively, and $p, a_i, b_j, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $p^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j^2 + c^2 = 1$ and not all a_i, b_j, c are 0. Then we have $i(\eta)d\phi(U) \neq 0$.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathfrak{l} be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(p+1, q+1)$, satisfying the condition (6.1):*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0,$$

where \mathfrak{h}_0 be the isotropy subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . If the radical \mathfrak{r} of \mathfrak{l} in the Levi-decomposition

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{r} \rtimes_{ad} \mathfrak{s}$$

is non-trivial, then \mathfrak{l} must be solvable, i.e. $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{r}$.

Proof. Recall that \mathfrak{g} has the canonical grading:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}^{-2}, \mathfrak{g}^{-1}, \mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{g}^1, \mathfrak{g}^2$ are the subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} consisting of the elements

$$X_{(a,0,0,0,0,0)}, X_{(0,0,0,0,u,0)}, X_{(0,0,\beta,u,0,0)}, X_{(0,0,0,0,0,v)}, X_{(0,b,0,0,0,0)}$$

respectively for the elements of \mathfrak{g} expressed in (6.3):

$$X_{(a,b,\beta,u,u,v)} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & -\sqrt{-1}v^* & b \\ u & \mathbf{u}_{p,q} & v \\ a & \sqrt{-1}\bar{u}^* & -\bar{\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, u, v \in \mathbb{C}^m.$$

We have the grading preserving the bracket multiplication:

$$[\mathfrak{g}^i, \mathfrak{g}^j] = \mathfrak{g}^{i+j},$$

with $-2 \leq i, j \leq 2$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{i+j} = \{0\}$ for $|i+j| > 2$. Note that we have

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1}, \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^0, \mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{g}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}^2.$$

Now, suppose that $\mathfrak{r} \neq \{0\}$. We may assume that \mathfrak{r} is included in the Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} . In case $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$, since \mathfrak{r} is an ideal of \mathfrak{l} , for any element $\bar{V} = V + b \in \mathfrak{l}$ with $V \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, $[\mathfrak{r}, \bar{V}] \subset \mathfrak{r}$; and thus $b \in \mathfrak{a}$. Hence we have $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{b}$; that is, \mathfrak{l} is solvable. In case $\mathfrak{r} \not\subset \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$, take an element $\bar{U} = U + a \in \mathfrak{r}$ with $U \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. For any $\bar{V} = V + b \in \mathfrak{l}$ with $V \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, we have

$$[\bar{U}, \bar{V}] = [U, V] + [a, V] + [U, b] + [a, b],$$

which belongs to $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathfrak{b}$, since \mathfrak{r} is an ideal of \mathfrak{l} . Here, we have $[U, V] \in \mathfrak{g}^{-2}$, $[a, V] \in \mathfrak{g}^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{-1}$, and in order for $[U, b] + [a, b]$ to be in \mathfrak{b} , b must be in \mathfrak{a} , that is, $\bar{V} \in \mathfrak{b}$. Hence we have $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. \square

We have thus shown the main theorem.

Theorem 4. The only Lie algebras which admit Cartan flat non-degenerate CR structures are $\mathfrak{su}(2), \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and \mathfrak{h}_{2m+1} with its modifications $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}_{2m+1}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.V. Alekseevsky, K. Hasegawa, and Y. Kamishima, *Homogeneous Sasaki and Vaisman manifolds of unimodular Lie groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **243** (2021), 83-96.
- [2] A. Andrada, A. Fino and L. Vezzoni, *A class of Sasakian 5-manifolds*, Transform. Groups **14** (2009), 493-512.
- [3] G. Bor and H. Jacobowitz, *Left-invariant CR Structures on 3-dimensional Lie Groups*, Complex Anal. Synerg. **7** (2021), 23.
- [4] D. Burns and S. Shnider, *Spherical hypersurfaces in complex manifolds*, Invent. Math. **33** (1976), 223-246.
- [5] W. M. Boothby and H. C. Wang, *On Contact Manifolds*, Ann. Math. **68** (1958), 721-734.
- [6] E. Cartan, *Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de deux variables complexes I*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **11**, 17-90 (1932); *II*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (2) **1** (1932), 333-354.
- [7] V. Cortés and K. Hasegawa, *Unimodular Sasaki and Vaisman Lie groups*, Math. Z. **303** (2023), 84.
- [8] S.-S. Chern and J. K. Moser, *Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds*, Acta Math, **133** (1974), 219-271.
- [9] J. Hano, *On Kählerian homogeneous spaces of unimodular Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 885-900.
- [10] L. Nirenberg, *Lectures on linear partial differential equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics No. 17, AMS, 1973.
- [11] N. Tanaka, *On non-degenerate real hypersurfaces, graded Lie algebras and Cartan connections*, Japan J. Math. (1) **2** (1976), 131-190.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

Email address: hasegawa@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, IKARASHI-NINO-CHO, NISHI-KU, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN

Email address: hasegawa@ed.niigata-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

Email address: kasuya@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp