

GLOBAL SOLVABILITY FOR THE BOUSSINESQ SYSTEM WITH FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN

HUIYANG ZHANG, SHUOKAI YAN AND QINGHUA ZHANG*

ABSTRACT. This paper focuses on the global solvability for the Boussinesq system with fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ in \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 3$. It proves the existence of a small positive number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha)$ such that for each $0 < T < \infty$, if $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2+n}{4}$ and $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + T^{1/2}\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon$, then the fractional Boussinesq system has a unique strong solution on the bounded interval $[0, T]$. If $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2+n}{6}$ and $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon$, then the fractional Boussinesq system has a unique strong solution on the whole interval $[0, \infty)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper aims at global solvability for the Boussinesq system with fractional power of the negative Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$), i.e.

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mu(-\Delta)^\alpha u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla \pi = \kappa \theta e_n, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \\ \partial_t \theta + \nu(-\Delta)^\beta \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \theta(0, x) = \theta_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Here $u(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), \dots, u_n(x, t))$ denotes the velocity of the flow of a fluid at the place $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the time $t > 0$, while $\theta(x, t)$ and $\pi(x, t)$ represent the temperature (or salinity) and inner pressure of the fluid. By $\theta_0(x)$ and $u_0(x)$ we denote the initial temperature (or salinity) and initial velocity respectively.

There are three physical constants related to the fluid: the proportion coefficient κ , which arises in the vortex buoyancy force $\kappa \theta e_n$, where $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is the unit vertical vector, the viscous index μ and the thermal (or salinity) confusion

Key words and phrases. Boussinesq system; fractional Laplacian; Global solvability .

MSC2000: 35Q30; 76D05.

Address of the Authors: School of Sciences, Nantong University, 6 Seyuan Road, Nantong City 226019, Jiangsu Province, P. R. China.

* *Corresponding author* E-mail: zhangqh@ntu.edu.cn.

number ν . For the sake of simplicity, we always assume that the three constants are all equal to 1.

Here condition $\alpha = \beta$ is assumed, since in this case, Boussinesq system (1.1) is variant under the scaling transformations

$$u_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{2\alpha-1}u(\lambda x, \lambda^{2\alpha}t), \quad \theta_\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{4\alpha-1}\theta(\lambda x, \lambda^{2\alpha}t).$$

Given two function spaces A and B , we say (A, B) is the critical space couple associated with the initial data of (1.1), if the following equivalence relations hold

$$\|(u_0)_\lambda\|_A \approx \|u_0\|_A, \quad \|(\theta_0)_\lambda\|_B \approx \|\theta_0\|_B$$

There are two critical space couples for example, $(\dot{H}^{1-2\alpha+n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \dot{H}^{1-4\alpha+n/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $(\dot{B}_{p,r}^{1-2\alpha+n/p}(\mathbb{R}^n), \dot{B}_{p,r}^{1-4\alpha+n/p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

When $\theta = 0$, system (1.1) reduces to the Navier-Stokes equations with fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\alpha$, or (GNS) in symbol. The well-known result is that (cf. [1]) when $\alpha \geq \frac{n+2}{4}$, (GNS) has a unique global regular solution. As for $\alpha < \frac{n+2}{4}$, the well-posedness for (GNS) reserves only for small data (small norm or small existing time), see [2–4], where global existence and uniqueness of the solutions with small norms in critical homogeneous Besov or Sobolev spaces were investigated.

Return to the Boussinesq systems. Global solvability of the 2D Boussinesq system was addressed in [5–7]. Global well-posedness of the 3D Boussinesq system with partial viscosity ($\nu = 0$) in critical Besov or Lorenz spaces was investigated in [8, 9] where $\alpha = 1$, and in [10] where $\alpha \geq \frac{5}{4}$. For studies on the global solution to the 3D Boussinesq system with axisymmetric data, we refer to [11, 12] etc.

This paper focuses on the global solvability in homogeneous Sobolev spaces for the fractional Boussinesq system (1.1) with full viscosity and $\beta = \alpha$ in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$). By using the characterization of the space $\dot{H}^{s+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and maximal L^2 -regularity of the operator $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ on $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s < \frac{d}{2}$, traditional method of taking L^2 -products in the equations to get an a priori estimates for the solutions is given up here. We will prove that there exists a small positive number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha)$ such that for each $0 < T < \infty$, if $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2+n}{4}$ and $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + T^{1/2}\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon$, then the initial value problem (1.1) has a unique strong solution on the bounded interval $[0, T]$ (see Theorem 3.1). If $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2+n}{6}$ and $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon$, then problem (1.1) has a unique strong solution on the whole interval $[0, \infty)$ (see Theorem 3.2).

2. PRELIMINARIES ON FUNCTION SPACES AND FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN

Let \mathcal{S} be the collection of all rapidly decreasing smooth functions, and \mathcal{S}' be its dual space, whose members are tempered generalised functions. Given a real number

s , the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s := \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by $\{f \in \mathcal{S}' : \mathcal{F}^{-1}|\xi|^s \mathcal{F} \in L^2\}$, where \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} denotes the n -dimensional Fourier transformation and its inverse respectively. For the sake of convenience, here we always use a single sign to denote both the scalar and vector function spaces, and omit the part (\mathbb{R}^n) in their notations.

Suppose $|s| < \frac{n}{2}$, and $p = \frac{2n}{n-2s}$, then we have the following embedding relations (cf. [13, §1.3])

$$(2.1) \quad \dot{H}^s \hookrightarrow L^p \text{ if } 0 \leq s < \frac{n}{2}, \quad L^p \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^s \text{ if } -\frac{n}{2} < s \leq 0.$$

Moreover, if $s < \frac{n}{2}$, then \dot{H}^s has a dense subset in \mathcal{S} , that is \mathcal{S}_0 , whose members have the Fourier transformations vanishing near 0.

Define $\Lambda^s = \mathcal{F}^{-1}|\xi|^s \mathcal{F}$ and $(-\Delta)^\alpha = \Lambda^{2\alpha}$. Given $f \in \mathcal{S}'$, it is easy to see that f lies in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\Lambda^s f \in L^2$. Furthermore, $\|(-\Delta)^\alpha f\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s+2\alpha}}$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the norms $\|\Lambda^k f\|_2$ and $\|\nabla^k f\|_2$ are equivalent. Define a commutator as follows

$$R_s(f, g) = \Lambda^s(fg) - (\Lambda^s f)g - f(\Lambda^s g), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}_0.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([14]). *For any exponents $0 < s, s_1, s_2 < 1$, $1 < p, q, r < +\infty$ verifying $s_1 + s_2 = 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$, there is corresponding a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(2.2) \quad \|R_s(f, g)\|_p \leq C \|\Lambda^{s_1} f\|_q \|\Lambda^{s_2} g\|_r.$$

The following lemma is a corollary of [13, Corollary 2.55]. For the sake of completeness, we give a proof here without use of Besov spaces.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $|s| < \frac{n}{2}$, $\max\{s, 0\} < s_1, s_2 < \frac{n}{2}$ such that $s_1 + s_2 = s + \frac{n}{2}$. Then for all $f \in \dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in \dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $fg \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$(2.3) \quad \|fg\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of f and g .

Proof : We will divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $-\frac{n}{2} < s \leq 0$.

By the embedding inequality (2.2), it comes

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|fg\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2s}} \leq C \|f\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2s_1}} \|g\|_{\frac{2n}{n-2s_2}} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Case 2. $0 < s < n/2$.

If $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\leq C\|\nabla^s(fg)\|_2 \leq C\sum_{j=0}^s \|(\nabla^j f)(\nabla^{s-j}g)\|_2 \leq C\sum_{j=0}^s \|\nabla^j f\|_{\frac{2}{1-\alpha_j}} \|\nabla^{s-j}g\|_{\frac{2}{\alpha_j}} \\ &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^s \|\nabla^j f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}\alpha_j}} \|\nabla^{s-j}g\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}(1-\alpha_j)}} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_j = \frac{2(s_1-j)}{n}$.

If $s \notin \mathbb{N}$, then let $k = [s]$ and $\delta = s - k$. By using (2.2), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\leq \|\nabla^k(fg)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \|(\nabla^j f)(\nabla^{k-j}g)\|_{\dot{H}^\delta} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k [\|R_\delta(\nabla^j f, \nabla^{k-j}g)\|_2 + \|(\Lambda^\delta \nabla^j f)(\nabla^{k-j}g)\|_2 + \|(\nabla^j f)(\Lambda^\delta \nabla^{k-j}g)\|_2] \\ &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^k [\|\Lambda^{\delta\theta_j} \nabla^j f\|_{\frac{2}{1-\theta_j}} \|\Lambda^{\delta(1-\theta_j)} \nabla^{k-j}g\|_{\frac{2}{\theta_j}} \\ &\quad + \|\Lambda^\delta \nabla^j f\|_{\frac{2}{1-\beta_j}} \|\nabla^{k-j}g\|_{\frac{2}{\beta_j}} + \|\nabla^j f\|_{\frac{2}{1-\alpha_j}} \|\Lambda^\delta \nabla^{k-j}g\|_{\frac{2}{\alpha_j}}] \\ &\leq C\sum_{j=0}^k [\|\Lambda^{\delta\theta_j} \nabla^j f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}\theta_j}} \|\Lambda^{\delta(1-\theta_j)} \nabla^{k-j}g\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}(1-\theta_j)}} \\ &\quad + C\|\Lambda^\delta \nabla^j f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}\beta_j}} \|\nabla^{k-j}g\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}(1-\beta_j)}} + C\|\nabla^j f\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}\alpha_j}} \|\Lambda^\delta \nabla^{k-j}g\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{n}{2}(1-\alpha_j)}}] \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_j = \frac{2(s_1-j)}{n+2\delta}$ and $\beta_j = \frac{2(s_1-\delta-j)}{n}$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{4}$ and let $s_0 = 1 + \frac{n}{2} - 2\alpha$. Then for a vector field u and a scalar field f , we can deduce that*

$$(2.4) \quad \|u \cdot \nabla f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|\nabla f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha-1-\varepsilon}} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha-\varepsilon}}.$$

where $0 \leq \varepsilon < \min\{2\alpha - 1, \alpha\}$ and the constant $C > 0$ depends on ε .

Furthermore, if $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, then for the same number ε , it holds

$$(2.5) \quad \|u \cdot \nabla f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}} \leq C\|fu\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha+1}} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\varepsilon}}.$$

Assume further $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{n}{6}$, then (2.5) turns to be

$$(2.6) \quad \|u \cdot \nabla f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-3\alpha}} \leq C\|fu\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-3\alpha+1}} \leq C\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha-\varepsilon}}.$$

Let $s < \frac{n}{2}$, then the family of operators $\{e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{-t|\xi|^{2\alpha}} \mathcal{F}, t \geq 0\}$ defines a C_0 -semigroup on \dot{H}^s , whose infinitesimal generator is $-(-\Delta)^\alpha$ with the domain $D((-\Delta)^\alpha) = \dot{H}^s \cap \dot{H}^{s+2\alpha}$, where $s + 2\alpha$ may be no less than $\frac{n}{2}$. Especially, if

$s = 0$, then $\dot{H}^0 = L^2$ and $D((-\Delta)^\alpha) = H^{2\alpha}$. As for the estimates of the semigroup $\{e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha}\}$, we have

$$\|(-\Delta)^\gamma e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha} f\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \| |\xi|^{s+2\gamma} e^{-t|\xi|^{2\alpha}} \hat{f} \|_2 \leq C t^{-\gamma/\alpha} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ and the constant $C \geq 1$ depends on γ . This shows that $\{e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha}\}$ is a uniformly bounded and analytic semigroup on \dot{H}^s .

For the sake of convenience, we denote $A_\alpha = (-\Delta)^\alpha$ in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *A_α is a BIP type operator in \dot{H}^s , i.e. $A_\alpha^{iy} \in \mathcal{L}(\dot{H}^s)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover,*

$$(2.7) \quad \|A_\alpha^{iy}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\dot{H}^s)} \leq 1, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof : For each $\lambda > 0$, the sum $A_{\alpha,\eta} = \eta I + A_\alpha$ is a positive operator, whose complex power $A_{\alpha,\eta}^z$ with $\text{Re} z < 0$ is defined below

$$A_{\alpha,\eta}^z = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \lambda^z (\lambda I - A_{\alpha,\eta})^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3$, γ_1 and γ_3 are both half-lines from $\infty e^{\kappa i}$ to $\delta e^{\kappa i}$ and from $\delta e^{-\kappa i}$ to $\infty e^{-\kappa i}$ respectively, while γ_2 is a circular arc with the radius δ from $\delta e^{-\kappa i}$ to $\delta e^{\kappa i}$, where $\pi/2 < \kappa < \pi$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ such that the spectrum of $A_{\alpha,\eta}$ is located on the right side of γ . Furthermore, the function λ^z takes the prime-value.

Given $f \in L^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(A_{\alpha,\eta}^z f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \lambda^z (\lambda + \eta + |\xi|^{2\alpha})^{-1} \mathcal{F} f d\lambda = (\eta + |\xi|^{2\alpha})^z \mathcal{F} f,$$

thus

$$A_{\alpha,\eta}^z f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\eta + |\xi|^{2\alpha})^z \mathcal{F} f].$$

As a straight consequence, it follows that

$$A_{\alpha,\eta}^{iy} = A_{\alpha,\eta} A_{\alpha,\eta}^{-1+iy} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\eta + |\xi|^{2\alpha})^{it} \mathcal{F} f].$$

Since $|(\eta + |\xi|^{2\alpha})^{it}| \leq 1$ for all $\eta > 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that $A_{\alpha,\eta}^{iy}$ is an L^2 -multiplier, and $\|A_{\alpha,\eta}^{iy}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq 1$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, which in turn yields

$$(2.8) \quad \|A_{\alpha,\eta}^{iy}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\dot{H}^s)} = \|A_{\alpha,\eta}^{iy}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2)} \leq 1, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that A_α is an injective operator with $D(A_\alpha)$ and $R(A_\alpha)$ both dense in \dot{H}^s , from [15, Theorem 7.64 & Proposition 7.47], we can deduce that $A_\alpha^{iy} \in \mathcal{L}(\dot{H}^s)$, and $A_\alpha^{iy} = s - \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} A_{\alpha,\eta}^{iy}$, which combined with (2.8), leads to the desired estimates (2.7). \square

Now by invoking [16], we conclude that $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ has the L^2 -maximal regularity on $[0, T]$ for all $0 < T \leq \infty$, i.e.

Proposition 2.5. *For all $f \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^s)$, there is a unique solution u to the following Cauchy problem*

$$(2.9) \quad \partial_t w + (-\Delta)^\alpha w = f(t) \text{ for a.e. } t > 0, \quad w(0) = 0$$

such that $w \in L(0, T; \dot{H}^{s+2\alpha})$, $w' \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^s)$ and

$$(2.10) \quad \|\partial_t w\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^s)} + \|w\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s+2\alpha})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^s)},$$

where $\partial_t w$ is the derivative of w w.r.t. t in the sense of distribution, $\|w\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s+2\alpha})} = \|(-\Delta)^\alpha w\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^s)}$, and the constant $C > 0$ is independent of T and f .

Remark 2.6. By means of Fourier transformation, one can easily check that the unique solution to (2.9) can be represented by

$$w(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)(-\Delta)^\alpha} f(\tau) d\tau.$$

Let $s + \alpha < \frac{n}{2}$, then for $w \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s+2\alpha})$ with $\partial_t w \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^s)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\alpha}}^2 = 2(\Lambda^s \partial_t w, \Lambda^{s+2\alpha} w(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0, T),$$

from which we can deduce that if $w(0) \in \dot{H}^{s+\alpha}$, then w is bounded and uniformly continuous on $[0, T)$ in $\dot{H}^{s+\alpha}$, or $w \in BUC([0, T); \dot{H}^{s+\alpha})$ in symbol, and

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T)} \|w(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\alpha}}^2 \leq (\|w(0)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\alpha}}^2 + 2\|w\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s+2\alpha})} \|\partial_t w\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^s)}).$$

Direct calculation also shows that $a \in \dot{H}^{s+\alpha}$ if and only if $\|(-\Delta)^\alpha e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha} a\|_{\dot{H}^s} \in L^2(0, \infty)$, and

$$(2.12) \quad \|a\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\alpha}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \|(-\Delta)^\alpha e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha} a\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 dt.$$

Therefore by the analyticity of $e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha}$, we can deduce that

Proposition 2.7. *For each $a \in \dot{H}^{s+\alpha}$, the function $a_L := e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha} a$ is the strong solution to the problem*

$$\partial_t v + (-\Delta)^\alpha v = 0, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad v(0) = a.$$

Moreover, we have $a_L \in BUC([0, \infty); \dot{H}^{s+\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s+2\alpha})$ such that $\partial_t a_L \in L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^s)$, and

$$(2.13) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|a_L(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\alpha}} + \|a_L\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s+2\alpha})} + \|\partial_t a_L\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^s)} \leq 3\|a\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\alpha}}.$$

3. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF GLOBAL STRONG SOLUTION

Given $s < n/2$, denote \dot{H}_σ^s by the collection of all solenoidal vector fields whose components lie in \dot{H}^s . Introduce two operators Q and P on the space \dot{H}^s of vector type as follows

$$Qu = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}(\nabla \cdot u) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{i\xi}{|\xi|^2}\xi \cdot \mathcal{F}u\right) \text{ and } Pu = u - Qu.$$

Note that $|\xi_j \xi_k|/|\xi|^2 \leq 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and all $k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we see that P, Q are both L^2 - and consequently \dot{H}^s -multipliers, and $\|Qu\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s}$, $\|Pu\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s}$. We can also check that, $Pu \in \dot{H}_\sigma^s$ and $(Pu, Qu)_{\dot{H}^s} = 0$ for all $u \in \dot{H}^s$, and $P^2 = P$, $Q^2 = Q$. Based on these properties, we can derive the equality $\dot{H}_\sigma^s = P\dot{H}^s$ and the Helmholtz decomposition: $\dot{H}^s = \dot{H}_\sigma^s \oplus Q\dot{H}^s$. Here $P : \dot{H}^s \rightarrow \dot{H}_\sigma^s$ is call the Helmholtz projection. It is commutative with $(-\Delta)^\alpha$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $s_i < n/2$, $i = 1, 2$, $(u_0, \theta_0) \in \dot{H}_\sigma^{s_1} \times \dot{H}^{s_2}$ and $0 < T \leq \infty$. We say the function triple $(u, \nabla\pi, \theta)$ is a strong solution to the initial value problem of Boussinesq system (1.1) on $[0, T)$, if $u \in C([0, T]; \dot{H}_\sigma^{s_1}) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_1+\alpha})$, $\nabla\pi \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_1-\alpha})$ and $\theta \in C([0, T]; \dot{H}^{s_2}) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^1(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_2+\alpha})$ such that $\partial_t u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_1-\alpha})$, $\partial_t \theta \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_2-\alpha})$, and

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mu(-\Delta)^\alpha u + (u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla\pi = \theta e_n \text{ in } \dot{H}^{s_1-\alpha}, \\ \partial_t \theta + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0 \text{ in } \dot{H}^{s_2-\alpha} \end{cases}$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T)$, and $u(0) = u_0$, $\theta(0) = \theta_0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2+n}{4}$. Then there is a small number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha) > 0$ such that for every $0 < T < \infty$, if $(u_0, \theta_0) \in \dot{H}_\sigma^{s_0} \times \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}$ verifying*

$$(3.2) \quad \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + T^{1/2}\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

then on the interval $[0, T]$, the initial value problem (1.1) has a unique strong solution $(u, \nabla\pi, \theta)$, up to an additional constant to π . This solution lies in the space $X_T \times L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}) \times Y_T$ and verifies the following estimates

$$\|u\|_{X_T} + \|\nabla\pi\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} + T^{1/2}\|\theta\|_{Y_T} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + T^{1/2}\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}})$$

for some constant constant $C > 0$ independent of u_0 , θ_0 and T .

Furthermore, uniqueness of the strong solution to (1.1) in $X_T \times L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}) \times Y_T$ holds true without the initial assumption (3.2).

Proof : Given $0 < T < \infty$, we introduce two function spaces

$$X_T = \{u \in C([0, T]; \dot{H}_\sigma^{s_0}) \cap L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha}) : \partial_t u \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})\},$$

$$Y_T = \{\theta \in C([0, T]; \dot{H}^{s_0 - \alpha}) \cap L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0}) : \partial_t \theta \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0 - 2\alpha})\}$$

with the norms defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X_T} &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0 + \alpha})} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0 - \alpha})}, \\ \|\theta\|_{Y_T} &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0 - \alpha}} + \|\theta\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0})} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0 - 2\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

This proof will be divided into two parts.

(1) *Existence part.*

We first search for the strong solution of the following abstract evolution equations

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \mu(-\Delta)^\alpha u + P(u \cdot \nabla u) = P(\theta e_n) & \text{in } \dot{H}^{s_0 - \alpha}, \text{ a.e. } t > 0, \\ \partial_t \theta + \nu(-\Delta)^\alpha \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta = 0 & \text{in } \dot{H}^{s_0 - 2\alpha}, \text{ a.e. } t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \theta(0) = \theta_0. \end{cases}$$

From Proposition 2.5, 2.7, we see that every solution of (3.3) also solves the integral equations

$$(3.4) \quad u = u_L + L(\theta) + \Phi(u, u), \quad \theta = \theta_L + \Psi(u, \theta),$$

where $u_L = e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha} u_0$, $\theta_L = e^{-t(-\Delta)^\alpha} \theta_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)(-\Delta)^\alpha} P(\theta e_n) d\tau, \\ \Phi(u, v) &= - \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)(-\Delta)^\alpha} P(u \cdot \nabla v) d\tau, \\ \Psi(u, \theta) &= - \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)(-\Delta)^\alpha} u \cdot \nabla \theta d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By taking (2.13) into account, we have $u_L \in X_T$, $\theta_L \in Y_T$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \|u_L\|_{X_T} \leq 3\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}, \quad \|\theta_L\|_{Y_T} \leq 3\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0 - \alpha}}.$$

Besides, for all $u, v \in X_T$ and $\theta \in Y_T$, by employing the inequalities (2.4), (2.5) together with the estimate (2.10), we can deduce that $L(\theta) \in X_T$, $\Phi(u, v) \in X_T$ and $\Psi(u, \theta) \in Y_T$, and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|L(\theta)\|_{X_T} &\leq C\|P(\theta e_n)\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0 - \alpha})} \leq k_1 T^{1/2} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0 - \alpha}} \\ &\leq k_1 T^{1/2} \|\theta\|_{Y_T}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Phi(u, v)\|_{X_T} &\leq C\|P(u \cdot \nabla v)\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0 - \alpha})} \\ &\leq k_2 \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} \|v\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0 + \alpha})} \leq k_2 \|u\|_{X_T} \|v\|_{X_T}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad \|\Psi(u, \theta)\|_{Y_T} &\leq C \|u \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha})} \\
&\leq k_3 \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} \|\theta\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0})} \leq k_3 \|u\|_{X_T} \|\theta\|_{Y_T},
\end{aligned}$$

where the constants $k_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are all independent of T , u , v and θ .

Letting $\|\theta\|'_{Y_T} = 2k_1 T^{1/2} \|\theta\|_{Y_T}$, estimates (3.6) and (3.8) turn to be

$$\|L(\theta)\|_{X_T} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|'_{Y_T} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Psi(u, \theta)\|_{Y_T'} \leq k_3 \|u\|_{X_T} \|\theta\|'_{Y_T}.$$

Thus if we assume

$$(3.9) \quad K_0 := \|u_L\|_{X_T} + \|\theta_L\|'_{Y_T} \leq \frac{1}{32(k_2 + k_3)}$$

and take

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 16K_0(k_2 + k_3)}}{4(k_2 + k_3)},$$

the first root of the quadratic equation $(k_2 + k_3)\lambda^2 - \lambda/2 + K_0 = 0$, then by invoking [17, Lemma 5.1], we can deduce that the integral equations (3.4) have a unique solution $(u, \theta) \in X_T \times Y_T$ obeying the following estimate

$$(3.10) \quad \|u\|_{X_T} + 2k_1 T^{1/2} \|\theta\|_{Y_T} \leq \lambda_1.$$

Note that with neglect of the difference between scalar and vector-valued functions, $L(\theta)$, $\Phi(u, v)$ and $\Psi(u, \theta)$ are all solutions of (2.9) with $f = P(\theta e_n)$, $-P(u \cdot \nabla u)$ and $u \cdot \nabla \theta$ respectively. Hence the function couple (u, θ) are exactly the strong solution of the Cauchy problem (3.3).

Now taking (3.5) into account, we conclude that under the assumption

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + 2k_1 T^{1/2} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{96(k_2 + k_3)},$$

hypothesis (3.9) is fulfilled, consequently (3.3) admits a strong solution (u, θ) in $X_T \times Y_T$. Additionally, using (3.5) again, estimate (3.10) becomes

$$(3.11) \quad \|u\|_{X_T} + 2k_1 T^{1/2} \|\theta\|_{Y_T} \leq \lambda_1 \leq 4K_0 \leq 12(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + 2k_1 T^{1/2} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}}).$$

Let $\nabla \pi = Q(\theta e_n - u \cdot \nabla u)$, then we have $\nabla \pi \in L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla \pi\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} &\leq \|\theta e_n\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} + \|u \cdot \nabla u\|_{L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|'_{Y_T} + k_2 \|u\|_{X_T}^2 \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{2} + k_2 \lambda_1^2 \\
&\leq \lambda_1 \leq 12(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + 2k_1 T^{1/2} \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}}).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the function triple $(u, \nabla \pi, \theta)$ verifies both the equations of (3.1) in $\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}$ and $\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Thus existence of the strong solution of (1.1) has been reached.

(2) *Uniqueness part.*

Assume that $(u_1, \nabla\pi_1, \theta_1)$ and $(u_2, \nabla\pi_2, \theta_2)$ are two solutions of the system (1.1). Consider the differences $\delta u = u_1 - u_2$, $\nabla\delta\pi = \nabla\pi_1 - \nabla\pi_2$ and $\delta\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. Then the function triple $(\delta u, \nabla\delta\pi, \delta\theta)$ is the strong solution of the homogeneous system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + (-\Delta)^\alpha w + \nabla\varpi = \vartheta e_n - u_1 \cdot \nabla w - w \cdot \nabla u_2 & \text{in } \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}, \text{ a.e. } t > 0, \\ \partial_t \vartheta + \nu(-\Delta)^\beta \vartheta = -u_1 \cdot \nabla \vartheta - w \cdot \nabla \theta_2 & \text{in } \dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}, \text{ a.e. } t > 0, \\ w(0) = 0, \vartheta(0, x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, the function couple $(\delta u, \delta\theta)$ solves the following system

$$(3.12) \quad w = L(\vartheta) + \Phi(u_1, w) + \Phi(w, u_2), \quad \vartheta = \Psi(u_1, \vartheta) + \Psi(w, \vartheta_2).$$

With the aid of (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), together with (2.4), we can derive from (3.12) that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\delta u\|_{X_t} &\leq k_1 T^{1/2} \|\delta\theta\|_{Y_t} + k_2 \|\delta u\|_{X_t} \|u_2\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})} \\ &+ C \left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|\delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha-\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^2(0,t)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \|\delta\theta\|_{Y_t} \leq k_3 \|\delta u\|_{X_t} \|\theta_2\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0})} + C \left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|\delta\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^2(0,t)}.$$

By means of interpolations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|\delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha-\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^2(0,t)} \\ &\leq \left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha}}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha}}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \right\|_{L^2(0,t)} \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|u_1(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta u(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \cdot \|u_1\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta u\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \\ &\leq \|u_1\|_{X_T}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta u\|_{X_t}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|\delta\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^2(0,t)} \\ &\leq \left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha}}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \right\|_{L^2(0,t)} \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \|u_1(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta\theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \cdot \|u_1\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta\theta\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0})}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \\ &\leq \|u_1\|_{X_T}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta\theta\|_{Y_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|u_i\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})} = o(1)$ and $\|\theta_i\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0})} = o(1)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, $i = 1, 2$, we can find a small number $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$k_2 \|u_2\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})} + k_3 \|\theta_2\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0})} + C \|u_1\|_{X_T}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Putting them into (3.13) and (3.14), we can deduce that

$$\|\delta u\|_{X_{t_0}} = \|\delta\theta\|_{Y_{t_0}} = 0.$$

Using t_0 as the new initial time, and performing the same arguments, we can find another time $t_1 > t_0$ such that

$$\|\delta u\|_{X_{t_1}} = \|\delta u(\cdot + t_0)\|_{X_{t_1-t_0}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\delta\theta\|_{X_{t_1}} = \|\delta\theta(\cdot + t_0)\|_{X_{t_1-t_0}} = 0.$$

Thus based on the connection of $[0, T]$ and continuity of the norms $\|u_i\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})}$ and $\|\theta_i\|_{L^2(0,t;\dot{H}^{s_0})}$ w.r.t. t , and by means of iteration, we can eventually prove that $\delta u = 0$ in X_T and $\delta\theta = 0$ in Y_T . Additionally, since

$$\nabla\delta\pi = Q(\delta\theta e_n - u_1 \cdot \nabla\delta u - \delta u \cdot \nabla u_2)$$

we obtain $\nabla\delta\pi = 0$ in $L^2(0, T; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})$. Thus uniqueness of the strong solution has been reached. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{1}{3} + \frac{n}{6}$. Then there is a small number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha) > 0$ such that if the initial data $(u_0, \theta_0) \in \dot{H}_\sigma^{s_0} \times \dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}$, and*

$$(3.15) \quad \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

the initial value problem (1.1) has a unique global strong solution $(u, \nabla\pi, \theta)$ in the class $X \times L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}) \times Y$, and

$$\|u\|_X + \|\nabla\pi\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} + \|\theta\|_Y \leq C(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}}),$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of u_0 and θ_0 .

Furthermore, uniqueness of the strong solution to (1.1) in $X \times L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}) \times Y$ holds true without the initial assumption (3.15).

Proof : Introduce other two function spaces

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{u \in BUC([0, \infty); \dot{H}_\sigma^{s_0}) \cap L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha}) : \partial_t u \in L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})\}, \\ Y &= \{\theta \in BUC([0, \infty); \dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}) \cap L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}) : \partial_t \theta \in L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-3\alpha})\}, \end{aligned}$$

whose norms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_X &= \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|u\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})}, \\ \|\theta\|_Y &= \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}} + \|\theta\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-3\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_L\|_X &\leq 3\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}, \quad \|\theta_L\|_Y \leq 3\|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}}, \\ \|L(\theta)\|_X &\leq C\|P(\theta e_n)\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} \leq k_1\|\theta\|_Y, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\Phi(u, v)\|_X \leq k_2 \|u\|_X \|v\|_X,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(u, \theta)\|_Y &\leq C \|u \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-3\alpha})} \\ &\leq k_3 \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} \|\theta\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} \\ &\leq k_3 \|u\|_X \|\theta\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Based on these renewed estimates, we can also conclude that if

$$\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + 2k_1 \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{96(k_2 + k_3)},$$

then

$$K_0 := \|u_L\|_X + 2k_1 \|\theta_L\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{32(k_2 + k_3)},$$

consequently the abstract equations system (3.4) has a unique solution $(u, \theta) \in X \times Y$, which is exact the strong solution of (3.3) on the whole interval $[0, \infty)$, where $\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}$ is replaced by $\dot{H}^{s_0-3\alpha}$. Besides, similar to (3.11), we obtain

$$\|u\|_X + 2k_1 \|\theta\|_Y \leq 4K_0 \leq 12(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + 2k_1 \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}}).$$

Moreover, the function $\nabla \pi = Q(\theta e_n - u \cdot \nabla u) \in L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})$ such that $(u, \nabla \pi, \theta)$ fulfills both the equations of (3.1) in $\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}$ and $\dot{H}^{s_0-3\alpha}$ for a.e. $t \in [0, \infty)$, and

$$\|\nabla \pi\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} \leq 12(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + 2k_1 \|\theta_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}}).$$

Thus existence part the proof has been completed.

Uniqueness part of the proof is much similar that in the proof of Theorem 3.1, with the minor differences

$$\|\delta \theta\|_{Y_t} \leq k_3 \|\delta u\|_{X_t} \|\theta_2\|_{L^2(0, t; \dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha})} + C \left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|\delta \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha-\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^2(0, t)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\varepsilon}} \|\delta \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha-\varepsilon}} \right\|_{L^2(0, t)} \\ &\leq \left\| \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha}}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-2\alpha}}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-\alpha}}^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \right\|_{L^2(0, t)} \\ &\leq \|u_1\|_X^{1-\varepsilon/\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^2(0, t; \dot{H}^{s_0+\alpha})}^{\varepsilon/\alpha} \|\delta \theta\|_{Y_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Other inequalities and derivations are omitted here. \square

Remark 3.3. With slight modification on the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can also derive that under the assumption $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2+n}{4}$, if $u_0 \in \dot{H}_\sigma^{s_0}$ with $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} \leq \varepsilon$ for

some small number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \alpha) > 0$, the initial value problem of the Navier-Stokes equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^\alpha u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla \pi = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

has a unique strong solution $u \in X$ verifying $\|u\|_X \leq C \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}}$ for some the constant $C > 0$ independent of u_0 . This is exactly the result derived in [2] (where restriction $\alpha > 1/2$ should be supplied). In this sense, results obtained here can be viewed as the extension of [2] to the Boussinesq system. Considering that here both the viscosity numbers μ, ν are not null, our investigations are also useful supplements to the work [10].

Declarations

Competing interests The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Authors' contributions Qinghua Zhang: Conceptualization, Methodology and Formal analysis. Huiyang Zhang: Writing-Original draft preparation. Shuokai Yan: Writing-Reviewing and Editing. All authors reviewed and supported the final version of the manuscript.

Funding This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Lions, *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires*, Vol 1. Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [2] N. Duan, Well-posedness and decay of solutions for three dimensional generalized Navier-Stokes equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 76 (2018) 1026-1033.
- [3] Z-M. Chen, Analytic semigroup approach to generalized Navier-Stokes flows in Besov spaces, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 19 (2017) 709-724.
- [4] J. Wu, Lower bounds for an integral involving fractional Laplacians and the generalized Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 263 (2005) 803-831.
- [5] H. Abidi, T. Hmidi, On the global well-posedness for Boussinesq system, *J. Differential Equations* 233 (2007) 199-220.
- [6] R. Danchin, M. Paicu, Global existence results for the Boussinesq system in dimension two, *Math. Models and Methods Appl. Sci.* 21 (2011), 421-457.

- [7] C. Miao, L. Xue, L. On the global well-posedness of a class of Boussinesq-Navier-Stokes system, *Nonlinear Diff. Eq. Appl.* 18 (2011), 707-735.
- [8] R. Danchin, M. Paicu, Les théorèmes de Leray et de Fujita-Kato pour le système de Boussinesq partiellement visqueux (The Leray and Fujita-Kato theorems for the Boussinesq system with partial viscosity), *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 136 (2) (2008), 261-309.
- [9] R. Danchin, M. Paicu, Existence and uniqueness results for the Boussinesq system with data in Lorentz spaces, *Phys. D* 237 (10-12) (2008), 1444-1460.
- [10] Q-S. Jiu, H. Yu, Global Well-posedness for 3D generalized Navier-Stokes-Boussinesq equations, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series* 32 (2016), 1-16.
- [11] T. Hmidi, F. Rousset, Global well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes-Boussinesq system with axisymmetric data, *Ann. I. H. Poincaré- AN* 27 (2010) 1227-1246.
- [12] C. Miao, X. Zheng, Global well-posedness for axisymmetric Boussinesq system with horizontal viscosity, *J. Math. Pure Appl.* 101 (2014), 842-872.
- [13] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, Fourier analysis methods for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Handbook of Mathematical Analysis in Mechanics of Viscous Fluids*, vol 343, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [14] C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 46 (1993), no. 4, 527-620.
- [15] C. Martínez, M. Sanz, *The Theory of Fractional Powers of Operators*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 187. Elsevier Science, 2001
- [16] Y. Giga, H. Sohr, Abstract L^p estimates for the Cauchy problem with applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains, *J. Func. Anal.* 102 (1991), 72-94.
- [17] L. Brandolese, M. E. Schonbek, Large time decay and growth for solutions of a viscous Boussinesq system, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 5057-5090.