

# Constant-roll inflation with non-minimally derivative coupling

Jie Liu\*

*School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, China*

Yungui Gong†

*Department of Physics, School of Physical Science and Technology,  
Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, China and*

*School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China*

Zhu Yi‡

*Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Zhuhai 519087, China and  
Advanced Institute of Natural Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Zhuhai 519087, China*

We investigate the constant-roll inflation with non-minimally kinetic coupling to the Einstein tensor. With the slow-roll parameter  $\eta_\phi = -\ddot{\phi}/(H\dot{\phi})$  being a constant, we calculate the power spectra for scalar and tensor perturbations, and derive the expressions for the scalar spectral tilt  $n_s$ , the tensor spectral tilt  $n_T$ , and the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$ . We find that the expressions for  $n_s$  are different with different ordering of taking the derivative of the scalar power spectrum with respect to the scale  $k$  and the horizon crossing condition  $c_s k = aH$  in the constant-roll inflation, the consistency relation  $r = -8n_T$  does not hold if  $|\eta_\phi|$  is not small, and the duality of the tensor-to-scalar ratio between the slow-roll inflation and ultra-slow-roll inflation does not exist in inflationary models with non-minimally derivative coupling. The result offers a fresh perspective on the understanding of the inflationary models with non-minimally derivative coupling and is helpful for the production of scalar induced gravitational waves in the framework of ultra-slow-roll inflation with non-minimally derivative coupling.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation, characterized by an exponential expansion of the Universe during its early stages, was proposed to address issues in standard Big Bang cosmology, including the horizon, flatness, and monopole problems [1–5]. This expansion is typically driven by a scalar field known as the inflaton, where the simplest model is the canonical inflaton field with a flat potential. Utilizing the Higgs field, the only detected scalar field in the Standard Model of particle physics, as the inflaton leads to a conflict with observations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation due to the large prediction of the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$ . To overcome it, inflation models with the field non-minimally coupled to gravity have been proposed [6–8]. One notable model is the new Higgs inflation model with the kinetic term non-minimally derivative coupling to the Einstein tensor, represented by  $G^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi/M^2$  [7, 9]. Under the general relativity (GR) limit  $F = H^2/M^2 = 0$ , this model becomes the canonical situation conflicting with the observations, while under the high friction limit  $F \gg 1$ , the tensor-to-scalar ratio is 1/3 of that in the canonical Higgs inflation, aligning with the observational data [9, 10]. Furthermore, the effective self-coupling  $\lambda$  of the Higgs boson in this model can be lowered to the order of unity without introducing a new degree of freedom

[7, 9]. For more works about the inflation model with non-minimally derivative coupling, see Refs. [11–25].

Apart from the slow-roll inflation scenario, the constant-roll inflation scenario, where one slow-roll parameter remains constant and need not be small, can also yield predictions consistent with observational data [26–28]. The characteristics of primordial perturbations in constant-roll inflation can differ significantly from those in slow-roll inflation. For instance, in the canonical constant-roll inflation with a constant  $\eta_\phi = -\ddot{\phi}/(H\dot{\phi})$  and  $\eta_\phi > 3/2$ , scalar perturbations outside the horizon continue to evolve [27]. Additionally, the power spectrum of scalar perturbations can exhibit sharp enhancements at small scales in ultra-slow-roll inflation with  $\eta_\phi \approx 3$ , potentially leading to the formation of primordial black holes and inducing secondary gravitational waves [29–41]. Despite the differences in the evolution of perturbations between ultra-slow-roll inflation  $\eta_\phi = 3 - \alpha$  and slow-roll inflation  $\eta_\phi = \alpha$  in the canonical inflation model [42], if we neglect the contribution from  $\epsilon_H$  to the scalar spectral tilt  $n_s$ , the predictions for the scalar spectral tilt  $n_s$  and tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$  are the same [43, 44]. This indicates the existence of a duality in constant-roll inflation models with large and small  $\eta_\phi$ . For more about the constant-roll inflation, please refer to Refs. [45–66].

In this paper, we research the constant-roll inflation model with non-minimally derivative coupling. We calculate the power spectrum of scalar and tensor fluctuations using the Bessel function method and derive expressions for the scalar spectral tilt  $n_s$ , the tensor spectral tilt  $n_T$ , and the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$ . Additionally, we explore the dual between the ultra-slow-roll inflation and slow-

\* liujie@hainnu.edu.cn

† gongyungui@nbu.edu.cn

‡ Corresponding author. yz@bnu.edu.cn

roll inflation. The organization of this paper is as follows: Section II presents the background evolution and defines the slow-roll parameters. Section III calculates the power spectrum for scalar and tensor perturbations using the Bessel function method within the constant-roll condition. Section IV explores the dual behavior between ultra-slow-roll inflation and slow-roll inflation. Finally, section V draws the conclusions.

## II. INFLATION WITH NON-MINIMALLY DERIVATIVE COUPLING

In this section, we derive the background evolution, define the slow-roll parameters, and discuss the general formulae for the the scalar and tensor spectral for the inflationary models with non-minimally derivative coupling.

### A. The background

The action for the inflationary model with the non-minimal derivative coupling is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ M_{pl}^2 R - g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{M^2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - 2V(\phi) \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $M_{pl} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi G}$  is the reduced planck mass,  $R$  is the Ricci scalar,  $\phi$  is the scalar field,  $G_{\mu\nu}$  is the Einstein tensor,  $V(\phi)$  is the potential, and  $M^2$  is the coupling parameter with the dimension of energy. For the homogeneous and isotropic background with the flat Friedmann–Robertson–Walker (FRW) metric, the background equations are [10]

$$H^2 = \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{3M_{pl}^2} \left[ \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} (1 + 9F) + V(\phi) \right], \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ a^3 \dot{\phi} (1 + 3F) \right] = -a^3 \frac{dV}{d\phi}, \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{H} - \frac{\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}}{M^2 M_{pl}^2} H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{M^2 M_{pl}^2} \dot{H} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{M^2 M_{pl}^2} H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{M_{pl}^2}, \quad (4)$$

where  $F = H^2/M^2$  and an over-dot means the derivative with respect to the cosmic time  $t$ .

In this paper, we define the slow-roll parameters as

$$\epsilon_H = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \quad \eta_H = -\frac{\ddot{H}}{2H\dot{H}}, \quad \eta_\phi = -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}}. \quad (5)$$

In the canonical inflation models, the slow-roll parameters satisfy  $\eta_H = \eta_\phi$ , a condition not met in the inflation models with non-minimal derivative coupling. By using

the slow-roll parameters (5), the background equation (4) can be rewritten as

$$-\epsilon_H + 2\eta_\phi Q = -\epsilon_H Q - 3Q - Q/F, \quad (6)$$

where the definition of  $Q$  is

$$Q = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2M^2 M_{pl}^2}. \quad (7)$$

Solving the background equation (6), we get

$$Q = \frac{F\epsilon_H}{b + F\epsilon_H}, \quad (8)$$

where  $b = 1 + 3F + 2\eta_\phi F$ .

For later use, we also give the following relation,

$$\dot{\epsilon}_H = 2H(\epsilon_H - \eta_H)\epsilon_H, \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{F} = -2HF\epsilon_H, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \frac{1}{aH} \right) = -1 + \epsilon_H, \quad (11)$$

where the conformal time  $\tau$  is related to the cosmic time  $t$  by  $dt = a d\tau$ .

### B. The perturbations

In the uniform field gauge  $\delta\phi(x, t) = 0$ , the action for the primordial scalar perturbation  $\zeta$  is [10, 11, 67]

$$S_{\zeta^2} = \int dt d^3x M_{pl}^2 a^3 \left\{ \frac{\Sigma}{\bar{H}^2} \dot{\zeta}^2 - \frac{\theta_s}{a^2} (\partial_i \zeta)^2 \right\}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\bar{H} = \frac{H(1-3Q)}{1-Q}, \quad \Sigma = QM^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{3F(1+3Q)}{(1-Q)} \right], \quad (13)$$

and

$$\theta_s = \frac{1}{a} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{a}{\bar{H}} (1-Q) \right] - 1 - Q. \quad (14)$$

By the variation principle, we can derive the equation of motion governing the primordial perturbation  $\zeta$ ; and in the Fourier space, we get the Mukhanov-Sasaki equation

$$v_k'' + \left( c_s^2 k^2 - \frac{z''}{z} \right) v_k = 0, \quad (15)$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the conformal time  $\tau$ , the effective sound speed is  $c_s^2 = \bar{H}^2 \theta_s / \Sigma$ , the canonically normalized field is  $v = z\zeta$ , and

$$z = aM_{pl} \frac{\sqrt{2\Sigma}}{\bar{H}}. \quad (16)$$

By using the slow-roll parameters (5) and equation (6), we have

$$c_s^2 = 1 - \frac{2\epsilon_H F(1+7F+6F\eta_\phi)}{(1+3F)^2+2F(1+3F)\eta_\phi+12\epsilon_H F^2} - \frac{8\epsilon_H^2 F^3}{b[b+3F(b+4F\epsilon_H)]}, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z''}{z} = & a^2 H^2 \left[ 2 + 3\eta_H - 4\epsilon_H - 6\eta_\phi - \eta_\phi(2\epsilon_H - 2\eta_H + \eta_\phi) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\eta_H - \eta_\phi}{F} \right] + \frac{a^2 H^2 b \epsilon_H (3 - 2\eta_\phi + 3\epsilon_H - 2\eta_H)}{b + 3F(b + 4F\epsilon_H)} \\ & + \frac{8a^2 H^2 F \epsilon_H m (b + F\epsilon_H)^2}{b^2 (b - 2F\epsilon_H) (b + 4F\epsilon_H)} \\ & + \frac{48a^2 H^2 F^2 \epsilon_H^2 \eta_\phi^2 (b + F\epsilon_H)}{b(b - 2F\epsilon_H)^2} \\ & + \frac{48a^2 H^2 F^3 \eta_\phi^2 \epsilon_H^3 (b + F\epsilon_H)}{b^2 (b + 4F\epsilon_H)^2} \\ & - \frac{a^2 H^2 \epsilon_H^2 [4F\eta_\phi (b + F\epsilon_H) + b(b + 4F\epsilon_H)]^2}{(b + 4F\epsilon_H)^2 [b + 3F(b + 4F\epsilon_H)]^2} \\ & - \frac{4a^2 H^2 F \epsilon_H (b + F\epsilon_H)}{b + 3F(b + 4F\epsilon_H)} \left[ \frac{2\eta_\phi \epsilon_H}{b - 2F\epsilon_H} + \frac{\eta_H - \eta_\phi}{F(b + 4F\epsilon_H)} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{3(\eta_H - \epsilon_H - 2\eta_\phi) - 2\eta_\phi(2\epsilon_H - \eta_\phi - \eta_H)}{b + 4F\epsilon_H} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{4F\epsilon_H \eta_\phi^2 (b - 2F\epsilon_H)}{b(b + 4F\epsilon_H)^2} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{8F\eta_\phi^2 \epsilon_H (b + F\epsilon_H)}{b(b - 2F\epsilon_H)(b + 4F\epsilon_H)} \right], \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$m = 2\eta_\phi(\eta_\phi + \epsilon_H) + 4F\eta_\phi^2(\eta_H + \eta_\phi) + (1 + 3F) \times \left[ 3(\eta_H - \epsilon_H - 2\eta_\phi) - 2\eta_\phi(2\epsilon_H + \eta_\phi - 2\eta_H) + \frac{\eta_H - \eta_\phi}{F} \right]. \quad (19)$$

The definition of the power spectrum of the scalar perturbations is

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |\zeta_k|^2, \quad (20)$$

and the scalar spectral tilt  $n_s$  is defined by [68]

$$n_s - 1 = \left. \frac{d \ln \mathcal{P}_\zeta}{d \ln k} \right|_{aH=\text{const}}. \quad (21)$$

For the tensor perturbation, the quadratic action is [10, 67]

$$S = \int d^3x dt \frac{M_{pl}^2 a^3}{8} \left[ (1-Q)\dot{\gamma}_{ij}^2 - \frac{1}{a^2}(1+Q)(\partial_l \gamma_{ij})^2 \right]. \quad (22)$$

The Mukhanov-Sasaki equation for tensor perturbation is

$$u_k^{s''} + \left( c_t^2 k^2 - \frac{z_t''}{z_t} \right) u_k^s = 0. \quad (23)$$

Here,  $u^s = z_t \gamma^s$ , the label  $s = \{+, \times\}$ , denotes the polarization of the tensor perturbations, and

$$\gamma_{ij} = \sum_{s=+, \times} e_{ij}^s \gamma^s, \quad (24)$$

where  $e_{ij}^s$  is the polarization tensor and satisfies  $\sum_i e_{ii}^s = 0$ ,  $\sum_{i,j} e_{ij}^s e_{ij}^{s'} = 2\delta_{ss'}$ . The sound speed in equation (23) is

$$c_t^2 = \frac{1+Q}{1-Q}, \quad (25)$$

and the normalized parameter is

$$z_t = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} a M_{pl} \sqrt{1-Q}. \quad (26)$$

By using the slow-roll parameters (5), equation (6), and the relation (8), we obtain

$$c_t^2 = 1 + \frac{2F\epsilon_H}{1 + (3 + 2\eta_\phi)F}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z_t''}{z_t} = & a^2 H^2 \left[ 2 - \epsilon_H - \frac{F\epsilon_H}{1 + (3 + 2\eta_\phi)F} \left( \frac{\eta_H - \eta_\phi}{F} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 3(\eta_H - 2\eta_\phi - \epsilon_H) - 2\eta_\phi(\epsilon_H - \eta_H) \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{F^2 \epsilon_H^2 \eta_\phi^2}{[1 + (3 + 2\eta_\phi)F]^2} \right]. \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

The definition of the power spectrum for the tensor perturbations is

$$\mathcal{P}_T = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |\gamma_{ij}|^2 = \frac{k^3}{\pi^2} \sum_{s=+, \times} \left| \frac{u_k^s}{z_t} \right|^2. \quad (29)$$

### III. THE CONSTANT-ROLL INFLATION

In addition to the slow-roll inflation scenario, where all the slow-roll parameters are small, the constant-roll inflation scenario, where one of the slow-roll parameters is held constant and need not be small, can also produce predictions consistent with observational data from CMB. In this section, we study the constant-roll scenario where the slow-roll parameter  $\eta_\phi$  is a constant.

Combining equations (7) and (8) and calculating  $\dot{Q}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\eta}_\phi = & 3H(\eta_\phi - \eta_H + \epsilon_H) + \eta_\phi H(3\epsilon_H - 2\eta_H + 2\eta_\phi) \\ & - \frac{(\eta_H - \eta_\phi)H}{F}. \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

By using the condition that the slow-roll parameter  $\eta_\phi$  is a constant, we obtain

$$\eta_H = \eta_\phi + \frac{3F\epsilon_H(\eta_\phi + 1)}{1 + (3 + 2\eta_\phi)F}, \quad (31)$$

and relation (9) becomes,

$$\dot{\epsilon}_H = 2H\epsilon_H \left( \epsilon_H - \eta_\phi - \frac{3F\epsilon_H(\eta_\phi + 1)}{1 + (3 + 2\eta_\phi)F} \right). \quad (32)$$

Because  $\eta_\phi$  is a constant and it may not be small, so  $\dot{\epsilon}_H$  can still be the first order in perturbation.

In the GR limit,  $F = 0$ , we recover the standard result  $\eta_H = \eta_\phi$ . In the high friction limit,  $F \gg 1$ ,

$$\eta_H = \eta_\phi + \frac{3\epsilon_H(\eta_\phi + 1)}{3 + 2\eta_\phi}. \quad (33)$$

Because the slow-roll parameter  $\eta_\phi$  may not be small in the constant-roll inflation scenario, the slow-roll parameter  $\eta_H$  may not be small in general either.

For the constant-roll inflation with constant  $\eta_\phi$ , from equation (11) and to the first order approximation of  $\epsilon_H$ , we get the relation of the conformal Hubble parameter  $aH$  and the conformal time  $\tau$  [28],

$$\frac{1}{aH} \approx \left( -1 + \frac{\epsilon_H}{1 + 2\eta_\phi} \right) \tau. \quad (34)$$

### A. Scalar Perturbations

To the first order of  $\epsilon_H$ , the relation (18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z''}{z} \approx & a^2 H^2 \left[ 2 - 3\eta_\phi + \eta_\phi^2 + \frac{2 - 3F}{1 + 3F} \epsilon_H \right. \\ & \left. - \eta_\phi \epsilon_H \left( \frac{3(1 - F)}{1 + 3F} + \frac{4F(1 + 6F)(3 - 4\eta_\phi)}{(1 + 3F)[1 + (3 + 2\eta_\phi)F]} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

To solve the Mukhanov-Sasaki equation (15), we write

$$\frac{z''}{z} = \frac{\nu^2 - 1/4}{\tau^2}. \quad (36)$$

To the first order of  $\epsilon_H$ , combining equations (34) and (35), we get

$$\nu = \left| \frac{3}{2} - \eta_\phi \right| + \frac{\bar{\nu}\epsilon_H}{|3/2 - \eta_\phi|}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nu} = & \frac{2 - 3\eta_\phi + \eta_\phi^2}{1 + 2\eta_\phi} + \frac{2 - 3F}{2(1 + 3F)} \\ & - \eta_\phi \left[ \frac{3(1 - F)}{2(1 + 3F)} + \frac{2F(1 + 6F)(3 - 4\eta_\phi)}{(1 + 3F)[1 + (3 + 2\eta_\phi)F]} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Assuming that both  $\nu$  and  $c_s$  are constants, then the Mukhanov-Sasaki equation (15) becomes the standard Bessel equation, and the solution can be expressed as the Hankel function of order  $\nu$  [69],

$$v_k(\tau) = \sqrt{-\tau} \left[ c_1 H_\nu^{(1)}(-c_s k\tau) + c_2 H_\nu^{(2)}(-c_s k\tau) \right]. \quad (39)$$

Choosing the Bunch-Davies vacuum, at small scales,  $-c_s k\tau \gg 1$ , we have the initial condition

$$v_k \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_s k}} e^{-ic_s k\tau}. \quad (40)$$

Using the initial condition (40) and the asymptotic behavior of the Hankel function, we obtain the mode function outside the horizon

$$v_k = e^{-i(\nu-1/2)\frac{\pi}{2}} 2^{\nu-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(3/2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_s k}} (-c_s k\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}-\nu}. \quad (41)$$

Combining equation (16) and the solution (41), from the definition of the power spectrum for the scalar perturbation (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\zeta \approx & 2^{2\nu-3} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(3/2)} \right]^2 \frac{H^2}{8\pi^2 M_{pl}^2 c_s \theta_s} \\ & \times \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon_H}{1 + 2\eta_\phi} \right)^{2\nu-1} \left( \frac{c_s k}{aH} \right)^{3-2\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Substituting equation (42) into the definition of the scalar spectral tilt  $n_s$ , equation (21), we obtain

$$n_s - 1 = 3 - 2\nu. \quad (43)$$

If  $|\eta_\phi| \ll 1$ , to the first order of perturbation, we get [10, 70]

$$n_s - 1 \approx 2\eta_\phi - \frac{2(2 + 3F)}{1 + 3F} \epsilon_H. \quad (44)$$

In the GR limit, we have

$$\nu = \left| \frac{3}{2} - \eta_\phi \right| + \frac{(6 - 5\eta_\phi - 4\eta_\phi^2)\epsilon_H}{|3 - 2\eta_\phi|(1 + 2\eta_\phi)}, \quad (45)$$

and

$$n_s - 1 = 3 - |3 - 2\eta_\phi| - \frac{2(6 - 5\eta_\phi - 4\eta_\phi^2)\epsilon_H}{|3 - 2\eta_\phi|(1 + 2\eta_\phi)}. \quad (46)$$

In the high friction limit, we have

$$\nu = \left| \frac{3}{2} - \eta_\phi \right| + \frac{3(3 - 4\eta_\phi)(1 - 3\eta_\phi - 6\eta_\phi^2)\epsilon_H}{|3 - 2\eta_\phi|(1 + 2\eta_\phi)(3 + 2\eta_\phi)}, \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_s - 1 = & 3 - |3 - 2\eta_\phi| \\ & - \frac{6(3 - 4\eta_\phi)(1 - 3\eta_\phi - 6\eta_\phi^2)\epsilon_H}{|3 - 2\eta_\phi|(1 + 2\eta_\phi)(3 + 2\eta_\phi)}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

As discussed above,  $\dot{\epsilon}_H$  is still the first order, so we will get a different expression for the scalar spectral tilt if we take the derivative of the amplitude of the scalar power spectrum at the horizon crossing  $c_s k = aH$  with respect to the scale  $k$ . To show this point, we give the derivation below.

For the constant-roll inflation with large  $\eta_\phi$ , the scalar perturbations may continue evolving outside the horizon [27]. If scalar perturbations are constant after the horizon crossing,  $aH \geq c_s k$ , to the first order of  $\epsilon_H$ , the amplitude of the power spectrum for scalar perturbations outside the horizon is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\zeta &= \mathcal{P}_\zeta|_{c_s k = aH} \\ &\approx 2^{|3-2\eta_\phi|-3} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(|3/2 - \eta_\phi|)}{\Gamma(3/2)} \right]^2 \frac{H^2}{8\pi^2 M_{pl}^2 \epsilon_H} \\ &\times \frac{1+3F+2F\eta_\phi}{1+3F} (1+D\epsilon_H), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where

$$D = \frac{4\bar{\nu}}{|3-2\eta_\phi|} \left( \ln 2 + \frac{\Gamma'(|3/2 - \eta_\phi|)}{\Gamma(|3/2 - \eta_\phi|)} \right) - \frac{|3-2\eta_\phi|-1}{1+2\eta_\phi} \frac{18F^2\eta_\phi}{(1+3F)[1+(3+2\eta_\phi)F]}. \quad (50)$$

Besides the definition (20), if scalar perturbations are constants after the horizon crossing, the scalar spectral tilt can also be derived by using the the amplitude of the power spectrum for the scalar perturbation,

$$n_s - 1 = \left. \frac{d \ln \mathcal{A}_\zeta}{d \ln k} \right|_{c_s k = aH}. \quad (51)$$

To the first order of approximation of  $\epsilon_H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln k}{H dt} &= (1 - \epsilon_H) - H^{-1} \frac{\dot{c}_s}{c_s} \\ &\approx 1 - \left( \frac{2F\eta_\phi(1+7F+6F\eta_\phi)}{(1+3F)[1+(3+2\eta_\phi)F]} + 1 \right) \epsilon_H. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Substituting equations (49) and (52) into equation (51), to the first order of  $\epsilon_H$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} n_s - 1 &\approx 2\eta_\phi - \frac{2(2+3F)\epsilon_H}{1+3F} \\ &+ 2\eta_\phi \epsilon_H \left[ \frac{|3-2\eta_\phi|-1}{1+2\eta_\phi} \right. \\ &- \frac{4\bar{\nu}}{|3-2\eta_\phi|} \left( \ln 2 + \frac{\Gamma'(|3/2 - \eta_\phi|)}{\Gamma(|3/2 - \eta_\phi|)} \right) \\ &\left. + \frac{(1+3F)(1+4F)+2F\eta_\phi(2+F+6F\eta_\phi)}{(1+3F)[1+(3+2\eta_\phi)F]} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

It is obvious this result is different from equation (43) if  $\eta_\phi$  is not small. If  $|\eta_\phi| \ll 1$ , then the result (53) reduces to the result (44). In the following discussion, we use the familiar result (43).

## B. Tensor Perturbation

For tensor perturbations, to solve equation (23), we use the same method as that solving the scalar perturbation. To the first order of  $\epsilon_H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z_t''}{z_t} &\approx a^2 H^2 \left[ 2 - \epsilon_H + \frac{F\epsilon_H\eta_\phi(3-2\eta_\phi)}{1+(3+2\eta_\phi)F} \right] \\ &= \frac{\mu^2 - 1/4}{\tau^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where

$$\mu \approx \frac{3}{2} + \bar{\mu}\epsilon_H, \quad (55)$$

and

$$\bar{\mu} = \frac{(3-2\eta_\phi)[1+3F+F\eta_\phi(3+2\eta_\phi)]}{3(1+2\eta_\phi)[1+(3+2\eta_\phi)F]}. \quad (56)$$

Like scalar perturbations, assuming that both  $c_t$  and  $\mu$  are constants, to the first order of  $\epsilon_H$ , we obtain the power spectrum for the tensor perturbation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_T &\approx \frac{2^{2\mu}}{M_{pl}^2} \left( \frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma(3/2)} \right]^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon_H}{1+2\eta_\phi} \right)^{2\mu-1} \\ &\left( \frac{1+3F+2F\eta_\phi-2F\epsilon_H}{1+(3+2\eta_\phi)F} \right) \left( \frac{c_t k}{aH} \right)^{(3-2\mu)}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Therefore, the tensor spectral tilt is

$$\begin{aligned} n_T &= \left. \frac{d \ln \mathcal{P}_T}{d \ln k} \right|_{aH = \text{const}} \\ &= -\frac{2(3-2\eta_\phi)[1+3F+F\eta_\phi(3+2\eta_\phi)]}{3(1+2\eta_\phi)[1+(3+2\eta_\phi)F]} \epsilon_H. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

If  $|\eta_\phi| \ll 1$ , then we get  $n_T \approx -2\epsilon_H$ .

In the GR limit, we get

$$n_T = -\frac{2(3-2\eta_\phi)}{3(1+2\eta_\phi)} \epsilon_H. \quad (59)$$

In the high friction limit, we get

$$n_T = -\frac{2(3-2\eta_\phi)[3+\eta_\phi(3+2\eta_\phi)]}{3(1+2\eta_\phi)(3+2\eta_\phi)} \epsilon_H. \quad (60)$$

To the first order of  $\epsilon_H$ , the amplitude of the power spectrum for tensor perturbations becomes

$$\mathcal{P}_T \Big|_{c_t k = aH} \approx \frac{8}{M_{pl}^2} \left( \frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - (A-B)\epsilon_H \right], \quad (61)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{2[3+C(3-2\eta_\phi)]}{3(1+2\eta_\phi)}, \\ B &= -\frac{2F[C\eta_\phi(3-2\eta_\phi)+3]}{3[1+(3+2\eta_\phi)F]}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

and the constant  $C = -2 + \gamma + \ln 2 \approx -0.73$ .

Combining the scalar power spectrum (49) and the tensor power spectrum (61), we obtain the tensor-to-scalar ratio

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \frac{\mathcal{P}_T|_{c_s k = aH}}{\mathcal{P}_\zeta|_{c_s k = aH}} \\ &= \frac{2^{7-|3-2\eta_\phi|} [\Gamma(3/2)]^2 (1+3F)}{[\Gamma(|3/2 - \eta_\phi|)]^2 [1 + (3 + 2\eta_\phi)F]} \epsilon_H. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

It is apparent that the consistency relation  $r = -8n_T$  does not hold for the constant-roll inflation if  $|\eta_\phi|$  is not small. If  $|\eta_\phi| \ll 1$ , then

$$r \approx 16\epsilon_H, \quad (64)$$

the consistency relation still holds.

In the GR limit, we get

$$r = \frac{2^{7-|3-2\eta_\phi|} [\Gamma(3/2)]^2}{[\Gamma(|3/2 - \eta_\phi|)]^2} \epsilon_H. \quad (65)$$

In the high friction limit, we get

$$r = \frac{3[\Gamma(3/2)]^2 2^{7-|3-2\eta_\phi|}}{[\Gamma(|3/2 - \eta_\phi|)]^2 (3 + 2\eta_\phi)} \epsilon_H. \quad (66)$$

#### IV. THE DUALITY IN CONSTANT-ROLL INFLATION

In the GR case, from equation (46), we see that if we neglect the contribution from  $\epsilon_H$ , then we have the same scalar spectral tilt  $n_s - 1 \approx 2\alpha$  when we replace  $\eta_\phi = \alpha$  by  $\eta_\phi = 3 - \alpha$  with  $|\alpha| < 3/2$ . From equation (65), we see that the duality between  $\eta_\phi = \alpha$  and  $\eta_\phi = 3 - \alpha$  also exists for the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$ . The duality is also referred to as the duality between constant slow-roll and ultra-slow-roll inflation and explained as the two branches of the solution of the inflation field from the same potential [44] although the behaviors of background and perturbations are different for the constant slow-roll and ultra-slow-roll inflationary models if  $\epsilon_H$  is not negligible [42]. In this section, we discuss this duality behavior, aiming to understand the connection between the slow-roll and ultra-slow-roll scenarios within the framework of inflationary models with non-minimally derivative coupling.

Combining equations (37) and (43), and neglecting the contribution from  $\epsilon_H$ , we get

$$n_s - 1 = 3 - |3 - 2\eta_\phi|. \quad (67)$$

It is obvious that the duality between  $\eta_\phi = \alpha$  and  $\eta_\phi = 3 - \alpha$  holds, and

$$n_s - 1 \approx 2\alpha, \quad (68)$$

if  $|\alpha| < 3/2$ . Now we discuss whether the duality holds for the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$ . For the constant slow-roll inflation with  $\eta_\phi = \alpha$ , from equation (63), we get

$$r = \frac{2^{4+2\alpha} [\Gamma(3/2)]^2 (1+3F)}{[\Gamma(3/2 - \alpha)]^2 [1 + (3 + 2\alpha)F]} \epsilon_H. \quad (69)$$

For the ultra-slow-roll inflation with  $\eta_\phi = 3 - \alpha$ , the tensor-to-scalar ratio becomes

$$r = \frac{2^{4+2\alpha} [\Gamma(3/2)]^2 (1+3F)}{[\Gamma(3/2 - \alpha)]^2 [1 + (9 - 2\alpha)F]} \epsilon_H. \quad (70)$$

Comparing equations (69) and (70), we find that they are the same only if  $F = 0$ , i.e., the duality exists only in the GR case, and there is no such duality in inflationary models with non-minimally derivative coupling.

When the slow-roll parameter  $\epsilon_H$  is extremely small and can be neglected, the scalar spectral tilts obtained from both the slow-roll inflation and the ultra-slow-roll inflation coincide. In the limit where  $F = 0$  (GR limit), the tensor-to-scalar ratio (69) derived from slow-roll inflation matches equation (70) obtained from ultra-slow-roll inflation, thus the duality recovers in the GR limit. However, in more general case, the tensor-to-scalar ratios  $r$  from slow-roll inflation and ultra-slow-roll inflation are different. Consequently, even for the scalar spectral tilt  $n_s$  and the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$ , the duality behavior between slow-roll and ultra-slow-roll inflation models with non-minimally derivative coupling is not observed. Therefore, the duality of  $n_s$  and  $r$  is not a universal feature in the constant-roll inflation models.

#### V. CONCLUSION

We study the constant-roll inflation model with the kinetic term non-minimally derivative coupling to the Einstein tensor. For the constant-roll condition, we choose the slow-roll parameter  $\eta_\phi = -\ddot{\phi}/(H\dot{\phi})$  to be a constant. With this constant-roll condition, we calculate the power spectra for the scalar and tensor perturbations and subsequently derive the scalar spectral tilt  $n_s$ , tensor spectral tilt  $n_T$ , and tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$ . Due to the effect of large  $\eta_\phi$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_H$  remains to be the first order, the expressions for  $n_s$  are different with different ordering of taking the derivative of the scalar power spectrum with respect to the scale  $k$  and the horizon crossing condition  $c_s k = aH$  in the constant-roll inflation, and the consistency relation between the tensor-to-scalar ratio and tensor spectral tilt,  $r = -8n_T$ , does not hold. Between the slow-roll inflation with  $\eta_\phi = \alpha$  being small and the ultra-slow-roll inflation with  $\eta_\phi = 3 - \alpha$ , although the duality for the scalar spectral tilt still holds if we neglect the contribution of  $\epsilon_H$  to  $n_s$ , but the results for the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$  are different. Thus, the duality of  $n_s$  and  $r$  is not a universal feature in inflation with non-minimally derivative coupling.



The inflation model with non-minimally derivative coupling is important because the new Higgs inflation model saves the Higgs inflation from unitarity bound violations. This investigation into constant-roll inflation presents a different perspective from the typical slow-roll inflation scenario, thereby enhancing our understanding of inflation models with non-minimally derivative coupling. Scalar-induced gravitational waves usually originate from inflation models featuring a transitional ultra-slow-roll phase. Therefore, this investigation into the ultra-slow-roll condition is also helpful for the issue of scalar-induced gravitational waves in the inflation model with non-minimally derivative coupling.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

JL is supported by the Hainan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.121MS033. YG is partially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No. 2020YFC2201504. ZY is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12205015.

- 
- [1] Guth A. H 1981 The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems *Phys. Rev. D* **23** 347
- [2] Linde A. D 1982 A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems *Phys. Lett. B* **108** 389
- [3] Albrecht A and Steinhardt P. J 1982 Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** 1220
- [4] Starobinsky A. A 1980 A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity *Phys. Lett. B* **91** 99
- [5] Guth A. H and Pi S. Y 1982 Fluctuations in the New Inflationary Universe *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** 1110
- [6] Bezrukov F. L and Shaposhnikov M 2008 The Standard Model Higgs boson as the inflaton *Phys. Lett. B* **659** 703
- [7] Germani C and Kehagias A 2010 New Model of Inflation with Non-minimal Derivative Coupling of Standard Model Higgs Boson to Gravity *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** 011302
- [8] Yi Z, Gong Y and Sabir M 2018 Inflation with Gauss-Bonnet coupling *Phys. Rev. D* **98** 083521
- [9] Germani C, Watanabe Y and Wintergerst N 2014 Self-unitarization of New Higgs Inflation and compatibility with Planck and BICEP2 data *JCAP* **12** 009
- [10] Yang N, Fei Q, Gao Q and Gong Y 2016 Inflationary models with non-minimally derivative coupling *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** 205001
- [11] Germani C and Kehagias A 2010 Cosmological Perturbations in the New Higgs Inflation *JCAP* **05** 019
- [12] Minamitsuji M 2014 Solutions in the scalar-tensor theory with nonminimal derivative coupling *Phys. Rev. D* **89** 064017
- [13] Sadjadi H. M and Goodarzi P 2013 Reheating in non-minimal derivative coupling model *JCAP* **02** 038
- [14] Zhu Y and Gong Y 2016 PPN parameters in gravitational theory with nonminimally derivative coupling *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **26** 1750005
- [15] Yang N, Gao Q and Gong Y 2015 Inflation with non-minimally derivative coupling *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30** 1545004
- [16] Gong Y, Papantonopoulos E and Yi Z 2018 Constraints on scalar-tensor theory of gravity by the recent observational results on gravitational waves *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** 738
- [17] Fu C, Wu P and Yu H 2019 Primordial Black Holes from Inflation with Nonminimal Derivative Coupling *Phys. Rev. D* **100** 063532
- [18] Granda L. N, Jimenez D. F and Cardona W 2020 Higgs inflation with non-minimal derivative coupling to gravity *Astropart. Phys.* **121** 102459
- [19] Oliveros A and Noriega H. E 2019 Constant-roll inflation driven by a scalar field with nonminimal derivative coupling *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **28** 1950159
- [20] Gialamas I. D, Karam A, Lykkas A and Pappas T. D 2020 Palatini-Higgs inflation with nonminimal derivative coupling *Phys. Rev. D* **102** 063522
- [21] Heydari S and Karami K 2022 Primordial black holes in nonminimal derivative coupling inflation with quartic potential and reheating consideration *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** 83
- [22] Goodarzi P 2022 Anisotropic inflation in non-minimal kinetic coupling model *JCAP* **11** 052
- [23] Oikonomou V. K 2024 Non-minimal derivative coupling theories compatible with GW170817 *Nucl. Phys. B* **1000** 116467
- [24] Gialamas I. D, Katsoulas T and Tamvakis K 2024 Inflation and reheating in quadratic metric-affine gravity with derivative couplings arXiv: 2403.08530
- [25] Hell A 2024 Unveiling the inconsistency of the Proca theory with non-minimal coupling to gravity arXiv: 2403.18673
- [26] Martin J, Motohashi H and Suyama T 2013 Ultra Slow-Roll Inflation and the non-Gaussianity Consistency Relation *Phys. Rev. D* **87** 023514
- [27] Motohashi H, Starobinsky A. A and Yokoyama J 2015 Inflation with a constant rate of roll *JCAP* **09** 018
- [28] Yi Z and Gong Y 2018 On the constant-roll inflation *JCAP* **03** 052
- [29] Germani C and Prokopec T 2017 On primordial black holes from an inflection point *Phys. Dark Univ.* **18** 6
- [30] Di H and Gong Y 2018 Primordial black holes and second order gravitational waves from ultra-slow-roll inflation *JCAP* **07** 007
- [31] Ezquiaga J. M, Garcia-Bellido J and Ruiz Morales E 2018 Primordial Black Hole production in Critical Higgs Inflation *Phys. Lett. B* **776** 345
- [32] Ballesteros G and Taoso M 2018 Primordial black hole dark matter from single field inflation *Phys. Rev. D* **97**

- 023501
- [33] Drees M and Xu Y 2021 Overshooting, Critical Higgs Inflation and Second Order Gravitational Wave Signatures *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** 182
- [34] Lin J *et al* 2020 Primordial black holes and secondary gravitational waves from  $k$  and  $G$  inflation *Phys. Rev. D* **101** 103515
- [35] Lin J *et al* 2023 Primordial black holes and scalar induced gravitational waves from Higgs inflation with noncanonical kinetic term *Phys. Rev. D* **107** 043517
- [36] Yi Z, Gao Q, Gong Y and Zhu Z.-h 2021 Primordial black holes and scalar-induced secondary gravitational waves from inflationary models with a noncanonical kinetic term *Phys. Rev. D* **103** 063534
- [37] Yi Z, Gong Y, Wang B and Zhu Z.-h 2021 Primordial black holes and secondary gravitational waves from the Higgs field *Phys. Rev. D* **103** 063535
- [38] Yi Z and Zhu Z.-H 2022 NANOGraV signal and LIGO-Virgo primordial black holes from the Higgs field *JCAP* **05** 046
- [39] Yi Z 2023 Primordial black holes and scalar-induced gravitational waves from the generalized Brans-Dicke theory *JCAP* **03** 048
- [40] Zhang F 2022 Primordial black holes and scalar induced gravitational waves from the E model with a Gauss-Bonnet term *Phys. Rev. D* **105** 063539
- [41] Wang Z, Gao S, Gong Y and Wang Y 2024 Primordial black holes and scalar-induced gravitational waves from the polynomial attractor model *Phys. Rev. D* **109** 103532
- [42] Gao Q, Gong Y and Yi Z 2019 On the constant-roll inflation with large and small  $\eta_H$  *Universe* **5** 215
- [43] Tzirakis K and Kinney W. H 2007 Inflation over the hill *Phys. Rev. D* **75** 123510
- [44] Morse M. J. P and Kinney W. H 2018 Large- $\eta$  constant-roll inflation is never an attractor *Phys. Rev. D* **97** 123519
- [45] Motohashi H and Starobinsky A. A 2017  $f(R)$  constant-roll inflation *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** 538
- [46] Oikonomou V. K 2017 Reheating in Constant-roll  $F(R)$  Gravity *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **32** 1750172
- [47] Odintsov S. D and Oikonomou V. K 2017 Inflation with a Smooth Constant-Roll to Constant-Roll Era Transition *Phys. Rev. D* **96** 024029
- [48] Nojiri S, Odintsov S. D and Oikonomou V. K 2017 Constant-roll Inflation in  $F(R)$  Gravity *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** 245012
- [49] Dimopoulos K 2017 Ultra slow-roll inflation demystified *Phys. Lett. B* **775** 262
- [50] Ito A and Soda J 2018 Anisotropic Constant-roll Inflation *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** 55
- [51] Karam A, Marzola L, Pappas T, Racioppi A and Tamvakis K 2018 Constant-Roll (Quasi-)Linear Inflation *JCAP* **05** 011
- [52] Fei Q, Gong Y, Lin J and Yi Z 2017 The reconstruction of tachyon inflationary potentials *JCAP* **08** 018
- [53] Cacciarella F, Mabillard J and Pieroni M 2018 New perspectives on constant-roll inflation *JCAP* **01** 024
- [54] Anguelova L, Suranyi P and Wijewardhana L. C. R 2018 Systematics of Constant Roll Inflation *JCAP* **02** 004
- [55] Gao Q, Gong Y and Fei Q 2018 Constant-roll tachyon inflation and observational constraints *JCAP* **05** 005
- [56] Gao Q 2018 The observational constraint on constant-roll inflation *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **61** 070411
- [57] Mohammadi A, Saaïdi K and Sheikahmadi H 2019 Constant-roll approach to non-canonical inflation *Phys. Rev. D* **100** 083520
- [58] Pattison C, Vennin V, Assadullahi H and Wands D 2018 The attractive behaviour of ultra-slow-roll inflation *JCAP* **08** 048
- [59] Lin W.-C, Morse M. J. P and Kinney W. H 2019 Dynamical Analysis of Attractor Behavior in Constant Roll Inflation *JCAP* **09** 063
- [60] Fei Q, Yi Z and Yang Y 2020 The Reconstruction of Non-Minimal Derivative Coupling Inflationary Potentials *Universe* **6** 213
- [61] Antoniadis I, Lykkas A and Tamvakis K 2020 Constant-roll in the Palatini- $R^2$  models *JCAP* **04** 033
- [62] Guerrero M, Rubiera-Garcia D and Saez-Chillon Gomez D 2020 Constant roll inflation in multifield models *Phys. Rev. D* **102** 123528
- [63] Shokri M, Setare M. R, Capozziello S and Sadeghi J 2022 Constant-roll  $f(R)$  inflation compared with cosmic microwave background anisotropies and swampland criteria *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **137** 639
- [64] Fei Q, Ahmed W and Wang Z.-L 2022 On the Constant-Roll Tachyon Inflation with Large and Small  $\eta_H$  *Symmetry* **14** 2670
- [65] Stojanovic M, Bilic N, Dimitrijevic D. D, Djordjevic G. S and Milosevic M 2023 Constant-roll inflation with tachyon field in the holographic braneworld arXiv: 2401.00352
- [66] Huang Q, Huang H, Xu B and Zhang K 2024 Constant-roll inflation and primordial black holes with Barrow holographic dark energy arXiv: 2401.15451
- [67] Germani C and Watanabe Y 2011 UV-protected (Natural) Inflation: Primordial Fluctuations and non-Gaussian Features *JCAP* **07** 031
- [68] Kinney W. H 2005 Horizon crossing and inflation with large eta *Phys. Rev. D* **72** 023515
- [69] Stewart E. D and Lyth D. H 1993 A More accurate analytic calculation of the spectrum of cosmological perturbations produced during inflation *Phys. Lett. B* **302** 171
- [70] Tsujikawa S 2012 Observational tests of inflation with a field derivative coupling to gravity *Phys. Rev. D* **85** 083518