

Multi-fingered Robotic Hand Grasping in Cluttered Environments through Hand-object Contact Semantic Mapping

Lei Zhang^{1,2†}, Kaixin Bai^{1,2†}, Guowen Huang^{2,3}, Zhaopeng Chen², Jianwei Zhang¹

Abstract—The integration of optimization method and generative models has significantly advanced dexterous manipulation techniques for five-fingered hand grasping. Yet, the application of these techniques in cluttered environments is a relatively unexplored area. To address this research gap, we have developed a novel method for generating five-fingered hand grasp samples in cluttered settings. This method emphasizes simulated grasp quality and the nuanced interaction between the hand and surrounding objects. A key aspect of our approach is our data generation method, capable of estimating contact spatial and semantic representations and affordance grasps based on object affordance information. Furthermore, our Contact Semantic Conditional Variational Autoencoder (CoSe-CVAE) network is adept at creating comprehensive contact maps from point clouds, incorporating both spatial and semantic data. We introduce a unique grasp detection technique that efficiently formulates mechanical hand grasp poses from these maps. Additionally, our evaluation model is designed to assess grasp quality and collision probability, significantly improving the practicality of five-fingered hand grasping in complex scenarios. Our data generation method outperforms previous datasets in grasp diversity, scene diversity, modality diversity. Our grasp generation method has demonstrated remarkable success, outperforming established baselines with 81.0% average success rate in real-world single-object grasping and 75.3% success rate in multi-object grasping. The dataset and supplementary materials can be found at <https://sites.google.com/view/ffh-cluttered-grasping>, and we will release the code upon publication.

I. INTRODUCTION

Utilizing a five-fingered hand for robotic grasping enhances both ease and flexibility, primarily through the increased number of contact points available for interaction. Traditional methods [1], [2] have been pivotal in generating and evaluating grasps for such hands. Recent advancements in five-fingered hand grasping have enabled the generation of complex grasp data through optimization methods [3]–[5], demonstrating superior performance compared to traditional methods. Real-life scenarios are often cluttered, emphasizing the importance of grasping dexterity and the generalization capability of multi-fingered hands. Effective grasping in such cluttered scenes demands models with advanced clutter perception capabilities. Moreover, the challenge of evaluating high-quality, collision-free grasps is exacerbated for multi-fingered hands, owing to their greater degrees of freedom. Despite numerous studies [6]–[14] exploring the grasping in cluttered scenes with a range of end-effectors, there continues to be a significant shortfall in the availability of complex scene data for five-fingered robotic hand grasping [4], [15]–[18]. Hence, the development of a dataset for generating multi-fingered hand grasps in cluttered scenes emerges as a




Fig. 1. Novel dataset generation of multi-fingered robotic hand grasping in cluttered environments, encompassing grasp quality, collision scores, and contact semantic and distance mapping, along with affordance data. Employing CoSe-CVAE, the contact semantic map is derived from a point cloud. Grasp detection leverages contact prior information for sampling grasp candidates. Subsequent grasp evaluation assesses both grasping quality and collision scores to identify the optimal grasp in cluttered settings from the candidates.

critical need. Research has also shown that the integration of contact and affordance information significantly enhances the fine-grained grasp generation and generalization capabilities across different hand types [5], [19]–[26]. These requirements underpin our proposition of a novel data generation method, specifically designed to address the complexities inherent in cluttered environments, estimate corresponding contact and affordance information.

Recent advancements in robotic grasping have increasingly leveraged learning-based methods for generating grasp postures, as delineated in studies [27]–[29]. Addressing the challenges associated with the training complexities of high-dimensional robot joint information noted in prior works, our research introduces the grasping generation pipeline from Contact Semantic Conditional Variational Autoencoder (CoSe-CVAE), grasp detection method to grasp evaluation model. The CoSe-CVAE is designed to generate contact semantic and distance maps guiding the generation of final grasp postures. Furthermore, we propose an optimization-based grasp detection method to generate grasp samples from scene point cloud based on prior information of contact representations. Diverging from global optimization used in GenDexGrasp [20], our method performs local optimization strategies of multi-fingered hand pose, yielding improved results. To this end, we develop an evaluation model to assess grasp quality and collision score, facilitating the identification of optimal grasps in cluttered scenes.

Our main contributions are as follows:

- 1) A novel approach for generating grasp datasets tailored

†Corresponding authors.

¹TAMS (Technical Aspects of Multimodal Systems), Department of Informatics, Universität Hamburg, ²Agile Robots AG, ³Technical University of Munich

TABLE I
COMPARISON OF MULTI-FINGERED ROBOTIC HAND GRASPING DATASETS.

| Methods | Hand Type | Cluttered Scene | Grasp Quality | Evaluation Metric | Contact Distance/ Map | Contact Semantic | Affordance |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------|
| ContactPose [18], GRAB [30] | Human | ✗ | ✗ | - | ✓ | ✓ | ✗ |
| DexYCB [17] | Human | ✓ | ✗ | - | ✓ | ✗ | ✗ |
| GanHand [15] | Human | ✓ | ✗ | - | ✓ | ✗ | ✓ |
| DDGC [29] | Robot | ✓ | ✓ | GraspIt! [1] | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ |
| Columbia Grasp Database [31] | Robot | ✗ | ✓ | GraspIt! [1] | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ |
| Fast-Grasp'D [16] | Robot | ✗ | ✓ | Trial-and-Error | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ |
| DexGraspNet [4] | Human&Robot | ✗ | ✓ | Trial-and-Error | ✓ | ✗ | ✗ |
| GenDexGrasp [20] | Robot | ✗ | ✓ | Trial-and-Error | ✓ | ✗ | ✗ |
| Ours | Robot | ✓ | ✓ | Trial-and-Error | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

for multi-fingered hands in cluttered scenes, employing energy-based optimization method considering differential force closure metric, energy of hand object interaction, and energy of robotic hand constraints.

- 2) A large-scale multi-fingered robotic hand grasping dataset includes complicated scenes with different objects, corresponding grasp postures, contact semantic and distance maps, grasp quality, grasp collision scores, and object affordance, affordance grasping.
- 3) Innovative grasp generation method that incorporates the CoSe-CVAE with grasp detection and evaluation. Utilizing contact semantic maps as an intermediary, this approach generates accurate grasp candidates.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Multi-fingered Robotic Hand Grasping

Grasping in cluttered environments using multi-fingered robotic hands presents a significant challenge due to their high degrees of freedom and the complex collision dynamics with surrounding objects. Prior research has explored this domain through analytical methods [2] and deep learning techniques [6], [7] to devise multi-fingered grasping strategies.

The development of multi-fingered hand grasp datasets has been enhanced through both deep learning and optimization methods. This includes datasets like DexGraspNet [4], Fast-Grasp'D [16] utilizing robotic hands, and GanHand [15], DexYCB [17], and ContactPose [18] employing human hand models, as detailed in Tab. I. Despite these advancements, an efficient robotic hand grasping generation method specifically tailored for cluttered environments remains elusive. To reduce this gap, we propose a novel method to generate multi-fingered robotic hand grasping poses from cluttered environments.

In the evaluation of grasping poses, differentiable force-closure (DFC) methods [3], [27] have demonstrated notable efficacy in grasp synthesis. Liu et al. [3] and Wang et al. [4] have pioneered the generation of diverse hand poses using energy-based optimization methods. These approaches have shown high efficiency and grasp diversity, outperforming GraspIt! [1]. However, these energy-based methods are prone to local minima, a challenge exacerbated by the increased ambiguity of the energy function during hand-object interactions [32]. To address this, we introduce a grasp detection method that transitions from grasp sampling to optimization-based refinement, effectively generating grasp candidates from visual information.

Concerning collision-free grasp detection in cluttered environments, extensive research has been conducted on employing neural networks to predict collision-free grasp samples from visual data, particularly in the context of two-fingered grasping setups [8]–[14], [33]. Our previous work [33] developed a cable grasping neural network (CG-CNN) aiming at estimating grasp quality for cables in cluttered scenes, using implicit collision representations. However, multi-fingered hands, with their additional joints, pose greater challenges in learning implicit collision representations. To navigate this complexity and identify optimal grasp candidates in cluttered scenes, we design a grasp evaluation model that assesses both grasp quality and collision scores.

B. Generative Model in grasping generation

Generative models, renowned for their diversity and generative capabilities, have been increasingly applied in the field of grasp generation. Notable examples include the application of CVAE [20], [34], [35] and diffusion model [36]. GenDexGrasp [20] employed a generative model to generate contact distance maps from object point clouds and optimize grasp pose using these maps as prior information.

C. Grasping Detection using Contact Representation

Hand-object representations are widely used in various domains: they are crucial for generating plausible hand poses [21], [22], [24], formulating generalized representations for diverse end-effectors [20], [23], and bridging the gap between human and robotic hand representations [19], [25], understanding object and manipulation affordance [37]. Various types of contact representations are employed, including contact touch code [19], contact distance or map [38], contact semantic map [18], [30]. Notably, Brahmhatt et al. [5] developed grasp generation and refinement method using contact points. Given current status of robotic hand datasets in Tab. I, a pertinent question arises: how can we generate robotic hand grasping in complex environments using multi-modal information, such as contact representations and object affordance? To address this, we train CoSe-CVAE to generate contact representations from point cloud.

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND METHODS

A. Problem Statement

In multi-fingered robotic hand grasping tasks within cluttered scenes, it's crucial to consider both hand grasp quality

and the collision probability with the surrounding unstructured environment. We define a robotic hand pose g , as shown in Fig. 2.

$$\begin{aligned} g &= [H, \Theta], \\ H &\in SE(3), \\ \Theta &\in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where H denotes hand wrist pose, Θ represents joint poses $(\theta_1, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$. d denotes the number of degrees of freedom (DOF), corresponding to 15 DoF and 20 joints in the DLR-HIT II hand [39], [40].




Fig. 2. Grasping representation of DLR-HIT Hand II [39], [40].

To create a grasping dataset G_{grasp} in cluttered scenes, we employ an optimization-based method for initial grasp sample generation from object meshes, followed by collision detection in multi-object scenes. The grasp quality is assessed through success rates in physical simulation. The generated dataset, detailed in Sec. III-B, includes grasping posture g , scene point cloud \mathcal{U} , grasping quality q , collision score s , and hand-object contact mapping Ω . The generation of contact representations is detailed in Sec. III-C.

For estimating the optimal grasp candidate g_{optimal} from a cluttered scene's point cloud \mathcal{U} , we introduce a Contact Semantic Map conditional variational autoencoder network (CoSe-CVAE), along with grasp detection, and grasp evaluation networks, as detailed in Sec. III-D, Sec. III-E. CoSe-CVAE first extracts hand-object contact mapping Ω . Subsequently, N grasp candidates $\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i$ are sampled and refined using Ω as guide based on grasp detection method h_{detector} . The grasping evaluation network $t_{\text{evaluator}}$ infers grasp qualities $\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} q_i$ and collision scores $\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} s_i$ for these candidates, leading to the selection of the optimal grasp pose g_{optimal} based on these inferences, as summarized in Eq. 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} \Omega_i &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} f_{\text{CoSe-CVAE}}(\mathcal{U}_i) \\ \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} h_{\text{detector}}(\Omega_i) \\ \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} (q_i, s_i) &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} t_{\text{evaluator}}\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i, \mathcal{U}_i\right) \\ g_{\text{optimal}} &= \arg \max_g \bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} q_i \quad \text{s.t.} \quad s_i = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

B. Dataset Synthesis

To effectively address the complexities of multi-fingered robotic hand planning in intricate environments, we have developed a method for grasping synthesis. The algorithm pipeline is summarized in Alg. 1 and shown in Fig. 3, panels a) to f).

In our optimization-based grasping pose generation, we first select contact point candidates Φ_{contact} from the farthest sampled points on hand surface, denoted as Φ . From these candidates, a subset of contact points $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ is sampled, with n set at 4, chosen from 200 available

Algorithm 1 Dataset Synthesis Algorithm

- 1: **Input:** Object database, Number of sampling grasp poses N , Number of objects in scene m
 - 2: **Output:** Set of multi-fingered robotic hand grasping candidates $\bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} A_j$ with grasp pose g_j , grasp quality q_j , collision score s_j , contact distance and semantic map Ω_j
 - 3: **Procedure 1:** Single-object grasping pose generation and grasp validation, contact mapping estimation
 - 4: **repeat**
 - 5: Generate n grasp candidates for single object using grasp pose optimization considering energy function.
 - 6: Validate grasp candidates in Isaac Gym physical simulation environment [4] to obtain grasp quality q_j .
 - 7: Generate contact semantic and distance maps using proposed method as detailed in Sec. III-C.
 - 8: **until** Obtain grasping database $\{g_j, q_j, \Omega_j\}$.
 - 9: **Procedure 2:** Cluttered scene generation and collision evaluation
 - 10: **repeat**
 - 11: Build cluttered scene with m objects based on collision checking among objects [11].
 - 12: Estimate collision state between j grasping candidates and corresponding surrounding objects to obtain collision scores $\bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} s_j$.
 - 13: **until** Finish collision checking for all multi-fingered robotic grasping samples for cluttered scenes.
-

contact point candidates. Following this, we sample an initial hand wrist pose and proceed to optimize the grasping postures, with the aim of minimizing the energy function $E = E_{\text{DFC}} + E_{\text{HO}} + E_{\text{Robot}}$, E_{DFC} represents the grasp quality approximated by a differential force closure (DFC) estimator. E_{HO} signifies the hand-object interaction energy. E_{Robot} corresponds to robotic constraint-associated energy.

DFC estimator is employed for assessing grasp quality by estimating wrench disregarding frictional forces. The score




Fig. 3. Pipeline for generating multi-fingered robotic hand grasps in cluttered settings, involving scene generation, hand pose generation via energy minimization, collision checking, grasp quality validation, and dataset labeling with grasp quality and collision status. Contact mapping, including distance and semantic maps, is derived from object and hand point clouds for refined pose generation. Affordance-based grasping is generated using object affordance information.

E_{DFC} is derived using the contact normals c .

$$E_{DFC} = \|Gc\|^2$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & \cdots & I_3 \\ [\psi_1]_{\times} & \cdots & [\psi_n]_{\times} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

$$[\psi_k]_{\times} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\psi_k^{(z)} & \psi_k^{(y)} \\ \psi_k^{(z)} & 0 & -\psi_k^{(x)} \\ -\psi_k^{(y)} & \psi_k^{(x)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where, $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$ represents the set of contact point candidates, sampled from Φ_{contact} , term $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 3}$ denotes the normals of object surface at the contact points in Ψ , and n indicates the number of contact points.

To generate scene with hand-object prior of grasping affordance, we utilize energy E_{HO} to update hand poses. Distance and penetration between the hand and object are evaluated using a Signed Distance Field (SDF), derived from the object's point cloud and normals, alongside hand surface and contact points. Signed distance $\epsilon(\psi, O)$ is positive if contact point candidate ψ is external to the object surface O , and negative if internal. The distance energy is formulated as follows:

$$E_{HO} = \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon(\psi_k, O) + \sum_{v \in \Phi} r \epsilon(v, O) \quad (4)$$

where, $\epsilon(x, O)$ represents the signed distance from each contact point ψ_k to object surface O , while $\epsilon(v, O)$ denotes the signed distance from a point v on the hand surface Φ to O . The parameter r is set to -1 when a point is inside O and 1 when outside. Penetration energy E_{pen} is calculated to prevent the hand from intruding into the object surface.

The robot's energy E_{Robot} is maintained via two components: self-penetration energy E_{spen} and joint energy E_{joints} . E_{spen} is calculated based on the signed distances between the

robotic hand's links, preventing self-penetration. E_{joints} ensures the hand operates within its designated joint workspace.

$$E_{\text{Robot}} = E_{\text{spen}} + E_{\text{joints}}$$

$$E_{\text{spen}} = \sum_{u \in \Phi} \sum_{v \in \Phi} [u \neq v] \max(\delta - \epsilon(u, v), 0)$$

$$E_{\text{joints}} = \sum_{i=1}^d (\max(\theta_i - \theta_i^{\text{max}}, 0) + \max(\theta_i^{\text{min}} - \theta_i, 0)) \quad (5)$$

where, δ represents threshold for self penetration and θ denotes joint pose.

The aim of grasp generation is to minimize total energy by posture optimization. We utilize the Metropolis-adjusted Langevin algorithm (MALA) [3] for sampling and parallel optimization of grasp postures. The optimization process and generated grasp poses are visualized in subfigure b) of Fig. 3.

In grasp validation, we filter high-quality positive samples from a diverse grasp set. Drawing from prior research [33], object dropping is a common failure in cluttered scenes. To accurately determine grasp qualities, we simulate random directional external forces applied to the object in a physical simulation environment and assess the grasp's success rate.

C. Contact Semantic Mapping Representation

To fine-grained generate grasping poses, we proposed contact semantic and distance mapping for grasp generation, as shown in Fig. 3, subfigures g) through k). The semantic map is computed based on the aligned distance, $\epsilon(\phi_{\text{link}}, O)$, between each link's hand surface point, $\phi_{\text{link}} \in \Phi$, and the object point cloud O . Specifically, for each link of the hand, we determine the nearest points on the object surface, denoted as P_{link} , relative to the hand's joint surface points.




Fig. 4. Pipeline of multi-fingered robotic hand grasping network for grasping generation in cluttered environments. Firstly, contact distance and semantic mappings are estimated from object point cloud employing CoSe-CVAE. Secondly, grasp detection method is utilized to generate hand postures from contact prior information. Finally, grasp evaluation network is used to estimated optimal grasp considering implicit collision representation and grasp quality.

This process is formalized as follows:

$$P_n = \{v_h - \epsilon_{min} n_o, \forall v_h \in \phi_{link}\}$$

$$P_{contact} = \left\{ p' \in O \mid \exists p \in P_n \text{ s.t. } \|p - p'\| < \tau, SL = l \right\} \quad (6)$$

where, ϵ_{min} denotes the aligned distance characterized by the smallest absolute value. P_n represents the nearest points on the object's surface to the hand's single link. $P_{contact}$ identifies the closest contact points on the object's surface to this single link. Our approach effectively addresses inaccuracies in surface normals, ensuring that $P_{contact}$ points are indeed on the object's surface. τ signifies the threshold parameter. The semantic label $SL_{hand} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_g}$ is denoted by the classification index of the link l , shown in Fig. 3 g), and is used to label the contact semantic categories of the object's point cloud. n_g represents the number of categories in the robotic hand classification. Consequently, contact semantic map in the object's point cloud $SL_{object} \in \mathbb{R}^{2048 \times (n_g+1)}$ is shown in Fig. 3 k) with the unmarked category representing the background and number of object points, set as 2048.

To represent contact distance map $\mathcal{C}(O, \Phi)$, minimal aligned distance ξ [20] is first estimated:

$$\xi(u_o, \Phi) = \min_{w_h \in \Phi} e^{1 - \langle v_{h_o}, n_o \rangle} \sqrt{\|v_{h_o}\|_2}, \quad (7)$$

where v_{h_o} represent vector pointing to object surface point u_o from hand surface point w_h , and n_o denotes the normal direction of u_o . $\langle v_{h_o}, n_o \rangle$ denotes the projected distance along normal direction of object point. The contact distance map $\mathcal{C}(O, \Phi)$ is defined as set of contact values $\{e(u_o, \Phi)\}$, $u_o \in O$.

$$e(u_o, \Phi) = 1 - 2(\text{Sigmoid}(\xi(u_o, \Phi)) - 0.5) \quad (8)$$

where $e(u_o, \Phi)$ approaches 1 as the hand gets closer to the object at point u_o and gradually tends towards 0 as the distance increases. Summarizing, $\Omega = \{SL_{object}, e\}$ represents the contact representations, including both contact semantic and distance mappings.

D. Grasping Detection from Contact Representations

In this study, we introduce CoSe-CVAE to extract contact representations from object point clouds, as shown in Fig. 4. Subsequently, to identify grasp poses from the contact maps, we propose a grasp detection methodology that involves sampling hand wrist poses from the point cloud followed by grasp optimization to refine both the hand's wrist pose and joint angles. Finally, grasp evaluation model is trained to estimate collision score and grasp quality.

1) *CoSe-CVAE*: Given the point cloud data of objects, we employ a generative model, Contact Semantic Conditional Variational Autoencoder (CoSe-CVAE), to learn the network for predicting contact point maps and semantic contact information. In the Encoder, the point cloud data, contact maps, and semantic maps are processed through PointNet [41] to extract both global and local features. These features are then utilized to predict the mean and variance, from which the latent space feature Z is sampled from the data distribution. In the Decoder, the latent space feature and the input point cloud data are utilized to initially predict the contact maps. The encoder and decoder parameters, φ and θ , are updated by maximizing the evidence lower bound (ELBO) of log-likelihood of $\log p_{\theta, \varphi}(\Omega_e | \mathcal{U}_o)$, as follows:

$$\log p_{\theta, \varphi}(\Omega_e | \mathcal{U}_o) \geq \mathbb{E}_{z \sim Z} [\log p_{\varphi}(\Omega_e | z, \mathcal{U}_o)] - D_{KL}(p_{\theta}(z | \Omega_e, \mathcal{U}_o) \| p_Z(z)) \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{z \sim Z} [\log p_{\varphi}(\Omega_e | z, O)] = \frac{1}{N_o} \sum_{i=0}^{N_o-1} \left\| \hat{\Omega}_e^i - \Omega_e^i \right\|^2$$

where, expectation of ELBO is estimated by reconstruction loss of contact distance map. Z represents standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$. D_{KL} denotes the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence. Ω_e and $\hat{\Omega}_e$ means the ground truth and estimated contact distance map within a set of N_o samples.

The contact distance map are further utilized to predict the semantic mapping using PointNet++ [41]. Weighted cross-entropy loss function is utilized in training.




Fig. 5. Multi-fingered robotic hand grasping dataset in cluttered environments. Grasping candidates under collision condition are plotted in blue. The grasp candidate is plotted in red, when grasp quality $q < 0.5$ and in green, when grasp quality $q > 0.5$.

2) *Grasping Detection*: To generate plausible hand poses based on prior information of hand-object distance and semantic segmentation map, we propose an optimization-based method for five-finger hand pose estimation. We first sample the initial wrist poses from point cloud using PointNetGPD [42]. The grasp representation is illustrated in the Fig. 4. Subsequently, an energy model-based optimizer incorporates contact information as a prior and performs local optimization on joint angles and wrist poses. The energy loss function f is formulated as follows:

$$f = f_s + E_{HO} + E_{Robot}$$

$$f_s = - \sum_{p'' \in O} P_s^*(p'') \log P_s(p'') \quad (10)$$

where, f_s denotes contact semantic cross-entropy loss, P_s and P_s^* represents estimated class distribution and ground truth of point p'' on object surface. We use Adam optimizer to update grasp poses g to maximize the energy f .

E. Grasp Evaluation Model

To select optimal grasp in cluttered scenes, we introduce an evaluation model to designed to quantify grasp quality q and collision probability s . As depicted in Fig. 4, our network architecture integrates inputs comprising the hand pose g , along with object point cloud \mathcal{U}_o . The point cloud undergoes processing via a PointNet encoder, which extracts latent space features. Concurrently, the hand pose is encoded utilizing a Multilayer Perceptron (MLP). The culmination of this process involves the application of PointNet decoders, which serve to estimate both the grasp quality q and the collision probability s from concatenated features.

IV. EXPERIMENT

A. Grasping Dataset

Incorporating 1,521 household models from our earlier work [43], our database employs five scale variations (0.06–0.15) on normalized meshes. We generated 2,000 scenes, contributing to a comprehensive robotic hand grasp dataset. This includes cluttered scene point clouds, grasp qualities, and affordances, illustrated in Fig. 5. Manual annotations provide object affordance labels such as handle grasp, wrap grasp, pour, press, cut and twist. Utilizing object affordance maps, we can generate manipulation poses corresponding to these affordances, as shown in Fig. 6.

B. Qualitative study of data synthesis method

1) *Compare two-jaw and multi-fingered grippers*: Two-finger grippers, primarily allowing parallel grasping, often




Fig. 6. Examples of grasping type considering object affordance maps and different manipulation poses.




Fig. 7. Results of grasp generation using GraspIt! [1], DexGraspNet [4] and proposed method.

struggle with complexly shaped objects due to the need for more contact points and ergonomics-aligned affordance. As shown in Fig. 5 and 6, our five-finger hand grasp generation encompasses various types, including precision manipulation, power grasp, and parallel grasp. Consequently, compared to two-finger grippers, our method can generate grasping poses with complex contact relationships, along with their corresponding affordance information.

2) *Diversity of grasping scenes*: Our method introduces a novel approach for generating grasps in cluttered scenes using multi-fingered robotic hand, a capability not supported by other existing methods [1], [4].

3) *Generation of contact representations and affordance-based manipulation poses*: Our approach uniquely estimates precise contact maps and semantic information, and generates affordance-based manipulation poses using object affordance maps. This functionality, absent in existing approaches [4], [20], represents a significant advancement.

4) *Diversity of grasping poses*: Our method, when compared with DexGraspNet [4] and GraspIt! [1] in single-object scenarios, demonstrates significant improvements.

As depicted in Fig. 7, the results from DexGraspNet and GraspIt! exhibit distinct limitations. GraspIt! [1] is hindered by slow performance and a propensity for local optimization issues. GraspIt requires 30 to 65 seconds to sample a grasp when the maximum step count is 70,000. In contrast, our method samples 16 instances and identifies the optimal grasp within 11 seconds. DexGraspNet [4], on the other hand, suffers from an influx of noisy samples due to its oversimplified surface modeling and inaccurate contact point predictions, stemming from erroneous normal vector estimations. Our approach, leveraging precise contact modeling as detailed in Sec. III-C. This addresses the issue of DexGraspNet’s prevalent ineffective samples. Furthermore, GraspIt! often falls into suboptimal local solutions, leading to inefficiency. In summary, our method significantly reduces the noisy




Fig. 8. Inference results, including GenDexGrasp [20] and our methods.

samples compared to DexGraspNet [4], and outperforms GraspIt! [1] in terms of diversity and efficiency.

C. Model Training

We train different baselines for comparison experiments using the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of $1e-4$ on NVIDIA RTX A6000 GPU.

- 1) Contact CVAE + Global grasp optimization. [20]
- 2) Contact CVAE + Proposed grasp detection method.
- 3) CoSe CVAE + Grasp detection method + Evaluator.

D. Qualitative study of grasp generation method

We compare our method with SOTA method [20] in fine-grained ability, generation accuracy, performance in cluttered scene, as shown in Fig. 8. Utilizing global optimization-based grasp generation leads to problems of wired gestures with sub-optimal energy, as depicted in panel a). By employing grasping detection methods, it is possible to circumvent falling into local sub-optimal solutions. Grasp generation solely based on contact distance maps [20] lacks precision. Subfigure b) illustrates this, showing a mismatch between the generated grasp posture and the target, where black pixels denote desired contact points. Incorporating semantic information into the grasping process significantly avoids local optimal candidate with opposite grasp pose, enhances the accuracy of the outcomes, leading to more effective grasp generation, as shown in subfigures c) and d). Our method includes an evaluation model capable of assessing grasping abilities in cluttered and chaotic environments.

E. Experimental Setup

We establish a dual-arm platform equipped with Diana7 robots and DLR-HIT II Five-Finger hand [39], [40] for conducting real-world experiments, as shown in Fig. 1. The control of the robotic hand is achieved through joint impedance control. For estimating 6d poses of objects using accurate 3d mesh models and rendering the scene’s point cloud, we employed two Intel RealSense D435 cameras. Grasped objects are shown in Fig. 9.

F. Quantitative Grasping Experiments

We conduct experiments in single-object grasping and grasping from cluttered environments, employing both our proposed method and baselines. Using our method, the




Fig. 9. Objects from YCB-Video dataset [44] and household objects.

TABLE II
OUTCOMES OF REAL-WORLD GRASPING EXPERIMENTS

| Method | Success Rate*(%) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | household object | YCB object | multi-obj |
| Baseline 1 [20] | 62.7 | 58.7 | - |
| Baseline 2 | 82.0 | 60.0 | - |
| Baseline 3 | 85.3 | 76.7 | 75.3 |

* Success rate is determined by executing 150 grasping attempts.

single-object grasping average success rate reaches 81.0%, surpassing other baseline approaches [20]. Our grasp detection methods enhance the accuracy of grasping candidate selection. Additionally, our evaluation model significantly aids in filtering out unfeasible grasps for complex objects. In multi-object grasping scenarios, our method achieves a 75.3% success rate.

Most grasping failures are due to object slippage and ineffective force closure. Errors in joint angles during grasp generation cause slippage, while inaccuracies during the process prevent proper force closure. Failures often occur on object handles, where force-closure areas are limited.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We present an innovative data generation method for multi-fingered robotic hand grasping in cluttered environments and a novel grasp generation method to generate accurate grasp candidates through contact semantic information. Generated dataset encompasses various aspects such as grasp postures, quality, collision scores, semantic mapping, and affordance information in cluttered scenes. The data generation method surpasses current SOTA techniques [1], [4] by producing a broader range of modalities. During grasp generation, we train the CoSe-CVAE model, along with grasping detection and evaluation methods. It efficiently generates refined grasp poses from semantic cues and evaluates them for quality and collision risks. Empirical validation in real-world experiments demonstrates the superiority of our approach, achieving an average grasping success rate of 81.0% in single-object scenarios and 75.3% in multi-object settings. Additionally, our method shows stable performance in generating grasps from contact information, outperforming GenDexGrasp [20] in terms of accurate grasp generation and collision avoidance. Future work will focus on developing an efficient policy to reliably estimate manipulation trajectory based on multi-modal information.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. T. Miller and P. K. Allen, “GraspIt! a versatile simulator for robotic grasping,” *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 110–122, 2004.
- [2] D. Berenson and S. S. Srinivasa, “Grasp synthesis in cluttered environments for dexterous hands,” in *Humanoids 2008-8th IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 189–196.
- [3] T. Liu, Z. Liu, Z. Jiao, Y. Zhu, and S.-C. Zhu, “Synthesizing diverse and physically stable grasps with arbitrary hand structures using differentiable force closure estimator,” *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 470–477, 2021.

- [4] R. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Chen, Y. Xu, P. Li, T. Liu, and H. Wang, "Dexgraspnet: A large-scale robotic dexterous grasp dataset for general objects based on simulation," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 11 359–11 366.
- [5] S. Brahmabhatt, A. Handa, J. Hays, and D. Fox, "Contactgrasp: Functional multi-finger grasp synthesis from contact," in *2019 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 2386–2393.
- [6] B. Wu, I. Akinola, and P. K. Allen, "Pixel-attentive policy gradient for multi-fingered grasping in cluttered scenes," in *2019 IEEE/RSJ International conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1789–1796.
- [7] M. Corsaro, S. Tellex, and G. Konidaris, "Learning to detect multi-modal grasps for dexterous grasping in dense clutter," in *2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 4647–4653.
- [8] Z. Li, S. Li, K. Han, X. Li, Y. Xiong, and Z. Xie, "Planning multi-fingered grasps with reachability awareness in unrestricted workspace," *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, vol. 107, no. 3, p. 39, 2023.
- [9] Y. Li, W. Wei, D. Li, P. Wang, W. Li, and J. Zhong, "Hgc-net: Deep anthropomorphic hand grasping in clutter," in *2022 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 714–720.
- [10] W. Wei, Y. Luo, F. Li, G. Xu, J. Zhong, W. Li, and P. Wang, "Gpr: Grasp pose refinement network for cluttered scenes," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 4295–4302.
- [11] M. Sundermeyer, A. Mousavian, R. Triebel, and D. Fox, "Contact-graspnet: Efficient 6-dof grasp generation in cluttered scenes," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 13 438–13 444.
- [12] M. Breyer, J. J. Chung, L. Ott, R. Siegwart, and J. Nieto, "Volumetric grasping network: Real-time 6 dof grasp detection in clutter," in *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 1602–1611.
- [13] H.-S. Fang, C. Wang, M. Gou, and C. Lu, "Graspnet-1billion: A large-scale benchmark for general object grasping," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2020, pp. 11 444–11 453.
- [14] C. Wang, H.-S. Fang, M. Gou, H. Fang, J. Gao, and C. Lu, "Graspnet discovery in cluttered scenes for fast and accurate grasp detection," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 15 964–15 973.
- [15] E. Corona, A. Pumarola, G. Alenya, F. Moreno-Noguer, and G. Rogez, "Ganhand: Predicting human grasp affordances in multi-object scenes," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2020, pp. 5031–5041.
- [16] D. Turpin, T. Zhong, S. Zhang, G. Zhu, J. Liu, R. Singh, E. Heiden, M. Macklin, S. Tsogkas, S. Dickinson *et al.*, "Fast-grasp'd: Dexterous multi-finger grasp generation through differentiable simulation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08132*, 2023.
- [17] Y.-W. Chao, W. Yang, Y. Xiang, P. Molchanov, A. Handa, J. Tremblay, Y. S. Narang, K. Van Wyk, U. Iqbal, S. Birchfield *et al.*, "Dexycb: A benchmark for capturing hand grasping of objects," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2021, pp. 9044–9053.
- [18] S. Brahmabhatt, C. Tang, C. D. Twigg, C. C. Kemp, and J. Hays, "Contactpose: A dataset of grasps with object contact and hand pose," in *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XIII 16*. Springer, 2020, pp. 361–378.
- [19] T. Zhu, R. Wu, X. Lin, and Y. Sun, "Toward human-like grasp: Dexterous grasping via semantic representation of object-hand," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 15 741–15 751.
- [20] P. Li, T. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Geng, Y. Zhu, Y. Yang, and S. Huang, "Gendex-grasp: Generalizable dexterous grasping," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 8068–8074.
- [21] H. Jiang, S. Liu, J. Wang, and X. Wang, "Hand-object contact consistency reasoning for human grasps generation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 11 107–11 116.
- [22] L. Yang, X. Zhan, K. Li, W. Xu, J. Li, and C. Lu, "Cpf: Learning a contact potential field to model the hand-object interaction," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 11 097–11 106.
- [23] L. Shao, F. Ferreira, M. Jorda, V. Nambiar, J. Luo, E. Solowjow, J. A. Ojea, O. Khatib, and J. Bohg, "Unigrasp: Learning a unified model to grasp with multifingered robotic hands," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 2286–2293, 2020.
- [24] A. Wu, M. Guo, and C. K. Liu, "Learning diverse and physically feasible dexterous grasps with generative model and bilevel optimization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.00195*, 2022.
- [25] H. B. Amor, O. Kroemer, U. Hillenbrand, G. Neumann, and J. Peters, "Generalization of human grasping for multi-fingered robot hands," in *2012 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 2043–2050.
- [26] W. Chen, H. Liang, Z. Chen, F. Sun, and J. Zhang, "Learning 6-dof task-oriented grasp detection via implicit estimation and visual affordance," in *2022 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 762–769.
- [27] M. Liu, Z. Pan, K. Xu, K. Ganguly, and D. Manocha, "Deep differentiable grasp planner for high-dof grippers," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.01530*, 2020.
- [28] J. Lundell, E. Corona, T. N. Le, F. Verdoja, P. Weinzapfel, G. Rogez, F. Moreno-Noguer, and V. Kyrki, "Multi-fingan: Generative coarse-to-fine sampling of multi-finger grasps," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 4495–4501.
- [29] J. Lundell, F. Verdoja, and V. Kyrki, "Ddgc: Generative deep dexterous grasping in clutter," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 6899–6906, 2021.
- [30] O. Taheri, N. Ghorbani, M. J. Black, and D. Tzionas, "Grab: A dataset of whole-body human grasping of objects," in *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part IV 16*. Springer, 2020, pp. 581–600.
- [31] C. Goldfeder, M. Ciocarlie, H. Dang, and P. K. Allen, "The columbia grasp database," in *2009 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 1710–1716.
- [32] D. Tzionas, "Capturing hand-object interaction and reconstruction of manipulated objects," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Bonn, 2017. [Online]. Available: <http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2017/4626/4626.htm>
- [33] L. Zhang, K. Bai, Q. Li, Z. Chen, and J. Zhang, "A collision-aware cable grasping method in cluttered environment," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.14498*, 2024.
- [34] W. Wei, D. Li, P. Wang, Y. Li, W. Li, Y. Luo, and J. Zhong, "Dvvg: Deep variational grasp generation for dextrous manipulation," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 1659–1666, 2022.
- [35] A. Mousavian, C. Eppner, and D. Fox, "6-dof graspnet: Variational grasp generation for object manipulation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2019, pp. 2901–2910.
- [36] J. Uraïn, N. Funk, G. Chalvatzaki, and J. Peters, "Se (3)-diffusionfields: Learning cost functions for joint grasp and motion optimization through diffusion," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.03855*, 2022.
- [37] T. Feix, J. Romero, H.-B. Schmiemayer, A. M. Dollar, and D. Kragic, "The grasp taxonomy of human grasp types," *IEEE Transactions on human-machine systems*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 66–77, 2015.
- [38] Q. Liu, Y. Cui, Q. Ye, Z. Sun, H. Li, G. Li, L. Shao, and J. Chen, "Dexrepnet: Learning dexterous robotic grasping network with geometric and spatial hand-object representations," in *2023 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 3153–3160.
- [39] H. Liu, K. Wu, P. Meusel, N. Seitz, G. Hirzinger, M. Jin, Y. Liu, S. Fan, T. Lan, and Z. Chen, "Multisensory five-finger dexterous hand: The dlr/hit hand ii," in *2008 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 3692–3697.
- [40] Z. Chen, N. Y. Lii, T. Wimboeck, S. Fan, M. Jin, C. H. Borst, and H. Liu, "Experimental study on impedance control for the five-finger dexterous robot hand dlr-hit ii," in *2010 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*. IEEE, 2010, pp. 5867–5874.
- [41] C. R. Qi, H. Su, K. Mo, and L. J. Guibas, "Pointnet: Deep learning on point sets for 3d classification and segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2017, pp. 652–660.
- [42] H. Liang, X. Ma, S. Li, M. Görner, S. Tang, B. Fang, F. Sun, and J. Zhang, "Pointnetgpd: Detecting grasp configurations from point sets," in *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 3629–3635.
- [43] L. Zhang, K. Bai, Z. Chen, Y. Shi, and J. Zhang, "Towards precise model-free robotic grasping with sim-to-real transfer learning," in *2022 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics (ROBIO)*, 2022, pp. 1–8.
- [44] B. Calli, A. Walsman, A. Singh, S. Srinivasa, P. Abbeel, and A. M. Dollar, "Benchmarking in manipulation research: The ycb object and model set and benchmarking protocols," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.03143*, 2015.