

Approximative compactness in Bochner spaces

Guillaume Grelier* & Jaime San Martín†

April 24, 2024

Abstract

For any $p \in [1, \infty)$, we prove that the set of simple functions taking at most k different values is proximal in Bochner spaces $L^p(X)$ whenever X is a dual Banach space with w^* -sequentially compact unit ball. With additional properties on X and its norm, we show these sets are approximatively w^* -compact for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and even approximatively norm-compact under stronger hypothesis.

Key words: Proximal, Approximatively compact, Bochner spaces.

MSC: Primary 28C20, 41A30, 46G12.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the approximation of measurable functions by simple functions taking at most k values for $k \geq 1$. This problem has important consequences in multiple applications, where for example, one seeks for reduction of dimensionality, among many others.

We consider a measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and a Banach space X . The set of simple functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X)$ (or simply $\mathcal{S}(X)$ if the measure space is clear from the context), that is

$$\mathcal{S}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} : n \geq 1, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F} \right\}.$$

We recall that a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is strongly measurable if it is a pointwise limit of a sequence of simple functions. The definition of the Bochner spaces is the following:

$$L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X) = \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow X : f \text{ is strongly measurable and } \int_{\Omega} \|f\|^p d\mu < \infty \right\} \text{ if } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X) = \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow X : f \text{ is strongly measurable such that } \exists r > 0 \mu(\{\|f\| > r\}) = 0 \right\}.$$

Endowed with the norm defined by

$$\|f\|_p = \begin{cases} (\int_{\Omega} \|f\|^p d\mu)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \inf \{r \geq 0 : \mu(\{\|f\| > r\}) = 0\} & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, Campus de Espinardo, 30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain email: g.grelier@um.es

†CMM-DIM; Universidad de Chile; UMI-CNRS 2807; Casilla 170-3 Correo 3 Santiago; Chile. email: jsanmart@dim.uchile.cl

$L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X)$ becomes a Banach spaces. Again, if no confusion is possible, we just write $L^p(X)$ instead of $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X)$. For more information about Bochner spaces, we refer the reader to [5].

In what follows, for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $k \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X)$, or simply $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$, the set of simple functions given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu) : l \leq k, \{A_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq l} \subset \mathcal{F} \text{ partition of } \Omega, x_1, \dots, x_l \in X \right\}.$$

If $f \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X)$, the distance between f and $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f)$, that is

$$\mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f) = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}} \|f - g\|_p.$$

Before stating our main results, we recall some notions from approximation theory. Let Z be a Banach space and let K be a subset of Z . The *metric projection* on K is the multi-valued mapping $P_K : Z \rightrightarrows K$ defined by $P_K(z) = \{w \in K : \|z - w\| = d(z, K)\}$ (where $d(A, B)$ is the distance between two subsets A and B of Z). If $z \in Z$ and if $(w_n)_n \subset K$ is a sequence such that $\|z - w_n\| \rightarrow d(z, K)$, we say that $(w_n)_n$ is a *minimizing sequence* for z and if $w \in K$ is such that $\|z - w\| = d(z, K)$, we say that w is a *minimizer* for z . Consider τ a regular mode of convergence (resp. sequential convergence) We say that:

- (a) K is *proximal* if $P_K(z)$ is not empty for all $z \in Z$;
- (b) K is *Chebyshev* if $P_K(z)$ is a singleton for all $z \in Z$;
- (c) K is *approximately τ -compact* (resp. *approximately sequentially τ -compact*) if for any $z \in Z$, any minimizing sequence for z admits a τ -convergent subnet (resp. subsequence) to a point in K .

For the definition of regular mode of convergence and for more information about approximately compactness, we refer the reader to [3].

In our case if $g \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ is such that $\|f - g\|_p = \mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f)$, we say that g is a **minimizer** for f in $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$. A sequence $(g_n)_n \subset \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ is called a **minimizing sequence** for f if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - g_n\|_p = \mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f).$$

The main results of this article are the following two theorems, which generalize the results in [10] for real valued functions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, $p \in [1, +\infty)$, $k \geq 1$ and X be a dual Banach space with w^* -sequentially compact unit ball. Then $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ is proximal.*

Note that the class of dual Banach spaces with w^* -sequentially compact unit ball is very large. In fact, it includes dual spaces of a separable space (and more generally of a Gâteaux differentiability space, see Theorem 2.1.2 in [7]) and reflexive spaces for example. If X is a finite dimensional Banach space, the previous result is also true for $p = \infty$ (see Remark 2).

The Radon-Nikodym property (in short, RNP) is a well-known property of Banach spaces. For more information about it, we refer the reader to [2] for geometric characterizations of the RNP (see Theorem 2.3.6) and to [13] for characterizations in terms of martingales (see Theorem 2.9). If X is a Banach space, it is worth pointing out that X^* has the RNP if and only if X is a Asplund space (see Theorem 5.7 in [12]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $k \geq 1$ and X be the dual space of a Banach space with the RNP and assume that the unit ball of X is w^* -sequentially compact. Suppose that the norm of X is Gâteaux differentiable. Then $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ is approximately w^* -compact.*

Since reflexivity implies RNP (see Corollary 2.15 in [13] for instance), the previous result applies to any reflexive Banach space endowed with a Gâteaux differentiable norm.

With an additional hypothesis, we obtain a stronger result:

Corollary 1.3. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $k \geq 1$ and X be a Banach space with a uniformly convex and Gâteaux differentiable norm. Then $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ is approximately norm-compact.*

Enflo's theorem (see [6]) states that a Banach space X is superreflexive if and only if it admits an equivalent uniformly convex norm. In this case, it is always possible to find an equivalent norm on X which is uniformly convex and Gâteaux differentiable (even uniformly Fréchet differentiable, see Theorem 9.14 in [9]). Moreover, using a technique called Asplund averaging (see [4], p.52), it can be proved that the sets of equivalent norms being uniformly convex and Gâteaux differentiable is dense in the set of all equivalent norms.

In Section 2, we introduce two concepts that play an important role in the proofs of the main results. One of them is the Voronoi cells associated to a finite subset of X (see Definition 2.1). The other one is the p -th mean of a function $f \in L^p$ over a measurable set A . In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we provide results about special forms for minimizers (see Definition 2.3 and 4.1). In Theorem 4.2, we show that all minimizers are in special f -Voronoi form, when $1 \leq p < \infty$. In Proposition 4.4, assuming the norm in X is Gâteaux differentiable and $1 < p < \infty$, we show that every minimizer is in simple special f -Voronoi form. This concept, is crucial to prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 5 (see also there the proof of Corollary 1.3). Finally, in Appendix A, we summarize some properties about p -th means.

2 Some notations

We believe that our notation is quite standard. For example, the cardinal of a set I is denoted by $|I|$. The closure, the interior and the boundary of a subset A of a Banach space X are respectively denoted by \bar{A} , $\text{int}(A)$ and ∂A . The distance between two subsets A and B of X will be denoted by $d(A, B)$.

Now we introduce some definitions and specific notations that will be used in this paper.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Banach space and $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset X$ be a finite set of different points. The **Voronoi cells associated to \mathbf{x}** is the finite collection $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \{V_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ given by

$$V_i = \left\{ y \in X : \|y - x_i\| \leq \min_{j \neq i} \|y - x_j\| \right\}.$$

Notice that each V_i is closed and it is star convex with respect to x_i . Also x_i is in the interior of V_i and $y \in \partial V_i$ if and only if $y \in V_i$ and there exists $j \neq i$ such that $\|y - x_i\| = \|y - x_j\|$. Therefore

$$\text{int}(V_i) = \{y \in X : \|y - x_i\| < \|y - x_j\|, \text{ for all } j \neq i\}.$$

This shows that $\text{int}(V_i) \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$.

Definition 2.2. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space and X be a Banach space. Let $h = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ a simple function.

- We say that h is in **reduced form** if the x_i 's are all different and $\{A_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ is a measurable partition of Ω with sets of positive measure, except for a set of measure 0, that is $\mu(A_i \cap A_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\mu(\Omega \setminus \cup_i A_i) = 0$.
- We also define the **degree** of h by

$$\deg(h) = \min \left\{ l \geq 1 : \exists g = \sum_{i=1}^l y_i \mathbb{1}_{B_i} \in \mathcal{S}(X) \text{ such that } h = g \text{ a.e.} \right\}.$$

Remark 1. When μ is an infinite measure, $p < \infty$ and $h \in L^p(X)$ is in reduced form, then there exists a unique index $1 \leq i_0 \leq \deg(h)$ so that $x_{i_0} = 0$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq \deg(h)$ with $i \neq i_0$, it holds $\mu(A_i) < \infty$. By reordering the terms in h we assume always that $i_0 = 1$.

Definition 2.3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space and X be a Banach space. Let $f \in L^p(X)$ and $h = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ in reduced form. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset X$ and $\mathcal{V}(x) = \{V_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$. We say that h is in **f -Voronoi form** if it holds that $A_i \subset f^{-1}(V_i)$ μ -a.e. for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Note that, since $\{A_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ is a partition, we can assume further that $f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_i)) \subset A_i$ holds μ -a.e., for all i .

Definition 2.4. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space and X be a Banach space. Let $f \in L^p(X)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$. The function $M_p(f, A) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is defined by

$$M_p(f, A)(x) = \begin{cases} \int_A \|f(w) - x\|^p \mu(dw) & \text{if } p < \infty \\ \|(f - x) \mathbb{1}_A\|_\infty & \text{if } p = \infty \end{cases},$$

We denote by $\underline{M}_p(f, A) = \inf_{y \in X} M_p(f, A)(y)$, the infimum of $M_p(f, A)$. Given $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we say that $x \in X$ is an **ε - p -th mean** for f in A if

$$M_p(f, A)(x) \leq \underline{M}_p(f, A) + \varepsilon.$$

In case $\varepsilon = 0$, we simply say x is a **p -th mean** of f in A .

Some properties of the function $M_p(f, A)$ and the ε - p -th means used in this document are presented in the Appendix A.

3 Existence of a minimizer. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proposition 3.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, X a Banach space, $p \in [1, \infty]$, $f \in L^p(X)$ and $k \geq 1$. Let $(h_n)_n \subset \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ a minimizing sequence for f . Then there exist a uniformly bounded sequence $(g_n)_n \subset \mathcal{G}_{p,k}$ and a subsequence $(h'_n)_n$ of $(h_n)_n$ such that $\|g_n - h'_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$, in particular $\|f - g_n\| \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f)$.

Proof. The case $p = \infty$ is evident. So we assume that $p < \infty$. Let us write h_n in reduced form

$$h_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(n)} x_{i,n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}} \in \mathcal{G}_k(X),$$

where $\ell(n) = \deg(h_n) \leq k$. By considering a subsequence if necessary, we also assume $\ell(n) = \ell$ is fixed. By taking a further subsequence if necessary, we can and do assume that $(\|x_{i,n}\|)_n$ converges in \mathbb{R} . Note that $(h_n)_n$ is a bounded sequence in $L^p(X)$. Define

$$I = \{i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} : \|x_{i,n}\| \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$g_n = \sum_{i \notin I} x_{i,n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}} + 0 \mathbb{1}_{B_n} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k^*}(X) \subset \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X),$$

where $B_n = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i,n}$ and k^* is defined by $k^* = \ell \leq k$ if I is empty and $k^* \leq \ell - |I| + 1 \leq k$ if not. Since $(h_n)_n$ is bounded and $\|h_n\|_p^p \geq \mu(B_n) \min_{i \in I} \|x_{i,n}\|_p^p$, it follows that $\mu(B_n) \rightarrow 0$. We have that

$$\|h_n - g_n\|_p^p = \sum_{i \in I} \|x_{i,n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}\|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|(f - x_{i,n}) \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}\|_p^p + \int_{B_n} \|f(w)\|_p^p d\mu(w) \right).$$

Since $\int_{B_n} \|f(x)\|_p^p d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$, it remains to show that $\sum_{i \in I} \|(f - x_{i,n}) \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}\|_p^p \rightarrow 0$. We have that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f)^p &\leq \|f - g_n\|_p^p \leq \|f - g_n\|^p + \sum_{i \in I} \|(f - x_{i,n}) \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}\|_p^p \\ &= \|f - h_n\|_p^p + \|f \mathbb{1}_{B_n}\|_p^p \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f)^p, \end{aligned}$$

proving that $\sum_{i \in I} \|(f - x_{i,n}) \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}\|_p^p \rightarrow 0$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, X a Banach space, $p \in [1, \infty]$, $f \in L^p(X)$ and $k \geq 1$. Suppose that there exists a minimizing sequence $(g_n)_n \subset \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ such that the reduced form of g_n is given by*

$$g_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}},$$

where $\deg(g_n) = \ell \leq k$ and $x_1, \dots, x_\ell \in X$. Then, there exists a minimizer $g \in \mathcal{G}_{p,\ell}(X)$ for f in f -Voronoi form.

Proof. Since $g_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}$ is in reduced form, we have the x_i 's are all different. Consider $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \{V_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq \ell}$ the Voronoi decomposition of X associated to $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i\}_{i \leq \ell}$. Define the following modification of $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x})$. Let $D_1 = V_1$ and define recursively for $2 \leq j \leq \ell$

$$D_j = V_j \setminus \bigcup_{m < j} D_m \tag{3.1}$$

Notice that $\text{int}(V_j) \subset D_j \subset V_j$ for all j and that $(D_j)_{1 \leq j \leq \ell}$ is a partition of X . For all i, j and all $w \in A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_j)$, we have

$$\|f(w) - x_j\| \leq \|f(w) - x_i\|, \tag{3.2}$$

with equality if $i = j$. Consider g given by

$$g = \sum_{i,j} x_j \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_j)} = \sum_j x_j \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_j)}.$$

We notice that $g_n = \sum_{i,j} x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_j)}$. Then, when $p = \infty$, it holds

$$\|f - g\|_\infty = \max_{i,j} \|(f - x_j) \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_j)}\|_\infty \leq \max_{i,j} \|(f - x_i) \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_j)}\|_\infty = \|f - g_n\|_\infty$$

proving that g is a minimizer. Next, assume that $p < \infty$. Integrating the inequality (3.2), we obtain that

$$\|f-g\|_p^p = \sum_{i,j} \int_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_j)} \|f(w)-x_j\|^p \mu(dw) \leq \sum_{i,j} \int_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_j)} \|f(w)-x_i\|^p \mu(dw) = \|f-g_n\|_p^p,$$

and then g is again a minimizer.

Now, let us show that g is in f -Voronoi form. For that, consider $J = \{j : \mu(f^{-1}(D_j)) > 0\}$, which is not empty because $(f^{-1}(D_j))_{j \in J}$ is a partition except for a set of measure 0. Consider $\mathbf{y} = \{x_j\}_{j \in J}$ and the Voronoi cells associated $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{y}) = \{W_j\}_{j \in J}$. Since $D_j \subset V_j \subset W_j$, we have

$$g = \sum_{j \in J} x_j \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_j)}$$

is in f -Voronoi form. □

Proof. (**Theorem 1.1**) By Proposition 3.1, there exists a uniformly bounded minimizing sequence $(h_n)_n$. We write h_n in reduced form

$$h_n = \sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} x_{i,n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X),$$

where $l(n) \leq k$. For all $1 \leq i \leq l$, the sequence $(x_{i,n})_n$ is bounded. By w^* -sequentially compactness and taking a subsequence if necessary, we can suppose that $\ell(n) = \ell < k$ is constant and

$$x_{i,n} \xrightarrow{w^*} x_i.$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$g_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X),$$

where we assume without loss of generality that all $(x_i)_{i \leq \ell}$ are different. In case $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$, we can suppose that $x_1 = 0$ and $\mu(A_{i,n}) < \infty$ for all $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ (see Remark 1).

To continue with the proof, we first assume that $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. Let us prove that $(g_n)_n$ admits a minimizing subsequence. Since $(g_n)_n$ and $(h_n)_n$ are uniformly bounded on a finite measure space, it is easy to see that the sequence $(\|h_n - f\|_p^p - \|g_n - f\|_p^p)_n$ is bounded below by an integrable function. Then, Fatou's lemma implies that

$$\liminf_n \|h_n - f\|_p^p - \|g_n - f\|_p^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \int \liminf_n \alpha_{i,n}(\omega) d\mu(\omega),$$

where $\alpha_{i,n}(\omega) = (\|x_{i,n} - f(\omega)\|_p^p - \|x_i - f(\omega)\|_p^p) \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}(\omega)$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and take $\omega \in \Omega$. We define $I(\omega) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \omega \in A_{i,n}\}$. The accumulation points of the sequence $(\alpha_{i,n}(\omega))_{n \in I(\omega)}$ are non-negative by w^* -semi-continuity of the norm and since $(\alpha_{i,n}(\omega))_{n \in I(\omega)^c}$ is the null sequence, we deduce that the accumulation points of $(\alpha_{i,n}(\omega))_n$ are also non-negative. It follows that $\liminf_n \alpha_{i,n}(\omega) \geq 0$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and any $\omega \in \Omega$. Then, we obtain that

$$\liminf_n \|h_n - f\|_p^p - \|g_n - f\|_p^p \geq 0,$$

and it follows that $(g_n)_n$ admits a minimizing subsequence. We conclude this case using Proposition 3.2.

Now, we assume $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, consider $G_\varepsilon = \{w : \|f(w)\| \geq \varepsilon\}$, which is a set of finite measure. By Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f)^p &= \lim_n \|f - h_n\|_p^p \geq \liminf_n \|(f - h_n)\mathbb{1}_{G_\varepsilon}\|_p^p \\ &= \liminf_n (\|(f - h_n)\mathbb{1}_{G_\varepsilon}\|_p^p - \|(f - g_n)\mathbb{1}_{G_\varepsilon}\|_p^p + \|(f - g_n)\mathbb{1}_{G_\varepsilon}\|_p^p) \\ &\geq \liminf_n (\|(f - h_n)\mathbb{1}_{G_\varepsilon}\|_p^p - \|(f - g_n)\mathbb{1}_{G_\varepsilon}\|_p^p) + \liminf_n \|(f - g_n)\mathbb{1}_{G_\varepsilon}\|_p^p \\ &\geq \liminf_n \|(f - g_n)\mathbb{1}_{G_\varepsilon}\|_p^p \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon = 2^{-r}$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$, take n_r so that with $B_r = G_{2^{-r}}$

$$\|(f - g_{n_r})\mathbb{1}_{B_r}\|_p^p \leq \mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f)^p + 2^{-r}$$

Recall that $x_1 = 0$, that is $g_n(w) = 0$ for all $w \in A_{1,n}$. Note that

$$\tilde{g}_{n_r} = g_{n_r}\mathbb{1}_{B_r} = \sum_{i=2}^l x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n_r} \cap B_r} + 0 \mathbb{1}_{A_{1,n_r} \cup B_r^c} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,l}(X) \subset \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X),$$

and

$$\|f - \tilde{g}_{n_r}\|_p^p = \|(f - g_{n_r})\mathbb{1}_{B_r}\|_p^p + \int_{B_r^c \setminus A_{\zeta,n_r}} \|f(w)\|^p \mu(dw) \leq \mathcal{D}_{p,k}(f)^p + 2^{-r} + \int_{B_r^c} \|f(w)\|^p \mu(dw).$$

Notice that $\|f\|_p^p \mathbb{1}_{B_r^c} = \|f\|_p^p \mathbb{1}_{\|f\| \leq 2^{-r}}$ converges pointwise to 0 and it is dominated by $\|f\|_p^p$. This shows that the sequence $(\tilde{g}_{n_r})_r$ is a minimizing sequence. We conclude again by Proposition 3.2. \square

Remark 2. Note that if X is finite-dimensional then the previous result also holds for $p = \infty$. In fact, in this case, we have that $x_{i,n} \rightarrow x_i$. It follows that

$$\|g_n - f\|_\infty \leq \|g_n - h_n\|_\infty + \|h_n - f\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \|x_{i,n} - x_i\| + \|h_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\infty,k}(f),$$

proving that $(g_n)_n$ is a minimizing sequence. Then the conclusion follows by Proposition 3.2.

4 Particular form of a minimizer

Definition 4.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, X a Banach space. Let $f \in L^p(X)$ and $h = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ in f -Voronoi form. We say that h is in **special f -Voronoi form** if x_i is a p -th mean of f in A_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Moreover, we say that h is in **simple special f -Voronoi form** if for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ it holds $\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_i)) = 0$, that is $A_i = f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_i))$ holds μ -a.e.

Proposition 4.1. Let X be a Banach space, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $k \geq 1$ and $f \in L_p(X)$. Assume f has a minimizer $g \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ so that $\deg(g) = r < k$. Then $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p,r}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, we can assume that g is in special f -Voronoi form

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_i)}.$$

Since f is the pointwise limit of simple functions, we can and do suppose that f has separable range. Then defining $Y = \overline{\text{span}}(f(\Omega) \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_r\})$ if necessary, we can also assume that X is separable. Let us prove that $f \in \mathcal{G}_r$. By contradiction, suppose that $f \notin \mathcal{G}_r$. In particular, we have

$$\mu(f^{-1}(\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}^c)) > 0.$$

Note that

$$f^{-1}(\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}^c) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{N}, t \geq 1} f^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i \setminus B(x_i, 1/t) \right),$$

which implies that there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \geq 1$ such that

$$\mu \left(f^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^r D_i \setminus B(x_i, 1/t) \right) \right) > 0.$$

Since x_i lies in the interior of D_i , we can and do assume that $B(x_i, 1/t) \subset D_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Consider an index $1 \leq j \leq r$, for which

$$\mu(f^{-1}(D_j \setminus B(x_j, 1/t))) > 0.$$

Let $(y_n)_n$ be a dense sequence in $A := D_j \setminus B(x_j, 1/t)$. Since $f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_n f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B(y_n, \frac{1}{2t}))$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(C) > 0$ where

$$C = f^{-1} \left(A \cap B \left(y_n, \frac{1}{2t} \right) \right) = f^{-1}(D_j \setminus B(x_j, 1/t)) \cap f^{-1} \left(B \left(y_n, \frac{1}{2t} \right) \right) \subset f^{-1}(D_j).$$

Observe that, for $w \in C$ we have $\|f(w) - y_n\| < \frac{1}{2t} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f(w) - x_j\|$. Define

$$\tilde{g} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r, i \neq j} x_i \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_i)} + x_j \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_j) \setminus C} + y_n \mathbb{1}_C \in \mathcal{G}_{p,r+1} \subset \mathcal{G}_{p,k}.$$

One has that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - g\|_p^p &= \|f - \tilde{g}\|_p^p + \int_C \|f(w) - x_j\|^p - \|f(w) - y_n\|^p \mu(dw) \\ &\geq \|f - \tilde{g}\|_p^p + (1 - 2^{-p}) \int_C \|f(w) - x_j\|^p \mu(dw) \\ &\geq \|f - \tilde{g}\|_p^p + (1 - 2^{-p}) t^{-p} \mu(C), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the minimality of g and concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, X a Banach space, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $k \geq 1$ and $f \in L_p(X)$. Then, all minimizers $h \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ for f have a reduced form in special f -Voronoi form and fulfill that $\deg(h) = k$ whenever $f \notin \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$.*

Proof. When $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$ the result follows directly. So, assume that $f \notin \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$. Assume that $h = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ is a minimizer. From what we have proved, we have all x_1, \dots, x_k are different and $\mu(A_i) > 0$ for all i , that is, h has degree k . Consider $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \{V_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ the Voronoi cells associated to $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$. Assume by contradiction that $\mu(A_i \setminus f^{-1}(V_i)) > 0$ for some i . Consider $Z_j = V_j \setminus V_i$ for all j and we refine $(Z_j)_j$ to get a partition of V_i^c as follows

$$D_1 = Z_1, \text{ and } D_j = Z_j \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq r < j} D_r, j = 2, \dots, k$$

Notice that $D_i = Z_i = \emptyset$, $A_i \setminus f^{-1}(V_i) = \bigcup_{j \neq i} A_i \cap f^{-1}(D_j)$, and $\bigcup_{j \neq i} D_j = V_i^c$. Then for all $w \in A_i \setminus f^{-1}(V_i)$ it holds

$$\|f(w) - x_i\|^p = \sum_{j \neq i} \|f(w) - x_i\|^p \mathbb{1}_{A_i \cap f^{-1}(D_j)}(w) > \sum_{j \neq i} \|f(w) - x_j\|^p \mathbb{1}_{A_i \cap f^{-1}(D_j)}(w)$$

This shows that

$$g = x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i \cap f^{-1}(V_i)} + \sum_{j \neq i} x_j \mathbb{1}_{A_j \cup (A_i \cap f^{-1}(D_j))} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k},$$

satisfies

$$\|f - g\|_p^p < \|f - h\|_p^p,$$

which is a contradiction and then $A_i \subset f^{-1}(V_i)$ holds μ -a.s. proving that g is in f -Voronoi form.

Now, we prove h is in special f -Voronoi form. Assume that for some $j_0 \in J$, x_{j_0} is not a p -th mean for f in $f^{-1}(D_{j_0})$, then for some $\varepsilon > 0$ it holds

$$\underline{M}_p(f, A) + \varepsilon \leq M_p(f, A)(x_{j_0}),$$

and then there exists $x_{j_0}(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$M_p(f, A)(x_{j_0}(\varepsilon)) + \varepsilon/2 \leq M_p(f, A)(x_{j_0}),$$

which will lead to a contradiction. Indeed, denote by

$$\tilde{h} = \sum_{j \in J, j \neq j_0} x_j \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_j)} + x_{j_0}(\varepsilon) \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_{j_0})} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X),$$

then

$$\|f - h\|_p^p - \|f - \tilde{h}\|_p^p = \int_{f^{-1}(D_{j_0})} (\|f(w) - x_{j_0}\|_p^p - \|f(w) - x_{j_0}(\varepsilon)\|_p^p) \mu(dw) > \varepsilon/2,$$

contradicting the minimality of h . □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, X a Banach space, $p \in [1, \infty]$, $k \geq 1$ and $f \in L_p(X)$. Suppose that $h = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$ is a minimizer for f in reduced form in $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$, with $\deg(h) = s \leq k$. Consider*

- $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \{V_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$;
- a measurable set $Z \subset \partial V_1$;
- $D_1(Z) = Z \cup \text{int}(V_1)$ and $D_i(Z) = V_i \setminus \bigcup_{r=1}^{i-1} D_r(Z)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq s$.

Then $h_Z = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_i(Z))}$ is a minimizer for f in $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$.

Proof. Notice that $\text{int}(V_i) \subset D_i(Z) \subset V_i$ and then if $w \in A_i \cap f^{-1}(D_j(Z))$ it holds that

$$\|f(w) - x_j\| \leq \|f(w) - x_i\|.$$

So, if $p < \infty$, we get

$$\|f - h\|_p^p = \sum_{i,j} \|(f - x_i) \mathbb{1}_{A_i \cap f^{-1}(D_j(Z))}\|_p^p \geq \sum_{i,j} \|(f - x_j) \mathbb{1}_{A_i \cap f^{-1}(D_j(Z))}\|_p^p = \|f - h_Z\|_p^p,$$

and then g_Z is also a minimizer. If $p = \infty$, the conclusion also holds with minor adjustments. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Assume that X is a Banach space with a Gâteaux differentiable norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f \in L^p(X)$ with $1 < p < \infty$. If h is a minimizer for f in $\mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$, then the reduced form of h is in simple special f -Voronoi form.*

Proof. The result is obvious if $k = 1$, so let us suppose that $k > 1$. There exist $s \leq k$, $A_1, \dots, A_s \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_s \in X$ such that the reduced form of h is

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_i}.$$

Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ and $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \{V_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$.

Suppose first that $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$. Then $f = h$ holds μ -a.e. and we have that

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(\{x_i\})},$$

which is in special f -Voronoi form by the previous result. Since $\mu(f^{-1}(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}^c)) = 0$, we have that $f^{-1}(\{x_i\}) = f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_i))$ μ -a.e. and then the reduced form of h is in simple special f -Voronoi form.

Now we suppose that $f \notin \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$. From Proposition 4.2, we have that the reduced form of h is in special f -Voronoi form and $s = k$. If for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, it holds that $\mu(f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_i))) = 0$, we could absorb the boundary of V_i into the other cells in order to get a minimizer \tilde{h} with degree smaller than k , which contradicts Proposition 4.2, and then for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ it holds

$$\mu(f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_i))) > 0.$$

We need to prove that $\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_i)) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Suppose that μ is finite. Assume that for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ it holds $\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_i)) > 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $i = 1$. Consider $Y = \overline{\text{span}}\{f(\Omega), \mathbf{x}\}$, which is a separable closed subspace of X . Consider a dense countable set $(y_n)_n \subset \partial V_1 \cap Y$. Since $x_1 \in \text{int}(V_1)$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $B(x_1, 4\varepsilon_0) \subset V_1$. Note that $\|x_1 - y_n\| \geq 4\varepsilon_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_1 \setminus Y)) = 0,$$

we have $\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_1 \cap Y)) = \mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_1)) > 0$. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_1 \cap B(y_n, \varepsilon))) > 0.$$

We consider $Z_1 = \partial V_1 \cap B(y, \varepsilon)$, where $y = y_n \in \partial V_1$ and $Z_2 = \emptyset$. Both functions $h_1 = h_{Z_1}$ and $h_2 = h_{Z_2}$ are minimizers by Lemma 4.3. Note that $\mu(f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_1) \cup Z_1)) \geq \mu(f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_1))) > 0$. It follows that x_1 is a p -th mean of f in both $f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_1))$ and $f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_1) \cup Z_1)$ (see Proposition 4.2). Defining $v = y - x_1$ and using Corollary A.3, we deduce that the real functions

$$R(a) = \int_{f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_1) \cup Z_1)} \|f(w) - (x_1 + av)\|^p \mu(dw),$$

$$S(a) = \int_{f^{-1}(\text{int}(V_1))} \|f(w) - (x_1 + av)\|^p \mu(dw)$$

$$T(a) = R(a) - S(a) = \int_{f^{-1}(Z_1)} \|f(w) - (x_1 + av)\|^p \mu(dw)$$

are convex and differentiable. Moreover, R and S have a minimum at 0 and we have that

$$0 = R'(0) = \int_{\text{int}(V_1) \cup Z_1} p \|f(w) - x_1\|^{p-1} \partial_v \|f(w) - x_1\| \mu(dw)$$

$$0 = S'(0) = \int_{\text{int}(V_1)} p \|f(w) - x_1\|^{p-1} \partial_v \|f(w) - x_1\| \mu(dw).$$

This shows that $T'(0) = 0$. Since T is convex, it follows that 0 is also a minimum of T . Let us show that this is not possible. Choose $a \in (0, 1)$ so that $a\|x_1 - y\| > 3\varepsilon$ and consider $x^* = x_1 + a(y - x_1)$. If $w \in f^{-1}(Z_1)$, we have that $f(w) \in \partial V_1 \cap B(y, \varepsilon)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} a\|x_1 - y\| + (1-a)\|x_1 - y\| &= a\|x_1 - y\| + \|y - (x_1 + a(y - x_1))\| = a\|x_1 - y\| + \|y - x^*\| \\ &= \|y - x_1\| \leq \|f(w) - x_1\| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$a\|x_1 - y\| + \|f(w) - x^*\| \leq a\|x_1 - y\| + \|y - x^*\| + \varepsilon = \|y - x_1\| + \varepsilon \leq \|f(w) - x_1\| + 2\varepsilon.$$

It follows that for all $w \in f^{-1}(Z_1)$

$$\|f(w) - x^*\| - \varepsilon \leq \|f(w) - x_1\|.$$

This implies that

$$T(a) < T(0),$$

which is a contradiction. Then $\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_1)) = 0$ and the result follows in case μ is finite.

Now, we assume $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$. By Remark 1, we can assume that $x_1 = 0$. Let $Z = \emptyset$ and define

$$h_Z = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_i(Z))},$$

as in the previous lemma. Then h_Z is also a minimizer, $\mu(f^{-1}(D_1(Z))) = \infty$ and for all $j \geq 2$ we have

$$0 < \mu(f^{-1}(D_j(Z))) < \infty.$$

The first inequality holds thanks to Theorem 4.2 since $\deg(g_Z) = k$, and the second inequality holds because $x_j \neq 0$ is a p -th mean of f in $f^{-1}(D_j(Z))$ and therefore $\mu(f^{-1}(D_j(Z))) < \infty$. Then, $G = \bigcup_{j \geq 2} V_j = (\text{int}(V_1))^c$ satisfies $\mu(f^{-1}(G)) < \infty$, we can proceed as before to prove that $\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_j)) = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$. Since $\partial V_1 \subset \bigcup_{j \geq 2} \partial V_j$, we also conclude $\mu(f^{-1}(\partial V_1)) = 0$ and the result is shown. \square

5 Approximate-compactness, proof of Theorem 1.2

Before starting with the proof of Theorem 1.2, we would like to remind some results about the RNP. Let X be a Banach space, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ a σ -finite measure space and $1 \leq p < \infty$. It is well-known that X^* has the RNP with respect to $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ if and only if $(L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X))^* = L^q(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X^*)$ where q is such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (see Theorem 1.3.10 in [11]). Moreover, in case $1 < p < \infty$, the σ -finiteness condition can be dropped: if X^* has the RNP then $(L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X))^* = L^q(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu, X^*)$ for any measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ (see Corollary 1.3.13 in [11]). This result will be used in the following proof.

Proof. (**Theorem 1.2**) Consider a Banach space Y with the RNP such that $X = Y^*$. It follows that $(L^q(Y))^* = L^p(Y^*) = L^p(X)$, where q is such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Assume $f \in L^p(X)$ and $(h_n)_n$ is a minimizing sequence. If $f \in \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$, then clearly $\|f - h_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ and the result holds in this case. So, in what follows we assume $f \notin \mathcal{G}_{p,k}(X)$. According to Proposition 3.1, we can assume that $(h_n)_n$ is uniformly bounded. Consider a reduced form of h_n given by

$$h_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(n)} x_{i,n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}.$$

Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $\ell(n) = \ell \leq k$. By w^* -sequential compactness and taking a subsequence if necessary, we can suppose that

$$x_{i,n} \xrightarrow{w^*} x_i.$$

Let $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ the set formed by the different elements in x_1, \dots, x_ℓ . For $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_j = \{i : x_{i,n} \xrightarrow{w} y_j\}$ and $B_{j,n} = \bigcup_{i \in I_j} A_{i,n}$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$g_n = \sum_{j=1}^s y_j \mathbb{1}_{B_{j,n}} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,s}(X).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that $(g_n)_n$ admits a minimizing subsequence. Consider $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{y}) = \{V_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq s}$ the Voronoi cells associated to \mathbf{y} . The proof of Proposition 4.4 shows that

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^s y_j \mathbb{1}_{G_j} \in \mathcal{G}_{p,s}(X)$$

is a minimizer in special f -Voronoi form, where $G_1 = V_1$ and $G_j = V_j \setminus \bigcup_{m < j} G_m$ for $2 \leq j \leq s$. According to Proposition 4.2, we have g is in simple special f -Voronoi form and $s = \ell = k$. It follows that x_1, \dots, x_k are all different and

$$h_n = \sum_{i=1}^k x_{i,n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}}, \quad g_n = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n}} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbb{1}_{D_i},$$

where $D_i = \text{int}(V_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ (since $\mu(\partial V_i) = 0$). To conclude, we will show that $h_n \xrightarrow{w^*} g$ in $L^p(X)$. So let $\varphi \in L^q(Y)$ and let us prove that $\langle \varphi, h_n - g \rangle \rightarrow 0$. For all $w \in \Omega$, we have

$$\langle \varphi(w), h_n(w) - g(w) \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle \varphi(w), x_{j,n} - x_i \rangle \mathbb{1}_{A_{j,n} \cap D_i}(w),$$

showing that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, h_n - g \rangle &= \int |\langle \varphi(w), h_n(w) - g(w) \rangle| \mu(dw) = \sum_i \int_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)} |\langle \varphi(w), x_{i,n} - x_i \rangle| \mu(dw) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)} |\langle \varphi(w), x_{j,n} - x_i \rangle| \mu(dw) \\ &:= \alpha_n + \beta_n. \end{aligned}$$

To conclude, we need to show that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$.

Case 1: $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. Let us prove that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$. For every i and $w \in \Omega$, $|\langle \varphi(w), x_{i,n} - x_i \rangle| \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)}(w)$ converges to 0 because $x_{i,n} \xrightarrow{w} x_i$. This sequence is dominated by

$$|\langle \varphi(w), x_{i,n} - x_i \rangle| \mathbb{1}_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)}(w) \leq \|\varphi(w)\|_{X^*} \|x_{i,n} - x_i\| \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_i)}(w) \leq 2M \|\varphi(w)\|_{X^*} \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_i)}(w),$$

where $M = \sup_n \max\{\|x_{i,n}\| : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. The function $\|\varphi\|_{X^*} \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(D_i)}$ belongs to L^1 , because $\|\varphi\|_{X^*} \in L^q$ and $f^{-1}(D_i)$ has finite measure. So the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\sum_i \int_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)} |\langle \varphi(w), x_{i,n} - x_i \rangle| \mu(dw) \rightarrow 0,$$

from which we deduce that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$.

Now we show that $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $j \neq i$. First, we will prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)) = 0$. For $y \in D_i$, it holds

$$\|x_i - y\| < \|x_j - y\|,$$

which proves that $D_i = \bigcup_{s \geq 0} C_s$, where

$$C_0 = \{y \in D_i : \|x_j - y\|^p - \|x_i - y\|^p > 1\}$$

$$C_s = \{y \in D_i : 2^{-s+1} \geq \|x_j - y\|^p - \|x_i - y\|^p > 2^{-s}\}$$

for all $s \geq 1$. Consider the sequence

$$\hat{h}_n = x_i \mathbb{1}_{A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)} + x_j \mathbb{1}_{A_{j,n} \setminus f^{-1}(D_i)} + \sum_{l \neq j} x_l \mathbb{1}_{A_{l,n}}. \quad (5.1)$$

Since for all $w \in A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)$, we have $\|f(w) - x_j\| \geq \|f(w) - x_i\|$, we deduce that $(\hat{h}_n)_n$ is also a minimizing sequence and moreover

$$\|f - h_n\|_p^p = \|f - \hat{h}_n\|_p^p + \int_{A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)} \|f(w) - x_j\|^p - \|f(w) - x_i\|^p \mu(dw). \quad (5.2)$$

By decomposing the last integral according to $F_t = \bigcup_{0 \leq s \leq t} C_s$, we obtain that

$$2^{-t} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(F_t)) \leq \int_{A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)} \|f(w) - x_j\|^p - \|f(w) - x_i\|^p \mu(dw) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.3)$$

and then

$$\lim_n \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(F_t)) = 0,$$

showing that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f^{-1}(F_\infty \setminus F_t)) = 0,$$

and then for all $j \neq i$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)) = 0.$$

Now, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_{A_{j,n} \cap D_i} |\langle \varphi(w), x_{j,n} - x_i \rangle| \mu(dw) \leq 2M \|\varphi\|_q (\mu(A_{j,n} \cap D_i))^{1/p} \rightarrow 0$$

and it follows that $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$. This finishes the proof when μ is finite.

Case 2: $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$. We assume that $x_1 = 0$ and $x_{1,n} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Remark 1). Recall that for all j , we have $\mu(\partial V_j) = 0$ and also

$$0 < \mu(f^{-1}(D_i)) < \infty, \quad 0 < \mu(A_{i,n}) < \infty \text{ for all } 2 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } \mu(f^{-1}(D_1)) = \mu(A_{1,n}) = \infty.$$

Let us show that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$. Since $x_{1,n} = x_1 = 0$, we have

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{i \geq 2} \int_{A_{i,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)} |\varphi(w)(x_{i,n} - x_i)| \mu(dw).$$

Notice that $\mu(f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i \geq 2} D_i)) = \mu(f^{-1}(D_1^c)) \leq \mu(f^{-1}(B(0, \varepsilon)^c)) < \infty$. Then, using the same arguments as before, we deduce that $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$.

To prove that $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$, we will show that for all $i \neq j$ it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)) = 0.$$

The argument is the same as above when $i \geq 2$. Now suppose that $i = 1$ and $j \neq 1$. Since $x_1 = 0 \in D_1$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(0, \varepsilon) \subset D_1$. We can also assume that $d(0, \partial V_1) > 3\varepsilon$. In this way, we have $\|y - x_j\| \geq 2\varepsilon$ for all $y \in B(0, \varepsilon)$. The Markov's inequality implies

$$\varepsilon^p \mu(f^{-1}((B(0, \varepsilon))^c)) \leq \int_{\|f\| \geq \varepsilon} \|f(w)\|^p \mu(dw) \leq \|f\|_p^p,$$

showing that $\mu(f^{-1}((B(0, \varepsilon))^c)) < \infty$. Define \hat{h}_n as in (5.1). Again, $(\hat{h}_n)_n$ is a minimizing sequence and from (5.2), we get

$$\lim_n \int_{A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_1)} \|f(w) - x_j\|^p - \|f(w)\|^p \mu(dw) = 0.$$

Notice that if $\|f(w)\| \leq \varepsilon$ then $\|f(w) - x_j\| \geq 2\varepsilon$, this implies

$$\int_{A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(B(0, \varepsilon))} \|f(w) - x_j\|^p - \|f(w)\|^p \mu(dw) \geq (2^p - 1)\varepsilon^p \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(B(0, \varepsilon))),$$

which implies that $\mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(B(0, \varepsilon))) \rightarrow 0$. Now consider

$$G_t = \{z \in D_1 \setminus B(0, \varepsilon) : \|z - x_j\|^p - \|z\|^p \geq 2^{-t}\}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. We point out that $G_t \uparrow G_\infty := D_1 \setminus B(0, \varepsilon)$ as $t \uparrow \infty$ and $\mu(f^{-1}(G_\infty)) < \infty$. On the other hand, for each fixed $t \geq 1$, it holds (see also (5.3))

$$2^{-t} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(G_t)) \leq \int_{A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_1)} \|f(w) - x_j\|^p - \|f(w)\|^p \mu(dw) \rightarrow 0,$$

and then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_1)) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_1 \setminus B(0, \varepsilon))) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(f^{-1}(G_\infty \setminus G_t)) = 0.$$

So, we have proved that for all $j \neq i$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap f^{-1}(D_i)) = 0.$$

By Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$\beta_n = \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{A_{j,n} \cap D_i} |\varphi(w)(x_{j,n} - x_i)| \mu(dw) \leq 2M \|\varphi\|_q \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \mu(A_{j,n} \cap D_i) \right)^{1/p} \rightarrow 0$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Proof. (Corollary 1.3) Assume $f \in L^p(X)$ and $(h_n)_n$ be a minimizing sequence. Since X is uniformly convex, it is reflexive (see Theorem 9.11 in [9] for example) and then fulfills the hypothesis of the previous theorem. Then, passing to a subsequence if necessary, it exists $g \in L^p(X)$ such that $h_n \xrightarrow{w} g$ and g is a minimizer for f . In particular, we have that $\|h_n - f\|_p \rightarrow \|g - f\|_p$. Since X is uniformly convex, then $L^p(X)$ is also uniformly convex and it follows that $(h_n - f)_n$ converges in $L^p(X)$ to $g - f$ (see Proposition 3.32 in [1]), showing that $(h_n)_n$ converges in $L^p(X)$ to g . \square

A The p -means

Proposition A.1. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, X a Banach space, $f \in L^p(X)$. Then:*

(a) *the function $M_p(f, A)$ is convex, coercive and continuous in the following cases:*

(i) $p = \infty$;

(ii) $p < \infty$ and $\mu(A) < \infty$

(b) *if $p < \infty$ and $\mu(A) = \infty$, then $M_p(f, A)(x) = \infty$ for all $x \neq 0$ and $M_p(f, A)(0) \leq \|f\|_p^p$*

Proof. (a) Convexity follows from the convexity of the norm on X and convexity of the p -th power

$$\begin{aligned} M_p(f, A)(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) &\leq \int_A (\alpha \|f(w) - x\| + (1 - \alpha) \|f(w) - y\|)^p \mu(dw) \\ &\leq \int_A \alpha \|f(w) - x\|^p + (1 - \alpha) \|f(w) - y\|^p \mu(dw) \\ &= \alpha M_p(f, A)(x) + (1 - \alpha) M_p(f, A)(y). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\|x\| \mu(A)^{1/p} \leq \|(f - x)\mathbb{1}_A\|_p + \|f\|_p = (M_p(f, A)(x))^{1/p} + \|f\|_p, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

showing that $M_p(f, A)$ is coercive. The case of $p = \infty$ follows similarly and moreover

$$\|x\| \leq M_\infty(f, A)(x) + \|f\|_\infty. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Let us show that $M_p(f, A)$ is continuous. In fact, consider $x, y \in X$, we have for $p < \infty$

$$\left| (M_p(f, A)(x))^{1/p} - (M_p(f, A)(y))^{1/p} \right| = \left| \|(f - x)\mathbb{1}_A\|_p - \|(f - y)\mathbb{1}_A\|_p \right| \leq \|x - y\| \mu(A)^{1/p}$$

and for $p = \infty$

$$\left| M_\infty(f, A)(x) - M_\infty(f, A)(y) \right| = \left| \|(f - x)\mathbb{1}_A\|_\infty - \|(f - y)\mathbb{1}_A\|_\infty \right| \leq \|x - y\|,$$

showing the desired continuity.

(b) When $\mu(A) = \infty$ and $p < \infty$, we have $M_p(f, A)(0) = \int_A \|f(w)\|^p \mu(dw) \leq \|f\|_p^p$ and from (A.2)

$$\forall x \neq 0 : M_p(f, A)(x) = \infty.$$

□

We summarize some properties of the p -th means in the following result

Proposition A.2. *Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space, X a Banach space, $f \in L^p(X)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mu(A) > 0$ and, if $p < \infty$, we also assume that $\mu(A) < \infty$.*

(a) *For all $\varepsilon > 0$ the set of ε - p -th means is a not empty closed, convex and bounded set.*

(b) *The set of p -th means is closed, bounded and convex.*

(c) *Assume X is a dual space with w^* -sequentially compact unit ball and $p < \infty$ then there exists a p -th mean for $f \in L^p$ in A .*

(d) *Suppose that $1 < p < \infty$. If the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in X is strictly convex or if $\mu \circ f^{-1}$ satisfies: for all $x \in X$ and all $\rho > 0$*

$$\mu(f^{-1}(B(x, \rho))) > 0,$$

Then, there is at most one p -th mean for f in A .

Proof. (a) By definition, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $C_\varepsilon = \{x \text{ is an } \varepsilon\text{-}p\text{-th mean}\}$ is nonempty. On the other hand C_ε is convex and closed, because $M_p(f, A)$ is convex and continuous. Since $M_p(f, A)$ is coercive we conclude C_ε is bounded (see (A.2) and (A.3)).

(b) The set $C_0 = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} C_\varepsilon$ is the set of p -th means for f in A . Therefore, it is closed convex and bounded.

(c) Assume that $(x_n)_n$ is a $1/n$ - p -th mean. We consider a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ that converges in the w^* -topology. By Fatou's Theorem we have

$$\int_A \liminf_k \|f(w) - x_{n_k}\|^p \mu(dw) \leq \liminf_k \int_A \|f(w) - x_{n_k}\|^p \mu(dw) = \underline{M}_p(f, A),$$

and for all w it holds $\|f(w) - x\|^p \leq \liminf_k \|f(w) - x_{n_k}\|^p$ showing that x is a p -th mean and C_0 is not empty.

(d) According to (A.1), if x, y are two possible p -th means and $0 < \alpha < 1$ then $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$ is also a p -th mean and we have equalities in (A.1). Then, for almost all $w \in A$ it holds

$$\|f(w) - x\| = \|f(w) - y\|,$$

because the p -th power is strictly convex. Also, we get from (A.1) that for almost all $w \in A$ it holds

$$\|f(w) - (\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y)\| = \alpha \|f(w) - x\| + (1 - \alpha) \|f(w) - y\| = \|f(w) - x\| = \|f(w) - y\|,$$

which is not possible when $x \neq y$ if we used the strictly convex property of the norm in X to the sphere $\{z \in X : \|f(w) - z\| = r\}$ with $r = \|f(w) - x\| = \|f(w) - y\|$. This shows that $x = y$.

Now, we assume f and μ satisfy for all $z \in X$ and all $\rho > 0$

$$\mu(f^{-1}(B(z, \rho))) > 0.$$

If we assume $x \neq y$, we take $\rho = \frac{1}{3}\|x - y\| > 0$. Then, for almost all $w \in f^{-1}(B(x, \rho))$ we have $\|f(w) - x\| < 1/3\|x - y\| = \rho$ and

$$\|x - y\| \leq \|f(w) - x\| + \|f(w) - y\| < 1/3\|x - y\| + \|f(w) - y\|,$$

showing that

$$\|f(w) - y\| \geq 2/3\|x - y\| \geq 2\rho > 2\|f(w) - x\|,$$

which is a contradiction, and therefore $x = y$. \square

Remark 3. If Ω is a topological space with the Borel σ -field $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, μ a Radon measure on $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and X a dual Banach space. Suppose that $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ is lower-semicontinuous. Then the p -th mean of f exists. In fact, by Proposition 7.12 in [8], the monotone convergence theorem, and then Fatou's lemma, holds for nets of positive lower-semicontinuous functions. Following the argument of the previous proof, since the unit ball of X is w^* -compact, we deduce that f admits a p -th mean.

Corollary A.3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a measure space. Assume that X is a Banach space with a Gâteaux differentiable norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $f \in L^p(X)$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$. We assume that x is p -th mean of f in A . Then, for all $v \in X$, the real function

$$R(a) = M_p(f, A)(x + av) = \int_A \|f(w) - (x + av)\|^p \mu(dw),$$

is convex and differentiable, with a minimum at $a = 0$, for which

$$0 = R'(0) = \int_A p \|f(w) - x\|^{p-1} \partial(\|f(w) - x\|)(v) \mu(dw).$$

Proof. Clearly R is a continuous convex function. Let us prove that R is differentiable. This will follow from the dominated convergence theorem. Since the norm is Gâteaux differentiable, for every $z \neq 0$, we have the existence of

$$\partial(\|z\|)(v) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|z + tv\| - \|z\|}{t}.$$

showing that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|z + tv\|^p - \|z\|^p}{t} = p\|z\|^{p-1}\partial(\|z\|)(v)$$

Moreover, for $z = 0$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|0 + tv\|^p - \|0\|^p}{t} = 0,$$

showing that the function $\|z\|^p$ has a Gâteaux derivative at any z . Now, we need to show a domination, for that we use, for $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$

$$|b^p - a^p| = p \left| \int_a^b s^{p-1} ds \right| \leq p(a \vee b)^{p-1} |b - a|,$$

which for $a = \|f(w) - x\|, b = \|f(w) - (x + tv)\|$ gives, using that $b \leq a + |t|$ and $|b - a| \leq |t|$, and for $|t| \leq 1$,

$$\left| \frac{\|f(w) - (x + tv)\|^p - \|f(w) - x\|^p}{t} \right| \leq p(\|f(w) - x\| + 1)^{p-1},$$

which integrable using Hölder's inequality. This shows that R is differentiable at $a = 0$ and

$$R'(0) = \int_A p\|f(w) - x\|^{p-1} \partial(\|f(w) - x\|)(v) \mu(dw).$$

Similarly it is shown that R is differentiable and

$$R'(a) = \int_A p\|f(w) - (x + av)\|^{p-1} \partial(\|f(w) - (x + av)\|)(v) \mu(dw).$$

Since R has a minimum at $a = 0$ it follows that $R'(0) = 0$. □

Acknowledgements

G. Grelier was supported by the grant PID2021-122126NB-C32 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by “ERDF A way of making Europe”, by the European Union and by the grant 21955/PI/22 funded by Fundación Séneca Región de Murcia; and by MICINN 2018 FPI fellowship with reference PRE2018-083703, associated to grant MTM2017-83262-C2-2-P. J. San Martín was partially founded by Basal FB 210005 ANID.

References

- [1] H. Bourgin, *Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations*, New York : Springer, 2011.
- [2] R. D. Bourgin, *Geometric aspects of convex sets with the Radon-Nikodym property*, Springer, 2006.

- [3] F. Deutsch, *Existence of best approximations*, Journal of Approximation Theory **28** (1980), 132–154.
- [4] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, V. Zizler, *Smoothness and renorming in Banach spaces*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys, vol. 64, 1993.
- [5] J. Diestel, J. J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, American Mathematical Society, 1977.
- [6] P. Enflo, *Banach spaces which can be given an equivalent uniformly convex norm*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **13** (1972), 281–288
- [7] M. Fabian, *Gâteaux differentiability of convex functions and topology: weak Asplund spaces*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, Wiley-Interscience, 1997.
- [8] G. B. Folland, *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications*, John Wiley and Sons, 1999
- [9] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler, *Banach space theory: the basis for linear and nonlinear analysis*, New York: Springer, 2011.
- [10] G. Grelier, J. San Martín, *Proximality and uniformly approximable sets in L^p* , Journal of Approximation Theory **294** (2023)
- [11] T. Hytönen, J. Van Neerven, M. Veraar, L. Weis, *Analysis in Banach spaces*, Berlin : Springer, 2016.
- [12] R. Phelps, *Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability*, Springer, 2009.
- [13] G. Pisier, *Martingales in Banach spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 2016.