

# THE SPIDERS $S(4m + 2, 2m, 1)$ ARE $e$ -POSITIVITE

DAVION Q.B. TANG, DAVID G.L. WANG, AND MONICA M.Y. WANG

ABSTRACT. We establish the  $e$ -positivity of spider graphs of the form  $S(4m + 2, 2m, 1)$ , which was conjectured by Aliniaiefard, Wang and van Willigenburg. A key to our proof is the  $e_I$ -expansion formula of the chromatic symmetric function of paths due to Shareshian and Wachs, where the symbol  $I$  indicates integer compositions rather than partitions. Following the strategy of the divide-and-conquer technique, we pick out one or two positive  $e_J$ -terms for each negative  $e_I$ -term in an  $e$ -expression for the spiders, where  $J$  are selected to be distinct compositions obtained by rearranging the parts of  $I$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary	2
3. Confirming the $e$ -positivity of spiders $S(4m + 2, 2m, 1)$	3
Acknowledgement	13
References	13

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An original motivation of this work is Stanley and Stembridge's  $(3 + 1)$ -free conjecture [7], which asserts that the incomparability graph of every  $(3 + 1)$ -free poset is  $e$ -positive. A natural generalization of the conjecture is to characterize all  $e$ -positive graphs. Wolfgang III [13] provided a powerful criterion that any connected  $e$ -positive graph has a connected partition of every type. Some popular graphs such like complete graphs, paths and cycles are  $e$ -positive. Recently confirmed  $e$ -positive graphs are melting lollipops, hats and cycle-chords, see Wang [10], Wang and Zhou [12] and references therein. The  $e$ -positivity of  $K$ -chains that is due to Gebhard and Sagan [2] are rediscovered by Tom [9] and McDonough, Pylyavskyy, and Wang [3] via new approaches.

Pioneering this generalization approach was the  $e$ -positivity study of trees. Dahlberg, She, and van Willigenburg [1] conjectured that the maximum degree of any  $e$ -positive tree is 3, which was attacked by Zheng [14] who proved this maximum degree is at most 5.

A particular class of trees, the spiders, plays an essential role along this study. For any partition  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_d$  of  $n - 1$  with  $d \geq 3$ , the spider  $S(\lambda)$  is the  $n$ -vertex tree consisting of paths of lengths

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05 05C15 05A20.

*Key words and phrases.* chromatic symmetric function;  $e$ -positivity; Stanley and Stembridge's conjecture.

David Wang is the corresponding author, and is supported by the General Program of National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12171034). Monica Wang is supported by Beijing Postdoctoral Research Foundation.

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  with a common end. For instance, the spider  $S(4m+2, 2m, 1)$  is obtained by adding a pendent vertex to the path of order  $6m+3$ , see Fig. 1.1. Dahlberg et al. [1, Lemma 13] showed that




FIGURE 1.1. The spider  $S(4m+2, 2m, 1)$ .

if a connected graph  $G$  has a connected partition of type  $\mu$ , then so does the spider  $S(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the partition consisting of the sizes of connected components that are obtained by removing a vertex of degree at least 3 from  $G$ . Therefore, the  $e$ -positivity of a tree implies the  $e$ -positivity of certain spider in view of Wolfgang III's criterion.

Wang and Wang [11] conjectured that a spider  $S(a, b, c)$  with  $c \geq 3$  is  $e$ -positive if and only if it is  $S(8, 5, 3)$  or  $S(14, 9, 5)$ . In contrast, in view of their results on the  $e$ -positivity or non- $e$ -positivity of spiders of the form  $S(a, b, c)$  with  $c \in \{1, 2\}$ , it is quite unpredictable that such a spider is  $e$ -positive or not. Among this disorders, however, Zheng [14, Conjecture 6.2] presented Conjecture 1.1 and attribute it to Aliniaefard, Wang and van Willigenburg.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Aliniaefard, Wang and van Willigenburg). *For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , the spider  $S(4m+2, 2m, 1)$  is  $e$ -positive.*

For example, for  $m = 1$ , the conjectured  $e$ -positivity is clear from the  $e$ -expansion

$$\begin{aligned} X_{S(6,2,1)} = & 10e_{10} + 17e_{91} + 22e_{82} + 7e_{81^2} + 11e_{73} + 24e_{721} + 38e_{64} + 32e_{631} + 26e_{62^2} + 5e_{621^2} \\ & + 20e_{5^2} + 55e_{541} + 37e_{532} + 16e_{531^2} + 20e_{52^21} + 42e_{4^22} + 9e_{421^2} + e_{43^2} + 59e_{4321} \\ & + 22e_{423} + 3e_{42^21^2} + 8e_{3^31} + 9e_{3^22^2} + 8e_{3^221^2} + 9e_{32^31} + 2e_{2^5}. \end{aligned}$$

Thibon and Wang [8, Theorems 4.3 and 4.6] proved the Schur positivity of the spiders  $S(a, 2, 1)$  and  $S(a, 4, 1)$  for all  $a \geq 4$  by using noncommutative symmetric functions.

This paper is devoted to the confirmation of Conjecture 1.1. In Section 2, we give a quick view of necessary notion and notation, as well as two preliminary lemmas. Our proof starts from Section 3.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. A *composition* of  $n$  is a sequence of positive integers that sum to  $n$ , commonly denoted  $I = i_1 \cdots i_l \vDash n$ . We denote  $|I| = n$  and  $\ell(I) = l$ . The integers  $i_k$  are called *parts* of  $I$ , and we denote the  $j$ th last part  $i_{\ell(I)+1-j}$  by  $i_{-j}$  for notational convenience. Whenever a capital letter such like  $I, J, K, L, P, Q, U \dots$  is adopted to denote a composition, we use the corresponding small letter counterpart  $i, j, k, l, p, q, u, \dots$  with integer subscripts to denote the parts. Following Wang and Zhou [12], the  $a$ -surplus of  $I$  is the number

$$\Theta_I(a) = \min\{i_1 + \cdots + i_k : 0 \leq k \leq \ell(I), i_1 + \cdots + i_k \geq a\} - a.$$

It is clear that  $\Theta_I(a) \geq 0$ .

A *partition* of  $n$  is a composition of  $n$  in non-increasing order, commonly denoted as

$$\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \vdash n,$$

where  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq 1$ . A *symmetric functions of homogeneous degree  $n$  over a commutative ring  $R$  with identity* is a formal power series

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \sum_{\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \vdash n} c_\lambda x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots, \quad \text{where } c_\lambda \in R,$$

such that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots)$  for any permutation  $\sigma$ . For an introduction on symmetric functions, see Stanley [6, Chapter 7]. For any partition  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots$ , the *elementary symmetric function* with respect to  $\lambda$  is  $e_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \dots$ , where  $e_r$  is the sum of all products of  $r$  distinct variables  $x_i$ . Elementary symmetric functions forms a basis of the algebra of symmetric functions. A symmetric function is  $e$ -positive if all its  $e$ -coefficients are nonnegative. We will use the extended symmetric function  $e_I$  for compositions indices  $I$ , which is defined as  $e_I = e_\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the partition obtained by arranging the parts of  $I$  from large to small.

Stanley [5] introduced the chromatic symmetric function for a graph  $G$  to be the symmetric function

$$X_G = \sum_{\kappa} x_{\kappa(v_1)} \cdots x_{\kappa(v_n)}$$

where  $\kappa$  runs over proper colorings of  $G$ , and  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are the vertices of  $G$ . For instance, the complete graph  $K_n$  has chromatic symmetric function  $X_{K_n} = n!e_n$ .

For convenience, we introduce the functions  $w_I$  and  $w'_I$  for compositions  $I$  defined by

$$w'_I = (i_2 - 1)(i_3 - 1) \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad w_I = i_1 w'_I.$$

Let  $P_n$  denote the  $n$ -vertex path. A key to our proof is Shareshian and Wachs [4, Table 1]'s captivating formula for the chromatic symmetric function of paths.

**Lemma 2.1** (Shareshian and Wachs).  $X_{P_n} = \sum_{I \models n} w_I e_I$ .

Zheng [14, Lemma 4.4] presented a formula for  $X_G$  for spiders  $G$  of the form  $S(a, b, c)$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Zheng). For any partition  $(a, b, c) \vdash n - 1$ ,

$$X_{S(a,b,c)} = X_{P_n} + \sum_{i=1}^c (X_{P_i} X_{P_{n-i}} - X_{P_{b+i}} X_{P_{n-b-i}}).$$

### 3. CONFIRMING THE $e$ -POSITIVITY OF SPIDERS $S(4m + 2, 2m, 1)$

This section consists of our proof of Conjecture 1.1. Let  $\mathcal{C}_n = \{I \models n : i_1, i_2, \dots \geq 2\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$X_{S(4m+2, 2m, 1)} = \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-2} \\ \Theta_I(4m+2) \geq 1}} w_I e_{11I} + X_1 + X_0,$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X_1 &= \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \\ \Theta_I(4m+2) \geq 2}} w_I e_{1I} + \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \\ \Theta_I(4m+2) \geq 1}} w_{1I} e_{1I} + \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+2} \\ K \in \mathcal{C}_{2m+1}}} (k_1 j_1 - 2j_1 + 1) w'_J w'_K e_{1JK}, \quad \text{and} \\ X_0 &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}_n} w_I e_I - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+3}, Q \in \mathcal{C}_{2m+1}} w_P w_Q e_{PQ}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = S(4m + 2, 2m, 1)$  and  $n = 6m + 4$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$X_G = X_{P_n} + e_1 X_{P_{n-1}} - X_{P_{4m+3}} X_{P_{2m+1}} = \sum_{I \models n} w_I e_I + \sum_{I \models n-1} w_I e_{1I} - \sum_{J \models 4m+3, K \models 2m+1} w_J w_K e_{JK}.$$

Arranging the terms according to the number of parts 1 in compositions, we obtain

$$X_G = X_0 + e_1 Y_1 + e_1^2 Y_2,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_2 &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-2}} w_{1I} e_I - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+2}, K \in \mathcal{C}_{2m}} w_{1J} w_{1K} e_{JK} = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-2}, \Theta_I(4m+2) \geq 1} w_{1I} e_I, \quad \text{and} \\ Y_1 &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}} (w_{1I} + w_I) e_I - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+2}, K \in \mathcal{C}_{2m+1}} w_{1J} w_K e_{JK} - \sum_{J \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+3}, K \in \mathcal{C}_{2m}} w_J w_{1K} e_{JK} \end{aligned}$$

We then regroup the terms in Eq. (3.2) according to the value of  $\Theta_I(4m+2)$  as

$$(3.3) \quad Y_1 = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}, \Theta_I(4m+2) \geq 2} (w_{1I} + w_I) e_I + Z_1 + Z_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \\ \Theta_I(4m+2)=1}} (w_{1I} + w_I) e_I - \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+3} \\ K \in \mathcal{C}_{2m}}} w_J w_{1K} e_{JK} = \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \\ \Theta_I(4m+2)=1}} w_{1I} e_I, \quad \text{and} \\ Z_0 &= \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \\ \Theta_I(4m+2)=0}} (w_{1I} + w_I) e_I - \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+2} \\ K \in \mathcal{C}_{2m+1}}} w_{1J} w_K e_{JK} = \sum_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+2} \\ K \in \mathcal{C}_{2m+1}}} (w_{1JK} + w_{JK} - w_{1J} w_K) e_{JK}, \end{aligned}$$

in which the last coefficient simplifies to  $(k_1 j_1 - 2j_1 + 1)w'_J w'_K$ . Substituting these expressions into Eq. (3.3), we can recast  $Y_1$  as the desired symmetric function  $X_1$ , and hence complete the proof.  $\square$

Note that  $k_1 j_1 - 2j_1 + 1 \geq 1$  since  $j_1, k_1 \geq 2$ . By Lemma 3.1, the spider  $S(4m+2, 2m, 1)$  is  $e$ -positive if and only if  $X_0$  is  $e$ -positive.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For any  $m \geq 1$ ,*

$$X_0 = \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+3}, Q \in \mathcal{C}_{2m+1} \\ \Theta_P(2m+1) \geq 2}} (p_1 q_1 - p_1 - q_1) w'_P w'_Q e_{PQ} + Y,$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad Y = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{A}} w_I e_I + \sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}} b(J, K, L) e_{JKL},$$

in which

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \{I \in \mathcal{C}_n : \Theta_I(2m+1)\Theta_I(4m+3) \neq 0\}, \\ b(J, K, L) &= w_{JKL} + w_{KJL} + w_{KLJ} - w_{JK} w_L - w_{KJ} w_L, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{T} &= \mathcal{C}_{2m+2} \times \mathcal{C}_{2m+1} \times \mathcal{C}_{2m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* In view of Eq. (3.1), we separate the negative terms according to the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_1 &= \{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+3} \times \mathcal{C}_{2m+1} : \Theta_P(2m+1) \leq 1\} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{B}_2 &= \{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{C}_{4m+3} \times \mathcal{C}_{2m+1} : \Theta_P(2m+1) \geq 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Treating  $(P, Q) \in \mathbf{B}_2$ , we consider the positive terms with  $I \in \mathbf{B}_2 \sqcup \mathbf{B}'_2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_2 &= \{PQ : (P, Q) \in \mathbf{B}_2\} = \{I \in \mathcal{C}_n : \Theta_I(2m+1) \geq 2, \Theta_I(4m+2) = 1\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{B}'_2 &= \{QP : (P, Q) \in \mathbf{B}_2\} = \{I \in \mathcal{C}_n : \Theta_I(2m+1) = 0, \Theta_I(4m+2) \geq 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{I \in \mathbf{B}_2 \cup \mathbf{B}'_2} w_I e_I - \sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathbf{B}_2} w_P w_Q e_{PQ} = \sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathbf{B}_2} (w_{PQ} + w_{QP} - w_P w_Q) e_{PQ},$$

in which the coefficient simplifies to  $(p_1q_1 - p_1 - q_1)w'_Pw'_Q$ . Then we deal with the negative terms for  $(P, Q) \in \mathbf{B}_1$ . By definition, we can consider  $\mathbf{B}_1$  in terms of composition triples as

$$\mathbf{B}_1 = \bigcup_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}} \{(JK, L), (KJ, L)\}.$$

For  $(P, Q) \in \mathbf{B}_1$ , we consider the positive terms with  $I \in \mathcal{B}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{B}'_1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_1 &= \bigcup_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}} \{JKL, KJL\} = \{I \in \mathcal{C}_n : \Theta_I(2m+1) \leq 1, \Theta_I(4m+2) = 1\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{B}'_1 &= \bigcup_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}} \{KLJ\} = \{I \in \mathcal{C}_n : \Theta_I(2m+1) = \Theta_I(4m+2) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{B}'_1} w_I e_I - \sum_{(P,Q) \in \mathbf{B}_1} w_P w_Q e_{PQ} = \sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}} b(J, K, L) e_{JKL}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{C}_n \setminus \mathcal{B}_1 \setminus \mathcal{B}'_1 \setminus \mathcal{B}_2 \setminus \mathcal{B}'_2 = \mathcal{A}$ . Adding up Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain the desired formula.  $\square$

Since  $p_1, q_1 \geq 2$ , we find

$$p_1q_1 - p_1 - q_1 = (p_1 - 1)(q_1 - 1) - 1 \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2, one may see the  $e$ -positivity of  $X_0$  if he confirmed that of  $Y$ .

Considering the symmetry of  $K$  and  $L$ , we use the alphabetic ordering for compositions: we write  $K > L$  if there exists  $1 \leq s \leq \min(\ell(K), \ell(L))$  such that  $k_u = l_u$  for  $u \leq s - 1$ , and  $k_s > l_s$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any numbers  $j, k, l$ , let  $f(j, k, l) = 2jkl - 3jk - 3jl - 2kl + 2j + 2k + 2l$ . Then*

$$(3.8) \quad Y = \sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}, K \geq L, g(j_1, k_1, l_1) \geq 0} g(j_1, k_1, l_1) w'_J w'_K w'_L e_{JKL} + W,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(j_1, k_1, l_1) &= \begin{cases} f(j_1, k_1, l_1), & \text{if } K > L, \\ f(j_1, k_1, l_1)/2, & \text{if } K = L, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\ W &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{A}} w_I e_I + \sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}'} g(j_1, k_1, l_1) w'_J w'_K w'_L e_{JKL}, \end{aligned}$$

in which  $\mathbf{T}' = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{T}_i$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1 &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T} : l_1 = 3, k_1 = 3, j_1 = 2, K \geq L\}, \\ \mathbf{T}_2 &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T} : l_1 = 2, f(j_1, k_1, 2) < 0, k_1 \text{ is odd}\}, \\ \mathbf{T}_3 &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T} : l_1 = 2, f(j_1, k_1, 2) < 0, k_1 \text{ is even}, k_1 \geq 4\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{T}_4 &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T} : l_1 = 2, k_1 = 2, K \geq L\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We proceed from Eq. (3.4). Note that

$$\sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}, K \neq L} b(J, K, L) e_{JKL} = \sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}, K > L} (b(J, K, L) + b(J, L, K)) e_{JKL},$$

in which the coefficient simplifies by Eq. (3.5) as

$$b(J, K, L) + b(J, L, K) = f(j_1, k_1, l_1) w'_J w'_K w'_L.$$

Then the terms for  $K = L$  can be recast as

$$\sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}, K=L} b(J, K, L) e_{JKL} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}, K=L} f(j_1, k_1, l_1) w'_J w'_K w'_L e_{JKL}.$$

It follows that

$$Y = \sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}, K \geq L} g(j_1, k_1, l_1) w'_J w'_K w'_L e_{JKL} + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{A}} w_I e_I.$$

Comparing it with the desired Eq. (3.8), we consider the symmetric function

$$W' = \sum_{(J,K,L) \in \mathbf{T}'} g(j_1, k_1, l_1) w'_J w'_K w'_L e_{JKL} + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{A}} w_I e_I,$$

where  $\mathbf{T}' = \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T} : K \geq L, f(j_1, k_1, l_1) < 0\}$ . It suffices to show that  $W = W'$ .

In fact, it is routine to calculate that

$$f(j, k, l) = 0 \quad \text{for } (j, k, l) \in \{(3, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 4, 3)\}.$$

We observe that the function  $f$  is increasing in  $k$ , since

$$\frac{\partial f(j, k, l)}{\partial k} = (j-1)(2l-3) - 1 \geq 0.$$

It is increasing in  $l$  as well, for its symmetry about  $k$  and  $l$ . On the other hand, since

$$\frac{\partial f(j, k, l)}{\partial j} = \frac{(2k-3)(2l-3) - 5}{2},$$

the function  $f$  is increasing in  $j$  when  $k+l \geq 6$ . Therefore,

$$f(j, k, l) \geq 0 \quad \text{unless } (j, k, l) = (2, 3, 3) \text{ or } l = 2.$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{T}' = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^4 \mathbf{T}_i$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1 &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}' : (j_1, k_1, l_1) = (2, 3, 3)\}, \\ \mathbf{T}_2 &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}' : l_1 = 2, k_1 \text{ is odd}\}, \\ \mathbf{T}_3 &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}' : l_1 = 2, k_1 \text{ is even, } k_1 \geq 4\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{T}_4 &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}' : l_1 = 2, k_1 = 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to rewrite the sets  $\mathbf{T}_i$  in terms of  $\mathbf{T}$  as desired. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We should mention that

$$f(j, k, 2) = (k-4)(j-2) - 4$$

is possibly positive. By Lemma 3.3, one may see the  $e$ -positivity of  $Y$  if he proved that for  $W$ .

Here is the outline of the remaining proof for Conjecture 1.1. We proceed in 3 steps. First of all, for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , we will define an injection  $\varphi_i : \mathbf{T}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . As will be seen in Lemmas 3.4 to 3.6 respectively, we show that for each triple  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_i$  with the image  $I = \varphi_i(J, K, L)$ ,

- (1)  $e_I = e_{JKL}$ , i.e.,  $I$  is obtained by rearranging the parts of the composition  $JKL$ , and
- (2)  $c_i(J, K, L) \geq 0$ , where

$$c_i(J, K, L) = \frac{w_{\varphi_i(J,K,L)}}{w'_J w'_K w'_L} + f(j_1, k_1, l_1).$$

Since  $f(i, j, k) \leq g(i, j, k) < 0$ , this would guarantee the  $e$ -positivity of the following sum in  $W$ :

$$(3.9) \quad w_{\varphi_i(J,K,L)} e_{\varphi_i(J,K,L)} + g(i_1, j_1, k_1) w'_J w'_K w'_L e_{JKL}.$$

Second, we consider the set

$$\mathbf{T}'_4 = \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}, k_1 = l_1 = 2\}$$

which contains  $\mathbf{T}_4$ , and divide it into some disjoint subsets  $\mathbf{T}_{41}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{42}$ . Then we define injections  $\varphi_{4i} : \mathbf{T}_{4i} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , which induce the injection  $\varphi_4 : \mathbf{T}_4 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  that is defined by

$$\varphi_4(J, K, L) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{41}(J, K, L), & \text{if } (J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{41}, \\ \varphi_{42}(J, K, L), & \text{if } (J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{42}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\varphi_4(J, K, L) = \varphi_4(J, L, K)$  if  $K = L$ . As will be seen in Lemma 3.7, we show that for any  $i = 1, 2$  and for each  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{4i}$ ,

- (1)  $e_{\varphi_{4i}(J, K, L)} = e_{\varphi_{4i}(J, L, K)} = e_{JKL}$ , and
- (2)  $c_4(J, K, L) \geq 0$ , where

$$c_4(J, K, L) = \frac{w_{\varphi_4(J, K, L)}}{w'_J w'_K w'_L} + \frac{w_{\varphi_4(J, L, K)}}{w'_J w'_K w'_L} + g(j_1, k_1, l_1).$$

At last, we show in the proof of Theorem 3.8 that the sets

$$\varphi_1(\mathbf{T}_1), \quad \varphi_2(\mathbf{T}_2), \quad \varphi_3(\mathbf{T}_3), \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_4} \{\varphi_4(J, K, L), \varphi_4(J, L, K)\}$$

are pairwise disjoint, where  $\varphi_i(\mathbf{T}_i) = \{\varphi_i(J, K, L) : (J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_i\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Now, let us start the remaining proof. For any  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_1$ , define  $\varphi_1(J, K, L) = PKR$ , where  $R = j_1 \cdot l_2 \cdots l_{-1}$  is obtained from  $L$  by replacing  $l_1 = 3$  with  $j_1 = 2$ , and

$$P = \min\{j_{-1}, l_1\} \cdot j_2 \cdots j_{-2} \cdot \max\{j_{-1}, l_1\}.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *We have the following.*

- (1)  $\varphi_1(\mathbf{T}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m + 3, 2m + 1, 2m)\}$ .
- (2)  $\varphi_1$  is injective.
- (3) For each triple  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_1$ ,  $e_{\varphi_1(J, K, L)} = e_{JKL}$  and  $c_1(J, K, L) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_1$ . Then  $(j_1, k_1, l_1) = (2, 3, 3)$ . Let  $I = \varphi_1(J, K, L) = PKR$ . First of all, let us check  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ :

- $\Theta_I(2m + 1) = 2$ , since  $|P| = |J| - j_1 + l_1 = 2m + 3$  and  $p_{-1} \geq l_1 = 3$ ; and
- $\Theta_I(4m + 3) = 1$ , since  $|P| + |K| = 4m + 4$  and  $k_{-1} \geq 2$ .

It follows that  $|R| = 2m$  and  $|P| = n - |K| - |R| = 2m + 3$ . Thus  $I \in \mathcal{S}_1$ .

Next, we shall show that  $\varphi_1$  is injective. Suppose that  $(J', K', L') \in \mathbf{T}_1$  and

$$PKR = \varphi_1(J, K, L) = \varphi_1(J', K', L') = P'K'R'.$$

Let  $I = PKR = P'K'R'$ . Since  $I \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , the composition  $P$  is the prefix of  $I$  with part sum  $2m + 3$ , and so is  $P'$ . Thus  $P = P'$ . For the same reason, we deduce that  $K = K'$  and  $R = R'$ . Since  $L$  (resp.,  $L'$ ) can be obtained from  $R$  (resp.,  $R'$ ) by replacing the first part with 3, we find  $L = L'$ . Since  $P = P'$ , we find  $\ell(J) = \ell(J')$ , and  $j_s = j'_s$  for  $2 \leq s \leq \ell(J) - 1$ ; moreover,

$$\min\{j_{-1}, 3\} = \min\{j'_{-1}, 3\} \quad \text{and} \quad \max\{j_{-1}, 3\} = \max\{j'_{-1}, 3\}$$

It follows that  $j_{-1} = j'_{-1}$ . Since  $j_1 = 2 = j'_1$ , we find  $J = J'$ . This proves the injectivity of  $\varphi_1$ .

It is direct to see that  $e_I = e_{JKL}$  by definition. On the other hand, since  $f(2, 3, 3) = -2$ ,

$$c_1(J, K, L) = \begin{cases} 2(3-1)(3-1) - 2 = 6, & \text{if } j_{-1} = 2 \\ 3(2-1)(3-1) - 2 = 4, & \text{if } j_{-1} \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

is positive. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We call a composition *even* if it has only even parts. For any composition  $I$ , let  $a(I)$  (resp.,  $z(I)$ ) be the length of the longest even prefix (resp., suffix) of  $I$ . If  $I$  has at least one odd part, we denote by  $i_{fo}$  (resp.,  $i_{lo}$ ) the first (resp., last) odd part of  $I$ . With these notation, we have

$$i_{fo} = i_{a(I)+1} \quad \text{and} \quad i_{lo} = i_{-z(I)-1}.$$

For any  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_2$ , define  $\varphi_2(J, K, L) = JQR$ , where  $Q = k_2 \cdots k_{-1}$  is obtained from  $K$  by removing the first part, and  $R$  is obtained by inserting  $k_1$  into  $L$  as

$$R = l_1 \cdots l_{\min\{a(L), z(K)+1\}} \cdot k_1 \cdot l_{\min\{a(L), z(K)+1\}+1} \cdots l_{-1}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *We have the following.*

(1)  $\varphi_2(\mathbf{T}_2) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}_2$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2 = \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : r_1 = 2, R \text{ has at least 2 odd parts}, \\ (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m+2, 2m+1-r_{fo}, 2m+1+r_{fo}), \\ \text{either } a(R) \leq z(Q) \text{ and } r_{a(R)+2} \text{ is odd, or } a(R) = z(Q)+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\varphi_2$  is injective.

(3) For each triple  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_2$ ,  $e_{\varphi_i(J, K, L)} = e_{JKL}$  and  $c_2(J, K, L) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_2$ . Then  $l_1 = 2$  and  $k_1$  is odd.

Let  $I = \varphi_2(J, K, L) = JQR$ . Let us check  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ . In fact, we have  $\Theta_I(2m+1) = 1$ , since  $|J| = 2m+2$  and  $j_{-1} \geq 2$ . On the other hand, assume that  $\Theta_I(4m+3) = 0$ . Since  $|J| + |Q| = 4m+3 - k_1$ , the composition  $R$  has a prefix  $R'$  with odd sum  $k_1$ . Since the prefix  $l_1 \cdots l_{\min\{a(L), z(K)+1\}}$  is even, we deduce that  $|R'| \geq l_1 + k_1 > k_1$ , a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Next, we will show that  $I \in \mathcal{S}_2$ . Since  $l_1 = 2$ , we find  $a(L) \geq 1$  and  $r_1 = l_1 = 2$ . Since  $|L| = 2m+1$  is odd and  $k_1$  is odd, the composition  $R$  has at least two odd parts. From definition, it is direct to see the desired value pair  $(|Q|, |R|)$ , as well as that  $z(Q) = z(K)$ . To see that  $I$  satisfies the last condition in  $\mathcal{S}_2$ , we proceed according to the precise form of  $R$ .

(1) If  $a(L) \leq z(K)$ , then

$$R = l_1 \cdots l_{a(L)} \cdot k_1 \cdot l_{a(L)+1} \cdots l_{-1}.$$

It follows that  $a(R) = a(L) \leq z(K) = z(Q)$ . In this case,  $r_{a(R)+2} = l_{a(L)+1}$  is odd.

(2) If  $a(L) \geq z(K) + 1$ , then

$$R = l_1 \cdots l_{z(K)+1} \cdot k_1 \cdot l_{z(K)+2} \cdots l_{-1}.$$

It follows that  $a(R) = z(K) + 1 = z(Q) + 1$ .

This proves  $I \in \mathcal{S}_2$ .

Second, we will show that  $\varphi_2$  is injective. Suppose that  $(J', K', L') \in \mathbf{T}_2$  and

$$JQR = \varphi_2(J, K, L) = \varphi_2(J', K', L') = J'Q'R'.$$

Let  $I = JQR = J'Q'R'$ . Since  $I \in \mathcal{S}_2$ , the composition  $J$  is the prefix of  $I$  with part sum  $2m+2$ , and so is  $J'$ . Thus  $J = J'$  and  $QR = Q'R'$ . Assume that  $Q \neq Q'$ . Suppose that  $|Q| < |Q'|$  without loss of generality. Then  $|R| > |R'|$  and

$$2m+1 - r'_{fo} = |Q'| > |Q| = 2m+1 - r_{fo}.$$

It follows that  $r_{fo} > r'_{fo}$ . Since  $|R| > |R'|$ , the first odd part  $r_{fo}$  of  $R$  must lie to the left of the first odd part  $r'_{fo}$  of  $R'$ . Thus  $r_{fo}$  is contained in  $Q'$ , and

$$|Q'| \geq |Q| + r_{fo} = 2m+1,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $Q = Q'$ . As a result, we obtain  $R = R'$  since  $QR = Q'R'$ . To sum up, we have

$$J = J', \quad Q = Q' \quad \text{and} \quad R = R'.$$

Since  $K$  (resp.,  $K'$ ) is obtained by inserting the first odd part of  $R$  (resp.,  $R'$ ) at the beginning of  $Q$  (resp.,  $Q'$ ), we find  $K = K'$ . Since  $L$  (resp.,  $L'$ ) is obtained from  $R$  (resp.,  $R'$ ) by removing the first odd part, we find  $L = L'$ . This proves the injectivity of  $\varphi_2$ .



At last, since  $I$  is obtained from  $JKL$  by moving  $k_1$  rightward, we find  $e_I = e_{JKL}$ . On the other hand, it is direct to compute

$$(3.10) \quad c_2(J, K, L) = j_1(k_1 - 1)(2 - 1) + f(j_1, k_1, 2) = (j_1 - 1)(2k_1 - 5) - 1 \geq 2.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

For any  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_3$ , define  $\varphi_3(J, K, L) = JQR$ , where  $R = l_2 \cdots l_{-1}$  is obtained by removing the first part from  $L$ , and  $Q = l_1 \cdot k_2 \cdots k_{-1} \cdot k_1$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *We have the following.*

(1)  $\varphi_3(\mathbf{T}_3) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}_3$ , where

$$\mathcal{S}_3 = \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m + 2, 2m + 3, 2m - 1), q_1 = 2, q_{-1} \text{ is even and } q_{-1} \geq 4\}.$$

(2)  $\varphi_3$  is injective.

(3) For each triple  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_3$ ,  $e_{\varphi_3(J, K, L)} = e_{JKL}$  and  $c_3(J, K, L) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_3$ . Then  $l_1 = 2$ ,  $k_1$  is even and  $k_1 \geq 4$ . Let  $I = \varphi_3(J, K, L) = JQR$ . First of all, let us check  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ :

- $\Theta_I(2m + 1) = 1$ , since  $|J| = 2m + 2$  and  $j_{-1} \geq 2$ ; and
- $\Theta_I(4m + 3) = 2$ , since  $|J| + |Q| = 4m + 5$  and  $q_{-1} = k_1 \geq 4$ .

It follows that  $I \in \mathcal{S}_3$ .

Next, we will show that  $\varphi_3$  is injective. Suppose that  $(J', K', L') \in \mathbf{T}_3$  and

$$JQR = \varphi_3(J, K, L) = \varphi_3(J', K', L') = J'Q'R'.$$

Let  $I = JQR = J'Q'R'$ . Since  $I \in \mathcal{S}_3$ , the composition  $J$  is the prefix of  $I$  with part sum  $2m + 2$ , and so is  $J'$ . Thus  $J = J'$ . For the same reason, we have  $Q = Q'$  and  $R = R'$ . By definition, the composition  $L$  (resp.,  $L'$ ) can be obtained by inserting a part 2 at the beginning of  $R$  (resp.,  $R'$ ). Since  $R = R'$ , we find  $L = L'$ . On the other hand, the composition  $K$  (resp.,  $K'$ ) can be obtained from  $Q$  (resp.,  $Q'$ ) by removing the first part and then moving the last part to the beginning. Since  $Q = Q'$ , we derive that  $K = K'$ . This proves the injectivity of  $\varphi_3$ .

Since  $I$  is obtained from  $JKL$  by exchanging  $k_1$  and  $l_1$ , we deduce that  $e_I = e_{JKL}$ . Same to Ineq. (3.10), one may compute and see that  $c_3(J, K, L) \geq 2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We call a composition *odd* if it has only odd parts. For  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_4$ , let  $U = U(K)$  be the composition obtained from  $K$  by moving its longest odd suffix to the beginning; in other words,

$$U = k_{le(K)+1} \cdots k_{-1} k_1 k_2 \cdots k_{le(K)},$$

where  $le(K)$  is the index of the last even part of  $K$ . Note that the premise  $k_1 = 2$  implies  $z(U) \geq 1$ . We split  $\mathbf{T}'_4 = \mathbf{T}_{41} \sqcup \mathbf{T}_{42}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{41} &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T} : k_1 = l_1 = 2, a(L) \leq z(U(K))\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{T}_{42} &= \{(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T} : k_1 = l_1 = 2, a(L) > z(U(K))\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{41}$ , define  $\varphi_{41}(J, K, L) = JQR$ , where  $R$  is obtained from  $L$  by removing the first odd part, and  $Q$  is obtained by inserting  $l_{fo}$  into  $U$  as

$$Q = u_1 \cdots u_{-a(L)-1} \cdot l_{fo} \cdot u_{-a(L)} \cdots u_{-1}.$$

For any  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{42}$ , define  $\varphi_{42}(J, K, L) = JQR$ , where  $Q$  is obtained from  $U$  by removing the last odd part, and  $R$  is obtained by inserting  $u_{lo}$  into  $L$  as

$$R = l_1 \cdots l_{z(U)} \cdot u_{lo} \cdot l_{z(U)+1} \cdots l_{-1}.$$

**Lemma 3.7.** *We have the following.*

(1) For any  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{41}$ ,  $\{\varphi_{41}(J, K, L), \varphi_{41}(J, L, K)\} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}_{41}$ , where

$$\mathcal{S}_{41} = \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : r_1 = 2, a(R) \geq z(Q), Q \text{ has at least one odd part}, \\ (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m + 2, 2m + 1 + q_{l_o}, 2m + 1 - q_{l_o})\}.$$

(2) For any  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{42}$ ,  $\{\varphi_{42}(J, K, L), \varphi_{42}(J, L, K)\} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}_{42}$ , where

$$\mathcal{S}_{42} = \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : r_1 = 2, a(R) \leq z(Q), R \text{ has at least one odd part}, \\ r_{a(R)+2} \text{ is even}, (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m + 2, 2m + 1 - r_{f_o}, 2m + 1 + r_{f_o})\}.$$

(3) Both  $\varphi_{41}$  and  $\varphi_{42}$  are injective.

(4) For  $i = 1, 2$  and  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{4i}$ ,  $e_{\varphi_{4i}(J, K, L)} = e_{\varphi_{4i}(J, L, K)} = e_{JKL}$ , and  $c_4(J, K, L) \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* We proceed to show them one by one.

(1) Let  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{41}$  and  $I = \varphi_{41}(J, K, L) = JQR$ . Then

$$k_1 = l_1 = 2, \quad a(L) \leq z(U), \quad \text{and} \quad q_{l_o} = l_{f_o}.$$

We first check  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ . In fact, we have  $\Theta_I(2m + 1) = 1$  since  $|J| = 2m + 2$  and  $j_{-1} \geq 2$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\Theta_I(4m + 3) = 0$ . Since

$$|J| + |Q| = 4m + 3 + l_{f_o},$$

the composition  $Q$  has a suffix  $Q'$  with odd sum  $l_{f_o}$ . On the other hand, since  $z(U) \geq 1$ , we find

$$|Q'| \geq u_{-1} + l_{f_o} > l_{f_o},$$

a contradiction. This proves  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Next, we will show that  $I \in \mathcal{S}_{41}$ . From definition, we see that  $r_1 = l_1 = 2$ . Note that  $q_{l_o} = l_{f_o}$  is an odd part of  $Q$ . Since  $R$  has an even prefix of length  $a(L)$ , we find  $a(R) \geq a(L) = z(Q)$ . On the other hand,

$$|Q| = |U| + l_{f_o} = 2m + 1 + q_{l_o} \quad \text{and} \quad |R| = n - |J| - |Q| = 2m + 1 - q_{l_o}.$$

This proves  $I \in \mathcal{S}_{41}$ . Along the same lines, one may show that  $\varphi_{41}(J, L, K) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}_{41}$ .

(2) Let  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{42}$  and  $I = \varphi_{42}(J, K, L) = JQR$ . Then

$$k_1 = l_1 = 2, \quad a(L) > z(U), \quad \text{and} \quad r_{f_o} = u_{l_o}.$$

We first check  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ . In fact, we have  $\Theta_I(2m + 1) = 1$  since  $|J| = 2m + 2$  and  $j_{-1} \geq 2$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\Theta_I(4m + 3) = 0$ . Since

$$|J| + |Q| = 4m + 3 - u_{l_o},$$

the composition  $R$  has a prefix  $R'$  with odd sum  $u_{l_o}$ . Since  $a(L) > z(U) \geq 1$ , we find

$$|R'| \geq l_1 + u_{l_o} > u_{l_o},$$

a contradiction. This proves  $I \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Next, we will show that  $I \in \mathcal{S}_{42}$ . From definition, we see that  $r_1 = l_1 = 2$ . Note that  $r_{f_o} = u_{l_o}$  is an odd part of  $R$ . Since  $Q$  has an even suffix of length  $z(U)$ , we find  $z(Q) \geq z(U) = a(R)$ . On the other hand,

$$|R| = |L| + u_{l_o} = 2m + 1 + r_{f_o} \quad \text{and} \quad |Q| = n - |J| - |R| = 2m + 1 - r_{f_o}.$$

From definition, we see that  $r_{a(R)+2} = l_{z(U)+1}$  is even. This proves  $I \in \mathcal{S}_{42}$ .

Along the same lines, one may show that  $\varphi_{42}(J, L, K) \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{S}_{42}$ .

(3) Let us show that  $\varphi_{41}$  is injective. Suppose that

$$JQR = \varphi_{41}(J, K, L) = \varphi_{41}(J', K', L') = J'Q'R',$$

where  $(J, K, L), (J', K', L') \in \mathbf{T}_{41}$ . We are going to show that  $(J, K, L) = (J', K', L')$ .

We claim that  $(J, Q, R) = (J', Q', R')$ . Let  $I = JQR = J'Q'R'$ . Since  $I \in \mathcal{S}_{41}$ , each of  $Q$  and  $Q'$  has at least one odd part,

$$\begin{aligned} (|J|, |Q|, |R|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1+q_{l_o}, 2m+1-q_{l_o}), \quad \text{and} \\ (|J'|, |Q'|, |R'|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1+q'_{l_o}, 2m+1-q'_{l_o}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the composition  $J$  is the prefix of  $I$  with part sum  $2m+2$ , and so is  $J'$ . It follows that  $J = J'$  and  $QR = Q'R'$ . Assume that  $Q \neq Q'$ . Then we can suppose that  $|Q| > |Q'|$  without loss of generality. It follows that  $q_{l_o} > q'_{l_o}$ . Thus the last part of  $Q$  is not contained in  $Q'$ . Then  $|Q'| \leq |Q| - q_{l_o} = 2m+1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $|Q| = |Q'|$  and  $q_{l_o} = q'_{l_o}$ . It follows that  $Q = Q'$ , and thus  $R = R'$ . This proves the claim.

Since  $U$  (resp.,  $U'$ ) can be obtained from  $Q$  (resp.,  $Q'$ ) by removing the last odd part, and since  $Q = Q'$ , we find  $U = U'$ . Since  $K$  (resp.,  $K'$ ) can be obtained from  $U$  (resp.,  $U'$ ) by moving its longest odd prefix to the end, and since  $U = U'$ , we find  $K = K'$ . Note that

$$R = l_1 \cdots l_{a(L)} \cdot l_{a(L)+2} \cdots l_{-1},$$

in which  $a(L) = z(Q)$ . Therefore, the composition  $L$  (resp.,  $L'$ ) is obtained by inserting a part of value  $l_{f_o} = q_{l_o}$  (resp.,  $l'_{f_o} = q'_{l_o}$ ) into  $R$  (resp.,  $R'$ ) between  $r_{z(Q)}$  and  $r_{z(Q)+1}$ . Since  $q_{l_o} = q'_{l_o}$ , we find  $l_{f_o} = l'_{f_o}$  and  $L = L'$ . This proves the injectivity of  $\varphi_{41}$ .

On the other hand, we shall show that  $\varphi_{42}$  is injective. Suppose that

$$JQR = \varphi_{42}(J, K, L) = \varphi_{42}(J', K', L') = J'Q'R',$$

where  $(J, K, L), (J', K', L') \in \mathbf{T}_{42}$ . We are going to show that  $(J, K, L) = (J', K', L')$ .

We claim that  $(J, Q, R) = (J', Q', R')$ . Since  $JQR = J'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_{42}$ , each of  $R$  and  $R'$  has at least one odd part,

$$\begin{aligned} (|J|, |Q|, |R|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1-r_{f_o}, 2m+1+r_{f_o}), \quad \text{and} \\ (|J'|, |Q'|, |R'|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1-r'_{f_o}, 2m+1+r'_{f_o}). \end{aligned}$$

As before, we obtain  $J = J'$  and  $QR = Q'R'$ . Assume that  $|Q| \neq |Q'|$ . Then we can suppose that  $|Q| < |Q'|$  without loss of generality. It follows that  $r_{f_o} > r'_{f_o}$ . Therefore, the first odd part of  $R$  is not contained in  $R'$ , and must lie in  $Q'$ . Then  $|Q'| \geq |Q| + r_{f_o} = 2m+1$ , a contradiction. This proves  $|Q| = |Q'|$  and  $r_{f_o} = r'_{f_o}$ . Since  $QR = Q'R'$ , we deduce that  $Q = Q'$ . It follows that  $R = R'$ . This proves the claim.

Since  $L$  (resp.,  $L'$ ) can be obtained from  $R$  (resp.,  $R'$ ) by removing the first odd part  $r_{f_o}$ , and since  $r_{f_o} = r'_{f_o}$ , we find  $L = L'$ . Note that

$$Q = u_1 \cdots u_{-z(U)-2} \cdot u_{-z(U)} \cdots u_{-1},$$

in which  $z(U) = a(R)$ . Therefore,  $U$  is obtained from  $Q$  by inserting a part of value  $u_{l_o} = r_{f_o}$  into  $Q$  between the  $(a(R)+2)$ th last part and the  $a(R)$ th last part. For the same reason,  $U'$  is obtained from  $Q'$  by inserting a part of value  $u'_{l_o} = r'_{f_o}$  into  $Q'$  between the last  $(a(R')+2)$ th part and the  $a(R')$ th last part. Since  $r_{f_o} = r'_{f_o}$  and  $R = R'$ , we infer that  $U = U'$ . It follows that  $K = K'$ . This proves the injectivity of  $\varphi_{42}$ .

(4) For any  $(J, K, L) \in \mathbf{T}_{41}$ , the composition  $I = \varphi_{41}(J, K, L)$  is obtained from the composition  $JUL$  by moving a part  $l_{f_o}$  leftward. Since  $U$  is a rearrangement of  $K$ , we deduce that  $e_I = e_{JKL}$ . Similarly, for any  $(J', K', L') \in \mathbf{T}_{42}$ , the composition  $I' = \varphi_{42}(J', K', L')$  is obtained from the composition  $J'U'L'$  by moving a part  $u_{l_o}$  rightward. Thus  $e_{I'} = e_{J'K'L'}$ .

On the other hand, since  $f(j, 2, 2) = -2j$ , we find that

$$c_4(J, K, L) = \begin{cases} j_1(2-1)(2-1) \cdot 2 - 2j_1 = 0, & \text{if } K > L \\ j_1(2-1)(2-1) - j_1 = 0, & \text{if } K = L \end{cases}$$

is always zero.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *For any  $m \geq 1$ , the spider  $S(4m+2, 2m, 1)$  is  $e$ -positive.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 3.1 to 3.3, it suffices to show that  $e$ -positivity of  $W$ . By Lemmas 3.4 to 3.7, it suffices to check that the sets  $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3, \mathcal{S}_{41}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{42}$  are pairwise disjoint. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 &= \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m+3, 2m+1, 2m)\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_2 &= \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : r_1 = 2, R \text{ has at least 2 odd parts,} \\ &\quad (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m+2, 2m+1-r_{fo}, 2m+1+r_{fo}), \\ &\quad \text{either } a(R) \leq z(Q) \text{ and } r_{a(R)+2} \text{ is odd, or } a(R) = z(Q) + 1\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_3 &= \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m+2, 2m+3, 2m-1), q_1 = 2, q_{-1} \text{ is even}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{41} &= \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : r_1 = 2, a(R) \geq z(Q), Q \text{ has at least one odd part,} \\ &\quad (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m+2, 2m+1+q_{lo}, 2m+1-q_{lo})\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{S}_{42} &= \{PQR \in \mathcal{C}_n : r_1 = 2, a(R) \leq z(Q), R \text{ has at least one odd part,} \\ &\quad r_{a(R)+2} \text{ is even, } (|P|, |Q|, |R|) = (2m+2, 2m+1-r_{fo}, 2m+1+r_{fo})\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is direct to see that  $\mathcal{S}_1 \cap (\mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3 \cup \mathcal{S}_{41} \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}) = \emptyset$ , since  $\Theta_I(2m+2) = 1$  for  $I \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , and  $\Theta_I(2m+2) = 0$  for  $I \in \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3 \cup \mathcal{S}_{41} \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}$ . Below we shall show that  $\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3, \mathcal{S}_{41}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{42}$  are pairwise disjoint.

- (1) Assume that  $(\mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}) \cap \mathcal{S}_3 \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a composition  $I = PQR = P'Q'R'$ , where  $P, Q, R, P', Q'$  and  $R'$  are compositions such that  $PQR \in \mathcal{S}_3, P'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (|P|, |Q|, |R|) &= (2m+2, 2m+3, 2m-1), \quad \text{and} \\ (|P'|, |Q'|, |R'|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1-r'_{fo}, 2m+1+r'_{fo}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $P$  is the prefix of  $I$  with part sum  $2m+2$ , and so is  $P'$ . It follows that  $P = P'$  and  $QR = Q'R'$ . Since  $P'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}$ , we have  $r'_1 = 2$ . Thus we can write

$$I = PQ'2MR, \quad \text{where } |M| = r'_{fo}, Q'2M = Q, \text{ and } 2MR = R'.$$

Since  $r'_{fo}$  is odd,  $M$  has at least one odd part. Since  $R' = 2MR$ , the composition  $M$  contains the first odd part of  $R'$ , which is  $r'_{fo}$ . Thus  $M$  consists of the single part  $r'_{fo}$ . It follows that the last part of  $Q = Q'2M$  is  $r'_{fo}$ , which is odd. On the other hand, since  $I \in \mathcal{S}_3, q_{-1}$  is even, a contradiction. This proves  $(\mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}) \cap \mathcal{S}_3 = \emptyset$ .

- (2) Assume that  $\mathcal{S}_3 \cap \mathcal{S}_{41} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a composition  $I = PQR = P'Q'R'$ , where  $P, Q, R, P', Q'$  and  $R'$  are compositions such that  $PQR \in \mathcal{S}_3, P'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_{41}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (|P|, |Q|, |R|) &= (2m+2, 2m+3, 2m-1), \quad \text{and} \\ (|P'|, |Q'|, |R'|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1+q'_{lo}, 2m+1-q'_{lo}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $P = P'$  and  $QR = Q'R'$  as in the previous case. Since  $q'_{lo} \geq 3$ , we can write

$$I = PQMR', \quad \text{where } |M| = q'_{lo} - 2 \text{ and } QM = Q'.$$

Since  $|M|$  is odd, the composition  $M$  has at least one odd part. Since  $M$  is a suffix of  $Q'$ , it contains the last odd part of  $Q'$ , and  $|M| \geq q'_{lo}$ , a contradiction.

- (3) Assume that  $\mathcal{S}_2 \cap \mathcal{S}_{42} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a composition  $I = PQR = P'Q'R'$ , where  $P, Q, R, P', Q'$ , and  $R'$  are compositions such that  $PQR \in \mathcal{S}_2, P'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_{42}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (|P|, |Q|, |R|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1-r_{fo}, 2m+1+r_{fo}), \quad \text{and} \\ (|P'|, |Q'|, |R'|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1-r'_{fo}, 2m+1+r'_{fo}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $P = P'$  and  $QR = Q'R'$  as before. Assume that  $|Q| \neq |Q'|$ . Then we can suppose that  $|Q| < |Q'|$  without loss of generality. It follows that  $r_{fo} > r'_{fo}$ . Therefore, the first odd part  $r_{fo}$  of  $R$  is not contained in  $R'$ , and must lie in  $Q'$ . Then  $|Q'| \geq |Q| + r_{fo} = 2m+1$ , a contradiction. This proves  $|Q| = |Q'|$  and  $r_{fo} = r'_{fo}$ . Since  $QR = Q'R'$ , we deduce that  $Q = Q'$ . It follows that  $R = R'$ . To sum up, we have

$$(P, Q, R) = (P', Q', R').$$

Since  $P'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_{42}$ , we have  $a(R') \leq z(Q')$ . It follows that  $a(R) \leq z(Q)$ . Since  $PQR \in \mathcal{S}_2$ , we find  $r_{a(R)+2}$  is odd. Thus  $r'_{a(R')+2}$  is odd, contradicting  $P'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_{42}$ . This proves  $\mathcal{S}_2 \cap \mathcal{S}_{42} = \emptyset$ .

- (4) Assume that  $(\mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}) \cap \mathcal{S}_{41} \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists a composition  $I = PQR = P'Q'R'$ , where  $P, Q, R, P', Q'$ , and  $R'$  are compositions such that  $PQR \in \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}, P'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_{41}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (|P|, |Q|, |R|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1-r_{fo}, 2m+1+r_{fo}), \quad \text{and} \\ (|P'|, |Q'|, |R'|) &= (2m+2, 2m+1+q'_{lo}, 2m+1-q'_{lo}). \end{aligned}$$

As before, we have  $P = P', QR = Q'R'$ , and we can write

$$I = PQMR', \quad \text{where } |M| = r_{fo} + q'_{lo}, \quad QM = Q', \quad \text{and } MR' = R.$$

We proceed according to the number of odd parts of  $M$ .

Suppose that  $M$  has no odd parts. Since  $QM = Q'$ , we have  $z(Q) + 1 \leq z(Q')$ ; since  $R = MR'$ , we have  $a(R) \geq a(R') + 1$ ; since  $P'Q'R' \in \mathcal{S}_{41}$ , we have  $a(R') \geq z(Q')$ . Therefore,

$$a(R) \geq a(R') + 1 \geq z(Q') + 1 \geq z(Q) + 2,$$

which is impossible since  $PQR \in \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}$ .

Otherwise,  $M$  has at least two odd parts since  $|M| = r_{fo} + q'_{lo}$  is even. As a consequence,  $m_{fo} \neq m_{lo}$ . Since  $Q' = QM$ , we have  $q'_{lo} = m_{lo}$ ; since  $R = MR'$ , we have  $r_{fo} = m_{fo}$ . Therefore, the composition  $M$  contains two parts of values  $r_{fo}$  and  $q'_{lo}$ , respectively. Together with  $|M| = r_{fo} + q'_{lo}$ , we derive that  $M = r_{fo} q'_{lo}$ . Since  $R = MR'$ , we obtain  $r_1 = r_{fo}$  is odd. Since  $PQR \in \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}$ , we have  $r_1 = 2$ , a contradiction. This proves  $(\mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_{42}) \cap \mathcal{S}_{41} = \emptyset$ .

In summary, the sets  $\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3, \mathcal{S}_{41}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{42}$  are pairwise disjoint. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper was completed when the second author was visiting Professor Jean-Yves Thibon at LIGM of Université Gustave Eiffel. He is appreciative for the hospitality there.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Dahlberg, A. She, and S. van Willigenburg. Schur and  $e$ -positivity of trees and cut vertices. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 27(1), 2020. [1](#), [2](#)
- [2] D. D. Gebhard and B. E. Sagan. A chromatic symmetric function in noncommuting variables. *J. Alg. Combin.*, 13(3):227–255, 2001. [1](#)
- [3] J. McDonough, P. Pylyavskyy, and S. Wang. The stanley–stembridge conjecture for  $\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}$ -avoiding unit interval orders: a diagrammatic proof. *arXiv: 2404.07280*. [1](#)
- [4] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs. Chromatic quasisymmetric functions. *Adv. Math.*, 295:497–551, 2016. [3](#)
- [5] R. P. Stanley. A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial of a graph. *Adv. Math.*, 111(1):166–194, 1995. [3](#)

- [6] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of *Cambridge Stud. in Adv. Math.* Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999. [3](#)
- [7] R. P. Stanley and J. R. Stembridge. On immanants of Jacobi-Trudi matrices and permutations with restricted position. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 62(2):261–279, 1993. [1](#)
- [8] J.-Y. Thibon and D. G. L. Wang. A noncommutative approach to the schur positivity of chromatic symmetric functions. *arXiv:2305.07858*. [2](#)
- [9] F. Tom. A signed  $e$ -expansion of the chromatic symmetric function and some new  $e$ -positive graphs. *arXiv:2311.08020*. [1](#)
- [10] D. G. L. Wang. All cycle-chords are  $e$ -positive. *arXiv: 2405.01166*. [1](#)
- [11] D. G. L. Wang and M. M. Y. Wang. The  $e$ -positivity and schur positivity of some spiders and broom trees. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 325:226–240, 2023. [2](#)
- [12] D. G. L. Wang and J. Z. F. Zhou. Composition method for chromatic symmetric functions: Neat noncommutative analogs. *arXiv: 2401.01027*. [1](#), [2](#)
- [13] H. L. Wolfgang III. *Two Interactions between Combinatorics and Representation Theory: Monomial Immanants and Hochschild Cohomology*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1997. [1](#), [2](#)
- [14] K. Zheng. On the  $e$ -positivity of trees and spiders. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 189:105608, 2022. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)

(Davion Q.B. Tang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING 102400, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* [davion@bit.edu.cn](mailto:davion@bit.edu.cn)

(David G.L. Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS & BEIJING KEY LABORATORY ON MCAACI, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY; MIIT KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL THEORY AND COMPUTATION IN INFORMATION SECURITY, BEIJING 102400, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* [glw@bit.edu.cn](mailto:glw@bit.edu.cn)

(Monica M.Y. Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100089, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* [myw@cnu.edu.cn](mailto:myw@cnu.edu.cn)