# Optimal Configuration of Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces With Non-uniform Phase Quantization

Jialong Lu<sup>1</sup>, Rujing Xiong<sup>1</sup>, Tiebin Mi<sup>1</sup>, Ke Yin<sup>2</sup>, Robert Caiming Qiu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Electronic Information and Communications, Huazhong University of Science and Technology

<sup>2</sup> Center for Mathematical Sciences, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology

Wuhan 430074, China

Email:{M202272434, rujing, mitiebin, kyin, caiming}@hust.edu.cn

*Abstract*—The existing methods for Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface (RIS) beamforming in wireless communication are typically limited to uniform phase quantization. However, in real world applications, the phase and bit resolution of RIS units are often non-uniform due to practical requirements and engineering challenges. To fill this research gap, we formulate an optimization problem for discrete non-uniform phase configuration in RIS assisted multiple-input single-output (MISO) communications. Subsequently, a partition-and-traversal (PAT) algorithm is proposed to solve that, achieving the global optimal solution. The efficacy and superiority of the PAT algorithm are validated through numerical simulations, and the impact of non-uniform phase quantization on system performance is analyzed.

*Index Terms*—RIS, discrete phase configuration, global optimum, partition-and-traversa.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces (RISs) have garnered significant attention for their ability to reconfigure electronic environments [1]–[3]. Generally, the RIS consists of numerous low-power well-designed passive reflecting units, each capable of independently manipulating electromagnetic properties such as the phase of incident waves. This characteristic enables the RIS to redistribute incident waves, facilitating complex beamforming functionalities. Extensive research on RIS has been conducted in various wireless systems such as multi-user/multi-antenna systems [4], unmanned aerial vehicle networks [5], physical layer security [6], wireless sensing and location [7], and edge computing [8], among others.

To harness the potential of RIS in wireless communications, optimizing its reflection such as the phase configuration is necessary. Assuming that a RIS consists of units with continuous phase shifts, phase optimization is not a challenging task and can be implemented through alternating direction method of multipliers [9], [10], successive convex approximation [11], [12], semidefinite relaxation-semidefinite program (SDR-SDP) [13], [14], majorization-minimization [15], [16] and manifold optimization (Manopt) [17], [18].

However, it is more practical to assume discrete phase shifts for RIS units. This limitation is due to the hardware structure of RIS units [19], making the continuous-phase-shift assumption unrealistic [20], [21]. With the discrete phase, traditional techniques are no longer applicable. One method to tackle this issue is to perform resource-intensive exponential search techniques [22]. Given that an RIS can have hundreds of units, this method is extremely time-consuming. Additionally, researchers have put forward various techniques to obtain the sub-optimal solutions, including the successive refinement algorithm [19], the angle-of-arrival estimation similarity method [23] and the branch-and-bound algorithms [4], [24]. Moreover, an approach based on training sets is introduced [25], which incorporates multiple channel estimations and transmits precoding. The authors in [26] present an approximation algorithm to approximate the global optimal solution. A rotation-based algorithm has been proposed for global optimum in [27].

All the aforementioned methods assume uniform phase shifts for the RIS unit, i.e., the discrete phase shifts are evenly spaced within the range  $[0, 2\pi)$ . However, in practical scenarios, phase shifts are not necessarily uniform [21]. To clarify, we delineate two types of non-uniform phase shifts throughout this paper. The first type is discussed at the unit level, primarily stemming from two sources: one is the inaccuracies occurring in the engineering process such as printed circuit board (PCB) [28]. The other arises from frequency differences, for example, consider a RIS unit designed to provide uniform phase shifts at 3 GHz. If the same unit is used at 3.1 GHz, the phase shifts would not remain uniform [29]. The second type of non-uniformity occurred at the array level, mainly due to different quantization schemes adopted by different RIS units, such as 1-bit and 2-bit. To address unit-level nonuniformity phase configuration, reference [30] proposes an optimal configuration method capable of achieving a global optimum solution with linear complexity, although limited to single-input single-out (SISO) scenarios.

To attain optimal phase configuration under various nonuniform considerations, this paper formulates a discrete optimization problem aimed at maximizing the received signal power in multi-input single-output (MISO) scenarios. The proposed algorithm is demonstrated to achieve the global optimum. The main contributions can be summarized as follows:

• Non-uniformity discrete phase configuration in RIS beamformings. Considering the practical requirements and engineering challenges encountered by RIS hardware, we define two types of non-uniformity at unit and array

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants NO.12141107 and NO.11801200, and the Interdisciplinary Research Program of HUST (2023JCYJ012).

levels. To address the non-uniform discrete phase configuration problem in RIS-assisted MISO communications, we present a quadratic optimization problem aimed at maximizing received power.

• Novel algorithm to obtain the global optimum. By introducing auxiliary variables, we reformulate an equivalent form to the original problem. Subsequently, we propose a partition-and-traversal (PAT) algorithm to solve it. The proposed algorithm is guaranteed to achieve the global optimum. Additionally, simulation results demonstrate superior performance compared to other competing methods.

#### **II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION**

# A. System Model

As depicted in Fig 1, we consider a RIS-assistant downlink communication within a singular cellular network. In this scenario, RIS is strategically deployed to facilitate communication from a multi-antenna access point (AP) to a solitary antenna user across a designated frequency band. The quantity of transmitting antennas at the AP is denoted by D, while the number of reflective units at the RIS is designated as N. Due to considerable path loss, it is posited that signals reflected more than once by RIS can be disregarded. Consequently, for analytical purposes, such reflections are deemed negligible. To elucidate the theoretical performance augmentation introduced by RIS, we posit the comprehensive knowledge of channel state information (CSI) for all channels at the AP. Additionally, all channels adhere to a quasi-static flat fading model.



Fig. 1. RIS-assisted single-user communication without line-of-sight (LoS).

We examine a single-user MISO communication system. A beamforming vector  $\mathbf{w}$  is preassigned for precoding, under the assumption that the transmitted signal *s* is a zero-mean, unit variance random variable. As the benefits of the RIS are not significant when the direct link is present, we neglect the direct link in our scenario and only consider the reflection link established by the RIS. This reflection link is akin to the dyadic backscatter channel in radio frequency identification communications [31], specifically where the signal is emitted from the RIS unit as a point source signal to the user, with an additional phase attached. Hence, the equivalent baseband channel of the reflection link can be divided into the AP-RIS link, RIS reflection phase shift, and RIS-user link, represented respectively by  $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times D}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \text{diag}(e^{j\theta_1}, e^{j\theta_2}, \cdots, e^{j\theta_N})$ and  $\mathbf{h}_r^H \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N}$ . Therefore, the received signal at the user can be expressed as

$$y = \mathbf{h}_r^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{w} s + z, \tag{1}$$

where  $z \sim C\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  denotes the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) at the user's end. Correspondingly, the Signalto-Interference-plus-Noise Ratio (SINR) at the user is given by

$$SINR = \frac{|\mathbf{h}_r^H \boldsymbol{\Theta} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{w}|^2}{\sigma^2}.$$
 (2)

### B. Beamforming Problem Formulation

Our objective is to jointly optimize the beamforming at the AP and the reflection beamforming at the RIS to minimize the total transmission power at the AP while ensuring that the SINR at the user end exceeds a certain threshold. In other words, we aim to solve the problem

$$P1): \min_{\mathbf{w}, \Theta} ||\mathbf{w}||^{2}$$
  
s.t. 
$$\frac{|\mathbf{h}_{r}^{H} \Theta \mathbf{G} \mathbf{w}|^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \geq \gamma,$$
$$0 \leq \theta_{n} \leq 2\pi, \forall n,$$
$$(3)$$

where  $\gamma$  represents the minimum SINR of the user's received signal. For any given reflection phase shift  $\Theta$ , the optimal transmit beamforming in problem (P1) is the maximum ratio transmission (MRT) [32], given by  $\mathbf{w}^* = \sqrt{P} \frac{|\mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta \mathbf{G}|^H}{||\mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta \mathbf{G}||}$ , where P represents the transmit signal power at the AP. Substituting  $\mathbf{w}^*$  into (P1), we obtain the following problem

$$\min_{\substack{P,\Theta\\P,\Theta}} P$$
s.t. $P||\mathbf{h}_{r}^{H}\Theta\mathbf{G}||^{2} \ge \gamma\sigma^{2}$ 

$$0 \le \theta_{n} \le 2\pi, \forall n.$$
(4)

By observation, it is evident that when  $P = \frac{\gamma \sigma^2}{||\mathbf{h}_r^H \Theta \mathbf{G}||^2}$ , problem (4) achieves its minimum value. Therefore, we can reformulate problem (4) as:

$$\max_{\Theta} ||\mathbf{h}_{r}^{H} \Theta \mathbf{G}||^{2}$$
s.t.0  $\leq \theta_{n} \leq 2\pi, \forall n.$ 
(5)

Let  $\mathbf{v} = [e^{j\theta_1}, e^{j\theta_2}, \cdots, e^{j\theta_N}]^T$  and  $\mathbf{R}^H = \text{diag}(\mathbf{h}_r^H)\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times D}$ . Then we can obtain  $||\mathbf{h}_r^H \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{G}||^2 = ||\mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{R}^H||^2$ , and consequently simplify problem (5) to

$$\max_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v}^{H} \mathbf{R}^{H} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}$$
s.t. $|v_{n}|^{2} = 1, \forall n.$ 
(6)

In practical applications, the additional phase imposed by the reflection units of the RIS is generally limited to discrete values [20]. Considering the non-uniformity phase shift of the RIS, wherein the phase values of individual units are not uniformly distributed from 0 to  $2\pi$  across different operating frequency bands and the heterogeneous nature of RIS units with varying numbers of bits. We define the set  $\Phi_n = \{\phi_1^n, \phi_2^n, \cdots, \phi_{b_n}^n\}$  to represent the optional phase set at the *n*-th unit of the RIS. Here,  $b_n$  denotes the number of optional phase values for the *n*-th unit, and  $\phi_1^n, \phi_2^n, \cdots, \phi_{b_n}^n$ are non-uniformly distributed in ascending order within the range 0 to  $2\pi$ . Thus, the problem transforms into

$$\max_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v}^{H} \mathbf{R}^{H} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}$$
  
s.t.arg{ $v_{n}$ }  $\in \Phi_{n}, \forall n.$  (7)

Problem (7) falls into the category of common positive semidefinite quadratic maximization problems encountered in communication system design. Several algorithms have been proposed in existing literature [33]–[35] to address these problems. However, the high complexity makes the implementations challenging. Additionally, the non-uniformity of the phase introduces irregularities in the problem's alphabet, further complicating the matter. These difficulties pose significant challenges in seeking the optimal solution, particularly in cases with large system parameters.

### III. A PARTITION-AND-TRAVERSAL ALGORITHM FOR POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE QUADRATIC MAXIMIZATION

In this section, we propose a partition-and-traversal (PAT) algorithm to obtain the global optimal solution for problem (7). Drawing inspiration from the approach outlined in [36], we utilize auxiliary variables to formulate an equivalent problem. By establishing a mapping relationship between the optimization variable and the auxiliary variable, we transfer the problem into a lower-dimensional space for a solution. With this mapping relationship, we can partition the space where auxiliary variables reside into several subspaces, with each subspace corresponding to a solution. Finally, by traversing these subspaces, we obtain the global optimal solution.

A. An Equivalent Formulation for Positive Semidefinite Quadratic Maximization

We know that 
$$\mathbf{v}^{H}\mathbf{R}^{H}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v} = ||\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}||^{2}$$
. By introducing the  
auxiliary variable  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}^{H} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{j\varphi_{1}}\sin\vartheta_{1} \\ e^{j\varphi_{2}}\cos\vartheta_{1}\sin\vartheta_{2} \\ \vdots \\ e^{j\varphi_{D}}\cos\vartheta_{1}\cos\vartheta_{2}\dots\cos\vartheta_{D-1} \end{bmatrix} \in$ 

 $\mathbb{C}^{D \times 1}$ , and combining it with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it finds

$$\Re\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}\} \le ||\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H|| \cdot ||\mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}|| = ||\mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}||.$$

Therefore, problem (7) is equivalent to

$$\max_{\mathbf{v}} \max_{\overline{\mathbf{v}}} \Re\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^{H} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}\}$$
  
s.t.arg $\{v_{n}\} \in \Phi_{n}, \forall n,$  (8)  
 $||\overline{\mathbf{v}}|| = 1.$ 

Let  $\mathbf{a} = \overline{\mathbf{v}}^H \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{N imes 1}$ , and  $a_n = |a_n| e^{-j \tau_n}$  represent the

n-th element in a. Then problem (8) can be rewritten as:

$$\max_{\mathbf{v}} \max_{\overline{\mathbf{v}}} \Re\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^{H} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}\}$$

$$= \max_{\overline{\mathbf{v}}} \max_{\mathbf{v}} \Re\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^{H} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}\}$$

$$= \max_{\overline{\mathbf{v}}} \max_{\mathbf{v}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \Re\{|a_{n}|e^{j(\theta_{n}-\tau_{n})}\}$$

$$= \max_{\overline{\mathbf{v}}} \max_{\mathbf{v}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_{n}| \cos(\theta_{n}-\tau_{n}).$$
(9)

# B. Subspace Partitioning

From problem (9), it can be observed that when  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  is determined, the condition for maximizing  $\Re\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H \mathbf{R} \mathbf{v}\}$  is given by

$$\theta_n(\overline{\mathbf{v}}) = \arg\min_{\theta_n \in \Phi_n} |(\theta_n - \tau_n) \mod 2\pi|.$$
(10)

We define the set  $\Psi_n = \{\psi_1^n, \psi_2^n, \cdots, \psi_{b_n}^n\}$ , where

$$\psi_i^n = \phi_i^n + \frac{1}{2} [(\phi_{i+1}^n - \phi_i^n) \mod 2\pi].$$
 (11)

Then, the maximization condition (10) can be rewritten as

$$\theta_n(\overline{\mathbf{v}}) = \phi_i^n, \text{ if } \tau_n \in \operatorname{arc}(\psi_{i-1}^n, \psi_i^n)$$
(12)

Here,  $\operatorname{arc}(a, b)$ ) represents the phase interval from a to b, excluding the endpoints. Based on condition (12), we can establish a mapping relationship between  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then, by leveraging the boundary conditions in (12), we can establish boundary surface equations to partition the high-dimensional space where  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  resides into several subspaces, each corresponding to a unique  $\mathbf{v}$ , as illustrated in Fig 2. Since the dimensionality of  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  is lower than that of  $\mathbf{v}$ , the number of subspaces we partition is significantly lower than the spatial scale of  $\mathbf{v}$ , which constitutes the main advantage of the algorithm. As there must exist a  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  corresponding to the global optimal solution  $\mathbf{v}^*$ , traversing all subspaces allows us to obtain the global optimal solution.

The boundary surface equation can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{R}_{n}^{H}\overline{\mathbf{v}}^{H} = ae^{j\omega_{n}},\tag{13}$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_n^H$  denotes the *n*-th row of  $\mathbf{R}^H$ , *a* is any positive real number, and  $\omega_n \in \Psi_n$ .

# C. Determination and Traversal of Subspaces

After partitioning the subspaces, we employ the method of finding intersection points to differentiate and determine the subspaces. Since  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  has 2D - 1 variables, we require 2D - 1 boundary surface equations to determine an intersection point. We define the set of indices for the selected 2D - 1 equations as  $I = \{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{2D-1}\} \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, 2D - 1\}$ , with corresponding boundary values set as  $\Omega = \{\omega_{i_1}, \omega_{i_2}, \cdots, \omega_{i_{2D-1}}\}$ . Then, the equation for the intersection point is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{i_1}^H \\ \mathbf{R}_{i_2}^H \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{R}_{i_{2D-1}}^H \end{bmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{v}}^H = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 e^{j\omega_{i_1}} \\ a_2 e^{j\omega_{i_2}} \\ \vdots \\ a_{2D-1} e^{j\omega_{i_{2D-1}}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (14)



Fig. 2. The spatial partitioning of  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$  results in each subspace corresponding to a distinct  $\mathbf{v}.$ 

Through fractional simplification, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{i_{1}}^{H} e^{-j\omega_{i_{1}}} \\ \mathbf{R}_{i_{2}}^{H} e^{-j\omega_{i_{2}}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{R}_{i_{2D-1}}^{H} e^{-j\omega_{i_{2D-1}}} \end{bmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{v}}^{H} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{2D-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(15)  
Let  $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{i_{1}}^{H} e^{-j\omega_{i_{1}}} \\ \mathbf{R}_{i_{2}}^{H} e^{-j\omega_{i_{2}}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{R}_{i_{2D-1}}^{H} e^{-j\omega_{i_{2D-1}}} \end{bmatrix}.$  Thus, equation (15) is equiv-

alent to

$$\left[\Re\{\mathbf{C}\} \quad \Im\{\mathbf{C}\}\right] \left[\Im\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^{H}\}\right] = 0 \quad (16a)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Re\{\mathbf{C}\} & -\Im\{\mathbf{C}\} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Re\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H\}\\ \Im\{\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H\} \end{bmatrix} > 0$$
(16b)

By solving equation (16), an intersection point is obtained, thereby determining and distinguishing subspaces. Upon attaining the intersection point  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H$ , the corresponding  $\theta$  can be recovered according to equation (12), further obtaining  $\mathbf{v} = e^{j\theta}$ . Let V denote the set of recovered  $\mathbf{v}$ . It should be noted that the recovery of  $heta_n$  for  $n \in I$  is uncertain due to  $\tau_n$  being on the boundary. To address this issue, we employ a traversal method. Assuming  $\tau_n = \psi_i^n$ , we include both cases where  $\theta_n$  equals  $\phi_i^n$  or  $\phi_{i+1}^n$  in V. Therefore, each intersection point  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H$  will yield  $2^{2D-1}$  v. Interestingly, the set of  $2^{2D-1}$  v obtained actually corresponds to the v associated with all subspaces connected to  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H$ . In other words, by identifying one intersection point, we can determine all subspaces connected to that intersection point, as shown in Fig 3. Thus, by identifying all intersection points, we can determine all subspaces and subsequently search for the global optimal solution. Finally, traversing V yields the global optimal solution  $\mathbf{v}^*$ . The proposed algorithm is depicted in Algorithm 1.

#### IV. NUMERICAL SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS

To assess the effectiveness of the proposed PAT algorithm, we conduct numerical simulations. In these simula-



Fig. 3. An intersection point can determine all the subspaces connected to it..

Algorithm 1 PAT algorithm for Positive Semidefinite Quadratic Maximization

**Input:** Complex matrix **R**, set  $\Phi_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2 \cdots, N$ . **Output:** Optimal discrete phase configurations  $\mathbf{v}^*$ 

1: Generate the boundary point set  $\Psi_n$  as in (11).

2: repeat

- 3: Select intersection equations and solve them according to equation (16a) to obtain  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H$ .
- 4: Check if either  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H$  or  $-\overline{\mathbf{v}}^H$  satisfies equation (16b).
- 5: **if** Satisfying equation (16b) **then**
- 6: Recovering the corresponding  $\mathbf{v}$  according to equation (12) and adding it to V.
- 7: **end if**
- 8: until All intersection points have been identified.
- 9: Traverse V to obtain the optimal solution  $\mathbf{v}^*$ .

10: return  $\mathbf{v}^*$ .

tions, we compare the performance of the proposed algorithm with several state-of-the-art methods, namely SDR-SDP and Manopt, as well as exhaustive method. Moreover, an analysis of the algorithm's search complexity is performed. Notably, the continuous solutions obtained by SDR-SDP and Manopt are projected closely to derive discrete solutions. Finally, we conduct a related analysis on the impact of non-uniformity phase quantization on the performance of RIS.

The model parameter is based on independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) Gaussian channels with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_0^2$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{h}_r^H, \mathbf{G} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma_0^2)$ . The minimum SINR of the user's received signal  $\gamma$  is set to 40 dBm and the background noise power level  $\sigma^2$  is set to -50 dBm. Additionally, we constrain RIS units to be either 1-bit or 2-bit, and randomly distribute  $\Phi_n$  within the range of 0 to  $2\pi$ .

# A. Minimization of Transmit Power

In Fig 4, we illustrate performance comparisons regarding transmit power among various algorithms for different values of the number of transmitting antennas D. The results indicate that the proposed PAT algorithm performs consistently with the exhaustive method and outperforms the SDR-SDP and Manopt algorithms. Moreover, we observe that the performance gap

between the PAT algorithm and the SDR-SDP/Manopt algorithms gradually increases with the enlargement of N. Specifically, when N = 50 and D = 2, the gap is approximately 6 dBm and 7 dBm. When N = 50 and D = 3, the gap is approximately 6 dBm and 5 dBm.



Fig. 4. A comparison of minimum transmit power performance as function N. (a) D = 2. (b) D = 3.

### B. Search Complexity Analysis

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  denote the set encompassing all conceivable subsets of I. The magnitude of the set V, derived through the proposed PAT algorithm, is expressed as  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} 2^{2D-1} \prod_{n \in I} b_n$ , whereas the size of the exploration domain for the exhaustive approach is denoted as  $\prod_{n=1}^{N} b_n$ . In Fig 5, we specify the ratios of 2-bit units among all units as 0.2, 0.5, and 0.8, respectively, and present a comparative analysis of the search space magnitudes between the PAT algorithm and the exhaustive method across scenarios across D = 1, 2, or 3. The findings illustrate that with an increase in N, the disparity in search space magnitude between the PAT algorithm and the exhaustive method widens. For example, at N = 100, the search space size of the PAT algorithm is at least 200 dB lower compared to the exhaustive method. In addition to the algorithm's advantage in terms of the size of the search space, the independent computation of subspaces allows for parallel operation. Moreover, the necessity to store only the optimal solution, without the need for non-optimal ones, significantly reduces the memory requirements. These advantages make our proposed PAT algorithm still particularly applicable in largescale scenarios.

# C. Analysis of the Impact of Non-Uniform Phase Quantization

1) Non-Uniformity at Unit Level:: We conduct an experiment to analyze the impact of non-uniform quantization of phases. In the experimental setup, all units are set to 2 bit, and the phase distribution is  $\{0, \frac{k\pi}{20}, \frac{k\pi}{10}, \frac{3k\pi}{20}\}$ . In Fig 6, we compare the results of various algorithms for  $k = 4, 5, \dots, 10$ , where smaller values of k indicate greater phase non-uniformity. The results reveal that as k decreases, the effectiveness of the RIS also diminishes. Additionally, the gap between SDR-SDP, Manopt, and exhaustive method also increases gradually. This indicates that non-uniformity in phase leads to a certain loss in performance, and existing algorithms perform poorly in solving problems with non-uniform phase distributions.



Fig. 5. Comparison of the search space sizes between the PAT algorithm and exhaustive method.



Fig. 6. A comparison of minimum transmit power performance as function k.

2) Non-Uniformity at Array Level.: To analyze the impact of non-uniform bit resolution, we compare the results of various algorithms under different ratios of 2-bit units among all units, as shown in Fig 7. The findings demonstrate that as the rate of 2-bit units decreases, the performance of SDR-SDP and Manopt algorithms deteriorates, while the discrepancy between these approaches and the exhaustive method exacerbates. This highlights the inadequacy of existing algorithms in addressing non-uniform bit resolution scenarios.



Fig. 7. A comparison of minimum transmit power performance at different ratios of 2-bit units to all.

#### V. CONCLUSION

This paper addresses the beamforming problem of RIS under non-uniform phase quantization, we propose a PAT algorithm capable of finding the global optimal solution. Numerical simulations are employed to conduct performance comparisons between the proposed algorithm and existing methods, thereby validating the superiority of the algorithm's solutions. Moreover, the PAT algorithm exhibits low complexity and remains applicable in large-scale scenarios due to its parallelizability and low memory requirements. Finally, an analysis of the impact of RIS non-uniformity on RIS is provided, confirming the necessity of investigating nonuniform phase configurations.

#### REFERENCES

- E. Basar, M. Di Renzo *et al.*, "Wireless communications through reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 116753– 116773, 2019.
- [2] M. Di Renzo, A. Zappone *et al.*, "Smart radio environments empowered by reconfigurable intelligent surfaces: How it works, state of research, and the road ahead," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 2450–2525, 2020.
- [3] Q. Wu, B. Zheng *et al.*, "Intelligent surfaces empowered wireless network: Recent advances and the road to 6G," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.16918*, 2023.
- [4] B. Di, H. Zhang *et al.*, "Hybrid beamforming for reconfigurable intelligent surface based multi-user communications: Achievable rates with limited discrete phase shifts," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 1809–1822, 2020.
- [5] S. Li, B. Duo *et al.*, "Reconfigurable intelligent surface assisted UAV communication: Joint trajectory design and passive beamforming," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 716–720, 2020.
- [6] M. Cui, G. Zhang et al., "Secure wireless communication via intelligent reflecting surface," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1410–1414, 2019.
- [7] A. Elzanaty, A. Guerra *et al.*, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces for localization: Position and orientation error bounds," *IEEE Transactions* on Signal Processing, vol. 69, pp. 5386–5402, 2021.
- [8] T. Bai, C. Pan *et al.*, "Latency minimization for intelligent reflecting surface aided mobile edge computing," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 2666–2682, 2020.
- [9] J. Liang, H. C. So *et al.*, "Unimodular sequence design based on alternating direction method of multipliers," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 64, no. 20, pp. 5367–5381, 2016.
- [10] Z. Yang and Y. Zhang, "Beamforming optimization for RIS-aided SWIPT in cell-free MIMO networks," *China Communications*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 175–191, 2021.
- [11] T. Wang, F. Fang *et al.*, "An SCA and relaxation based energy efficiency optimization for multi-user ris-assisted NOMA networks," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 6843–6847, 2022.
- [12] V. Kumar, R. Zhang et al., "A novel SCA-based method for beamforming optimization in IRS/RIS-assisted MU-MISO downlink," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 297–301, 2022.
- [13] M. A. ElMossallamy, K. G. Seddik *et al.*, "RIS optimization on the complex circle manifold for interference mitigation in interference channels," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 6184–6189, 2021.
- [14] G. Zhou, C. Pan *et al.*, "Robust beamforming design for intelligent reflecting surface aided MISO communication systems," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 1658–1662, 2020.
- [15] H. Shen, W. Xu et al., "Secrecy rate maximization for intelligent reflecting surface assisted multi-antenna communications," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 1488–1492, 2019.
- [16] A. A. Salem, M. H. Ismail *et al.*, "Active reconfigurable intelligent surface-assisted MISO integrated sensing and communication systems for secure operation," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2022.

- [17] X. Yu, D. Xu *et al.*, "MISO wireless communication systems via intelligent reflecting surfaces," in 2019 IEEE/CIC International Conference on Communications in China (ICCC). IEEE, 2019, pp. 735–740.
- [18] J. Hu, W. Zhang *et al.*, "Constant modulus waveform design for MIMO radar via manifold optimization," *Signal Processing*, vol. 190, p. 108322, 2022.
- [19] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Beamforming optimization for wireless network aided by intelligent reflecting surface with discrete phase shifts," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 1838–1851, 2019.
- [20] R. Xiong, J. Zhang *et al.*, "Design of reconfigurable intelligent surfaces for wireless communication: A review," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14232*, 2023.
- [21] B. Rana, S.-S. Cho et al., "Review paper on hardware of reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [22] R. Xiong, X. Dong *et al.*, "Optimal discrete beamforming of ris-aided wireless communications: An inner product maximization approach," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.04167*, 2022.
- [23] J. Xu, W. Xu et al., "Discrete phase shift design for practical large intelligent surface communication," in 2019 IEEE Pacific Rim Conference on Communications, Computers and Signal Processing (PACRIM). IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–5.
- [24] X. Yu, D. Xu et al., "Optimal beamforming for MISO communications via intelligent reflecting surfaces," in 2020 IEEE 21st International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC). IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–5.
- [25] J. An, C. Xu *et al.*, "Low-complexity channel estimation and passive beamforming for RIS-assisted MIMO systems relying on discrete phase shifts," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 1245– 1260, 2021.
- [26] Y. Zhang, K. Shen *et al.*, "Configuring intelligent reflecting surface with performance guarantees: Optimal beamforming," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 2022.
- [27] S. Ren, K. Shen *et al.*, "A linear time algorithm for the optimal discrete irs beamforming," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 496–500, 2022.
- [28] A. Araghi, M. Khalily *et al.*, "Reconfigurable intelligent surface (ris) in the sub-6 ghz band: Design, implementation, and real-world demonstration," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 2646–2655, 2022.
- [29] E. Björnson, "Optimizing a binary intelligent reflecting surface for ofdm communications under mutual coupling," in WSA 2021; 25th International ITG Workshop on Smart Antennas. VDE, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [30] S. Hashemi, H. Jiang *et al.*, "Optimal configuration of reconfigurable intelligent surfaces with arbitrary discrete phase shifts," *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2311.07096, 2023.
- [31] C. Boyer and S. Roy, "backscatter communication and RFID: Coding, energy, and MIMO analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 770–785, 2013.
- [32] D. Tse and P. Viswanath, Fundamentals of wireless communication. Cambridge university press, 2005.
- [33] D. Avis and K. Fukuda, "Reverse search for enumeration," *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 21–46, 1996.
- [34] H. Edelsbrunner, J. O'Rourke, and R. Seidel, "Constructing arrangements of lines and hyperplanes with applications," *SIAM Journal on Computing*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 341–363, 1986.
- [35] J.-A. Ferrez, K. Fukuda *et al.*, "Solving the fixed rank convex quadratic maximization in binary variables by a parallel zonotope construction algorithm," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 166, no. 1, pp. 35–50, 2005.
- [36] G. N. Karystinos and A. P. Liavas, "Efficient computation of the binary vector that maximizes a rank-deficient quadratic form," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 3581–3593, 2010.