

IMPACT OF MEDIUM TEMPERATURE HEAT TREATMENT ON FLUX TRAPPING SENSITIVITY IN SRF CAVITIES*

P. Dhakal^{1,2†}, B. D. Khanal², E. Lechner¹, and G. Ciovati^{1,2}

¹Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

²Center for Accelerator Science, Department of Physics,
Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA

Abstract

The effect of mid-T heat treatment on flux trapping sensitivity was measured on several 1.3 GHz single cell cavities subjected to vacuum annealing at temperature of 150 - 400 °C for a duration of 3 hours. The cavity was cooldown with residual magnetic field ~ 0 and ~ 20 mG in the Dewar with cooldown condition of full flux trapping. The quality factor as a function of accelerating gradient was measured. The results show the correlation between the treatment temperature, quality factor, and sensitivity to flux trapping. Sensitivity increases with increasing heat treatment temperatures within the range of (200 - 325 °C/3h). Moreover, variations in the effective penetration depth of the magnetic field and the density of quasi-particles can occur, influencing alterations in the cavity's electromagnetic response and resonance frequency.

INTRODUCTION

The current leading technique in processing superconducting niobium surfaces for SRF cavities involves surface engineering through impurity alloying via thermal treatment. This method, considered state-of-the-art, takes advantage of the introduction of impurities from external sources such as titanium or nitrogen, or the presence of native niobium oxides within the rf penetration depth [1–6]. However, impurities play a crucial role in increasing the density of pinning centers, enhancing susceptibility to flux trapping during cavity cooldown due to an incomplete Meissner effect. To optimize the process, it is essential to minimize flux trapping sensitivity, resulting in a higher quality factor and an increased accelerator gradient.

A recent advancement in cavity heat treatment, known as "mid-T" baking, capitalizes on the naturally formed oxide on the surface of the Nb cavity [4–8]. This oxide is then dissolved in the bulk material. The diffusion of oxygen is temperature and time-dependent, influencing the concentration of interstitial oxygen. Developing processes with lower flux trapping sensitivity is paramount for achieving higher quality factors and accelerator gradients. In this manuscript, we present the results of the several SRF cavity tests subjected to mid-T heat treatment in the furnace. The quality factor as a function of accelerating gradient as well as the flux

trapping sensitivity was measured. Additionally, the change in resonant frequency near the critical temperature T_C was measured to confirm the change in electronic properties as a result of heat treatment.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Two 1.3 GHz TESLA shaped single cell cavities, namely TE1-05 and TE1-06 were used for this current study. The cavities were previously heat treated at higher temperature (1000 °C) followed by 25 μm electropolishing to ensure that the cavity provides good flux expulsion [9]. Before mid-T heat treatment, the cavities were high pressure rinsed with de-ionized water, dried in a class 10 clean room, installed clean Nb foils over the beamtube flanges. The furnace temperature was increased at a rate of $\sim 2 - 5$ °C / min until it reached the target temperature. The furnace was held at the desired temperature for 3 hours and cooled to room temperature. The cavities were subjected to standard cavity processing techniques of high pressure rinse and assembly. Three flux gate magnetometers were taped at the equator 120° apart each and parallel to the cavity axis. The cooldown of cavity was done by maintaining residual magnetic flux density of ~ 0 and ~ 20 mG in the Dewar with cooldown condition of full flux trapping. The RF measurements consist of $Q_0(T)$ at low peak rf field $B_p \sim 15$ mT and $Q_0(B_p)$ at 2.0 K. Furthermore, the resonant frequency and quality factor were measured during the cavity warm up through T_c . After each rf test, the cavity's rf surface is reset by ~ 25 μm electropolishing, before next heat treatment.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

rf results

The $R_s(T)$ data were fitted using the model presented in Ref. [10] to extract the temperature-dependent R_{BCS} and temperature-independent residual resistance R_i . The flux trapping sensitivity is calculated using,

$$S = \frac{R_{i,B_2} - R_{i,B_1}}{B_2 - B_1}. \quad (1)$$

where $B_1 \approx 0$ mG and $B_2 \approx 20$ mG. Figure 1 shows the flux trapping sensitivity as a function of heat treatment temperature. Sensitivity increases with the increase in the temperature of heat treatment with the maximum at ~ 250 ° / 3h. On further increase in temperature the flux trapping sensitivity decreases gradually. The flux trapping sensitivity follows the oxygen concentration within 100 nm calculated using oxygen

* The work is partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics under Awards No. DE-SC 0009960 and The manuscript has been authored by Jefferson Science Associates, LLC under U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177.

† dhakal@jlab.org




Figure 1: Flux trapping sensitivity as a function of heat treatment temperature. The solid line is calculated oxygen concentration within first 100 nm using oxygen diffusion model [12].

diffusion model [11, 12]. Figure 2 shows the Q_0 vs. E_{acc} at 2.0 K for both cavities. The baseline cavity was limited to ~ 30 MV/m with a high field Q-slope. In the same cavity, when subjected to 150 °C/3 h of heat treatment, the cavity gradient reached to ~ 44 MV/m before it quenched. Eliminating the high-field Q-slope with low-temperature baking has been a proven technique to improve the accelerating gradient [13, 14]. With a further increase in the temperature of the heat treatment, the quality factor increases with increasing accelerating gradient with Q_0 reaching $\sim 4 \times 10^{10}$ at $E_{acc} \sim 20$ MV/m for 275 - 325 °C/3h with a lower quench gradient (~ 25 MV/m) compared to the baseline test. On further increase in heat treatment temperature, the overall Q_0 gets lower while the high field Q-slope starting at ~ 25 MV/m.




Figure 2: Q_0 vs. E_{acc} at 2.0 K with < 2 mG residual field in Dewar.

For all tests, the measurements were repeated with ~ 20 mG of residual magnetic flux density trapped during the cavity cooldown. The accelerating gradient dependence of flux trapping sensitivity was calculated using

$$S = \frac{R_{s,B_2} - R_{s,B_1}}{B_2 - B_1}. \quad (2)$$

where $R_s = G/Q_0$, $B_1 \approx 0$ mG and $B_2 \approx 20$ mG. Figure 3 shows the flux trapping sensitivity as a function of accelerating gradient. As shown in Fig. 1, the flux trapping sensitivity increase with the increase in heat treatment temperature with highest sensitivity for 250 °C/3h heat treatment. On further increase in temperature, the gradient dependence of sensitivity decreases.




Figure 3: Flux trapping sensitivity as a function of E_{acc} at 2.0 K.

f and Q_0 during warm up

After the completion of the rf test, the cavity was connected to a network analyzer to measure the resonant frequency and quality factor as a function of temperature. To correct the Dewar pressure fluctuation, the pressure sensitivity was corrected by tracking the frequency with respect to the Dewar pressure at a given temperature. All data were corrected for at 1 atm (760 Torr) pressure. Figure 4 shows the change in frequency $\Delta f = f - f_{6K}$ and $R_s = G/Q_0$ as a function of temperature. The change in Δf and R_s is clearly seen as a result of heat treatments. The baseline EP cavity (TE1-05) does not show any dip near T_c , whereas both the Δf and depth of the dip increase as the heat treatment temperature increases up to ~ 275 °C/3h. On further increase in temperature, both Δf and depth of the dip decrease, as shown in Fig.4. The effect of the current heat treatment process not only changes the frequency behavior, but also has an effect on R_s and T_c , as shown in Fig.5.

DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The enhancement of the quality factor through Q-rise is evident following heat treatments within the temperature range of 200 to 400 °C over a duration of 3 hours. In particular, a higher Q_0 manifests itself when the electronic mean free path is comparable to the superconducting coherence length. The introduction of oxygen reduces the electron mean free path thereby increasing the penetration depth, but decreasing the dissipative conductivity. The results shown here are in qualitative agreement with the a recent characterization of the oxygen diffusion process [6] based on Ciovati's model [11]. It is interesting to note that the baking around




Figure 4: Change in resonant frequency and surface resistance as a function of temperature.

150 °C/3hr ameliorated the high field Q slope. In the context of shallow impurity diffusion profiles, where the peak supercurrent density is deformed and pushed away from the surface, this result is in qualitative agreement with the expected optimal baking time and temperature [12]. It should be emphasized that cavities treated within the temperature range of 250 to 325 °C/3h exhibit a tendency to quench at lower gradients (20 - 25 MV/m) compared to cavities treated outside of this temperature range. Furthermore, several instances of strong multipacting were observed at this gradient range in contrast to the baseline EP'ed cavities, suggesting a possible surface modification due to heat treatment, which causes a change in secondary electron emission yield.

As anticipated, heat treatment causes the change in local mean free path as well as spatial distributions of impurities, oxides, dislocation networks [15]. The sensitivity to flux trapping increases with rising heat treatment temperatures within the range of (200 - 250 °C/3h). It is possible that within this temperature range, surface oxide fails to fully dissolve, resulting in an accumulation of higher oxide nanoparticles near the surface, thus promoting a higher density but weak pinning centers. However, when the temperature exceeds 250 °C for the same duration, the breakdown of surface oxides and subsequent diffusion into the bulk decrease the pinning centers on the surface. Consequently,




Figure 5: (a) Superconducting transition temperature (T_c), (b) surface resistance, R_n at 10 K, and (c) frequency shift ($\Delta f = f_6 K - f_{10 K}$) as a function of heat treatment temperature. The dotted line in (a) is calculated T_c due to solute solution of Nb-O [16] within the first 100 nm using the oxygen diffusion model [12].

the sensitivity to flux trapping gradually diminishes with increasing heat treatment temperatures, as shown in Figs. 1 and 3. The observation is further corroborated by the oxygen concentration profile as shown in Fig. 1 with peak oxygen concentration at ~ 250 °C/3h heat treatment.

The change in transition temperature as shown in Fig. 5 is qualitatively in agreement with the literature values of reduction in T_c for solute solution of oxygen in niobium [16]. The redistribution of the oxide on the Nb surface due to heat treatment introduces an additional scattering mechanism and modifies the electronic characteristics of the superconducting material in proximity to the transition temperature. Consequently, variations in the effective penetration depth of the magnetic field and the density of quasi-particles can occur, influencing alterations in the cavity's electromagnetic response and resonance frequency [17].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Jefferson Lab SRF production technical staff members for the cavity fabrication, chemical processing, heat treatment, clean room assembly, and cryogenic support.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Dhakal *et al.*, "Effect of high-temperature heat treatments on the quality factor of a large-grain superconducting radio-frequency niobium cavity", *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **16**, 042001 (2013). doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.16.042001
- [2] A. Grassellino *et al.*, "Unprecedented quality factors at accelerating gradients up to 45 MVm^{-1} in niobium superconducting resonators via low temperature nitrogen infusion," *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, **30**, 094004 (2017). doi:10.1088/1361-6668/aa7afe
- [3] P. Dhakal, "Nitrogen doping and infusion in SRF cavities: A review", *Physics Open*, **5**, 100034 (2020). doi:10.1016/j.physo.2020.100034
- [4] S. Posen *et al.*, "Ultralow surface resistance via vacuum heat treatment of superconducting radio-frequency cavities", *Phys. Rev. Applied* **13**, 014024 (2020).doi:10.1103/PhysRevApplied.13.014024
- [5] H. Ito, H. Araki, K.Takahashi, and K. Umemori, "Influence of furnace baking on Q-E behavior of superconducting accelerating cavities", *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.*, **071G01** (2021). doi:10.1093/ptep/ptab056
- [6] E. M. Lechner *et al.*, "RF surface resistance tuning of superconducting niobium via thermal diffusion of native oxide", *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **119**, 082601 (2021). doi:10.1063/5.0059464
- [7] F. He *et al.*, "Medium-temperature furnace baking of 1.3 GHz 9-cell superconducting cavities at IHEP", *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **34**, 095005 (2021). doi:10.1088/1361-6668/ac1657
- [8] Z. Yang *et al.*, "Surface resistance effects of medium temperature baking of buffered chemical polished 1.3 GHz nine-cell large-grain cavities", *Supercond. Sci. Technol.*, **36**, 015001 (2023).doi:10.1088/1361-6668/aca12a
- [9] B. D. Khanal and P. Dhakal, "Evaluation of Flux Expulsion and Flux Trapping Sensitivity of SRF Cavities Fabricated from Cold Work Nb Sheet with Successive Heat Treatment", in Proc. 21th Int. Conf. RF Supercond. (SRF'23), Grand Rapids, MI, USA, Jun. 2023, pp. 197-201. doi:10.18429/JACoW-SRF2023-MOPMB042
- [10] G. Ciovati, P. Dhakal, and A. Gurevich, "Decrease of the surface resistance in superconducting niobium resonator cavities by the microwave field", *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104** (9), 092601 (2014). doi:10.1063/1.4867339
- [11] G. Ciovati, "Improved oxygen diffusion model to explain the effect of low-temperature baking on high field losses in niobium superconducting cavities", *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 022507 (2006). doi:10.1063/1.2220059
- [12] E. M. Lechner *et al.*, "Oxide dissolution and oxygen diffusion scenarios in niobium and implications on the Bean-Livingston barrier in superconducting cavities", *J. Appl. Phys.* **135**, 133902 (2024). doi:10.1063/5.0191234
- [13] G. Ciovati, "Effect of low-temperature baking on the radio frequency properties of niobium superconducting cavities for particle accelerators", *J. Appl. Phys.*, **96**, 1591 (2004). doi:10.1063/1.1767295
- [14] B. D. Khanal and P. Dhakal, "Insight to the duration of 120°C baking on the performance of SRF niobium cavities," in *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity*, **33**(3), 1-6 (2023). doi:10.1109/TASC.2023.3235311
- [15] P. Dhakal, G. Ciovati, and A. Gurevich. "Flux expulsion in niobium superconducting radio-frequency cavities of different purity and essential contributions to the flux sensitivity", *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams*, **23**, 023102 (2020). doi:10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.23.023102
- [16] W. Desorbo, "Effect of dissolved gases on some superconducting properties of niobium", *Phys. Rev.*, **132**, 107 (1963). doi:10.1103/PhysRev.132.107
- [17] M. Zarea, H. Ueki, and J. A. Sauls, "Electromagnetic response of disordered superconducting cavities" , *Front. Electron. Mater.* **3**,1259401 (2023). doi:10.3389/femat.2023.1259401.