

# Growth of cosmic perturbations in the modified $f(R, T)$ gravity

Mahnaz Asghari\* and Ahmad Sheykhi†

*Department of Physics, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran  
Biruni Observatory, College of Sciences, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran*

We explore the generalized  $f(R, T)$  modified theory of gravity, where the gravitational Lagrangian is a function of Ricci scalar  $R$  and the trace of the energy-momentum tensor  $T$ . We derive modified field equations to the linear order of perturbations in the context of  $f(R, T)$  model. We then investigate the growth of perturbations in the context of  $f(R, T)$  modified gravity. Primary numerical investigations based on matter power spectra diagrams indicates a structure growth suppression in  $f(R, T)$  gravity, which exhibits consistency with local measurements. Also, we notice that matter-geometry interaction in  $f(R, T)$  model would results in the specific feature named as "matter acoustic oscillations" appeared in matter power spectra diagrams. Moreover, we put constraints on the cosmological parameters of  $f(R, T)$  model, utilizing current observations, chiefly cosmic microwave background, weak lensing, supernovae, baryon acoustic oscillations, and redshift-space distortions data. Numerical results based on MCMC calculations imply that  $f(R, T)$  is a qualified theory of modified gravity in reconciling Planck CMB data with local probes of large scale structures, by reporting lower values for the structure growth parameter  $\sigma_8$  compared to the standard model of cosmology.

## I. INTRODUCTION

It is a general belief that Einstein's theory of general relativity (GR) is one of the most comprehensive theories of gravity which has proven to be highly efficient in understanding the nature of gravity as well as fundamental concepts in cosmology. Furthermore, the discovery of acceleration of the cosmic expansion which is supported by observational measurements from type Ia supernovae (SNeIa) [1, 2], affirms the concordance  $\Lambda$ CDM model in the framework of GR, as a well established cosmological model for describing the evolution of the universe. Although the standard model of cosmology is successfully verified by a broad range of observations containing the cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies [3–5], large scale structures (LSS) [6–8], and baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) [9–11], this model suffers from several theoretical and observational shortcomings. In principle, low redshift cosmological probes prefer less structure formation which yields lower values of linear matter density perturbation amplitude  $\sigma_8$ , compared to the global predictions based on the Planck CMB data [12–17]. Moreover, the inferred values of Hubble constant according to local determinations are in conflict with CMB measurements [18–23]. In this regard, it seems that GR is not necessarily an ultimate gravity theory, and thus there is a tendency towards alternative theories of gravity.

The modified gravity theory which is based upon corrections to the Einstein-Hilbert (EH) action, is introduced as a convincing alternative model of gravity, in purpose of describing the emerged issues in GR where the standard model of cosmology is not capable of solving them. The most straightforward modification of

gravity is to consider an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar  $R$  in the EH action, known as  $f(R)$  gravity [24–32]. It is also convenient to consider a non-minimal coupling between matter and geometry, as firstly proposed in [33, 34], where an explicit coupling between the Ricci scalar  $R$  and the matter Lagrangian density  $L_m$  is contemplated. In this generalization of modified gravity, called  $f(R, L_m)$  theory, the equation of motion of massive particle is non-geodesic, and thus an extra force arises. The  $f(R, L_m)$  gravity has been extensively explored in the literature [35–44]. Accordingly, based on the non-minimal curvature-matter interaction, Harko et al. [45] introduced the generalized gravity model  $f(R, T)$ , in which the gravitational Lagrangian is a function of Ricci scalar  $R$  and the trace of the energy-momentum tensor  $T$ . The  $T$ -dependent Lagrangian in this class of modifications on GR, indicates quantum effects which results in a non-conservative energy-momentum tensor. Thus, an extra acceleration would appear induced by the coupling between matter and geometry [46, 47]. There are several related studies in the framework of  $f(R, T)$  gravity, where we specify some of them in the following. Jamil et al. [48] considered reconstruction of different cosmological models in  $f(R, T)$  gravity. Cosmological solutions of  $f(R, T)$  theory via phase space analysis was explored by Shabani and Farhoudi [49]. Sharif and Nawazish [50] examined the existence of Noether symmetry in the context of  $f(R, T)$  modified gravity. A discussion on energy conditions applications as well as the cosmological viability of  $f(R, T)$  gravity was provided by Moraes and Sahoo [51]. Rajabi and Nozari [52] investigated inflation and reheating era in the unimodular  $f(R, T)$  theory of gravity. Moreover, Fortunato et al. [53] reconstructed modified  $f(R, T)$  model through Gaussian process. For more related investigations on  $f(R, T)$  gravity refer to [54–80]. On the other hand, the parameterized post-Newtonian solar system analysis put severe constraints on  $f(R, T)$  gravity with linear dependence on  $T$  [81], where can not

\*Electronic address: [mahnaz.asghari@shirazu.ac.ir](mailto:mahnaz.asghari@shirazu.ac.ir)

†Electronic address: [asheykhi@shirazu.ac.ir](mailto:asheykhi@shirazu.ac.ir)

rule out this class of modified gravity models on cosmological scales. Then, it is worth turning our attention to put observational constraints on  $f(R, T)$  model via numerical analysis. While cosmological background data are vastly utilized as observational probes [82–91], it is pertinent to take into account cluster counts measurements along with background observations in an attempt to explore cosmic structure growth in modified  $f(R, T)$  theory. In this regard, recently [92] have considered the evolution of  $f\sigma_8$  (where  $f$  is the growth rate of matter perturbations) in the context of  $f(R, T)$  gravity with polynomial and exponential  $T$ -dependent Lagrangian, in which severe constraints on cosmological parameters were obtained. Correspondingly, the purpose of the present paper is to study  $f(R, T)$  gravity in background as well as perturbation levels, along with confronting the  $f(R, T)$  model with observational data -regarding CMB, weak lensing, supernovae, BAO, and redshift-space distortions (RSD) measurements- in order to provide robust constraints on cosmological parameters, together with evaluating its ability to address the cosmological tensions.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section II we derive the modified field equations in  $f(R, T)$  gravity. Numerical analysis based on the  $f(R, T)$  model is explained in section III. We present observational constraints on  $f(R, T)$  modified gravity in section IV. Finally, section V provides a conclusion of our main results.

## II. FUNDAMENTAL FORMULATION OF $f(R, T)$ GRAVITY

In this section we explore the modified gravitational field equations based on  $f(R, T)$  gravity. The total action corresponding to  $f(R, T)$  modified gravity can be provided as [45]

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R, T) + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} L_m, \quad (1)$$

where  $R$  is the Ricci scalar,  $T$  denotes the trace of the energy-momentum tensor ( $T = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}$ ), and  $L_m$  stands for the matter Lagrangian density. Contemplating action (1), it is possible to derive the associated field equations of  $f(R, T)$  model as [45]

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} + g_{\mu\nu} \square \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} - \frac{1}{2} f g_{\mu\nu} \\ = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial T} (T_{\mu\nu} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with [45]

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\mu\nu} &\equiv g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta T_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \\ &= -2T_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} L_m - 2g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu} \partial g^{\alpha\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We consider the energy content of the universe as a perfect fluid with the following energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p) u_\mu u_\nu + p g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\rho$ ,  $p$ , and  $u_\mu$  are energy density, pressure, and four-velocity, respectively. It should be noted that, the on-shell matter Lagrangian of a perfect fluid can be considered as  $L_m = p$ ,  $L_m = -\rho$ , and  $L_m = T$  [93], where the case  $L_m = T$  is appropriate for describing fluids with  $0 \leq w \leq 1/3$ , while  $L_m = p$  and  $L_m = -\rho$  are suitable for describing dark energy fluid (in which  $w \simeq -1$ ). Accordingly, in the present study we choose  $L_m = -\rho$ , which results in

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = -2T_{\mu\nu} - \rho g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

where we have assumed linear dependency of  $L_m$  on the metric, being valid for this choice of matter Lagrangian [94]. Thus, modified field equations take the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} + g_{\mu\nu} \square \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} - \frac{1}{2} f g_{\mu\nu} \\ = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial T} (T_{\mu\nu} + \rho g_{\mu\nu}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In the present study we contemplate the following functional form of  $f(R, T)$  [45]

$$f(R, T) = R + 2f(T), \quad (7)$$

where

$$f(T) = 8\pi G \lambda T, \quad (8)$$

with dimensionless constant  $\lambda$ . Then, modified field equations of  $f(R, T)$  theory can be written as

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G \left( (1 + 2\lambda) T_{\mu\nu} + \lambda T g_{\mu\nu} + 2\lambda \rho g_{\mu\nu} \right). \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor according to field equations (9), results in

$$\nabla_\mu T_\nu^\mu = -\frac{\lambda}{1 + 2\lambda} \partial_\nu (\rho + 3p). \quad (10)$$

We consider a spatially homogeneous and isotropic Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe, characterized by the following background metric

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau) (-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2). \quad (11)$$

Thereupon, modified Friedmann equations are given by

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left( (1 + \lambda) \sum_i \bar{\rho}_i - 3\lambda \sum_i \bar{p}_i \right), \quad (12)$$

$$2\frac{H'}{a} + 3H^2 = -8\pi G \left( \lambda \sum_i \bar{\rho}_i + (1 + 5\lambda) \sum_i \bar{p}_i \right), \quad (13)$$

where the prime indicates deviation with respect to the conformal time,  $H = a'/a^2$  is the Hubble parameter, and index  $i$  indicates the component  $i$ th in the universe filled with radiation (R), baryons (B), dark matter (DM) and cosmological constant ( $\Lambda$ ). Taking account of equation (12), for the total density parameter  $\Omega_{\text{tot}} = \sum_i \bar{\rho}_i / \rho_{\text{cr}}$  (with  $\rho_{\text{cr}} = 3H^2 / (8\pi G)$ ) in  $f(R, T)$  gravity, we find

$$\Omega_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{H^2} 8\pi G \sum_i \bar{p}_i \right). \quad (14)$$

Then, it is obvious that choosing  $\lambda = 0$  will restore Einstein field equations in standard cosmology.

Also it is important to study linear scalar perturbations in modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity, based on the perturbed FLRW metric in the synchronous gauge (syn) given by

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left( -d\tau^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}) dx^i dx^j \right) \quad (15)$$

where

$$h_{ij}(\vec{x}, \tau) = \int d^3k e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \left( \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j h(\vec{k}, \tau) + \left( \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \right) 6\eta(\vec{k}, \tau) \right), \quad (16)$$

with scalar perturbations  $h$  and  $\eta$ , and  $\vec{k} = k\hat{k}$  [95]. So, the corresponding modified field equations become

$$\frac{a'}{a} h' - 2k^2 \eta = 8\pi G a^2 \left( (1 + \lambda) \sum_i \delta\rho_{i(\text{syn})} - 3\lambda \sum_i \delta p_{i(\text{syn})} \right), \quad (17)$$

$$k^2 \eta' = 4\pi G (1 + 2\lambda) a^2 \sum_i (\bar{\rho}_i + \bar{p}_i) \theta_{i(\text{syn})}, \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} h'' + 3\eta'' + (h' + 6\eta') \frac{a'}{a} - k^2 \eta = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$-2\frac{a'}{a} h' - h'' + 2k^2 \eta = 24\pi G a^2 \left( \lambda \sum_i \delta\rho_{i(\text{syn})} + (1 + 5\lambda) \sum_i \delta p_{i(\text{syn})} \right). \quad (20)$$

In parallel, for the perturbed FLRW metric in conformal

Newtonian gauge (con) described as

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left( - (1 + 2\Psi) d\tau^2 + (1 - 2\Phi) d\vec{x}^2 \right), \quad (21)$$

with gravitational potentials  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$  [95], we find

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 \Phi + 3\frac{a'}{a} \Phi' + 3\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 \Psi \\ = 4\pi G a^2 \left( - (1 + \lambda) \sum_i \delta\rho_{i(\text{con})} + 3\lambda \sum_i \delta p_{i(\text{con})} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$k^2 \Phi' + \frac{a'}{a} k^2 \Psi = 4\pi G (1 + 2\lambda) a^2 \sum_i (\bar{\rho}_i + \bar{p}_i) \theta_{i(\text{con})}, \quad (23)$$

$$\Phi - \Psi = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( 2\frac{a''}{a} - \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 \right) \Psi + \frac{a'}{a} (\Psi' + 2\Phi') + \Phi'' + \frac{1}{3} k^2 (\Phi - \Psi) \\ = 4\pi G a^2 \left( \lambda \sum_i \delta\rho_{i(\text{con})} + (1 + 5\lambda) \sum_i \delta p_{i(\text{con})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Moreover, according to the non-conservation equation (10), one can rewrite the continuity equation in background level as

$$\bar{\rho}'_i + \frac{3(1 + w_i)(1 + 2\lambda)}{1 + \lambda(1 - 3w_i)} \frac{a'}{a} \bar{\rho}_i = 0, \quad (26)$$

in which we have considered  $p_i = w_i \rho_i$  with constant equation of state  $w_i$ . Correspondingly, to linear order of perturbations (in synchronous gauge) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta'_{i(\text{syn})} = & \frac{1 + 2\lambda}{-1 + \lambda(-1 + 3c_{si}^2)} \\ & \times \left\{ \delta_{i(\text{syn})} \frac{a'}{a} \left( \frac{3(1 + w_i)(-1 + \lambda(-1 + 3c_{si}^2))}{1 + \lambda(1 - 3w_i)} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 3(1 + c_{si}^2) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} h'(1 + w_i) + (1 + w_i) \theta_{i(\text{syn})} \right. \\ & \times \left[ 1 + 9 \frac{(c_{si}^2 - c_{ai}^2)(1 + 2\lambda)}{k^2(1 + \lambda(1 - 3w_i))} \right. \\ & \times \left( \left( \frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{1 + 2\lambda} \left( \frac{a''}{a} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \left( \frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{3(1 + w_i)(1 + 2\lambda)}{1 + \lambda(1 - 3w_i)} \right) \right) \right] \\ & \left. - \frac{9(c_{si}^2 - c_{ai}^2)(1 + w_i)\lambda}{k^2(1 + \lambda(1 - 3w_i))} \frac{a'}{a} \theta'_{i(\text{syn})} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta'_{i(\text{syn})} &= \theta_{i(\text{syn})} \frac{a'}{a} \\
&\times \left[ \frac{3(1+w_i)(1+2\lambda) + 3(1+5\lambda)(c_{si}^2 - c_{ai}^2)}{1 + \lambda(1-3w_i)} - 4 \right] \\
&+ \frac{k^2}{(1+w_i)(1+2\lambda)} \left( c_{si}^2 + \lambda(1+5c_{si}^2) \right) \delta_{i(\text{syn})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Then, it can be easily understood that in case of  $\lambda = 0$ , the standard model of cosmology will be recovered. Note that in the rest of the paper, we will study the  $f(R, T)$  model in the synchronous gauge.

Now that we have derived modified equations in  $f(R, T)$  gravity, we are in the position to investigate the cosmological properties of  $f(R, T)$  model by the publicly available Boltzmann code CLASS [110] [96], as well as utilizing the MCMC[111] package MONTE PYTHON [97, 98] in order to compare the model with observations.

### III. COSMOLOGICAL RESULTS

In the purpose of exploring the cosmological observables, mainly CMB anisotropy and matter power spectra, in  $f(R, T)$  model, we modify the CLASS code to incorporate the model parameter  $\lambda$  together with derived equations described in sections II. In this approach, the cosmological parameters are considered based on Planck 2018 data [5], given by  $\Omega_{B,0}h^2 = 0.02242$ ,  $\Omega_{DM,0}h^2 = 0.11933$ ,  $H_0 = 67.66 \frac{\text{km}}{\text{sMpc}}$ ,  $A_s = 2.105 \times 10^{-9}$ , and  $\tau_{\text{reio}} = 0.0561$ .

In figure (1) we present the CMB anisotropy power spectra in  $f(R, T)$  gravity with different values of  $\lambda$ , where for more clarification their relative ratio with respect to the concordance  $\Lambda$ CDM model are also displayed. More interestingly, in sake of probing structure formation in modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity, one can consider matter power spectra diagrams as depicted in figure (2). According to this figure, we realize a suppression in the structure growth in  $f(R, T)$  model which reports consistency with local observations [12–17]. In addition, figure (3) illustrates the matter density perturbations (left panel) and Newtonian potential (right panel), which confirm the reduction in the growth of structures considering  $f(R, T)$  modified theory of gravity.

Also, an intriguing feature is evident from matter power spectra as well as matter density and potential perturbation diagrams, contemplating as "matter acoustic oscillations" in  $f(R, T)$  model, due to non-conservation equation at perturbation level. These oscillations can be induced by the particle creation process as a result of matter-geometry interaction. According to a thermodynamic point of view, irreversible matter creation processes produce negative pressure [46, 47], which seems to be effective in suppressing structure formation. Matter acoustic oscillations are more apparent in velocity perturbations shown in figure (4).

For the last point, we turn our attention to the expansion history of the universe in  $f(R, T)$  gravity. To this aim, the Hubble parameter diagrams in  $f(R, T)$  model compared to  $\Lambda$ CDM are displayed in figure (5). Accordingly, we detect lower values of  $H_0$  in  $f(R, T)$  theory, which means that Hubble tension might become more severe in this model of modified gravity.

### IV. CONSTRAINTS ON COSMOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

Having studied the cosmological features of modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity, we proceed to probe observational constraints on the model parameters, as well as investigating the capability of  $f(R, T)$  model in ameliorating the  $\sigma_8$  tension. In this respect, we perform an MCMC approach through the publicly available code MONTE PYTHON. The set of cosmological parameters employed in numerical calculation is  $\{ 100\Omega_{B,0}h^2, \Omega_{DM,0}h^2, 100\theta_s, \ln(10^{10}A_s), n_s, \tau_{\text{reio}}, \lambda \}$ , consists of the six cosmological parameters in standard  $\Lambda$ CDM model, along with the  $f(R, T)$  model parameter  $\lambda$ , which quantifies deviations from standard cosmology. One should be noted that primary numerical investigations suggest the prior range  $[0, 10^{-4}]$  for  $\lambda$ . We have also four derived parameters including the reionization redshift  $z_{\text{reio}}$ , the matter density parameter  $\Omega_{M,0}$ , the Hubble constant  $H_0$ , and the structure growth parameter  $\sigma_8$ .

The specific likelihoods utilized in MCMC technique contains the Planck likelihood with Planck 2018 data including high- $l$  TT, TE, EE, low- $l$  EE, low- $l$  TT, and lensing measurements [5], the Planck-SZ likelihood for the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect measured by Planck [99, 100], the CFHTLenS likelihood with the weak lensing data [101, 102], the Pantheon likelihood with the supernovae data [103], the BAO likelihood with the baryon acoustic oscillations data [104, 105], and the BAORS D likelihood for BAO and redshift-space distortions measurements [106, 107].

Table I reports the derived observational constraints on cosmological parameters based on the combined dataset "Planck + Planck-SZ + CFHTLenS + Pantheon + BAO + BAORS D". Furthermore, we demonstrate the one-dimensional posterior probabilities and two-dimensional contours for some selected cosmological parameters of  $f(R, T)$  gravity compared to standard cosmological model in figure (6). Numerical results indicate that  $f(R, T)$  gravity anticipates a lower value for the structure growth parameter  $\sigma_8$  compared to the standard  $\Lambda$ CDM model. So, we perceive that  $f(R, T)$  model is capable of alleviating the  $\sigma_8$  tension, by reconciling low redshift measurements of cosmic structure growth with Planck data. Moreover, according to the obtained constraints on Hubble constant, it seems that  $H_0$  tension becomes more serious in  $f(R, T)$  model, in accordance with the correlation between  $H_0$  and  $\sigma_8$ .

On the other hand, obtained constraints on the  $f(R, T)$




FIG. 1: The CMB power spectra diagrams (left panel) and their relative ratio with respect to the  $\Lambda\text{CDM}$  model (right panel) for different values of  $\lambda$ .




FIG. 2: The matter power spectra diagrams (left panel) and their relative ratio with respect to the  $\Lambda\text{CDM}$  model (right panel) for different values of  $\lambda$ .




FIG. 3: The matter density contrast diagrams (left panel) and the Newtonian potential diagrams (right panel) for different values of  $\lambda$ , compared to  $\Lambda\text{CDM}$ .

model parameter  $\lambda$ , report deviations from  $\Lambda\text{CDM}$  at more than  $3\sigma$ . Accordingly, in order to comprehend the more compatible model with observations, we apply the Akaike information criterion (AIC) defined as [108, 109]

$$\text{AIC} = -2 \ln \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}} + 2K, \quad (29)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum likelihood function and  $K$  stands for the number of free parameters. Then, MCMC calculations result in  $\text{AIC}_{(\Lambda\text{CDM})} = 3847.12$ , and  $\text{AIC}_{(\text{modified gravity})} = 3829.82$ , which conclude  $\Delta\text{AIC} = 17.3$ . Hence, we detect a strong evidence in favor of  $f(R, T)$  gravity which means that the studied  $f(R, T)$

model is worth further precise numerical investigations with a variety of reliable observational data.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present investigation, we have studied the compatibility of  $f(R, T)$  modified gravity with observations, as well as its potentiality in reconciling the cosmological tensions.  $f(R, T)$  model in which the gravitational Lagrangian is considered as a function of the Ricci scalar  $R$  and the trace of the energy-momentum tensor  $T$ , is







FIG. 4: The velocity perturbations of dark matter (left panel) and baryons (right panel) for different values of  $\lambda$ .




FIG. 5: Hubble parameter for different values of  $\lambda$ , compared to  $\Lambda$ CDM.




FIG. 6: The  $1\sigma$  and  $2\sigma$  constraints from the "Planck + Planck-SZ + CFHTLenS + Pantheon + BAO + BAORSB" dataset on some selected cosmological parameters of  $f(R, T)$  gravity compared to  $\Lambda$ CDM model.

a generalized modified gravity based on a non-minimal

TABLE I: Best fit values of cosmological parameters with the  $1\sigma$  and  $2\sigma$  confidence levels using "Planck + Planck-SZ + CFHTLenS + Pantheon + BAO + BAORSB" dataset for  $\Lambda$ CDM model and  $f(R, T)$  gravity.

parameter	$\Lambda$ CDM		$f(R, T)$ gravity	
	best fit	$1\sigma$ & $2\sigma$ limits	best fit	$1\sigma$ & $2\sigma$ limits
$100\Omega_{B,0}h^2$	2.261	$2.263^{+0.012+0.026}_{-0.013-0.025}$	2.249	$2.246^{+0.013+0.027}_{-0.013-0.026}$
$\Omega_{DM,0}h^2$	0.1163	$0.1164^{+0.00078+0.0015}_{-0.00079-0.0015}$	0.1190	$0.1189^{+0.00082+0.0017}_{-0.00081-0.0017}$
$100\theta_s$	1.042	$1.042^{+0.00029+0.00055}_{-0.00026-0.00053}$	1.042	$1.042^{+0.00027+0.00058}_{-0.00030-0.00060}$
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	3.034	$3.024^{+0.010+0.023}_{-0.014-0.021}$	3.052	$3.050^{+0.014+0.032}_{-0.016-0.028}$
$n_s$	0.9712	$0.9719^{+0.0036+0.0072}_{-0.0039-0.0074}$	0.9664	$0.9682^{+0.0035+0.0070}_{-0.0036-0.0068}$
$\tau_{reio}$	0.05358	$0.04963^{+0.0041+0.010}_{-0.0074-0.0096}$	0.05939	$0.05772^{+0.0067+0.015}_{-0.0081-0.015}$
$\lambda$	—	—	$2.682e-7$	$2.972e-7^{+6.0e-8+1.3e-7}_{-6.5e-8-1.2e-7}$
$z_{reio}$	7.502	$7.084^{+0.50+1.0}_{-0.69-1.0}$	8.152	$7.976^{+0.66+1.4}_{-0.79-1.5}$
$\Omega_{M,0}$	0.2871	$0.2876^{+0.0043+0.0086}_{-0.0044-0.0086}$	0.3022	$0.3021^{+0.0047+0.0095}_{-0.0050-0.0098}$
$H_0$ [ $\frac{\text{km}}{\text{s Mpc}}$ ]	69.56	$69.54^{+0.37+0.73}_{-0.36-0.71}$	68.43	$68.42^{+0.37+0.76}_{-0.37-0.73}$
$\sigma_8$	0.8079	$0.8044^{+0.0045+0.0096}_{-0.0051-0.0091}$	0.7623	$0.7561^{+0.0096+0.021}_{-0.010-0.019}$

matter-geometry interaction [45]. We specify the functional expression described in equations (7) and (8) for  $f(R, T)$ , which yields modified field equations derived in section II. Then, by employing the modified version of the CLASS code based on  $f(R, T)$  model, we are in the position to explore the cosmological observables in the framework of  $f(R, T)$  gravity. Accordingly, matter power spectra diagrams report a cosmic structure growth suppression in  $f(R, T)$  model, which is compatible with low redshift structure growth determinations. Moreover, we detect the remarkable feature "matter acoustic oscillations" in  $f(R, T)$  gravity due to the coupling between matter and geometry. Thus, primary numerical studies imply that modified  $f(R, T)$  gravity proves beneficial in

relieving the observed tension between local and global probes of structure formation. Correspondingly, in pursuance of examining the qualification of  $f(R, T)$  model to reduce the cosmological tensions, we constrain the model with current observational data. For this purpose, we consider Planck CMB, weak lensing, supernovae, BAO, and RSD measurements in our MCMC analysis. According to MCMC numerical investigations, one can conclude that  $f(R, T)$  modified gravity represents capability in ameliorating the  $\sigma_8$  tension, by predicting lower struc-

ture growth for the universe.

### Acknowledgments

We thank Shiraz University Research Council. This work is based upon research funded by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) under project No. 4024184.

- 
- [1] A. G. Riess et al., *The Astronomical Journal* **116**, 1009 (1998), astro-ph/9805201.
- [2] S. Perlmutter et al., *The Astrophysical Journal* **517**, 565 (1999), astro-ph/9812133.
- [3] P. A. R. Ade et al., *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **571**, A16 (2014), 1303.5076.
- [4] P. A. R. Ade et al., *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **594**, A13 (2016), 1502.01589.
- [5] N. Aghanim et al., *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **641**, A6 (2020), 1807.06209.
- [6] M. Tegmark et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 103501 (2004), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.103501>.
- [7] K. Abazajian et al., *The Astronomical Journal* **128**, 502 (2004), astro-ph/0403325.
- [8] K. Abazajian et al., *The Astronomical Journal* **129**, 1755 (2005), astro-ph/0410239.
- [9] H. Lampeitl et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **401**, 2331 (2010).
- [10] V. Sahni, A. Shafieloo, and A. A. Starobinsky, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **793**, L40 (2014), 1406.2209.
- [11] W. J. Percival et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **401**, 2148 (2010), 0907.1660.
- [12] S. W. Allen, R. W. Schmidt, A. C. Fabian, and H. Ebeling, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **342**, 287 (2003), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06550.x>.
- [13] A. Krolewski, S. Ferraro, and M. White, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2021**, 028 (2021), URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2021/12/028>.
- [14] A. Amon et al. (DES Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 023514 (2022), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.023514>.
- [15] L. F. Secco et al. (DES Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 023515 (2022), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.023515>.
- [16] S. Yuan, L. H. Garrison, D. J. Eisenstein, and R. H. Wechsler, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **515**, 871 (2022), ISSN 0035-8711, <https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-pdf/515/1/871/45030812/stac1830.pdf>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1830>.
- [17] J. L. van den Busch, A. H. Wright, H. Hildebrandt, M. Bilicki, M. Asgari, S. Joudaki, C. Blake, C. Heymans, A. Kannawadi, H. Y. Shan, et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **664**, A170 (2022).
- [18] A. G. Riess et al., *The Astrophysical Journal* **826**, 56 (2016), URL <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637x/826/1/56>.
- [19] A. G. Riess et al., *The Astrophysical Journal* **855**, 136 (2018), URL <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaadb7>.
- [20] A. G. Riess, S. Casertano, W. Yuan, L. M. Macri, and D. Scolnic, *The Astrophysical Journal* **876**, 85 (2019), URL <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab1422>.
- [21] A. G. Riess et al., *The Astrophysical Journal* **908**, L6 (2021), URL <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/abdbaf>.
- [22] A. G. Riess et al., *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **934**, L7 (2022), 2112.04510.
- [23] C. D. Huang et al., *Astrophys. J.* **963**, 83 (2024), 2312.08423.
- [24] H. A. Buchdahl, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **150**, 1 (1970), ISSN 0035-8711, URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/150.1.1>.
- [25] S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden, and M. S. Turner, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 043528 (2004), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.043528>.
- [26] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **04**, 115 (2007).
- [27] H.-J. Schmidt, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **04**, 209 (2007).
- [28] A. A. Starobinsky, *JETP Lett.* **86**, 157 (2007), 0706.2041.
- [29] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 451 (2010), 0805.1726.
- [30] S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, *Phys. Rept.* **509**, 167 (2011), 1108.6266.
- [31] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla, and C. Skordis, *Phys. Rept.* **513**, 1 (2012), 1106.2476.
- [32] R. C. Nunes, S. Pan, E. N. Saridakis, and E. M. C. Abreu, *JCAP* **01**, 005 (2017), 1610.07518.
- [33] O. Bertolami, C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F. S. N. Lobo, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 104016 (2007), 0704.1733.
- [34] T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70**, 373 (2010), 1008.4193.
- [35] V. Faraoni, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 127501 (2007), 0710.1291.
- [36] T. Harko, *Phys. Lett. B* **669**, 376 (2008), 0810.0742.
- [37] S. Nesseris, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 044015 (2009), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.044015>.
- [38] V. Faraoni, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 124040 (2009), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.124040>.
- [39] T. Harko, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 044021 (2010), 1001.5349.

- [40] T. Harko, T. S. Koivisto, and F. S. N. Lobo, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26**, 1467 (2011), 1007.4415.
- [41] R. V. Lobato, G. A. Carvalho, and C. A. Bertulani, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 1013 (2021), 2106.01841.
- [42] L. V. Jaybhaye, R. Solanki, S. Mandal, and P. K. Sahoo, *Phys. Lett. B* **831**, 137148 (2022), 2205.03564.
- [43] J. K. Singh, Shaily, R. Myrzakulov, and H. Balhara, *New Astron.* **104**, 102070 (2023), 2212.12321.
- [44] B. K. Shukla, R. K. Tiwari, D. Sofuoğlu, and A. Beesham, *East Eur. J. Phys.* **2023**, 376 (2023).
- [45] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 024020 (2011), 1104.2669.
- [46] T. Harko, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 044067 (2014), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.044067>.
- [47] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, J. P. Mimoso, and D. Pavón, *European Physical Journal C* **75**, 386 (2015).
- [48] M. Jamil, D. Momeni, M. Raza, and R. Myrzakulov, *European Physical Journal C* **72**, 1999 (2012), 1107.5807.
- [49] H. Shabani and M. Farhoudi, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 044048 (2013), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.044048>.
- [50] M. Sharif and I. Nawazish, *European Physical Journal C* **77**, 198 (2017).
- [51] P. H. R. S. Moraes and P. K. Sahoo, *European Physical Journal C* **77**, 480 (2017).
- [52] F. Rajabi and K. Nozari, *European Physical Journal C* **82**, 995 (2022).
- [53] J. A. S. Fortunato, P. H. R. S. Moraes, J. G. de Lima Júnior, and E. Brito, *European Physical Journal C* **84**, 198 (2024).
- [54] F. G. Alvarenga, A. de la Cruz-Dombriz, M. J. S. Houndjo, M. E. Rodrigues, and D. Sáez-Gómez, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 103526 (2013), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.103526>.
- [55] S. Chakraborty, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **45**, 2039 (2013).
- [56] M. E. S. Alves, P. H. R. S. Moraes, J. C. N. de Araujo, and M. Malheiro, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 024032 (2016), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.024032>.
- [57] B. Mishra, S. Tarai, and S. K. Tripathy, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016**, 8543560 (2016), 1607.03382.
- [58] R. Zaregonbadi, M. Farhoudi, and N. Riazi, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 084052 (2016), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.084052>.
- [59] H. Velten and T. R. P. Caramês, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 123536 (2017), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.123536>.
- [60] Z. Yousaf, M. Ilyas, and M. Zaeem-ul-Haq Bhatti, *The European Physical Journal Plus* **132**, 268 (2017).
- [61] S. K. Sahu, S. K. Tripathy, P. K. Sahoo, and A. Nath, *Chinese Journal of Physics* **55**, 862 (2017).
- [62] P. K. Sahoo, P. H. R. S. Moraes, P. Sahoo, and B. K. Bishi, *European Physical Journal C* **78**, 736 (2018).
- [63] P. K. Sahoo, S. K. Tripathy, and P. Sahoo, *Modern Physics Letters A* **33**, 1850193 (2018).
- [64] N. Ahmed and S. Z. Alamri, *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics* **18**, 123 (2018).
- [65] R. V. Lobato, G. A. Carvalho, A. G. Martins, and P. H. R. S. Moraes, *European Physical Journal Plus* **134**, 132 (2019).
- [66] R. Nagpal, J. K. Singh, A. Beesham, and H. Shabani, *Annals of Physics* **405**, 234 (2019).
- [67] S. Bhattacharjee and P. Sahoo, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **28**, 100537 (2020).
- [68] P. K. Sahoo, S. Mandal, and S. Arora, *Astronomische Nachrichten* **342**, 89 (2021).
- [69] P. Suranjoy Singh and K. Priyokumar Singh, *New Astronomy* **84**, 101542 (2021).
- [70] J. a. L. Rosa, M. A. Marques, D. Bazeia, and F. S. N. Lobo, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 981 (2021), 2105.06101.
- [71] T. B. Gonçalves, J. a. L. Rosa, and F. S. N. Lobo, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 064019 (2022), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.064019>.
- [72] T. B. Gonçalves, J. L. Rosa, and F. S. N. Lobo, *European Physical Journal C* **82**, 418 (2022), 2203.11124.
- [73] A. Pradhan, A. Dixit, and G. Varshney, *International Journal of Modern Physics A* **37**, 2250121 (2022).
- [74] J. K. Singh, A. Singh, G. K. Goswami, and J. Jena, *Annals of Physics* **443**, 168958 (2022).
- [75] J. M. Z. Pretel, T. Tangphati, A. Banerjee, and A. Pradhan, *Chinese Physics C* **46**, 115103 (2022), URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/ac84cb>.
- [76] T. D. Pappas, C. Posada, and Z. c. v. Stuchlík, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 124014 (2022), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.106.124014>.
- [77] F. M. da Silva, L. C. N. Santos, C. E. Mota, T. O. F. da Costa, and J. C. Fabris, *European Physical Journal C* **83**, 295 (2023).
- [78] J. K. Singh, Shaily, A. Singh, A. Beesham, and H. Shabani, *Annals of Physics* **455**, 169382 (2023).
- [79] G. G. L. Nashed, *European Physical Journal C* **83**, 698 (2023).
- [80] A. Panda, S. Das, G. Manna, and S. Ray, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **43**, 101397 (2024).
- [81] N. R. Bertini and H. Velten, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 124005 (2023), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.124005>.
- [82] R. Nagpal, S. K. J. Pacif, J. K. Singh, K. Bamba, and A. Beesham, *European Physical Journal C* **78**, 946 (2018).
- [83] L. K. Sharma, A. K. Yadav, and B. Singh, *New Astronomy* **79**, 101396 (2020), ISSN 1384-1076, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1384107620300221>.
- [84] P. Rudra and K. Giri, *Nuclear Physics B* **967**, 115428 (2021).
- [85] V. K. Bhardwaj and A. Pradhan, *New Astronomy* **91**, 101675 (2022).
- [86] A. Bose, G. Sardar, and S. Chakraborty, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **37**, 101087 (2022).
- [87] A. Pradhan, G. Goswami, and S. Krishnannair, *European Physical Journal Plus* **138**, 451 (2023).
- [88] A. Pradhan, G. Goswami, and A. Beesham, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **20**, 2350169-474 (2023).
- [89] A. Bouali, H. Chaudhary, T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, T. Ouali, and M. A. S. Pinto, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **526**, 4192 (2023), ISSN 0035-8711, URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad2998>.
- [90] N. Myrzakulov, M. Koussour, A. H. A. Alfedeel, and E. I. Hassan, *Chinese Physics C* **47**, 115107 (2023), URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/acf2fa>.
- [91] A. P. Jeakel, J. P. d. Silva, and H. Velten, *Physics of the Dark Universe* **43**, 101401 (2024).



- [92] J. P. da Silva and H. Velten, *Selecting energy-momentum trace dependent gravity theories with LSS* (2024), 2403.07130.
- [93] V. M. C. Ferreira, P. P. Avelino, and R. P. L. Azevedo, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 063525 (2020), 2005.07739.
- [94] T. B. Gonçalves, J. a. L. Rosa, and F. S. N. Lobo, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 084008 (2024), 2305.05337.
- [95] C.-P. Ma and E. Bertschinger, *The Astrophysical Journal* **455**, 7 (1995), ISSN 1538-4357, URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/176550>.
- [96] D. Blas, J. Lesgourgues, and T. Tram, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2011**, 034 (2011), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/1475-7516/2011/i=07/a=034>.
- [97] B. Audren, J. Lesgourgues, K. Benabed, and S. Prunet, *JCAP* **1302**, 001 (2013), 1210.7183.
- [98] T. Brinckmann and J. Lesgourgues, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **24**, 100260 (2019), 1804.07261.
- [99] P. A. R. Ade et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **594**, A24 (2016), 1502.01597.
- [100] P. A. R. Ade et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **571**, A20 (2014).
- [101] M. Kilbinger et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **430**, 2200 (2013), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt041>.
- [102] C. Heymans et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **432**, 2433 (2013), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt601>.
- [103] D. M. Scolnic et al., *The Astrophysical Journal* **859**, 101 (2018), URL <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab9bb>.
- [104] F. Beutler et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **416**, 3017 (2011), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19250.x>.
- [105] L. Anderson et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **441**, 24 (2014), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu523>.
- [106] S. Alam et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **470**, 2617 (2017), URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx721>.
- [107] M. A. Buen-Abad, M. Schmaltz, J. Lesgourgues, and T. Brinckmann, *JCAP* **1801**, 008 (2018), 1708.09406.
- [108] H. Akaike, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **19**, 716 (1974).
- [109] K. Burnham and D. Anderson, *Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach* (Springer Verlag, 2002).
- [110] Cosmic Linear Anisotropy Solving System
- [111] Markov Chain Monte Carlo