

# Artificial Intelligence Approaches for Predictive Maintenance in the Steel Industry: A Survey

Jakub Jakubowski<sup>a,b</sup>, Natalia Wojak-Strzelecka<sup>b</sup>, Rita P. Ribeiro<sup>f,g</sup>, Sepideh Pashami<sup>d,e</sup>, Szymon Bobek<sup>c</sup>, João Gama<sup>f,h</sup>, Grzegorz J. Nalepa<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, al. Adama Mickiewicza 30, Kraków, 30-059, Poland*

<sup>b</sup>*ArcelorMittal Poland, al. Józefa Piłsudskiego 92, 41-308, Dąbrowa Górnicza, Poland*

<sup>c</sup>*Jagiellonian University, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Applied Computer Science, Institute of Applied Computer Science, and Jagiellonian Human-Centered AI Lab (JAHCAI), and Mark Kac Center for Complex Systems Research, ul. prof. Stanisława Łojasiewicza 11, Kraków, 30-348, Poland*

<sup>d</sup>*RISE Research Institutes of Sweden, Sweden*

<sup>e</sup>*Center for Applied Intelligent Systems Research, Halmstad University, Halmstad, Sweden*

<sup>f</sup>*INESC TEC., 4200-465, Porto, Portugal*

<sup>g</sup>*Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, 4200-465, Porto, Portugal*

<sup>h</sup>*Faculty of Economics, University of Porto, 4200-465, Porto, Portugal*

---

## Abstract

Predictive Maintenance (PdM) emerged as one of the pillars of Industry 4.0, and became crucial for enhancing operational efficiency, allowing to minimize downtime, extend lifespan of equipment, and prevent failures. A wide range of PdM tasks can be performed using Artificial Intelligence (AI) methods, which often use data generated from industrial sensors. The steel industry, which is an important branch of the global economy, is one of the potential beneficiaries of this trend, given its large environmental footprint, the globalized nature of the market, and the demanding working conditions. This survey synthesizes the current state of knowledge in the field of AI-based PdM within the steel industry and is addressed to researchers and practitioners. We identified 219 articles related to this topic and formulated five research questions, allowing us to gain a global perspective on current trends and the main research gaps. We examined equipment and facilities subjected to PdM, determined common PdM approaches, and identified trends in the AI methods used to develop these solutions. We explored the characteristics of the data used in the surveyed articles and assessed the practical implications of the research presented there. Most of the research focuses on the blast furnace or hot rolling, using data from industrial sensors. Current trends show increasing interest in the domain, especially in the use of deep learning. The main challenges include implementing the proposed methods in a production environment, incorporating them into maintenance plans, and enhancing the accessibility and reproducibility of the research.

*Keywords:* artificial intelligence, machine learning, predictive maintenance, steel industry

---

## 1. Introduction

The practical uses of AI are growing rapidly, and the impact of its applications in many areas is clearly visible. The industrial landscape has undergone significant transformations in recent decades, driven by integrating digital technologies into manufacturing processes, a phenomenon commonly referred to as Industry 4.0 (I4.0). This fourth industrial revolution started a new era of automation, connectivity, and data-driven decision making, enhancing the efficiency and productivity of industrial infrastructures. Concurrently, discussions about the industry’s future trajectory have resulted in the conceptualization of Industry 5.0 as a potential next phase. It emphasizes the synergy between human workers and advanced technologies, advocating a harmonious collaboration between human and artificial intelligence systems (Xu et al., 2021). A large amount of data from industry equipment is used to deliver solutions to a specific task. An important task in the area of I4.0 is *Predictive Maintenance* (PdM) (Mobley, 2002). It involves predicting potential equipment failures before they occur, allowing engineers to schedule maintenance activities efficiently. Implementing PdM tasks substantially reduces breakdowns, downtimes, and operational costs (Selcuk, 2017).

One of the potential beneficiaries of I4.0 is the steel industry. Steel, an alloy primarily composed of iron with varying carbon content and different additives, plays a crucial role in the global economy and currently does not have a competitive substitute. The steel industry is an important supplier of materials for dozens of sectors, including construction, infrastructure, automotive, and manufacturing. It is also an important employer in many regions, providing millions of jobs around the world. The distinctive features of the steel industry make it particularly well suited for the implementation of predictive maintenance applications. First, steel production remains a resource-intensive industry, contributing significantly to air pollution and waste generation, which poses environmental challenges (Holappa, 2020). The globalized nature of the steel market makes it susceptible to economic and geopolitical factors. Therefore, optimal utilization of resources and production capacities is necessary to ensure economic stability. Finally, heavy industry is typically an area of increased risk; therefore, optimal maintenance of equipment can increase the safety of employees. All these characteristics show the demanding picture of the steel industry, emphasizing the need for intelligent process monitoring. The integration of predictive maintenance solutions can increase environmental, economic, and personnel safety and help build a modern workplace.

We did not find any previous work synthesizing the current state of knowledge in AI-based PdM in the steel industry. Despite the absence of directly comparable works, some loosely related studies offer insight into tangential areas. Gajdzik and Wolniak (2021) explored the practical problems of steel manufacturers in transitioning to I4.0. Cemernek et al. (2022) reviewed the current state of knowledge within the application of ML techniques in continuous casting, which is one of the steel production steps. Therefore, we believe that our work is novel, positioning it as a promising foundation for researchers aiming to advance PdM methodologies for the steel sector and for practitioners seeking to implement such solutions in their facilities.

Our expertise in the surveyed domain allows us to conduct a thorough and comprehensive survey. The authors of this article are involved in international research projects within the fields of I4.0 and AI. One of such projects is the CHIST-ERA XPM project<sup>1</sup>, which is focused on the development of novel Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) techniques for PdM. The second example is the CHIST-ERA PACMEL project<sup>2</sup>, which involved the discovery of knowledge from industrial sensors and logs using data mining techniques. In both projects, we cooperated with ArcelorMittal which is one of the most important global corporations

---

<sup>1</sup>See <https://xpm.project.uj.edu.pl>.

<sup>2</sup>See <https://www.chistera.eu/projects/pacmel>.

in the steel industry. Furthermore, the leading authors of the survey have been working professionally in this company. This provides us with valuable insight into the requirements of both research and business, enabling us to approach the field from various perspectives.

The main contributions of this study are the following: a) Identification of the research papers devoted to AI-driven PdM in the steel industry; b) Synthesis of the current state of knowledge along different dimensions of the subject, i.e., steel industry, PdM and AI; c) Overview of the surveyed articles with reference to spatial distribution of research, bibliometric analysis and co-authorship network analysis; d) Determination of the data characteristics used within the surveyed articles, along with its origin and availability; e) Evaluation of the practical implications of the proposed methods in manufacturing sites, with a main focus on the implementation of the methods and their estimated business profits; f) Identification of current trends, research gaps, and potential future directions in the development of intelligent PdM solutions for the steel industry.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we briefly introduce the topics of PdM, AI, and the steel industry. In Sect. 3 we describe the details of the methodology used for the collection of relevant articles and define the research questions that determine the scope of this survey. Then in Sect. 4 we give an overview of the reviewed articles with respect to their bibliometric data. The specific results of the study, which are based on the defined research questions, are presented in Sect. 5. The discussion of these findings is provided in Sect. 6. The paper is concluded in Sect. 7. As our study is large, we moved some detailed findings to [Appendix A](#).

## 2. Background

### 2.1. What is PdM and how it is related to smart industry

Effective management of the production process requires maintenance, whose objective is to preserve good condition of all assets throughout their lifetime. Total maintenance costs in the industry are estimated between 15% and 70% of the value of the end product ([Thomas, 2018](#)), and include repairs and replacement of assets, downtime, delays, and labor. In addition to financial losses, poor maintenance can lead to environmental or safety hazards.

In general, there are three main approaches to the maintenance of equipment. The *reactive*, or *run-to-failure*, approach assumes that no specific actions are taken toward a given asset until it fails or prevents further production. This approach requires little effort from the maintenance team but can be least cost-effective, leading to unexpected downtimes, and may cause significant losses in the damaged asset. *Preventive* maintenance involves the routine maintenance of the equipment, which is performed at scheduled intervals without considering the actual condition of an asset. Arguably, this is the most often applied maintenance policy in today's industrial facilities. *Predictive* maintenance aims to monitor the equipment online to assess the condition of the equipment in real time and optimize maintenance actions, reducing total operational costs and increasing productivity.

Data-driven PdM is a technique that uses data analysis tools and machine learning (ML) algorithms to predict when equipment is likely to fail so that maintenance can be performed before failure occurs. This approach can help reduce downtime, increase the useful life of equipment, and improve safety. PdM is a key component of the smart factory concept, which aims to use data and automation to optimize industrial processes and improve its efficiency. There are two main groups of PdM tasks according to the time frame they focus on ([Pashami et al., 2023](#)). The first group deals with diagnostics, which focuses on identifying failures that have already or are currently occurring. The second group deals with prognostics, which aim to predict potential failures that may occur in the future.

Real-time diagnostic tasks require the continuous acquisition and analysis of operating data along with the external variables required to maintain system health and efficiency. It also improves the identification of faults and failures. A fault could be caused by a temporary situation or an external factor that the equipment can recover from. However, a failure can result from multiple consecutive faults, such as the equipment not recovering or disrupting its operation. In the context of diagnostics, there are different PdM tasks that progress from low-level data layers to more high-level conceptual ones (Chandola et al., 2009),(Pashami et al., 2023),(Chemweno et al., 2016): *Anomaly Detection*<sup>3</sup> detects unexpected deviations from the normal process behaviour, often measured using anomaly score, which determines the predicted degree of anomaly. *Fault Detection* identifies if the system is experiencing faults. Generally, it can be considered an anomaly that goes beyond the desired operating conditions. *Fault Diagnosis* pinpoints the specific fault or malfunction by analyzing the data patterns and inferring the root causes. *Fault Identification* goes beyond diagnosis to precisely identify the type of fault and quantify its severity. *Fault Isolation* identifies the specific component or subsystem that causes the identified fault. *Root Cause Analysis (RCA)* identifies the focal root causes of equipment failures. It can be considered as the most in-depth analysis of the fault, as it aims to determine the underlying causes of the problems. An integrated approach between these tasks is of utmost importance for an effective maintenance approach. Early detection, identification, and resolution of faults can lead to significant cost savings and efficiency improvements.

*Process* and *condition monitoring* are concepts related to diagnostics whose objective is to control the state of the equipment or production line. Although these approaches are similar to anomaly detection or fault detection, they stand as separate concepts in the literature. Condition monitoring focuses more on the individual asset or subsystem (Wakiru et al., 2019), and process monitoring usually reaches a wider scope (Severson et al., 2015).

PdM system can also aim to monitor the quality of the product itself, which is often called *defect detection*. This approach helps maintain good properties of the manufactured product and can indicate issues with the process, resulting in an increase in the number of defects. However, defects in the product are not necessarily connected with equipment failure but can be the effect of invalid processing or low-quality input material.

From a prognostics perspective, complementary tasks include: *Health Indicator (HI)* assessing the current state of a system or machine, often using condition monitoring data and event data. Based on the estimated level of degradation, a prognosis of the future state can be made (Pashami et al., 2023). *Remaining Useful Life (RUL)* estimating the time for a machine or system to function before it requires maintenance or replacement (Okoh et al., 2014).

These concepts work together to provide a comprehensive understanding of the health and useful life of a system. The estimation of HI provides real-time information on the current state of the system. RUL estimates the remaining life of the system before maintenance is required. On the basis of these estimates, failure prediction can be attained by setting thresholds such as end-of-life or time-to-failure.

Ultimately, the approaches described above can be integrated to enable effective predictive maintenance and decision making in industrial machinery. Information on the current and future state of equipment can be used in *scheduling* tasks to optimize production and maintenance plans (Zhai et al., 2021).

## 2.2. Commonly used AI methods for PdM

The predictive capabilities of AI have provided a successful alternative to classical rule-based maintenance. The use of AI methods, and especially ML, for predictive maintenance in

---

<sup>3</sup>In this article term *anomaly detection* refers to PdM task, to describe ML task we use term *outlier detection*.

industrial applications was analyzed in many survey articles (Surucu et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2019c; Zonta et al., 2020). The works mentioned are mainly focused on utilizing different ML techniques to perform PdM tasks, emphasizing the importance of this AI subfield. Zonta et al. (2020) also consider physical model-based and knowledge-based approaches along with data-driven methods (which encompass ML) in their study, however, they claim that the latter group constitutes the majority of research.

Within this survey, we classified the ML algorithms into several groups:

- *Neural Networks* (NN) are brain-inspired algorithms in which thousands, or even billions, of nodes form interconnected layers, transforming the input data into the desired output. Neural networks, especially deep learning approaches, are arguably the most versatile and have the broadest application area of all AI methods. Within this group, we can find relatively simple architectures, e.g. Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP) networks, as well as more advanced ones, including Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), or Transformer.
- *Support Vector Machines* (SVM) are models that find a hyperplane that separates different classes of observations in a high-dimensional space.
- *Tree-based* models recursively partition a feature space into subsets based on the most informative features (or using random cuts in special cases). A boosting method is often used, in which the predictions of many weak learners are converted into one strong prediction, to increase the predictive capabilities and generalization.
- *Probabilistic models* capture uncertainty by modelling data distributions or sequences using probabilistic frameworks, commonly applied in areas such as anomaly detection.
- *Clustering* algorithms group similar data points according to their characteristics, allowing data exploration and pattern discovery in unlabeled data sets.

Some of the data-driven approaches, which are not within the family of ML but can serve as an alternative, include *statistical* methods, *signal processing*, and *dimensionality reduction*.

Besides the algorithm used, ML methods can be categorized, based on the characteristics of the training procedure, into supervised, unsupervised, or semi-supervised. *Supervised* approaches require a target label for each observation used for training. The algorithm minimizes the discrepancy between the provided label and the prediction of the model. Popular examples of supervised learning are classification and regression. On the other hand, *unsupervised* methods learn the patterns in the data provided without a target, which makes them particularly useful in the case of unlabeled data. In the context of PdM, unsupervised learning can be applied successfully to tasks such as outlier detection or clustering. Hybrid approaches, which do not fall under any of the above definitions, are referred to as *semi-supervised* learning. Most ML algorithms are generally not strictly linked to any specific learning approach.

*Regression* is a type of supervised learning that creates a mapping of the desired variables. One of the PdM tasks often expressed as regression is estimating the RUL of the equipment, which is an essential goal in PdM. Predicting RUL requires labeled data that associate time with failure for each measurement. However, labeling measurements recorded after the most recent failure is challenging due to the absence of prior knowledge about the timing of the subsequent failure (Mashhadi et al., 2020). As a result, certain assumptions are needed to approximate the regression function using ML techniques, e.g. Recurrent Neural Networks (Mashhadi et al., 2020). Continuing with supervised learning, *Classification* techniques are the go-to approach to determine whether a failure will occur within a specified prediction horizon (Prytz et al., 2015). Random forest (Prytz et al., 2015) performs well, mainly when

failures are infrequent. It is important to note that a variety of techniques, such as neural networks and support vector machines (Carvalho et al., 2019; Han et al., 2024; Ince et al., 2016), can also be used similarly for fault detection.

Various unsupervised *outlier detection* methods, such as one-class support vector machines (OCSVM) (Schölkopf et al., 1999) and Isolation Forest (Liu et al., 2008), are used to identify abnormal patterns or events within the data. These methods can detect anomalies in the sense of unexpected patterns or events that may indicate potential failures by comparing them with normal data. This process assigns anomaly scores to data points, and if the score exceeds a threshold, an alert is triggered. These methods have often been used in scenarios where the labeled data (e.g., failure instances of equipment) are rare or where the various types of potential failure are not fully understood. Semi-supervised autoencoder-based (AE) anomaly detection has recently gained attention (Hojjati et al., 2023; Serradilla et al., 2021), particularly for leveraging unlabeled data in fault diagnostics. These approaches aim to create an encoder and decoder neural network to reproduce the data. The idea is that anomalies should exhibit higher reconstruction errors of the autoencoder compared to normal data to indicate abnormal behavior.

Within the ML, there are several advanced concepts that can support the development of PdM methods. Techniques such as *transfer learning* or *domain adaptation* (Taghiyarrenani et al., 2023), aim to minimize the need to label data while simultaneously capturing the diversity in the data distribution. Various Neural Network architectures are frequently used in this context (Ganin et al., 2016). When solving real-world problems, a model developed for a system can be utilized to adapt to a new system or condition, where data is often more scarce (Taghiyarrenani et al., 2023). *Reinforcement learning* is a concept in which an intelligent agent learns to make decisions by interacting with the environment and receiving feedback in the form of penalties (in the case of wrong decisions) or rewards (in the case of good decisions). It is another way to handle a small amount of labeled data (Qian and Liu, 2022; Yan et al., 2023) by interactively enhancing the learning of the labeled data while sampling unlabeled data simultaneously.

*Explainable Artificial Intelligence* (XAI) is a notable advancement in ML techniques designed to describe the decision of black-box models, such as neural networks. The explainability aspect is essential to create trust in ML results, particularly in critical domains such as PdM, where AI-based decision support systems must earn the trust of human experts (Pashami et al., 2023). Feature importance explanation methods such as Shapley values (Alabdallah et al., 2022; Hasan et al., 2021; Lundberg and Lee, 2017) are a way to indicate variables that cause a failure state.

In addition to ML techniques, several other AI approaches can prove useful for PdM. AI-based *optimization* methods, e.g. Genetic Algorithms (GA) or Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), try to find the best possible solution from the subset of all feasible solutions. In PdM, these methods can be utilized to solve scheduling problems, e.g. optimizing maintenance strategy. In addition, they can be used to optimize the architectures of ML methods, improving their performance. *Knowledge-based* methods, e.g. expert systems or fuzzy logic, use domain expertise and historical data to develop inference mechanisms.

### 2.3. Steel production

The production of steel is a complex process that involves several manufacturing facilities. The three main materials used to produce steel are *iron ore*, *coke*, and *steel scrap*. The extraction and refinement of raw materials (iron ore mining, coking, etc.), which are preliminary production steps, are not within the scope of this survey; therefore, we do not discuss them. Fig. 1 visually represents the typical stages of the steel manufacturing process.




Figure 1: Steel production steps



Figure 2: View on the integrated steel factory in Netherlands (Schoen, 2007)

The first stage of production considered in our study is the sintering of iron ore in a *sinter plant*. The objective of this process is to agglomerate iron ore particles into a porous mass, by mixing it with other materials, such as limestone and coke breeze, and ignite them in a sintering furnace. The resulting material is an optimal input for the next steelmaking stage.

Sinter and coke serve as fundamental inputs supplied to *Blast Furnace* (BF), where they undergo transformation into pig iron. A BF is a large cylindrical furnace that operates by continuously feeding raw materials to the top of the furnace, where they undergo intense heat and chemical reactions, including smelting, resulting in the extraction of molten iron and the formation of slag, which is tapped off separately. Fig. 2 presents a view of an exemplary integrated steel factory, where the two highest towers are BFs.

As an alternative to BF processes, molten steel can be obtained in *Electric Arc Furnace* (EAF), which melts the steel scrap using high-power electric arcs. It does not require the input of raw materials, and the energy can be supplied from renewable sources, making this technology generally more environmentally friendly compared to the BF process.

The next production steps are performed within *steel plant*. To produce steel from pig iron, a *Basic Oxygen Furnace* (BOF) is used, in which pure oxygen is blown into a vessel with pig iron. Following primary steelmaking, which ends with the production of crude steel, various

refining processes are performed to adjust the composition and remove impurities. They are referred to as secondary metallurgy and include e.g. ladle metallurgy, vacuum degassing, or argon stirring. Throughout these processes, steel is continuously kept in molten form.

To convert steel into semi-finished goods (SFG), for example, slabs, billets or blooms, *Continuous Casting Machine* (CCM) is used. Molten steel flows from the ladle through the tundish into a water-cooled mold where it solidifies and is continuously withdrawn, enabling production at a constant speed. At the end of the Continuous Casting Machine (CCM), a solid steel product is cut using a torch cutter, resulting in the production of SFG.

Following the casting process, the SFG is transferred to *Hot Rolling Mill* (HRM), where it undergoes further processing. In HRM, SFG is first reheated in a furnace, reshaped in rolling stands, and cooled down. Rolling reduces the thickness and width of the material, resulting in its elongation. In the case of certain types of steel products, e.g. strips or wires, the products are coiled after hot rolling. In some cases hot-rolling is the final step of steel manufacturing process, but steel may also undergo several further finishing processes to e.g. give the required shape, improve mechanical properties, or increase anti-corrosion resistance.

Typically, after hot rolling, steel is processed at *Pickling Line*, which involves immersion in a bath of acidic solution to remove impurities from the hot rolling process. Subsequently, a thin layer of oil is applied to the surface to prevent rusting. If a further reduction in thickness is desired, steel can be rolled in *Cold Rolling Mill* (CRM). This process is similar to hot rolling, but does not involve preheating of the product, allowing for more precise control of the dimensions. Due to the internal stresses present in the steel after cold rolling, the *annealing* process is used to restore the steel to its original condition and improve its ductility. Finally, steel is often subjected to the galvanizing process, which involves coating its surface with a thin layer of zinc. This results in increased corrosion protection, which extends the useful life of the product by protecting steel from environmental factors.

The above description of the steel manufacturing process presents the intricate nature of the steel industry. Proper maintenance of equipment in all facilities is crucial to ensure the quality and continuity of production. Unplanned downtime at some stage of the production pipeline may influence subsequent production phases. For example, the unplanned stoppage on the Pickling Line can impact the feasibility of sustaining production on the CRM, annealing, and galvanizing lines, which rely on the uninterrupted supply of input steel. Therefore, there are many practical and business motivations to develop PdM solutions in steel factories.

### 3. Methodology used in the survey

This article follows the *Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews* (PRISMA) (Page et al., 2021) guidelines for the collection of relevant studies to ensure a systematic, comprehensive, and transparent approach to conducting surveys. The PRISMA framework consists of a checklist and a flow chart for systematic review of articles and is a widely recognized tool for improving the methodological quality of the survey. We also follow the Search, Appraisal, Synthesis, and Analysis (SALSA) framework, as outlined by Grant and Booth (2009), which provides a structured methodology to synthesize and analyze the existing literature.

#### 3.1. Research questions

The primary objective of this survey is to examine the current state of knowledge on the applications of AI methods to perform PdM tasks within the steel industry. Given the multidisciplinary nature of this research, we formulate a set of diverse research questions (RQ) that provide different perspectives on the subject matter.

- RQ1: What types of installation and equipment are analyzed?



- RQ2: What AI methods are used?
- RQ3: What types of PdM approaches are used?
- RQ4: What are the characteristics of the data used?
- RQ5: What is the business impact of the proposed methods?

The listed questions serve as the foundation of our study, through which we analyze different dimensions of the subject domain.

The objective of RQ1 is to provide information on the specific areas within the steel industry that received attention from researchers. In addressing this question, we aim to clarify details such as the specific installations under investigation and the scope of application (whether it entails an entire installation, specific subsystems, or individual devices). In addition, we seek to determine whether the methods employed are directed at existing or new installations, along with identifying their geographical location.

RQ2 delves into the characteristics of specific AI methods employed in the examined articles. Within this inquiry, our aim is to determine the type of AI methods and their characteristics. Particularly, in the case of ML methods, we identify the algorithms employed, the type of learning task (e.g. classification, regression, outlier detection), and the selected training approach (e.g. supervised, unsupervised). Additionally, we seek to validate whether the proposed methodology represents a novel approach, assess the explainability of the method, and determine the authors' efforts toward making the methodology easily reproducible (e.g. by providing the source code).

RQ3 explores the subject from the perspective of PdM tasks. Within the scope of this inquiry, we aim to obtain insights into the specific type of task addressed, such as anomaly detection, fault diagnosis, RUL estimation, etc. By formulating this research question, we aim to gain an understanding of the practical implications of the proposed methods for the maintenance of production facilities.

RQ4 aims to identify the characteristics of the data used in the surveyed articles. The primary focus of this RQ is on categorizing data based on its format, e.g. tabular, time-series, or images. In addition, we seek to examine the source of data, i.e., whether the paper uses real-world or synthetic data, and identify if the data were made publicly accessible to other researchers, allowing reproducibility and benchmarking.

The final inquiry, RQ5, seeks to evaluate the practical applications of the proposed research results, including the business perspective. This question involves examining whether the evaluated article involved collaboration with an industrial partner, whether the proposed solution was implemented in a production environment and whether quantitative benefits resulting from its application were presented.

### *3.2. Search methodology*

We conducted a systematic review of the literature in four academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and ACM Digital Library. We defined a comprehensive list of keywords that were categorized to align with the thematic framework of this study. The first category encompassed terminology associated with AI and covered general concepts such as "machine learning" and specific methods from the domain. The subsequent keyword category revolved around PdM and its closely related subjects. In the final category, we assembled keywords related to the steel industry, encompassing the terminology associated with steel-making processes and industrial facilities that could be considered. For the complete list of keywords within these three categories, we refer the readers to Tab. 1.

Our objective, when querying the aforementioned databases, was to identify studies that incorporated at least one keyword from each of these categories, ensuring a comprehensive

Category	Keywords
Artificial Intelligence	machine learning; deep learning; artificial intelligence; neural network; data-driven; data mining; pattern recognition; supervised learning; unsupervised learning; reinforcement learning; clustering; dimensionality reduction; feature extraction; ensemble methods; transfer learning; generative model; time series; data streams; support vector machine; decision tree; random forest; gradient boosting; Bayesian network; generative adversarial network; autoencoder; action mining; survival analysis; decision support; prediction; predictive systems; decision rules; rule-based systems; expert systems; SVM; k-means; k-nearest; XGBoost; XAI; explainability; knowledge discovery; Large Language Models; recommender systems; knowledge base; knowledge-based systems; ontologies; computational ontology; intelligent system; agent system; genetic algorithms; evolutionary algorithms; swarm algorithms; ant colony optimization; heuristic algorithm; heuristic search; DBSCAN; autonomous decision making; RNN; CNN; LSTM; transformer; linear regression; regression; classification; particle swarm optimization;
Predictive Maintenance	maintenance; fault detection; fault identification; fault diagnosis; failure prediction; fault prediction; prognostics; diagnostics; condition monitoring; anomaly detection; novelty detection; outlier detection; RUL; remaining useful life; smart monitoring; health management; failure analysis; failure prevention; maintenance optimization; maintenance scheduling; maintenance planning; downtime prevention; root cause analysis; health monitoring; survival analysis; condition assessment; fault isolation; anomaly analysis; outlier analysis; rare events detection; rare events analysis; failure rate estimation; time to failure; reliability analysis;
Steel Industry	steel industry; steel manufacturing; steel production; steelmaking; blast furnace; continuous casting; pickling; batch annealing; hot rolling; cold rolling; caster; sinter; electric arc furnace; ladle furnace; continuous annealing; galvanizing; basic oxygen furnace; metallurgy; argon oxygen decarburization; vacuum oxygen decarburization; hot metal; high alloy steel; stainless steel; DRI; vacuum degassing; desulphurization; dephosphorization; steel shop; melt shop;

Table 1: The complete list of keywords used in the article search procedure

exploration of the research landscape. We did not provide any restrictions on the publication date of the studies, allowing for the inclusion of documents dating back to the 1980s and earlier. The search was executed in November 2023.

### 3.3. Selection of relevant studies

We conducted a multi-step selection process to retrieve previous research articles. In the first step, we extracted the metadata of all articles that matched the query string. The metadata included information on the title, year, DOI, authors of the article, as well as its abstract. We merged all the data for the articles coming from different sources and removed any duplicates. We also automatically excluded entries that were not a single manuscript, but proceedings from a conference published with a single DOI.

In the next step, two independent reviewers, each possessing expertise in the fields of AI, PdM, and steel industry, conducted a screening process of article titles and abstracts, to assess their relevance to the scope of the survey. The fundamental inclusion criterion is that the abstract or title must have clear indications of the application of AI in the context of a PdM task within the steel industry. Each reviewer applied a label that indicated the relevance of the article. Articles clearly unrelated to the survey received a label *irrelevant*, while those meeting the inclusion criteria were labeled *relevant*. For articles of uncertain relevance, the label *unsure* was applied. The assigned labels were compared and the articles marked *relevant*




Figure 3: PRISMA workflow presenting the number of retrieved and excluded papers at each stage analysis

by both reviewers were included in the study. Subsequently, the studies with an *unsure* label were subjected to individual discussion, and their final labels were assigned by consensus. In practice, a significant portion of articles were excluded at this stage due to their lack of relevance to the steel manufacturing industry, instead focusing on other industries or aspects.

Following the preliminary screening phase, we acquired the complete texts of the selected articles. During this stage, certain papers were excluded due to retrieval challenges, e.g. lack of a DOI, or being blocked by a paywall from a relatively unrecognized publishers. The retrieved papers were subjected to another screening round to ensure eligibility. Papers lacking measurable results, short papers, articles mistakenly marked as relevant, or those written in languages other than English were excluded at this point.

A total of 219 articles met the criteria for inclusion in the survey. The comprehensive PRISMA workflow diagram, which shows the number of articles retrieved and excluded at each stage of the selection process, is illustrated in Fig. 3.

### 3.4. Tools

In the preliminary phase, we explored several tools to improve the literature review process and the extraction of information from scientific papers. The most robust and valuable tools,

based on our assessment, were used in this article and are briefly described below <sup>4</sup>.

The primary analysis of selected papers was performed using a traditional spreadsheet, in which each row represents a specific paper, and each column corresponds to the specific information we aimed to extract (e.g. the name of installation, the AI method, etc.). The cells within this spreadsheet were completed by two independent reviewers and all discrepancies were discussed to find a common position. The representation of all papers and research questions in a table enabled us to summarize the papers in a somewhat manageable manner. This spreadsheet was later used as input data for Python scripts, which were used to perform synthesis and more advanced data analysis, as well as visualization.

We also performed a co-occurrence analysis using VOSviewer (van Eck and Waltman, 2010), which allows visualizing textual data in the form of nodes with connections between them and organizing them in clusters, based on the relationships between them. It can be useful to synthesize the occurrence of keywords or to create a social network of researchers.

Finally, we used the Natural Language Processing (NLP) model (Kulkarni et al., 2022), which was trained in the keyword extraction task, to generate keywords for each abstract of a paper. This was done to provide keywords for all articles and reduce bias with respect to keywords individually supplemented by the individual authors.

We did not use any Generative AI tools. All the analyses were conducted manually based on our expertise and with use of automation procedures we programmed ourselves in Python.

#### 4. Overview of Selected Studies

In this section, we provide a high-level overview of the studies selected for this survey. It serves as a quick reference guide, offering readers a snapshot of the key characteristics of the surveyed articles before delving into more detailed analyses in subsequent sections. Within this section, we rely on the information stored in the metadata of the articles and use various visualization methods to present different characteristics of the articles.

##### 4.1. Publication details

Fig. 4 illustrates the temporal distribution of the articles surveyed based on their publication years. Research in the area dates back to the late 1980s; however, a notable increase in published papers occurred after 2009. The subsequent substantial increase is evident in recent years, particularly after 2020. This reflects an increase in the demand for intelligent solutions within the steel industry. Predominantly, the publications are concentrated in journals, however, a noticeable number of papers is also found in conference proceedings. Only a limited number of articles were identified in the book chapters.

Furthermore, our investigation expanded to an analysis of journals and conferences that exhibited the highest frequency of papers published within the surveyed domain. Multiple journals emerged as significant contributors, demonstrating a considerable volume of articles. Fig. 5a presents the journals with the highest number of published articles, including those that featured a minimum of three papers included in our survey. Noteworthy examples include the "IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement" and "ISIJ International". The former belongs to the broader group of IEEE journals, while the latter stands as a singular example of a journal aligned with the steel industry. A substantial volume of papers is also evident in Elsevier-affiliated journals. The primary focus of many listed journals revolves around Control Engineering and closely associated fields. However, there are journals such as "Expert Systems with Applications" or "IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics",

---

<sup>4</sup>The tools, which we test, but not used in the paper include typeset.io, scite.ai, researchrabbit.ai, scholarcy.




Figure 4: Temporal distribution of analyzed papers based on publication year




Figure 5: Journals and conference, which published the highest number of papers

which are more aligned with the field of Computer Science. These diverse characteristics of the journals highlight the inter-disciplinary nature of the domain.

Similarly, we examined conferences that appear most frequently in the list of surveyed papers. Fig. 5b presents conferences that published a minimum of two papers in their proceedings. Although there is no single conference with a notably exceptional number of published articles, a noticeable presence of IEEE-related conferences is observed. Many of these conferences align with the field of Control Engineering (e.g., "IEEE Data Driven Control and Learning Conference," "Chinese Control Conference"), while instances of conferences related to Computer Science are also apparent (e.g., "International Joint Conference on Neural Networks", "IEEE Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics"). In particular, three of the conferences featured in the list are specifically of Chinese origin, suggesting a higher level of research activity within the subject domain from researchers in China.

The prevalence of research originating in China becomes particularly visible when examining the affiliations of authors. The spatial distribution of the affiliated papers between countries is depicted in Fig. 6. We note that more than half of the articles are affiliated with Chinese universities. In addition to this, European universities emerge as the second major hub for research activities. Noteworthy contributions have also been made from other countries such as Canada, the United States, Russia, India, and Japan.




Figure 6: Geographical distribution of research, based on the authors’ country of affiliation

#### 4.2. Visualization of keywords and authors association

To extract information on the main characteristics of the surveyed articles we used NLP model (Kulkarni et al., 2022) trained on keyword extraction task. This model was used to generate keywords from the abstracts of all selected articles. The keywords were then visualized with the use of VOSViewer (Fig. 7). We limited the visualization to keywords to 50 instances, while ensuring that each keyword appeared at least three times. The colors represent word clusters, and the size of the node indicates the number of keyword occurrences.




Figure 7: Visual representation of most frequent keywords generated from abstracts and their relationship. Created using VOSViewer

The most commonly used keywords are related to PdM tasks, including terms like *fault detection*, *fault diagnosis*, and *process monitoring*. Additionally, *predictive maintenance* is frequently mentioned. In the case of AI, terms like *machine learning* and *deep learning* are popular, along with specific algorithms such as *support vector machine*, *neural network*, or

*genetic algorithm*. In the steel industry context, keywords often pertain to various manufacturing processes and facilities like *blast furnace*, *hot rolling*, and *cold rolling*, as well as broader terms like *steel industry*. Despite the focus on steel production, some keywords are more general and encompass manufacturing concepts, like *industrial process* or *industrial internet of things*. However, we also noted keywords that are not directly relevant to the survey subject or widely recognized approaches. For instance, *fractional Brownian motion* refers to a statistical method that can model industrial systems, but it is not commonly associated with PdM applications. Similarly, *Tennessee Eastman process* denotes a chemical process described in literature, serving as a benchmark in PdM research, despite not directly aligning with the main focus of the study.

Fig. 8 shows a social network of authors, which presents their impact in the field and the main collaboration teams. Each node corresponds to an author, and its size and color depend on the number of articles with which this author is affiliated. The edges between the nodes represent the co-authoring of at least one paper. The names of the authors who co-authored at least five articles are also included in the graph. We observe two larger clusters of researchers who worked intensively in the field, and, except for that, the research teams are rather independent and authored only singular papers. However, several of these teams showed increased research activity, which is reflected in the high number of articles published.




Figure 8: Social Network of authors of analyzed papers. Each node represents a single author, the proximity of two nodes refers to co-authoring of at least one paper. The colors and size of the nodes depicts the number of published papers

### 5. Results of the Study

This section provides a comprehensive analysis of the articles surveyed. We present a detailed account of the findings, emphasizing the most common research approaches, as well as more original solutions. We individually addressed each research question, allowing for a thorough exploration of specific aspects of the study. Each research question is composed of

several more detailed inquiries, which are described in detail in Sect. 3.1. Our aim is to allow the reader to gain a clear and comprehensive understanding of the current state of knowledge and provide a roadmap for navigating within the subject of the study. The synthesis of the most important findings is presented in Sect. 6.

5.1. RQ1: What types of installation and equipment are analyzed?




Figure 9: The taxonomy of the installations and equipment subjected to PdM in the analyzed papers

To characterize the equipment considered for PdM in steel facilities, we defined a three-level categorization. At the first level, we extracted information about the type of installation that was the subject of the study. We then identified the scope of the task, which indicates whether the proposed method was applied to an entire installation, a subsystem, an individual device, or a product. Finally, we extracted information on the name of the asset that was the subject of the study. This was not defined for the methods applied to the entire installation, as this would be equal to the name of the installation itself, making this information redundant. Based on this categorization, we created a taxonomy presented in Fig. 9.


Within this research question, we also extracted information on whether the study is related to existing or new / planned installations and their geographical locations.

Fig. 10 summarizes the findings in terms of type of installation, scope, and asset. As observed in Fig. 10a BF and HRM are two major areas of research, accounting for more than 60% of all research. Except for these two installations, the CRM is the third most frequent area subjected to PdM, accounting for more than 10% articles. A more detailed overview of the installations being studied is presented in Fig. 11, where their temporal occurrence is visualized. We observe that until 2020, the highest number of the articles focused on BF. After this point, the share of papers related to hot rolling increased greatly and outnumbered those devoted to BF. An increased interest in cold rolling is also observed.


Moving on to the scope of the studies, which is shown in Fig. 10b, we observe a high diversity in this matter, with each defined category having a significant number of representatives. However, the smallest number of studies focused solely on the product, while the other categories were approximately evenly represented.

Regarding the occurrence of specific assets, as shown in Fig. 10c, there is a much higher fragmentation of the research, which we find natural considering the variety of equipment used in the steel production process. The highest amount of research was devoted to *finishing mill*, which is a subsystem of HRM. Next, significant contributions were made with respect to the steel strip (product). For individual devices, we observe the presence of typical equipment






(a) Type of installation



(b) Scope of the task



(c) Asset

Figure 10: Occurrence frequency of areas subjected to PdM with respect to the name of installations, scope of the solution, and name of equipment

used in industrial processes, i.e., *bearings*, *gearboxes*, and *motors*, but also devices more specific to steel production, for example *loopers* and *work rolls*.

Our results indicate that most of the research work was dedicated to existing installations. We identified only three cases in which AI methods were proposed for new facilities. [Marcu et al. \(2008\)](#) designed a fault detection system for a hydraulic looper in HRM, which was validated using data from existing installations, but the intention of the authors is to use this tool for any new or existing facility. [Han et al. \(2021\)](#) developed a framework for the prediction of RUL in casting rollers based on the digital twin. [Gerz et al. \(2022\)](#) proposed a decentralized anomaly detection system, which was tested on the HRM during the commissioning phase. In some cases, the research was not devoted to the actual manufacturing facility, but the authors performed laboratory experiments, which were the main source of data ([Ji et al., 2021](#); [Junichi and Yukinori, 2020](#); [Peng et al., 2022](#); [Shi et al., 2022a](#); [Spirin et al., 2020](#); [Wang et al., 2023](#); [Yi and Zeng, 2009](#); [Zhao et al., 2021](#)).

Most studies did not specify the location of the installation, which provided the data. The count of installations with respect to their geographical location (including only articles that clearly indicated this location) is presented in Fig. 12. We find that most of the installations were located in China (more than 30), which is consistent with the affiliation of the authors




Figure 11: Historical distribution of installations subjected to predictive maintenance




Figure 12: Location of steel facilities used as a case-study in surveyed papers

presented in Fig. 6. A significant number of installations subjected to the study were also located in Europe. Several use cases have been found in Japan, USA, India, and Russia.

To give more detailed information on this manner, in the following subsections we describe the characteristics of the assets subjected to PdM for each installation individually. Additionally, the complete list of installations with the scope of the equipment considered within them is provided in [Appendix A.1](#).

### *Sinter plant*

Sinter plant, which is the first step considered in steel production, did not attract much attention from researchers. [Liu et al. \(2021\)](#) developed a system for sinter batching, which detects abnormal sinter performance. The primary focus of this paper is not solely anomaly detection, but optimization of the sintering process. [Egorova et al. \(2018\)](#) applied PCA and ANN to predict faults in the sintering process.

### *Blast Furnace*

Blast Furnace was one of the main areas of research in the articles surveyed. The specificity of BF, in which the majority of physical and chemical processes take place in one place, that is, the furnace, affects the predominance of research devoted to the development of solutions for the entire installation. In addition, the harsh environment, along with the complexity and smooth boundaries of the processes that take place inside the furnace, makes it demanding to

precisely monitor the conditions inside different parts of the furnace. Due to the large number of papers on this scope of study, we refer the reader to Tab. A.3 for a detailed list of articles.

Except for the approach that aims to apply PdM to the entire installation, we identified several studies related to more specific subsystems. The subsystems that were analyzed the most frequently were torpedo cars, which are used to transport molten steel from BF to steel-making facilities (Chernyi et al., 2022; Li et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021a; Yemelyanov et al., 2021) and furnace walls (see Tab. A.3). Other areas studied include the cooling system (Mene-ganti et al., 1998), gas flow subsystem (Zhao et al., 2014), raceway (Puttinger and Stocker, 2019) and the hearth (Wang et al., 2022a). Regarding individual equipment, blowers (Bo and Hao, 2015; Fu et al., 2023; Raducan et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2012) and axial fan (Zhang et al., 2021c) were studied. Furthermore, we identified two articles that focus on monitoring the silicon content in the final product of the BF process (Liu et al., 2011a; Weng et al., 2023).

#### *Electric Arc Furnace*

Studies related to EAF focused on the ironmaking process in the furnace or transformer, which is one of the most crucial subsystems. In terms of articles devoted to the furnace, Wang et al. (2015b) proposed using wavelet analysis and ML to detect anomalies in the EAF process. Later they proposed (Wang and Mao, 2018) alternative approach for this task (Wang and Mao, 2018), which utilized clustering methods. Choi et al. (2020) aimed to predict the time to the next maintenance of the EAF based on the sensor data collected. For the transformer subsystem, Dehghan Marvasti and Samet (2014) proposed a method to detect faults on the secondary side of the EAF based on primary side data, while Shiyan et al. (2019) used vibration data to monitor this asset.

#### *Steel Plant*

Under the term *Steel Plant*, we included all metallurgical processes that occur after BF, but before casting. Two studies were related to the converter process, which takes place in the BOF; Chistyakova and Novozhilova (Chistyakova and Novozhilova, 2019) developed an intellectual system for the control of refractory lining, and Dong et al. (2023) proposed a monitoring methodology for a converter process. In terms of studies related to ladle metallurgy, Wang et al. (2018) developed an outlier detection scheme for the temperature of molten steel in the ladle furnace. Similarly, Emelianov et al. (2022) also focused on monitoring the lining condition in the BOF, but their method relies on thermograms of steel ladles. Liu and Hao (2021) proposed an anomaly detection method of the ladle driving force. Finally, Kim et al. (2006) implemented a lifetime evaluation system for a ladle crane. Neto et al. (2021) proposed a method to optimize the maintenance policy of a shredder, a machine used to crush steel scrap before entering the steelmaking process.

#### *Continuous Casting*

The studies devoted to the CCM often focused on monitoring the entire process (Holloway et al., 1991; Xu et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2021b; Zhou et al., 2022a). Regarding the maintenance of individual equipment, the greatest attention was paid to mold monitoring (Bhattacharya et al., 2005; Luk'yanov et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2023). Other equipment studied includes bearings (Qing Liu et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2014), casting roller (Han et al., 2021), crystallizer (David et al., 2012), and exhaust fan (Liu et al., 2023). Furthermore, Zhou et al. (2022b) studied the CCM subsystem related to casting speed.

#### *Hot Rolling Mill*

Hot rolling mill is the installation within the steel industry, which received the most attention from researchers. For a detailed list of articles related to HRM with respect to the scope

and type of asset considered, we refer the reader to Tab. A.7. The highest number of research papers was devoted to a finishing mill, which is a subsystem consisting of multiple rolling stands placed in tandem; its task is to reduce the hot strip to the desired thickness. Within this subsystem, some studies were more focused and devoted to a single rolling stand (Acernese et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2023; Lisounkin et al., 2002; Panagou et al., 2022b; Ruiz-Sarmiento et al., 2020), work rolls (Anagiannis et al., 2020; Jakubowski et al., 2021a; Jiao et al., 2021; Kovačič et al., 2019; Lebrún et al., 2013) or backup rolls (Yuan et al., 2023). Furthermore, the roughing mill was studied by Ma et al. (2021a), which is a preliminary rolling stand that reshapes the steel slab before it enters the finishing mill.

Regarding work related to other hot rolling subsystems, we identified studies that address the problem of belt conveyor monitoring (Junichi and Yukinori, 2020), laminar cooling (Wang and Peng, 2023), and feedback control system (Li and Ding, 2020). For the studies focused on individual devices, gearbox (see Tab. A.7), motor (Fagarasan et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2023; Sarda et al., 2021), looper (Garcia-Beltran et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2021; Marcu et al., 2004, 2008), bearings (Farina et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2016a; Peng et al., 2022) and pumps (Goode et al., 2000) were also subjected to PdM tasks. Finally, we identified many studies devoted to monitoring the entire installation or steel strip, which are listed in Tab. A.7.

#### *Pickling Line*

In the study of the pickling process, four articles exclusively devoted to this process were identified. Bouhouche et al. (2005, 2006) focused on fault detection in the loop control system of the production line. Fan et al. (2017) considered a waste liquor recovery plant, and Fleischanderl et al. (2022) focused on defect detection on the strip surface.

#### *Cold Rolling Mill*

Variety of different approaches were proposed for monitoring the cold rolling process. The highest number of articles is related to the PdM of the entire production plant (Beden et al., 2021; Beden and Beckmann, 2023; Chen et al., 2020; Lepenioti et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2023), which technologically resembles the HRM finishing mill. Some effort of researchers is present in monitoring the strip (Chen et al., 2021b; Yang et al., 2009, 2021a), maintenance of work rolls (Fouka et al., 2021; Jakubowski et al., 2022; Lakshmanan et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2021b), rolling stand (Lu et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2022b; Shin and Kwon, 2018) and tension system (Arinton et al., 2012). In terms of individual equipment, we identified studies involving the detection or diagnosis of bearings (Ji et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2022a; Zhao et al., 2021) or motor (Sun and An, 2009b) failures. Finally, the study by Lu et al. (2020) focused on the problem of chattering, which is a common problem that occurs in CRM.

#### *Annealing Line*

In the context of annealing, there exist two main technologies through which the process is conducted batch annealing and continuous annealing. All of the surveyed papers are dedicated to the continuous annealing process. Yingwei Zhang et al. (2013), Liu et al. (2014a,b) and Tiensuu et al. (2020) used measurements from the entire installation to monitor the process. Additionally, Liu et al. studied tension subsystem (Qiang Liu et al., 2011) and strip thickness faults (Liu et al., 2018a). Tan et al. (2010) focused their research on the annealing furnace, while Wang et al. (2012) and Lu et al. (2013) limited their methods only to the furnace temperature system. Finally, Zhang and Ma (2011) addressed the problem of fault diagnosis in the looper.

#### *Galvanizing Line*

There are two widely used techniques for galvanizing steel: *Hot Dip Galvanizing* (HDG), which involves immersing the steel strip in a zinc bath and *Electro galvanizing*, which involves

immersing it in electrolyte and applying electric current. In our review of the literature, we found only articles related to the HDG process. A commonly explored element in these studies is the welder, responsible for joining steel strips at the beginning of the process (Bonikila et al., 2022; Choi et al., 2023; Meyer and Mahalec, 2022). Debón and Carlos Garcia-Díaz (2012) applied PdM methods to the zinc bath, Simon et al. (2021) specifically focused on the zinc bath roller, and Chen et al. (2021a) studied bearing faults. Finally, condition monitoring was applied to the entire galvanizing process by Fan and Zhang (2018).

### *Miscellaneous*

Here we discuss all facilities and approaches that do not fit the installations discussed above or cover more than one production line. The work of Ray and Murty (1989), which is the oldest identified study, is related to the PdM system for steel production facilities, in general. An integrated approach was also presented in the work Cao et al. (2022), that proposed an ontology for the steelmaking process. Other use cases, which incorporate multiple lines, were presented in works by Sun and An (2009a) (cold rolling and pickling), Shigemori (2013) (steel plant and hot rolling), Slany and Vascak (1996) (steel plant and CCM), Das (2020) (EAF, steel plant, CCM and hot rolling), Kumar and Das (2022) (sintering, blast furnace, steel plant and hot rolling) and Tiensuu et al. (2020) (hot rolling, cold rolling, pickling and annealing). Moreover, the works of Jin et al. (2023); Wu et al. (2021) were dedicated to the Energy Management System, which concerns the flows of energy gases between various installations.

Some of the researchers did not specify the steel facilities, which were examined in their work. Three of these studies (Hao et al., 2022; Simić et al., 2014; Straat et al., 2022) concern the monitoring of the steel strip. Moreover, we identified studies that applied PdM to a common equipment present in steel factories but did not specify the installation, making it impossible to deduce it. These studies covered maintenance of fan machinery (Yi and Zeng, 2009), air compressor (B and Umesh, 2019) and engine (Ray, 1991).

### *5.2. RQ2: What AI methods are used?*

We aimed at categorizing all the types of AI methods used in the articles. During the analysis of the methods employed, we identified certain studies that do not use strictly AI-based methods, for example, statistical or analytical models. However, to make this survey more comprehensive, we decided to include them in the findings, as these methods can stand as an alternative to AI-based approaches.

Fig. 13 presents the frequency of occurrence of each group within the analyzed articles. We observe the predominance of ML methods, with NN being the most frequently one. Despite this, SVMs, probabilistic and tree-based methods are also widely used. Non-AI approaches, such as statistics and dimensionality reduction, also had a significant share in the methods utilized. We note that statistical approaches, which are discussed in more detail in Sect. 5.3 were used mainly as primary prediction methods, but dimensionality reduction (discussed in Sect. 5.3), was frequently used as preprocessing step for other ML methods.

Fig. 14 presents historical trends with regard to the methods applied in the articles surveyed. NN are one of the approaches that has appeared in the early stages of research in the surveyed domain and now are the predominant method employed. The great increase in research in that area began around 2020, which we mainly associate with the development of deep learning methods, these are discussed in more detail in Sect. 5.2.

In the following subsections, we discuss each group of methods, highlighting the most common and original approaches. While we briefly discuss the methods and algorithms utilized within each group, we focus on their application within the steel industry and do not delve into their mathematical foundations; for this, we refer the reader to specialized publications on this matter (Goodfellow et al., 2016; Marsland, 2014).




Figure 13: Occurrence frequency of methods used in the surveyed articles. Non-AI methods are colored in grey




Figure 14: Temporal distribution of AI methods occurrence in surveyed articles. Articles using more than one method are counted multiple times, so the numbers are not consistent with total number of articles published each year.

### Neural Networks

Within this group, we consider all types of neural network architecture, from multilayer perceptron (MLP) to deep learning methods. Before we dive into the details of the findings, we want to clarify certain assumptions that were made to facilitate the analysis of methods based on neural networks. We grouped similar NN approaches into larger groups; e.g. all autoencoder-based methods such as Variational AE, Sparse AE, Denoising AE etc. were categorized as "AE" and so on. The only exception was in case of Recurrent Neural Networks – due to the high number of articles related to this group of methods, we decided to treat Vanilla RNN, Long-Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and Gated-Recurrent Unit (GRU) networks separately. If the paper did not specify exactly what type of architecture was used, we assumed that the regular MLP model was employed. We observe that this is the case mainly in older publications and in ones that use relatively simple ML methods.

The results are summarized in Tab. A.12. Although the highest number of studies use the MLP architecture, which is based on the perceptron model, many of these works date back to the 1990s and early 2000s (Dinghui Zhang et al., 2000; Marcu et al., 2004; Meneganti et al., 1998; Ray, 1991). The substantial growth of articles related to NN is observed from 2020 and

is mainly related to research on more complex architectures such as RNNs, LSTMs, CNNs, or GRUs. All these architectures are able to model complex temporal dependencies between the features, which make them particularly useful for time-series data, which are commonly used in the analyzed papers (for details, refer to Sect. 5.5). Additionally, CNNs are used in image recognition, so they can be applied to tasks that involve image processing (Chernyi et al., 2022; Fleischanderl et al., 2022; Latham and Giannetti, 2023). Another wide group of methods are related to autoencoders, which can be used for unsupervised learning tasks such as anomaly detection (Choi et al., 2023; Jakubowski et al., 2021a; Zhang et al., 2016a). In addition to the approaches discussed above, we observe some examples of less recognized strategies. Several researchers applied the Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) together with other ML methods to predict faults in the production process (Peng et al., 2023; Xie et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023b; Zhao et al., 2021). Another example of a generative model, which is adapted to the PdM task, is the Deep Belief Network (DBN) (Ji et al., 2021; Jianliang et al., 2020). We also identified some articles that used the attention mechanism in conjunction with CNN (Hao et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2022a) and GRU (Wang et al., 2021a). A notable example is the work of Han et al. (2022a), who combined BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) together with LSTM and the Graph Neural Network to construct a knowledge graph for HRM. Another study, which uses the Transformer architecture, is the work of Ma et al. (2023). Two authors employed the Double Deep Q Network (Hasselt et al., 2016) (DDQN), which is used mainly for reinforcement learning tasks; Acernese et al. (2022) focused on fault detection in the rolling stand, while Neto et al. (2021) used this approach to solve the maintenance scheduling problem. Other examples of neural network architectures used in the surveyed papers include Restricted Boltzmann Machine (Dong et al., 2023; Liu and Zhang, 2023), Radial Basis Function Network (Pérez et al., 2013) and Broad Learning System (Zhang et al., 2021a).

### *Support Vector Machines*

Algorithms in the SVM family can generally be grouped into three main approaches, depending on the type of ML task they solve. Support Vector Classifier (SVC) is used for classification tasks, the Support Vector Regression (SVR) is applied for regression, and the One-Class SVM (OCSVM) is a commonly adopted method for outlier detection. Except for OCSVM, some authors use the Support Vector Data Descriptor (SVDD) for one-class classification, but since these methods are closely connected and may be equivalent under specific conditions (Xing and Li, 2020), we consider them as a single approach. The synthesis of support vector-related papers is presented in Tab. A.14.

In classification tasks SVM approaches are widely adopted, with some studies proposing extensions or modifications for improved performance (Liu et al., 2011b; Tian and Wang, 2010; Wang et al., 2010, 2015a). Most of these studies date back to the early 2010s. Another group of studies combines SVM with other methods (Liu et al., 2011a; Lou et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2009). In regression approaches SVR was commonly used in comparative studies (Lu et al., 2020; Song et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023). Zhang et al. (2021c) used SVR in a semi-supervised manner to detect outliers, while Liu and Gao (2015) proposed a combination of SVM outlier detection with SVR to predict the quality of products in BF.

One-class classification methods based on SVM were often combined with other ML approaches (Ouyang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018; Wang and Mao, 2018; Wang et al., 2022b; Zhai et al., 2020). Furthermore, some articles utilized OCSVM in relatively simple scenarios, without any combinations with different methods or modifications of the original model (Gerz et al., 2022; Russo et al., 2021; Weber et al., 2022). In contrast, Zhang et al. (2022) proposed probabilistic OCSVM for fault detection using SVM exclusively. Finally, Jiang et al. (2021) used OCSVM as an outlier detector to find an optimal parity vector for a nonlinear system,

which was later used as a fault detector.

### *Probabilistic ML methods*

In this group, Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM) were used in the highest number of studies, usually in conjunction with other algorithms (Chen and Cai, 2023; Han et al., 2022b; Peng et al., 2015, 2021; Xiao et al., 2023), but also as a standalone algorithm (Zhang et al., 2023c). It should be noted that most of these studies have been published in the last 3 years, which implies a growing interest in GMMs. Within the group of Gaussian-based approaches, a combination of the Gaussian process and SVDD was proposed for outlier detection (Wang et al., 2018), as well as the Gaussian-based anomaly detection method for time-series (Saci et al., 2021). Many studies were conducted that used Bayesian principles, combining them with other methods, i.e., dimensionality reduction (Ma et al., 2021a; Peng et al., 2016) or neural networks (Fouka et al., 2021; Lian et al., 2010). Other approaches related to Bayesian inference include Bayesian Networks (Lian et al., 2010), Discrete Bayesian Filter (Ruiz-Sarmiento et al., 2020) and Bayesian State Space Model (Zhang et al., 2023d). Bayesian inference was also used in Lu et al. (2013) together with PCA for fault detection. Finally, several studies showed the usability of Hidden Markov Models (Sarda et al., 2021; Simon et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2015b) and Causal Graph Models (Garcia-Beltran et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2021b) for PdM tasks in the steel industry.

### *Tree-based*

The research related to tree-based algorithms was mainly focused on ensemble methods, that is, combining predictions of multiple weak learners to improve prediction accuracy and generalization. A popular example of these methods is Random Forest, which was used in several studies for comparative evaluation with other algorithms (Chen et al., 2020, 2021a; Simić et al., 2014; Nkonyana et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2023). Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM) offer a general improvement in the ensemble-based methods, compared to random forest. Of these methods, XGBoost stands out as the most widely used algorithm. Analogically to Random Forest, it was used in comparative studies (Lu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2023), but also as a standalone prediction algorithm (Ding et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2020). Liu et al. (2021) used LightGBM, which is another example of an algorithm for the GBM family. Tiensuu et al. (2020) also used a GBM-based model, but did not specify the details of the algorithm used. More novel approaches, utilizing gradient boosting, were proposed by Xie et al. (2021) and Xu et al. (2024) – both studies combined XGBoost with deep learning methods. On the other hand, we also identified a few papers that utilized the single decision tree as a prediction algorithm (Debón and Carlos Garcia-Díaz, 2012; Simić et al., 2014; Song et al., 2022). The algorithms discussed above were used in classification and regression problems. However, tree-based ensembles can also be used for outlier detection tasks, which was presented in the work by Gerz et al. (2022), who used Isolation Forest along with other anomaly detection methods.

### *Clustering*

Clustering methods are generally used in tasks that require assembling similar observations into larger groups; however, within the surveyed papers only Bo and Hao (2015) and Zhang et al. (2018) followed this approach. We observe that the most popular direction of research was to apply clustering methods in outlier detection tasks (Gerz et al., 2022; Jin et al., 2023; Wang and Mao, 2018; Wu et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2014). Regarding the specific algorithms, the methods utilized in multiple studies included k-Means (Gerz et al., 2022; Shin and Kwon, 2018; Wang and Mao, 2018) and Adaptive Fuzzy C Means (Wu et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2014). Shin and Kwon (2018) considered in their work also Mean-shifted clustering and density-based



clustering. These approaches used the corresponding algorithms in their standard form or combined them with other methods. Some novelties were introduced in the work of [Zhang et al. \(2018\)](#) who improved the Order-Preserving Submatrix algorithm, [Bo and Hao \(2015\)](#) who proposed the Qualitative Trend Clustering algorithm for fault diagnosis, and [Jin et al. \(2023\)](#) who proposed an algorithm based on DBSCAN for anomaly detection.

### *5.3. Miscellaneous ML approaches*

Within this section, we include all ML methods that do not fit well into any of the groups discussed above.

Nearest Neighbors algorithm was widely used in conjunction with other methods. Some authors combine this algorithm with other methods such as SVM ([Liu et al., 2011a](#)), slow features analysis ([Dong et al., 2022](#)), PCA ([Zhang et al., 2016b](#)), clustering ([Zhao et al., 2014](#)) and deep learning [Ma et al. \(2023\)](#). It is also used in simple comparative studies ([Fu et al., 2023](#); [Mentouri et al., 2020](#); [Wang et al., 2023](#))

From the group of linear models, we identified two studies using logistic regression ([Fu et al., 2023](#); [Shang et al., 2017](#)) and one dedicated to generalized linear models ([Debón and Carlos Garcia-Díaz, 2012](#)). We note that methods such as PCA and PLS, which can also be categorized as linear models, were discussed in Sect. 5.3.

Original approach is presented in works of [Ma et al. \(2021b, 2022a\)](#), who proposed framework for classification of coupling faults, which they refer as Multi-task learning (MTL). The method integrates multiple kernel learning and dimensionality reduction methods.

### *Knowledge-based methods*

In this section we briefly characterize minor, yet still important group of papers where knowledge-based techniques (in a broad sense) were used. Rule-based expert systems were used in [Miyabe et al. \(1988\)](#) for supervision and control system, then in [Ray and Murty \(1989\)](#) for maintenance management. In [Ray \(1991\)](#) authors proposed a hybrid architecture where a high level rule-based component was used together with an MLP for fault diagnosis, In [Slany and Vascak \(1996\)](#) a consistency checking strategy for diagnostical rule-based system is also proposed, and in [Dinghui Zhang et al. \(2000\)](#) a hybrid fault diagnostic system is described. Most recently a hybrid fuzzy neural system was described in [B and Umesh \(2019\)](#) for condition monitoring. In the early 21st century fuzzy rule-based systems were proposed for anomaly detection in [Lisounkin et al. \(2002\)](#), and in [Bhattacharya et al. \(2005\)](#) for failure prevention. More recent papers using expert systems include [Gao et al. \(2010\)](#), in [Chistyakova and Novozhilova \(2019\)](#) for maintenance management, and [Spirin et al. \(2020\)](#) for fault diagnosis. In the last decade, ontologies were also used with [Zhang et al. \(2012/09\)](#) for fault diagnosis, [Beden et al. \(2021\)](#) where a domain ontology for cold rolling was proposed, and then later used in [Beden and Beckmann \(2023\)](#) where authors combine it with an ML classifier for maintenance scheduling. In [Cao et al. \(2022\)](#) a more general core reference ontology for steelmaking was described.

### *Statistical methods*

Statistics-based approaches are a distinct field of science, however they are the data-driven alternative to some of the ML approaches. This fact, together with the high occurrence of statistics-based methods in the selected articles, encouraged us to include them in our analysis. Independent Component Analysis, with certain modifications, was applied by [Tan et al. \(2010\)](#) and [Fan et al. \(2017\)](#); [Fan and Zhang \(2018\)](#). Other studies combined ICA with the PCA method ([Peng et al., 2014a,b](#); [Wang et al., 2012](#); [Yingwei Zhang et al., 2013](#); [Zhou et al., 2021](#)). Zhang and Xi et al. extensively applied Fractional Brownian Motion in their studies concerning the wall of BF ([Xi et al., 2020](#); [Zhang et al., 2017a, 2019a,b, 2021b](#)). Slow

Features Analysis was applied by [Zhai et al. \(2020\)](#) and [Dong et al. \(2022\)](#). Other statistical methods applied in the surveyed studies include Canonical Correlation Analysis ([Liu et al., 2018a](#); [Peng et al., 2014a](#); [Wang et al., 2021b](#)), Canonical Variates Analysis ([Lou et al., 2023a](#)), Feature Selection ([Oh and Kim, 2015](#)), Least Squares ([Zhang et al., 2017b](#)), Statistical Trend Decomposition ([Wu et al., 2021](#)), Principal Component Pursuit ([Pan et al., 2016b, 2018](#)), Mahalanobis Distance ([An et al., 2020](#); [Shin and Kwon, 2018](#)) and Grey Model ([Zhao et al., 2022](#)). Some non-standard statistical tests were also applied, including Scheffe test ([Lisounkin et al., 2002](#)) and Mann-Kandall test ([Weng et al., 2023](#)). We observed that statistical approaches were predominantly used for outlier detection tasks in an unsupervised approach.

### *Dimensionality reduction*

Similar to statistics, dimensionality reduction methods are not strictly an AI-based approach, but are widely used in data-driven studies to perform tasks such as preprocessing, visualization, or outlier detection. The summary of studies that used the dimensionality reduction methods is presented in Tab. A.20. The PCA is the dominant approach that was used in most studies concerning dimensionality reduction. It was mainly applied together with other AI methods, generally as a preprocessing step (see Tab. A.20). The researchers also combined PCA with other statistical methods, that is, ICA ([Peng et al., 2014a,b](#); [Wang et al., 2012](#); [Yingwei Zhang et al., 2013](#); [Zhou et al., 2021](#)), CCA ([Peng et al., 2014a](#)) and the Grey Model ([Zhao et al., 2022](#)). Several studies used PCA, PLS, or their modifications alone (see Tab. A.20). In general, in these studies, the authors reduce the dimension of the data and then compute the residual of each feature with respect to the projection, which is then used as a measure of the anomaly; based on the value of the squared prediction error or the test  $T^2$ , outlier detection is performed. Furthermore, [Peng et al. \(2015, 2016\)](#); [Zhang et al. \(2021a\)](#) and [Chen et al. \(2021a\)](#) combined PLS with other AI methods, e.g. probabilistic models, trees, or statistical methods. Except for PCA-based and PLS-based studies, we identified only two studies that used other dimensionality reduction methods. [Pérez et al. \(2013\)](#) used t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) with RBF Neural Network, and [Sha et al. \(2022\)](#) utilized Neighborhood Preserving Embedding (NPE).

### *Other techniques*

Within this section we describe miscellaneous methods, which do not fit into subfields described in the above sections.

The first group of methods is related to optimization techniques. Most of the research on these methods was related to hyperparameter tuning or improving the learning process of the ML model. Several studies ([Ji et al., 2021](#); [Jing et al., 2023a](#); [Qing Liu et al., 2016](#)) used Genetic Algorithms (GA) to find the optimal parameters of ML models, [Zhang et al. \(2021c\)](#) used the Chaotic Sparrow Search Algorithm to optimize the parameters of the SVM, [Jakubowski et al.](#) used Bayesian optimization to tune the hyperparameters of the autoencoder in two of their studies ([Jakubowski et al., 2021a, 2022](#)), and [Yang et al. \(2015\)](#) compared GA with Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and grid search to tune the SVM model. Regarding other applications of optimization methods, [Wang et al. \(2022a\)](#) utilized PSO to improve the efficiency of the analytical model, and ([Kovačič et al., 2019](#)) used Genetic Programming to find the optimal mathematical model of roll wear.

Analytical models are the next category, which does not fall strictly into the group of AI methods. The objective of these models is to simulate the behavior of the subject of study with the means of physics-based equations and knowledge of the underlying processes. We find that many of the identified studies, utilizing analytical models, were related to prediction of roll wear in rolling mills ([Anagiannis et al., 2020](#); [Jakubowski et al., 2022](#); [Lebrún et al., 2013](#); [Yuan et al., 2023](#)). Moreover, a wider scope of the hot rolling process was studied

by Lisounkin et al. (2002) and Krot et al. (2020). Another HRM use case is a study by Wang and Peng (2023), who used the finite element method to monitor the laminar cooling process. Studies in other steel production facilities included monitoring of hearth erosion of BF (Wang et al., 2022a) and metal breakages in CCM (Luk’yanov et al., 2015). Some of the discussed approaches, in addition to using an analytic model, also utilized AI methods. Lisounkin (Lisounkin et al., 2002) used a fuzzy expert system to detect faults in the HRM, Wang et al. (2022a) used PSO for optimizing their algorithm, Kim et al. (2006) created finite-element simulation of ladle crane fatigue, which was later used to train neural network, and Jakubowski et al. (2022) injected an analytical model of roll wear into the autoencoder.

In addition, we identified some studies, which used strictly signal processing methods such as Fast Fourier Transform Dehghan Marvasti and Samet (2014); Fagarasan et al. (2004); Shiyan et al. (2019) or Wavelet Transform Pan et al. (2016a); Sun et al. (2012). Although these are not AI-based methods, they can serve as data preprocessing step and are valuable tools, especially in case of high-frequency signals.

#### *The use of Explainable AI methods*

The analysis of the papers with regard to the use of interpretable or explainable models showed that only a small number of studies addressed this issue. We observe that there are two leading approaches to this matter. The first group used contribution plots, which illustrate the impact of individual features on the prediction for a specific instance or observation in the dataset. These plots were adopted in several studies that used statistical methods (Guo et al., 2023; Kumar and Das, 2022; Liu et al., 2018b; Zhang and Ma, 2011; Zhao et al., 2022) and ML-based approaches (Han et al., 2022b). Second group utilized the SHAP (Lundberg and Lee, 2017) method, which determines the contribution of each feature to the model output based on Shapley values from cooperative game theory. This method was applied to explain the prediction of the deep learning (Jakubowski et al., 2021a,b) and Gradient Boosting (Ding et al., 2023; Tiensuu et al., 2020) methods. In addition, counterfactual explanations were utilized in one study (Jakubowski et al., 2022), in which an autoencoder model was developed.

#### *5.4. RQ3: What types of PdM approaches are used?*

The analysis of papers with respect to the type of PdM approach followed is difficult due to inconsistencies in the terminology used by the authors. Some authors do not clearly use the common terminology, which we define in Sect. 2.1, but rather define the PdM task using their own or less recognized terms. Other common issues include the usage of some terms interchangeably or an imprecise definition of the PdM task employed. To maintain objectivity, we have strictly adhered to the terminology used by the authors, but in some cases (in which terminology was not explicitly used) the type of task was inferred based on the provided information. However, it is important to note that inconsistencies persist.

Fig. 15 provides an overview of the frequency of different PdM tasks. Most research articles focus on diagnostics, such as fault detection, fault diagnosis, and anomaly detection, comprising approximately 70% of the research output. These tasks represent fundamental concepts within the diagnostics domain. For a complete list of papers using these approaches, we refer the reader to Tab. A.23. We observe certain ambiguities in the terminology used by various authors in describing these tasks. In our opinion, this ambiguity arises from the fact that these methods share overlapping characteristics, leading to subjective definitions of tasks. For example, some studies employ an autoencoder architecture with reconstruction error, which is described as anomaly detection (Jakubowski et al., 2021b; Meyer and Mahalec, 2022; Zhou et al., 2022a) or fault detection (Lu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2016a, 2023b) in different works. Similarly, some authors use the terms *fault detection* and *fault diagnosis* together to describe their methods (Das, 2020; Lisounkin et al., 2002; Russo et al., 2021).




Figure 15: Frequency of occurrence of different PdM tasks within the surveyed papers

Several authors claimed that their method applies more in-depth diagnostic tasks than fault diagnosis. [Liu et al. \(2023\)](#) proposed a fault identification scheme based on autoencoders, which was applied for CCM. [Zhou et al. \(2020, 2021\)](#) used PCA-based and PLS-based algorithms to calculate the deviation of the BF process from normal working conditions, then fault identification was performed by estimating the contribution rate of each feature to this deviation. [Zhang et al. \(2023a\)](#) proposed a framework to assess the operating level and identify HRM faults based on the GRU network. [Zhang et al. \(2021a\)](#) also proposed a fault isolation method using PLS and the Broad Learning System to differentiate between different types of fault in HRM. [Fagarasan et al. \(2004\)](#) developed a diagnostic agent that performs fault detection and isolation based on the torsional vibration of a main drive in HRM.

Root Cause Analysis, being the most comprehensive diagnostic task, has also gained some attention from researchers. [Tiensuu et al. \(2020\)](#) proposed a system to discover the root cause of center line deviation during steel strip processing, which is based on the GBM and SHAP methods. [Han et al. \(2022b\)](#) used the graph neural network to diagnose faults in the BF process. The inference mechanism was then built using contribution plots. [Kumar and Das \(2022\)](#) studied fault diagnosis in the integrated steel factory, which was based on the neural network and PCA, the root cause analysis of the faults was also performed using contribution plots. [Ma et al. \(2022b\)](#) proposed a method for the analysis of the root cause in the HRM finishing mill, which uses the GRU network and the Granger causality. Lastly, [Latham and Giannetti \(2023\)](#) developed a tool, which combines expert knowledge and ML methods to determine the root causes of width-related defects in the hot rolling process.

Process monitoring was explored in many studies, the methods within this group focused mainly on the outlier detection, making them similar to anomaly detection tasks. These studies were devoted to monitoring the entire BF process ([Chen and Cai, 2023](#); [Liu et al., 2018b](#); [Zhang et al., 2018](#)), and the finishing mill in the HRM ([Peng et al., 2014a,b](#); [Zhang et al., 2023a](#)). In some of them, the monitoring of the process was also performed in conjunction with fault detection ([Liu et al., 2018b](#); [Peng et al., 2014a](#)) or fault identification ([Zhang et al., 2023a](#)). Condition monitoring was used in some articles and referred to tasks such as rolling stand monitoring in CRM ([Oh and Kim, 2015](#)), torpedo car in BF ([Yemelyanov et al., 2021](#)), hearth erosion in BF ([Wang et al., 2022a](#)) and transformer in EAF ([Shiyan et al., 2019](#)).

Defect detection, which monitors the degradation of the product, rather than the equip-

ment, was devoted only to the steel strip. Yang et al. (2023b) use visual data from the HRM monitoring system to detect defects, while Fleischanderl et al. (2022) work with data from the pickling line. Two of the works on the recognition of defects in steel strip did not specify the manufacturing site (Hao et al., 2022; Simić et al., 2014). Song et al. (2022) and Liu and Gao (2015) focused on the quality prediction of the product in HRM and BF, respectively, which is closely related to defect detection.

The number of articles considering prognostics approach is much smaller than in the case of diagnostics. Within this group, most of the studies were related to the estimation of RUL, which represents approximately 10% of the articles. Zhang et al. (2017a, 2019b,a, 2021b) and Xi et al. (2020) extensively explored this topic, focusing on predicting RUL of blast furnace walls using fractional Brownian Motions. In one of the works, Zhang and Xi (2022) also used RNN for a similar task. Within the BF area, Raducan et al. (2020) developed a method for RUL estimation of blowers; however, no details on the method were provided. Several studies were also dedicated to CCM, which considered bearings (Qing Liu et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2014), casting roller (Han et al., 2021). Moving on to HRM, the RUL of the work rolls was a significant research area (Anagiannis et al., 2020; Jiao et al., 2021; Kovačič et al., 2019), but also a complete finishing mill (Krot et al., 2020). In addition, Goode et al. (2000) aimed to predict the time for the next pump maintenance. Other studies considering the prediction of RUL were dedicated to CRM (Lakshmanan et al., 2022; Lepenioti et al., 2020), crane in steel plant (Kim et al., 2006), HDG line (Simon et al., 2021), and EAF (Choi et al., 2020). Finally, Karagiorgou et al. (2020) developed the LSTM-based approach for the prediction of RUL; however, they did not specify the exact steel facility under study.

The studies, which were aimed at estimating the HI, were primarily related to the monitoring of individual devices. Many of these studies considered equipment in CCM, that is, bearings (Qing Liu et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2014) and crystallizer (David et al., 2012). Other works were dedicated to rolls in rolling mills; more precisely, to backup rolls in HRM (Yuan et al., 2023), work rolls in HRM (Lebrún et al., 2013) and the work rolls in CRM (Fouka et al., 2021). Ruiz-Sarmiento et al. (2020) also tried to predict the health condition of the asset, in this case the equipment in Steckel HRM, but referred to it as a prediction of *degradation state*. Similarly, Chen et al. (2021a) do not explicitly use the term HI, but their method, which predicts bearing wear, is equivalent to calculating HI.

The research on scheduling tasks, which seems to be an important aspect of PdM from a business perspective, was very limited. Ray and Murty (1989) developed an expert system for a steel industry, which generates maintenance rules based on the knowledge base. It does not provide automatic scheduling; however, it supports engineers in scheduling proper maintenance tasks. Holloway et al. (1991) proposed an integrated approach for fault detection and reactive production scheduling in CCM. We note that these papers date back to around 1990. From more recent work, Neto et al. (2021) developed a reinforcement learning method to optimize the maintenance schedule of the shredder in the steel plant.

#### 5.5. RQ4: What are the characteristics of the data used?

In AI research, the characteristics of the data are one of the most important factors that determine the feasibility of the proposed approach. Within this research question, our aim is to determine these characteristics with respect to three factors. First, we analyzed the data types used for PdM tasks in the steel industry. Then, we assessed the source of the data, with the focus on its authenticity, that is, whether it is an artificial or real-world data set. Lastly, we inspect the availability of the data to other researchers, which is one of the crucial aspects supporting the reproducibility and comparison of the AI methods.

### Data types

The type of data used plays an important role in determining the optimal AI method and the PdM approach. The volume and quality of the data have a high impact on the performance of the model and can restrict the selection of potential methods. Fig. 16 presents the frequency of using different types of data in the articles surveyed. We identified four types of data, which were used: time series, tabular, image, and text.



Figure 16: Frequency of using different data formats by researchers

The primary type of data used is time series, where the information is generated sequentially over time. This mainly involves data from sensor readings that are installed in the facilities. Such data can be easily processed and stored in the form of files or databases. We observe that algorithms that are able to learn temporal relationships are often used for this task. This includes mainly deep learning approaches, e.g., LSTM Networks (Jiao et al., 2021; Karagiorgou et al., 2020; Lakshmanan et al., 2022; Lepenioti et al., 2020), GRUs (Li et al., 2023b; Wang et al., 2021a; Wu et al., 2023), CNNs (Gao et al., 2023; Liu and Hao, 2021; Peng et al., 2022), but also statistical (Zhang et al., 2017a, 2019a,b) and probabilistic (Saci et al., 2021) approaches. Alternatively, time-series data can be treated similarly to tabular data, i.e., assuming no temporal dependency between the observations. In such scenarios, popular ML approaches such as Gradient Boosting (Liu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023), SVM (Konishi and Nakano, 2010; Liu and Gao, 2015; Russo et al., 2021) can be utilized, but we lose the temporal information, which might be relevant for certain use cases.

Tabular data was also adopted, as similarly to time-series, it comes primarily from sensor readings. Usually, the difference is that it contains aggregated measurements for a certain product (Debón and Carlos Garcia-Díaz, 2012; Ding et al., 2023; Jakubowski et al., 2022; Nkonyana et al., 2019; Tiensuu et al., 2020) instead of raw sensor readings. Except for product-based measurements, approaches that use tabular data include sampling of measurements to create a balanced data set (Liu et al., 2011a; Wang et al., 2010), using recorded maintenance data (Kovačič et al., 2019) or fusing information in tabular form with other data structures (Jakubowski et al., 2021b; Meyer and Mahalec, 2022; Wang et al., 2020).

Several studies used visual data in the form of images to develop PdM solutions. These studies are heavily based on the use of CNN architecture to process the data. Furthermore, they are predominantly related to inspection of the steel strip surface (Fleischanderl et al., 2022; Hao et al., 2022; Jing et al., 2023a; Mentouri et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2023b). Another source of image data is recordings from cameras, which are installed in critical areas of the facilities. This approach was applied to torpedo cars (Chernyi et al., 2022; Li et al., 2020), BF raceway (Puttinger and Stocker, 2019) and HRM (Junichi and Yukinori, 2020). Despite the images from regular cameras, which were described above, an interesting possibility is to use images containing other than strictly visual information. Some examples include an infrared camera, which produces a thermogram of the observed object (Emelianov et al., 2022) and measurements from a 3D scanner equipped with an ultrasonic probe (Bonikila

et al., 2022). A completely different approach was adopted by Latham and Giannetti (2023), who converted time-series data into images.

Lastly, data in the form of text can also be used, although the research within this area is very limited, as we identified only two studies that addressed it. The work of Han et al. (2022a) uses various deep learning architectures to build a knowledge graph for fault diagnosis based on the reports of workshop maintenance personnel. Wang et al. (2020) combined text and tabular data to detect faults related to steel surface quality; the textual description of the defect was used to determine the labels for a classification model.

#### *Data sources*

With respect to the authenticity of the data, we observe that most of the studies were conducted on real measurements from a steel manufacturing facility. Several authors also used synthetic data (not necessarily related to the steel industry) for the sake of performance assessment (Garcia-Beltran et al., 2004; Han et al., 2022b; Jakubowski et al., 2021a; Lou et al., 2023b). We also identified studies in which the actual data from the installations was used to train the model, but the validation was performed on synthetically manipulated data (Pan et al., 2016b; Xie et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021c).

The use of synthetic data was also adopted in many studies. This involves, for example, simulated data coming from mathematical model (Chen et al., 2023; Konishi and Nakano, 2010; Miyabe et al., 1988), digital twin (Han et al., 2021) or image data which do not come from an actual installation (Fleischanderl et al., 2022; Peng et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2023b). The alternative approach to utilization of data from real production line is to conduct a laboratory experiment and use these data for research. This approach was adopted mainly for a cold rolling process (Ji et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2022a,b; Wang et al., 2023).

#### *Availability of the data used*

To complete the analysis on the characteristics of the data used, we determined its availability to other researchers. We did not find any work making the utilized data publicly available as an appendix to the published paper. *We believe that main reason for that may be the confidentiality of the data coming from the private steel companies.* In total, we found three publicly available datasets, which are dedicated to steel production and were used by the authors of the articles surveyed. UCI Steel Plates Defects (Buscema et al., 2010) contains tabular data with measurements for a particular product together with the corresponding defect class and was applied to validate rather simple ML approaches (Simić et al., 2014; Nkonyana et al., 2019). NEU Surface Defect Database (Song and Yan, 2013; NEU) and the Severstal Steel Defect Detection Dataset (Severstal) both contain images with steel surface defects. They were used to show the effectiveness of deep learning methods for defect detection in steel plates (Hao et al., 2022; Mentouri et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2023b). Finally, Wang et al. (2023) used data from a laboratory experiment, which can be shared on reasonable request.

#### *5.6. RQ5: What is the business impact of the proposed methods?*

The business perspective of research in the steel industry plays a crucial role in the development of new methodologies and the improvement of existing ones. The verification of the proposed methods in industrial scenarios, where a significant number of difficulties might be observed, is invaluable. First, it ensures that the proposed methods are operational in the real installation and can tackle difficulties such as real-time prediction capability, concept drifts, or low data quality. Second, the final objective of PdM methods is to reduce downtime, costs, and increase asset safety and reliability. From such a perspective, the evaluation of the business gains for the proposed methods seems like an imperative step.

To measure the business impact of the articles surveyed, we defined three distinct criteria. First, we checked if there was any sign of collaboration with the industry. Some examples of collaboration include 1) the authors stated that they worked together with plant engineers or used their expert knowledge, 2) at least one author was affiliated to a steel-related company, and 3) the name of company was used within the text of the paper. This means that using the data from a real production facility does not imply that there was any collaboration between the authors and the company, which shared the production data. Second, we verified that the proposed solution was actually implemented in the real production environment. This criterion is more strict than the first one and requires the authors to clearly indicate that the method they proposed is actually operating in the facility. Finally, we checked whether the authors gave a quantitative estimate of the business benefits of the implementation of their method. This criterion does not oblige the method to be implemented in the production facility, so if rough estimates based on reasonable assumptions are given, the criterion is still fulfilled. The summary of the articles that met at least one of the criteria is given in Tab. A.24.

Despite the decent number of papers that showed evidence of collaboration, only a limited number of papers highlight the successful implementation of the method. [Holloway et al. \(1991\)](#) are the first researchers identified who implemented an AI-based PdM solution for a steel factory. Their work was dedicated to CCM, and a prototype of the behavioral model monitoring system was implemented on a MicroVax II computer. [Lisounkin et al. \(2002\)](#) developed an analytical model combined with the fuzzy expert system to detect anomalies in the selected elements of HRM rolling stands. [Garcia-Beltran et al. \(2004\)](#) developed a causal graph model for the hydraulic looper, the model runs in a dedicated application. [Bouhouche et al. \(2005\)](#) implemented a fault detection system on a process computer for a loop control system on the pickling line. [Bhattacharya et al. \(2005\)](#) used fuzzy logic to prevent breakdowns in CCM. The system is operating in a production environment and replaced an older solution. [Kim et al. \(2006\)](#) developed a Web-based Enterprise Resource Planning system to monitor the condition of the ladle crane. [Shigemori \(2013\)](#) proposed a quality monitoring system for various steel production processes, which was operated for c.a. 3 years before the results were published. [Luk'yanov et al. \(2015\)](#) implemented an analytical model for the prediction of liquid metal breakouts in CCM, which operated for more than a year. [Shin and Kwon \(2018\)](#) developed a smart monitoring system to diagnose the CRM condition and implemented it in the form of a Human-Machine Interface, allowing real-time display based on sensor data. [Tiensuu et al. \(2020\)](#) implemented a Web-based tool for online monitoring and root cause analysis for strip position deviation in HRM. [Raducan et al. \(2020\)](#) applied ML methods to predict the RUL of turbo blowers in BF, the solution was implemented as a PowerBI application. [Chen et al. \(2021a\)](#) applied PLS for bearing wear prediction and implemented it as a web application. [Wang et al. \(2022a\)](#) designed an analytical model that monitors the erosion process in the hearth of BF. The results of the model are displayed to the plant staff to optimize the production process and maintenance strategy. [Peng et al. \(2021\)](#) implemented a graphical user interface to monitor strip thickness faults in HRM. [Panagou et al. \(2022a\)](#) developed a digital twin-based PdM solution, which was implemented as a web platform. [Jin et al. \(2023\)](#) proposed an ML method for anomaly detection and applied it at the energy management center of the steel company. Furthermore, [Han et al. \(2021\)](#) declare that they have implemented a prototype based on digital twin for CCM; however, there are no details on collaboration with any specific steel enterprise.

In the context of business gains from the proposed methods, only a few studies were identified, which showed evidence of a quantitative evaluation. [Luk'yanov et al. \(2015\)](#) reported the performance of their method after implementation: within 1.5 years of operation, the system detected 44 probable cases of failure while significantly reducing the number of false alarms, compared with the regular diagnostic system. [Han et al. \(2021\)](#) claim that their solution,



based on digital twin, which predicts RUL for a casting roller in CCM, improves service time by 10-15% and increases steel production by 23%. [Choi et al. \(2023\)](#) evaluated the financial costs of laser welder failure in HDG. Based on the duration of stoppage, the productivity of line, and assumed hourly profit, they estimated that the model can help avoid a loss of about 23,000 USD per year. This value can increase to 400,000 USD / year if the method is applied to other installations of the collaborated enterprise.

## 6. Discussion

The analysis of the articles included in the survey can help us determine the current stage, gaps and perspectives in the research area of AI-driven PdM in the steel industry.

### 6.1. Summary of the findings

Below, we present the list of the main findings based on the results of our survey. We believe that they give a global picture of current state of knowledge in the field.

First of all, the number of papers published in recent years has been growing constantly, which implies increased interest of the scientific community in the field. Research on steel facilities spans the whole production pipeline, from the sinter plant to the galvanizing lines. However, a major research activity is observed in the hot-rolling and BF processes. The scope of research ranges from the monitoring of single devices to the whole production process. The equipment, which is subject of study varies from general-purpose devices, e.g. bearings, gearboxes, to industry-specific equipment like loopers and work rolls.

The terminology used to describe PdM tasks is often vague and certain types of tasks have overlying characteristics. This impedes the analysis of the papers with respect to the type of task addressed. The highest number of papers are related to the prediction of faults with varying degree of profoundness, ranging from simple detection to more in-depth root cause analysis. Examples of more unconventional PdM approaches include the estimation of the health state or remaining useful life, and the scheduling of maintenance actions.

As of analysis methods used, most of the work is devoted to the development of ML methods to perform various PdM tasks, the presence of other AI methods is very limited. A relatively high number of papers use unsupervised techniques, which do not require labeled data during training. We believe that the main causes of such situation are the high imbalance of data (between faulty and normal observations) and the lack of high-quality labels. The increased amount of research in recent years is mainly dedicated to the development of deep learning methods. There has been also a small number of papers where knowledge based technologies, mainly expert systems, were used. However, besides recent work on the use of formal ontologies, this trend is of a marginal importance. Finally, even though XAI has been a hot topic in AI for almost a decade, so far little effort has been made to provide explanations for model decisions using XAI techniques.

Data treatment is another important aspect to observe. Most of the papers are based on data collected from sensors installed in the facilities. However, often a temporal dependency between the observations is not taken into account (data treatment is similar to tabular data). We noted that several methods were proposed to deal with image and text data, which might be a promising research direction given the development of deep learning methods for computer vision and natural language processing. Most of the researchers use private data, which comes from a real steel production facility. The use of such data enhances the usefulness of the proposed methods in practice but also hinders the possibility of reproducing the results and comparing them with alternative approaches. There is very little public data available for researchers to benchmark their solutions in steel industry use cases. We identified only three such datasets, two of which are related to image processing and one being relatively simple

tabular data. Moreover, the authors, with only one exception, do not provide the source code produced to conduct research. The proposed methods are therefore difficult to reproduce and validate, which is even more difficult taking into account the lack of research data.

The research is mainly devoted to existing installations, whose location is usually not revealed in the paper. However, based on the available data, we observe that the location is generally aligned with the affiliation of authors. Chinese universities occupy a dominant position in terms of the number of articles published. Significant research activity is also present in developed countries, especially in western Europe. This generally aligns with global steel production data (Basson, 2023). Two larger clusters of researchers from Chinese universities conducted exceptionally high research activity. Except for these two cases, all other research teams worked independently.

Finally, while the collaboration of researchers with companies is clearly visible, the observable results of these works are very limited. Only a limited number of studies declare that their method was implemented in the production environment. The quantitative benefits were presented in very few papers.

## 6.2. Gaps and future directions

While research on the application of AI methods for PdM in the steel industry has been extensive and has explored various methods and facilities, there remains ample room for improvement. Through our analysis, we have identified current trends and gaps that we believe should guide future research. In the following, we highlight the most important directions that should be considered by both academia and businesses.

- At present, substantial research efforts are directed towards BF technology. However, according to existing regulations and the environmental objectives outlined by the major steel producers (for [Internal Policies of the Union](#). and [Trinomics](#)., 2021; [Yang et al.](#), 2023a; [Yu and Tan](#), 2022), it is expected that BF undergoes gradual decommissioning in favor of less emission-intensive processes such as EAF. Consequently, we project an increase in interest towards PdM within the EAF process.
- The major growth of research in deep learning will probably continue, as the new architectures and methods offer high versatility and efficiency, which can encourage researchers to continue studies in this direction. Additionally, significant advances in the fields of computer vision and natural language processing could possibly lead to a higher number of papers, which utilize data from maintenance reports or industrial cameras. Currently, we observe only limited research in this area.
- Little attention was paid to the interpretability of the proposed solutions and the use of XAI methods. The steel industry, which is a critical branch of the economy, as well as a hazardous workplace, requires robust and faithful solutions, so that end-users can trust the prediction of AI models. This can be enhanced if the methods used provide explanations of their decisions.
- Current research is mainly focused on discovering faults or anomalies in the machinery. This approach should decrease the possibility of incoming failures, but does not necessarily improve regular maintenance strategies. We argue that more effort should be put into optimizing regular maintenance tasks, so that the share of preventive maintenance actions also decreases.
- The transparency and reproducibility of studies should be increased by making the data used for more accessible. While it would be preferable to use data from real production

facilities, the intellectual property rights possessed by the companies will probably prevent this. Similarly, the public availability of the source codes would support researchers and engineers in the implementation and validation of the proposed methods.

- More effort from researchers should be put into the implementation and evaluation of the methods from a business perspective. The use of AI methods in PdM is a strongly applied field of research; therefore, observable results of the studies should be one of the main priorities. This could be achieved by fostering stronger cooperation between researchers and maintenance engineers.
- Most researchers work within small, independent teams, lacking substantial collaboration with other scientific groups. We believe that cooperation among these research teams has the potential to enhance the overall quality of scientific works.

## 7. Summary

In this survey we conducted a comprehensive analysis of research articles related to the application of AI methods in PdM within the steel industry. We systematically reviewed and collected 219 research articles related to this field. The articles were selected from a population of more than 2000 articles, retrieved based on a carefully prepared set of keywords related to AI, PdM, and the steel industry. The selection process was carried out by independent reviewers, following the PRISMA methodology, to ensure the inclusion of the most relevant studies. Based on the set of diverse research questions, we in-depthly analyzed the characteristics of all papers, taking into account different dimensions of the research, as well as the characteristics of the data used, along with the business impact of proposed methods. We believe that this analysis should allow readers to gain insight into different aspects of the subject and be a valuable source of knowledge for both researchers willing to explore the field and practitioners desiring to implement AI methods in their facilities. In this work we did not use any Generative AI tools. All our analyses were conducted manually based on our expertise and with use of automation procedures we programmed.

Despite the fact that the focus of our survey was on AI-based methods, we also included several papers from related fields such as statistics, signal processing, and analytical models, to provide a more comprehensive view on the subject of the study. We observed an increasing interest of researchers in the area of AI-based PdM in the steel industry, with a primary focus on the development of deep learning methods. Research spanned all areas of the steel industry, from sintering to galvanization, but the most attention was devoted to the Hot Rolling Mill and Blast Furnace. The vast majority of articles used data from sensor readings installed in manufacturing sites, but data in the form of text or images can also be useful in the development of PdM solutions. Although a large number of studies were conducted, we observed relatively low practical implications in terms of their impact on business.

In summary, this survey confirms that AI-based PdM can bring substantial benefits to the steel industry, including improved operational efficiency, reduced downtime, and improved quality, but the development of intelligent solutions remains a challenging task that requires further studies. Most importantly, future research should focus on the business aspects of the proposed methods as well as on their practical implications. In particular, a broader perspective of the subject should be adopted, allowing for the consideration of a specific PdM solution within the maintenance strategy. Ultimately, the primary goal of PdM is to provide measurable benefits to the efficiency of the steel industry.

## Acknowledgements

Project XPM is supported by the National Science Centre, Poland (2020/02/Y/ST6/00070), under CHIST-ERA IV programme, which has received funding from the EU Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme, under Grant Agreement no 857925.

## CRedit author statement

**Jakub Jakubowski:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization **Natalia Wojak-Strzelecka:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization **Rita P. Ribeiro:** Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft **Sepideh Pashami:** Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft **Szymon Bobek:** Validation, Writing - Review & Editing **João Gama:** Conceptualization, Validation, Writing - Review & Editing **Grzegorz J. Nalepa:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition

## References

- Acernese, A., Sarda, K., Nole, V., Manfredi, L., Greco, L., Glielmo, L., Del Vecchio, C., 2021. Robust Statistics-based Anomaly Detection in a Steel Industry, in: 2021 29th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation (MED), IEEE, PUGLIA, Italy. pp. 1058–1063. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9480311/>, doi:10.1109/MED51440.2021.9480311.
- Acernese, A., Yerudkar, A., Del Vecchio, C., 2022. A Novel Reinforcement Learning-based Unsupervised Fault Detection for Industrial Manufacturing Systems, in: 2022 American Control Conference (ACC), IEEE, Atlanta, GA, USA. pp. 2650–2655. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9867763/>, doi:10.23919/ACC53348.2022.9867763.
- Alabdallah, A., Pashami, S., Rögnvaldsson, T., Ohlsson, M., 2022. Survshap: A proxy-based algorithm for explaining survival models with shap, in: 2022 IEEE 9th International Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics (DSAA), pp. 1–10. doi:10.1109/DSAA54385.2022.10032392.
- An, R., Yang, C., Pan, Y., 2020. Graph-Based Method for Fault Detection in the Iron-Making Process. IEEE Access 8, 40171–40179. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9015995/>, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2976808.
- Anagiannis, I., Nikolakis, N., Alexopoulos, K., 2020. Energy-Based Prognosis of the Remaining Useful Life of the Coating Segments in Hot Rolling Mill. Applied Sciences 10, 6827. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/10/19/6827>, doi:10.3390/app10196827.
- Arinton, E., Caraman, S., Korbicz, J., 2012. Neural networks for modelling and fault detection of the inter-stand strip tension of a cold tandem mill. Control Engineering Practice 20, 684–694. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0967066112000640>, doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2012.03.007.
- B, G., Umesh, K.N., 2019. Condition Monitoring of Air Compressor in Steel Industry using ANFIS. International Journal of Mechanical and Production Engineering Research and Development 9, 485–498. URL: <http://tjprc.org/publishpapers/2-67-1552296940-47.IJMPERDAPR201947.pdf>, doi:10.24247/ijmperdapr201947.

- Basson, E., 2023. World Steel in Figures. Technical Report. World Steel Association. URL: [https://unesid.org/descargas\\_files/World-Steel-in-Figures-2023.pdf](https://unesid.org/descargas_files/World-Steel-in-Figures-2023.pdf).
- Beden, S., Beckmann, A., 2023. Towards an Ontological Framework for Integrating Domain Expert Knowledge with Random Forest Classification, in: 2023 IEEE 17th International Conference on Semantic Computing (ICSC), IEEE, Laguna Hills, CA, USA. pp. 221–224. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10066787/>, doi:10.1109/ICSC56153.2023.00043.
- Beden, S., Cao, Q., Beckmann, A., 2021. SCRO: A Domain Ontology for Describing Steel Cold Rolling Processes towards Industry 4.0. Information 12, 304. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/12/8/304>, doi:10.3390/info12080304.
- Bhattacharya, A.K., Srinivas, P.S., Chithra, K., Jatla, S.V., Das, J., 2005. Recognition of Fault Signature Patterns Using Fuzzy Logic for Prevention of Breakdowns in Steel Continuous Casting Process, in: Hutchison, D., Kanade, T., Kittler, J., Kleinberg, J.M., Mattern, F., Mitchell, J.C., Naor, M., Nierstrasz, O., Pandu Rangan, C., Steffen, B., Sudan, M., Terzopoulos, D., Tygar, D., Vardi, M.Y., Weikum, G., Pal, S.K., Bandyopadhyay, S., Biswas, S. (Eds.), Pattern Recognition and Machine Intelligence. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg. volume 3776, pp. 318–324. URL: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/11590316\\_47](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/11590316_47), doi:10.1007/11590316\_47.
- Bo, Z., Hao, Y., 2015. Qualitative trend clustering of process data for fault diagnosis, in: 2015 IEEE International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE), IEEE, Gothenburg, Sweden. pp. 1584–1588. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7294327/>, doi:10.1109/CoASE.2015.7294327.
- Bonikila, P.R., Indimath, S.S., Shajan, N., 2022. Failure assessment of Mash Seam Weld breakage and development of online weld inspection system for early detection of weld failure. Engineering Failure Analysis 133, 105967. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1350630721008281>, doi:10.1016/j.engfailanal.2021.105967.
- Bouhouche, S., Lahreche, M., Ziani, S., Bast, J., 2005. Fault Detection and Monitoring of Length Loop Control System in Pickling Process, in: International Conference on Computational Intelligence for Modelling, Control and Automation and International Conference on Intelligent Agents, Web Technologies and Internet Commerce (CIMCA-IAWTIC'06), IEEE, Vienna, Austria. pp. 642–647. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1631336/>, doi:10.1109/CIMCA.2005.1631336.
- Bouhouche, S., Lahreche, M., Ziani, S., Bast, J., 2006. Quality Classification and Monitoring Using Adaptive Metric Distance and Neural Networks: Application in Pickling Process, in: PROCEEDINGS OF WORLD ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, VOL 14, pp. 439+.
- Buscema, M., Terzi, S., Tastle, W., 2010. Steel Plates Faults. UCI Machine Learning Repository. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24432/C5J88N>.
- Cao, Q., Beden, S., Beckmann, A., 2022. A core reference ontology for steelmaking process knowledge modelling and information management. Computers in Industry 135, 103574. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0166361521001810>, doi:10.1016/j.compind.2021.103574.
- Carvalho, T.P., Soares, F.A.A.M.N., Vita, R., da P. Francisco, R., Basto, J.P., Alcalá, S.G.S., 2019. A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive

- maintenance. *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 137, 106024. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360835219304838>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106024>.
- Cemernek, D., Cemernek, S., Gursch, H., Pandeshwar, A., Leitner, T., Berger, M., Klösch, G., Kern, R., 2022. Machine learning in continuous casting of steel: a state-of-the-art survey. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing* 33, 1561 – 1579. URL: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85103107076&doi=10.1007/2fs10845-021-01754-7&partnerID=40&md5=c3c959a1bdbe990c72f95ddab1f4de84>, doi:[10.1007/s10845-021-01754-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-021-01754-7). cited by: 26.
- Chandola, V., Banerjee, A., Kumar, V., 2009. Anomaly detection: A survey. *ACM Comput. Surv.* 41. doi:[10.1145/1541880.1541882](https://doi.org/10.1145/1541880.1541882).
- Chemweno, P., Morag, I., Sheikhalishahi, M., Pintelon, L., Muchiri, P., Wakiru, J., 2016. Development of a novel methodology for root cause analysis and selection of maintenance strategy for a thermal power plant: A data exploration approach. *Engineering Failure Analysis* 66, 19–34. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1350630716301248>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2016.04.001>.
- Chen, C., Cai, J., 2023. A Hybrid Cluster Variational Autoencoder Model for Monitoring the Multimode Blast Furnace System. *Processes* 11, 2580. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9717/11/9/2580>, doi:[10.3390/pr11092580](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr11092580).
- Chen, H., Li, L., Shang, C., Huang, B., 2023. Fault Detection for Nonlinear Dynamic Systems With Consideration of Modeling Errors: A Data-Driven Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics* 53, 4259–4269. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9756636/>, doi:[10.1109/TCYB.2022.3163301](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2022.3163301).
- Chen, X., Van Hillegersberg, J., Topan, E., Smith, S., Roberts, M., 2021a. Application of data-driven models to predictive maintenance: Bearing wear prediction at TATA steel. *Expert Systems with Applications* 186, 115699. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0957417421010836>, doi:[10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115699](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115699).
- Chen, Z., Liu, Y., Valera-Medina, A., Robinson, F., 2020. A Multi-source Feature-level Fusion Approach for Predicting Strip Breakage in Cold Rolling, in: 2020 IEEE 16th International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE), IEEE, Hong Kong, Hong Kong. pp. 482–487. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9216854/>, doi:[10.1109/CASE48305.2020.9216854](https://doi.org/10.1109/CASE48305.2020.9216854).
- Chen, Z., Liu, Y., Valera-Medina, A., Robinson, F., Packianather, M., 2021b. Multi-faceted modelling for strip breakage in cold rolling using machine learning. *International Journal of Production Research* 59, 6347–6360. URL: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00207543.2020.1812753>, doi:[10.1080/00207543.2020.1812753](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1812753).
- Chernyi, S., Emelianov, V., Zinchenko, E., Zinchenko, A., Tsvetkova, O., Mishin, A., 2022. Application of Artificial Intelligence Technologies for Diagnostics of Production Structures. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* 10, 259. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/10/2/259>, doi:[10.3390/jmse10020259](https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse10020259).
- Chistyakova, T., Novozhilova, I., 2019. Intellectual System for Resource-Saving Repair Control of Refractory Lining of the Oxygen Converter, in: 2019 XXI International Conference Complex Systems: Control and Modeling Problems (CSCMP), IEEE, Samara, Russia.

- pp. 108–111. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8976655/>, doi:10.1109/CSCMP45713.2019.8976655.
- Choi, J.S., Choi, S.W., Lee, E.B., 2023. Modeling of Predictive Maintenance Systems for Laser-Welders in Continuous Galvanizing Lines Based on Machine Learning with Welder Control Data. *Sustainability* 15, 7676. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/9/7676>, doi:10.3390/su15097676.
- Choi, Y., Kwun, H., Kim, D., Lee, E., Bae, H., 2020. Method of Predictive Maintenance for Induction Furnace Based on Neural Network, in: 2020 IEEE International Conference on Big Data and Smart Computing (BigComp), IEEE, Busan, Korea (South). pp. 609–612. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9070715/>, doi:10.1109/BigComp48618.2020.00021.
- Das, A., 2020. Multivariate Statistical Process Monitoring Strategy for a Steel Making Shop, in: Deepak, B., Parhi, D., Jena, P.C. (Eds.), *Innovative Product Design and Intelligent Manufacturing Systems*. Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp. 971–978. URL: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-981-15-2696-1\\_94](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-981-15-2696-1_94), doi:10.1007/978-981-15-2696-1\_94.
- David, J., Švec, P., Frischer, R., 2012. Modelling of crystallizer wear. *Archives of Materials Science and Engineering* 58, 193–198.
- Debón, A., Carlos Garcia-Díaz, J., 2012. Fault diagnosis and comparing risk for the steel coil manufacturing process using statistical models for binary data. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 100, 102–114. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0951832012000026>, doi:10.1016/j.res.2011.12.022.
- Dehghan Marvasti, F., Samet, H., 2014. Fault detection in the secondary side of electric arc furnace transformer using the primary side data: FAULT DETECTION IN THE SECONDARY SIDE OF EAF TRANSFORMER. *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems* 24, 1419–1433. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/etep.1783>, doi:10.1002/etep.1783.
- Devlin, J., Chang, M.W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K., 2019. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. [arXiv:1810.04805](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805).
- Ding, C., Sun, J., Li, X., Peng, W., Zhang, D., 2023. A high-precision and transparent step-wise diagnostic framework for hot-rolled strip crown. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 71, 144–157. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0278612523001899>, doi:10.1016/j.jmsy.2023.09.007.
- Dinghui Zhang, Shuguang Dai, Youqin Zheng, Renjie Zhang, Ping'an Mu, 2000. Researches and application of a hybrid fault diagnosis expert system, in: *Proceedings of the 3rd World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation (Cat. No.00EX393)*, IEEE, Hefei, China. pp. 215–219. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/859951/>, doi:10.1109/WCICA.2000.859951.
- Dong, J., Wang, Y., Peng, K., 2022. A Novel Fault Detection Method Based on the Extraction of Slow Features for Dynamic Nonstationary Processes. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 71, 1–11. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9656813/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2021.3136260.
- Dong, Q.q., Qian, Q.t., Li, M., Xu, G., 2023. Monitoring and diagnosis of complex production process based on free energy of Gaussian–Bernoulli restricted Boltzmann machine. *Journal*

- of Iron and Steel Research International 30, 971–984. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s42243-022-00867-4>, doi:10.1007/s42243-022-00867-4.
- van Eck, N.J.v., Waltman, L., 2010. Software survey: Vosviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics* 84. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3>, doi:10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3.
- Egorova, E., Rudakova, I., Rusinov, L., Vorobjev, N., 2018. Diagnostics of sintering processes on the basis of PCA and two-level neural network model: DIAGNOSTICS OF SINTERING PROCESSES. *Journal of Chemometrics* 32, e2959. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cem.2959>, doi:10.1002/cem.2959.
- Emelianov, V., Chernyi, S., Zinchenko, A., Emelianova, N., Zinchenko, E., Chernobai, K., 2022. Information System for Diagnosing the Condition of the Complex Structures Based on Neural Networks. *Energies* 15, 2977. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1073/15/9/2977>, doi:10.3390/en15092977.
- Fagarasan, I., Taleb, S., Lesecq, S., Gentil, S., Stuecher, R., 2004. Signal-Based Diagnosis of Torsional Vibration of a Hot Rolling Mill Main Drive. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes* 37, 185–190. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1474667017310212>, doi:10.1016/S1474-6670(17)31021-2.
- Fan, S., Li, M.Z., Wang, J., 2017. Independent component analysis with application to hot galvanizing pickling waste liquor treatment process, in: 2017 36th Chinese Control Conference (CCC), IEEE, Dalian. pp. 7045–7050. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8028466/>, doi:10.23919/ChiCC.2017.8028466.
- Fan, S., Zhang, Y., 2018. Application of independent component analysis with semi-supervised Laplacian regularization kernel density estimation. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering* 96, 1327–1336. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cjce.23067>, doi:10.1002/cjce.23067.
- Farina, M., Osto, E., Perizzato, A., Piroddi, L., Scattolini, R., 2015. Fault detection and isolation of bearings in a drive reducer of a hot steel rolling mill. *Control Engineering Practice* 39, 35–44. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0967066115000283>, doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2015.02.001.
- Fleischanderl, S., Javurek, M., Putz, V., Hierzenberger, D., Holzer, H., Angeli, G., 2022. CNN-based crack detection in oxide layers of hot rolled steel sheet samples for the validation of a pickling process model, in: 2022 The 3rd European Symposium on Software Engineering, ACM, Rome Italy. pp. 133–141. URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3571697.3576937>, doi:10.1145/3571697.3576937.
- Fouka, A., Bousdekis, A., Lepenioti, K., Mentzas, G., 2021. Real-Time Equipment Health State Prediction with LSTM Networks and Bayesian Inference, in: *ADVANCED INFORMATION SYSTEMS ENGINEERING WORKSHOPS*, pp. 155–166. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-79022-6\_13.
- Fu, X., Han, J., Castle, M., Cao, K., 2023. Digital twin-driven vibration amplitude simulation for condition monitoring of axial blowers in blast furnace ironmaking. *Systems Science & Control Engineering* 11, 2152400. URL: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21642583.2022.2152400>, doi:10.1080/21642583.2022.2152400.



- Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R., 2021. Transitioning of steel producers to the steelworks 4.0 – literature review with case studies. *Energies* 14. URL: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85110495975&doi=10.3390%2fen14144109&partnerID=40&md5=0b47a58dfd18b70df66759a56b987643>, doi:10.3390/en14144109. cited by: 23; All Open Access, Gold Open Access.
- Ganin, Y., Ustinova, E., Ajakan, H., Germain, P., Larochelle, H., Laviolette, F., Marchand, M., Lempitsky, V., 2016. Domain-adversarial training of neural networks. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* 17, 2096–2030.
- Gao, D., Yang, C., Yang, B., Chen, Y., Deng, R., 2023. Minimax entropy-based co-training for fault diagnosis of blast furnace. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering* 59, 231–239. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1004954122004827>, doi:10.1016/j.cjche.2022.12.007.
- Gao, D., Zhu, X.Z., Yang, C., Huang, X., Wang, W., 2022. Deep weighted joint distribution adaptation network for fault diagnosis of blast furnace ironmaking process. *Computers & Chemical Engineering* 162, 107797. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0098135422001363>, doi:10.1016/j.compchemeng.2022.107797.
- Gao, L., Wu, L., Wang, Y., Wei, H., Ye, H., 2010. Intelligent fault diagnostic system based on RBR for the gearbox of rolling mills. *Frontiers of Mechanical Engineering in China* 5, 483–490. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11465-010-0118-6>, doi:10.1007/s11465-010-0118-6.
- Garcia-Beltran, C., Gentil, S., Stuecher, R., 2004. Causal-Based Diagnosis of a Hydraulic Looper. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes* 37, 173–178. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1474667017310194>, doi:10.1016/S1474-6670(17)31019-4.
- Gerz, F., Basturk, T.R., Kirchhoff, J., Denker, J., Al-Shrouf, L., Jelali, M., 2022. A Comparative Study and a New Industrial Platform for Decentralized Anomaly Detection Using Machine Learning Algorithms, in: *2022 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, IEEE, Padua, Italy. pp. 1–8. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9892939/>, doi:10.1109/IJCNN55064.2022.9892939.
- Goode, K.B., Moore, J., Roylance, B.J., 2000. Plant machinery working life prediction method utilizing reliability and condition-monitoring data. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part E: Journal of Process Mechanical Engineering* 214, 109–122. URL: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1243/0954408001530146>, doi:10.1243/0954408001530146.
- Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., Courville, A., 2016. *Deep Learning*. MIT Press. <http://www.deeplearningbook.org>.
- Grant, M.J., Booth, A., 2009. A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal* 26, 91–108. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>, arXiv:<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>.
- Guo, H., Sun, J., Yang, J., Peng, Y., 2023. Quality-Related Process Monitoring and Diagnosis of Hot-Rolled Strip Based on Weighted Statistical Feature KPLS. *Sensors* 23, 6038. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/23/13/6038>, doi:10.3390/s23136038.

- Han, H., Wang, J., Wang, X., Chen, S., 2022a. Construction and Evolution of Fault Diagnosis Knowledge Graph in Industrial Process. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 71, 1–12. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9863829/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2022.3200429.
- Han, S., Sun, S., Zhao, Z., Luan, Z., Niu, P., 2024. Deep residual multiscale convolutional neural network with attention mechanism for bearing fault diagnosis under strong noise environment. *IEEE Sensors Journal* 24, 9073–9081. doi:10.1109/JSEN.2023.3345400.
- Han, Y., Li, Q., Wang, C., Zhao, Q., 2022b. A novel knowledge enhanced graph neural networks for fault diagnosis with application to blast furnace process safety. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 166, 143–157. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0957582022006930>, doi:10.1016/j.psep.2022.08.014.
- Han, Z., Shi, H., Liu, Y., Ba, L., Yuan, Q., Yang, M., Gao, X., 2021. Framework for remaining useful life prediction of casting roller in continuous casting machine based on digital twin, in: 2021 IEEE International Conference on Software Engineering and Artificial Intelligence (SEAI), IEEE, Xiamen, China. pp. 17–22. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9477527/>, doi:10.1109/SEAI52285.2021.9477527.
- Hao, Z., Wang, Z., Bai, D., Tao, B., Tong, X., Chen, B., 2022. Intelligent Detection of Steel Defects Based on Improved Split Attention Networks. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology* 9, 810876. URL: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2021.810876/full>, doi:10.3389/fbioe.2021.810876.
- Hasan, M.J., Sohaib, M., Kim, J.M., 2021. An explainable ai-based fault diagnosis model for bearings. *Sensors* 21. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/12/4070>, doi:10.3390/s21124070.
- Hasselt, H.v., Guez, A., Silver, D., 2016. Deep reinforcement learning with double q-learning, in: *Proceedings of the Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, AAAI Press. p. 2094–2100.
- Hirata, T., Kawahara, Y., Sugiyama, M., Asano, K., 2015. A fault detection technique for the steel manufacturing process based on a normal pattern library. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 48, 871–876. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2405896315017656>, doi:10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.09.636.
- Hojjati, H., Sadeghi, M., Armanfard, N., 2023. Multivariate time-series anomaly detection with temporal self-supervision and graphs: Application to vehicle failure prediction, in: De Francisci Morales, G., Perlich, C., Ruchansky, N., Kourtellis, N., Baralis, E., Bonchi, F. (Eds.), *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases: Applied Data Science and Demo Track*, Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham. pp. 242–259.
- Holappa, L., 2020. A general vision for reduction of energy consumption and co2 emissions from the steel industry. *Metals* 10. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4701/10/9/1117>, doi:10.3390/met10091117.
- Holloway, L., Paul, C., Strosnider, J., Krogh, B., 1991. Integration of behavioral fault-detection models and an intelligent reactive scheduler, in: *Proceedings of the 1991 IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Control*, IEEE, Arlington, VA, USA. pp. 134–139. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/187346/>, doi:10.1109/ISIC.1991.187346.

- Ince, T., Kiranyaz, S., Eren, L., Askar, M., Gabbouj, M., 2016. Real-time motor fault detection by 1-d convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* 63, 7067–7075. doi:[10.1109/TIE.2016.2582729](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIE.2016.2582729).
- Jakubowski, J., Stanisz, P., Bobek, S., Nalepa, G.J., 2021a. Anomaly Detection in Asset Degradation Process Using Variational Autoencoder and Explanations. *Sensors* 22, 291. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/1/291>, doi:[10.3390/s22010291](https://doi.org/10.3390/s22010291).
- Jakubowski, J., Stanisz, P., Bobek, S., Nalepa, G.J., 2021b. Explainable anomaly detection for Hot-rolling industrial process, in: 2021 IEEE 8th International Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics (DSAA), IEEE, Porto, Portugal. pp. 1–10. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9564228/>, doi:[10.1109/DSAA53316.2021.9564228](https://doi.org/10.1109/DSAA53316.2021.9564228).
- Jakubowski, J., Stanisz, P., Bobek, S., Nalepa, G.J., 2022. Roll Wear Prediction in Strip Cold Rolling with Physics-Informed Autoencoder and Counterfactual Explanations, in: 2022 IEEE 9th International Conference on Data Science and Advanced Analytics (DSAA), IEEE, Shenzhen, China. pp. 1–10. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10032357/>, doi:[10.1109/DSAA54385.2022.10032357](https://doi.org/10.1109/DSAA54385.2022.10032357).
- Ji, J., Zhao, C., Wang, Y., Zhao, T., Zhang, X., 2021. A Fault Diagnosis Method of Rolling Mill Bearing at Low Frequency and Overload Condition Based on Integration of EEMD and GA-DBN. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2021, 1–13. URL: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/2021/2502476/>, doi:[10.1155/2021/2502476](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/2502476).
- Jiang, G., Jiang, L., Shang, C., 2019. Research on blast furnace stability evaluation model based on neural networks using dynamic sampling approach. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1325, 012072. URL: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1325/1/012072>, doi:[10.1088/1742-6596/1325/1/012072](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1325/1/012072).
- Jiang, Y., Yin, S., 2017. Recent results on key performance indicator oriented fault detection using the DB-KIT toolbox, in: *IECON 2017 - 43rd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, IEEE, Beijing. pp. 7103–7108. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8217242/>, doi:[10.1109/IECON.2017.8217242](https://doi.org/10.1109/IECON.2017.8217242).
- Jiang, Y., Yin, S., Kaynak, O., 2021. Optimized Design of Parity Relation-Based Residual Generator for Fault Detection: Data-Driven Approaches. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 17, 1449–1458. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9069263/>, doi:[10.1109/TII.2020.2987840](https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2020.2987840).
- Jianliang, S., Mengqian, S., Hesong, G., Yan, P., Jiang, J., Lipu, X., 2020. Research on Edge Surface Warping Defect Diagnosis Based on Fusion Dimension Reduction Layer DBN and Contribution Plot Method. *Journal of Mechanics* 36, 889–899. URL: [https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1727719120000520/type/journal\\_article](https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S1727719120000520/type/journal_article), doi:[10.1017/jmech.2020.52](https://doi.org/10.1017/jmech.2020.52).
- Jiao, R., Peng, K., Dong, J., 2021. Remaining Useful Life Prediction for a Roller in a Hot Strip Mill Based on Deep Recurrent Neural Networks. *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica* 8, 1345–1354. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9444540/>, doi:[10.1109/JAS.2021.1004051](https://doi.org/10.1109/JAS.2021.1004051).
- Jin, F., Wu, H., Liu, Y., Zhao, J., Wang, W., 2023. Varying-scale HCA-DBSCAN-based anomaly detection method for multi-dimensional energy data in steel industry. *Information Sciences* 647, 119479. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0020025523010642>, doi:[10.1016/j.ins.2023.119479](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2023.119479).

- Jing, F., Feng, Z., Liu, H., Li, J., Song, Y., 2023a. Intelligent Process Accuracy Evaluation and Analysis System for Hot Strip Rolling, in: 2023 42nd Chinese Control Conference (CCC), IEEE, Tianjin, China. pp. 6891–6896. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10241083/>, doi:10.23919/CCC58697.2023.10241083.
- Jing, F.w., Chen, Z.y., Li, J., Guo, Q., 2023b. Correlation analysis between looper system and strip width narrowing in hot strip rolling. *International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM)* 17, 539–551. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12008-022-00940-y>, doi:10.1007/s12008-022-00940-y.
- Junichi, T., Yukinori, I., 2020. Condition Monitoring Technology for Steel Making Facilities Utilizing Data Science. *JFE Technical Report* , 39–44.
- Karagiorgou, S., Rountos, C., Chatzimarkaki, G., Vafeiadis, G., Ntalaperas, D., Vergeti, D., Alexandrou, D., 2020. On Making Factories Smarter through Actionable Predictions based on Time-Series Data. *Procedia Manufacturing* 51, 1207–1214. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2351978920320266>, doi:10.1016/j.promfg.2020.10.169.
- Karagiorgou, S., Vafeiadis, G., Ntalaperas, D., Lykousas, N., Vergeti, D., Alexandrou, D., 2019. Unveiling Trends and Predictions in Digital Factories, in: 2019 15th International Conference on Distributed Computing in Sensor Systems (DCOSS), IEEE, Santorini Island, Greece. pp. 326–332. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8804845/>, doi:10.1109/DCOSS.2019.00073.
- Kim, J.C., Jung, S.W., Choi, J.B., Chang, Y.S., Kim, Y.J., Hwang, I.J., 2006. Development of a Neural Network-Based Real-Time Fatigue Monitoring System for the Heavy Load Carrying Facility. *Solid State Phenomena* 110, 201–212. URL: <https://www.scientific.net/SSP.110.201>, doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.110.201.
- Konishi, M., Nakano, K., 2010. Application of SVM method to operation diagnosis of hot strip rolling, in: 2010 International Conference on Modelling, Identification and Control, pp. 522 – 526.
- Kovačič, M., Mihevc, A., Terčelj, M., 2019. Roll wear modeling using genetic programming – industry case study. *materiali in tehnologije* 53, 319–325. URL: <http://mit.imt.si/Revija/izvodi/mit193/kovacic.pdf>, doi:10.17222/mit.2018.104.
- Krejcar, O., 2011. New Possibilities in Using of Neural Networks Library for Material Defect Detection Diagnosis, in: Kacprzyk, J., Katarzyniak, R., Chiu, T.F., Hong, C.F., Nguyen, N.T. (Eds.), *Semantic Methods for Knowledge Management and Communication*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg. volume 381, pp. 67–80. URL: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-23418-7\\_7](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-23418-7_7), doi:10.1007/978-3-642-23418-7\_7.
- Krot, P., Prykhodko, I., Raznosilin, V., Zimroz, R., 2020. Model Based Monitoring of Dynamic Loads and Remaining Useful Life Prediction in Rolling Mills and Heavy Machinery, in: Ball, A., Gelman, L., Rao, B.K.N. (Eds.), *Advances in Asset Management and Condition Monitoring*. Springer International Publishing, Cham. volume 166, pp. 399–416. URL: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-57745-2\\_34](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-57745-2_34), doi:10.1007/978-3-030-57745-2\_34.
- Kulkarni, M., Mahata, D., Arora, R., Bhowmik, R., 2022. Learning rich representation of keyphrases from text. [arXiv:2112.08547](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.08547).

- Kumar, A., Das, A., 2022. A nonlinear process monitoring strategy with a 2-phase fault diagnosis approach. *Engineering Research Express* 4, 025015. URL: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/2631-8695/ac65de>, doi:10.1088/2631-8695/ac65de.
- Lakshmanan, K., Borghini, E., Beckmann, A., Pleydell-Pearce, C., Giannetti, C., 2022. Data modelling and Remaining Useful Life estimation of rolls in a steel making cold rolling process. *Procedia Computer Science* 207, 1057–1066. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1877050922010432>, doi:10.1016/j.procs.2022.09.161.
- Latham, S., Giannetti, C., 2023. A Tool to Combine Expert Knowledge and Machine Learning for Defect Detection and Root Cause Analysis in a Hot Strip Mill. *SN Computer Science* 4, 628. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s42979-023-02104-5>, doi:10.1007/s42979-023-02104-5.
- Lebrún, C.A.V., Castañeda, R.S., Ortega, G.R., Lopez, J.M., Valdéz, J.L.C., Medina, H.F., 2013. Mathematical modelling of roll wear in a tandem mill hot strip rolling process. *International Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Optimisation* 4, 56. URL: <http://www.inderscience.com/link.php?id=51334>, doi:10.1504/IJMMNO.2013.051334.
- Lepenioti, K., Pertselakis, M., Bousdekis, A., Louca, A., Lampathaki, F., Apostolou, D., Mentzas, G., Anastasiou, S., 2020. Machine Learning for Predictive and Prescriptive Analytics of Operational Data in Smart Manufacturing, in: *ADVANCED INFORMATION SYSTEMS ENGINEERING WORKSHOPS*, pp. 5–16. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-49165-9\1.
- Li, D., Lu, J., Zhang, T., Ding, J., 2023a. Self-Supervised Learning and Multisource Heterogeneous Information Fusion Based Quality Anomaly Detection for Heavy-Plate Shape. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering* , 1–12 URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10103164/>, doi:10.1109/TASE.2023.3265649.
- Li, K., Zhang, T., Dong, W., Ye, H., 2023b. Abnormality Detection of Blast Furnace Iron-making Process Based on an Improved Diffusion Convolutional Gated Recurrent Unit Network. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 72, 1–12. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10268036/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2023.3320734.
- Li, L., Ding, S.X., 2020. Performance Supervised Fault Detection Schemes for Industrial Feedback Control Systems and their Data-Driven Implementation. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 16, 2849–2858. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8827307/>, doi:10.1109/TII.2019.2940099.
- Li, Q., Lan, J., Li, G., 2020. Research on Health Management Platform Based on Data Analysis. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1631, 012142. URL: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1631/1/012142>, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1631/1/012142.
- Li, Y., Jiang, Y., Wang, X., Zhou, J., Tang, X.Y., Yang, C., 2023c. Blast furnace state monitoring method based on reliability analysis of sensing system, in: *2023 2nd Conference on Fully Actuated System Theory and Applications (CFASTA)*, IEEE, Qingdao, China. pp. 931–936. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10243340/>, doi:10.1109/CFASTA57821.2023.10243340.
- Lian, P., Ning, N., Aiping, C., Yaobin, T., 2010. Fault Diagnosis of the Blast Furnace Based on the Bayesian Network Model, in: *2010 International Conference on Electrical and*

- Control Engineering, IEEE, Wuhan. pp. 990–993. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5630561/>, doi:10.1109/iCECE.2010.251.
- Lisounkin, A., Schreck, G., Paiuk, J., Muratori, F., Viale, M., Vigliocco, A., Cipriano, A.Z., Orchard, M.C., Kuchen, B., Lage, A., Rossomando, F., Schmidt, H.W., Galán, R., Jimenez, A., 2002. ADVANCED MODEL BASED PROCESS SUPERVISION IN HOT STEEL MILLING. IFAC Proceedings Volumes 35, 425–430. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1474667015384949>, doi:10.3182/20020721-6-ES-1901.00073.
- Liu, C., Zhang, K., 2023. An unsupervised anomaly detection approach using spatiotemporal feature in hot strip rolling, in: Ladaci, S., Kaswan, S. (Eds.), International Conference on Automation Control, Algorithm, and Intelligent Bionics (ACAIB 2023), SPIE, Xiamen, China. p. 114. URL: <https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie/12759/2686573/An-unsupervised-anomaly-detection-approach-using-spatiotemporal-feature-in-hot/> 10.1117/12.2686573.full, doi:10.1117/12.2686573.
- Liu, F.T., Ting, K.M., Zhou, Z., 2008. Isolation forest, in: 2008 Eighth IEEE International Conference on Data Mining, pp. 413–422. doi:10.1109/ICDM.2008.17.
- Liu, J., Xu, L., Xie, Y., Ma, T., Wang, J., Tang, Z., Gui, W., Yin, H., Jahanshahi, H., 2023. Toward Robust Fault Identification of Complex Industrial Processes Using Stacked Sparse-Denoising Autoencoder With Softmax Classifier. IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics 53, 428–442. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9546667/>, doi:10.1109/TCYB.2021.3109618.
- Liu, L., Wang, A., Sha, M., Sun, X., Li, Y., 2011a. Optional SVM for Fault Diagnosis of Blast Furnace with Imbalanced Data. ISIJ International 51, 1474–1479. URL: [http://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/51/9/51\\_9\\_1474/\\_article](http://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/51/9/51_9_1474/_article), doi:10.2355/isijinternational.51.1474.
- Liu, L.m., Wang, A.n., Sha, M., Zhao, F.y., 2011b. Multi-Class Classification Methods of Cost-Conscious LS-SVM for Fault Diagnosis of Blast Furnace. Journal of Iron and Steel Research International 18, 17–23. URL: [http://link.springer.com/10.1016/S1006-706X\(12\)60016-8](http://link.springer.com/10.1016/S1006-706X(12)60016-8), doi:10.1016/S1006-706X(12)60016-8.
- Liu, Q., Qin, S.J., Chai, T., 2014a. Multiblock Concurrent PLS for Decentralized Monitoring of Continuous Annealing Processes. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics 61, 6429–6437. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6729046/>, doi:10.1109/TIE.2014.2303781.
- Liu, Q., Qin, S.J., Chai, T., 2014b. Quality-Relevant Monitoring and Diagnosis with Dynamic Concurrent Projection to Latent Structures. IFAC Proceedings Volumes 47, 2740–2745. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1474667016420240>, doi:10.3182/20140824-6-ZA-1003.02329.
- Liu, Q., Zhu, Q., Qin, S.J., Chai, T., 2018a. Dynamic concurrent kernel CCA for strip-thickness relevant fault diagnosis of continuous annealing processes. Journal of Process Control 67, 12–22. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0959152416301639>, doi:10.1016/j.jprocont.2016.11.009.
- Liu, S., Hao, P., 2021. Anomaly detection of ladle driving force based on Temporal Convolutional Network, in: 2021 3rd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence

- and Advanced Manufacture, ACM, Manchester United Kingdom. pp. 2063–2067. URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3495018.3501050>, doi:10.1145/3495018.3501050.
- Liu, S., Zhao, Y., Li, X., Liu, X., Lyu, Q., Hao, L., 2021. An Online Sintering Batching System Based on Machine Learning and Intelligent Algorithm. *ISIJ International* 61, 2237–2248. URL: [https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/61/8/61\\_ISIJINT-2020-522/\\_article](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/61/8/61_ISIJINT-2020-522/_article), doi:10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2020-522.
- Liu, Y., Gao, Z., 2015. Enhanced just-in-time modelling for online quality prediction in BF ironmaking. *Ironmaking & Steelmaking* 42, 321–330. URL: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1179/1743281214Y.0000000229>, doi:10.1179/1743281214Y.0000000229.
- Liu, Y., Zeng, J., Xie, L., Luo, S., Su, H., 2018b. Structured Joint Sparse Principal Component Analysis for Fault Detection and Isolation, in: 2018 IEEE 7th Data Driven Control and Learning Systems Conference (DDCLS), IEEE, Enshi. pp. 777–782. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8516087/>, doi:10.1109/DDCLS.2018.8516087.
- Lou, S., Wu, P., Yang, C., Xu, Y., 2023a. Structured fault information-aided canonical variate analysis model for dynamic process monitoring. *Journal of Process Control* 124, 54–69. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0959152423000112>, doi:10.1016/j.jprocont.2023.01.011.
- Lou, S., Yang, C., Wu, P., Kong, L., Xu, Y., 2022. Fault Diagnosis of Blast Furnace Iron-Making Process With a Novel Deep Stationary Kernel Learning Support Vector Machine Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 71, 1–13. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9863658/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2022.3200113.
- Lou, S., Yang, C., Wu, P., Yang, Y., Kong, L., Zhang, X., 2023b. Data-Driven Joint Fault Diagnosis Based on RMK-ASSA and DBSKNet for Blast Furnace Iron-Making Process. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering* , 1–16 URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10159592/>, doi:10.1109/TASE.2023.3287578.
- Lu, X., Sun, J., Song, Z., Li, G., Wang, Z., Hu, Y., Wang, Q., Zhang, D., 2020. Prediction and analysis of cold rolling mill vibration based on a data-driven method. *Applied Soft Computing* 96, 106706. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S156849462030644X>, doi:10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106706.
- Lu, Y., Wang, F., Chang, Y., Jia, M., Zhu, M., 2013. PCA-SDG based Fault Diagnosis on CAPL Furnace Temperature System, in: 2013 25TH CHINESE CONTROL AND DECISION CONFERENCE (CCDC), pp. 3550–3554.
- Luk’yanov, S.I., Suspitsyn, E.S., Krasilnikov, S.S., Shvidchenko, D.V., 2015. Intelligent system for prediction of liquid metal breakouts under a mold of slab continuous casting machines. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 79, 1861–1868. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00170-015-6945-1>, doi:10.1007/s00170-015-6945-1.
- Lundberg, S.M., Lee, S.I., 2017. A unified approach to interpreting model predictions, in: Guyon, I., Luxburg, U.V., Bengio, S., Wallach, H., Fergus, R., Vishwanathan, S., Garnett, R. (Eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 30. Curran Associates, Inc., pp. 4765–4774.

- Ma, L., Dong, J., Hu, C., Peng, K., 2021a. A novel decentralized detection framework for quality-related faults in manufacturing industrial processes. *Neurocomputing* 428, 30–41. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0925231220318385>, doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2020.11.045.
- Ma, L., Dong, J., Peng, K., 2022a. A Novel Multilabel Classification Framework for Coupling Faults in Hot Rolling Processes. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology* 30, 877–884. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9422916/>, doi:10.1109/TCST.2021.3074427.
- Ma, L., Dong, J., Peng, K., 2022b. A Practical Root Cause Diagnosis Framework for Quality-Related Faults in Manufacturing Processes With Irregular Sampling Measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 71, 1–9. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9761920/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2022.3169531.
- Ma, L., Peng, K., Dong, J., Hu, C., 2021b. A novel semisupervised classification framework for coupling faults in hot rolling mill process. *ISA Transactions* 111, 376–386. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0019057820304699>, doi:10.1016/j.isatra.2020.10.066.
- Ma, T., Liu, S., Liu, J., Zheng, Z., Li, Z., Gui, W., 2023. Fault Diagnosis of Laminar Cooling Roller Motor Based on Morphological Recognition and Combination Patterns Mining of Multicurrent Signatures. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 72, 1–15. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10059133/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2023.3252623.
- Marcu, T., Koppen-Seliger, B., Stiicher, R., 2004. Hydraulic looper fault detection and isolation using dynamic neural networks, in: *IFAC Automation in Mining. Mineral and Metal Processing*, pp. 179–184. doi:10.1016/s1474-6670(17)31020-0.
- Marcu, T., Köppen-Seliger, B., Stücher, R., 2008. Design of fault detection for a hydraulic looper using dynamic neural networks. *Control Engineering Practice* 16, 192–213. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0967066106002218>, doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2006.11.017.
- Marsland, S., 2014. *Machine Learning: An Algorithmic Perspective*. Chapman and Hall/CRC. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1201/b17476>, doi:10.1201/b17476.
- Mashhadi, P.S., Nowaczyk, S., Pashami, S., 2020. Stacked ensemble of recurrent neural networks for predicting turbocharger remaining useful life. *Applied Sciences* 10. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/10/1/69>, doi:10.3390/app10010069.
- Meneganti, M., Saviello, F., Tagliaferri, R., 1998. Fuzzy neural networks for classification and detection of anomalies. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 9, 848–861. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/712157/>, doi:10.1109/72.712157.
- Mentouri, Z., Moussaoui, A., Boudjehem, D., Doghmane, H., 2020. Steel Strip Surface Defect Identification using Multiresolution Binarized Image Features. *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention* 20, 1917–1927. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11668-020-01012-7>, doi:10.1007/s11668-020-01012-7.
- Meyer, K., Mahalec, V., 2022. Anomaly detection methods for infrequent failures in resistive steel welding. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes* 75, 497–513. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1526612521008756>, doi:10.1016/j.jmapro.2021.12.003.



- Miyabe, Y., Biegl, C., Kawamura, K., 1988. Methodologies for a real-time intelligent supervisory system for a hot strip mill finisher, in: Proceedings of the first international conference on Industrial and engineering applications of artificial intelligence and expert systems - IEA/AIE '88, ACM Press, Tullahoma, Tennessee, United States. pp. 483–491. URL: <http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=51909.51964>, doi:10.1145/51909.51964.
- Mobley, R.K., 2002. An introduction to predictive maintenance. Elsevier.
- Neto, W.F., Cavalcante, C., Do, P., 2021. Deep Reinforcement Learning-Based Maintenance Decision-Making for a Steel Production Line, in: Proceedings of the 31st European Safety and Reliability Conference (ESREL 2021), Research Publishing Services. pp. 2611–2618. URL: <https://rpsonline.com.sg/proceedings/9789811820168/html/600.xml>, doi:10.3850/978-981-18-2016-8\_600-cd.
- NEU, 2013. Neu steel defects database. <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/kaustubhdikshit/neu-surface-defect-database>. Accessed: January 30, 2024.
- Nkonyana, T., Sun, Y., Twala, B., Dogo, E., 2019. Performance Evaluation of Data Mining Techniques in Steel Manufacturing Industry. Procedia Manufacturing 35, 623–628. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2351978919307292>, doi:10.1016/j.promfg.2019.06.004.
- Oh, J.S., Kim, H.E., 2015. A Case Study on Condition Monitoring Based on Statistical Feature for Coil Break on Tandem Cold Rolling, in: PROCEEDINGS OF THE 7TH WORLD CONGRESS ON ENGINEERING ASSET MANAGEMENT (WCEAM 2012), pp. 483–491. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-06966-1\43.
- Okoh, C., Roy, R., Mehnen, J., Redding, L., 2014. Overview of remaining useful life prediction techniques in through-life engineering services. Procedia CIRP 16, 158–163. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212827114001140>, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2014.02.006. product Services Systems and Value Creation. Proceedings of the 6th CIRP Conference on Industrial Product-Service Systems.
- Ouyang, H., Zeng, J., Li, Y., Luo, S., 2020. Fault detection and identification of blast furnace ironmaking process using the gated recurrent unit network. Processes 8. doi:10.3390/PR8040391.
- Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A., Brennan, S.E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J.M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M.M., Li, T., Loder, E.W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L.A., Stewart, L.A., Thomas, J., Tricco, A.C., Welch, V.A., Whiting, P., Moher, D., 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews 10. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4>, doi:10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4.
- Pan, J., Chen, J., Zi, Y., Yuan, J., Chen, B., He, Z., 2016a. Data-driven mono-component feature identification via modified nonlocal means and MEWT for mechanical drivetrain fault diagnosis. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 80, 533–552. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0888327016300905>, doi:10.1016/j.ymsp.2016.05.013.
- Pan, Y., Yang, C., An, R., Sun, Y., 2016b. Fault detection with improved principal component pursuit method. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems 157,

- 111–119. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0169743916301654>, doi:10.1016/j.chemolab.2016.07.003.
- Pan, Y., Yang, C., An, R., Sun, Y., 2018. Robust Principal Component Pursuit for Fault Detection in a Blast Furnace Process. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 57, 283–291. URL: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.iecr.7b03338>, doi:10.1021/acs.iecr.7b03338.
- Panagou, S., Fruggiero, F., Del Vecchio, C., Sarda, K., Menchetti, F., Piedimonte, L., Natale, O.R., Passariello, S., 2022a. Explorative hybrid digital twin framework for predictive maintenance in steel industry. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 55, 289–294. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2405896323000940>, doi:10.1016/j.ifacol.2023.01.087.
- Panagou, S., Fruggiero, F., Lerra, M., Vecchio, C.D., Menchetti, F., Piedimonte, L., Natale, O.R., Passariello, S., 2022b. Feature investigation with Digital Twin for predictive maintenance following a machine learning approach. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 55, 132–137. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2405896322001835>, doi:10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.04.182.
- Pashami, S., Nowaczyk, S., Fan, Y., Jakubowski, J., Paiva, N., Davari, N., Bobek, S., Jamshidi, S., Sarmadi, H., Alabdallah, A., Ribeiro, R.P., Veloso, B., Sayed Mouchaweh, M., Rajaoarisoa, L.H., Nalepa, G.J., Gama, J., 2023. Explainable predictive maintenance. *CoRR* abs/2306.05120. URL: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.05120>, doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2306.05120, arXiv:2306.05120.
- Peng, G., Huang, K., Wang, H., 2021. Dynamic multimode process monitoring using recursive GMM and KPCA in a hot rolling mill process. *Systems Science & Control Engineering* 9, 592–601. URL: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21642583.2021.1967220>, doi:10.1080/21642583.2021.1967220.
- Peng, K., Zhang, K., Dong, J., Yang, X., 2014a. A new data-driven process monitoring scheme for key performance indicators with application to hot strip mill process. *Journal of the Franklin Institute* 351, 4555–4569. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S001600321400177X>, doi:10.1016/j.jfranklin.2014.06.006.
- Peng, K., Zhang, K., He, X., Li, G., Yang, X., 2014b. New kernel independent and principal components analysis-based process monitoring approach with application to hot strip mill process. *IET Control Theory & Applications* 8, 1723–1731. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/iet-cta.2013.0691>, doi:10.1049/iet-cta.2013.0691.
- Peng, K., Zhang, K., You, B., Dong, J., 2015. Quality-related prediction and monitoring of multi-mode processes using multiple PLS with application to an industrial hot strip mill. *Neurocomputing* 168, 1094–1103. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0925231215006323>, doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2015.05.014.
- Peng, K., Zhang, K., You, B., Dong, J., Wang, Z., 2016. A Quality-Based Nonlinear Fault Diagnosis Framework Focusing on Industrial Multimode Batch Processes. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* , 1–1URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7390061/>, doi:10.1109/TIE.2016.2520906.
- Peng, R., Zhang, X., Shi, P., 2022. Multi-Representation Domain Adaptation Network with Duplex Adversarial Learning for Hot-Rolling Mill Fault Diagnosis. *Entropy* 25, 83. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/25/1/83>, doi:10.3390/e25010083.

- Peng, Y., Wang, C., Hao, Y., Zhen, L., Chen, G., Kumar, N., Yu, K., 2023. High-Precision Surface Crack Detection for Rolling Steel Production Equipment in ICPS. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 1–1 URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10210205/>, doi:10.1109/JIOT.2023.3302317.
- Prytz, R., Nowaczyk, S., Rögnvaldsson, T., Byttner, S., 2015. Predicting the need for vehicle compressor repairs using maintenance records and logged vehicle data. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 41, 139–150. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0952197615000391>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2015.02.009>.
- Puttinger, S., Stocker, H., 2019. Improving Blast Furnace Raceway Blockage Detection. Part 1: Classification of Blockage Events and Processing Framework. *ISIJ International* 59, 466–473. URL: [https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/59/3/59\\_ISIJINT-2018-530/\\_article](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/59/3/59_ISIJINT-2018-530/_article), doi:10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2018-530.
- Pérez, D., García-Fernández, F.J., Díaz, I., Cuadrado, A.A., Ordonez, D.G., Díez, A.B., Domínguez, M., 2013. Visual analysis of a cold rolling process using a dimensionality reduction approach. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 26, 1865–1871. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0952197613000961>, doi:10.1016/j.engappai.2013.05.009.
- Qian, G., Liu, J., 2022. Development of deep reinforcement learning-based fault diagnosis method for rotating machinery in nuclear power plants. *Progress in Nuclear Energy* 152, 104401. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S014919702200275X>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnucene.2022.104401>.
- Qiang Liu, Tianyou Chai, Hong Wang, Si-Zhao Joe Qin, 2011. Data-Based Hybrid Tension Estimation and Fault Diagnosis of Cold Rolling Continuous Annealing Processes. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 22, 2284–2295. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6026953/>, doi:10.1109/TNN.2011.2167686.
- Qing Liu, Feng Zhang, Liu, M., Shen, W., 2016. A fault prediction method based on modified Genetic Algorithm using BP neural network algorithm, in: 2016 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), IEEE, Budapest, Hungary. pp. 004614–004619. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7844959/>, doi:10.1109/SMC.2016.7844959.
- Raducan, E., Nicolau, V., Andrei, M., Petrea, G., Vlej, G., 2020. Prediction algorithms using specialized software tools for steel industry equipment, in: 2020 IEEE 26th International Symposium for Design and Technology in Electronic Packaging (SIITME), IEEE, Pitesti, Romania. pp. 174–177. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9292146/>, doi:10.1109/SIITME50350.2020.9292146.
- Ray, A.K., 1991. Equipment fault diagnosis—A neural network approach. *Computers in Industry* 16, 169–177. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S016636159190088Q>, doi:10.1016/0166-3615(91)90088-Q.
- Ray, A.K., Murty, M.S.S.N., 1989. Artificial intelligence techniques applied to maintenance management, in: Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on Industrial and engineering applications of artificial intelligence and expert systems - Volume 1, ACM, Tullahoma Tennessee USA. pp. 112–118. URL: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/66617.66632>, doi:10.1145/66617.66632.

- Ruiz-Sarmiento, J.R., Monroy, J., Moreno, F.A., Galindo, C., Bonelo, J.M., Gonzalez-Jimenez, J., 2020. A predictive model for the maintenance of industrial machinery in the context of industry 4.0. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 87, 103289. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0952197619302489>, doi:10.1016/j.engappai.2019.103289.
- Russo, L., Sarda, K., Glielmo, L., Acernese, A., 2021. Fault Detection and Diagnosis in Steel Industry: a One Class-Support Vector Machine Approach, in: *2021 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*, IEEE, Melbourne, Australia. pp. 2304–2309. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9659069/>, doi:10.1109/SMC52423.2021.9659069.
- Saci, A., Al-Dweik, A., Shami, A., 2021. Autocorrelation Integrated Gaussian Based Anomaly Detection using Sensory Data in Industrial Manufacturing. *IEEE Sensors Journal* 21, 9231–9241. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9328839/>, doi:10.1109/JSEN.2021.3053039.
- Sarda, K., Acernese, A., Nole, V., Manfredi, L., Greco, L., Glielmo, L., Vecchio, C.D., 2021. A Multi-Step Anomaly Detection Strategy Based on Robust Distances for the Steel Industry. *IEEE Access* 9, 53827–53837. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9393875/>, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3070659.
- Schoen, J., 2007. Integrated steel mill in the netherlands. URL: <https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/a/aa/Hoogovens.JPG>. licensed under CC BY 2.5.
- Schölkopf, B., Williamson, R., Smola, A., Shawe-Taylor, J., Platt, J., 1999. Support vector method for novelty detection, in: *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA. p. 582–588.
- Selcuk, S., 2017. Predictive maintenance, its implementation and latest trends. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture* 231, 1670–1679.
- Serradilla, O., Zugasti, E., Ramirez de Okariz, J., Rodriguez, J., Zurutuza, U., 2021. Adaptable and explainable predictive maintenance: Semi-supervised deep learning for anomaly detection and diagnosis in press machine data. *Applied Sciences* 11, 7376.
- Severson, K., Chaiwatanodom, P., Braatz, R.D., 2015. Perspectives on process monitoring of industrial systems. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 48, 931–939. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405896315017759>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.09.646>. 9th IFAC Symposium on Fault Detection, Supervision and Safety for Technical Processes SAFEPROCESS 2015.
- Severstal, 2019. Severstal steel defect detection. <https://www.kaggle.com/c/severstal-steel-defect-detection>. Accessed: January 30, 2024.
- Sha, X., Luo, C., Diao, N., 2022. A Robust Fault Detection Method Based on Neighborhood Preserving Embedding. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 71, 1–12. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9933879/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2022.3218557.
- Shang, J., Chen, M., Ji, H., Zhou, D., Zhang, H., Li, M., 2017. Dominant trend based logistic regression for fault diagnosis in nonstationary processes. *Control Engineering Practice* 66, 156–168. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0967066117301375>, doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2017.06.011.

- Shi, P., Gao, H., Yu, Y., Xu, X., Han, D., 2022a. Intelligent fault diagnosis of rolling mills based on dual attention-guided deep learning method under imbalanced data conditions. *Measurement* 204, 111993. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0263224122011897>, doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2022.111993.
- Shi, P., Yu, Y., Gao, H., Hua, C., 2022b. A novel multi-source sensing data fusion driven method for detecting rolling mill health states under imbalanced and limited datasets. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 171, 108903. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0888327022000905>, doi:10.1016/j.ymsp.2022.108903.
- Shigemori, H., 2013. Quality and Operation Management System for Steel Products through Multivariate Statistical Process Control, in: *WORLD CONGRESS ON ENGINEERING - WCE 2013, VOL I*, pp. 305–310.
- Shin, K.Y., Kwon, W.K., 2018. Development of smart condition monitoring and diagnosis system for tandem cold rolling mills in iron and steel manufacturing processes, in: *2018 18th International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS)*, pp. 1568–1572.
- Shiyan, A., Druzhinin, N., Karandaeva, O., Sarlybaev, A., Antropova, L., 2019. Vibration-Based Monitoring of Electrotechnical Systems of an Iron-and-Steel Enterprise, in: *2019 International Ural Conference on Electrical Power Engineering (UralCon)*, IEEE, Chelyabinsk, Russia. pp. 443–447. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8877675/>, doi:10.1109/URALCON.2019.8877675.
- Simić, D., Svirčević, V., Simić, S., 2014. An Approach of Steel Plates Fault Diagnosis in Multiple Classes Decision Making, in: Hutchison, D., Kanade, T., Kittler, J., Kleinberg, J.M., Kobsa, A., Mattern, F., Mitchell, J.C., Naor, M., Nierstrasz, O., Pandu Rangan, C., Steffen, B., Terzopoulos, D., Tygar, D., Weikum, G., Polycarpou, M., De Carvalho, A.C.P.L.F., Pan, J.S., Woźniak, M., Quintian, H., Corchado, E. (Eds.), *Hybrid Artificial Intelligence Systems*. Springer International Publishing, Cham. volume 8480, pp. 86–97. URL: [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-07617-1\\_8](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-07617-1_8), doi:10.1007/978-3-319-07617-1\_8.
- Simon, C., Theilliol, D., Khelassi, A., Weber, P., 2021. Health State Diagnosis and Prognostics based on Hidden Markov Model on ArcelorMittal’s galvanizing line. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 54, 61–66. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2405896321014531>, doi:10.1016/j.ifacol.2021.10.051.
- Slany, W., Vascak, J., 1996. A consistency checker for a fuzzy diagnosis system applied to warm rolling-mills in steelmaking plants, in: *Proceedings of IEEE 5th International Fuzzy Systems*, IEEE, New Orleans, LA, USA. pp. 206–212. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/551743/>, doi:10.1109/FUZZY.1996.551743.
- Song, K., Yan, Y., 2013. A noise robust method based on completed local binary patterns for hot-rolled steel strip surface defects. *Applied Surface Science* 285, 858–864. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169433213016437>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2013.09.002>.
- Song, L., Xu, D., Wang, X., Yang, Q., Ji, Y., 2022. Application of machine learning to predict and diagnose for hot-rolled strip crown. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 120, 881–890. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00170-022-08825-w>, doi:10.1007/s00170-022-08825-w.

- Spirin, N.A., Gurin, I.A., Istomin, A.S., 2020. Expert system of diagnostics blast furnace process. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 866, 012043. URL: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/866/1/012043>, doi:10.1088/1757-899X/866/1/012043.
- Straat, M., Koster, K., Goet, N., Bunte, K., 2022. An Industry 4.0 example: real-time quality control for steel-based mass production using Machine Learning on non-invasive sensor data, in: 2022 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), IEEE, Padua, Italy. pp. 01–08. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9892432/>, doi:10.1109/IJCNN55064.2022.9892432.
- Sun, T.Q., Liu, R., Xie, C.X., 2012. The Research of Blast Furnace Blower Shaft Fault Diagnosis Based on Wavelet Analysis. Applied Mechanics and Materials 263-266, 255–260. URL: <https://www.scientific.net/AMM.263-266.255>, doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.263-266.255.
- Sun, Y., An, Y., 2009a. Research of fault diagnosis system for pickling and cold-rolling electric drives based on BP neural network, in: 2009 International Conference on Test and Measurement, pp. 161–164. doi:10.1109/ICTM.2009.5412971.
- Sun, Y., An, Y., 2009b. Research of on-line monitoring and fault diagnosis system for cold-rolling based on RBF neural network, in: 2009 International Conference on Test and Measurement, pp. 165–168. doi:10.1109/ICTM.2009.5412972.
- Surucu, O., Gadsden, S.A., Yawney, J., 2023. Condition monitoring using machine learning: A review of theory, applications, and recent advances. Expert Systems with Applications 221, 119738. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417423002397>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.119738>.
- Taghiyarrenani, Z., Nowaczyk, S., Pashami, S., Bouguelia, M.R., 2023. Multi-domain adaptation for regression under conditional distribution shift. Expert Systems with Applications 224, 119907. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0957417423004086>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.119907>.
- Tan, S., Wang, F., Chang, Y., Chen, W., Xu, J., 2010. Fault Detection and Diagnosis of Nonlinear Processes Based on Kernel ICA-KCCA, in: 2010 CHINESE CONTROL AND DECISION CONFERENCE, VOLS 1-5, pp. 3869+. doi:10.1109/CCDC.2010.5498466.
- Thomas, D., 2018. The costs and benefits of advanced maintenance in manufacturing. doi:<https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.AMS.100-18>.
- Tian, H., Wang, A., 2010. A Novel Fault Diagnosis System for Blast Furnace Based on Support Vector Machine Ensemble. ISIJ International 50, 738–742. URL: [http://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/50/5/50\\_738/\\_article](http://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/50/5/50_738/_article), doi:10.2355/isijinternational.50.738.
- Tiensuu, H., Tamminen, S., Haapala, O., Röning, J., 2020. Intelligent methods for root cause analysis behind the center line deviation of the steel strip. Open Engineering 10, 386–393. URL: <https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.1515/eng-2020-0041/html>, doi:10.1515/eng-2020-0041.
- for Internal Policies of the Union., E.P.D.G., Trinomics., 2021. Moving towards zero-emission steel: technologies available, prospects, timeline and costs. Publications Office. URL: <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2861/60524>, doi:10.2861/60524.

- Wakiru, J.M., Pintelon, L., Muchiri, P.N., Chemweno, P.K., 2019. A review on lubricant condition monitoring information analysis for maintenance decision support. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 118, 108–132. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0888327018305788>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2018.08.039>.
- Wang, A., Liu, Z., Tao, R., 2010. Fault diagnosis of blast furnace based on improved binary-tree SVMs, in: 2010 World Automation Congress, pp. 1–7.
- Wang, A., Sha, M., Liu, L., Chu, M., 2015a. A New Process Industry Fault Diagnosis Algorithm Based on Ensemble Improved Binary-Tree SVM. *Chinese Journal of Electronics* 24, 258–262. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/cje.2015.04.006>, doi:[10.1049/cje.2015.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1049/cje.2015.04.006).
- Wang, B., Mao, Z., 2018. Detecting Outliers in Electric Arc Furnace under the Condition of Unlabeled, Imbalanced, Non-stationary and Noisy Data. *Measurement and Control* 51, 83–93. URL: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0020294018771097>, doi:[10.1177/0020294018771097](https://doi.org/10.1177/0020294018771097).
- Wang, B., Mao, Z., Huang, K., 2018. A prediction and outlier detection scheme of molten steel temperature in ladle furnace. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* 138, 229–247. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0263876218304301>, doi:[10.1016/j.cherd.2018.08.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2018.08.030).
- Wang, F., Tan, S., Peng, J., Chang, Y., 2012. Process monitoring based on mode identification for multi-mode process with transitions. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems* 110, 144–155. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0169743911002279>, doi:[10.1016/j.chemolab.2011.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2011.10.013).
- Wang, H., Lan, J., Han, S., Tao, H., 2021a. Research on TPC system fault diagnosis method based on the multi-layer ATT-GRU network model, in: Mou, J., Chen, S. (Eds.), *International Conference on Green Communication, Network, and Internet of Things (GCNIoT 2021)*, SPIE, Kunming, China. p. 31. URL: <https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie/12085/2625048/Research-on-TPC-system-fault-diagnosis-method-based-on-the/10.1117/12.2625048.full>, doi:[10.1117/12.2625048](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2625048).
- Wang, Q., Peng, K., 2023. Integrated spatio-temporal process monitoring and fault spatial location for the laminar cooling of hot-rolled strip steel. *IET Control Theory & Applications*, cth2.12512 URL: <https://ietresearch.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/cth2.12512>, doi:[10.1049/cth2.12512](https://doi.org/10.1049/cth2.12512).
- Wang, S., Yuan, Y., Liang, J., Zhang, Z., 2022a. Design of Intelligent Monitoring System for Blast Furnace Hearth Erosion, in: 2022 41ST CHINESE CONTROL CONFERENCE (CCC), pp. 5817–5822.
- Wang, W., Wang, B., Mao, Z., Song, Y., 2015b. Approach of outlier detection in process control system, in: *The 27th Chinese Control and Decision Conference (2015 CCDC)*, IEEE, Qingdao, China. pp. 4350–4354. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7162650/>, doi:[10.1109/CCDC.2015.7162650](https://doi.org/10.1109/CCDC.2015.7162650).
- Wang, X., Wu, P., Pan, H., 2022b. Blast Furnace Ironmaking Process Fault Detection Using Canonical Variate Analysis and Support Vector Data Description, in: 2022 34th Chinese Control and Decision Conference (CCDC), IEEE, Hefei, China.

- pp. 224–229. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10033570/>, doi:10.1109/CCDC55256.2022.10033570.
- Wang, X.Y., Gao, Z.Y., Xin, Y.L., 2023. Multi-step-ahead prediction of cold rolling chatter state based on the combination of Functional Data Analysis and General Autoregression Model. *SN Applied Sciences* 5, 137. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s42452-023-05353-4>, doi:10.1007/s42452-023-05353-4.
- Wang, Z., Sun, J., Lu, X., Hu, Y., Zhang, D., 2021b. SAE-CCA-based Fault Detection of Tandem Cold Rolling, in: 2021 33rd Chinese Control and Decision Conference (CCDC), IEEE, Kunming, China. pp. 6773–6778. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9601870/>, doi:10.1109/CCDC52312.2021.9601870.
- Wang, Z., Wang, J., Chen, S., 2020. Fault Location of Strip Steel Surface Quality Defects on Hot-Rolling Production Line Based on Information Fusion of Historical Cases and Process Data. *IEEE Access* 8, 171240–171251. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9200352/>, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3024582.
- Weber, A., Denker, J., Jelali, M., 2022. A learning procedure for detection of process anomalies in the production of metal long products and a new industrial case study. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* 55, 325–330. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2405896323001003>, doi:10.1016/j.ifacol.2023.01.093.
- Weng, X., Xu, X., Wang, L., Zhang, Z., Zeng, J., Shen, X., 2023. Online detection method for abnormal states of blast furnace system based on memory and forgetting strategies, in: 2023 2nd Conference on Fully Actuated System Theory and Applications (CFASTA), IEEE, Qingdao, China. pp. 455–460. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10243275/>, doi:10.1109/CFASTA57821.2023.10243275.
- Wu, H., Jin, F., Zhao, J., Wang, W., 2021. Anomaly detection method based on multi-criteria evaluation for energy data of steel industry, in: 2021 IEEE 10th Data Driven Control and Learning Systems Conference (DDCLS), IEEE, Suzhou, China. pp. 741–747. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9455470/>, doi:10.1109/DDCLS52934.2021.9455470.
- Wu, W., Zhang, F., Liu, M., Shen, W., 2014. A multi-agent based failure prediction method using neural network algorithm, in: 2014 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC), IEEE, San Diego, CA, USA. pp. 2268–2272. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6974263>, doi:10.1109/SMC.2014.6974263.
- Wu, X., Kang, H., Yuan, S., Jiang, W., Gao, Q., Mi, J., 2023. Anomaly Detection of Liquid Level in Mold during Continuous Casting by Using Forecasting and Error Generation. *Applied Sciences* 13, 7457. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/13/7457>, doi:10.3390/app13137457.
- Xi, X., Zhou, D., Chen, M., Balakrishnan, N., 2020. Remaining useful life prediction for fractional degradation processes under varying modes. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering* 98, 1351–1364. URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cjce.23666>, doi:10.1002/cjce.23666.
- Xiao, X., Zhou, J., Xia, Y., Gao, X., Peng, Q., 2023. A Novel Multi-Domain Adaptation-Based Method for Blast Furnace Anomaly Detection. *International Journal of Web Services Research* 20, 1–14. URL: <https://services.igi-global.com/resolvedoi/resolve.aspx?doi=10.4018/IJWSR.326753>, doi:10.4018/IJWSR.326753.



- Xie, S., Yang, C., Gao, D., 2021. Fault Detection Method for Blast Furnace Based on Small-sample Fault Data Generation, in: 2021 China Automation Congress (CAC), IEEE, Beijing, China. pp. 4595–4600. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9728326/>, doi:10.1109/CAC53003.2021.9728326.
- Xing, H.J., Li, L.F., 2020. Robust least squares one-class support vector machine. Pattern Recognition Letters 138, 571–578. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167865520303378>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2020.09.005>.
- Xu, E., Zou, F., Shan, P., 2023. A multi-stage fault prediction method of continuous casting machine based on Weibull distribution and deep learning. Alexandria Engineering Journal 77, 165–175. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1110016823005537>, doi:10.1016/j.aej.2023.06.079.
- Xu, Q., Dong, J., Peng, K., Yang, X., 2024. A novel method of neural network model predictive control integrated process monitoring and applications to hot rolling process. Expert Systems with Applications 237, 121682. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S095741742302184X>, doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2023.121682.
- Xu, X., Lu, Y., Vogel-Heuser, B., Wang, L., 2021. Industry 4.0 and industry 5.0—inception, conception and perception. Journal of Manufacturing Systems 61, 530–535. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0278612521002119>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.10.006>.
- Yan, Z., Xu, F., Tan, J., Liu, H., Liang, B., 2023. Reinforcement learning-based integrated active fault diagnosis and tracking control. ISA Transactions 132, 364–376. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0019057822003299>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isatra.2022.06.020>.
- Yang, J., Chen, Z., Liu, Y., Ryan, M., 2021a. Sliding Window Filter Based Strip Breakage Modelling for Failure Prediction, in: 2021 IEEE 17th International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE), IEEE, Lyon, France. pp. 1461–1466. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9551660/>, doi:10.1109/CASE49439.2021.9551660.
- Yang, L., Hu, H., Yang, S., Wang, S., Chen, F., Guo, Y., 2023a. Life cycle carbon footprint of electric arc furnace steelmaking processes under different smelting modes in china. Sustainable Materials and Technologies 35, e00564. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214993722001786>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susmat.2022.e00564>.
- Yang, L., Li, X., Liu, Y., 2023b. A novel vision-based defect detection method for hot-rolled steel strips via multi-branch network. Multimedia Tools and Applications URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11042-023-15753-8>, doi:10.1007/s11042-023-15753-8.
- Yang, R.A.C., Zhou, Z., Wang, L., Pan, Y., 2015. Comparison of Different Optimization Methods with Support Vecto Machine for Blast Furnace Multi-Fault Classification. IFAC-PapersOnLine 48, 1204–1209. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2405896315018194>, doi:10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.09.690.
- Yang, S., Rebmann, A., Tang, M., Moravec, R., Behrmann, D., Baird, M., Bequette, B.W., 2021b. Process monitoring using causal graphical models, with application to clogging detection in steel continuous casting. Journal of Process Control 105, 259–266.

- URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0959152421001311>, doi:10.1016/j.jprocont.2021.08.006.
- Yang, S., Widodo, A., Caesarendra, W., Oh, J., Shim, M., Kim, S., Yang, B., Lee, W., 2009. Support vector machine and discrete wavelet transform method for strip rupture detection based on transient current signal, in: *Engineering Asset Lifecycle Management*, pp. 671 – 678.
- Yemelyanov, V., Chernyi, S., Yemelyanova, N., Varadarajan, V., 2021. Application of neural networks to forecast changes in the technical condition of critical production facilities. *Computers & Electrical Engineering* 93, 107225. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0045790621002159>, doi:10.1016/j.compeleceng.2021.107225.
- Yi, J., Zeng, P., 2009. Analysis of two neural networks in the intelligent faults diagnosis of metallurgic fan machinery. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)* 5553 LNCS, 755 – 761. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-01513-7\_82.
- Yingwei Zhang, Jiayu An, Chi Ma, 2013. Fault Detection of Non-Gaussian Processes Based on Model Migration. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology* 21, 1517–1526. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6320620/>, doi:10.1109/TCST.2012.2217966.
- Yu, X., Tan, C., 2022. China’s pathway to carbon neutrality for the iron and steel industry. *Global Environmental Change* 76, 102574. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959378022001121>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2022.102574>.
- Yuan, T., Sun, W., Chen, S., Wu, Z., Chao, L., He, A., 2023. Fatigue-Damage Prediction Model of Backup Roll of Hot Strip Mills and its Applications. *Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention* 23, 880–893. URL: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11668-023-01630-x>, doi:10.1007/s11668-023-01630-x.
- Zhai, C., Sheng, X., Xiong, W., 2020. Multi-Block Fault Detection for Plant-Wide Dynamic Processes Based on Fault Sensitive Slow Features and Support Vector Data Description. *IEEE Access* 8, 120737–120745. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9130672/>, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3006282.
- Zhai, S., Kandemir, M.G., Reinhart, G., 2021. Predictive maintenance integrated production scheduling by applying deep generative prognostics models: approach, formulation and solution. *Production Engineering* 16, 65–88. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11740-021-01064-0>, doi:10.1007/s11740-021-01064-0.
- Zhang, C., Peng, K., Dong, J., 2021a. An extensible quality-related fault isolation framework based on dual broad partial least squares with application to the hot rolling process. *Expert Systems with Applications* 167, 114166. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0957417420309040>, doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2020.114166.
- Zhang, C., Peng, K., Dong, J., Ma, L., Zhang, X., 2022. A Novel Fault Diagnosis Method Based on Multi-class Probabilistic SVDD, in: *2022 IEEE 11th Data Driven Control and Learning Systems Conference (DDCLS)*, IEEE, Chengdu, China. pp. 1062–1067. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9858547/>, doi:10.1109/DDCLS55054.2022.9858547.

- Zhang, C., Peng, K., Dong, J., Miao, L., 2023a. A comprehensive operating performance assessment framework based on distributed Siamese gated recurrent unit for hot strip mill process. *Applied Soft Computing* 132, 109889. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1568494622009383>, doi:10.1016/j.asoc.2022.109889.
- Zhang, C., Peng, K., Dong, J., Zhang, X., Yang, K., 2023b. A Robust Fault Classification Method for Streaming Industrial Data Based on Wasserstein Generative Adversarial Network and Semi-Supervised Ladder Network. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 72, 1–9. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10082975/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2023.3262249.
- Zhang, H., Chen, M., Xi, X., Zhou, D., 2017a. Remaining Useful Life Prediction for Degradation Processes With Long-Range Dependence. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 66, 1368–1379. URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8019886/>, doi:10.1109/TR.2017.2720752.
- Zhang, H., Fan, W., Guo, H., Yang, C., 2023c. Dynamic stationary subspace analysis based on Gaussian mixture models for ironmaking process monitoring. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, cjce.25107 URL: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cjce.25107>, doi:10.1002/cjce.25107.
- Zhang, H., Jia, C., Chen, M., 2021b. Remaining Useful Life Prediction for Degradation Processes With Dependent and Nonstationary Increments. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement* 70, 1–12. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9446507/>, doi:10.1109/TIM.2021.3085935.
- Zhang, H., Xi, X., 2022. An intelligent prognostic approach considering multi-mode degradation processes, in: 2022 China Automation Congress (CAC), IEEE, Xiamen, China. pp. 5742–5747. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10055400/>, doi:10.1109/CAC57257.2022.10055400.
- Zhang, H., Zhou, D., Chen, M., Shang, J., 2019a. FBM-Based Remaining Useful Life Prediction for Degradation Processes With Long-Range Dependence and Multiple Modes. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability* 68, 1021–1033. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8543175/>, doi:10.1109/TR.2018.2877643.
- Zhang, H., Zhou, D., Chen, M., Xi, X., 2019b. Predicting remaining useful life based on a generalized degradation with fractional Brownian motion. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 115, 736–752. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0888327018303613>, doi:10.1016/j.ymsp.2018.06.029.
- Zhang, K., Shardt, Y.A., Chen, Z., Yang, X., Ding, S.X., Peng, K., 2017b. A KPI-based process monitoring and fault detection framework for large-scale processes. *ISA Transactions* 68, 276–286. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0019057817301908>, doi:10.1016/j.isatra.2017.01.029.
- Zhang, M., Liu, Q., Deng, J., Chen, C., 2021c. Fault detection of pressure sensor of blast furnace fan based on Chaos Sparrow Search Algorithm-Support vector machine regression. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 2010, 012029. URL: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2010/1/012029>, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/2010/1/012029.
- Zhang, Q., Lu, S., Xie, L., Gu, S., Su, H., 2023d. Variational Bayesian State Space Model for dynamic process fault detection. *Journal of Process Control* 124, 129–141.

- URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S095915242300029X>, doi:10.1016/j.jprocont.2023.02.004.
- Zhang, Q., Yin, Y., Yang, Y., Zhang, S., Dong, J., Zhao, B., 2018. A Novel Analysis of Furnace Condition Based on Biclustering, in: 2018 37th Chinese Control Conference (CCC), IEEE, Wuhan. pp. 8262–8267. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8482769/>, doi:10.23919/ChiCC.2018.8482769.
- Zhang, T., Wang, W., Ye, H., Huang, D., Zhang, H., Li, M., 2016a. Fault Detection for Iron-making Process Based on Stacked Denoising Autoencoders, in: 2016 AMERICAN CONTROL CONFERENCE (ACC), pp. 3261–3267.
- Zhang, T., Ye, H., Wang, W., Zhang, H., 2014. Fault Diagnosis for Blast Furnace Ironmaking Process Based on Two-stage Principal Component Analysis. *ISIJ International* 54, 2334–2341. URL: [https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/54/10/54\\_2334/\\_article](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/54/10/54_2334/_article), doi:10.2355/isijinternational.54.2334.
- Zhang, T., Ye, H., Zhang, H., Li, M., 2016b. PCA-LMNN-Based Fault Diagnosis Method for Ironmaking Processes with Insufficient Faulty Data. *ISIJ International* 56, 1779–1788. URL: [https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/56/10/56\\_ISIJINT-2016-101/\\_article](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/56/10/56_ISIJINT-2016-101/_article), doi:10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2016-101.
- Zhang, W., Yang, D., Wang, H., 2019c. Data-driven methods for predictive maintenance of industrial equipment: A survey. *IEEE Systems Journal* 13, 2213–2227. doi:10.1109/JSYST.2019.2905565.
- Zhang, Y., Ma, C., 2011. Fault diagnosis of nonlinear processes using multiscale KPCA and multiscale KPLS. *Chemical Engineering Science* 66, 64–72. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0009250910005944>, doi:10.1016/j.ces.2010.10.008.
- Zhang, Y., Shi, J., Chen, G., 2012/09. A knowledge modeling method of blast furnace fault diagnosis based on ontology, in: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Electronic & Mechanical Engineering and Information Technology (EMEIT 2012), Atlantis Press. pp. 1316–1323. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2991/emeit.2012.292>, doi:10.2991/emeit.2012.292.
- Zhao, C., Sun, J., Lin, S., Peng, Y., 2021. Fault Diagnosis Method for Rolling Mill Multi Row Bearings Based on AMVMD-MC1DCNN under Unbalanced Dataset. *Sensors* 21, 5494. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/16/5494>, doi:10.3390/s21165494.
- Zhao, J., Liu, K., Wang, W., Liu, Y., 2014. Adaptive fuzzy clustering based anomaly data detection in energy system of steel industry. *Information Sciences* 259, 335–345. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0020025513003939>, doi:10.1016/j.ins.2013.05.018.
- Zhao, L.T., Yang, T., Yan, R., Zhao, H.B., 2022. Anomaly detection of the blast furnace smelting process using an improved multivariate statistical process control model. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 166, 617–627. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0957582022007224>, doi:10.1016/j.psep.2022.08.035.
- Zhou, B., Ye, H., Zhang, H., Li, M., 2016. Process monitoring of iron-making process in a blast furnace with PCA-based methods. *Control Engineering Practice* 47, 1–14. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0967066115300472>, doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2015.11.006.

- Zhou, P., Zhang, R., Liang, M., Fu, J., Wang, H., Chai, T., 2020. Fault identification for quality monitoring of molten iron in blast furnace ironmaking based on KPLS with improved contribution rate. *Control Engineering Practice* 97, 104354. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0967066120300332>, doi:10.1016/j.conengprac.2020.104354.
- Zhou, P., Zhang, R., Xie, J., Liu, J., Wang, H., Chai, T., 2021. Data-Driven Monitoring and Diagnosing of Abnormal Furnace Conditions in Blast Furnace Ironmaking: An Integrated PCA-ICA Method. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* 68, 622–631. URL: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8967236/>, doi:10.1109/TIE.2020.2967708.
- Zhou, Y., Xu, K., He, F., Zhang, Z., 2022a. Application of Time Series Data Anomaly Detection Based on Deep Learning in Continuous Casting Process. *ISIJ International* 62, 689–698. URL: [https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/62/4/62\\_ISIJINT-2021-372/\\_article](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/isijinternational/62/4/62_ISIJINT-2021-372/_article), doi:10.2355/isijinternational.ISIJINT-2021-372.
- Zhou, Y., Xu, K., He, F., Zhang, Z., 2022b. Online abnormal interval detection and classification of industrial time series data based on multi-scale deep learning. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* 138, 104445. URL: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1876107022002425>, doi:10.1016/j.jtice.2022.104445.
- Zonta, T., da Costa, C.A., da Rosa Righi, R., de Lima, M.J., da Trindade, E.S., Li, G.P., 2020. Predictive maintenance in the industry 4.0: A systematic literature review. *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 150, 106889. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360835220305787>, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106889>.

## Appendix A. Supplementary results

In this appendix we provided detailed information regarding survey results.

### Appendix A.1. Summary of papers with respect to type of installation

Scope	Asset	Papers
Installation		<a href="#">Liu et al. (2021)</a>
Subsystem	Sinter Machine	<a href="#">Egorova et al. (2018)</a>

Table A.2: Summary of studies related to the Sinter Plant

Scope	Asset	Papers
Entire Plant		<a href="#">An et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Chen and Cai (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Gao et al. (2022, 2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Han et al. (2022b)</a> ; <a href="#">Jiang et al. (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Krejcar (2011)</a> ; <a href="#">Li et al. (2023b,c)</a> ; <a href="#">Lian et al. (2010)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu et al. (2011b)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu and Gao (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu et al. (2018b)</a> ; <a href="#">Lou et al. (2022, 2023a,b)</a> ; <a href="#">Ouyang et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Pan et al. (2016b, 2018)</a> ; <a href="#">Saci et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Sha et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Shang et al. (2017)</a> ; <a href="#">Spirin et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Tian and Wang (2010)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2010, 2015a, 2022b)</a> ; <a href="#">Xiao et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Xie et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Yang et al. (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhai et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang et al. (2012/09, 2014, 2016b,a, 2018, 2023c)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhao et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhou et al. (2016, 2020, 2021)</a>
Product	Silicon content	<a href="#">Liu et al. (2011a)</a> ; <a href="#">Weng et al. (2023)</a>
Single Device	Axial fan	<a href="#">Zhang et al. (2021c)</a>
	Blowers	<a href="#">Bo and Hao (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Fu et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Raducan et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Sun et al. (2012)</a>
	Raceway	<a href="#">Puttinger and Stocker (2019)</a>
	Wall	<a href="#">Xi et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang et al. (2017a, 2019a,b, 2021b)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang and Xi (2022)</a>
Subsystem	Cooling system	<a href="#">Meneganti et al. (1998)</a>
	Gas flow	<a href="#">Zhao et al. (2014)</a>
	Hearth	<a href="#">Wang et al. (2022a)</a>
	Torpedo car	<a href="#">Chernyi et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Li et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2021a)</a> ; <a href="#">Yemelyanov et al. (2021)</a>

Table A.3: Summary of studies related to the Blast Furnace

Scope	Asset	Papers
Entire Plant		<a href="#">Choi et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2015b)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang and Mao (2018)</a>
Subsystem	Transformer	<a href="#">Dehghan Marvasti and Samet (2014)</a> ; <a href="#">Shiyan et al. (2019)</a>

Table A.4: Summary of studies related to the Electric Arc Furnace

Scope	Asset	Papers
Single Device	Ladle	<a href="#">Emelianov et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu and Hao (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2018)</a>
Subsystem	Converter	<a href="#">Chistyakova and Novozhilova (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Dong et al. (2023)</a>
	Refractory lining	<a href="#">Chistyakova and Novozhilova (2019)</a>
	Crane	<a href="#">Kim et al. (2006)</a>
	Shredder	<a href="#">Neto et al. (2021)</a>

Table A.5: Summary of studies related to the Steel Plant

Scope	Asset	Papers
Entire Plant		Holloway et al. (1991); Xu et al. (2023); Yang et al. (2021b); Zhou et al. (2022a)
Single Device	Bearings Casting roller Crystallizer Exhaust fan Mold	Qing Liu et al. (2016); Wu et al. (2014) Han et al. (2021) David et al. (2012) Liu et al. (2023) Bhattacharya et al. (2005); Luk'yanov et al. (2015); Wu et al. (2023)
Subsystem	Casting speed	Zhou et al. (2022b)

Table A.6: Summary of studies related to the Continuous Casting Machine

Scope	Asset	Papers
Entire Plant		Han et al. (2022a); Latham and Giannetti (2023); Liu and Zhang (2023); Panagou et al. (2022a); Peng et al. (2014a); Wang et al. (2020); Weber et al. (2022)
Product	Strip	Ding et al. (2023); Gerz et al. (2022); Jianliang et al. (2020); Jing et al. (2023a); Li et al. (2023a); Mentouri et al. (2020); Peng et al. (2023); Song et al. (2022); Yang et al. (2023b)
Single Device	Backup roll Bearings Gearbox Looper Motor Pump Work rolls	Yuan et al. (2023) Farina et al. (2015); Pan et al. (2016a); Peng et al. (2022) Acernese et al. (2021); Gao et al. (2010); Pan et al. (2016a); Peng et al. (2022); Russo et al. (2021); Sarda et al. (2021) Garcia-Beltran et al. (2004); Jiang et al. (2021); Marcu et al. (2004, 2008) Fagarasan et al. (2004); Hirata et al. (2015); Ma et al. (2023) Goode et al. (2000) Anagiannis et al. (2020); Jakubowski et al. (2021a); Jiao et al. (2021); Kovačić et al. (2019); Lebrún et al. (2013)
Subsystem	Feedback control system Finishing mill Laminar cooling Rolling stand Roughing mill	Li and Ding (2020) Krot et al. (2020); Dong et al. (2022); Guo et al. (2023); Jakubowski et al. (2021b); Jiang and Yin (2017); Jing et al. (2023b); Konishi and Nakano (2010); Ma et al. (2021b,a, 2022a,b); Miyabe et al. (1988); Peng et al. (2014a,b, 2015, 2016, 2021); Xu et al. (2024); Zhang et al. (2017b, 2021a, 2022, 2023a,b,d) Wang and Peng (2023) Acernese et al. (2022); Chen et al. (2023); Lisounkin et al. (2002); Panagou et al. (2022b); Ruiz-Sarmiento et al. (2020) Ma et al. (2021a)

Table A.7: Summary of studies related to the Hot Rolling Mill

Scope	Asset	Papers
Product	Strip	Fleischanderl et al. (2022)
Subsystem	Loop control system Waste liquor recovery	Bouhouche et al. (2005, 2006) Fan et al. (2017)

Table A.8: Summary of studies related to the Pickling Line

Scope	Asset	Papers
Entire Plant		<a href="#">Beden et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Beden and Beckmann (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Chen et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Lepenioti et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2023)</a>
Product	Strip	<a href="#">Chen et al. (2021b)</a> ; <a href="#">Yang et al. (2009, 2021a)</a>
Single Device	Bearings	<a href="#">Ji et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Shi et al. (2022a)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhao et al. (2021)</a>
	Motor	<a href="#">Sun and An (2009b)</a>
	Work rolls	<a href="#">Fouka et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Jakubowski et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Lakshmanan et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2021b)</a>
Subsystem	Rolling stand	<a href="#">Lu et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Oh and Kim (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Shi et al. (2022b)</a> ; <a href="#">Shin and Kwon (2018)</a>
	Tension system	<a href="#">Arinton et al. (2012)</a>

Table A.9: Summary of studies related to the Cold Rolling Mill

Scope	Asset	Papers
Entire Plant		<a href="#">Liu et al. (2014a,b)</a> ; <a href="#">Yingwei Zhang et al. (2013)</a>
Product	Strip	<a href="#">Liu et al. (2018a)</a>
Single Device	Looper	<a href="#">Zhang and Ma (2011)</a>
Subsystem	Furnace	<a href="#">Lu et al. (2013)</a> ; <a href="#">Tan et al. (2010)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2012)</a>
	Furnace temperature system	<a href="#">Lu et al. (2013)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2012)</a>
	Tension	<a href="#">Qiang Liu et al. (2011)</a>

Table A.10: Summary of studies related to the Continuous Annealing Line

Scope	Asset	Papers
Entire Plant		<a href="#">Fan and Zhang (2018)</a>
Single Device	Bearings	<a href="#">Chen et al. (2021a)</a>
	Welder	<a href="#">Bonikila et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Choi et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Meyer and Mahalec (2022)</a>
	Zinc bath roller	<a href="#">Simon et al. (2021)</a>
Subsystem	Zinc bath	<a href="#">Debón and Carlos Garcia-Díaz (2012)</a>

Table A.11: Summary of studies related to the Hot Dip Galvanizing Line



Appendix A.2. Summary of papers with respect to AI method

Method	Papers
MLP	Arinton et al. (2012); Bouhouche et al. (2005, 2006); Chen et al. (2020, 2021b,a, 2023); Chernyi et al. (2022); Chistyakova and Novozhilova (2019); Choi et al. (2020); David et al. (2012); Dinghui Zhang et al. (2000); Egorova et al. (2018); Emelianov et al. (2022); Fleischanderl et al. (2022); Gao et al. (2022, 2023); Gerz et al. (2022); Han et al. (2021, 2022a); Hao et al. (2022); Jiang et al. (2019); Jing et al. (2023a); Krejcar (2011); Kim et al. (2006); Kumar and Das (2022); Latham and Giannetti (2023); Li et al. (2020, 2023c,a); Liu and Hao (2021); Liu et al. (2023); Lou et al. (2022, 2023b); Lu et al. (2020); Ma et al. (2023); Marcu et al. (2004, 2008); Meneganti et al. (1998); Mentouri et al. (2020); Nkonyana et al. (2019); Peng et al. (2022, 2023); Pérez et al. (2013); Qiang Liu et al. (2011); Qing Liu et al. (2016); Ray (1991); Shi et al. (2022a,b); Song et al. (2022); Wu et al. (2014); Xu et al. (2024); Sun and An (2009a,b); Yang et al. (2021a, 2023b); Yemelyanov et al. (2021); Yi and Zeng (2009); Zhao et al. (2021); Zhou et al. (2022a,b)
CNN	Chernyi et al. (2022); Fleischanderl et al. (2022); Gao et al. (2022, 2023); Gerz et al. (2022); Hao et al. (2022); Jing et al. (2023a); Latham and Giannetti (2023); Li et al. (2020, 2023a); Liu and Hao (2021); Ma et al. (2023); Mentouri et al. (2020); Peng et al. (2022, 2023); Shi et al. (2022b,a); Xu et al. (2024); Yang et al. (2023b); Zhao et al. (2021); Zhou et al. (2022a,b)
LSTM	Chen et al. (2020, 2021b); Choi et al. (2020, 2023); Fouka et al. (2021); Gerz et al. (2022); Han et al. (2022a); Jakubowski et al. (2021b); Jiao et al. (2021); Jing et al. (2023a,b); Karagiorgou et al. (2019, 2020); Lakshmanan et al. (2022); Lepenioti et al. (2020); Ma et al. (2022b); Wu et al. (2023); Zhang and Xi (2022); Zhou et al. (2022a,b)
AE	Chen and Cai (2023); Choi et al. (2023); Jakubowski et al. (2021b,a, 2022); Jing et al. (2023a); Li et al. (2023a,b); Liu et al. (2023); Meyer and Mahalec (2022); Wang et al. (2021b); Wu et al. (2023); Yang et al. (2023b); Zhang et al. (2016a, 2023b); Zhou et al. (2022a)
GRU	Chen et al. (2020, 2021b); Li et al. (2023b); Ma et al. (2022b, 2023); Ouyang et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2021a); Wu et al. (2023); Xu et al. (2023, 2024); Zhang and Xi (2022); Zhang et al. (2023a)
RNN	Chen et al. (2020, 2021b); Choi et al. (2020); Marcu et al. (2008); Yang et al. (2021a)
GAN	Peng et al. (2023); Xie et al. (2021); Zhang et al. (2023b); Zhao et al. (2021)
Attention	Hao et al. (2022); Shi et al. (2022a); Wang et al. (2021a)
DBN	Ji et al. (2021); Jianliang et al. (2020)
DDQN	Acernese et al. (2022); Neto et al. (2021)
Transformer	Han et al. (2022a); Ma et al. (2023)
RBM	Dong et al. (2023); Liu and Zhang (2023)
RBF NN	Pérez et al. (2013)
BLS	Zhang et al. (2021a)
GNN	Han et al. (2022a)

Table A.12: Summary of studies utilizing Neural Network methods

Method	Papers
Gradient Boosting	Ding et al. (2023); Liu et al. (2021); Lu et al. (2020); Panagou et al. (2022b); Tiensuu et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2020, 2023); Xie et al. (2021); Xu et al. (2024)
RF	Chen et al. (2020, 2021a); Simić et al. (2014); Nkonyana et al. (2019); Wang et al. (2023)
CART	Debón and Carlos Garcia-Díaz (2012); Song et al. (2022)
Decision Tree	Simić et al. (2014)
Isolation Forest	Gerz et al. (2022)

Table A.13: Summary of studies utilizing Tree-based methods

Method	Papers
SVM	Chen et al. (2020); Fu et al. (2023); Gerz et al. (2022); Simić et al. (2014); Jiang et al. (2021); Konishi and Nakano (2010); Li et al. (2023c); Liu et al. (2011b,a); Lou et al. (2022); Mentouri et al. (2020); Nkonyana et al. (2019); Ouyang et al. (2020); Russo et al. (2021); Tian and Wang (2010); Wang et al. (2010, 2015a); Wang and Mao (2018); Wang et al. (2018, 2022b); Weber et al. (2022); Yang et al. (2009, 2015); Zhai et al. (2020); Zhang et al. (2022)
OCSVM	Gerz et al. (2022); Jiang et al. (2021); Ouyang et al. (2020); Russo et al. (2021); Wang and Mao (2018); Wang et al. (2018, 2022b); Weber et al. (2022); Zhai et al. (2020); Zhang et al. (2022)
SVR	Liu and Gao (2015); Lu et al. (2020); Song et al. (2022); Wang et al. (2023); Zhang et al. (2021c)

Table A.14: Summary of studies utilizing Support Vectors methods

Method	Papers
Gaussian Mixture Model	Chen and Cai (2023); Han et al. (2022b); Peng et al. (2015, 2021); Xiao et al. (2023); Zhang et al. (2023c)
Hidden Markov Model	Sarda et al. (2021); Simon et al. (2021); Wang et al. (2015b)
Causal Graph Model	Garcia-Beltran et al. (2004); Yang et al. (2021b)
Bayes	Fouka et al. (2021); Lian et al. (2010); Ma et al. (2021a); Peng et al. (2016); Ruiz-Sarmiento et al. (2020); Zhang et al. (2023d)
Bayesian Network	Lian et al. (2010)
Gaussian Process	Wang et al. (2018)
Discrete Bayes Filter	Ruiz-Sarmiento et al. (2020)
Bayesian Changepoint Detection	Fouka et al. (2021)
Bayesian State Space Model	Zhang et al. (2023d)

Table A.15: Summary of studies utilizing Probabilistic methods

Method	Papers
k-Means	Gerz et al. (2022); Shin and Kwon (2018); Wang and Mao (2018)
DBSCAN	Gerz et al. (2022); Jin et al. (2023)
Qualitative Trend Clustering	Bo and Hao (2015)
mean-shifted clustering	Shin and Kwon (2018)
density-based clustering	Shin and Kwon (2018)

Table A.16: Summary of studies utilizing Clustering methods

Method	Papers
Nearest Neighbors	Liu et al. (2011a), Zhao et al. (2014), Zhang et al. (2016b), Mentouri et al. (2020), Dong et al. (2022), Fu et al. (2023), Ma et al. (2023), Wang et al. (2023)
Signal Processing	Fagarasan et al. (2004), Sun et al. (2012), Dehghan Marvasti and Samet (2014), Pan et al. (2016a), Shiyan et al. (2019)
Digital Twin	Han et al. (2021), Panagou et al. (2022a), Panagou et al. (2022b), Fu et al. (2023)
Linear	Debón and Carlos Garcia-Díaz (2012), Shang et al. (2017), Fu et al. (2023)
MTL	Ma et al. (2021b), Ma et al. (2022a)

Table A.17: Summary of studies utilizing miscellaneous ML methods

Method	Papers
Fuzzy	B and Umesh (2019), Bhattacharya et al. (2005), B and Umesh (2019), Lisounkin et al. (2002),
Rules	Gao et al. (2010), Miyabe et al. (1988), Ray and Murty (1989), Slany and Vascak (1996)
Expert System	Chistyakova and Novozhilova (2019), Dinghui Zhang et al. (2000), Ray and Murty (1989), Ray (1991), Spirin et al. (2020)
Ontology	Beden et al. (2021), Beden and Beckmann (2023), Cao et al. (2022), Zhang et al. (2012/09)

Table A.18: Summary of studies utilizing knowledge-based methods

Method	Papers
ICA	Fan et al. (2017); Fan and Zhang (2018); Peng et al. (2014a,a); Tan et al. (2010); Wang et al. (2012); Yingwei Zhang et al. (2013); Zhou et al. (2021)
FBM	Xi et al. (2020); Zhang et al. (2017a, 2019a,b, 2021b)
CCA	Liu et al. (2018a); Peng et al. (2014a); Wang et al. (2021b)
CVA	Chen et al. (2023); Lou et al. (2023a); Wang et al. (2022b)
PCP	Pan et al. (2016b, 2018)
Mahalanobis Distance	An et al. (2020); Shin and Kwon (2018)
Slow Features	Dong et al. (2022); Zhai et al. (2020)
Scheffee Test	Lisounkin et al. (2002)
Markov Chain	Zhang et al. (2019a)
MCD	Acernese et al. (2021)
Grey Model	Zhao et al. (2022)
Mann-Kendall	Weng et al. (2023)

Table A.19: Summary of studies utilizing Statistical methods

Method	Papers
PCA and AI	Yang et al. (2009), Lu et al. (2013), Zhang et al. (2016b), Shang et al. (2017), Egorova et al. (2018), Lu et al. (2020), Jiang et al. (2021), Ma et al. (2021a), Peng et al. (2021), Han et al. (2022b), Kumar and Das (2022), Meyer and Mahalec (2022), Weber et al. (2022), Xiao et al. (2023), Xu et al. (2024)
PCA	Zhang and Ma (2011), Shigemori (2013), Liu et al. (2014a), Zhang et al. (2014), Hirata et al. (2015), Zhou et al. (2016), Liu et al. (2018b)
PCA and Statistics	Wang et al. (2012), Yingwei Zhang et al. (2013), Peng et al. (2014a), Peng et al. (2014a), Zhou et al. (2021), Zhao et al. (2022)
PLS	Liu et al. (2014b), Jiang and Yin (2017), Das (2020), Zhou et al. (2020), Straat et al. (2022), Guo et al. (2023)
PLS and AI	Peng et al. (2015), Peng et al. (2016), Chen et al. (2021a), Zhang et al. (2021a)
t-SNE	Pérez et al. (2013)
NPE	Sha et al. (2022)

Table A.20: Summary of studies utilizing dimensionality reduction methods

Category	Method	Papers
Optimization	GA	Ji et al. (2021); Jing et al. (2023a); Qing Liu et al. (2016); Yang et al. (2015)
	PSO	Wang et al. (2022a); Yang et al. (2015)
	Genetic Programming	Kovačić et al. (2019)
	CSSA	Zhang et al. (2021c)
	Baysesian Optimization	Jakubowski et al. (2021a,b)
Analytical Model		Lisounkin et al. (2002), Lebrún et al. (2013), Luk'yanov et al. (2015), Anagiannis et al. (2020), Krot et al. (2020), Emelianov et al. (2022), Jakubowski et al. (2022), Wang et al. (2022a), Wang and Peng (2023), Yuan et al. (2023)
Signal Processing		Fagarasan et al. (2004), Sun et al. (2012), Dehghan Marvasti and Samet (2014), Pan et al. (2016a), Shiyang et al. (2019)

Table A.21: Summary of studies utilizing other techniques

Method	Papers
Contribution Plot	Guo et al. (2023), Han et al. (2022b), Kumar and Das (2022), Liu et al. (2018b), Zhang and Ma (2011), Zhao et al. (2022)
Counterfactuals	Jakubowski et al. (2022)
SHAP	Ding et al. (2023), Jakubowski et al. (2021a), Jakubowski et al. (2021b), Tiensuu et al. (2020)

Table A.22: Summary of studies utilizing XAI methods

Appendix A.3. Predictive Maintenance tasks

Task	Papers
Anomaly Detection	<a href="#">Acernese et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Choi et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Gerz et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Jakubowski et al. (2021b,a)</a> ; <a href="#">Jiang et al. (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Jin et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Junichi and Yukinori (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Konishi and Nakano (2010)</a> ; <a href="#">Li et al. (2023a,b)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu and Hao (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu and Zhang (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Meyer and Mahalec (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Pan et al. (2016b)</a> ; <a href="#">Saci et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Sarda et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Spirin et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2015b)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang and Mao (2018)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2018)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang and Peng (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Weber et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Weng et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Wu et al. (2021, 2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Xiao et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Yingwei Zhang et al. (2013)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhao et al. (2014, 2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhou et al. (2016, 2022a,b)</a>
Condition Monitoring	<a href="#">Oh and Kim (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Shiyan et al. (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2022a)</a> ; <a href="#">Yemelyanov et al. (2021)</a>
Defect Detection	<a href="#">Fleischanderl et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Hao et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Simić et al. (2014)</a> ; <a href="#">Yang et al. (2023b)</a>
Fault Detection	<a href="#">Acernese et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">An et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Arinton et al. (2012)</a> ; <a href="#">Bonikila et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Bouhouche et al. (2005, 2006)</a> ; <a href="#">Chen et al. (2020, 2021b, 2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Debón and Carlos Garcia-Díaz (2012)</a> ; <a href="#">Das (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Dehghan Marvasti and Samet (2014)</a> ; <a href="#">Ding et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Dong et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Fagarasan et al. (2004)</a> ; <a href="#">Fan et al. (2017)</a> ; <a href="#">Fan and Zhang (2018)</a> ; <a href="#">Farina et al. (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Fu et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">B and Umesh (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Guo et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Hirata et al. (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Holloway et al. (1991)</a> ; <a href="#">Bhattacharya et al. (2005)</a> ; <a href="#">Jakubowski et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Ji et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Jiang and Yin (2017)</a> ; <a href="#">Jiang et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Li and Ding (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Li et al. (2020, 2023c)</a> ; <a href="#">Lisounkin et al. (2002)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu et al. (2014b, 2018b)</a> ; <a href="#">Lou et al. (2023a)</a> ; <a href="#">Lu et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Luk'yanov et al. (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Ma et al. (2021b,a, 2022a)</a> ; <a href="#">Marcu et al. (2004, 2008)</a> ; <a href="#">Meneganti et al. (1998)</a> ; <a href="#">Ouyang et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Pan et al. (2018)</a> ; <a href="#">Panagou et al. (2022b)</a> ; <a href="#">Peng et al. (2014a, 2015, 2016, 2021, 2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Pérez et al. (2013)</a> ; <a href="#">Puttinger and Stocker (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Qiang Liu et al. (2011)</a> ; <a href="#">Ray (1991)</a> ; <a href="#">Russo et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Sha et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Shigemori (2013)</a> ; <a href="#">Straat et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Tan et al. (2010)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2012, 2020, 2021b, 2022b, 2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Xie et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Xu et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Yang et al. (2009, 2021a,b)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhai et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang et al. (2014, 2016a, 2017b, 2021c, 2022, 2023d,c,b)</a>
Fault Diagnosis	<a href="#">Bo and Hao (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Chernyi et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Chistyakova and Novozhilova (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Das (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Dinghui Zhang et al. (2000)</a> ; <a href="#">Dong et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Egorova et al. (2018)</a> ; <a href="#">Gao et al. (2010, 2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Emelianov et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Gao et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Garcia-Beltran et al. (2004)</a> ; <a href="#">Han et al. (2022a,b)</a> ; <a href="#">Jianliang et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Jing et al. (2023a,b)</a> ; <a href="#">Kumar and Das (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Lian et al. (2010)</a> ; <a href="#">Lisounkin et al. (2002)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu et al. (2011a,b, 2014a, 2018a)</a> ; <a href="#">Lou et al. (2022, 2023b)</a> ; <a href="#">Lu et al. (2013)</a> ; <a href="#">Ma et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Mentouri et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Miyabe et al. (1988)</a> ; <a href="#">Nkonyana et al. (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Pan et al. (2016a)</a> ; <a href="#">Peng et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Ray and Murty (1989)</a> ; <a href="#">Shang et al. (2017)</a> ; <a href="#">Shi et al. (2022b,a)</a> ; <a href="#">Sun et al. (2012)</a> ; <a href="#">Tian and Wang (2010)</a> ; <a href="#">Wang et al. (2010, 2015a, 2021a)</a> ; <a href="#">Xu et al. (2024)</a> ; <a href="#">Sun and An (2009b,a)</a> ; <a href="#">Yang et al. (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Yi and Zeng (2009)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang and Ma (2011)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang et al. (2016b)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhao et al. (2021)</a>
Fault Isolation	<a href="#">Fagarasan et al. (2004)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang et al. (2021a)</a>
Process Monitoring	<a href="#">Chen and Cai (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Liu et al. (2018b)</a> ; <a href="#">Peng et al. (2014a,a)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang et al. (2018, 2023a)</a>
Root Cause Analysis	<a href="#">Han et al. (2022b)</a> ; <a href="#">Kumar and Das (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Latham and Giannetti (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Ma et al. (2022b)</a> ; <a href="#">Tiensuu et al. (2020)</a>
Fault Identification	<a href="#">Liu et al. (2023)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang et al. (2023a)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhou et al. (2020, 2021)</a>
Health Estimation	<a href="#">Chen et al. (2021a)</a> ; <a href="#">David et al. (2012)</a> ; <a href="#">Fouka et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Lebrún et al. (2013)</a> ; <a href="#">Qing Liu et al. (2016)</a> ; <a href="#">Ruiz-Sarmiento et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Wu et al. (2014)</a> ; <a href="#">Yuan et al. (2023)</a>
Quality Prediction	<a href="#">Liu and Gao (2015)</a> ; <a href="#">Song et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Straat et al. (2022)</a>
Remaining Useful Life	<a href="#">Anagiannis et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Krot et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Choi et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Goode et al. (2000)</a> ; <a href="#">Han et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Jiao et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Karagiorgou et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Kim et al. (2006)</a> ; <a href="#">Kovačič et al. (2019)</a> ; <a href="#">Lakshmanan et al. (2022)</a> ; <a href="#">Lepeniotti et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Qing Liu et al. (2016)</a> ; <a href="#">Raducan et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Simon et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Wu et al. (2014)</a> ; <a href="#">Xi et al. (2020)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang et al. (2017a, 2019a,b, 2021b)</a> ; <a href="#">Zhang and Xi (2022)</a>
Scheduling	<a href="#">Holloway et al. (1991)</a> ; <a href="#">Neto et al. (2021)</a> ; <a href="#">Slany and Vascak (1996)</a>

Table A.23: Summary of studies with respect to PdM task considered

*Appendix A.4. Summary of papers concerning the bussiness impact of research*

<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Papers</b>
Collaboration	Acernese et al. (2021); Beden et al. (2021); Beden and Beckmann (2023); Bonikila et al. (2022); Bouhouche et al. (2005); Chen et al. (2021a); Chernyi et al. (2022); Chistyakova and Novozhilova (2019); Choi et al. (2023); David et al. (2012); Dehghan Marvasti and Samet (2014); Emelianov et al. (2022); Fagarasan et al. (2004); Fan et al. (2017); Fu et al. (2023); Gao et al. (2010); Garcia-Beltran et al. (2004); Goode et al. (2000); Holloway et al. (1991); Bhattacharya et al. (2005); Jakubowski et al. (2021b,a, 2022); Jin et al. (2023); Krejcar (2011); Kovačič et al. (2019); Latham and Giannetti (2023); Lepenioti et al. (2020); Li and Ding (2020); Lisounkin et al. (2002); Lou et al. (2022); Luk'yanov et al. (2015); Marcu et al. (2004); Miyabe et al. (1988); Oh and Kim (2015); Ouyang et al. (2020); Panagou et al. (2022b); Puttinger and Stocker (2019); Raducan et al. (2020); Ray and Murty (1989); Russo et al. (2021); Sarda et al. (2021); Shigemori (2013); Shiyang et al. (2019); Simon et al. (2021); Tiensuu et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2020, 2022a); Weber et al. (2022); Yang et al. (2021b); Yemelyanov et al. (2021); Yuan et al. (2023); Zhang et al. (2014, 2016a, 2023a); Zhou et al. (2020)
Implemented	Bouhouche et al. (2005); Chen et al. (2021a); Fagarasan et al. (2004); Garcia-Beltran et al. (2004); Holloway et al. (1991); Bhattacharya et al. (2005); Jin et al. (2023); Kim et al. (2006); Lisounkin et al. (2002); Luk'yanov et al. (2015); Panagou et al. (2022a); Peng et al. (2021); Raducan et al. (2020); Shigemori (2013); Shin and Kwon (2018); Tiensuu et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2022a); Yemelyanov et al. (2021)
Benefits presented	Choi et al. (2023); Han et al. (2021); Luk'yanov et al. (2015)

Table A.24: Summary of papers concerning the business impact of research