
G3: An Effective and Adaptive Framework for Worldwide Geolocalization Using Large Multi-Modality Models

Pengyue Jia¹, Yiding Liu², Xiaopeng Li¹, Xiangyu Zhao¹, Yuhao Wang¹,
Yantong Du¹, Xiao Han¹, Xuetao Wei³, Shuaiqiang Wang², Dawei Yin²

¹City University of Hong Kong, ²Baidu Inc., ³Southern University of Science and Technology
{jia.pengyue, xiaopli2-c, yhwang25-c}@my.cityu.edu.hk,
{liuyiding.tanh, hahahenha, shiqiang.wang}@gmail.com,
xianzhao@cityu.edu.hk, duyantong94@hrbeu.edu.cn,
weixt@sustech.edu.cn, yindawei@acm.org

Abstract

Worldwide geolocalization aims to locate the precise location at the coordinate level of photos taken anywhere on the Earth. It is very challenging due to 1) the difficulty of capturing subtle location-aware visual semantics, and 2) the heterogeneous geographical distribution of image data. As a result, existing studies have clear limitations when scaled to a worldwide context. They may easily confuse distant images with similar visual contents, or cannot adapt to various locations worldwide with different amounts of relevant data. To resolve these limitations, we propose **G3**, a novel framework based on Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG). In particular, G3 consists of three steps, i.e., **Geo-alignment**, **Geo-diversification**, and **Geo-verification** to optimize both retrieval and generation phases of worldwide geolocalization. During Geo-alignment, our solution jointly learns expressive multi-modal representations for images, GPS and textual descriptions, which allows us to capture location-aware semantics for retrieving nearby images for a given query. During Geo-diversification, we leverage a prompt ensembling method that is robust to inconsistent retrieval performance for different image queries. Finally, we combine both retrieved and generated GPS candidates in Geo-verification for location prediction. Experiments on two well-established datasets IM2GPS3k and YFCC4k verify the superiority of G3 compared to other state-of-the-art methods. Our code is available online ¹ for reproduction.

1 Introduction

Worldwide image geolocalization [24] aims to pinpoint the exact shooting location for any given photo taken anywhere on Earth, as illustrated in Figure 1(a). Unlike geolocalization within specific regions (e.g., at city level) [14, 2, 20, 9, 18], worldwide geolocalization [3, 24, 30] greatly unleashes the potential of geolocalization, which is useful for various real-world applications, such as crime tracking and navigation. However, worldwide image geolocalization is extremely challenging, as images collected from around the world are featured with a myriad of elements, including varying landscapes, weather conditions, architectural styles, etc.

Extensive research efforts have been devoted to the task, which can be broadly categorized into 1) classification-based, 2) retrieval-based, and 3) retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) methods. In particular, classification-based methods [26, 17, 15, 4] divide the entire geographical space into

¹The link will be released after publication.




Figure 1: Illustration of limitations of prevailing methods in worldwide geolocation.

fixed grids, and classify each image into a particular grid. Retrieval-based methods convert image localization to either a image-to-image [2, 9, 18] or an image-to-GPS [3] retrieval problem, where the final prediction is a top-retrieved GPS exists in a given candidate database. Generation-based methods [30] recently achieved state-of-the-art performance on this task, via applying a retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) pipeline that leverages the strong reasoning and generalization ability of large multi-modality models (LMMs). They usually integrate the retrieved GPS coordinates in the input prompts of LMMs as references, to generate more accurate predictions.

Despite their initial success, existing studies still have clear limitations when scaled to a worldwide context, mainly due to two challenges as shown in Figure 1. **First**, it is very challenging to extract visual semantics that accurately indicate an image’s geolocation, as two distant places could possibly have similar visual features. Conventional visual representations are ineffective in implying subtle location-aware semantics. **Second**, image data usually exhibits significant heterogeneity in its geographical distribution, which existing methods can hardly handle. For retrieval-based methods, it only performs well for image queries with many nearby images stored in the database, while many images at unpopular locations may have very few or even no similar data to be compared with, leading to large prediction errors. Worst still, such inconsistent retrieval performance significantly affects existing RAG-based methods that use a fixed number of references. As the retrieval performs inconsistently for different image queries, their generation process lacks the robustness to adapt to various image queries at different locations worldwide.

To address the aforementioned challenges, we propose G3, a novel RAG-based solution with expressive retrieval and robust generation for worldwide image geolocation.

For the retrieval phase, we train multi-modality encoders to effectively capture location-aware visual similarity between images. Unlike existing RAG-based methods that only leverage conventional visual similarity for retrieval, we propose a multi-modality alignment process, namely **Geo-alignment**, which learns the representations of GPS coordinates, images, and textual descriptions in a joint manner. By doing this, the visual representations are able to capture fine-grained location-aware semantics for retrieving other images close by. In addition to the numerical GPS coordinates, the textual descriptions (e.g., city/country names) can largely enrich the location information to be aligned with the visual representations. Moreover, to train the multi-modality representations, we establish a new dataset, namely **MP16-Pro**, by including textual geographical descriptions to the original MP16 dataset [8]. We anticipate the dataset will benefit more future work for location-aware visual representation learning.

For the generation phase, we leverage a prompt ensembling method, namely **Geo-diversification**, which improves the robustness of prediction generation for different types of images. More specifically, it generates a diverse set of predictions via multiple retrieval-augmented prompts, each of which might be more useful for a certain type of query images. As such, the generated GPS candidates are more likely to contain the ground truth coordinates. Subsequently, we conduct **Geo-verification**, which combines both the retrieved and the generated GPS candidates, and compares their similarities with the query image using the learned multi-modality representations. The most similar GPS is returned as the final prediction. Extensive experiments are conducted on well-established datasets IM2GPS3k [24] and YFCC4K [21], and the results show the effectiveness of G3 compared to the other state-of-the-art baseline methods. We summarize the key contributions of our work as follows:

- We present G3, a novel solution for the worldwide geolocation task. Our proposed method leverages 1) Geo-alignment to learn expressive location-aware representations of images, 2) Geo-

diversification to improve the robustness of GPS candidate generation, and 3) Geo-verification to ensemble both retrieved and generated candidates for final prediction.

- We release a new dataset MP16-Pro, adding textual localization descriptions to each sample based on the original dataset MP16 to facilitate future research in the field.
- We extensively experiment with two well-established datasets IM2GPS3k and YFCC4K. G3 demonstrates superior performance compared to other state-of-the-art baseline methods.

2 Related Work

Image Geolocation. Previous work in image geolocation can be divided into three main categories: classification-based methods, retrieval-based methods, and generation-based methods. (1) Classification-based methods [17, 24, 13, 26, 15, 4] divide the entire earth into multiple grid cells and assign the center coordinates as predicted values. Models are then trained to classify the input image into the correct cell. However, if the actual location of the image is far from the center of the predicted cell, there can still be significant errors, even if the cell prediction is correct. (2) Retrieval-based methods treat the image geolocation task as a retrieval problem, typically maintaining a database of images [27, 12, 33, 28, 31, 22, 19, 32] or a gallery of GPS coordinates [3]. They take the most similar images and GPS coordinates to the query image as the predicted values. However, maintaining a global-level image database or GPS gallery is infeasible. (3) Generation-based methods employ large multi-modality models to generate the predicted coordinates for images. Zhou *et al.* [30] introduced retrieval-augmented generation into the geolocation task and took the retrieved similar images’ coordinates as references to help generate predictions. However, they can not accurately extract visual semantics to indicate an image’s location because they simply use visual similarity to retrieve references and suffer inaccurate prediction when facing heterogeneous query images.

Large Multi-modality Models. Inspired by the success of large models in single domains like computer vision [25] and natural language processing [29], there has been increasing attention on large multi-modality models. CLIP [16] aligns image and text representations through contrastive learning and achieves remarkable model generalization with simple optimization objectives. The Large Language-and-Vision Assistant (LLAVA) [11] effectively combines CLIP’s visual encoder with the powerful language model Vicuna, enhancing the model’s general understanding of both visual and textual information through two-stage instruction tuning. GPT4V [1] is a large multimodal model released by OpenAI in 2023, allowing users to input text and images to obtain answers.

Retrieval-Augmented Generation. To mitigate the hallucination issue in LLMs, retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) [10] has emerged as a popular and effective technique. It enhances the reliability of content generated by LLMs by incorporating facts fetched from external sources. Specifically, certain factual knowledge is retrieved by a retriever from external sources based on a query. LLMs can access these retrieval results during the generation process to generate accurate outcomes. RAG preserves the generalization capabilities of LLMs while also introducing external information to enhance the reliability of generated content, efficiently alleviating the hallucination problem.

3 Methodology

Figure 2 illustrates the comprehensive architecture of G3, which consists of Geo-alignment, diversification, and verification, with two phases: database construction and location prediction. During the database construction phase, introduced in Section 3.1, Geo-alignment aligns image representations with textual image descriptions and GPS information to incorporate geographical information into representations. In the location prediction phase, introduced in Section 3.2, similar images will be first retrieved based on the nearest neighbor search from the database; Geo-diversification will then combine their coordinates in RAG prompts to generate diverse candidates, and Geo-verification finally selects the final predicted coordinates in a multi-modality space.

3.1 Database Construction

G3 requires an image database to preserve image representations. Existing work directly uses visual encoders (e.g., CLIP’s ViT encoder or ResNet) to encode images. However, visual similarity cannot




Figure 2: Overview of the framework of G3.

completely represent geographical proximity. To overcome this issue, we propose Geo-alignment, which incorporates geographical information into image representations by multi-modality alignment.

Geo-alignment. Geographical features can be divided into continuous and discrete types, which are essential in geolocalization. On the one hand, according to the first law of geography [23], "everything is related to everything else, but near things are more related to each other." Climate, terrain, and vegetation are continuous features that gradually change along latitude or longitude. On the other hand, discrete features (e.g., city/country names) are also conducive to determining geographical location. These features usually change abruptly at national borders. To encode images with representations tailored for geolocalization, we propose a multi-modality alignment method, Geo-alignment, as shown in Figure 2(A).

Image encoding. We use pretrained vision encoder and two trainable transformation layers to encode images: $\mathbf{e}_{i,\text{text}}^{\text{image}} = f_{\text{text}}(\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{I}_i))$, $\mathbf{e}_{i,\text{gps}}^{\text{image}} = f_{\text{gps}}(\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{I}_i))$, where $\mathbf{e}_{i,\text{text}}^{\text{image}}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{i,\text{gps}}^{\text{image}}$ are the i -th image representations in the batch that need to be aligned with textual geographical descriptions and GPS data. f_{text} and f_{gps} are the corresponding feed forward functions, \mathcal{V} represents the fixed vision encoder, and \mathbf{I}_i is the i -th image in a batch.

GPS coordinate encoding. To encode GPS coordinates, an appropriate projection is needed to transform latitude and longitude into a Cartesian coordinate system. We choose not to adopt the equal earth projection (EEP) used in previous work [3] because EEP primarily focuses on area accuracy while overlooking angular distortions, which is significant in modeling the trends of geographical features along latitude and longitude. As a result, we utilize Mercator projection for its conformal property. The formula of Mercator projection is shown below:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{R} \cdot (\lambda - \lambda_0) \\ \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \ln\left[\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2}\right)\right] \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where λ and ϕ are radians of longitude and latitude, λ_0 denotes the central meridian longitude. \mathbf{R} is a proportional constant of Earth radius. The output \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} denote the transformed plane coordinates.

After projection, we follow previous work [3] to capture high-frequency patterns and hierarchical representations using random fourier features (RFF) with various frequencies. RFF function γ will transform the projected coordinate $\mathbf{G}_i = (\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i)$ first: $\gamma(\mathbf{G}_i) = [\cos(2\pi\mathbf{M}\mathbf{G}_i), \sin(2\pi\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}_i)]^T$. \mathbf{M} denotes a matrix sampled from a Gaussian distribution $\mathbf{M} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$ to limit the frequencies. To capture hierarchical representations, we sum up the outputs with different σ : $\mathbf{e}_i^{\text{gps}} = \sum_{k=1}^K f_k(\gamma(\mathbf{G}_i, \sigma_k))$

where $\mathbf{e}_i^{\text{gps}}$ is the encoded gps representations for i -th sample in a batch, K denotes the number of hierarchical patterns, f_k is the feed forward function for k -th hierarchical layer. σ_k controls the frequency for k -th layer and $\sigma_k = 2^{\log_2(\sigma_{\min} + (k-1)(\log_2(\sigma_{\max}) - \log_2(\sigma_{\min}))) / (N-1)}$, $\forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. σ_{\min} and σ_{\max} controls the range of σ_k .

Text encoding. We initially employ geographical reverse encoding to obtain textual descriptions of GPS coordinates. For instance, as illustrated in Figure 2(A), the GPS coordinates (60.37, 6.72) can be converted into the textual description "A photo taken from Vestland, Norway". These textual descriptions are inputs to a pre-trained text encoder, followed by feedforward networks for vector transformation. $\mathbf{e}_i^{\text{text}} = f(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{T}_i))$ where $\mathbf{e}_i^{\text{text}}$ denotes the encoded textual descriptions for i -th sample in a batch, f is the feed forward transformation layer, \mathcal{T} is the text encoder function, and \mathbf{T}_i is the textual descriptions for i -th sample in a batch.

Optimization. Geo-alignment is optimized with the following objective to align image representations with textual descriptions and GPS information:

$$\mathcal{L}_{a,b} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{\exp(\text{logits}_{ii})}{\sum_{j=1}^n \exp(\text{logits}_{ij})}\right), \text{logits} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}^a}{\|\mathbf{e}^a\|_2}\right)\left(\frac{\mathbf{e}^b}{\|\mathbf{e}^b\|_2}\right)^T \cdot \exp^{t_{a,b}} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{a,b}$ denotes the loss function of modality a to modality b , \mathbf{e} is the encoded representations, and t is the temperature. G3 needs to align image representations with both textual descriptions and GPS data, so the final optimization objective is shown below: $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_{\text{image,text}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{image,gps}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{text,image}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gps,image}})/4$.

Image Vectorization. As illustrated in Figure 2(B), after Geo-alignment, we will vectorize the images in the dataset and store them in a database. To maintain image representations tailored for geolocation tasks, we concatenate the original visual representations with representations aligned with geographical information: $\mathbf{e}' = \text{concat}(\mathbf{e}^{\text{image}}, \mathbf{e}_{\text{text}}^{\text{image}}, \mathbf{e}_{\text{gps}}^{\text{image}})$. \mathbf{e}' denotes the final representation, $\mathbf{e}^{\text{image}}$ represents the vector obtained directly through the pretrained vision encoder. $\mathbf{e}_{\text{text}}^{\text{image}}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\text{gps}}^{\text{image}}$ are the image representations aligned with textual geographical descriptions and GPS information.

3.2 Location Prediction

Figure 2(C) and (D) illustrate the overview of the location prediction phase. Previous work [30] directly incorporates the GPS coordinates of similar retrieved images as references into a single RAG prompt to generate predictions. However, due to the heterogeneity of query images, the number of reference GPS coordinates varies when each sample achieves optimal prediction performance. To address this issue, Geo-diversification expands the candidate pool with prompts containing different numbers of reference coordinates, including zero (i.e., in a zero-shot manner), as shown in Figure 2(C). Illustrated in Figure 2(D), Geo-verification selects the best prediction coordinate for each sample using the well-trained Image-to-GPS encoders in Geo-alignment. In the location prediction phase, Geo-diversification and Geo-verification are introduced to enrich the diversity of generated predictions and select the predictions with the highest confidence.

Geo-diversification. Due to the heterogeneity of query images, the number of reference coordinates introduced in the RAG process varies when each sample achieves optimal prediction performance. To solve this issue, we introduce Geo-diversification. Specifically, we first construct K RAG prompts with different numbers of reference coordinates (0 reference coordinates equals zero-shot generation), and each prompt will generate N results. This process can be represented as follows: $\{c_1^k, c_2^k, \dots, c_n^k\} = \text{RAG}(p^k)$ where c^k denotes the candidate coordinate generated by the k -th RAG prompt, and p^k is the k -th RAG prompt. The final candidate pool contains the top S coordinate candidates of retrieved similar images and the generated coordinate candidates as shown in Figure 2. The final candidate pool is denoted as $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$, where $m = K \times N + S$.

Geo-verification. Given the coordinate candidates set $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m\}$, selecting the best guess is essential and challenging. We reinvent the well-trained Image-to-GPS model in Geo-alignment to achieve this target, as shown in Figure 2(D). The similarity between image representations $\mathbf{e}_{\text{gps}}^{\text{image}}$ and GPS representations \mathbf{e}^{gps} are derived by $\text{sim} = \mathbf{e}_{\text{gps}}^{\text{image}} (\mathbf{e}^{\text{image}})^T$, and the coordinate c_j with the highest similarity is selected as the final prediction by $j = \text{argmax}(\text{sim}_j)$, $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

4 MP16-Pro Dataset

To facilitate subsequent research, we propose the MP16-Pro dataset by adding textual geographical descriptions to each sample from the MediaEval Placing Tasks 2016 (MP-16) dataset [8]. Specifically, we utilize the open-source geocoding tool Nominatim to obtain multi-level geographical textual descriptions for each sample’s GPS location (**total 4.72 million locations**). There are eight geographical unit levels: neighborhood, city, county, state, region, country, country code, and continent. Some examples are given in Appendix A.1 for reference. Geographical text descriptions provide additional information for geolocalization tasks and enable models to transcend the original paradigm solely supporting image and GPS alignment, facilitating more diverse modeling approaches. MP16-Pro has been released on our repository. Please refer to Appendix A.4 to check the dataset.

5 Experiments

Datasets and evaluation metrics: For database construction and model training, we use the MP16-Pro dataset we released. It contains 4.72 million geotagged images from Flickr ². However, given that the dataset was released in 2016, currently, 4.12 million images within the dataset remain accessible. Following previous work [3, 30], we evaluate G3 with public datasets (IM2GPS3k [6] and YFCC4K [21]) and a threshold metric. Given the predicted coordinates and the ground truths, this metric quantifies the percentage of predictions where the distance to the ground truth falls within specified thresholds (1km, 25km, 200km, 750km, and 2500km).

Implementation details: We use faiss [5] to deploy the image database. The vision and text encoders are pretrained ViT-L/14 and a masked self-attention transformer from CLIP [16]. The dimensions for two trainable layers of $f_{\text{text}}, f_{\text{gps}}, f$ are 768 and 768. The input dimension of GPS encoder is 512, and the dimensions for four hidden layers of f_k in Equation 3.1 are 1024, the output dimension is 512. For the Earth radius, we set it as 6378137.0. For RFF, we use three hierarchies with σ_{min} as 2^0 and σ_{max} as 2^8 . GPT4V ³ is selected as the LMMs in this paper. Its temperature is set to 1.2. The number of RAG prompts K is set to 4, and the number of candidates for each RAG N is set to 5 for IM2GPS3K and 1 for YFCC4K. The number of similar image coordinates taken into account in candidates is 0 for IM2GPS3K and 1 for YFCC4K. G3 is trained using AdamW optimizer with learning rate $3e-5$ and weight decay $1e-6$. A step linear scheduler is employed with gamma 0.87, and the training epoch is set to 10. Training batch size is set to 256 and temperature t in Equation 2 is initialized as 3.99. All experiments are conducted with Pytorch and one NVIDIA H800 GPU. Please refer to Appendix A.2 for more details on the training environment, training time, and API cost. We also mention the reproduction details in Appendix A.4 and the limitations of G3 in Appendix A.5.

Baselines: To evaluate G3 in geolocalization, we follow previous work [3, 30] and select the following baselines for comparison: [L]kNN, $\sigma=4$ [24], PlaNet [26], CPlaNet [17], ISNs [13], Translocator [15], GeoDecoder [4], GeoCLIP [3], Img2Loc [30]. The detailed descriptions of baselines are in Appendix A.6. Due to the lack of available implementations for Img2Loc, we reproduce it based on its paper and release it in our repository for future research.

5.1 Comparison with State-of-the-art Methods

To verify the effectiveness of G3, we conduct comparative experiments on IM2GPS3K and YFCC4K with other state-of-the-art methods. The results are shown in Table 1. (1) G3 is superior to all the other baselines on all metrics. In addition, compared to the second best methods, G3 achieves **8.5%, 2.8%, 3.7%, 2.2%, 1.0%** improvements on IM2GPS3K and **21.3%, 16.9%, 13.5%, 10.6%, 4.6%** improvements on YFCC4K in the 1km, 25km, 200km, 750km, 2500km thresholds. (2) G3, Img2Loc, and GeoCLIP achieve leading results, which can be attributed to the other methods taking the worldwide geolocalization task as a classification problem, introducing inevitable systemic biases. (3) G3 demonstrates significant improvements over GeoCLIP because GeoCLIP is constrained by the settings of the GPS gallery, which can not cover the entire globe. (4) Compared to Img2Loc, G3, through Geo-alignment that aligns images with discrete and continuous geographical features, achieves more precise retrieval of reference coordinates for subsequent RAG processes. Additionally, Geo-diversification and Geo-verification effectively expand the candidate pool and filter out the

²<https://www.flickr.com/>

³<https://openai.com/>

Table 1: Overall experimental results on IM2GPS3K and YFCC4K. “*” indicates the statistically significant improvements (i.e., two-sided t-test with $p < 0.05$) over the best baseline.

| Methods | IM2GPS3K | | | | | YFCC4K | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Street 1km | City 25km | Region 200km | Country 750km | Continent 2500km | Street 1km | City 25km | Region 200km | Country 750km | Continent 2500km |
| [L]kNN, sigma=4 | 7.2 | 19.4 | 26.9 | 38.9 | 55.9 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 11 | 23.5 | 42 |
| PlaNet | 8.5 | 24.8 | 34.3 | 48.4 | 64.6 | 5.6 | 14.3 | 22.2 | 36.4 | 55.8 |
| CPlaNet | 10.2 | 26.5 | 34.6 | 48.6 | 64.6 | 7.9 | 14.8 | 21.9 | 36.4 | 55.5 |
| ISNs | 10.5 | 28 | 36.6 | 49.7 | 66 | 6.5 | 16.2 | 23.8 | 37.4 | 55 |
| Translocator | 11.8 | 31.1 | 46.7 | 58.9 | 80.1 | 8.4 | 18.6 | 27 | 41.1 | 60.4 |
| GeoDecoder | 12.8 | 33.5 | 45.9 | 61 | 76.1 | 10.3 | 24.4 | 33.9 | 50 | 68.7 |
| GeoCLIP | 14.11 | 34.47 | 50.65 | 69.67 | 83.82 | 9.59 | 19.31 | 32.63 | 55 | 74.69 |
| Img2Loc | 15.34 | 39.83 | 53.59 | 69.7 | 82.78 | 19.78 | 30.71 | 41.4 | 58.11 | 74.07 |
| Ours | 16.65* | 40.94* | 55.56* | 71.24* | 84.68* | 23.99* | 35.89* | 46.98* | 64.26* | 78.15* |

Table 2: Ablation study on IM2GPS3K and YFCC4K.

| Methods | IM2GPS3K | | | | | YFCC4K | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Street 1km | City 25km | Region 200km | Country 750km | Continent 2500km | Street 1km | City 25km | Region 200km | Country 750km | Continent 2500km |
| w/o Geo-A | 15.71 | 40.64 | 54.85 | 70.8 | 84.05 | 20.8 | 32.72 | 44.25 | 61.83 | 76.64 |
| w/o Geo-D | 16.35 | 40.51 | 53.89 | 69.2 | 83.11 | 20.28 | 31.87 | 43.67 | 60.84 | 76.25 |
| w/o Geo-V | 14.98 | 38.27 | 51.25 | 67.6 | 81.18 | 19.03 | 30.24 | 40.93 | 57.93 | 72.83 |
| Ours | 16.65 | 40.94 | 55.56 | 71.24 | 84.68 | 23.99 | 35.89 | 46.98 | 64.26 | 78.15 |

confident prediction results, further enhancing geolocalization performance. Overall, G3 achieves the best performance on all datasets across all metrics, which verifies the effectiveness of G3.

5.2 Ablation Study

To understand the specific effects of each module in G3, we design the following variants:

- **w/o Geo-A:** G3 without Geo-alignment. Directly using ViT in CLIP for database construction.
- **w/o Geo-D:** G3 without Geo-diversification. Generating prediction with one RAG prompt with 10 positive samples and 10 negative samples (the parameter has been tuned).
- **w/o Geo-V:** G3 without Geo-verification. Instead of using the well-trained Image-GPS model in Geo-alignment, this variant randomly selects the final prediction from candidates.

Table 2 shows the experimental results. We can draw the following conclusions: (1) All three modules significantly contribute to the final performance. (2) G3 achieves better performance than w/o Geo-A for Geo-alignment incorporates geographical information into image representations. As a result, the retrieved images are geographically similar to the query image, enhancing the effectiveness of references in the RAG process. (3) G3 is superior to w/o Geo-D, for the number of reference coordinates varies when each sample achieves the optimal prediction performance facing heterogeneous query images. The absence of Geo-diversification leads to suboptimal candidates. (4) Comparing G3 with w/o Geo-V, we observe a significant performance drop in w/o Geo-V, indicating the necessity of Geo-verification.

5.3 Hyperparameter Analysis

In the generation process within G3, two hyperparameters directly impact the results: the number of RAG prompts and the number of candidate coordinates generated by each single prompt.

Number of RAG prompts. To investigate the impact of varying numbers of RAG prompts, we design the following experiment: We employ four sets of RAG prompts with different reference coordinates: 0 positive, 0 negative; 5 positive, 5 negative; 10 positive, 10 negative; 15 positive, 15 negative. Starting with the first prompt, subsequent prompts will be sequentially added to change the number of RAG prompts. The number of candidates generated by each prompt is fixed to 5. As illustrated in Figure 3, the influence of RAG prompt counts on prediction performance is consistent across




Figure 3: Varying the number of RAG prompts on IM2GPS3K.




Figure 4: Varying the number of candidates for each RAG prompt on IM2GPS3K.

different metric thresholds. A significant enhancement is observed when the number increases from 1 to 2. The reason is that the zero-shot prompt (RAG prompt with 0 positive and 0 negative reference coordinates) fails to provide high-quality predictions for global images with insufficient information. The model’s performance gradually improves as the number increases from 2 to 4 because having more candidates will increase the possibility of containing the ground truth coordinates.

Number of candidates. Figure 4 shows the results varying the number of candidates for each prompt. We fix the number of prompts to 4 in this experiment. We observe that the turning points where performance begins to decline exhibit an increasing trend at different levels. Specifically, at the street level, performance declines after just one candidate, at the city level after three, at the region and country levels after five, and at the continent level after seven. Three key points merit attention: (1) The initial upward trend occurs because the generation of LMMs involves randomness. Introducing more candidates can alleviate the randomness. (2) As the number of candidates increases, performance ultimately drops, likely due to the introduction of more noise in the predictions from additional generations. (3) The turning points of decline differ by level because broader levels demonstrate greater tolerance to predictive bias when more noise candidates are included.

5.4 Effectiveness of Geo-alignment and Mercator Projection

To assess the effectiveness of Geo-alignment and Mercator projection, we conduct the following experiments focusing on the reference retrieval phase: We build image databases using different embedding techniques and then retrieve the Top-N images closest to the query image. The geodesic distances will be calculated between their coordinates and the query image. The embedding variants are illustrated as follows:

- **CLIP ViT:** Directly using the visual encoder ViT in CLIP for image embedding.
- **G3+EEP:** Geo-alignment with Equal Earth Projection (EEP).
- **G3+Mercator:** Geo-alignment with Mercator Projection.

Table 3 shows the statistics of the geodesic distances of retrieval reference images with different embedding methods. We can draw the following conclusions: (1) G3+EEP outperforms CLIP ViT as the latter only considers visual similarity, while image representations in G3+EEP encompass both visual and geographical similarity, which is essential for geolocalization tasks. (2) G3+Mercator performs better than G3+EEP, as the EEP projection method emphasizes area projection accuracy while overlooking angular distortions, which increases the training complexity and limits the performance.

Table 3: Distance statistics of retrieval reference images with different embedding methods. Avg., Md., Max., and Min. are the average, median, maximum, and minimum distances to the query image.

| Methods | Top-5 Candidates | | | | Top-10 Candidates | | | | Top-15 Candidates | | | |
|-------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Avg. | Md. | Max. | Min. | Avg. | Md. | Max. | Min. | Avg. | Md. | Max. | Min. |
| CLIP ViT | 2554.7 | 2244.6 | 5048.4 | 800.7 | 2645.9 | 2269.9 | 6376.9 | 513.3 | 2704.1 | 2307.7 | 7142.2 | 404.8 |
| G3+EEP | 2361.5 | 2089.0 | 4618.2 | 735.3 | 2434.5 | 2102.2 | 5808.9 | 479.3 | 2464.3 | 2104.3 | 6529.2 | 369.6 |
| G3+Mercator | 2299.2 | 2054.9 | 4474.7 | 699.0 | 2362.5 | 2035.4 | 5569.6 | 482.2 | 2405.0 | 2046.9 | 6341.0 | 373.0 |



Figure 5: Reference image retrieval with CLIP ViT and G3.

5.5 Case Study

Case study on reference image retrieval. Figure 5 visually demonstrates the superiority of G3 in reference image retrieval. It is evident that if CLIP’s ViT is used as the image encoder, the model primarily focuses on the human figures in the image (i.e., ‘two people posing together in the center of the photo’) while neglecting background elements beneficial for geolocation. In Geo-alignment, G3 incorporates geographical information into the image representations. As a result, retrieved images are more focused on geographical proximity (three reference images within 1 km of the actual shooting location are retrieved in the top-5 candidate images). These valuable reference images further assist the RAG process to enhance final prediction performance.

Case study on heterogeneous query image in RAG process. Figure 6 provides three examples illustrating the best prediction occurs when using RAG prompts with different numbers of references facing heterogeneous query images. (1) RAG with 0 references achieves the best performance for the first query image. This is because, on the one hand, the references are filled with biased coordinates, and on the other hand, the building in the figure is a famous landmark named Selimiye Camii mosque. The pre-trained LMMs effectively provide the longitude and latitude of this landmark based on its world knowledge. (2) For the second query image, RAG with 5 references performs best because the optimal reference appeared in the fifth position. More references do not add extra helpful information but instead introduce more noise, causing the performance of RAG with 10 references to decline; RAG with 0 references produces incorrect predictions due to the absence of clear landmark indicators in this image. (3) For the third query image, RAG with 10 references yields the best accuracy, as the references from 6 to 10 provide substantial helpful information, whereas the first five reference coordinates are far from the ground truth. Overall, from these examples, we can discern some common patterns: for images with prominent landmark features, RAG with 0 references often yields good results; for images with less informative content (such as oceans, skies, or indoor scenes), RAG with 10 references makes more comprehensive judgments based on a greater number of references; and for images with distinct regional features (images between the first two settings), RAG with 5 references will achieve satisfactory prediction accuracy.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a novel worldwide geolocation framework named G3. First, we introduce Geo-alignment to capture location-aware semantics in images by aligning images with textual geographical descriptions and GPS information. Second, Geo-diversification is proposed to improve the robustness of prediction generation via a prompt ensemble technique. Finally, Geo-verification selects the final coordinate prediction using the learned multi-modality representations. G3 is evaluated on two well-established datasets, IM2GPS3K and YFCC4K, and achieves state-of-the-art performance.




Figure 6: Predictions given with different numbers of references facing heterogeneous images.

References

- [1] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [2] Bingyi Cao, Andre Araujo, and Jack Sim. Unifying deep local and global features for image search. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XX 16*, pages 726–743. Springer, 2020.
- [3] Vicente Vivanco Cepeda, Gaurav Kumar Nayak, and Mubarak Shah. Geoclip: Clip-inspired alignment between locations and images for effective worldwide geo-localization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16020*, 2023.
- [4] Brandon Clark, Alec Kerrigan, Parth Parag Kulkarni, Vicente Vivanco Cepeda, and Mubarak Shah. Where we are and what we’re looking at: Query based worldwide image geo-localization using hierarchies and scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 23182–23190, 2023.
- [5] Matthijs Douze, Alexandr Guzhva, Chengqi Deng, Jeff Johnson, Gergely Szilvasy, Pierre-Emmanuel Mazaré, Maria Lomeli, Lucas Hosseini, and Hervé Jégou. The faiss library. 2024.
- [6] James Hays and Alexei A Efros. Im2gps: estimating geographic information from a single image. In *2008 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2008.
- [7] Herve Jegou, Matthijs Douze, and Cordelia Schmid. Product quantization for nearest neighbor search. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 33(1):117–128, 2010.
- [8] Martha Larson, Mohammad Soleymani, Guillaume Gravier, Bogdan Ionescu, and Gareth JF Jones. The benchmarking initiative for multimedia evaluation: Mediaeval 2016. *IEEE MultiMedia*, 24(1):93–96, 2017.
- [9] Seongwon Lee, Hongje Seong, Suhyeon Lee, and Euntai Kim. Correlation verification for image retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5374–5384, 2022.
- [10] Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, et al. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:9459–9474, 2020.
- [11] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36, 2024.
- [12] Liu Liu and Hongdong Li. Lending orientation to neural networks for cross-view geo-localization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5624–5633, 2019.
- [13] Eric Muller-Budack, Kader Pustu-Iren, and Ralph Ewerth. Geolocation estimation of photos using a hierarchical model and scene classification. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pages 563–579, 2018.
- [14] Hyeonwoo Noh, Andre Araujo, Jack Sim, Tobias Weyand, and Bohyung Han. Large-scale image retrieval with attentive deep local features. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 3456–3465, 2017.
- [15] Shraman Pramanick, Ewa M Nowara, Joshua Gleason, Carlos D Castillo, and Rama Chellappa. Where in the world is this image? transformer-based geo-localization in the wild. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 196–215. Springer, 2022.
- [16] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [17] Paul Hongsuck Seo, Tobias Weyand, Jack Sim, and Bohyung Han. Cplanet: Enhancing image geolocation by combinatorial partitioning of maps. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 536–551, 2018.

- [18] Shihao Shao, Kaifeng Chen, Arjun Karapur, Qinghua Cui, André Araujo, and Bingyi Cao. Global features are all you need for image retrieval and reranking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 11036–11046, 2023.
- [19] Yujiao Shi, Xin Yu, Dylan Campbell, and Hongdong Li. Where am i looking at? joint location and orientation estimation by cross-view matching. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4064–4072, 2020.
- [20] Fuwen Tan, Jiangbo Yuan, and Vicente Ordonez. Instance-level image retrieval using reranking transformers. In *proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 12105–12115, 2021.
- [21] Bart Thomee, David A Shamma, Gerald Friedland, Benjamin Elizalde, Karl Ni, Douglas Poland, Damian Borth, and Li-Jia Li. Yfcc100m: The new data in multimedia research. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(2):64–73, 2016.
- [22] Yicong Tian, Chen Chen, and Mubarak Shah. Cross-view image matching for geo-localization in urban environments. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3608–3616, 2017.
- [23] Waldo R Tobler. A computer movie simulating urban growth in the detroit region. *Economic geography*, 46(sup1):234–240, 1970.
- [24] Nam Vo, Nathan Jacobs, and James Hays. Revisiting im2gps in the deep learning era. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 2621–2630, 2017.
- [25] Wenhai Wang, Zhe Chen, Xiaokang Chen, Jiannan Wu, Xizhou Zhu, Gang Zeng, Ping Luo, Tong Lu, Jie Zhou, Yu Qiao, et al. Visionllm: Large language model is also an open-ended decoder for vision-centric tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [26] Tobias Weyand, Ilya Kostrikov, and James Philbin. Planet-photo geolocation with convolutional neural networks. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VIII 14*, pages 37–55. Springer, 2016.
- [27] Scott Workman, Richard Souvenir, and Nathan Jacobs. Wide-area image geolocation with aerial reference imagery. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3961–3969, 2015.
- [28] Hongji Yang, Xiufan Lu, and Yingying Zhu. Cross-view geo-localization with layer-to-layer transformer. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:29009–29020, 2021.
- [29] Wayne Xin Zhao, Kun Zhou, Junyi Li, Tianyi Tang, Xiaolei Wang, Yupeng Hou, Yingqian Min, Beichen Zhang, Junjie Zhang, Zican Dong, et al. A survey of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18223*, 2023.
- [30] Zhongliang Zhou, Jielu Zhang, Zihan Guan, Mengxuan Hu, Ni Lao, Lan Mu, Sheng Li, and Gengchen Mai. Img2loc: Revisiting image geolocation using multi-modality foundation models and image-based retrieval-augmented generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19584*, 2024.
- [31] Sijie Zhu, Mubarak Shah, and Chen Chen. Transgeo: Transformer is all you need for cross-view image geo-localization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1162–1171, 2022.
- [32] Sijie Zhu, Linjie Yang, Chen Chen, Mubarak Shah, Xiaohui Shen, and Heng Wang. R2former: Unified retrieval and reranking transformer for place recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 19370–19380, 2023.
- [33] Sijie Zhu, Taojiannan Yang, and Chen Chen. Vigor: Cross-view image geo-localization beyond one-to-one retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3640–3649, 2021.



4f/a0/3963216890.jpg



eb/a7/193938478.jpg



4b/5c/8178901047.jpg

Figure 7: Image data in MP16-Pro Dataset.

Table 4: More details on training and inference parameters.

| Parameter | G3 |
|----------------------|--|
| GPU | H800 80G * 1 |
| Training Time | 7 hours / epoch * 10 epoch |
| Dataset Samples | 4.12M |
| Batch Size | 256 |
| GPU Memory | 24G |
| Text Processor | Huggingface CLIP default text processor |
| Vision Processor | Huggingface CLIP default vision processor |
| Token per RAG prompt | Input: $200+30 \times k$ Output: $18 \times n$ for each RAG prompt |
| Token for IM2GPS3K | Input: 5.1M(\$51) Output: 2.16M(\$64.8) |
| Token for YFCC4K | Input: 7.2M(\$72) Output: 3.06M(\$91.8) |

A Appendix

A.1 MP16-Pro Dataset Samples

To facilitate understanding of the MP16-Pro dataset, we provide some samples from the dataset in this section. The dataset contains two parts: the image data and the metadata for images.

Figure 7 gives three examples from the MP16-Pro dataset. Take these three images as examples, MP16-Pro adds extra textual descriptions based on their coordinates:

- For image 4f/a0/3963216890.jpg, LAT: 47.217578, LON: 7.542092, neighbourhood: Wengistein, city: Solothurn, county: Amtei Solothurn-Lebern, state: Solothurn, region: NA, country: Switzerland, country_code: ch, continent: NA.
- For image eb/a7/193938478.jpg, LAT: 39.950477, LON: -75.157535, neighbourhood: Center City, city: Philadelphia, county: Philadelphia County, state: Pennsylvania, region: NA, country: United States, country_code: us, continent: NA.
- For image 4b/5c/8178901047.jpg, LAT: -34.580365, LON: -58.425464, neighbourhood: Palermo, city: Buenos Aires, county: NA, state: Autonomous City of Buenos Aires, region: NA, country: Argentina, country_code: ar, continent: NA.

Due to size limitations, the metadata for images can not be uploaded to the anonymous repository or supplementary materials. We will release the materials as soon as possible. Additionally, downloading images based on each image URL is a time-consuming and labor-intensive process. Therefore, we have packaged the image data and will make it available for download at an appropriate time. However, this has not been achieved due to anonymity restrictions and anonymous repository storage limitations.

A.2 More Details on Training and Inference

In this section, we detail the training and inference process by providing information about the training environment, text and vision processors, and token costs. The Input token cost and output token cost for each RAG prompt are $200 + 30 \times k$ and $18 \times n$, where 200 is the fixed token cost for prompts and images with resolution parameter "low", and the 30 is related to the reference

| Error \leq | Images | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| 1KM |  | Aerial view of Paris city with the Eiffel Tower and a river bridge." data-bbox="476 141 623 216"/> | Interior view of the Parthenon in Athens." data-bbox="668 141 815 216"/> |
| 25KM | View of a large stone building with towers, possibly a castle or cathedral." data-bbox="284 236 431 311"/> | A large steel arch bridge over a body of water." data-bbox="476 236 623 311"/> | A large stone structure, possibly a ruin or a large building." data-bbox="668 236 815 311"/> |
| 200KM | Coastal view with a large hillside and buildings." data-bbox="284 331 431 406"/> | Mountain range with snow-capped peaks." data-bbox="476 331 623 406"/> | Mountainous landscape with a dirt road." data-bbox="668 331 815 406"/> |
| 750KM | Snowy landscape with trees." data-bbox="284 426 431 501"/> | A stone building with a large tree in front." data-bbox="476 426 623 501"/> | Group of people in kayaks on a river." data-bbox="668 426 815 501"/> |
| 2500KM | A giraffe in an enclosure." data-bbox="284 521 431 596"/> | A vast blue ocean." data-bbox="476 521 623 596"/> | A river flowing through a lush green forest." data-bbox="668 521 815 596"/> |

Figure 8: Example query images from IM2GPS3K that G3 localization error falls in 1km, 25km, 200km, 750km, 2500km thresholds.

coordinates, k denotes the number of references in the prompt. For the output, 18 is the token cost for one-time generation, and n is the times of generations.

A.3 G3 with Query Image

Figure 8 showcases some example query images from the IM2GPS3K dataset, with different rows representing the varying localization errors of G3 on these images. We can observe patterns in query images with errors ranging from 1km to 2500km. Images with lower errors often feature prominent landmarks or regional characteristics, such as buildings, decorations, and symbols. On one hand, these images are more likely to have more similar images in the database. On the other hand, LMMs are more sensitive to this type of information and can provide more accurate predictions. In contrast, query images with higher errors contain less effective information; large expanses of ocean, water bodies, and snow scenes offer limited assistance for geolocation.

A.4 Details on Reproduction

We provide codes both in anonymous github ⁴ and supplementary documents. Due to anonymity restrictions and size limitations, we can not contain the checkpoints file (1.6G) and the metadata of the MP16-Pro dataset (around 700MB) in anonymous GitHub or supplementary materials. We will release these data as soon as possible.

A.4.1 File Structure

- **checkpoints:** The folder we put the well-trained checkpoints. We have contained the pre-trained checkpoints in supplementary documents.
- **index:** The folder we put the index file and retrieval image index file. We have contained the necessary index file for RAG process.
- **data:** The folder we put dataset, experimental results, and dataset preprocess scripts.
- **test_files:** This folder contains one file to aggregate different generations from multiple prompts.
- **utils:** This folder contains model scripts for G3 and GeoCLIP.
- **IndexSearch.py:** The script for index building, searching, and evaluation.
- **llm_predict.py:** The script for generating LLM predictions (including Img2Loc reproduction).
- **run_G3.py:** The codes for Geo-alignment.
- **run_GeoCLIP.py:** The codes for GeoCLIP.

A.4.2 Usage Example

G3 contains three modules: Geo-alignment, Geo-diversification, and Geo-verification. Since these modules are completely decoupled, you can reproduce the experimental results at any stage. Here, we will provide examples for each module.

Examples for Geo-alignment: Please run the script *python run_G3.py* to align image representations with GPS and textual information. This step will output the checkpoint file in the checkpoint directory.

Examples for Geo-diversification: We have uploaded the index file for retrieval images for both the IM2GPS3K and YFCC4K datasets with G3. You can also use *IndexSearch.py* to generate these files by yourself. Then simply run *python llm_predict.py* to generate the predictions by LMMs. Remember to set the *api_key* and *base_url* in the script to use your personal API service. Finally, run the script *test_file/aggregate_llm_predictions.ipynb* to aggregate predictions from different prompts.

Examples for Geo-verification: We have also uploaded the generated predictions under the corresponding dataset directories. You can simply run *python IndexSearch.py -index=g3_mecarto -dataset=im2gps3k or yfcc4k* to perform Geo-verification and evaluate the results.

A.5 Limitations

The limitation of G3 is mainly about its efficiency. G3 relies on a large number of candidates generated through Geo-diversification. However, the noise candidates generated by Geo-diversification lead to low efficiency in the use of computational resources. A potential solution is to expand the database or enhance the geolocalization ability of LMMs by fine-tuning to help create prediction candidates more efficiently and effectively. In another aspect, the image vector length in Geo-alignment comprises three concatenated vectors, which increases storage demand and retrieval latency. A potential solution is to use quantizers, such as ProductQuantizer [7], to accelerate vector retrieval speed and reduce storage pressure.

A.6 Details on Baselines

- **[L]kNN, $\sigma = 4$ [24].** KNN makes use of the top k NN images and aggregates their coordinates to a prediction point. As k decreases, σ decreases, this method transforms to NN.

⁴The link will be released after publication.

- **PlaNet [17]**. PlaNet is the first work posing worldwide geolocalization as a classification task by dividing the globe into thousands of multi-scale geographical cells. It can combine the complex clues in images to help pinpoint the shooting location of images.
- **CPlaNet [17]**. CPlaNet tries to solve the trade-off of cell granularity. It introduces combinatorial partitioning, which creates detailed output classes by intersecting broad earth partitions, with each classifier voting for overlapping classes.
- **ISNs [13]**. ISNs combines the hierarchical information that existed in the partitionings and the photo's scene contextual information (e.g., indoor, natural, or urban, etc.) to give the prediction.
- **Translocator [15]**. Translocator is a dual-branch transformer network that focuses on the detailed clues in images and generates robust feature representations. The semantic segmentation map and the entire image will be the input to translator.
- **GeoDecoder [4]**. GeoDecoder argues that previous work fails to exploit the detailed cues in different hierarchical levels. It proposes a cross-attention network to capture the complex relationships between different hierarchical features.
- **GeoCLIP [3]**. GeoCLIP is based on the CLIP backbone model and first introduces a GPS encoder to transform coordinates into embeddings in worldwide geolocalization tasks.
- **Img2Loc [30]**. Img2Loc combines the RAG paradigm into worldwide geolocalization and is the latest work in this field. It first retrieves similar images via visual similarity and puts the coordinates of these images into RAG prompt to help generate predictions.