ODGEN: Domain-specific Object Detection Data Generation with Diffusion Models

Jingyuan	Zhu	Shiyu Li		
Tsinghua Uni	versity	Apple		
jy-zhu20@mails.ts	inghua.edu.cn shi	shiyu_li@apple.com		
Yuxuan Liu	Ping Huang	Jiulong Shan		
Apple	Apple	Apple		
liuyuxuan@apple.com	huang_ping@apple.com	jlshan@apple.com		
Huimin M	a	Jian Yuan		
University of Science and T	echnology Beijing T	Singhua University		

of Science and Technology Beijing mhmpub@ustb.edu.cn

Tsinghua University jyuan@tsinghua.edu.cn



Figure 1: The proposed ODGEN enables controllable image generation from bounding boxes and text prompts. It can generate high-quality data for complex scenes, encompassing multiple categories, dense objects, and occlusions, which can be used to enrich the training data for object detection.

Abstract

Modern diffusion-based image generative models have made significant progress and become promising to enrich training data for the object detection task. However, the generation quality and the controllability for complex scenes containing multiclass objects and dense objects with occlusions remain limited. This paper presents ODGEN, a novel method to generate high-quality images conditioned on bounding boxes, thereby facilitating data synthesis for object detection. Given a domainspecific object detection dataset, we first fine-tune a pre-trained diffusion model on both cropped foreground objects and entire images to fit target distributions. Then we propose to control the diffusion model using synthesized visual prompts with spatial constraints and object-wise textual descriptions. ODGEN exhibits robustness in handling complex scenes and specific domains. Further, we design a dataset synthesis pipeline to evaluate ODGEN on 7 domain-specific benchmarks to demonstrate its effectiveness. Adding training data generated by ODGEN improves up to 25.3% mAP@.50:.95 with object detectors like YOLOv5 and YOLOv7, outperforming prior controllable generative methods. In addition, we design an

evaluation protocol based on COCO-2014 to validate ODGEN in general domains and observe an advantage up to 5.6% in mAP@.50:.95 against existing methods.

1 Introduction

Object detection is one of the most widely used computer vision tasks in real-world applications. However, data scarcity poses a significant challenge to the performance of state-of-the-art models like YOLOv5 [23] and YOLOv7 [52]. With the progress of generative diffusion models, such as Stable Diffision [42], and DALL-E [12], which can generate high-quality images from text prompts, recent works have started to explore the potential of synthesizing images for perceptual model training. Furthermore, methods like ControlNet [60], ReCo [59], and GLIGEN [31] provide various ways to control the contents of synthetic images. Concretely, extra visual or textual conditions are introduced as guidance for diffusion models to generate objects under certain spatial constraints. Therefore, it becomes feasible to generate images together with instance-level or pixel-level annotations.

Nevertheless, it remains challenging to generate an effective supplementary training set for real-world object detection applications. Firstly, large-scale pre-trained diffusion models are usually trained on web crawl datasets such as LAION [46], whose distributions may be quite distinct from specialist domains. The domain gap could undermine the fidelity of generated images, especially the detailed textures and styles of the objects, e.g., the tumor in medical images or the avatar in a video game. Secondly, the text prompts for object detection scenes may contain multiple categories of subjects. It could cause the "concept bleeding" [38] problem for modern diffusion models, which is defined as the unintended merging or overlapping of distinct visual elements in an image. As a result, the synthetic images can be inconsistent with pseudo labels used as generative conditions. Thirdly, overlapping objects, which are common in object detection datasets, are likely to be merged as one single object by existing controllable generative methods. Lastly, some objects may be neglected by the diffusion model so that no foreground is generated at the conditioned location. All of the limitations can hinder the downstream object detection performance.

To address these challenges, we propose ODGEN, a novel method to generate synthetic images with a fine-tuned diffusion model and object-wise conditioning modules that control the categories and locations of generated objects. We first fine-tune the diffusion model on a given domain-specific dataset, with not only entire images but also cropped foreground patches, to improve the synthesis quality of both background scene and foreground objects. Secondly, we design a new text prompt embedding process. We propose to encode the class name of each object separately by the frozen CLIP [39] text encoder, to avoid mutual interference between different concepts. Then we stack the embeddings and encode them with a newly introduced trainable text embedding encoder. Thirdly, we propose to use synthetic patches of foreground objects as the visual condition. Each patch is resized and pasted on an empty canvas according to bounding box annotations, which deliver both conceptual and spatial information without interference from overlap between objects. In addition, we train foreground/background discriminators to check whether the region of each pseudo label contains a synthetic object. If no object can be found, the pseudo label will be filtered.

We evaluate the effectiveness of our ODGEN in specific domains on 7 subsets of the Roboflow-100 benchmark [6]. Extensive experimental results show that adding our synthetic data improves up to 25.3% mAP@.50:.95 on YOLO detectors, outperforming prior controllable generative methods. Furthermore, we validate ODGEN in general domains with an evaluation protocol designed based on COCO-2014 [35] and gain an advantage up to 5.6% in mAP@.50:.95 than prior methods.

The main contributions of this work can be summarized as follows:

- We propose to fine-tune pre-trained diffusion models with both cropped foreground patches and entire images to generate high-quality domain-specific target objects and background scenes.
- We design a novel strategy to control diffusion models with object-wise text prompts and synthetic visual conditions, improving their capability of generating and controlling complex scenes.
- We conduct extensive experiments to demonstrate that our synthetic data effectively improves the performance of object detectors. Our method outperforms prior works on fidelity and trainability in both specific and general domains.

2 Related Works

Diffusion models [47, 19, 48, 7, 36, 27] have made great progress in recent years and become mainstream for image generation, showing higher fidelity and training stability than prior generative models like GANs [15, 4, 25, 26, 24] and VAEs [28, 41, 50]. Latent diffusion models (LDMs) [42] perform the denoising process in the compressed latent space and achieve flexible generators conditioned on inputs like text and semantic maps. LDMs significantly improve computational efficiency and enable training on internet-scale datasets [46]. Modern large-scale text-to-image diffusion models including Imagen [44], DALL·E [40], eDiff-I [2], and Stable Diffusion [42, 38] have demonstrated unparalleled capabilities to produce diverse samples with high fidelity given unfettered text prompts.

Layout-to-image generation synthesizes images conditioned on graphical inputs of layouts. Pioneering works [33, 20] based on GANs [15] and transformers [51] successfully generate images consistent with given layouts. LayoutDiffusion [64] fuses layout and category embeddings and builds object-aware cross-attention for local conditioning with traditional diffusion models. LayoutDiffuse [22] employs layout attention modules for bounding boxes based on LDMs. MultiDiffusion [3] and BoxDiff [56] develop training-free frameworks to produce samples with spatial constraints. GLIGEN [31] inserts trainable gated self-attention layers to pre-trained LDMs to fit specific tasks and is hard to generalize to scenes uncommon for pre-trained models. ReCo [59] and GeoDiffusion [5] extend LDMs with position tokens added to text prompts and fine-tunes both text encoders and diffusion models to realize region-aware controls. They need abundant data to build the capability of encoding the layout information in text prompts. ControlNet [60] reuses the encoders of LDMs as backbones to learn diverse controls. However, it still struggles to deal with some complex cases. MIGC [65] decomposes multi-instance synthesis to single-instance subtasks utilizing additional attention modules. InstanceDiffusion [53] adds precise instance-level controls, including boxes, masks, and scribbles for text-to-image generation. Given annotations of bounding boxes, this paper introduces a novel approach applicable to both specific and general domains to synthesize high-fidelity complex scenes consistent with annotations for the object detection task.

Dataset synthesis for training object detection models. Copy-paste [9] is a simple but effective data augmentation method for detectors. Simple Copy-Paste [13] achieves improvements with a simple random placement strategy for objects. Following works [12, 63] generate foreground objects and then paste them on background images. However, generated images by these methods usually have obvious artifacts on the boundary of pasted regions. DatasetGAN [62] takes an early step to generate labeled images automatically. Diffusion models have been used to synthesize training data and benefit downstream tasks including object detection [11, 5, 61, 10], image classification [1, 8, 17, 32, 45, 49], and semantic segmentation [54, 21, 14, 29, 37, 55, 58, 34, 57]. Modern approaches for image-label pairs generation can be roughly divided into two categories. One group of works [14, 54, 11, 29, 34, 57, 61] first generate images and then apply a pre-trained perception model to generate pseudo labels on the synthetic images. The other group [5, 37, 21] uses the same strategy as our approach to synthesize images under the guidance of annotations as input conditions. The second group also overlaps with layout-to-image approaches [31, 60, 59, 65, 53, 22, 64, 30]. Our approach should be assigned to the second group and is designed to address challenging cases like multi-class objects, occlusions between objects, and specific domains.

3 Method

This section presents ODGEN, a novel approach to generate high-quality images conditioned on bounding box labels for specific domains. Firstly, we propose a new method to fine-tune the diffusion model in Sec. 3.1. Secondly, we design an object-wise conditioning method in Sec. 3.2. Finally, we introduce a generation pipeline to build synthetic datasets for detector training enhancement in Sec. 3.3.

3.1 Domain-specific Diffusion Model Fine-tuning

Modern Stable Diffusion [42] models are trained on the LAION-5B [46] dataset. Therefore, the textual prompts and generated images usually follow similar distributions to the web crawl data. However, real-world object detection datasets could come from very specific domains. We fine-tune the UNet of the Stable Diffusion to fit the distribution of an arbitrary object detection dataset. For



Figure 2: ODGEN training pipeline: (a) A pre-trained diffusion model is fine-tuned on a detection dataset with both entire images and cropped foreground patches. (b) A text list is built based on class labels. The fine-tuned diffusion model in stage (a) is used to generate a synthetic object image for each text. Generated object images are resized and pasted on empty canvases per box positions, constituting an image list. (c) The image list is concatenated in the channel dimension and encoded as conditions for ControlNet. The text list is encoded by the CLIP text encoder, stacked, and encoded again by the text embedding encoder as inputs for ControlNet.

training images, we use not only the entire images from the dataset but also the crops of foreground objects. In particular, we crop foreground objects and resize them to 512×512 . In terms of the text input, as shown in Fig. 2 (a), we use templated condition "a *scene*>" named c_s for entire images and "a *classname*>" named c_o for cropped objects. If the names are terminologies, following the subject-driven approach in DreamBooth [43], we can employ identifiers like "[V]" to represent the target objects and scenes, improving the robustness to textual ambiguity under the domain-specific context. x_s^t and x_o^t represent the input of scenes x_s and objects x_t added to noises at time step t. ϵ_s and ϵ_o represent the added noises following normal Gaussian distributions. The pre-trained Stable Diffusion parameterized by θ is fine-tuned with the reconstruction loss as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{rec} = \mathbb{E}_{x_o, t, \epsilon_o \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)} \left[||\epsilon_o - \epsilon_\theta(x_o^t, t, \tau(c_o))||^2 \right] \\ + \lambda \mathbb{E}_{x_s, t, \epsilon_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)} \left[||\epsilon_s - \epsilon_\theta(x_s^t, t, \tau(c_s))||^2 \right]$$
(1)

where λ controls the relative weight for the reconstruction loss of scene images. τ is the frozen CLIP text encoder. Our approach guides the fine-tuned model to capture more details of foreground objects and maintain its capability of synthesizing the complete scenes.

3.2 Object-wise Conditioning

ControlNet [60] can perform controllable synthesis with visual conditions. However, the control can be challenging with an increasing object number and category number due to the "concept bleeding" phenomenon [38]. Stronger conditions are needed to ensure high-fidelity generation. To this end, we propose a novel object-wise conditioning strategy with ControlNet.



Figure 3: Pipeline for object detection dataset synthesis. **Yellow block**: estimate Gaussian distributions for the bounding box number, area, aspect ratio, and location based on the training set. **Blue block**: sample pseudo labels from the Gaussian distributions and generate conditions including text and image lists to synthesize novel images. **Pink block**: train a classifier with foreground and background patches randomly cropped from the training set and use it to filter pseudo labels that failed to be synthesized. Finally, the filtered labels and synthetic images compose datasets.

Text list encoding. As shown in Fig. 2 (b) and (c), given a set of object class names and their bounding boxes, we first build a text list consisting of each object with the fixed template "a <classname>". Then the text list is padded with empty texts to a fixed length N and converted to a list of embeddings by a pre-trained CLIP text tokenizer and encoder. The embeddings are stacked and encoded by a 4-layer convolutional text embedding encoder, and used as the textual condition for ControlNet. The text encoding of native ControlNet compresses the information in global text prompts altogether, resulting in mutual interference between distinct categories. To alleviate this "concept bleeding" [38] problem of multiple categories, our two-step text encoding enables the ControlNet to capture the information of each object with separate encoding.

Image list encoding. As shown in Fig. 2 (b) and (c), for each item in the text list, we use the fine-tuned diffusion model to generate images for each foreground object. We then resize each generated image and paste it on an empty canvas based on the corresponding bounding box. The set of pasted images is denoted as an image list, which contains both conceptual and spatial information of the objects. The image list is concatenated and zero-padded to N in the channel dimension and then encoded to the size of latent space by an image encoder. Applying an image list rather than pasting all objects on a single image can effectively avoid the influence of object occlusions.

Optimization. With a pair of image and object detection annotation, we generate the text list c_{tl} and image list c_{il} as introduced in this section. The input global text prompt c_t of the diffusion model is composed of the object class names and a scene name, which is usually related to the dataset name and fixed for each dataset. The ControlNet, along with the integrated encoders, are trained with the reconstruction loss. In addition, the weights of foreground regions are enhanced to produce more realistic foreground objects in complex scenes. The overall loss function is formulated as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{recon} = \mathbb{E}_{x,t,c_t,c_{tl},c_{il},\epsilon\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1)} \left[||\epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t,t,c_t,c_{tl},c_{il})||^2 \right] \mathcal{L}_{control} = \mathcal{L}_{recon} + \gamma \mathcal{L}_{recon} \odot \mathcal{M}$$
(2)

where \mathcal{M} represents a binary mask with 1 on foreground pixels 0 on background pixels. \odot represents element-wise multiplication, and γ controls the foreground re-weighting.

3.3 Dataset Synthesis Pipeline for Object Detection

Our dataset synthesis pipeline is summarized in Fig. 3. We generate random bounding boxes and classes as pseudo labels based on the distributions of the training set. Then, the pseudo labels are



Figure 4: Comparison between ODGEN and other methods under the same condition shown in the first column. ODGEN can be generalized to specific domains and enables accurate layout control.

converted to triplets: <image list, text list, global text prompt>. ODGEN uses the triplets as inputs to synthesize images with the fine-tuned diffusion model. In addition, we filter out the pseudo labels in which areas the foreground objects fail to be generated.

Object distribution estimation. To simulate the training set distribution, we compute the mean and variance of bounding box attributes and build normal distributions. In particular, given a dataset with K categories, we calculate the class-wise average occurrence number per image $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_K)$ and the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ to build a multi-variable joint normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. In addition, for each category k, we build normal distributions $\mathcal{N}(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mu_y, \sigma_y^2)$ for the top-left coordinate of the bounding boxes, $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{area}, \sigma_{area}^2)$ for box areas, and distributions $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{ratio}, \sigma_{ratio}^2)$ for aspect ratios.

Image synthesis. We first sample the object number per category from the *K*-dimensional joint normal distributions. Then for each object, we sample its bounding box location and size from the corresponding estimated normal distributions. The sampled values are used to generate text lists, image lists, and global text prompts. Finally, we use ODGEN to generate images with the triplets.

Corrupted label filtering. There is a certain possibility that some objects fail to be generated in synthetic images. While utilizing image lists alleviates the concern to some extent, the diffusion model may still neglect some objects in complex scenes containing dense or overlapping objects. As a result, the synthesized pixels could not match the pseudo labels and will undermine downstream training performance. We fine-tune a ResNet50 [16] with foreground and background patches cropped from the training set to classify whether the patch contains an object. The discriminator checks whether objects are successfully synthesized in the regions of pseudo labels, rejects nonexistent ones, and further improves the accuracy of synthetic datasets.

4 Experiments

We conduct extensive experiments to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed ODGEN in both specific and general domains. FID [18] is used as the metric of fidelity. Mean average precisions (mAP) of YOLOv5s [23] and YOLOv7 [52] trained with synthetic data are used to evaluate the trainability, which concerns the usefulness of synthetic data for detector training. Our approach is implemented with Stable Diffusion v2.1 and compared with prior controllable generation methods

meves better re	neves better results than the other on all / domain-specific datasets.							
Datasets	ReCo	GLIGEN	ControlNet	GeoDiffusion	ODGEN			
Apex Game	88.69	125.27	97.32	120.61	58.21			
Robomaster	70.12	167.44	134.92	76.81	57.37			
MRI Image	202.36	270.52	212.45	341.74	93.82			
Cotton	108.55	89.85	196.87	203.02	85.17			
Road Traffic	80.18	98.83	162.27	68.11	63.52			
Aquarium	122.71	98.38	146.26	162.19	83.07			
Underwater	73.29	147.33	126.58	125.32	70.20			

Table 1: FID (\downarrow) scores computed over 5000 images synthesized by each approach on RF7 datasets. ODGEN achieves better results than the other on all 7 domain-specific datasets.

Table 2: mAP@.50:.95 (†) of YOLOv5s / YOLOv7 on RF7. Baseline models are trained with 200 real images only, whereas the other models are trained with 200 real + 5000 synthetic images from various methods. ODGEN leads to the biggest improvement on all 7 domain-specific datasets.

unous methods. OD ODI (neuds to the orggest improvement on un / domain speeme dudisets.						
	Baseline	ReCo	GLIGEN	ControlNet	GeoDiffusion	ODGEN
real + synth #	200 + 0	200 + 5000	200 + 5000	200 + 5000	200 + 5000	200 + 5000
Apex Game	38.3 / 47.2	25.0/31.5	24.8 / 32.5	33.8 / 42.7	29.2 / 35.8	39.9 / 52.6
Robomaster	27.2 / 26.5	18.2 / 27.9	19.1 / 25.0	24.4 / 32.9	18.2 / 22.6	39.6 / 34.7
MRI Image	37.6/27.4	42.7 / 38.3	32.3 / 25.9	44.7 / 37.2	42.0 / 38.9	46.1 / 41.5
Cotton	16.7 / 20.5	29.3/ 37.5	28.0/39.0	22.6 / 35.1	30.2 / 36.0	42.0 / 43.2
Road Traffic	35.3 / 41.0	22.8 / 29.3	22.2 / 29.5	22.1 / 30.5	17.2 / 29.4	39.2 / 43.8
Aquarium	30.0 / 29.6	23.8/34.3	24.1 / 32.2	18.2 / 25.6	21.6 / 30.9	32.2 / 38.5
Underwater	16.7 / 19.4	13.7 / 15.8	14.9 / 18.5	15.5 / 17.8	13.8 / 17.2	19.2 / 22.0

based on Stable Diffusion, including ReCo [59], GLIGEN [31], ControlNet [60], GeoDiffusion [5], and MIGC [65]. Native ControlNet doesn't support bounding box conditions. Therefore, we convert boxes to a mask C sized $H \times W \times K$, where H and W are the image height and width, and K is the class number. If one pixel (i, j) is in n boxes of class k, the C[i, j, k] = n. YOLO models are trained with the same recipe as Roboflow-100 [6] for 100 epochs to ensure convergence. The length of text and image lists N is set to the maximum object number per image in the training set.

4.1 Specific Domains

Roboflow-100 [6] is used for the evaluation in specific domains. It consists of 100 object detection datasets of various domains. We select 7 representative datasets (RF7) covering video games, medical imaging, and underwater scenes. To simulate data scarcity, we only sample 200 images as the training set for each dataset. We fine-tune all methods with the 200 images and generate 5000 new synthetic images for evaluation. λ in Eq. (1) and γ in Eq. (2) are set as 1 and 25. We first fine-tune the diffusion model according to Fig. 2 (a) for 3k iterations. Then we train the object-wise conditioning modules on a V100 GPU with batch size 4 for 200 epochs, the same as the other methods to be compared.

4.1.1 Fidelity

For each dataset in RF7, we compute the FID scores of synthetic images against real images, respectively. As shown in Tab. 1, our approach outperforms all the other methods. We visualize the generation quality in Fig. 4. GLIGEN only updates gated self-attention layers inserted to Stable Diffusion, making it hard to be generalized to specific domains like MRI images and the Apex game. ReCo and GeoDiffusion integrate encoded bounding box information into text tokens, which require more data for diffusion model and text encoder fine-tuning. With only 200 images, they fail to generate objects in the given box regions. ControlNet, integrating the bounding box information into the visual condition, presents more consistent results with the annotation. However, it may still miss some objects or generate wrong categories in complex scenes. ODGEN achieves superior performance on both visual effects and consistency with annotations.

4.1.2 Trainability

To explore the effectiveness of synthetic data for detector training, we train YOLO models pre-trained on COCO with different data and compare their mAP@.50:.95 scores in Tab. 2. In the baseline setting, we only use the 200 real images for training. For the other settings, we use a combination



Figure 5: Visualized results comparison for models trained on COCO. ODGEN is better qualified for synthesizing complex scenes with multiple categories of objects and bounding box occlusions.

Table 3: FID(\downarrow) and mAP(\uparrow) of YOLOv5s / YOLOv7 on COCO. FID is computed with 41k synthetic images. For mAP, YOLO models are trained from scratch on 10k synthetic images and validated on 31k real images. ODGEN outperforms all the other methods in terms of both fidelity and trainability.

Metrics	ReCo	GLIGEN	ControlNet	GeoDiffusion	MIGC	ODGEN
FID	18.36	26.15	25.54	30.00	21.82	16.16
mAP@.50	7.60/11.01	6.70/9.42	1.43 / 1.15	5.94 / 9.21	9.54 / 16.01	18.90 / 24.40
mAP@.50:.95	3.82 / 5.29	3.56 / 4.60	0.52 / 0.38	2.37 / 4.44	4.67 / 8.65	9.70 / 14.20

of 200 real and 5000 synthetic images. Our approach gains improvement over the baseline and outperforms all the other methods.

4.2 General Domains

COCO-2014 [35] is used to evaluate our method in general domains. As the scenes in this dataset are almost covered by the pre-training data of Stable Diffusion, we skip the domain-specific fine-tuning stage and train the diffusion model together with the object-wise conditioning modules. We train our ODGEN on the COCO training set with batch size 32 for 60 epochs on $\times 8$ V100 GPUs as well as GLIGEN, ControlNet, and GeoDiffusion. Officially released checkpoints for ReCo (trained for 100 epochs) and MIGC (trained for 300 epochs) are directly employed for comparison. γ in Eq. (2) is set as 10 for our ODGEN trained on COCO.

4.2.1 Fidelity

We use the annotations of the COCO validation set (41k) as conditions to generate 41k images. The FID scores in Tab. 3 are computed against real images in the COCO validation set. ODGEN achieves better FID results than the other methods. We provide a typical visualized example in Fig. 5. Given overlapping bounding boxes of multiple categories, ODGEN synthesizes all the objects of correct categories and accurate positions, outperforming the other methods. Substantial visualized samples are added in Appendix F.

4.2.2 Trainability

We use 10k annotations randomly sampled from the COCO validation set to generate a synthetic dataset of 10k images. The YOLO models are trained on this synthetic dataset from scratch and evaluated on the other 31k images in the COCO validation set. As shown in Tab. 3, ODGEN achieves significant improvement over the other methods in terms of mAP.

4.3 Ablation Study

Image list and text list in object-wise conditioning modules. ODGEN enables object-wise conditioning with an image list and a text list as shown in Fig. 2. We test the performance of using global text prompts in the placement of text lists or pasting all the foreground patches on the same empty canvas in the placement of image lists for the ablation study. Experiments are performed on the MRI and Road Traffic datasets in RF7. The MRI dataset only has two categories and almost no overlapping objects, whereas the road traffic dataset has 7 categories and many occluded objects. From the results in Tab. 4, it can be found that using image lists or text lists brings moderate improvement on MRI images and significant improvement on the more complex Road Traffic dataset.

Table 4: Using the image list (IL) and the text list (TL) benefits FID and mAP (YOLOv5s / YOLOv7). They are especially helpful for the Road Traffic dataset which has more categories and occlusions.

Table 5: I	Proper	γ values	s in Eq. (2) benef	ìt		
both FID a	ind mA	AP (YOLO	Ov5s / YOLOv7) o	of		
synthetic i	synthetic images, while overwhelming values					
lead to deg	genera	tion.				
Datasets	γ	$FID(\downarrow)$	mAP@.50:.95 (†)	_		

Datasets	IL	TL	$FID(\downarrow)$	mAP@.50:.95 (†)	-	Datasets	γ	$FID(\downarrow)$	mAP@.50:.95
	X	×	98.29	44.6 / 39.9			0	83.94	30.8 / 34.5
MRI	×	\checkmark	95.67	44.9 / 41.4		Aqua-	25	83.07	32.2 / 38.5
Image	\checkmark	×	99.16	46.2 / 41.0		rium	50	87.00	32.1 / 38.0
	\checkmark	\checkmark	93.82	46.1 / 41.5			100	90.13	29.9 / 35.7
	Х	×	67.40	25.5 / 35.4			0	66.65	36.9 / 39.5
Road	×	\checkmark	66.48	26.5 / 37.0		Road	25	63.52	39.2 / 43.8
Traffic	\checkmark	×	65.80	32.3 / 39.1		Traffic	50	66.46	36.6 / 38.9
	\checkmark	\checkmark	63.52	39.2 / 43.8			100	72.18	32.9 / 37.1



Figure 6: Visualized ablations. **Left**: using neither image nor text lists, a traffic light is generated in the position of a bus, and a car is generated in the position of a traffic light; **Middle**: not using image list, two occluded motorcycles are merged as one; **Right**: not using text list, a motorcycle is generated in the position of a vehicle. Using both image and text lists generates correct results.

Visualization in Fig. 6 shows that the image list improves the fidelity under occlusion, and the text list mitigates the mutual interference of multiple objects.

Foreground region enhancement. We introduce a reweighting term controlled by γ for foreground objects in Eq. (2). Tab. 5 shows that appropriate γ values can improve both the fidelity and the trainability since the foreground details are better generated. However, increasing the value will undermine the background quality, as visualized in Fig. 6.

Corrupted label filtering. Results in Tab. 6 show that the corrupted label filtering step helps improve the mAP@.50:95 of ODGEN by around 1-2%. The results of ODGEN without the corrupted label filtering still significantly outperform the baseline setting in Tab. 2.

Table 6: mAP (YOLOv5s / YOLOv7) of the corrupted label filtering for ODGEN.

Datasets	Label filtering	mAP@.50:.95 (†)
	\checkmark	42.0 / 43.2
Cotton	×	40.5 / 42.1
Robo-	\checkmark	39.6 / 34.7
master	×	39.0 / 33.3
Under-	\checkmark	19.2 / 22.0
water	×	18.9 / 21.6

5 Conclusion

This paper introduces ODGEN, a novel approach aimed at generating domain-specific object detection datasets to enhance the performance of detection models. We propose to fine-tune the diffusion model on both cropped foreground objects and entire images. We design a mechanism to control the foreground objects with object-wise synthesized visual prompts and textual descriptions. Our work significantly enhances the performance of diffusion models in synthesizing complex scenes characterized by multiple categories of objects and bounding box occlusions. Extensive experiments demonstrate the superiority of ODGEN in terms of fidelity and trainability across both specific and general domains. We believe this work has taken an essential step toward more robust image synthesis and highlights the potential of benefiting the object detection task with synthetic data.



Figure 7: With increasing γ value, our approach produces higher-quality foreground objects. However, overwhelming γ leads to blurred background and degenerated fidelity.

References

- S. Azizi, S. Kornblith, C. Saharia, M. Norouzi, and D. J. Fleet. Synthetic data from diffusion models improves imagenet classification. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, 2023. 3
- [2] Y. Balaji, S. Nah, X. Huang, A. Vahdat, J. Song, K. Kreis, M. Aittala, T. Aila, S. Laine, B. Catanzaro, et al. ediffi: Text-to-image diffusion models with an ensemble of expert denoisers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01324, 2022. 3
- [3] O. Bar-Tal, L. Yariv, Y. Lipman, and T. Dekel. Multidiffusion: fusing diffusion paths for controlled image generation. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1737–1752, 2023. 3
- [4] A. Brock, J. Donahue, and K. Simonyan. Large scale GAN training for high fidelity natural image synthesis. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019. 3
- [5] K. Chen, E. Xie, Z. Chen, Y. Wang, L. Hong, Z. Li, and D.-Y. Yeung. Geodiffusion: Text-prompted geometric con-trol for object detection data generation. 2023. 3, 7, 15
- [6] F. Ciaglia, F. S. Zuppichini, P. Guerrie, M. McQuade, and J. Solawetz. Roboflow 100: A rich, multi-domain object detection benchmark. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.13523, 2022. 2, 7, 16
- [7] P. Dhariwal and A. Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021. 3
- [8] L. Dunlap, A. Umino, H. Zhang, J. Yang, J. E. Gonzalez, and T. Darrell. Diversify your vision datasets with automatic diffusion-based augmentation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 3
- [9] N. Dvornik, J. Mairal, and C. Schmid. Modeling visual context is key to augmenting object detection datasets. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 364–380, 2018.
 3
- [10] H. Fang, B. Han, S. Zhang, S. Zhou, C. Hu, and W.-M. Ye. Data augmentation for object detection via controllable diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 1257–1266, 2024. 3
- [11] C. Feng, Y. Zhong, Z. Jie, W. Xie, and L. Ma. Instagen: Enhancing object detection by training on synthetic dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05937, 2024. 3, 16
- [12] Y. Ge, J. Xu, B. N. Zhao, N. Joshi, L. Itti, and V. Vineet. Dall-e for detection: Language-driven compositional image synthesis for object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.09592, 2022. 2, 3
- [13] G. Ghiasi, Y. Cui, A. Srinivas, R. Qian, T.-Y. Lin, E. D. Cubuk, Q. V. Le, and B. Zoph. Simple copy-paste is a strong data augmentation method for instance segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference* on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 2918–2928, 2021. 3
- [14] R. Gong, M. Danelljan, H. Sun, J. D. Mangas, and L. Van Gool. Prompting diffusion representations for cross-domain semantic segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02138, 2023. 3
- [15] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, and Y. Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 27, 2014. 3
- [16] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016. 6
- [17] R. He, S. Sun, X. Yu, C. Xue, W. Zhang, P. Torr, S. Bai, and X. QI. Is synthetic data from generative models ready for image recognition? In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022. 3
- [18] M. Heusel, H. Ramsauer, T. Unterthiner, B. Nessler, and S. Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30, 2017. 6

- [19] J. Ho, A. Jain, and P. Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 3
- [20] M. Jahn, R. Rombach, and B. Ommer. High-resolution complex scene synthesis with transformers. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.06458, 2021. 3
- [21] Y. Jia, L. Hoyer, S. Huang, T. Wang, L. Van Gool, K. Schindler, and A. Obukhov. Dginstyle: Domaingeneralizable semantic segmentation with image diffusion models and stylized semantic control. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.03048, 2023. 3
- [22] C. Jiaxin, L. Xiao, S. Xingjian, H. Tong, X. Tianjun, and L. Mu. Layoutdiffuse: Adapting foundational diffusion models for layout-to-image generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08908, 2023. 3
- [23] G. Jocher, A. Chaurasia, A. Stoken, J. Borovec, Y. Kwon, K. Michael, J. Fang, C. Wong, Z. Yifu, D. Montes, et al. ultralytics/yolov5: v6. 2-yolov5 classification models, apple m1, reproducibility, clearml and deci. ai integrations. *Zenodo*, 2022. 2, 6
- [24] T. Karras, M. Aittala, S. Laine, E. Härkönen, J. Hellsten, J. Lehtinen, and T. Aila. Alias-free generative adversarial networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:852–863, 2021. 3
- [25] T. Karras, S. Laine, and T. Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4401–4410, 2019. 3
- [26] T. Karras, S. Laine, M. Aittala, J. Hellsten, J. Lehtinen, and T. Aila. Analyzing and improving the image quality of stylegan. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8110–8119, 2020. 3
- [27] D. Kingma, T. Salimans, B. Poole, and J. Ho. Variational diffusion models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:21696–21707, 2021. 3
- [28] D. P. Kingma and M. Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114, 2013. 3
- [29] N. Kondapaneni, M. Marks, M. Knott, R. Guimaraes, and P. Perona. Text-image alignment for diffusionbased perception. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00031, 2023. 3
- [30] N. Kumari, B. Zhang, R. Zhang, E. Shechtman, and J.-Y. Zhu. Multi-concept customization of text-toimage diffusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1931–1941, 2023. 3
- [31] Y. Li, H. Liu, Q. Wu, F. Mu, J. Yang, J. Gao, C. Li, and Y. J. Lee. Gligen: Open-set grounded text-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22511–22521, 2023. 2, 3, 7, 16
- [32] Z. Li, Y. Li, P. Zhao, R. Song, X. Li, and J. Yang. Is synthetic data from diffusion models ready for knowledge distillation? arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12954, 2023. 3
- [33] Z. Li, J. Wu, I. Koh, Y. Tang, and L. Sun. Image synthesis from layout with locality-aware mask adaption. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 13819–13828, 2021.
 3
- [34] Z. Li, Q. Zhou, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, and W. Xie. Open-vocabulary object segmentation with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7667–7676, 2023. 3
- [35] T.-Y. Lin, M. Maire, S. Belongie, J. Hays, P. Perona, D. Ramanan, P. Dollár, and C. L. Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014. 2, 8
- [36] A. Q. Nichol and P. Dhariwal. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 8162–8171. PMLR, 2021. 3
- [37] D. Peng, P. Hu, Q. Ke, and J. Liu. Diffusion-based image translation with label guidance for domain adaptive semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 808–820, 2023. 3
- [38] D. Podell, Z. English, K. Lacey, A. Blattmann, T. Dockhorn, J. Müller, J. Penna, and R. Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. 2, 3, 4, 5
- [39] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 2
- [40] A. Ramesh, P. Dhariwal, A. Nichol, C. Chu, and M. Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125, 2022. 3

- [41] D. J. Rezende, S. Mohamed, and D. Wierstra. Stochastic backpropagation and approximate inference in deep generative models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1278–1286. PMLR, 2014. 3
- [42] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 2, 3
- [43] N. Ruiz, Y. Li, V. Jampani, Y. Pritch, M. Rubinstein, and K. Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-toimage diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22500–22510, 2023. 4
- [44] C. Saharia, W. Chan, S. Saxena, L. Li, J. Whang, E. L. Denton, K. Ghasemipour, R. Gontijo Lopes, B. Karagol Ayan, T. Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022. 3
- [45] M. B. Sarıyıldız, K. Alahari, D. Larlus, and Y. Kalantidis. Fake it till you make it: Learning transferable representations from synthetic imagenet clones. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 8011–8021, 2023. 3
- [46] C. Schuhmann, R. Beaumont, R. Vencu, C. Gordon, R. Wightman, M. Cherti, T. Coombes, A. Katta, C. Mullis, M. Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:25278–25294, 2022. 2, 3
- [47] J. Sohl-Dickstein, E. Weiss, N. Maheswaranathan, and S. Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 2256–2265, 2015. 3
- [48] Y. Song and S. Ermon. Improved techniques for training score-based generative models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:12438–12448, 2020. 3
- [49] B. Trabucco, K. Doherty, M. A. Gurinas, and R. Salakhutdinov. Effective data augmentation with diffusion models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. 3
- [50] A. Vahdat and J. Kautz. Nvae: A deep hierarchical variational autoencoder. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:19667–19679, 2020. 3
- [51] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems, 30, 2017. 3
- [52] C.-Y. Wang, A. Bochkovskiy, and H.-Y. M. Liao. Yolov7: Trainable bag-of-freebies sets new state-of-theart for real-time object detectors. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7464–7475, 2023. 2, 6
- [53] X. Wang, T. Darrell, S. S. Rambhatla, R. Girdhar, and I. Misra. Instancediffusion: Instance-level control for image generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03290, 2024. 3, 16
- [54] W. Wu, Y. Zhao, H. Chen, Y. Gu, R. Zhao, Y. He, H. Zhou, M. Z. Shou, and C. Shen. Datasetdm: Synthesizing data with perception annotations using diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:54683–54695, 2023. 3
- [55] W. Wu, Y. Zhao, M. Z. Shou, H. Zhou, and C. Shen. Diffumask: Synthesizing images with pixellevel annotations for semantic segmentation using diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1206–1217, 2023. 3
- [56] J. Xie, Y. Li, Y. Huang, H. Liu, W. Zhang, Y. Zheng, and M. Z. Shou. Boxdiff: Text-to-image synthesis with training-free box-constrained diffusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7452–7461, 2023. 3
- [57] J. Xu, S. Liu, A. Vahdat, W. Byeon, X. Wang, and S. De Mello. Open-vocabulary panoptic segmentation with text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2955–2966, 2023. 3
- [58] L. Yang, X. Xu, B. Kang, Y. Shi, and H. Zhao. Freemask: Synthetic images with dense annotations make stronger segmentation models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 3
- [59] Z. Yang, J. Wang, Z. Gan, L. Li, K. Lin, C. Wu, N. Duan, Z. Liu, C. Liu, M. Zeng, et al. Reco: Regioncontrolled text-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14246–14255, 2023. 2, 3, 7, 15
- [60] L. Zhang, A. Rao, and M. Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 3836–3847, 2023. 2, 3, 4, 7, 15, 16
- [61] M. Zhang, J. Wu, Y. Ren, M. Li, J. Qin, X. Xiao, W. Liu, R. Wang, M. Zheng, and A. J. Ma. Diffusionengine: Diffusion model is scalable data engine for object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.03893, 2023. 3

- [62] Y. Zhang, H. Ling, J. Gao, K. Yin, J.-F. Lafleche, A. Barriuso, A. Torralba, and S. Fidler. Datasetgan: Efficient labeled data factory with minimal human effort. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10145–10155, 2021. 3
- [63] H. Zhao, D. Sheng, J. Bao, D. Chen, D. Chen, F. Wen, L. Yuan, C. Liu, W. Zhou, Q. Chu, et al. X-paste: revisiting scalable copy-paste for instance segmentation using clip and stablediffusion. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 42098–42109. PMLR, 2023. 3
- [64] G. Zheng, X. Zhou, X. Li, Z. Qi, Y. Shan, and X. Li. Layoutdiffusion: Controllable diffusion model for layout-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22490–22499, 2023. 3
- [65] D. Zhou, Y. Li, F. Ma, Z. Yang, and Y. Yang. Migc: Multi-instance generation controller for text-to-image synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05408, 2024. 3, 7, 15

A Limitations

Despite the significant improvement achieved by this work, we find it remains challenging to achieve comparable training performance as real data with merely synthetic data. We consider the limitation to be mainly caused by two reasons. Firstly, the generation fidelity is still limited by modern diffusion models. For instance, VAEs in Stable Diffusion are not good enough to deal with complex text in images, constraining the performance on video game datasets. ODGEN is promising to achieve further improvements with more powerful diffusion models in the future. Secondly, this paper mainly focuses on designing generative models with given conditions. However, the other parts in the dataset synthesis pipeline are not fully optimized, which are interesting to be explored in future work.

B Broader Impact

We introduce a novel approach for object detection datasets synthesis, achieving improvement in fidelity and trainability for both specific and general domains. Our approach is more prone to biases caused by training data than typical AI generative models since it shows robustness with limited data of specific domains. Therefore, we recommend practitioners to apply abundant caution when dealing with sensitive applications to avoid problems of races, skin tones, or gender identities.

C Supplemental Ablations

Detectors training w/o real data. In the main paper, we train the detectors with 200 real images and 5000 synthetic images for RF7 benchmarks. In this ablation study, we conduct experiments with the 5000 synthetic images only, to explore the impact of the absence of real data for training detectors. As shown in Tab. 7, for easy datasets with fewer objects, like MRI image and Cotton, training with 5000 synthetic data only achieves better results than training with 200 real samples only. Because the generation quality is good enough in these cases, increasing the dataset size can improve the model performance. Nevertheless, for complex scenes like Robomaster and Aquarium, training with synthetic data only fails to achieve better results. It is caused by the limited generation quality of modern diffusion models. For instance, the VAE in Stable Diffusion is not good at synthesizing texts in images, which are common in the Robomaster dataset. Besides, FID results in Tab. 1 indicate that there still exist gaps between the distributions of real and synthetic images. The performance of our approach is promising to be further improved in the future with more powerful generative diffusion models.

Table 7: Ablations of using real data only and using synthetic data only during detector training. (Metric: YOLOv5s / YOLOv7)

Metrics	mAP@.50 (†)			mAP@.50:.95 (†)		
real + synth #	200 + 0	0 + 5000	200 + 5000	200 + 0	0 + 5000	200 + 5000
Robomaster	50.3 / 48.8	35.9/37.2	67.4 / 65.4	27.2 / 26.5	18.7 / 21.0	39.6 / 34.7
MRI Image	55.1 / 44.7	59.8 / 55.2	68.1/61.3	37.6/27.4	41.3 / 35.3	46.1 / 41.5
Cotton	29.4 / 32.0	43.1 / 43.2	63.9 / 55.0	16.7 / 20.5	29.5 / 28.1	42.0 / 43.2
Aquarium	53.8 / 53.0	45.2 / 41.1	62.6 / 66.5	30.0 / 29.6	23.8 / 20.9	32.2 / 38.5

Category isolation for datasets synthesis. As illustrated in the main paper, modern diffusion models have the limitation of "concept bleeding" when multiple categories are involved in the generation of one image. An alternative method could be only generating objects of the same category in one image. We select 3 multi-class datasets and compare this approach against the proposed method in ODGEN. As shown in Tab. 8, ODGEN achieves better results on most benchmarks than generating samples with isolated categories. This is an expected result since generating objects of various categories in one image should be better aligned with the test set.

Table 8: Ablations of category isolation during datasets synthesis (Metric: mAP@.50 of YOLOv5s / YOLOv7, real + synth images # 200 + 5000). It hinders the performance in most cases.

0		L
Category Isolation	True	False
Road Traffic	63.4 / 70.3	66.8 / 70.4
Aquarium	57.2/63.3	62.6 / 66.5
Underwater	41.1 / 42.0	40.0 / 44.8

D Implementation Details

D.1 Global Text Prompt

All the methods included for comparison in our experiments share the same textual descriptions of the foreground objects and entire scenes in the global text prompts for a fair comparison. ReCo [59] and GeoDiffusion [5] further add the location information of foreground objects to their global text prompts following their approaches. For general domains, we concatenate the object class names into a sentence as the global text prompt. For specific domains, we concatenate the object class names and the scene name into a sentence as the global text prompt.

D.2 ODGEN (ours)

We fine-tune the parameters in the UNet of Stable Diffusion with the default training setting used by Control-Net [60], including the learning rates and the optimizer.

Offline foreground objects synthesis. To improve the training efficiency, we synthesize 500 foreground object images for each category offline and build a synthetic foreground pool. During the training of object-wise conditioning modules, foreground images are randomly sampled from the pool to build the image list.

Image encoder. ControlNet uses a 4-layer convolutional encoder to encode input conditions sized 512 to 64×64 latents. The input channels are usually set as 1 or 3 for input images. Our ODGEN follows similar methods to convert the input conditions. The key difference is that we use image lists of synthesized objects as input conditions. The image lists are padded to N and concatenated in the channel dimension for encoding. In this case, we expand the input channels of the encoder to 3N and update the channels of the following layers to comparable numbers. We provide the channels of each layer in Tab. 9. The convolutional kernel size is set as 3 for all layers.

Table 9: Detailed input and output channels of each convolutional layer in the image encoder used in ODGEN. As the maximum object number per image for different datasets varies, we select different N and thus different channel numbers.

Datasets	N	La	yer 1	La	yer 2	La	yer 3	La	yer 4
Datasets	11	Input	Output	Input	Output	Input	Output	Input	Output
Apex Game	6	18	32	32	96	96	128	128	256
Robomaster	17	51	64	64	96	96	128	128	256
MRI Image	2	6	16	16	32	32	96	96	256
Cotton	27	81	96	96	128	128	192	192	256
Road Traffic	19	57	64	64	96	96	128	128	256
Aquarium	56	168	168	168	192	192	224	224	256
Underwater	79	237	237	237	256	256	256	256	256
COCO	93	297	297	297	256	256	256	256	256

Text embedding encoder. Similar to the image list, the text list is also padded to the length N with empty text. Each item in the text list in encoded by the CLIP text encoder to an embedding. With the CLIP text encoder used in Stable Diffusion 1.x and 2.x models, we get N text embeddings sized (batch size, 77, 1024). Then we stack the embeddings to size (batch size, N, 77, 1024) and use a 4-layer convolutional layer to compress the information into one embedding. The input channel is N and the output channels of following layers are $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$, $\lfloor N/4 \rfloor$, $\lfloor N/8 \rfloor$, 1. We set the convolutional kernel size as 3, the stride as 1, and use zero padding to maintain the sizes of the last two dimensions.

Foreground/Background discriminator. We train discriminators by fine-tuning ImageNet pre-trained ResNet50 on foreground and background patches randomly cropped from training sets. They are split for training, validating, and testing by 70%, 10%, and 20%. The model is a binary classification model that only predicts whether a patch contains any object. The accuracy on test datasets is over 99% on RF7. Therefore, we can confidently use it to filter the pseudo labels.

D.3 Other Methods

ReCo [59] & MIGC [65] & GeoDiffusion [5] are layout-to-image generation methods based on Stable Diffusion. For the comparison on RF7, we use their official codes to fine-tune the diffusion models on domain-specific datasets and generate synthetic datasets for experiments. For the comparison on COCO, we directly use their released pre-trained weights trained on COCO. MIGC only releases the pre-trained weight but not the code, so

we only compare it with our method on the COCO benchmark. ReCo and GeoDiffusion fine-tune both the text encoder and the UNet of Stable Diffusion. MIGC only fine-tunes the UNet.

GLIGEN [31] is an open-set grounded text-to-image generation methods supporting multiple kinds of conditions. We use GLIGEN for layout-to-image generation with bounding boxes as conditions. GLIGEN is designed to fit target distributions by only fine-tuning the inserted gated self-attention layers in pre-trained diffusion models. Therefore, we fix the other layers in the diffusion model during fine-tuning on RF7 or COCO.

ControlNet [60] is initially designed to control diffusion models by fixing pre-trained models, reusing the diffusion model encoder and fine-tuning it to provide control. In our experiments, to make fair comparisons, we also fine-tune the diffusion model part to fit it to specific domains that can be different from the pre-training data of Stable Diffusion. The diffusion model remains trainable for experiments on COCO as well. The native ControlNet is originally designed as an image-to-image generation method. As illustrated in Sec. 4, we provide a simple way to convert boxes to masks. Given a dataset containing K categories, an image sized $H \times W$, and B objects with their bounding boxes *bbox* and classes *cls*, we convert them to a mask M in the following process:

Algorithm 1 Convert bounding boxes to a mask for ControlNet

$$\begin{split} M &= \operatorname{zeros}(H, W, K) \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, B \text{ do} \\ & left, top, width, height = bbox[i] \\ & k = cls[i] \\ & M[top: top + height, left: left + width, k] + = 1 \\ & \text{end for} \end{split}$$

Table 10: The number of images in the 7 subsets of Roboflow-100 used to compose the RF7 datasets.

Datasets	Train	Validation	Test
Apex Game	2583	415	691
Robomaster	1945	278	556
MRI Image	253	39	79
Cotton	367	20	19
Road Traffic	494	133	187
Aquarium	448	63	127
Underwater	5320	760	1520

E Datasets Details

RF7 datasets. We employ the RF7 datasets from Roboflow-100 [6] to evaluate the performance of our ODGEN on specific domains. Here, we add more details of the employed datasets, including Apex Game, Robomaster, MRI Image, Cotton, Road Traffic, Aquarium, and Underwater. We provide the number of images for each dataset, including the train, validation, and test sets in Tab. 10. We use the first 200 samples in the image list annotation provided by Roboflow-100 for each dataset to build the training set for our experiments. The full validation set is used as the standard to choose the best checkpoint from YOLO models trained for 100 epochs. The full test set is used to evaluate the chosen checkpoint and provide mAP results shown in this paper. Visualized examples with annotations are shown in Fig. 8. The object categories of each dataset are provided in Tab. 11. Some images in the Apex Game and Robomaster datasets contain complex and tiny text that are hard to generate by current Stable Diffusion.

F Supplemental Experiments

Other related works. InstaGEN [11] is mainly designed for the open-vocabulary object detection task. It generates images randomly and annotates them with a grounding head trained with another pre-trained detector. InstanceDiffusion [53] needs detailed segmentation masks for training and inference. They are not included for direct comparison in our paper.

mAP@.50 on RF7. Tab. 12 provides quantitative results for trainability in terms of mAP@.50 as supplements to Tab. 2. Our approach achieves improvement over training on real data only and outperforms other methods.

Visualization. We provide extensive visualized examples of ODGEN to demonstrate its effectiveness. In Fig. 9, we show generated samples of the specific domains in RF7. It can be seen that ODGEN is robust to complex



Real Underwater Samples

Figure 8: Visualized examples with object detection annotations of RF7 datasets.

scenes composed of multiple categories, dense and overlapping objects. Besides, ODGEN shows strong generalization capability to various domains.

In Fig. 10, Fig. 11, and Fig. 12, we provide supplemental visualized comparisons between ODGEN and the other methods on the COCO-2014 dataset. ODGEN achieves the best image-annotation consistency and maintains high generation fidelity. More generated samples of ODGEN are shown in Fig. 13. In addition, we also show different examples produced by ODGEN on the same conditions in Fig. 14 to show its capability of generating diverse results.

Datasets	Class Names
Apex Game	Avatar, Object
Robomaster	Armor, Base, Car, Rune, Rune-blue, Rune-gray, Rune-grey, Rune-red, Watcher
MRI Image	Negative, Positive
Cotton	G-arboreum, G-barbadense, G-herbaceum, G-hirsitum
Road Traffic	Bicycles, Buses, Crosswalks, Fire hydrants, Motorcycles, Traffic lights, Vehicles
Aquarium	Fish, Jellyfish, Penguin, Puffin, Shark, Starfish, Stingray
Underwater	Echinus, Holothurian, Scallop, Starfish, Waterweeds

Table 11: Class names of the RF7 datasets.

Table 12: mAP@.50 ([†]) of YOLOv5s / YOLOv7 on RF7. Baseline models are trained with 200 real images only, whereas the other models are trained with 200 real + 5000 synthetic images from various methods. ODGEN leads to the biggest improvement on all 7 domain-specific datasets.

	Baseline	ReCo	GLIGEN	ControlNet	GeoDiffusion	ODGEN
real + synth #	200 + 0	200 + 5000	200 + 5000	200 + 5000	200 + 5000	200 + 5000
Apex Game	64.4 / 78.5	53.3 / 60.0	53.3 / 57.0	59.3 / 70.3	55.8 / 62.1	69.1 / 82.6
Robomaster	50.3 / 48.8	41.6 / 54.4	38.7 / 50.2	44.4 / 57.0	41.5 / 45.7	67.4 / 65.4
MRI Image	55.1 / 44.7	59.7 / 59.6	52.1 / 42.0	64.7 / 55.4	61.6 / 56.1	68.1 / 61.3
Cotton	29.4 / 32.0	42.7 / 53.4	41.8 / 55.0	38.3 / 47.1	49.6 / 49.4	63.9 / 55.0
Traffic	61.1/68.8	44.6 / 52.4	45.0 / 54.5	43.5 / 56.2	38.2 / 54.6	66.8 / 70.4
Aquarium	53.8 / 53.0	45.8 / 59.0	49.7 / 51.2	35.5 / 45.4	45.1 / 54.8	62.6 / 66.5
Underwater	35.7 / 39.2	30.9 / 34.5	33.6 / 38.7	34.3 / 37.7	31.8 / 37.6	40.0 / 44.8



Figure 9: Additional visualized samples produced by our approach in various specific domains. Annotations of bounding boxes are marked on samples and global text prompts are provided below corresponding samples. Our approach can be generalized to various domains and is compatible with complex scenes with multiple objects, multiple categories, and bounding box occlusions.



Figure 10: Visualized comparison results on the COCO-2014 dataset. The annotations used as conditions to synthesize images are shown in the first column.



Figure 11: Visualized comparison results on the COCO-2014 dataset. The annotations used as conditions to synthesize images are shown in the first column.



Figure 12: Visualized comparison results on the COCO-2014 dataset. The annotations used as conditions to synthesize images are shown in the first column.



Figure 13: Visualized results of ODGEN on the COCO-2014 dataset. In every grid, the annotation used as conditions to synthesize images are shown in the top-left corner.



Figure 14: Visualized results of our ODGEN on the COCO-2014 dataset generated from the same conditions. The annotation is placed on the first column.