NMGrad: Advancing Histopathological Bladder

Cancer Grading with Weakly Supervised Deep

Learning

Saul Fuster^{1*}, Umay Kiraz^{2,3}, Trygve Eftestøl¹, Emiel A.M. Janssen^{2,3}, Kjersti Engan¹

^{1*}Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Stavanger, Stavanger, 4021, Norway.

²Department of Pathology, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, 4011, Norway.

³Department of Chemistry, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, 4021, Norway.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): saul.fusternavarro@uis.no; Contributing authors: umay.kiraz@sus.no; trygve.eftestol@uis.no; emilius.adrianus.maria.janssen@sus.no; kjersti.engan@uis.no;

Abstract

The most prevalent form of bladder cancer is urothelial carcinoma, characterized by a high recurrence rate and substantial lifetime treatment costs for patients. Grading is a prime factor for patient risk stratification, although it suffers from inconsistencies and variations among pathologists. Moreover, absence of annotations in medical imaging difficults training deep learning models. To address these challenges, we introduce a pipeline designed for bladder cancer grading using histological slides. First, it extracts urothelium tissue tiles at different magnification levels, employing a convolutional neural network for processing for feature extraction. Then, it engages in the slide-level prediction process. It employs a nested multiple instance learning approach with attention to predict the grade. To distinguish different levels of malignancy within specific regions of the slide, we include the origins of the tiles in our analysis. The attention scores at region

level is shown to correlate with verified high-grade regions, giving some explainability to the model. Clinical evaluations demonstrate that our model consistently outperforms previous state-of-the-art methods.

Keywords: Computational Pathology, Deep Learning, Grading, Multiscale, Urothelial Carcinoma, Weakly Supervised Learning

1 Introduction

Bladder cancer, a prevalent urological malignancy, poses significant clinical challenges in terms of both diagnosis and prognosis [1]. Non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) accounts for approximately 75% of the newly diagnosed cases of urothelial carcinoma. NMIBC is particularly known for its variable outcomes, necessitating accurate and consistent grading for optimal patient management [2]. The 2022 edition of the European Association of Urology guidelines on NMIBC recommends a stratification of patients into risk groups based on the risk of progression to muscle-invasive disease [3]. Grade, stage, and various other factors contribute to the risk. Precise risk assessment is vital in the management of NMIBC, since treatment strategies not only rely on the presence of muscle invasion.

Grading is based on assessment of the cellular morphology abnormalities of urothelial tissue. In 2004, the WHO introduced a grading classification system (WHO04) for NMIBC based on histological features. WHO04 encompasses three categories: papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential (PUNLMP), non-invasive papillary carcinoma low grade (LG), and high grade (HG), ranging from lower to higher malignancy respectively [4]. HG is related to lower differentiation, loss of polarity, and pleomorphic nuclei, among others. The intricate evaluation of heterogeneous scenarios contributes to significant inter- and intraobserver variability. Disparities potentially lead to misclassification, and consequently, to inappropriate treatment decisions [5]. WHO04 grading system was subsequently retained in the updated 2016/2022 WHO classifications [6]. However, according to several multi-institutional analyses of individual patient data, the proportion of tumors classified as PUNLMP (WHO 2004/2016) has markedly decreased to very low levels in the last decade. This trend resulted in suggestions to reassess PUNLMP tumors as LG [7, 8]. Therefore, the upcoming grading system will reasonably undergo a modification, shifting towards the inclusion of only LG and HG categories. In recent years, the integration of deep learning techniques within the field of computational pathology (CPATH) has offered promising avenues for enhancing the precision of computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) systems and elucidating discrepancies among pathologists [9, 10]. Consequently, the synergy between histological expertise and CAD technologies is vital for accurate grading assessments.

CPATH is the field of pathology that leverages the potential of CAD systems to thoroughly analyze high-resolution digital images known as Whole Slide Images (WSIs) for diverse diagnostic and prognostic purposes [11]. WSIs are produced by slide scanners and pre-stored at different magnification levels, emulating the functionality of physical microscopes. Lower magnification is suited for tissue-level morphology examination, while higher magnification for cell-level scrutiny [12]. WSIs are characterized by their substantial size, which can introduce adversarial noise. Bladder cancer WSIs present unique challenges due to their disorganized nature and the presence of diagnostically non-relevant tissue. These slides often include artifacts, such as cauterized or stretched tissue [13]. Moreover, tissue such as blood, muscle and stroma are less informative for grading a tumor. Therefore, the absence of annotations presents a significant challenge for identifying regions of interest (ROIs) [9]. It is crucial to distinguish between region-based labels (e.g., tissue type, grade) and WSI-based labels, including follow-up information and overall patient grade. Grade exemplifies a label that encompasses both perspectives [14, 15]. While clinical reports assign the worst grade observed to a patient, a WSI may exhibit diverse urothelium regions with normal, LG, and HG. This dual nature underscores the complexity of label interpretation

when considering both the medical, WSI-focused perspective and the more technical perspective involving regional data analysis and processing.

CPATH is amid a transformative era, aiming to reshape the landscape of digital pathology as we know it [16]. Among the diverse practices in the field, imaging methodologies rooted in convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have emerged as the foundation of feature extraction from histological images [11]. These deep learning networks possess a remarkable capacity to automatically discern morphological and cellular patterns within WSIs [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. Ultimately, CNNs contribute to more precise and timely clinical decisions. However, training deep learning models in CPATH presents challenges when only WSI-level labels are available, lacking region-based annotations [9, 23, 24, 25]. To address these, weakly supervised learning techniques, like attention-based methods and multiple instance learning (MIL), are employed. However, MIL methods can be susceptible to individual instances dominating the weighted aggregation of the WSI representation [26, 27, 28]. In the context of WSIs, the tissue is distributed across the slide, for which regions typically present similar features within and pathologists pinpoint ROIs with crucial information [29]. Specifically while grading, situations may arise where multiple instances in close proximity exhibit HG characteristics, while other regions may concurrently display LG attributes. As a result, constraining instances to specific regions enhances our understanding of the diverse features within WSIs. Consequently, a conventional MIL architecture approach might not be appropriate, because there is a susceptibility to information leakage between regions. A model accommodating for the nested structure of WSIs, wherein tissues are part of a region, and regions, in turn, belong to a WSI, may more effectively capture the clinical WSI-level grading label [30, 31, 32].

In this study, we introduce a novel pipeline for grading NMIBC using histological slides, referred to as nested multiple grading (NMGrad). The proposed solution starts by tissue segmentation of the WSI, separating urothelium from other tissue

types. The next step categorizes extracted tiles of urothelium areas into locationdependent regions for predicting the patient's WHO04 grade. We implemented a weakly supervised learning framework using attention mechanisms, and a nested aggregation architecture for ROI differentiation. Our method offers an innovative approach for generating diagnostic suggestions, with generated heatmaps for highlighting tiles and ROIs independently.

2 Related Work

Numerous studies in the domain of computational pathology for bladder cancer diagnostics have emerged in recent years [33]. In Wetteland et al. [34], a pipeline for grading NMIBC is introduced. This pipeline identifies relevant areas in the WSIs and predicts cancer grade by considering individual tile predictions and applying a decision threshold to determine the overall patient prediction. Their results demonstrate promising performance, with potential benefits for patient care. In Zheng et al. [35], the authors focus on the development of deep learning-based models for bladder cancer diagnosis and predicting overall survival in muscle-invasive bladder cancer patients. They introduce two deep learning models for diagnosis and prognosis respectively. They show that their presented algorithm outperformed junior pathologists. In Jansen et al. [36], the authors propose a fully automated detection and grading network based on deep learning to enhance NMIBC grading reproducibility. The study employs a U-Net-based segmentation network to automatically detect urothelium, followed by a VGG16 CNN network for classification. Their findings demonstrate that the automated classification achieves moderate agreement with consensus and individual grading from a group of three senior uropathologists. Spyridonos et al. [37] investigate the effectiveness of support vector machines and probabilistic neural networks for urinary bladder tumor grading. The results indicate that both SVM and PNN models achieve a relatively

high overall accuracy, with nuclear size and chromatin cluster patterns playing key roles in optimizing classification performance.

Zhang et al. [38] address a common limitation of interpretability in CAD methods. To tackle this, they introduce MDNet, a novel approach that establishes a direct multimodal mapping between medical images and diagnostic reports. This framework consists of an image model and a language model. Through experiments on pathology bladder cancer images and diagnostic reports, MDNet demonstrates superior performance compared to comparative baselines. Zhang et al. [39] propose a method that leverages deep learning to automate the diagnostic reasoning process through interpretable predictions. Using a dataset of NMIBC WSIs, the study demonstrates that their method achieves diagnostic performance comparable to that of 17 uropathologists.

Two critical challenges we identified include summarizing information from local image features into a WSI representation, and the scarcity of annotated datasets. Effectively translating detailed local information to the WSI level is complex, particularly in tasks like grading NMIBC. Moreover, the limited availability of well-annotated datasets hinders the development and evaluation of robust models. To tackle these issues, weakly supervised methods have emerged as a standout tool in CPATH [9]. While weakly supervised methods are widespread, some studies still rely on annotations and supervised learning. However, there is a growing consensus for the future of CPATH to predominantly embrace weakly supervised approaches. This shift is driven by the impracticality of obtaining detailed annotations for large datasets covering various cancers and tasks. Among the various weakly supervised methods, attention-based MIL (AbMIL), a popular instance aggregation method, exploits attention mechanisms in order to mitigate the uncertainty from individual instances and enhance interpretability [40, 41]. AbMIL bridges the gap between limited supervision and the spatial details necessary for accurate analysis and explainability. An evolution of MIL model

architectures relies on the arrangement of the data within bags, where instances are further subdivided into finer groups. This concept is referred to as nesting [30, 31]. Nested architectures preserve a sense of localization or categorization by selectively processing data instances within individual subgroups. Subsequently, they aggregate summarized information from the subgroups into a final bag representation.

In our work, we aim to bridge the gap between non-annotated datasets, weakly supervised methods and the intrinsic categorization of WSI data. Therefore, we leverage the nested MIL with attention mechanisms (NMIA) model architecture we proposed in Fuster et. al. [32], for accurate and interpretable NMIBC grading. Finally, in order to overcome the lack of annotations for defining the tissue of interest, a tissue segmentation algorithm TRI-25x-100x-400x was proposed by our research group in [42]. More recent works from our research group on tissue segmentation have found adoption within the scientific literature [43, 44]. The utilization of this segmentation algorithm offers the opportunity to extract tiles specifically from the urothelium, contributing to a refined and targeted extraction process.

3 Data Material

The dataset comprises a total of 300 digital whole-slide images (WSIs) derived from 300 patients diagnosed with NMIBC, from the Department of Pathology, Stavanger University Hospital (SUH) [14, 45]. The glass slides were digitized using a Leica SCN400 slide scanner, saved in the vendor-specific SCN file format. Collected over the period spanning 2002 to 2011, this dataset encompasses all risk group cases of non-muscle invasive bladder cancers. The biopsies were processed through formalinfixation and paraffin-embedding, and subsequently, 4µm thick sections were prepared and stained using Hematoxylin, Eosin, and Saffron (HES). Furthermore, all WSIs underwent meticulous manual quality checks, ensuring the inclusion of only highquality slides with minimal or no blur. Due to the cauterization process used in the removal of NMIBC, some slides may exhibit areas with burned and damaged tissues. All WSIs originate from the same laboratory, resulting in relatively consistent staining color across the dataset.

All WSIs were graded by an expert uropathologist in accordance with the WHO04 classification system, as either LG or HG, thus providing slide-level diagnostic information. However, the dataset lacks region-based annotations pinpointing the precise areas of LG or HG regions within the WSI. Consequently, the dataset is considered weakly labeled. For WSIs labeled as LG, at least one LG region is expected, with the possibility of presenting non-cancerous tissue in other regions. As for HG slides, at least one region should display HG tissue, while other regions may exhibit a LG appearance or non-cancerous tissue. Given the absence of alternative gold standards, we are compelled to continue utilizing a grading assessment that may have limitations for training and evaluating our algorithms. The dataset employed in this study was divided into three subsets: 220 WSI/patients for training, 30 for validation, and 50 for test. The split employed ensures that each subset maintains the same proportional representation of diagnostic outcomes. This stratification encompassed factors such as WHO04 grading, cancer stage, recurrence, and disease progression to best mirror the diversity of the original data material. The distribution of LG and HG WSIs within each dataset is detailed in Table 1 for reference.

Within a subset of the test set, denoted as $\text{Test}_{\text{ANNO}} \in \text{Test}$, 14 WSIs contain either one or two annotated regions of confirmed LG or HG tissue, verified by an expert uropathologist. It is noteworthy that not all regions were annotated. The labels of these regions correspond to the associated weak label of the WSI.

4 Methods

We propose NMGrad, a pipeline that begins with a tissue segmentation algorithm for extracting urothelium tissue. Subsequently, the urothelium is divided into localized

	Low-grade	High-grade
Train	124(0)	96(0)
Validation	17(0)	13(0)
Test	28(7)	22(7)
The number	between pare	nthesis corre-

Table 1 Number of WSIs andcorresponding grade per subset.

The number between parenthesis corresponds to slides containing some annotations giving region-based labels.

regions. Thereafter we employ a weakly supervised learning method to predict tumor grade from the segmented urothelium regions. We exploit the sense of region locations by adopting a nested architecture with attention, NMIA [32]. The rationale behind employing this structured data arrangement analysis is to identify relevant instances and regions within the WSI. The attention mechanism and the nested bags/regions also contributes to a more precise and insightful analysis of the data. An overview of NMGrad can be visualized in Fig. 1.

4.1 Automatic Tissue Segmentation & Region Definition

We utilize the tissue segmentation algorithm introduced by Wetteland et al. [42] to automatically generate tissue type masks, facilitating the subsequent extraction of tiles. We define triplets \mathcal{T} of tissue, which consist of a set of three tiles at various magnifications levels, namely 25x, 100x and 400x. An example is shown in Fig. 2. We used a tile size of 128×128 for all magnification levels. Triplets are formed to maintain consistency, ensuring that the center pixel in every tile accurately represents the same physical point. The tissue segmentation algorithm works at tile level, and classifies all triplets \mathcal{T} in the WSI as $y \in \mathcal{Y} = \{urothelium, lamina propria, muscle,$ $blood, damage, background\}$. As grading relies on urothelium alone, we utilize the urothelium mask for defining valid areas for tile extraction, as described in [46]. In this work, various magnifications are explored for defining the model's input, either using mono-scale MONO (400x), di-scale DI (400x, 100x) or tri-scale TRI (400x, 100x, 25x). We employed 400x magnification to establish a tight grid of tiles for data



Fig. 1 NMGrad pipeline. Initially, we apply a tissue segmentation algorithm for ROI extraction. Then, we pinpoint diagnostically significant urothelium areas within WSIs. Subsequently, we split the urothelium mask into regions, based on proximity and size, and extract tile triplets. In a hierarchical fashion, we further transform these triplets within their corresponding regions into region feature embeddings using an attention-based aggregation method. All the region representations are then consolidated into a comprehensive WSI-level representation through a weight-independent attention module. Finally, this WSI feature embedding is input into the WHO04 grading classifier in order to produce accurate WSI grade predictions.

extraction purposes. These sets of tiles are later fed to the grading models, where each magnification tile is processed by its respective weight-independent CNN. To preserve the sense of location within the image, we define regions. This results in the following

stratified division of data: all urothelium in the WSI, scattered regions of urothelium, and finally, individual tiles of urothelium.



Fig. 2 We obtain comprising sets of three tiles at different magnification levels named triplets \mathcal{T} , enabling detailed examination. Tile triplets demonstrate regions associated with low- and high-grade features.

4.1.1 Region Definition

For defining regions out of the extracted urothelium tiles, we define blobs of tiles $URO_{BLOB} \subseteq URO$. URO_{BLOB} is formed when tiles are 8-connected, and this joint set of tiles is the representation of a region $URO_{BLOB} = \{T_1, T_2, ...\}$. A region is eligible for inclusion if the number of tiles N_B is higher than a threshold number T_{LOWER} . Any blob with $N_B < T_{LOWER}$ tiles is discarded, along with the tiles within. As NMIBC WSI can contain large tissue bundles, resulting in sizable blobs, we also define an upper limit threshold T_{UPPER} . For blobs where $N_B \ge T_{UPPER}$, we split the region into several sub regions for more detailed analysis. We apply KMeans clustering over the coordinates of the tiles x, y within the blob for location sense, defining the number of clusters as $N_C = \lceil N_B/T_{UPPER} \rceil$. This results in joint regions within a bundle of tissue of consistent size, as observed in regions 5-8 in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3 Region definition. Urothelial tissue within a WSI is eligible for tile extraction. Blobs of tiles are formed, and blobs smaller than a threshold T_{LOWER} are discarded. From the remaining blobs, any smaller than T_{UPPER} are kept and defined as a region. For blobs bigger than T_{UPPER} , the blobs is subdivided into smaller pieces using the location of individual tiles within and KMeans clustering. The obtained clusters are designated as regions.

4.2 Multiple Instance Learning in a WSI context

Multiple instance learning (MIL) is a weakly supervised learning method where unlabeled instances are grouped into bags with known labels [26, 27]. A dataset $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} = \{(\mathbf{X}^i, y^i), \forall i = 1, ..., N\}$ is formed of pairs of sample sets \mathbf{X} and their corresponding labels y, where i denotes a bag index. In the context of WSI, the bag can be one patient or one WSI or one region. In a conventional MIL data arrangement, we consider the bag \mathbf{X} to be one WSI consisting of instances \mathbf{x}_l :

$$\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_l, \forall l = 1, ..., L\}$$
(1)

where L is the number of instances in the bag. In our study, \mathbf{x} refers to individual tiles in a set of extracted tiles from a patient slide \mathbf{X} . A feature extractor $G_{\theta} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H}$ transforms image tiles, \mathbf{x}_l , into low-dimensional feature embeddings, \mathbf{h}_l . At this point, the bag structure previously formed remains intact, as instances have been simply transformed. Given a label y for a WSI, the training objective of the model is to predict the grade observed in the WSI. However, to deduce the specific region(s) within a WSI that leads to the patient's diagnosis of either LG or HG is of utmost importance. This entails the model's capability to discern and highlight the critical areas within the WSI that play a pivotal role in the diagnosis. In order to accomplish this goal, we adopted attention-based multiple instance learning (AbMIL) as our MIL framework, using attention-based aggregation as shown in Fig. 1. An attention score a_i for a feature embedding \mathbf{h}_i can be calculated as:

$$a_{i} = \frac{\exp\{\mathbf{w}^{\top}(\tanh(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\top}) \odot \operatorname{sigm}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{h}_{i}^{\top}))\}}{\sum_{l=1}^{L} \exp\{\mathbf{w}^{\top}(\tanh(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}_{l}^{\top}) \odot \operatorname{sigm}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{h}_{l}^{\top}))\}}$$
(2)

where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times 1}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times M}$ and $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times M}$ are trainable parameters and \odot is an element-wise multiplication. Furthermore, the hyperbolic tangent $tanh(\cdot)$ and sigmoid sigm(\cdot) are included to introduce non-linearity for learning complex applications. The benefit of attention modules extends beyond interpretability for understanding the model's decision-making process, as it also grants enhanced predictive capabilities by prioritizing salient features. This is because attention scores directly influence the forward propagation of the model, allowing it to focus on the most relevant and informative regions within the data. Once the attention scores \mathbf{A} are obtained, we obtain the patient prediction \hat{y} using a patient classifier Ψ_{ρ} as:

$$\hat{y} = \Psi_{\rho}(\mathbf{H}^{a}) = \Psi_{\rho}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{H}) = \Psi_{\rho}(\mathbf{A} \cdot G_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}))$$
(3)

4.2.1 Nested Multiple Instance Architecture

An evolution of the conventional AbMIL architecture defines levels of bags within bags where only the innermost bags contain instances. This is referred to as nested multiple instance with attention (NMIA) [32]. A bag-of-bags for a WSI \mathbf{H}_{WSI} contains a set of inner-bags, or regions, $\mathbf{H}_{REG,k}$:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{WSI}} = \{\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{REG},k}, \forall k = 1, ..., K\}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where the number of inner-bags K varies between different WSI. Ultimately, $\mathbf{H}_{\text{REG},k}$ contains instance-level representations $\mathbf{h}_{\text{TILE},l}$ of tiles located within the physical region. This serves to further stratify into clusters or regions, and accurately represent the arrangement of the scattered data, where tiles belong to particular tissue areas and these themselves to the WSI.

$$\mathbf{h}_{\text{REG}} = \mathbf{A}_{\text{TILE}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{TILE}} = \mathbf{A}_{\text{TILE}} \cdot G_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}) \tag{5}$$

Finally, the ultimate WSI representation h_{WSI} is fed to the classifier for obtaining the grade prediction leveraging the region representations \mathbf{H}_{REG} and the attention scores \mathbf{A}_{REG} obtained from those same representations:

$$\hat{y} = \Psi_{\rho}(h_{\text{WSI}}) = \Psi_{\rho}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{REG}} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{REG}}) \tag{6}$$

5 Experiments

Within the scope of our experimental investigation, we systematically assessed and compared the impact of diverse magnification levels and combinations of them, namely MONO, DI and TRI-scale models. We further investigate the impact of several weakly supervised aggregation techniques in the performance of our deep learning model. These aggregation techniques vary in their ability to distill valuable diagnostic insights

from the data, namely mean, max and attention-based. We put special emphasis in the comparison between a standard AbMIL architecture and the nested model proposed in NMGrad. Finally, we compare our solution to current state-of-the-art methods. The code is available at https://github.com/Biomedical-Data-Analysis-Laboratory/GradeMIL.

We list the details of design choice of the models during training. VGG16 is used as CNN backbone, with ImageNet pretrained weights [47]. In preliminary experiments, we explored various architectures and found that VGG16 exhibited favorable performance across multiple tasks on NMIBC WSIs. Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) was set as optimizer with a learning rate of 1e-4, a batch size of 128, and a total of 200 epochs, with 30 epoch for early stopping based on the AUC score on the validation set. A total number of 5000 tiles per WSIs were preemptively randomly sampled to be further sub-sampled during training. Focal Tversky loss (FTL) is employed [48]. The Tversky index (TI) leverages false predictions, emphasizing on recall in case of large class imbalance tuning parameters α and β . TI is defined as:

$$\mathrm{TI}_{c}(\hat{y}, y) = \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{y}_{i,c} y_{i,c} + \epsilon}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{y}_{i,c} y_{i,c} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{y}_{i,\hat{c}} y_{i,c} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{y}_{i,c} y_{i,\hat{c}} + \epsilon}$$
(7)

where $\hat{y}_{i,\hat{c}} = 1 - \hat{y}_{i,c}$ and $y_{i,\hat{c}} = 1 - y_{i,c}$ are the probability that sample *i* is not of class $c \in \mathcal{C}$. ϵ is used for numerical stability, preventing zero division operations. FTL employs another parameter γ for leveraging training examples hardship:

$$\operatorname{FTL}_{c}(\hat{y}, y) = \sum (1 - \operatorname{TI}_{c}(\hat{y}, y))^{1/\gamma}$$
(8)

6 Results & Discussion

The utilization of our proposed pipeline NMGrad using TRI-scale input emerged as a standout performer, as of Table 2. TRI-scale models showcased the ability to capture relevant patterns across different magnifications, substantially enhancing the overall

grading accuracy. Comparing the effects of scales on the model performance shows a larger gap in performance between MONO and TRI models than structuring the processing of data using NMGrad or a standard AbMIL architecture. Furthermore, our exploration of aggregation techniques extended to mean and max aggregation methods, which do not include in-built attention mechanisms, yielded less promising outcomes. The absence of attention mechanisms rendered these techniques less effective in capturing nuanced features, underscoring the significance of attention mechanisms.

NMIA architecture embedded in NMGrad, which employs attention mechanisms for both tile and region aggregation, marked a substantial leap in performance in comparison to mean and max aggregation. This strategy provided the strengths of attention-based aggregation and ROI localization via a nested architecture. The incorporation of attention mechanisms allowed the model to pinpoint and emphasize critical visual cues within WSIs related to urothelial cell differentiation, ultimately resulting in a notable enhancement in predictive accuracy for bladder cancer grading. Finally, in a direct comparison to the previous best performing model proposed by Wetteland [34], they implemented weakly supervised learning in a naive manner, where all patches were assigned a weak label. Predictions are made at the patch level, and the determination of WSI-level prediction relies somewhat arbitrarily on the summation of patch predictions, neglecting consideration of localized regions. Using our proposed solution NMGrad_{TRI}, the solution aligns more closely with clinical expectations, such as the presence of one or more regions indicative of HG if the WSI is classified as HG, rather than scattered patches. Furthermore, $NMGrad_{TRI}$ capacity to learn attention scores offers interpretability, as opposed to relying on ad-hoc rules for post-processing patches into a final prediction. Ultimately, we obtained slightly better F1 score, with a trade-off on accuracy. We have also adhered the works of Jansen [36] and Zhang [39] for comparing the performance of state-of-the-art grading algorithms, although

ble 2 Test performance for various aggregation techniques in weakly supervised learning. We provide the	rage of five runs, with the standard deviation shown in parentheses. The table presents the different approaches	ployed in the field, including aggregation techniques that involve considering spatial separation of the instances.	also explore the use of multiple magnification levels, considering 400x as the foundation for all magnification	lysis. We also shown the results from other bladder cancer grading works, although in other datasets.	
Tab]	avera	empl	We a	analy	

analysis. We also shown th	e results from	other bladder o	cancer grading	works, althoug	h in other data	isets.
Model	Accuracy	Precision	\mathbf{Recall}	F1 Score	X	AUC
AbMILMONO	0.68(0.07)	0.71(0.07)	0.68(0.06)	0.67(0.07)	0.36(0.12)	0.81(0.07)
$AbMIL_{DI}$	0.79(0.09)	0.80(0.10)	0.78(0.09)	0.78(0.09)	0.57(0.18)	0.85(0.13)
${f AbMIL_{TRI}}$	0.82(0.07)	0.82(0.07)	0.82(0.07)	0.82(0.07)	0.64(0.14)	0.91(0.04)
$MEAN_{TRI}$	0.81(0.03)	0.83(0.03)	0.80(0.03)	0.80(0.03)	0.61(0.05)	0.92(0.03)
MAX_{TRI}	0.80(0.06)	0.80(0.06)	0.78(0.06)	0.79(0.07)	0.58(0.13)	0.85(0.06)
NMGrad _{MONO}	0.68(0.09)	0.71(0.08)	0.69(0.08)	0.68(0.09)	0.37(0.16)	0.80(0.06)
$\rm NMGrad_{DI}$	0.83(0.03)	0.85(0.03)	0.82(0.03)	0.82(0.03)	0.65(0.06)	0.91(0.04)
${ m NMGrad_{TRI}}$	0.86(0.03)	0.87(0.02)	0.85(0.04)	0.85(0.03)	0.71(0.06)	0.94(0.01)
Wetteland et al. $[34]$	0.90(-)	0.87(-)	0.80(-)	0.83(-)		ı
Jansen et al. [36]	0.74(-)	'	0.71(-)	'	0.48(0.14)	ı
Zhang et al. [39]	0.95(-)	,		ı		0.95(-)

the results correspond to their in-house cohorts, different from ours. The development of our deep learning model $\text{NMGrad}_{\text{TRI}}$ for predicting the grading of bladder cancer represents a significant advancement in the realm of accurate grading of bladder tumors.

In order to enhance the fidelity of binary decisions, we opted to introduce an uncertainty spectrum, thereby introducing a third class. Given the output predictions of test set WSIs, we define the uncertainty spectrum as $[\mu_{\hat{y}(y=LG)} + \sigma_{\hat{y}(y=LG)}, \mu_{\hat{y}(y=HG)} - \sigma_{\hat{y}(y=HG)}]$. A plot illustrating the concept and WSI predictions is shown in Fig. 4. It was observed that by excluding predictions falling within the uncertainty spectrum, the overall F1 score increased to 0.89. This underscores the potential utility of considering skepticism regarding non-confident predictions for robust clinical interpretation.



Fig. 4 Plot displaying the WSI predictions of the test set, with green shading representing the LG confidence interval, red for HG, and gray denoting the uncertainty interval. Additionally, a blue line depicts the regression line fitting the predictions.

Due to the attention scores generated at the inference stage, we are able to visualize a heatmap, as in Fig. 5. $NMGrad_{TRI}$ demonstrated effectiveness in correctly assessing individual tiles and ROIs, despite being trained solely on patient-level weak labels. We consulted the generated heatmaps with experienced pathologists for qualitative

Table 3 Region-level prediction and attention correspondence on annotated areas, using NMGrad_{TRI}. We individually compare the degree of consensus of annotations with both the highest attributed attention within the WSI and the output region prediction of the classifier Ψ_{ρ} .

	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Attention	0.76	0.81	0.69	0.75
Prediction	0.89	0.83	0.91	0.87

analysis. Results on the annotated set of regions, Test_{ANNO}, exhibited competence to discern LG and HG regions, as of Table 3. Region attention scores were considered for direct comparison to region prediction scores utilizing the classifier Ψ_{ρ} , as values for both scores are restrained between 0 and 1. These two scores were evaluated individually against the annotated areas. It was corroborated that higher attention scores are associated with HG areas in high-grade WSIs. However, the same does not apply for low-grade. For low-grade WSIs, we observed a wide range of possibilities, where highattention is spread across regions. Ideally, one would anticipate a direct correlation between HG and elevated attention, and conversely, a correlation between LG and reduced attention. However, this correlation is primarily observable in the positive class (HG), aligning with the inherent design of MIL, which is tailored for identifying positive instances. In contrast to attention, we observed a high degree of correspondence between the label of annotated ROIs and the output region predictions.

We further investigated the correlation between region attention scores and the output region predictions, as displayed in Fig. 6. We observe a generalized reciprocity between low-grade having smaller attention scores and prediction outputs, and vice versa. Moreover, the high-grade range of values is more limited to a lower range compared to the low-grade. For instance, low-grade areas with predictions rounding zero show the broadest range of values. This observation aligns with the earlier statement, wherein the positive class typically exhibits a more focused distribution of attention scores, predominantly linked with positive HG instances. In contrast, the negative class disperses attention across various regions within the WSI despite all presenting

19



Fig. 5 Region-level attention score heatmaps. Example regions of annotations of low- and highgrade ROIs annotated by an uropathologist are compared to the output attention provided by the proposed model NMGrad, left to right respectively. The choice of annotated ROIs correspond to highest attention scores, for red and blue correspond to low and high attention correspondingly. We have included the WSI-level prediction score for reference.

similar LG features. In regards to missclassified WSIs, we noted that LG WSIs manifest both high attention and prediction scores, whereas HG slides display a broad range of values. When examining the regression lines of TP and FP, they exhibit similar trends, as do TN and FN respectively. Essentially, misspredicted WSIs exhibit characteristics contrary to their assigned class.

To augment the evaluation process, we integrate correlation calculations with follow-up information, thereby ensuring a more thorough assessment of our model's performance. We employed Cramér's V correlation coefficient φ_c for calculating the intercorrelation between grading and the event of recurrence and progression, for the test set [49]. We observed a lack of correlation between grading and recurrence for either the manual or automatic grading, aligning with expectations. However, for progression, NMGrad exhibited a higher correlation than the uropathologist (0.32 vs.



Fig. 6 Correlation between region attention scores and output prediction of region embeddings on the test set of WSIs. Regions from accurately predicted WSIs (TN, TP) are denoted by squares, while those from incorrectly predicted WSIs (FN, FP) are marked with crosses. A discernible pattern emerges, where low attention scores align with diminished predictions, and conversely, higher attention scores correlate with elevated predictions. Additionally, an observable trend indicates that mispredictions tend to manifest on the opposite end of the spectrum, with low-grade instances concentrating high attention and prediction scores, and vice versa. The trend is represented with a polynomial regression line (RL).

0.26, respectively). In accordance with [50], a strong correlation between grading and progression is observed. Notably, these correlations suggest that NMGrad's grade may hold greater predictive value for assessing the likelihood of progression in the context of NMIBC.

7 Conclusion

Accurate grading of NMIBC is paramount for patient risk stratification, but it has long suffered from inconsistencies and variations among pathologists. Furthermore, the pathological workload is increasing, as well as its expenses. In response to this challenge, we introduced the NMGrad pipeline, a pioneering approach in bladder cancer grading using WSIs. NMGrad starts by using a tissue segmentation algorithm, finding areas of urothelium in the slides. Thereafter, it leverages a nested AbMIL model architecture to precisely identify diagnostically relevant regions within WSIs and collectively predict tumor grade. Moreover, through a multiscale CNN model, NMGrad processes urothelium tissue tiles at multiple magnification levels. We observed that in high-grade patients, attention scores pinpoint specific ROIs, while in low-grade patients, attention is more dispersed, deviating from the expected MIL pattern. Our clinical evaluations demonstrate that NMGrad consistently outperforms previous state-of-the-art methods, achieving 0.94 AUC score. This achievement represents a significant advancement in the field of bladder cancer diagnosis, with the potential to improve patient outcomes, reduce economic burdens, and enhance the quality of care in the management of this challenging disease.

Declarations

Ethical Approval. This work involved human subjects or animals in its research. Approval of all ethical and experimental procedures and protocols was granted by the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REC) under Application No. 2011/1539, and performed in line with the Norwegian Health Research Act.

Funding. This research has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreements 860627 (CLARIFY).

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Teoh, J. Y.-C. *et al.* (2020). Global trends of bladder cancer incidence and mortality, and their associations with tobacco use and gross domestic product per capita. *European urology* 78:893–906.
- [2] Burger, M. et al. (2013). Epidemiology and risk factors of urothelial bladder cancer. European urology 63:234–241.

- [3] Babjuk, M. et al. (2022). European association of urology guidelines on nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer (ta, t1, and carcinoma in situ). European urology 81:75–94.
- [4] Eble, J. (2004). World health organization classification of tumours. *Pathology* and genetics of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs 68–69.
- [5] Tosoni, I. et al. (2000). Clinical significance of interobserver differences in the staging and grading of superficial bladder cancer. BJU International 85.
- [6] Netto, G. J. et al. (2022). The 2022 world health organization classification of tumors of the urinary system and male genital organs—part b: prostate and urinary tract tumors. European urology.
- [7] Hentschel, A. E. et al. (2020), Papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential (pun-lmp): Still a meaningful histo-pathological grade category for ta, noninvasive bladder tumors in 2019? Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, Vol. 38, 440–448.
- [8] Jones, T. D. & Cheng, L. (2020). Reappraisal of the papillary urothelial neoplasm of low malignant potential (punlmp). *Histopathology* 77:525–535.
- [9] Van der Laak, J., Litjens, G. & Ciompi, F. (2021). Deep learning in histopathology: the path to the clinic. *Nature medicine* 27:775–784.
- [10] Komura, D. & Ishikawa, S. (2018). Machine learning methods for histopathological image analysis. Computational and structural biotechnology journal 16:34–42.
- [11] Cui, M. & Zhang, D. Y. (2021). Artificial intelligence and computational pathology. *Laboratory Investigation* 101:412–422.
- [12] Azam, A. S. et al. (2020). Diagnostic concordance and discordance in digital pathology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Pathology.
- [13] Kanwal, N., Pérez-Bueno, F., Schmidt, A., Engan, K. & Molina, R. (2022). The

devil is in the details: Whole slide image acquisition and processing for artifacts detection, color variation, and data augmentation: A review. *IEEE Access* 10:58821–58844.

- [14] Kvikstad, V. et al. (2019). Prognostic value and reproducibility of different microscopic characteristics in the who grading systems for pta and pt1 urinary bladder urothelial carcinomas. *Diagnostic pathology* 14:1–8.
- [15] van der Kwast, T. et al. (2022). International society of urological pathology expert opinion on grading of urothelial carcinoma. European Urology Focus 8:438– 446.
- [16] Berbís, M. A. et al. (2023). Computational pathology in 2030: a delphi study forecasting the role of ai in pathology within the next decade. *EBioMedicine* 88.
- [17] Ciompi, F. et al. (2017), The importance of stain normalization in colorectal tissue classification with convolutional networks. 2017 IEEE 14th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 160–163.
- [18] Fuster, S. et al. (2022), Invasive cancerous area detection in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer whole slide images. 2022 IEEE 14th Image, Video, and Multidimensional Signal Processing Workshop (IVMSP), 1–5.
- [19] Cruz-Roa, A. et al. (2018). High-throughput adaptive sampling for wholeslide histopathology image analysis (hashi) via convolutional neural networks: Application to invasive breast cancer detection. *PloS one* 13:e0196828.
- [20] Litjens, G. *et al.* (2016). Deep learning as a tool for increased accuracy and efficiency of histopathological diagnosis. *Scientific reports* 6:26286.
- [21] Reis, S. et al. (2017). Automated classification of breast cancer stroma maturity from histological images. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 64:2344– 2352.
- [22] Dundar, M. M. et al. (2011). Computerized classification of intraductal breast

lesions using histopathological images. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engi*neering 58:1977–1984.

- [23] Andreassen, C., Fuster, S., Hardardottir, H., Janssen, E. A. & Engan, K. (2023), Deep learning for predicting metastasis on melanoma wsis. 2023 IEEE 20th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 1–5.
- [24] Tabatabaei, Z., Colomer, A., Moll, J. O. & Naranjo, V. (2023). Toward more transparent and accurate cancer diagnosis with an unsupervised cae approach. *IEEE Access* 11:143387–143401.
- [25] Deng, R. et al. (2023). Omni-seg: A scale-aware dynamic network for renal pathological image segmentation. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 70:2636–2644.
- [26] Dietterich, T. G., Lathrop, R. H. & Lozano-Pérez, T. (1997). Solving the multiple instance problem with axis-parallel rectangles. *Artificial intelligence* 89:31–71.
- [27] Maron, O. & Lozano-Pérez, T. (1997). A framework for multiple-instance learning. Advances in neural information processing systems 10:570–576.
- [28] Carbonneau, M.-A., Cheplygina, V., Granger, E. & Gagnon, G. (2018). Multiple instance learning: A survey of problem characteristics and applications. *Pattern Recognition* 77:329–353.
- [29] Mercan, E. et al. (2016). Localization of diagnostically relevant regions of interest in whole slide images: a comparative study. Journal of digital imaging 29:496–506.
- [30] Tibo, A., Frasconi, P. & Jaeger, M. (2017), A network architecture for multi-multiinstance learning. Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases: European Conference (ECML PKDD), 737–752.
- [31] Tibo, A., Jaeger, M. & Frasconi, P. (2020). Learning and interpreting multimulti-instance learning networks. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 21:7890–7949.
- [32] Fuster, S., Eftestøl, T. & Engan, K. (2022), Nested multiple instance learning with

attention mechanisms. 2022 21st IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA), 220–225.

- [33] Khoraminia, F. et al. (2023). Artificial intelligence in digital pathology for bladder cancer: Hype or hope? a systematic review. Cancers 15:4518.
- [34] Wetteland, R. et al. (2021). Automatic diagnostic tool for predicting cancer grade in bladder cancer patients using deep learning. *IEEE Access* 9:115813–115825.
- [35] Zheng, Q. et al. (2022). Accurate diagnosis and survival prediction of bladder cancer using deep learning on histological slides. Cancers 14:5807.
- [36] Jansen, I. et al. (2020). Automated detection and grading of non-muscle-invasive urothelial cell carcinoma of the bladder. The American journal of pathology 190:1483–1490.
- [37] Spyridonos, P. et al. (2006). Comparative evaluation of support vector machines and probabilistic neural networks in superficial bladder cancer classification. Journal of Computational Methods in Sciences and Engineering 6:283–292.
- [38] Zhang, Z., Xie, Y., Xing, F., McGough, M. & Yang, L. (2017), Mdnet: A semantically and visually interpretable medical image diagnosis network. *Proceedings* of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 6428–6436.
- [39] Zhang, Z. et al. (2019). Pathologist-level interpretable whole-slide cancer diagnosis with deep learning. Nature Machine Intelligence 1:236–245.
- [40] Ilse, M., Tomczak, J. & Welling, M. (2018), Attention-based deep multiple instance learning. *International conference on machine learning*, 2127–2136.
- [41] Campanella, G. et al. (2019). Clinical-grade computational pathology using weakly supervised deep learning on whole slide images. Nature medicine 25:1301–1309.
- [42] Wetteland, R., Engan, K., Eftestøl, T., Kvikstad, V. & Janssen, E. A. (2020). A multiscale approach for whole-slide image segmentation of five tissue classes in urothelial carcinoma slides. *Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment* 19:1533033820946787.

- [43] Dalheim, O. N., Wetteland, R., Kvikstad, V., Janssen, E. A. M. & Engan, K. (2020), Semi-supervised tissue segmentation of histological images. *Colour and Visual Computing Symposium*, Vol. 2688, 1–15.
- [44] Fuster, S., Khoraminia, F., Eftestøl, T., Zuiverloon, T. C. & Engan, K. (2023), Active learning based domain adaptation for tissue segmentation of histopathological images. 2023 31st European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), 1045–1049.
- [45] Kvikstad, V. (2022). Better prognostic markers for nonmuscle invasive papillary urothelial carcinomas. Ph.D. thesis, Universitetet i Stavanger.
- [46] Wetteland, R., Engan, K. & Eftestøl, T. (2021), Parameterized extraction of tiles in multilevel gigapixel images. 2021 12th International Symposium on Image and Signal Processing and Analysis (ISPA), 78–83.
- [47] Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I. & Hinton, G. E. (2012). Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. Advances in neural information processing systems 25.
- [48] Abraham, N. & Khan, N. M. (2019), A novel focal tversky loss function with improved attention u-net for lesion segmentation. 2019 IEEE 16th international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI), 683–687.
- [49] Bergsma, W. (2013). A bias-correction for cramér's v and tschuprow's t. Journal of the Korean Statistical Society 42:323–328.
- [50] Akoglu, H. (2018). User's guide to correlation coefficients. Turkish journal of emergency medicine 18:91–93.



Saul Fuster is currently pursuing a Ph.D.in Science and Technology at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Stavanger. His main research interest is on the application of deep learning algorithms for medical image analysis.



Umay Kiraz is a Ph.D. candidate at the Department of Pathology at Stavanger University Hospital, Norway. Her field of study is improving diagnosis and prognosis in breast cancer with artificial intelligence, including molecular and digital pathology.



Trygve Eftestøl is currently a Professor at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Stavanger (UiS). He is deputy leader of the Biomedical Data Analysis Laboratory and in the steering comittee of the Cognitive and Behavioral Neuroscience Lab at UiS. His main research interests include biomedical signal analysis and applied machine learning.



Emiel A.M. Janssen is currently director of the Department of Pathology and head of the Pathology Research Group at Stavanger University Hospital, Norway. He is also a professor in Biomedicine at the University of Stavanger, Norway, and adjunct professor at Griffith University, Australia.





Kjersti Engan is currently a Professor at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Stavanger (UiS). She is the leader of the Biomedical Data Analysis Laboratory. Her research interests include signal processing and machine learning, emphasizing on medical applications.