

Bounding deformation spaces of Kleinian groups with two generators

A. Elzenaar, J. Gong, G. Martin & J. Schillewaert *

Abstract

In this article we provide simple and provable bounds on the size and shape of the quasiconformal deformation space of the groups $\mathbb{Z}_p * \mathbb{Z}_q$, the free product of cyclic groups of order p and q , in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ for $3 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Though simple, these bounds are sharp, meeting the highly fractal boundary of the deformation space in four cusp groups. Such bounds have great utility in computer assisted searches for extremal Kleinian groups so as to identify universal constraints (volume, length spectra, etc) on the geometry and topology of hyperbolic 3-orbifolds. As an application, we prove a strengthened version of a conjecture by Morier-Genoud, Ovsienko, and Veselov on the faithfulness of the specialised Burau representation.

1 Introduction

In this article we provide simple and *provable* bounds on the size and shape of the quasiconformal deformation space in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ of the groups $\mathbb{Z}_p * \mathbb{Z}_q$, the free product of cyclic groups of order p and q for $3 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Here p or q is equal to ∞ means the representative is parabolic in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Though simple, these bounds are sharp. We conclude the paper by proving a strengthened version of a conjecture by Morier-Genoud, Ovsienko, and Veselov [32, Conjecture 3.2] on the faithfulness of the specialised Burau representation, see Corollary 5.3.

The quasiconformal deformation space of $\mathbb{Z}_p * \mathbb{Z}_q$, denoted $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$, is the quotient by a \mathbb{Z} action (the twist group) of the Teichmüller space of the marked Riemann surface supported on the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with four marked points corresponding to two cone points of order p and two of order q . Analysis of

*Work of authors partially supported by the New Zealand Marsden Fund.

A.E., School of Mathematics, Monash University.

J.G., Department of Mathematics, UAE University.

G.M., Institute for Advanced Study, Massey University.

J.S., Department of Mathematics, The University of Auckland.

Keywords. Kleinian groups, Teichmüller space, hyperbolic geometry, quasiconformal deformation spaces.

MSC 2020. 32G15, 30F40, 20F65, 57K32

the twist group action shows that the deformation space is conformally a once-punctured disc, see [26, 27] for this in the case of two parabolic generators, and [11] in general. Hence it naturally admits a one complex dimensional realisation, though with a highly complicated fractal boundary, see Figure 1 for two examples (the space $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ is the *exterior* of the region illustrated). The best known example of such space is the Riley slice, which is the case $p = q = \infty$ where the groups are generated by two parabolic elements and the quotient surface is a four-punctured sphere. There has been considerable work in understanding this particular example as it is one of the simplest examples of a Kleinian group deformation space and has close connections with the theory of two-bridge knot groups. Increasingly precise bounds on $\mathcal{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ were provided from the late 1940s [33, 5, 6] to the late 1960s [25] before the connections to knot theory were understood. This latter paper of Lyndon and Ullman underpins some of our ideas here.

The description of the Riley slice was greatly advanced by Keen and Series [21, 23] after Thurston’s theory of pleating surfaces, a basic tool in his analysis of hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds, [34, §8.8]. The boundary of $\mathcal{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is a Jordan curve [1], and there are recent important results of [2, 3] resolving a conjecture of Agol classifying all possible discrete groups with two parabolic generators. Our recent work extended much of this for $p, q < \infty$, [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Given the impossibility of simply describing the complicated fractal boundary of these spaces, the bounds we achieve have great utility in computer assisted searches for extremal Kleinian groups so as to identify universal constraints (volume, length spectra, etc) on the geometry and topology of hyperbolic 3-orbifolds such as those found in [16, 17, 18, 28, 29]. This is simply because the infinitely many lattices in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ generated by two elements of order p, q are naturally identified with discrete groups corresponding to points in the bounded region $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{R}_{p,q}$.

We give an example where we significantly reduced a search space using these bounds. A necessary arithmetic criterion for the possible ρ values for arithmetic lattices was developed in [14]. Using the provable best bounds at the time, and in response to a question by Maclachlan, Flammang and Rhin searched this ellipse for all algebraic integers satisfying the criteria, revealing 15,909 possibilities (blue and red points), [12]. These new bounds bring that search provably down to around 600 cases, of which 129 are lattices or non-compact generalised triangle groups—red points. This last step, identifying the 129 points, needs our elliptic generalisation of Keen–Series theory [10].

1.1 Matrices in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$

For $\alpha, \beta, \rho \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we define the two matrices A and $B = B_\rho$ in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = B_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ \rho & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

We will abuse notation and use A and B to also denote the Möbius transformations associated with these matrices. Thus $A(z) = \alpha^2 z + \alpha$ and $B(z) =$




Figure 1: The exterior of the illustrated regions is a concrete realisation of the quasiconformal deformation spaces $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{3,3}$ (left) and $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{4,4}$. The identified boundary points are certain “cusp”-groups of slope $\pm \frac{1}{n}$.

$\beta^2 z / (\rho \beta z + 1)$, and the fixed points of A and B in the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fix}(A) &= \left\{ \infty, \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha^2} \right\} \quad \left[= \left\{ \infty, \frac{i}{2} \csc \frac{\pi}{p} \right\}, \text{ if } \alpha = e^{i\pi/p} \right] \\ \text{fix}(B) &= \left\{ 0, \frac{\beta^2 - 1}{\beta \rho} \right\} \quad \left[= \left\{ 0, \frac{2i}{\rho} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \right\}, \text{ if } \beta = e^{i\pi/q} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Typically we regard A , B , and other matrices, as elements of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to make calculations easier. As we are interested only in questions of discreteness this will create no issues for us.

We fix A of finite order at least 3 and (as there is no loss of generality in doing so) we assume A is primitive (so $\text{tr } A = 2 \cos(\pi/p)$ for some $p \geq 3$). We define $\Gamma_{\rho}^{\alpha, \beta} = \langle A, B \rangle$. There is a natural quasiconformal deformation space of four-marked sphere groups, where the four-marked sphere is viewed as a two-dimensional cone manifold; we consider the closures of certain slices through this large deformation space:

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha, \beta} = \left\{ \rho \in \mathbb{C} : \Gamma_{\rho}^{\alpha, \beta} \text{ is discrete and freely generated by } A \text{ and } B \right\}. \quad (2)$$

It is an elementary consequence of Jørgensen’s algebraic convergence theorem [19] that $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is closed. The Riley slice has $\alpha = \beta = 1$. Using the methods described in [9, 10] we produced illustrations of these space, such as those illustrated in Figure 1 for $\alpha = \beta = e^{i\pi/3}$ and $\alpha = \beta = e^{i\pi/4}$.

Apart from complex conjugation, these spaces have a clear symmetry. The three traces

$$\text{tr}^2 A - 4, \quad \text{tr}^2 B - 4, \quad \text{and } \gamma = \text{tr}[A, B] - 2$$

determine the group $\langle A, B \rangle$ uniquely up to conjugacy in $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ as long as $\gamma \neq 0$ [15, Lemma 2.2. and Remark 2.6]. If $\gamma = 0$ then A and B have a common fixed point in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and therefore $\langle A, B \rangle$ is reducible. Such discrete groups are easily classified [4, §5.1]. If $\langle X, Y \rangle$ is an irreducible subgroup of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, there are α , β , and ρ such that $\langle X, Y \rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle A, B_{\rho} \rangle$. In fact the values are

found by any choices for which

$$\alpha + \alpha^{-1} = \text{tr } X, \quad \beta + \beta^{-1} = \text{tr } Y, \quad \text{and } \rho((\alpha - 1/\alpha)(\beta - 1/\beta) + \rho) = \text{tr } [X, Y] - 2.$$

Further, $\langle A, B_\rho \rangle$ and $\langle A, B_{\bar{\rho}} \rangle$ are conjugate groups if and only if $\text{tr } [A, B_\rho] = \text{tr } [A, B_{\bar{\rho}}]$. This gives us the following symmetry of the deformation spaces.

$$\rho \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha, \beta} \Leftrightarrow -\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(\beta - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) - \rho \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\alpha, \beta}. \quad (3)$$

Notice that the assertion is that the *groups* are conjugate, and not that one can conjugate one generating pair to another (which is usually not the case).

We are mainly interested in the case that $\alpha = e^{i\pi/p}$ and $\beta = e^{i\pi/q}$ for integers $p, q \geq 3$. Often we can get away with omitting the case that either p or q is 2, as as if $B^2 = I$ then $\langle A, BAB^{-1} \rangle$ has index two in $\langle A, B \rangle$ and so these groups are simultaneously discrete or not. However, the majority of our work goes through in the case that $p \geq 3$ and $q \geq 2$.

1.2 Statement of the main result

In order to state our main theorem, we set up some notation. For convenience in the following, let $\xi = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{7 - \cos(2\pi/p)}$. We now define two constants:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{p,q}^* &= \left(\cos \frac{\pi}{p} \cos \frac{\pi}{q} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \left(4 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} + \xi \right) \right) + i \left(\frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\pi}{q} + \cos \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \right) \\ x_{p,q} &= \frac{\cos \left[\frac{2\pi}{p} - \frac{2\pi}{q} \right] - \cos \frac{2\pi}{p} - \cos \frac{2\pi}{q} + \xi \left(\sin \frac{\pi}{p} - \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{p} - \frac{2\pi}{q} \right] \right) + 5}{\cos \frac{\pi}{p} \cos \frac{\pi}{q} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \left(4 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} + \xi \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Both here and throughout the paper, given a set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ we say “ \mathcal{X} and its symmetry” to mean \mathcal{X} together with its reflection in the line

$$\left\{ z : \text{Re } z = 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \right\}$$

(compare with (3)). We use the constants $\rho_{p,q}^*$ and $x_{p,q}$ to define a set of twelve lines:

1. the line $\{z : \text{Re } z = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{p} - \frac{\pi}{q})\}$ and its symmetry;
2. the two lines $\{z : \text{Im } z = \pm 2 \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{q}}\}$;
3. the line $\{z : t\rho_{p,q}^* + (1-t)x_{p,q} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, its complex conjugate, and their symmetries;
4. the line $\{z : t\rho_{q,p}^* + (1-t)x_{q,p} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, its complex conjugate and their symmetries.

We define $\Omega_{p,q}$ to be the open region bounded by these lines.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X, Y \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be primitive elliptic elements of order p and q , where $3 \leq p \leq q$. Let ρ be a solution of*

$$\rho \left(\rho - 4 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \right) = \mathrm{tr} [X, Y] - 2.$$

*If $\rho \notin \Omega_{p,q}$, then $\langle X, Y \rangle \cong \langle X \rangle * \langle Y \rangle$ and the group is discrete. This result is sharp at four cusp groups lying on the boundary of $\Omega_{p,q}$.*

The majority of this theorem (all half-plane bounds of $\Omega_{p/q}$ except the lines in (2)) will be proved in Section 3 as Theorem 3.5. The remainder will be proved as Lemma 4.2.

Remark 1.2. *With additional knowledge about the discrete groups generated by two elliptic elements (namely, all groups which are freely generated by two primitive generators correspond either points of the open set $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$ or to points of its boundary, which is a topological circle) one sees that Theorem 1.1 actually gives bounds on $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$, with every point on the edge of the bound lying in the interior of the deformation space except for the four cusp groups indicated in the theorem statement.*

In the special case $p = q$, there are only 6 different lines and $x_{p,p} = 4$.

Corollary 1.3. *If $p = q$, $p \geq 3$, then $\Omega_{p,p}$ is the hexagon bounded by the six lines*

- *the two lines $\{z : \mathrm{Im} z = \pm 2\sqrt{1 - \sin^4 \frac{\pi}{p}}\}$.*
- *the line $\{z : t\rho_{p,p} + 4(1 - t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ together with its complex conjugate and their symmetries.*

There is a cusp group lying on each of the six edges of this hexagon.

An example of this result is illustrated in Figure 2, which shows a simple hexagonal region that contains the complement of the discrete and faithful representations of $\mathbb{Z}_4 * \mathbb{Z}_4$.

2 Conic interactive pairs (U, \mathbf{K})

The case that A is parabolic ($p = \infty$ and $\alpha = 1$) follows from taking a limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ in the bounds we achieve for finite p , so we set it aside for the moment. Note that any sector of angle $2\pi/p$ based at the fixed point $\mathbf{P} = (i/2) \csc(\pi/p) \in i\mathbb{R}_+$ of A is a fundamental domain for $\langle A \rangle$ acting on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. We define a particular sector, which we use throughout the paper, as \mathbf{K} : the *open* sector symmetric about the imaginary axis, with apex \mathbf{P} and cone angle $\frac{2\pi}{p}$, which contains 0 in its interior.

An *interactive pair* (U, V) for a group $G = \langle G_1, G_2 \rangle \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, as defined by Maskit [31, §VII.A.6], is a pair of disjoint subsets U and V of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, both




Figure 2: The shaded hexagonal region contains the exterior of the quasiconformal deformation space of the group $\mathbb{Z}_4 * \mathbb{Z}_4$. It meets the boundary of the quasiconformal deformation space in the cusp groups $\frac{0}{1}, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1}$. Thus all non-free groups generated by two elements of order 4 lie in this hexagonal region.

invariant under a subgroup $J < G$ (for us J is trivial), such that every element of $G_1 \setminus J$ maps U into V and every element of $G_2 \setminus J$ maps V into U . In addition, the pair is *proper* if there is a point of U which is not G_2 -equivalent to a point of V or a point of V which is not G_1 -equivalent to a point of U .

We say that $\{A, B\}$ has a *conic interactive pair* if there is an interactive pair for $G_1 = \langle B \rangle$ and $G_2 = \langle A \rangle$ of the form (U, \mathbf{K}) where $U = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbf{K}$; we see immediately that this pair is proper, since \mathbf{P} is not moved by $\langle A \rangle$ into \mathbf{K} . The following lemma is then a special case of [31, §VII.A.10]:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $\{A, B\}$ has a conic interactive pair. Then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is discrete and freely generated by A and B , and $\{A, B^{-1}\}$ has a conic interactive pair. \square*

Here it is important to note that if B has the form at (1), then $\{A, B^{-1}\}$ having a conic pair does *not* imply that this conic pair has \mathbf{K} arising from the specific generating set $\{A, B^{-1}\}$, unless $\alpha = 1/\alpha$ (the parabolic case). We shall see later that it is possible for $\langle A, Y \rangle$ to have a conic interactive pair, for $\langle A, Y \rangle$ to be conjugate to $\langle A, B_\rho \rangle$ (with the conjugacy preserving A) but for $\langle A, B_\rho \rangle$ to not have a conic interactive pair. This happens near a cusp group and is due to the fact that B_ρ has a specific fixed point placement (i.e. 0) with respect to \mathbf{K} .

In the next section, we will begin to construct certain families of groups for which there exists a conic interactive pair. To do this we will require procedures by which conic interactive pairs may be ‘deformed’ by Möbius transformations to give conic interactive pairs for different groups. This generalises work by Lyndon and Ullman, who observed in the case of groups generated by two parabolic elements that a fundamental strip for one generator can be moved by scaling to give a different tessellation of \mathbb{C} by strips which is invariant under that generator such that the complement of a strip is still mapped off itself by




Figure 3: Stellations of the heptagon and square. The components of the complement are isometric to the sector with sector angle $\frac{2\pi}{7}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ respectively.

a conjugate of B , [25, Theorem 3]. In our setting we will see that \mathbf{K} can be moved by scaling to generate a partial tessellation of \mathbb{C} which is invariant under A and still has complement mapped off itself by a conjugate of B .

Let $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{C}$ and \mathcal{P} be a regular n -gon whose barycenter is \mathbf{P} . Choose an orientation on $\partial\mathcal{P}$, which corresponds to a consistent choice of edge for every vertex; from each vertex extend the chosen edge to an infinite ray. We call the result a *stellation* of \mathcal{P} . This is illustrated in Figure 3 for the heptagon and square.

The components of the complement of the stellations of a regular n -gon are sectors of angle $2\pi/n$ and are all isometric. Any rotation of order n fixing the barycenter \mathbf{P} of \mathcal{P} and the point ∞ permutes these sectors.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be an elliptic transformation such that A is of order n and fixes ∞ , and let B be an arbitrary Möbius transformation. Suppose that $\{A, B\}$ admits a conic interactive pair with sector \mathbf{K} and consider a stellations of an n -gon about the finite fixed point \mathbf{P} of A . Let Φ be a Möbius transformation such that $\Phi(\mathbf{K})$ lies in a component of the complement of the stellations. Then $\langle A, \Phi B \Phi^{-1} \rangle$ is discrete and freely generated by A and $\Phi B \Phi^{-1}$.*

Proof. First observe that A permutes the components of the complement, and therefore $A^n(\Phi(\mathbf{K})) \cap \Phi(\mathbf{K}) = \emptyset$ whenever $A^n \neq \text{Id}$. We need also to check

$$\Phi B^n \Phi^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \setminus \Phi(\mathbf{K})) = \Phi B^n(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbf{K}) \subseteq \Phi(\mathbf{K})$$

whenever $B^n \neq \text{Id}$, and hence $(\Phi(\mathbf{K}), \mathbb{C} \setminus \Phi(\mathbf{K}))$ gives an interactive pair (which is proper since $\Phi(\mathbf{P})$ is not moved into $\Phi(\mathbf{K})$ by the action of $\Phi B^n \Phi^{-1}$). \square

Remark 2.3. *Observe that to produce a non-trivial stellations (i.e. one where the central n -gon is not degenerated to a single point) as in the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, it is necessary for $n > 2$.*

To apply this result we make good choices of Φ and compute the conjugacy invariant $\text{tr}[X, \Phi Y \Phi^{-1}] - 2$ to find new points in the parameter spaces. The first choice for Φ is simply multiplication and the sector is the sector \mathbf{K} with apex \mathbf{P} . For $\mu \neq 0$ let S denote the loxodromic map $S : z \mapsto \mu z$. Let A be primitive elliptic of order p as above at (1) with sector \mathbf{K} and apex \mathbf{P} . Write $\mu = \lambda e^{i\theta}$




Figure 4: The translates of the sector K/μ line up and are disjoint. The sector with apex K/μ maps onto the sector in the top left under rotation by A .

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. We want to calculate values for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and θ that imply that the translates of $(1/\mu)K$ (the red sector identified in Figure 4 share edges. This then gives the stellation we seek.

Consideration of Figure 4, along with the periodicity of A , shows that a necessary and sufficient condition for these edges to coincide is that the triangle with vertices \mathbf{P} , \mathbf{P}/μ , and 0 has a right angle at \mathbf{P}/μ . That is, $(0 - \mathbf{P}/\mu) \cdot (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}/\mu)$ is imaginary. Then $1 - \mu$ is imaginary and we find that the desired condition is $\mu = 1 - it$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The next corollary is immediate from combining this calculation with Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.4. *Let A be a primitive elliptic transformation with order $p > 2$, let $Y \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be arbitrary apart from not sharing a fixed point with A , and suppose that $\{A, Y\}$ admits a conic interactive pair with sector \mathbf{K} . Let $S : z \mapsto \mu z$ where $\mu = 1 - it$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$). Then $\langle A, S^{-1}YS \rangle$ is discrete and freely generated by A and $S^{-1}YS$.*

Observe that the proof of the corollary gives us a continuous family of ‘maximally packed’ stellations, with the trivial (degenerate) one at $t = 0$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let A be a primitive elliptic transformation with order $p > 2$, let $Y \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be arbitrary apart from not sharing a fixed point with A , and suppose that $\{A, Y\}$ admits a conic interactive pair with sector \mathbf{K} . Let B_{ρ_0} be as defined at (1) with $\text{tr}(B_{\rho_0}) = \text{tr}(Y)$ and $c = Y_{2,1}$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\rho_t = \rho_0 + ict$. Then $\langle A, B_{\rho_t} \rangle$ is discrete and freely generated by A and B_{ρ_t} .*

Proof. Let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+it} & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\sqrt{1+it} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group $\langle A, S^{-1}YS \rangle$ is conjugate to a group of the form $\langle A, B_{\rho_t} \rangle$ by the conditions provided in Section 1.1, and the former we know to be discrete by Theorem 2.2 and our choice of S . Since $\text{tr}[AB_{\rho_0}] = \text{tr}[AY]$ we obtain that $\rho_t = \rho_0 + ict$ implies $\text{tr}[AB_{\rho_t}] = \text{tr}[AS^{-1}YS]$ and the proof is complete. \square

Geometrically, the set $\{\rho_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a line passing through ρ_0 which is orthogonal to the line through 0 and c , since $(ict)\bar{c} = it|c|^2$ is purely imaginary.

If $c = \rho_0$, we simplify Theorem 2.5 to obtain the following.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\{A, B_\rho\}$ (defined as at (1), with $p > 2$) admit a conic interactive pair with sector \mathbf{K} . Then for all t , $\langle A, B_{\rho(1+it)} \rangle$ is discrete and freely generated by A and $B_{\rho(1+it)}$.*

3 Families of discrete groups

In this section, we fix A as at (1) with $p > 2$ and we fix an element $Y \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ which does not share any fixed points with A ; that is,

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \begin{cases} ad - bc = 1, \\ c \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ b\alpha^4 + (d - a)\alpha^3 - (2b + c)\alpha^2 + (a - d)\alpha + b \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Having studied the consequences for the existence of conic interactive pairs, we next want to identify sets of ρ and matrices Y so that $\{A, Y\}$. The obvious condition one would first consider is that the isometric disks of Y lie in \mathbf{K} . The *isometric disks* of Y are the two disks

$$D_1 = \{z : |cz + d| \leq 1\}, \quad D_2 = \{z : |cz - a| \leq 1\},$$

with centers $Q_1 = -d/c$ and $Q_2 = a/c$ and common radius $1/|c|$. These isometric disks have the property that

$$\begin{aligned} Y(D_1) &= \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{int}(D_2), & Y^{-1}(D_2) &= \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \text{int}(D_1), \\ Y(Q_1) &= \infty, & Y^{-1}(Q_2) &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so that $Y(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)) \subset D_1 \cup D_2$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist integers m and n so that $\tilde{Y} = A^n Y A^m$ has isometric disks D_1 and D_2 whose centers both lie in \mathbf{K} and whose radius is the same as that of the isometric disks of Y .*

The utility of this is that for all n and m , $\text{tr}[A, A^n Y A^m] = \text{tr}[A, Y]$ and therefore $\langle A, Y \rangle = \langle A, \tilde{Y} \rangle$.

Proof. As \mathbf{K} is a fundamental domain for A , there are m and n so that $A^{-m}(D_1)$ and $A^n(D_2)$ have their respective centres in \mathbf{K} . Set $\tilde{D}_1 = A^{-m}(D_1)$, $\tilde{D}_2 = A^n(D_2)$. Then

$$A^n B A^m(\tilde{D}_1) = A^n B A^m(A^{-m}(D_1)) = A^n(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D_2) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \tilde{D}_2.$$




Figure 5: The new fundamental domain for A consists of \mathbf{K} together with the isometric disks of Y and suitable images deleted. Left: The real part of the centers of disks have opposite signs. Middle: Real part of centers have same (negative) sign. Right: Elliptic example.

Further B acts isometrically on ∂D_i , and A is a Euclidean isometry, so these disks have the same radius and so must be the isometric disks. \square

3.1 First bounds via isometric disks

In the special case that Y is elliptic, the fixed point 0 lies in both D_1 and D_2 so both disks meet \mathbf{K} . Lemma 3.1 allows us to modify our generators in order to arrange this desirable configuration more generally, and therefore the following result (which generalises a result of Chang, Jennings, and Ree [6] in the case of two parabolic generators) gives us a bound for arbitrary Y .

Lemma 3.2. *Let A and Y be given as at the start of the section and suppose that the isometric disks of Y meet \mathbf{K} . If*

- $A(D_1) \cap D_1 = \emptyset$, that is if and only if $|c - d(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})| > 2$, and
- $A(D_2) \cap D_2 = \emptyset$, that is if and only if $|c + a(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})| > 2$, and
- $A(D_1) \cap D_2 = \emptyset$, that is if and only if $|c + a\alpha + d\bar{\alpha}| > 2$, and
- $A(D_2) \cap D_1 = \emptyset$, that is if and only if $|c - a\bar{\alpha} - d\alpha| > 2$,

then $\langle A, Y \rangle$ is discrete and is the free product of $\langle A \rangle$ and $\langle Y \rangle$.

(The proof of this theorem also goes through when $p = 2$.)

Proof. We perform a surgery on the fundamental domain \mathbf{K} for $\langle A \rangle$, by adding the fundamental discs of Y and deleting their A -translates:

$$V = (\mathbf{K} \cup D_1 \cup D_2) \setminus (A^{-1}(D_1) \cup A(D_2)),$$

where we may have to exchange one or both of A for A^{-1} depending on the signs of $\operatorname{Re} -d/c$ and $\operatorname{Re} a/c$. This situation is illustrated in Figure 5.

Let $U = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus V$. By standard theory of Möbius transformations, Y sends the exterior of D_1 into the interior of D_2 . By construction, U lies in the exterior of

D_1 , and so U is moved off itself by Y . Similarly, U is moved off itself by Y^{-1} . We therefore have an interactive pair (U, V) and the proof is complete via [31, §VII.A.10] if we can show that it is proper. Let p be a fixed point of Y ; then p is not $\langle Y \rangle$ -equivalent to any point of U , since the fixed points of Y^n all lie in the isometric discs of Y . \square

If 0 is a fixed point for Y (that is if $b = 0$), then the four inequalities represent disks about c of radius 2 as a and d are determined by the trace $(\beta + 1/\beta)$ and determinant ($ad = 1$). A drawback of this construction is that to apply our earlier results on conic interactive pairs we really do need \mathbf{K} unaltered and so must modify the isometric disks of Y , unless they already lie in \mathbf{K} . In doing so the equations become so complicated that they are of little utility. However there is a special case where we can do this in a straightforward manner, and fortunately it is the case of primary interest to us.

3.2 The case that A and B are elliptic

Let A and $B = B_\rho$ be defined as at (1), so $\alpha = e^{i\pi/p}$ and $\beta = e^{i\pi/q}$; to exclude degeneracies we assume $p > 2$. Let J be the involution $J(z) = 1/z$.

Lemma 3.3. *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the translates of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbf{K}$ under B to be pairwise disjoint are the following:*

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha(\beta - \bar{\beta}) + \rho| > 2, & \quad |\beta\bar{\alpha} + \alpha\bar{\beta} - \rho| > 2, \\ |\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\beta} - \beta) + \rho| > 2, & \quad |\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} + \alpha\beta + \rho| > 2. \end{aligned}$$

If these four conditions hold for α , β and ρ , then $\{A, B\}$ admits a conic interactive pair with sector \mathbf{K} .

Proof. It is clearly equivalent to identify conditions for when the translates of $J(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbf{K}) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J(\mathbf{K})$ under $\tilde{B} = JBJ$ are pairwise disjoint. First observe that

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\beta} & \rho \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \text{ which represents } \tilde{B} : z \mapsto \bar{\beta}^2 z + \bar{\beta}\rho$$

is a Euclidean isometry, a rotation with fixed point $\tilde{P} = -(i\rho/2) \csc(\pi/q)$.

Next we want to identify $J(\mathbf{K})$. The boundary of this region must be symmetric across the imaginary axis, and must be the intersection of two circles which meet at angle $2\pi/p$ at the points $0 = J(\infty)$ and $J(P) = 1/P = -2i \sin(\pi/p)$. The center of these circles must lie on the line $\text{Im}(z) = -\sin(\pi/p)$ and both circles must have the same radius. This means they are isometric circles for a rotation of order p with fixed points 0 and $-2i \sin(\pi/p)$. That must be

$$\tilde{A} = JAJ = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & 0 \\ 1 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \text{ which represents } \tilde{A} : z \mapsto \frac{\bar{\alpha}z}{z + \alpha}$$

The transformation \tilde{A} has isometric circles $\tilde{D}_1 = \{z : |z + \alpha| = 1\}$ and $\tilde{D}_2 = \{z : |z - \bar{\alpha}| = 1\}$ and fixed points 0 and $(i/2) \csc(\pi/p)$. Then $J(\mathbf{K}) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\tilde{D}_1 \cup \tilde{D}_2)$.




Figure 6: Families of disks from Lemma 3.3, $p = q$. From left: $p = 3, 4, 8, 20, 100$. A disk of radius 2 is always included when $p = q$ and is shaded red.

We can now make exactly the same calculation as we did previously, considering when these disks are moved off themselves. For instance, $\tilde{B}(\tilde{D}_i) \cap \tilde{D}_j = \emptyset$ ($j = 1, 2$) reads as

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{B}(-\alpha) + \alpha| > 2 &\Leftrightarrow |-\bar{\beta}^2 \alpha + \bar{\beta} \rho + \alpha| > 2 \Leftrightarrow |-\bar{\beta} \alpha + \rho + \alpha \beta| > 2 \\ |\tilde{B}(\bar{\alpha}) + \alpha| > 2 &\Leftrightarrow |\bar{\beta}^2 \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} \rho + \alpha| > 2 \Leftrightarrow |\bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} + \rho + \alpha \beta| > 2 \\ |\tilde{B}(\bar{\alpha}) - \bar{\alpha}| > 2 &\Leftrightarrow |\bar{\beta}^2 \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} \rho - \bar{\alpha}| > 2 \Leftrightarrow |\bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha} + \rho - \beta \bar{\alpha}| > 2 \\ |\tilde{B}(-\alpha) - \bar{\alpha}| > 2 &\Leftrightarrow |-\bar{\beta}^2 \alpha + \bar{\beta} \rho - \bar{\alpha}| > 2 \Leftrightarrow |-\bar{\beta} \alpha + \rho - \beta \bar{\alpha}| > 2 \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the four inequalities stated above, and gives us an interactive pair with cone \mathbf{K} (moved into $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbf{K}$ by A) and $U = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbf{K}$ (moved into \mathbf{K} by B). \square

From the information in the proof, we may construct systems of discs similar to those which appeared in the proof of Lemma 3.2. As in that proof, we consider a surgery on a sector. Let \tilde{K} be the sector symmetric about the imaginary axis with apex $\tilde{P} = -(i\rho/2) \csc(\pi/q)$ that contains the origin (i.e. a fundamental domain for \tilde{B}), and add and delete translates of \tilde{D}_i to obtain a set

$$\tilde{V} = \left(\tilde{K} \cup \tilde{D}_1 \cup \tilde{D}_2 \right) \setminus \left(\tilde{A}(\tilde{D}_1) \cup \tilde{A}^{-1}(\tilde{D}_2) \right)$$

(where possibly we might need to replace A with A^{-1} or vice versa, depending on the circle arrangements). Then, just as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, \tilde{V} and its complement form a proper interactive pair.

We observe that, when $p = q$, the bounds are the same as those which were constructed in Lemma 3.2. Illustrated in Figure 6 are a few of the families of disks which arise as bounds. With $\alpha = e^{i\pi/p}$ and $\beta = e^{i\pi/q}$ we write the conditions as

$$\begin{aligned} |2ie^{i\pi/p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} + \rho| > 2, & \quad |2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{p} - \frac{\pi}{q} \right) - \rho| > 2, \\ |2ie^{-i\pi/p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} - \rho| > 2, & \quad |2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{p} + \frac{\pi}{q} \right) + \rho| > 2. \end{aligned}$$

There is quite a strong lack of symmetry between α and β in these disks. This is evidenced by Figure 7, where we show the discs which arise for the deformation spaces $\mathcal{R}_{7,3}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{3,7}$, which are equal to each other as subsets of \mathbb{C} . We will use this asymmetry below to improve certain estimates.

The following lemma, which explains the definitions of $\rho_{p,q}^*$ and $x_{p,q}$ in Section 1.2, is shown by direct computation.




Figure 7: The union of excluded disks. Left: $p = 7$ and $q = 3$. Right: $p = 3$ and $q = 7$.

Lemma 3.4. *The point $\rho_{p,q}^*$ lies on the intersection of the two circles $\{\rho : |2ie^{i\pi/p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} + \rho| = 2\}$ and $\{\rho : |2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{p} - \frac{\pi}{q}) - \rho| = 2\}$ and outside the other two disks of Lemma 3.3. The line $\{\rho + it\rho : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ meets the real axis at the point $x_{p,q}$. \square*

Notice that $\rho_{p,q}^* \neq \rho_{q,p}^*$ in general. At this point we can give first estimates on the values of ρ , which is most of our main result Theorem 1.1, except for the bounds listed in (2) of the definition of $\Omega_{p/q}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $X, Y \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be primitive elliptic elements of order p and q , $3 \leq p \leq q$. Let ρ be a solution of*

$$\rho \left(\rho - 4 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \right) = \text{tr}[X, Y] - 2.$$

If $\rho \notin \Omega_{p,q}$, then $\langle X, Y \rangle$ is discrete and free.

Proof. The assumption on ρ implies that $\langle X, Y \rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle A, B_\rho \rangle$ with A and $B = B_\rho$ as at (1). The bounds on the real part and imaginary parts of ρ follow since the four excluded disks lie in the intersection of these strips. Next, the points $\rho_{p,q}^*$ and $\rho_{q,p}^*$ lie in the positive quadrant of \mathbb{C} and on the boundaries of the union of these four disks (though two of the disks are different and come from interchanging p and q). Corollary 2.6 now applies as our calculations show the remaining lines pass through $\rho_{p,q}^*$ in the direction of $i\rho_{p,q}^*$. \square

Figure 8 indicates the lines and disks for a range of p and q . It is clear that some improvements can be made. For instance Corollary 2.6 indicates the entire envelope of lines through the points $\gamma = 2 + 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{p} - \frac{\pi}{q}) + 2 \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)$ in the direction $i\gamma$ ($0 \leq \theta < \arg(\rho_{p,q}^*)$), i.e. points on the boundary of the right-most disk with real part larger than $\rho_{p,q}^*$, lie in the free space. In practice this is seldom much better than what we have illustrated when one of p or q is large. Also, the real part bound is sharp and holds with equality for the (p, q, ∞) triangle group and its symmetry. The imaginary part bound is asymptotically sharp as $p, q \rightarrow \infty$, and converges to the $\frac{1}{2}$ -cusp group on the boundary of the Riley slice. However different arguments are needed for precise sharp bounds and this is the content of the next section.




Figure 8: The region $V_{p,q}$ intersecting the positive quadrant for a range of p and q . From left: $(p, q) = (3, 7), (4, 15), (7, 20)$.

Table 1: Farey polynomials for small q .

p/q	$P_{p/q}(z)$
0/1	$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} - z$
1/1	$\alpha\beta + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} + z$
1/2	$2 + \left(\alpha\beta - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}\right)z + z^2$
1/3	$\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} + \alpha\beta + \left(3 - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{\beta^2} - \beta^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\right)z$ $+ \left(\alpha\beta - 2\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - 2\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}\right)z^2 + z^3$

3.3 The 1/3 cusp group

The theory of Keen and Series, which gives global coordinates for the space $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ of discrete groups which are free on two parabolic generators, extends to the space $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{a,b}$ where a and b are allowed to be finite [8, 11]. The locations and topologies of cusp groups on the boundary of this space are controlled by a family of polynomials indexed by \mathbb{Q} , which we have called Farey polynomials [9]. We list a select few in Table 1.

Cusp groups are determined by certain complex conjugate pairs of solutions to the equation $P_{r/s}(z_0) = -2$. Thus:

- $P_{0/1} = -2$ gives the cusp group $\rho = 2 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$. This group is the (p, q, ∞) -triangle group.
- $P_{1/1} = -2$ gives the cusp group $\rho = -2 - \alpha\beta - \frac{1}{\alpha\beta}$, a conjugate of the (p, q, ∞) -triangle group.
- $P_{1/2} = -2$ gives the cusp groups

$$\rho_{1/2} = 2 \left(\sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \pm i \sqrt{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{q} - 1} \right) \quad (4)$$

This group $\Gamma_{\rho}^{p,q}$ has limit set a circle packing, with components of the ordinary set stabilised by conjugates of (p, q, ∞) -triangle groups. The quotient surface $(\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Lambda(\Gamma_{\rho}^{p,q})) / \Gamma_{\rho}^{p,q}$ consists of two spheres each marked with two cone points (of angles $\pi/p, \pi/q$) and a puncture.

The line through $\rho_{1/2}$, defined at (4), in the direction of $i\rho_{1/2}$ meets the real line at

$$\rho_0 = 4 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q}, \quad p, q \geq 3,$$

and while $\Gamma_{\rho_{1/2}}$ is a discrete group, the line through $\rho_{1/2}$ in direction $i\rho_{1/2}$ contains the group Γ_{ρ_0} : every discrete Fuchsian group with two parabolic generators either has $|\rho| > 4$ or is a triangle group, and this group is plainly not a triangle group, so it cannot be discrete. We see that the conclusion of Corollary 2.6 fails when we attempt to apply it to $\Gamma_{\rho_{1/2}}$:

Theorem 3.6. *The group $\Gamma_{\rho_{1/2}}$ is discrete and conjugate to $\langle A, B_{\rho_{1/2}} \rangle$. However this group has no interactive conic pair. \square*

Theorem 3.6 applies any time the line through ρ in the direction $i\rho$ separates the cusp $P_{1/3}(\rho) = -2$, $\text{Im } \rho > 0$, from 0. In fact we see that for the conclusion of Corollary 2.6 to hold, the line through ρ in the direction $i\rho$ must intersect the positive real axis in a number at least 4 (as the Fuchsian triangle groups are isolated in the set of all discrete groups). Thus $\rho \notin \mathbb{D}(2, 2) \cap \{\text{Re } \rho > 0 \ \& \ \text{Im } \rho > 0\}$. When $p = q$ then Corollary 2.6 works, as the disk $\mathbb{D}(2, 2)$ is always excluded by the bounds of Lemma 3.3 (c.f. Figure 6).

4 Further refinements

As isometric circles are not conjugacy invariants, by looking at different conjugates and considering their isometric circles we may obtain different results. Set

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{p} & -\sin \frac{\pi}{p} \\ \sin \frac{\pi}{p} & \cos \frac{\pi}{p} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{q} & -\lambda \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \\ \lambda^{-1} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} & \cos \frac{\pi}{q} \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice first that interchanging λ with $-\lambda$ changes V to V^{-1} and preserves discreteness. Further, as

$$\text{tr}[U, V_\lambda] - 2 = \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{q},$$

interchanging λ and $1/\lambda$ does not change the conjugacy class, so we may always assume that $|\lambda| \geq 1$ and $p \leq q$. Note that keeping both these conditions impacts choices made below.

We can derive the relation between λ and ρ when the two groups $\langle X, Y_\rho \rangle$ and $\langle U, V_\lambda \rangle$ are conjugate. We compute

$$\text{tr}[X, Y_\rho] - 2 = \rho \left(\rho - 4 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \right) = (\lambda - 1/\lambda)^2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{q}$$

and the conjugacy invariance of the commutator leads to the two solutions to these equations

$$\rho = -\frac{(\lambda - 1)^2}{\lambda} \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \text{ and } \rho = \frac{(\lambda + 1)^2}{\lambda} \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \quad (5)$$

The fixed points of U are $\pm i$ and the centers of its isometric circles are $\pm \cot \frac{\pi}{p}$ and each isometric disk has radius $\csc \frac{\pi}{p}$. For V the fixed points are $\pm \lambda$ and the isometric disk centers are $\pm \lambda \cot \frac{\pi}{q}$ with radii $|\lambda| \csc \frac{\pi}{q}$. We now obtain a condition to guarantee membership in $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$, namely that the isometric disks of U both lie in the intersection of the isometric disks of V . This condition is easily seen to be

$$|\lambda \cot \frac{\pi}{q} \pm \cot \frac{\pi}{p}| + \csc \frac{\pi}{p} \leq |\lambda| \csc \frac{\pi}{q} \quad (6)$$

It is clear that the inequality holds for all sufficiently large λ .

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that λ lies inside the region defined by the inequality (6). Then $\langle U, V_\lambda \rangle$ is discrete and free. \square*

We can identify the two curves bounding the feasible region of (6) in λ -coordinates with only a little calculation: the two curves are $\lambda = r_\pm(\theta)e^{i\theta}$, where

$$r_\pm(\theta) = \pm \cos(\theta) \cot \frac{\pi}{p} \cot \frac{\pi}{q} + \csc \frac{\pi}{p} \csc \frac{\pi}{q} \\ + \sqrt{\left(\cos(\theta) \csc \frac{\pi}{p} \cot \frac{\pi}{q} \pm \cot \frac{\pi}{p} \csc \frac{\pi}{q} \right)^2 + \sin^2(\theta) \cot^2 \frac{\pi}{q}}$$

Substituting $r_+(\theta)e^{i\theta}$ into (5) we obtain bounds in ρ -coordinates:

$$\rho_\pm(\theta) = \pm \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \frac{(e^{i\theta} r(\theta) \pm 1)^2}{e^{i\theta} r(\theta)};$$

replacing $r_+(\theta)$ by $r_-(\theta)$ gives exactly the same two functions. In particular the common exterior of the two curves $\{\rho_\pm(\theta) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ lies inside $\mathcal{R}_{p,q}$.

4.1 The special case $p = q$

We remark on an important special case, which explicitly shows that the bounds obtained by passing through λ -coordinates are stronger than those obtained via ρ -coordinates. When $p = q$, equation (6) becomes $|\lambda \pm 1| \cos(\pi/p) + 1 \leq \lambda$ (where both \pm must hold). and we must have both \pm holding. Then the two parametric curves bounding the feasible region are $\lambda = r_\pm(\theta)e^{i\theta}$ for

$$r_\pm(\theta) = \csc^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \left(1 \pm \cos(\theta) \cos^2 \frac{\pi}{p} + \sqrt{\cos^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \left((\cos(\theta) \pm 1)^2 + \sin^2(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \right)} \right).$$

In Figure 9, we transform these bounds into ρ -coordinates via (5) and plot the bounds obtained directly via Lemma 3.3 on the same axes for a range of different values of p . The figure clearly shows that when p is small then we obtain better bounds using λ -coordinates. As $p \rightarrow \infty$ and the isometric circles approach tangency, it is harder and harder for the isometric circles to give an interactive pair and so for large p the ρ -bounds become stronger than the λ -bounds.




Figure 9: Shaded disks from Lemma 3.3 compared with the λ regions of Lemma 4.1, when $p = q$. From left : $p = 3, 7, 15, 100$.

4.2 Comparing the two representations

Motivated by the example $p = q$, we compare the information in the two representations for the conjugate groups $\langle U, V_\lambda \rangle$ and $\langle A, B_\rho \rangle$. Let ω be the involution

$$\omega(z) = \frac{iz + \csc \frac{\pi}{p} - 1}{z - i}.$$

This is represented by the matrix

$$W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{p}}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}} & \frac{i(\sin \frac{\pi}{p} - 1)}{\sqrt{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}}} \\ -i\sqrt{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}} & -\sqrt{\sin \frac{\pi}{p}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can check that $WUW^{-1} = A$, and

$$WVW^{-1} = \sin \frac{\pi}{q} \begin{pmatrix} \cot \frac{\pi}{q} + \frac{i((\lambda^2 + 1) \sin \frac{\pi}{p} - 1)}{\lambda(2 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} - 1)} & -\frac{(\lambda - \csc \frac{\pi}{p} + 1)(\lambda + \csc \frac{\pi}{p} - 1)}{\lambda(\csc \frac{\pi}{p} - 2)} \\ -\frac{(\lambda^2 - 1)}{\lambda(\csc \frac{\pi}{p} - 2)} & \cot \frac{\pi}{q} - \frac{i((\lambda^2 + 1) \sin \frac{\pi}{p} - 1)}{\lambda(2 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} - 1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

The mapping ω preserves the imaginary axis, and $\omega(\infty) = i \in \mathbf{K}$, so the complement of the isometric disks of A is mapped to \mathbf{K} . Thus A and $Y := WVW^{-1}$ form a conic interactive pair. In view of Theorem 2.5 we set (using (5) in the third equality)

$$c_\lambda = Y_{2,1} = -\frac{(\lambda^2 - 1) \sin \frac{\pi}{q}}{\lambda(\csc \frac{\pi}{p} - 2)} = \frac{\sqrt{\rho(\rho - 4 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q})}}{2 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} - 1}$$

Theorem 2.5 tells us that what is useful about c_λ is its direction. So we pull out scalars and set

$$\nu_\lambda = \lambda^{-1}(\lambda^2 - 1)$$

If λ is imaginary, then so too is ν_λ . When $\lambda = is$ for $s > 0$, then equality holding in (6) implies that

$$s = \csc \frac{\pi}{p} \csc \frac{\pi}{q} + \sqrt{\csc^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \csc^2 \frac{\pi}{q} - 1}$$




Figure 10: Envelope of lines describing an oval region where $(p, q) = (3, 3), (4, 4)$.

Then (5) gives us

$$\rho_\lambda = -2 \sin \frac{\pi}{p} \sin \frac{\pi}{q} + 2i \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{q}}.$$

With ν_λ imaginary, Theorem 2.5 gives us the following lemma which together with Theorem 3.5 establishes our main result.

Lemma 4.2. *If $\rho \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{R}_{p,q}}$, then $|\operatorname{Im} \rho| < 2\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{q}}$. \square*

We can consider the envelope of lines passing through points described on the curves ρ_λ above, and in the direction of c_λ . These envelopes are illustrated in Figure 10. However, they do not add substantively to our main result.

The curvilinear regions described by this envelope (the white region) is remarkably close (but not equal to) the convex hull of

$$\mathbb{D} \left(2 + 2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{p} - \frac{\pi}{q} \right), \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{q}}, 2\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{q}} \right)$$

and its symmetric image, indeed within a few hundredths for $p, q \geq 3$.

5 Faithful representations of the braid group on three strands

Motivated by the study of q -rationals, S. Morier-Genoud, V. Ovsienko, and A. P. Veselov [32] studied the locus of $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the family of representations

$$\tilde{\rho}_\mu : B_3 \xrightarrow{\rho} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \xrightarrow{t \mapsto \mu} \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

is faithful, where B_3 is the 3-strand braid group and ρ is the reduced Burau representation (defined below). They proved that these specialised Burau representations are faithful outside the annulus $3 - 2\sqrt{2} \leq |\mu| \leq 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$, and they

conjectured [32, Conjecture 3] that this bound may be improved to the exterior of the annulus

$$\frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \leq |\mu| \leq \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

In this section, we will prove their conjecture and in fact give much tighter bounds on the faithfulness (Corollary 5.3).

We first introduce the relevant objects from the theory of braid groups. Let B_3 denote the 3-strand braid group, and let σ_1, σ_2 be the standard Artin generators, so

$$B_3 = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle.$$

The group B_3 admits a representation $\rho : B_3 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$ given by

$$\rho(\sigma_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -t & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \rho(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & -t \end{pmatrix}.$$

This representation is called the *reduced Burau representation*; by standard results in homological braid theory, it is faithful [20, §3.3]. For each $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we write $\pi_\mu : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ for the projection of the substitution map $t \mapsto \mu$. The image G_μ of $\pi_\mu \circ \rho$ is generated by

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mu}} \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\mu}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mu & -\mu \end{pmatrix}.$$


For generic (e.g. transcendental) μ the induced map $B_3 \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is faithful and hence to find the isomorphism type of G_μ we only need to identify all the elements of the image which are scalar multiples of the identity; these elements lie in the centre of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. The centre of B_3 is the cyclic group generated by $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^2$, which is mapped by ρ to $t^3 I_2$.

Therefore a full presentation of G_μ for generic μ is

$$G_\mu = \langle A, B : ABA = BAB, (ABA)^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

It will be convenient to change to the generators $R = ABA = BAB$, $S = AB$.

From the presentation, we see that $G_\mu \simeq \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. When $\mu = -1$ we obtain the usual embedding of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ into $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Recall that the quotient $\Omega(\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}))/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a pair of spheres, each with marked points of order 2, 3, and ∞ ; the hyperbolic 3-fold is accordingly obtained from $S^2 \times (0, 1)$ by drilling out two ideal cone arcs (one each of orders 2 and 3, represented by R and S respectively) and one rank one cusp (represented by $RS = A^{-1}$). Experimentation suggests $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a cusp point of the deformation space and therefore we expect that the generic 3-orbifold uniformised by a group G_μ is obtained from B^3 by drilling out two ideal cone arcs, one of order 2 and one of order 3 (i.e. the rank 1 cusp of the $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ quotient expands to a deleted tube). This can be proved by exhibiting a conjugacy between G_μ and Γ_ρ . For this, observe that the conjugacy class of a group in this family is determined by the cross-ratio of the fixed points of the generators (equality of cross-ratios



(a) The deformation space $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}$ in μ -coordinates.

(b) An illustration of our bound from Corollary 5.3.

implies existence of a conjugacy between the two groups). Explicit computation shows that the condition for the fixed points of the generators of G_μ and Γ_ρ to have equal cross-ratios is

$$\rho = i\sqrt{\mu} + \sqrt{3} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{\mu}}.$$

This defines a map ρ_μ from the deformation space of groups G_μ to the deformation space $\mathcal{R}_{2,3}$. In Figure 11a we show the exterior of the set of μ such that $G_\mu \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Theorem 5.1. For $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, let $z = \sqrt{\mu} - 1/\sqrt{\mu}$. If

$$3 \leq |z \pm \sqrt{z^2 + 3}| \tag{7}$$

then G_μ is isomorphic to $B_3/Z(B_3)$ via the map ρ_μ .

Proof. Consider the parameterisation of (2, 3)-Riley groups studied in Section 4:

$$\left\langle U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{3} & -\sin \frac{\pi}{3} \\ \sin \frac{\pi}{3} & \cos \frac{\pi}{3} \end{pmatrix}, V = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} & -\lambda \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} & \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Using the cross ratio we may obtain the change of variables

$$\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{3}(\mu \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 + \mu + 1} - 1)}{3\sqrt{\mu}}.$$

From Lemma 4.1, we see that if $|\lambda| > \sqrt{3}$, then $\langle U, V \rangle$ is discrete and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$. Substituting the change of variables for λ and using $z = \sqrt{\mu} - 1/\sqrt{\mu}$, we obtain (7). \square

We draw the unbounded component of the locus of μ satisfying (7) in Figure 11b. This bound is sharp at the image of the $1/2$ cusp of the Riley slice: one can show that this cusp is the point $\rho = \sqrt{3} + i$ (e.g. via the theory of Farey polynomials, Section 3.3); its image under μ -coordinates is exactly the point $(3 + \sqrt{5})/2$. It is also sharp at the $3/2$ cusp corresponding to $\mu = -1$.

Lemma 5.2. *If $G_\mu \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ then $\ker(\pi \circ \tilde{\rho}_\mu) = Z(B_3)$.*

Proof. Let $K = \ker(\pi \circ \tilde{\rho}_\mu)$ and $Z = Z(B_3)$. Since $Z \triangleleft K \triangleleft B_3$ we obtain that $(B_3/Z)/(K/Z) \cong G/K = G_\mu$ by the third isomorphism theorem. Since $B_3/Z \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and by assumption $G_\mu \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ we obtain that $K = Z$ as desired since $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated and residually finite and hence has no proper quotients isomorphic to itself (i.e. it is Hopfian). \square

Corollary 5.3. *If $z = \sqrt{\mu} - 1/\sqrt{\mu}$ satisfies (7) and $\mu \neq -1$, then $\tilde{\rho}_\mu$ is faithful.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\rho}_\mu : B_3 \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be the specialised Burau representation. Suppose $\mathfrak{a} \in \ker \tilde{\rho}_\mu$. By Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 we must have $\mathfrak{a} \in Z(B_3)$. Hence $\mathfrak{a} = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^{2k}$ for some k . But we can explicitly compute,

$$\tilde{\rho}_\mu(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^{2k} = \mu^{3k} \text{Id},$$

hence $\mu^{3k} = 1$ and either $|\mu| = 1$ or $k = 0$. So let $\mu = e^{i2\theta}$ and suppose that $z = 2i \sin(\theta)$ satisfies (7). By the triangle inequality for absolute values we obtain $3 \leq |2 \sin \theta| + \sqrt{|3 - 4 \sin^2(\theta)|}$ hence $\sin \theta = \pm 1$ hence $\mu = -1$. \square

References

- [1] H. Akiyoshi, M. Sakuma, M. Wada and Y. Yamashita, *Punctured torus groups and 2-bridge knot groups. I*. Lecture Notes in Math., 1909 Springer, Berlin, 2007, xliv+252 pp.
- [2] H. Akiyoshi, K. Ohshika, J. Parker, M. Sakuma and H. Yoshida, *Classification of non-free Kleinian groups generated by two parabolic transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **374**, (2021), 1765–1814.
- [3] S. Aimi, D. Lee, S. Sakai and M. Sakuma, *Classification of parabolic generating pairs of Kleinian groups with two parabolic generators*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste, **52**, (2020), 477–511.
- [4] A.F. Beardon, *The geometry of discrete groups*, Graduate texts in mathematics, **91**, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [5] J.L. Brenner, *Quelques groupes libres de matrices*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **241**, (1955), 1689–1691.
- [6] B. Chang, S. A. Jennings, and R. Ree, *On certain matrices which generate free groups*, Can. J. Math., **10**, (1958), 279–284.
- [7] E. Chesebro, G.J. Martin, J. Schillewaert, *Classification and presentations of Heckoid groups*, preprint.

- [8] A. Elzenaar, G.J. Martin, J. Schillewaert, *Concrete one complex dimensional moduli spaces of hyperbolic manifolds and orbifolds*. In: 2021-22 MATRIX annals. Ed. by D.R. Wood, J. de Gier, C.E. Prager, and T. Tao. MATRIX Book Series 5. Springer (2024).
- [9] A. Elzenaar, G.J. Martin, J. Schillewaert, *The combinatorics of Farey words and their traces*, to appear Groups, Geometry and Dynamics.
- [10] A. Elzenaar, G.J. Martin, J. Schillewaert, *Approximations of the Riley slice* Expositiones Mathematicae, **41**, (2023), 20–54.
- [11] A. Elzenaar, G.J. Martin, J. Schillewaert, *Deformation spaces of Kleinian groups generated by two elements of finite order*, to appear.
- [12] Flammang and G. Rhin *Algebraic integers whose conjugates all lie in an ellipse*, Math. Comp., **74**, (2005), 2007–2015.
- [13] F.W. Gehring, J. Gilman and G.J.Martin, *Kleinian groups with real parameters*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, **3**, (2001), 163–186.
- [14] F.W. Gehring, C. Maclachlan, G. Martin and A.W. Reid *Arithmeticity, Discreteness and Volume*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **349**, (1997), 3611–3643.
- [15] F.W. Gehring and G.J.Martin, *Stability and extremality in Jørgensen’s inequality*, Complex Variables **12** (1989), 277–282.
- [16] F.W. Gehring and G.J.Martin, *Minimal co-volume hyperbolic lattices. I. The spherical points of a Kleinian group*, Ann. of Math., **170**, (2009), 123–161.
- [17] F.W. Gehring and G.J.Martin, *(p, q, r) -Kleinian groups and the Margulis constant*, Complex analysis and dynamical systems II, Contemp. Math., 382, (2005), 149–169.
- [18] F.W. Gehring and G.J.Martin, *Axial distances in discrete Möbius groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. **89**, (1992), 1999–2001.
- [19] T. Jørgensen, *On discrete groups of Möbius transformations*, Amer. J Math., **98**, (1976), 739–749.
- [20] C. Kassel and V. Turaev. Braid groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 247. Springer, 2008.
- [21] L. Keen and C. Series, *The Riley slice of Schottky space*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **69**, (1994), 72–90.
- [22] A.W. Knapp, *Doubly generated Fuchsian groups*, Michigan Math. J., **15**, (1968), 289–304.
- [23] Y. Komori and C. Series, *The Riley slice revisited*, Geometry & Topology Monographs, **1**, (1998), 303–316.
- [24] A. Leutbecher, *Über die Heckschen Gruppen $G(\lambda)$* , Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **81**, (1967), 199–205.
- [25] R.C. Lyndon and J.L. Ullman, *Groups generated by two parabolic linear fractional transformations*, Canadian J. Math., **21**, (1969), 1388–1403.
- [26] M.Yu. Lyubich and V.V. Suvorov, *Free subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with two parabolic generators*, J. Soviet Math, **141**, (1988), 976–979.
- [27] A. Marden, *The geometry of finitely generated Kleinian groups*, Ann. of Math., **99**, (1974), 383–462.

- [28] T.H. Marshall and G.J. Martin, *Minimal co-volume hyperbolic lattices, II: Simple torsion in a Kleinian group*, Ann. of Math., **176**, (2012), 261–301.
- [29] G.J. Martin, *Nondiscrete parabolic characters of the free group F_2 : supergroup density and Nielsen classes in the complement of the Riley slice*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., **103**, (2021), 1402–1414.
- [30] C. Maclachlan, G.J. Martin, *The $(6, p)$ -arithmetic hyperbolic lattices in dimension 3*. Pure Appl. Math. Q., **7**, (2011), no. 2, Special Issue: In honor of Frederick W. Gehring, Part 2, 365–382.
- [31] B. Maskit, *Kleinian groups*, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [32] S. Morier-Genoud, V. Ovsienko, and A.P. Veselov. *Burau representation of braid groups and q -rationals*. In: International Mathematics Research Notices (2024), 1–10.
- [33] L.N. Sanov, *A property of a representation of a free group*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **57**, (1947), 657–659.
- [34] W. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of three-manifolds*, Princeton lecture notes (original notes) 1979/80.