

Hydrodynamic modes in holographic multiple-axion model

Ling-Zheng Xia¹ and Wei-Jia Li^{1*}

¹ *Institute of Theoretical Physics, School of Physics,
Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China.*

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the shear viscoelasticity and the hydrodynamic modes in a holographic solid model with several sets of axions that all break the translations spontaneously on boundary. Comparing with the single-axion model, the shear modulus is enhanced at high temperatures and the shear viscosity is always suppressed in the presence of additional axions. However, the different sets of axions exhibit competitive relationship in determining the shear modulus at low temperatures. Furthermore, by calculating the black hole quasi-normal modes, it is found that adding more axions only increases the amount of diffusive modes. The number of the sound modes always remains unchanged.

arXiv:2405.17092v1 [hep-th] 27 May 2024

*weijiali@dlut.edu.cn

Contents

I. Introduction	2
II. Holographic action and black hole solution	4
III. Shear viscoelasticity	5
IV. Dispersion relations of hydrodynamic modes	7
A. Transverse channel	8
B. Longitudinal channel	10
V. Discussion and outlook	13
Acknowledgments	14
References	14
A. Verification of the competitive relationship between ϕ^I and χ^I in determining the shear modulus	19
B. Equations of motion of the linearized perturbations	20
1. Transverse sector	20
2. Longitudinal sector	21
C. Some results for the double-axion model with interaction terms	22
D. A check about the diffusion bound	24

I. INTRODUCTION

The concepts of symmetry and symmetry breaking play a very important role in classifying and describing different phases of matter in modern physics [1]. In condensed matter physics, especially for solid state systems, the spatial translations are ubiquitously broken either spontaneously or explicitly due to the existence of lattices, density waves, impurities or some other structures [2, 3].

From the conventional perspective of solid physics, the long-range order associated with the spontaneous breaking of translations endows the system with its ability to respond to mechanical deformations elastically. As the result, the propagating speeds of the associated Goldstone modes (acoustic phonons) are determined by the elastic properties of the system [2, 4]. In some cases, the translations are not broken in a unique way. A large class of typical examples are the so-called superlattices that are made from several (twisted or non-twisted) layers of two-dimensional materials each of which breaks the translations in its own manner [5, 6]. Due to the more complicated structures, these systems, in general, not only modify properties of the two-dimensional materials but also enable new features and applications. However, more complicated structures also present significant challenges to the current theoretical framework.

During the past two decades, the holographic duality (also known as AdS/CFT correspondence) has been shown to be a powerful tool in dealing with real-time dynamics of strongly correlated many-body systems [7–10]. In recent years, this duality has been applied to the realm of solid state physics by breaking the translations of the boundary system spontaneously [11–22]. Many progresses have been made in the studies of transport properties [23–38], quantum chaos [39–41], spectra of collective excitations [42–55], elastic response [56–62] as well as phase transitions [63, 64]. Among the models involved in these works, the most economic one is the so-called holographic axion model. It is constructed by the Einstein gravity coupled with several massless scalars whose profiles are taken to be linear in the spatial coordinates. This allows translations to be broken, while the background geometry still remains homogeneous. For a detailed review of this model, one refers to [65].

To enrich the structure of the boundary system, we generalize the holographic axion model in this work by introducing more axions in the bulk all of which break the translations of the dual systems spontaneously. We aim to investigate the impacts of the additional axions on the viscoelasticity as well as the spectra of collective excitations on boundary.

The paper is organized as follows: In section II, we introduce the generalized holographic model with multiple sets of axions and obtain the black hole solution. In section III, the shear modulus and shear viscosity of the boundary system are calculated in the framework of linear response. In section IV, the dispersion relations of collective modes are achieved by computing the low-lying quasi-normal modes (QNMs) of the black hole. Finally, we conclude in section V.

II. HOLOGRAPHIC ACTION AND BLACK HOLE SOLUTION

In this paper, we generalize the holographic axion model in [65] by including multiple sets of massless scalars. And the action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{2} - \Lambda - \mathcal{W}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{\mathcal{N}}) \right], \quad (1)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar, Λ is the cosmological constant which we will fix $\Lambda = -3$ such that the AdS radius is a unit, \mathcal{W} is a general function of $X_a \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_I \partial_\mu \Phi_a^I \partial^\mu \Phi_a^I$, where $I = x, y$ and $a = 1, 2, \dots, \mathcal{N}$. Since the scalars have no mass, the theory is invariant under the global internal shift $\Phi_a^I \rightarrow \Phi_a^I + c_a^I$.

Varying the metric as well as the scalars, we get equations of motion (EOMs) as follows,

$$G_{\mu\nu} - 3g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{W}g_{\mu\nu} - \sum_{a=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{I=x,y} \mathcal{W}_{X_a} \partial_\mu \Phi_a^I \partial_\nu \Phi_a^I = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{W}_{X_a} \partial^\mu \Phi_a^I) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor and $\mathcal{W}_{X_a} \equiv \partial \mathcal{W} / \partial X_a$.

It has been shown in previous studies of holographic axion models that if the bulk profile of the scalars is imposed as

$$\bar{\Phi}_a^I = \delta_i^I x^i, \quad (4)$$

the translational symmetry of the boundary system is broken, while the homogeneity as well as the isotropy are retained. Then, in the Eddington–Finkelstein (EF) coordinates, the ansatz on the black hole solution can be taken as

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{u^2} [-f(u) dt^2 - 2dt du + dx^2 + dy^2], \quad (5)$$

where $u \in [0, u_h]$ is the radial coordinate with $u = 0$ denoting the AdS boundary and the black hole horizon is defined by $f(u = u_h) = 0$. From the Einstein equation, the emblackening factor is given by

$$f(u) = u^3 \int_u^{u_h} \frac{3 - \mathcal{W}(\bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \dots, \bar{X}_{\mathcal{N}})}{z^4} dz, \quad (6)$$

with $\bar{X}_a = z^2$ representing their background value. The entropy density can be calculated as $s = 2\pi/u_h^2$ and the Hawking temperature is given by

$$T = -\frac{f'(u_h)}{4\pi} = \frac{3 - \mathcal{W}(X_1^h, X_2^h, \dots, X_{\mathcal{N}}^h)}{4\pi u_h}, \quad \text{with } X_a^h = u_h^2. \quad (7)$$

In the following, we will calculate the excitations around the background. To do so, we shall first consider the model with two sets of scalars (we will call it double-axion model in this paper),

$$\mathcal{W}(X, Y) = m^2 V(X) + n^2 W(Y) + l^2 Z(X, Y), \quad (8)$$

where V , W and Z are general functions. One can easily generalize it into be extended to the case with more axons. Here, we have denoted $X \equiv X_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^I \partial^\mu \phi^I$ and $Y \equiv X_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi^I \partial^\mu \chi^I$, m , n , l are coupling parameters and the $Z(X, Y)$ term represents the interactions between the two sets of scalars.¹ To perform explicit computation, we impose the following forms for V , Y and Z ,

$$V(X) = X^M, W(Y) = Y^N, Z(X, Y) = X^P Y^Q. \quad (9)$$

In the standard quantization, to break the translations on boundary in the spontaneous manner, we should further require that [65]

$$M, N, P + Q > \frac{5}{2}. \quad (10)$$

In following, we will investigate the shear viscoelasticity as well as the hydrodynamic excitations by holography in such a specific model.

III. SHEAR VISCOELASTICITY

In the linear response theory, the shear viscoelasticity can be extracted from the retarded Green's function of the stress tensor which at low frequency and zero momentum can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{G}_{T_{xy}T_{xy}}^{(R)}(\omega, k = 0) = G - i\omega\eta + \dots, \quad (11)$$

where G is the shear elastic modulus and η is the shear viscosity.

In holography, this retarded function can be calculated by considering the metric fluctuation $\delta g_{xy} = \frac{1}{u^2} h_{xy} e^{-i\omega t}$ and solving the linearized Einstein equation,

$$-h_{xy} (2l^2 u Z_Y + 2l^2 u Z_X + 2m^2 u V_X + 2n^2 u W_Y + 2i\omega) + h'_{xy} (uf' - 2f + 2iu\omega) + uf h''_{xy} = 0. \quad (12)$$

¹ We find that the interaction term does not change the behavior of the system qualitatively. For this reason, we will focus only on the case with $l = 0$ in the rest of the main text. One can read the appendix C for more details about the case with a non-zero l .

Near the AdS boundary ($u \rightarrow 0$), its asymptotic behavior is

$$h_{xy} = h_{xy}^{(0)} (1 + \dots) + h_{xy}^{(3)} u^3 (1 + \dots). \quad (13)$$

According to the holographic dictionary, the retarded Green's function can be read as

$$\mathcal{G}_{T_{xy}T_{xy}}^{(R)} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{h_{xy}^{(3)}}{h_{xy}^{(0)}}. \quad (14)$$

Then, the shear modulus and shear viscosity can be achieved respectively from

$$G = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \text{Re} \left[\mathcal{G}_{T_{xy}T_{xy}}^R(\omega, k = 0) \right], \quad (15)$$

and

$$\eta = - \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \text{Im} \left[\mathcal{G}_{T_{xy}T_{xy}}^R(\omega, k = 0) \right]. \quad (16)$$

In FIG. 1, we plot G as a function of m/T for different values of n/m . Comparing with the single-axion model, the rigidity of the system is always enhanced by the additional scalars, χ^I , at high temperatures. This can be easily explained since we have that

$$G \approx \int_0^{u_h} \frac{m^2 V_X(\bar{X}) + n^2 W_Y(\bar{Y})}{z^2} dz, \quad \text{for } m, n \ll T. \quad (17)$$

However, at low temperatures, G displays complicated behaviors, depending on $M < N$ or $M > N$. For $M < N$, we find that G decreases when the impact of χ^I is enhanced by increasing n/m . Then, at some intermediate temperature, there exists an intersection with the G -curve of the single-axion model. While, for $M > N$, G always increases as the increase of n/m . It looks as if that these two sets of axions exhibit a competition instead of producing an additive effect at low temperatures. For instance, when $m \gg n$, the ϕ^I fields play the dominant pole. If $m \ll n$, the χ^I fields become the most important. And as was shown in the single-axion model that larger M or N leads to a smaller shear modulus at low temperatures [19]. This explains why the shear modulus in the left panel of FIG.1 becomes smaller than the single axion model with $\mathcal{W} = m^2 X^5$ at low temperatures. We have verified this point in the appendix A.

The shear viscosity η , as a function of m/T , has been shown in FIG. 2. It is obvious to see that η monotonously decreases as the increase of m/T and the additional scalars χ^I always suppress its value.




FIG. 1: The shear modulus G as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (from black to green). **Left:** $M = 5$ and $N = 7$. The inserted panel shows that intersection points are not overlap. **Right:** $M = 5$ and $N = 3$.




FIG. 2: The shear viscosity η as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (from black to green). **Left:** $M = 5$ and $N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5$ and $N = 3$.

IV. DISPERSION RELATIONS OF HYDRODYNAMIC MODES

Now, we concentrate on the dispersion relations of the hydrodynamic modes in the boundary system which can be extracted from the low-lying QNMs of black holes. Then, we need to consider perturbations of the metric and scalar fields upon the background,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \phi^I = \bar{\phi}^I + \delta\phi^I, \quad \chi^I = \bar{\chi}^I + \delta\chi^I,$$

where the fluctuating fields can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_{\mu\nu} &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{h_{\mu\nu}(\omega, k_i, u)}{u^2} e^{-i\omega t + ik_i x^i}, \\
\delta\phi^I &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta_j^I \phi_j(\omega, k_i, u) e^{-i\omega t + ik_i x^i}, \\
\delta\chi^I &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \delta_j^I \chi_j(\omega, k_i, u) e^{-i\omega t + ik_i x^i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since the system possesses a rotational symmetry on the $x - y$ plane, without loss of generality, one can set the wave vector k to be along the y -direction. Then, the complete set of the fluctuating modes can be divided two sectors by the parity in the x -direction:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Transverse modes (parity odd): } & \{\delta g_{xu}, \delta g_{tx}, \delta g_{xy}, \delta\phi^x, \delta\chi^x\}, \\
\text{Longitudinal modes (parity even): } & \{\delta g_{uu}, \delta g_{tu}, \delta g_{yu}, \delta g_{tt}, \delta g_{ty}, \delta g_{xx}, \delta g_{yy}, \delta\phi^y, \delta\chi^y\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Solving the linearized equations for the two sectors by imposing the infalling boundary conditions at the horizon and the normalizable (sourceless) condition on the boundary, we achieve the QNM spectra of the black hole for finite ω and finite k which correspond to the poles of retarded correlators for the boundary system. And the low-lying modes give us dispersion relations of the hydrodynamic modes.

A. Transverse channel

For simplicity, we adopt the radial gauge which fixes that $\delta g_{\mu u} = 0$ in the numeric computation. The equations of the transverse modes have been shown in Appendix B. As the result, we find that there are a pair of sounds and a purely dissipative mode in the transverse channel whose dispersion relations at small momenta are given by

$$\begin{cases} \text{sound mode : } & \omega = \pm v_T k - i \frac{\Gamma_T}{2} k^2 + \dots, \\ \text{diffusive mode : } & \omega = -i D_T k^2 + \dots, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

where v_T is the propagating speed of the transverse sounds, Γ_T the sound attenuation and D_T is the diffusivity of the dissipative mode.

From FIG.3-FIG.5, it is obvious to see that, in all cases, the sound speed grows monotonously as the increase of m/T , while the dissipative coefficients Γ_T and D_T behave in the opposite way. Furthermore, it has been verified in FIG.6 that the sound speed

v_T , the shear modulus G and the momentum susceptibility $\chi_{\pi\pi}$ satisfy the following relation,

$$v_T = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\chi_{\pi\pi}}}, \quad (21)$$

with $\chi_{\pi\pi} = \epsilon + \mathcal{P} = \frac{3}{2}\epsilon$, where ϵ denotes the energy density which can be calculated by

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{u_h^3} \left(1 + m^2 \frac{u_h^{2M}}{2M-3} + n^2 \frac{u_h^{2M}}{2N-3} \right), \quad (22)$$

and \mathcal{P} is the pressure. Note that (21) matches the prediction of the elasticity theory of a relativistic conformal solid, and the sounds hence can be understood as the transverse phonons.² Again, we find intersections of the speed curves for $M < N$ in the left plot of FIG.3, which is similar to the situation of G discussed in the previous section.

The nature of the extra diffusive mode in the transverse channel is mysterious. It is irrelevant to the conserved momentum which is already coupled to the transverse Goldstone fields and gives rise to the sound pair. Its origin should be attributed to the broken global shift symmetries due to the scalar profiles (4) in the bulk [66]. In all cases, the dimensionless quantity $D_T T$ decreases monotonously when lowering the temperature. Moreover, we have also checked if it obeys the lower bounds on diffusion, i.e. $D \geq v_B^2 \tau_L$ with the butterfly velocity v_B and the Lyapunov time τ_L , which was firstly proposed in [67]. In our model, it is found that $D_T \ll v_B^2 \tau_L$. Therefore, the bound is strongly violated. We present this result in Appendix D.




FIG. 3: The transverse sound velocity v_T as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (black, red, blue, green). **Left:** $M = 5, N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5, N = 3$.

² More precisely, the transverse sounds in a solid at finite temperatures come from a combination of the transverse momentum and the Goldstone fields associated with the broken translations.




FIG. 4: The transverse sound attenuation Γ_T as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (black, red, blue, green). **Left:** $M = 5, N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5, N = 3$.




FIG. 5: The transverse diffusivity D_T as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{1/2, 1, 2, 3\}$ (red, blue, green, orange). **Left:** $M = 5, N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5, N = 3$.

B. Longitudinal channel

Now, we turn to study the hydrodynamic modes in the longitudinal channel. The linearized equations of the longitudinal modes have also been shown in Appendix B. The dispersion relations of the hydrodynamic modes at small momenta are given by

$$\begin{cases} \text{sound mode :} & \omega = \pm v_L k - i \frac{\Gamma_L}{2} k^2 + \dots, \\ \text{diffusive mode "1" :} & \omega = -i D_\phi k^2 + \dots, \\ \text{diffusive mode "2" :} & \omega = -i D_\chi k^2 + \dots, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where there is again an extra diffusive mode comparing with the case of single-axion model whose transport coefficient is denoted as D_χ .




FIG. 6: Comparison of the transverse sound speed extracted from the QNMs (dots) and from the analytic relation (21) (lines). Blue: $n/m = 1.0$ and $m/T = 2.0$. Yellow: $n/m = 0.5$ and $m/T = 0.5$. We set $M = 5$ and $N = 7$.

The sound speed and attenuation have been shown in FIG.7 and FIG.8. One can check that the following relation about the two sound speeds

$$v_L^2 - v_T^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (24)$$

is always ensured due to the conformal symmetry. Then, the speed curve of the longitudinal sound just shifts upwards by $1/2$ with respect to the one of the transverse sound. In addition, the sound attenuation Γ_L always gets suppressed in the presence of the χ^y fields.

The existence of the diffusive mode “1” in the longitudinal channel has already been revealed in the hydrodynamics of solids long time ago [68]. In the non-relativistic case, it can be understood as point defect transport process that is not caused by the compressibility of the crystal. And its relativistic version which originates from the coupling between the energy density and the longitudinal Goldstone field at finite temperatures has also been investigated in the relativistic hydrodynamics, holography as well as effective field theories in recent years [69, 70]. In the presence of the χ^y field, an extra diffusive mode denoted by “2” appears due to the coupling of the two longitudinal Goldstone fields corresponding to the bulk fields ϕ^y and χ^y . Unlike the transverse diffusion, both of the two diffusive modes obey the proposed diffusion bound.




FIG. 7: The sound phonon velocity v_L as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (black, red, blue, green). When $m/T \rightarrow 0$, $v_L^2 = 1/2$ is satisfied. **Left:** $M = 5, N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5, N = 3$.




FIG. 8: The longitudinal phonon attenuation Γ_L as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (black, red, blue, green). **Left:** $M = 5, N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5, N = 3$.




FIG. 9: $D_\phi T$ as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 2, 3\}$ (black, red, green, orange). **Left:** $M = 5, N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5, N = 3$.




FIG. 10: $D_\chi T$ as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{1/2, 1, 2, 3\}$ (red, blue, green, orange). **Left:** $M = 5, N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5, N = 3$.

V. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

In this paper, we investigate the shear viscoelasticity as well as the hydrodynamic modes in holographic multiple-axion models where the translations are broken spontaneously. Our results show that adding more sets of axions in the bulk, the shear modulus is enhanced at high temperatures and shear viscosity is always suppressed. However, different sets of axions exhibit competitive relationship in determining the shear modulus at low temperatures. Furthermore, adding more bulk axions will not increase the amount of sound pairs, but just increases diffusive modes on boundary. Although we have so far demonstrated the second point above only in the double-axion model, our conclusion can be easily extended to cases with more axions. As shown in FIG.11, two diffusive modes emerge in the transverse channel of the triple-axion model.

Nevertheless, there are still many open questions. For instance, what are the physical natures of the extra diffusive modes in the multiple-axion model? This can be studied by using the method in [71]. What is the hydrodynamic description of the multiple-axion models? This may be constructed by following [22, 72]. How do the additional axions affect the mechanical stability of the system in the non-linear elastic regime [62]? We leave these for future work.




FIG. 11: Two transverse diffusive mode emerges in the triple-axion model with $\mathcal{W} = m^2(X^6 + Y^5 + U^4)$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M. Baggioli, S.-Q. Lan, L. Li, H.-T. Sun and X.-J. Wang for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No.12275038, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities No.DUT23BK053, and DUT Innovation and entrepreneurship program for undergraduate students No.20231014110345.

-
- [1] A. Nicolis, R. Penco, F. Piazza, and R. Rattazzi, *JHEP* **06**, 155 (2015), [arXiv:1501.03845 \[hep-th\]](#).
 - [2] P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky, [Principles of Condensed Matter Physics](#) (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
 - [3] G. Grüner, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **60**, 1129 (1988).
 - [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, [Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 7, Theory of Elasticity](#) (Pergamon Press, 1970).
 - [5] D. L. Smith and C. Mailhot, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 173 (1990).
 - [6] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, *Nature* **556**, 43 (2018).

- [7] M. Ammon and J. Erdmenger, [Gauge/Gravity Duality: Foundations and Applications](#) (Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- [8] J. Zaanen, Y. Liu, Y.-W. Sun, and K. Schalm, [Holographic Duality in Condensed Matter Physics](#) (Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- [9] S. A. Hartnoll, A. Lucas, and S. Sachdev, [Holographic quantum matter](#) (The MIT Press, 2018).
- [10] M. Baggioli, [Applied Holography: A Practical Mini-Course](#) (SpringerBriefs in Physics, 2019).
- [11] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 126008 (2013), [arXiv:1303.4398 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [12] A. Donos, *JHEP* **05**, 059 (2013), [arXiv:1303.7211 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [13] N. Jokela, M. Jarvinen, and M. Lippert, *JHEP* **12**, 083 (2014), [arXiv:1408.1397 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [14] A. Amoretti, D. Areán, R. Argurio, D. Musso, and L. A. Pando Zayas, *JHEP* **05**, 051 (2017), [arXiv:1611.09344 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [15] S. Cremonini, L. Li, and J. Ren, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 041901 (2017), [arXiv:1612.04385 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [16] R.-G. Cai, L. Li, Y.-Q. Wang, and J. Zaanen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 181601 (2017), [arXiv:1706.01470 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [17] L. Alberte, M. Ammon, M. Baggioli, A. Jiménez, and O. Pujolàs, *JHEP* **01**, 129 (2018), [arXiv:1708.08477 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [18] T. Andrade, M. Baggioli, A. Krikun, and N. Poovuttikul, *JHEP* **02**, 085 (2018), [arXiv:1708.08306 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [19] L. Alberte, M. Ammon, A. Jiménez-Alba, M. Baggioli, and O. Pujolàs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 171602 (2018), [arXiv:1711.03100 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [20] A. Amoretti, D. Areán, B. Goutéraux, and D. Musso, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 086017 (2018), [arXiv:1711.06610 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [21] A. Amoretti, D. Areán, B. Goutéraux, and D. Musso, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 171603 (2018), [arXiv:1712.07994 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [22] M. Baggioli and B. Goutéraux, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **95**, 011001 (2023), [arXiv:2203.03298 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [23] Y. Ling, C. Niu, J. Wu, Z. Xian, and H.-b. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 091602 (2014), [arXiv:1404.0777 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [24] M. Baggioli and O. Pujolas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 251602 (2015), [arXiv:1411.1003 \[hep-th\]](#) .

- [25] M. Baggioli and O. Pujolas, [JHEP **01**, 040 \(2017\)](#), [arXiv:1601.07897 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [26] B. Goutéraux, E. Kiritsis, and W.-J. Li, [JHEP **04**, 122 \(2016\)](#), [arXiv:1602.01067 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [27] B. Goutéraux, N. Jokela, and A. Pönni, [JHEP **07**, 004 \(2018\)](#), [arXiv:1803.03089 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [28] A. Donos, J. P. Gauntlett, T. Griffin, and V. Ziogas, [JHEP **04**, 053 \(2018\)](#), [arXiv:1801.09084 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [29] A. Amoretti, D. Areán, B. Goutéraux, and D. Musso, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 211602 \(2019\)](#), [arXiv:1812.08118 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [30] M. Baggioli and A. Buchel, [JHEP **03**, 146 \(2019\)](#), [arXiv:1805.06756 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [31] Y.-S. An, T. Ji, and L. Li, [JHEP **10**, 023 \(2020\)](#), [arXiv:2007.13918 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [32] T. Andrade, M. Baggioli, and A. Krikun, [JHEP **03**, 292 \(2021\)](#), [arXiv:2009.05551 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [33] N. Wu, M. Baggioli, and W.-J. Li, [JHEP **05**, 014 \(2021\)](#), [arXiv:2102.05810 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [34] Y.-L. Li, X.-J. Wang, G. Fu, and J.-P. Wu, [Phys. Lett. B **829**, 137124 \(2022\)](#), [arXiv:2205.00227 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [35] Y. Liu, X.-J. Wang, J.-P. Wu, and X. Zhang, [Eur. Phys. J. C **83**, 748 \(2023\)](#), [arXiv:2212.01986 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [36] T. Ji, L. Li, and H.-T. Sun, [Commun. Theor. Phys. **75**, 015401 \(2023\)](#), [arXiv:2208.08803 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [37] Y. Ahn, M. Baggioli, H.-S. Jeong, and K.-Y. Kim, [Phys. Rev. B **108**, 235104 \(2023\)](#), [arXiv:2307.04433 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#) .
- [38] L.-Y. Bai, J.-P. Wu, and Z.-H. Zhou, [Phys. Rev. D **108**, 126015 \(2023\)](#), [arXiv:2309.05536 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [39] M. Baggioli, B. Goutéraux, E. Kiritsis, and W.-J. Li, [JHEP **03**, 170 \(2017\)](#), [arXiv:1612.05500 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [40] M. Baggioli and W.-J. Li, [SciPost Phys. **9**, 007 \(2020\)](#), [arXiv:2005.06482 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [41] G. Fu, X.-J. Wang, P. Liu, D. Zhang, X.-M. Kuang, and J.-P. Wu, [JHEP **04**, 148 \(2022\)](#), [arXiv:2202.01495 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [42] A. Donos, D. Martin, C. Pantelidou, and V. Ziogas, [Class. Quant. Grav. **37**, 045005 \(2020\)](#), [arXiv:1906.03132 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [43] A. Amoretti, D. Areán, B. Goutéraux, and D. Musso, [JHEP **10**, 068 \(2019\)](#), [arXiv:1904.11445 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [44] A. Amoretti, D. Areán, B. Goutéraux, and D. Musso, [JHEP **01**, 058 \(2020\)](#), [arXiv:1910.11330](#)

- [hep-th] .
- [45] M. Ammon, M. Baggioli, and A. Jiménez-Alba, *JHEP* **09**, 124 (2019), [arXiv:1904.05785 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [46] M. Baggioli, U. Gran, and M. Tornsö, *JHEP* **04**, 106 (2020), [arXiv:1912.07321 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [47] M. Baggioli and S. Grienering, *JHEP* **10**, 235 (2019), [arXiv:1905.09488 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [48] M. Baggioli, U. Gran, A. J. Alba, M. Tornsö, and T. Zingg, *JHEP* **09**, 013 (2019), [arXiv:1905.00804 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [49] M. Baggioli, M. Vasin, V. V. Brazhkin, and K. Trachenko, *Phys. Rept.* **865**, 1 (2020), [arXiv:1904.01419 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#) .
- [50] M. Baggioli, S. Grienering, and L. Li, *JHEP* **09**, 037 (2020), [arXiv:2005.01725 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [51] M. Baggioli, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 022022 (2020), [arXiv:2001.06228 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [52] X.-J. Wang and W.-J. Li, *JHEP* **07**, 131 (2021), [arXiv:2105.07225 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [53] Y. Ahn, M. Baggioli, K.-B. Huh, H.-S. Jeong, K.-Y. Kim, and Y.-W. Sun, *JHEP* **02**, 012 (2023), [arXiv:2211.01760 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [54] M. Baggioli, S. Grienering, S. Grozdanov, and Z. Lu, *JHEP* **11**, 032 (2022), [arXiv:2205.06076 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [55] P. Yang and Y. Tian, (2023), [arXiv:2302.09642 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [56] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *JHEP* **08**, 140 (2011), [arXiv:1106.2004 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [57] T. Andrade, M. Baggioli, and O. Pujolàs, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 106014 (2019), [arXiv:1903.02859 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [58] M. Baggioli, V. C. Castillo, and O. Pujolas, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 086005 (2020), [arXiv:1910.05281 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [59] M. Baggioli, S. Grienering, and H. Soltanpanahi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 081601 (2020), [arXiv:1910.06331 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [60] D. Pan, T. Ji, M. Baggioli, L. Li, and Y. Jin, *Sci. Adv.* **8**, abm8028 (2022), [arXiv:2108.13124 \[cond-mat.soft\]](#) .
- [61] M. Baggioli, V. C. Castillo, and O. Pujolas, *JHEP* **09**, 013 (2020), [arXiv:2006.10774 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [62] M. Baggioli, L. Li, W.-J. Li, and H.-T. Sun, *JHEP* **05**, 198 (2024), [arXiv:2311.16423 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [63] M. Baggioli and G. Frangi, *JHEP* **06**, 152 (2022), [arXiv:2202.03745 \[hep-th\]](#) .

- [64] P. Yang, M. Baggioli, Z. Cai, Y. Tian, and H. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 221601 (2023), [arXiv:2304.02534 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [65] M. Baggioli, K.-Y. Kim, L. Li, and W.-J. Li, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **64**, 270001 (2021), [arXiv:2101.01892 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [66] A. Donos, D. Martin, C. Pantelidou, and V. Ziogas, *JHEP* **10**, 218 (2019), [arXiv:1905.00398 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [67] M. Blake and A. Donos, *JHEP* **02**, 013 (2017), [arXiv:1611.09380 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [68] A. Zippelius, B. I. Halperin, and D. R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. B* **22**, 2514 (1980).
- [69] L. V. Delacrétaz, B. Goutéraux, S. A. Hartnoll, and A. Karlsson, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 195128 (2017), [arXiv:1702.05104 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#) .
- [70] M. Ammon, M. Baggioli, S. Gray, and S. Grieneringer, *JHEP* **10**, 064 (2019), [arXiv:1905.09164 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [71] D. Arean, M. Baggioli, S. Grieneringer, and K. Landsteiner, *JHEP* **11**, 206 (2021), [arXiv:2107.08802 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [72] J. Armas and A. Jain, *JHEP* **01**, 126 (2020), [arXiv:1908.01175 \[hep-th\]](#) .

Appendix A: Verification of the competitive relationship between ϕ^I and χ^I in determining the shear modulus

Here, we verify the competitive relationship between the two sets of axions in G at low temperatures which is mentioned in Section III. For $m \gg n$, the contribution from χ^I fields is just a small correction. Then, the result is expected to be not very different from the single-axion model. Therefore, we only need to consider the case of $m \ll n$. In FIG.12, we plot the G -curves for the double-axion model with $\mathcal{W}(X, Y) = m^2 X^5 + n^2 Y^7$ by varying the value of n . It is obvious to see that when $n/m = 100$, the result is very close to that in the single-axion model with $\mathcal{W}(X) = m^2 X^7$ at low temperatures which implies that the behavior of G in the double-axion model is solely controlled by the Y -term in this regime when $m \ll n$.




FIG. 12: The shear elastic modulus G as the function of m/T for the double-axion model with $\mathcal{W}(X, Y) = m^2 X^M + n^2 Y^N$, $n/m = \{1, 2, 100\}$ (blue, green, red) and the single-axion model with $\mathcal{W}(X) = m^2 X^7$ denoted by the dashed line.

Appendix B: Equations of motion of the linearized perturbations

1. Transverse sector

In the radial gauge, $h_{xu} = 0$, the EOMs of the transverse modes are given by

$$0 = -2l^2 u Z_Y \chi'_x - 2l^2 u Z_X \phi'_x - u h''_{tx} + 2h'_{tx} + iku h'_{xy} - 2m^2 u V_X \phi'_x - 2n^2 u W_Y \chi'_x, \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 2l^2 u^2 h_{xy} V_Y + 2l^2 u^2 h_{xy} V_X - 2l^2 h_{xy} V - 4l^2 u^2 h_{xy} Z_Y - 4l^2 u^2 h_{xy} Z_X + 2l^2 h_{xy} Z + \\ & 2il^2 k u^2 \chi_x Z_Y + 2il^2 k u^2 \phi_x Z_X + u^2 f' h'_{xy} + u^2 f h''_{xy} - 2u f h'_{xy} + iku^2 h'_{tx} - 2iku h_{tx} + \\ & 2iu^2 \omega h'_{xy} - 2m^2 u^2 h_{xy} V_X - 2n^2 u^2 h_{xy} W_Y - 2iu \omega h_{xy} + 2ikm^2 u^2 \phi_x V_X + 2ikn^2 u^2 \chi_x W_Y, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 2l^2 u^2 f Z_Y \chi'_x + 2l^2 u^2 f Z_X \phi'_x + 2l^2 h_{tx} V + 2l^2 u^2 h_{tx} Z_Y + 2l^2 u^2 h_{tx} Z_X - 2l^2 h_{tx} Z + \\ & 2il^2 u^2 \omega \chi_x Z_Y + 2il^2 u^2 \omega \phi_x Z_X - iku^2 f h'_{xy} + 2m^2 u^2 f V_X \phi'_x + 2n^2 u^2 f W_Y \chi'_x - iu^2 \omega h'_{tx} + \\ & k^2 u^2 h_{tx} + 2m^2 u^2 h_{tx} V_X + 2n^2 u^2 h_{tx} W_Y + ku^2 \omega h_{xy} + 2im^2 u^2 \omega \phi_x V_X + 2in^2 u^2 \omega \chi_x W_Y, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & l^2 u Z_X f' \phi'_x + l^2 u f Z_X \phi''_x - 2l^2 f Z_X \phi'_x + 2l^2 u^2 f Z_{XY} \phi'_x + 2l^2 u^2 f Z_{XX} \phi'_x + l^2 u Z_X h'_{tx} - \\ & 2l^2 h_{tx} Z_X + 2l^2 u^2 h_{tx} Z_{XY} + 2l^2 u^2 h_{tx} Z_{XX} - il^2 k u h_{xy} Z_X - l^2 k^2 u \phi_x Z_X + 2il^2 u \omega Z_X \phi'_x - \\ & 2il^2 \omega \phi_x Z_X + 2il^2 u^2 \omega \phi_x Z_{XY} + 2il^2 u^2 \omega \phi_x Z_{XX} + m^2 u f' V_X \phi'_x + 2m^2 u^2 f V'_X \phi'_x + \\ & m^2 u f V_X \phi''_x - 2m^2 f V_X \phi'_x + m^2 u h'_{tx} V_X + 2m^2 u^2 h_{tx} V'_X - 2m^2 h_{tx} V_X - ikm^2 u h_{xy} V_X - \\ & k^2 m^2 u \phi_x V_X + 2im^2 u^2 \omega \phi_x V'_X + 2im^2 u \omega V_X \phi'_x - 2im^2 \omega \phi_x V_X, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & l^2 u Z_Y f' \chi'_x + l^2 u f Z_Y \chi''_x - 2l^2 f Z_Y \chi'_x + 2l^2 u^2 f Z_{YY} \chi'_x + 2l^2 u^2 f Z_{XY} \chi'_x + l^2 u Z_Y h'_{tx} - \\ & 2l^2 h_{tx} Z_Y + 2l^2 u^2 h_{tx} Z_{YY} + 2l^2 u^2 h_{tx} Z_{XY} - il^2 k u h_{xy} Z_Y - l^2 k^2 u \chi_x Z_Y + 2il^2 u \omega Z_Y \chi'_x - \\ & 2il^2 \omega \chi_x Z_Y + 2il^2 u^2 \omega \chi_x Z_{YY} + 2il^2 u^2 \omega \chi_x Z_{XY} + n^2 u f' W_Y \chi'_x + 2n^2 u^2 f W'_Y \chi'_x + \\ & n^2 u f W_Y \chi''_x - 2n^2 f W_Y \chi'_x + n^2 u h'_{tx} W_Y + 2n^2 u^2 h_{tx} W'_Y - 2n^2 h_{tx} W_Y - ikn^2 u h_{xy} W_Y - \\ & k^2 n^2 u \chi_x W_Y + 2in^2 u^2 \omega \chi_x W'_Y + 2in^2 u \omega W_Y \chi'_x - 2in^2 \omega \chi_x W_Y, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B5})$$

where the prime denotes the partial derivative with respect to the radial coordinate u and the subscripts ‘X’ and ‘Y’ represent $\partial/\partial X$ and $\partial/\partial Y$.

2. Longitudinal sector

For the longitudinal sector, we introduce the symmetric combination $h_{xs} = (h_{xx} + h_{yy})/2$ and the anti-symmetric combination $h_{xa} = (h_{xx} - h_{yy})/2$ to simplify the equations. In the the radial gauge, $h_{uu} = h_{tu} = h_{yu} = 0$, we have that

$$0 = h''_{xs}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$0 = 2l^2 u Z_Y \chi'_y + 2l^2 u Z_X \phi'_y + u h''_{ty} - 2h'_{ty} + ik u h'_{xa} + ik u h'_{xs} + 2m^2 u V_X \phi'_y + 2n^2 u W_Y \chi'_y, \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -l^2 u f Z h'_{xs} + 2l^2 u^2 f h_{xs} Z_Y + 2l^2 u^2 f h_{xs} Z_X - 2il^2 k u^2 f \chi_y Z_Y - 2il^2 k u^2 f \phi_y Z_X - \\ & il^2 k u h_{ty} Z - il^2 u \omega h_{xs} Z - 2u f h'_{tt} + 6f h_{tt} + ik u f h_{ty} + k^2 u^2 f h_{xa} - m^2 u f V h'_{xs} - \\ & n^2 u f W h'_{xs} + 3u f h'_{xs} + u f^2 h'_{xs} + k^2 u^2 f h_{xs} + 2m^2 u^2 f h_{xs} V_X + 2n^2 u^2 f h_{xs} W_Y + \\ & iu \omega f h_{xs} - 2ik m^2 u^2 f \phi_y V_X - 2ik n^2 u^2 f \chi_y W_Y - k^2 u^2 h_{tt} + 2iu \omega h_{tt} - ik m^2 u h_{ty} V - \\ & ik n^2 u h_{ty} W - 2k u^2 \omega h_{ty} + 3ik u h_{ty} - im^2 u \omega h_{xs} V - in^2 u \omega h_{xs} W - 2u^2 \omega^2 h_{xs} + 3iu \omega h_{xs}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & 2l^2 u^2 f Z_Y \chi'_y + 2l^2 u^2 f Z_X \phi'_y + 2l^2 u^2 h_{ty} Z_Y + 2l^2 u^2 h_{ty} Z_X + 2il^2 u^2 \omega \chi_y Z_Y + 2il^2 u^2 \omega \phi_y Z_X \\ & + ik u^2 f h'_{xa} + ik u^2 f h'_{xs} + 2m^2 u^2 f V_X \phi'_y + 2n^2 u^2 f W_Y \chi'_y - ik u^2 h'_{tt} + 2ik u h_{tt} - iu^2 \omega h'_{ty} + \\ & 2m^2 u^2 h_{ty} V_X + 2n^2 u^2 h_{ty} W_Y - k u^2 \omega h_{xa} - k u^2 \omega h_{xs} + 2im^2 u^2 \omega \phi_y V_X + 2in^2 u^2 \omega \chi_y W_Y, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -2l^2 u^2 h_{xa} Z_Y - 2l^2 u^2 h_{xa} Z_X - 2l^2 u^4 h_{xs} Z_{YY} - 4l^2 u^4 h_{xs} Z_{XY} - 2l^2 u^4 h_{xs} Z_{XX} - \\ & 2il^2 k u^2 \chi_y Z_Y - 2il^2 k u^2 \phi_y Z_X + 2il^2 k u^4 \chi_y Z_{YY} + 2il^2 k u^4 \chi_y Z_{XY} + 2il^2 k u^4 \phi_y Z_{XY} + \\ & 2il^2 k u^4 \phi_y Z_{XX} + u^2 f' h'_{xa} - u^2 f' h'_{xs} + u^2 f h''_{xa} - 2u f h'_{xa} - u^2 f h''_{xs} + 2u f h'_{xs} + u^2 h''_{tt} - \\ & 4u h'_{tt} + 6h_{tt} - 2iku^2 h'_{ty} + 4iku h_{ty} + 2iu^2 \omega h'_{xa} - 2m^2 u^2 h_{xa} V_X - 2n^2 u^2 h_{xa} W_Y - \\ & 2iu \omega h_{xa} - 2iu^2 \omega h'_{xs} - 2m^2 u^4 h_{xs} V'_X - 2n^2 u^4 h_{xs} W'_Y + 2iu \omega h_{xs} - 2ik m^2 u^2 \phi_y V_X + \\ & 2ik m^2 u^4 \phi_y V'_X - 2ik n^2 u^2 \chi_y W_Y + 2ik n^2 u^4 \chi_y W'_Y, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & 2l^2u^2h_{xa}Z_Y + 2l^2u^2h_{xa}Z_X - 2l^2u^4h_{xs}Z_{YY} - 4l^2u^4h_{xs}Z_{XY} - 2l^2u^4h_{xs}Z_{XX} + \\
& 2il^2ku^2\chi_yZ_Y + 2il^2ku^2\phi_yZ_X + 2il^2ku^4\chi_yZ_{YY} + 2il^2ku^4\chi_yZ_{XY} + 2il^2ku^4\phi_yZ_{XY} + \\
& 2il^2ku^4\phi_yZ_{XX} - u^2f'h'_{xa} - u^2f'h'_{xs} - u^2fh''_{xa} + 2ufh'_{xa} - u^2fh''_{xs} + 2ufh'_{xs} + u^2h''_{tt} - \\
& 4uh'_{tt} + 6h_{tt} - 2iu^2\omega h'_{xa} + 2m^2u^2h_{xa}V_X + 2n^2u^2h_{xa}W_Y + 2iu\omega h_{xa} - 2iu^2\omega h'_{xs} - \\
& 2m^2u^4h_{xs}V'_X - 2n^2u^4h_{xs}W'_Y + 2iu\omega h_{xs} + 2ikm^2u^2\phi_yV_X + 2ikm^2u^4\phi_yV'_X + 2ikn^2u^2\chi_yW_Y \\
& + 2ikn^2u^4\chi_yW'_Y, \tag{B11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & l^2uZh'_{xs} - 2l^2u^2h_{xs}Z_Y - 2l^2u^2h_{xs}Z_X + 2il^2ku^2\chi_yZ_Y + 2il^2ku^2\phi_yZ_X - ufh'_{xs} + 2uh'_{tt} - \\
& 6h_{tt} + iku^2h'_{ty} - 4ikuh_{ty} - k^2u^2h_{xa} + m^2uVh'_{xs} + n^2uWh'_{xs} + 2iu^2\omega h'_{xs} - 3uh'_{xs} - \\
& k^2u^2h_{xs} - 2m^2u^2h_{xs}V_X - 2n^2u^2h_{xs}W_Y - 4iu\omega h_{xs} + 2ikm^2u^2\phi_yV_X + 2ikn^2u^2\chi_yW_Y, \tag{B12}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & l^2uZ_Xf'\phi'_y + l^2ufZ_X\phi''_y + 2l^2u^2fZ_{XY}\phi'_y + 2l^2u^2fZ_{XX}\phi'_y - 2l^2fZ_X\phi'_y + l^2uZ_Xh'_{ty} + \\
& 2l^2u^2h_{ty}Z_{XY} + 2l^2u^2h_{ty}Z_{XX} - 2l^2h_{ty}Z_X + il^2kuh_{xa}Z_X - il^2ku^3h_{xs}Z_{XY} - il^2ku^3h_{xs}Z_{XX} \\
& - l^2k^2u\phi_yZ_X - l^2k^2u^3\chi_yZ_{XY} - l^2k^2u^3\phi_yZ_{XX} + 2il^2u\omega Z_X\phi'_y + 2il^2u^2\omega\phi_yZ_{XY} + \\
& 2il^2u^2\omega\phi_yZ_{XX} - 2il^2\omega\phi_yZ_X + m^2ufV_X\phi'_y + 2m^2u^2fV'_X\phi'_y + m^2ufV_X\phi''_y - 2m^2fV_X\phi'_y + \\
& m^2uh'_{ty}V_X + 2m^2u^2h_{ty}V'_X - 2m^2h_{ty}V_X + ikm^2uh_{xa}V_X - ikm^2u^3h_{xs}V'_X - k^2m^2u\phi_yV_X - \\
& k^2m^2u^3\phi_yV'_X + 2im^2u^2\omega\phi_yV'_X + 2im^2u\omega V_X\phi'_y - 2im^2\omega\phi_yV_X, \tag{B13}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & l^2uZ_Yf'\chi'_y + l^2ufZ_Y\chi''_y + 2l^2u^2fZ_{YY}\chi'_y + 2l^2u^2fZ_{XY}\chi'_y - 2l^2fZ_Y\chi'_y + l^2uZ_Yh'_{ty} + \\
& 2l^2u^2h_{ty}Z_{YY} + 2l^2u^2h_{ty}Z_{XY} - 2l^2h_{ty}Z_Y + il^2kuh_{xa}Z_Y - il^2ku^3h_{xs}Z_{YY} - il^2ku^3h_{xs}Z_{XY} \\
& - l^2k^2u\chi_yZ_Y - l^2k^2u^3\chi_yZ_{YY} - l^2k^2u^3\phi_yZ_{XY} + 2il^2u\omega Z_Y\chi'_y + 2il^2u^2\omega\chi_yZ_{YY} + \\
& 2il^2u^2\omega\chi_yZ_{XY} - 2il^2\omega\chi_yZ_Y + n^2ufW_Y\chi'_y + 2n^2u^2fW'_Y\chi'_y + n^2ufW_Y\chi''_y - 2n^2fW_Y\chi'_y + \\
& n^2uh'_{ty}W_Y + 2n^2u^2h_{ty}W'_Y - 2n^2h_{ty}W_Y + ikn^2uh_{xa}W_Y - ikn^2u^3h_{xs}W'_Y - k^2n^2u\chi_yW_Y - \\
& k^2n^2u^3\chi_yW'_Y + 2in^2u^2\omega\chi_yW'_Y + 2in^2u\omega W_Y\chi'_y - 2in^2\omega\chi_yW_Y. \tag{B14}
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix C: Some results for the double-axion model with interaction terms

Let us turn on the interaction term with a non-zero l and check how it changes the shear viscoelasticity and the shear hydrodynamic modes by varying its value. It is found that such an interaction term does not change the whole story but just modify the behavior of these quantities quantitatively.




FIG. 13: The shear elastic modulus G as the function of m/T for $l/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (from black to green). We fix $M = 5, N = 3$ and $P = 2, Q = 7$. **Left:** $n/m = 1$. **Right:** $n/m = 2$.




FIG. 14: The shear viscosity η as the function of m/T for $l/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (from black to green). We fix $M = 5, N = 3$ and $P = 2, Q = 7$. **Left:** $n/m = 1$. **Right:** $n/m = 2$.




FIG. 15: The transverse sound speed v_T as the function of m/T for $l/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (from black to green). We fix $M = 5, N = 3$ and $P = 2, Q = 7$. **Left:** $n/m = 1$. **Right:** $n/m = 2$.




FIG. 16: The transverse sound attenuation Γ_T as the function of m/T for $l/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2\}$ (from black to green). We fix $M = 5, N = 3$ and $P = 2, Q = 7$. **Left:** $n/m = 1$. **Right:** $n/m = 2$.




FIG. 17: The transverse diffusivity D_T as the function of m/T for $l/m = \{1/2, 1, 2, 3\}$ (from red to orange). We fix $M = 5, N = 3$ and $P = 2, Q = 7$. **Left:** $n/m = 1$. **Right:** $n/m = 2$.

Appendix D: A check about the diffusion bound

In this section, we verify the bounds for diffusive modes in both transverse and longitudinal channels. According to [40], the butterfly velocity v_B is expressed as

$$v_B^2 = \frac{\pi T}{u_h} \quad (\text{D1})$$




FIG. 18: The transverse diffusivity D_T as the function of m/T for $n/m = \{1/2, 1, 2, 3\}$ (red, blue, green, orange). **Left:** $M = 5, N = 7$. **Right:** $M = 5, N = 3$.




FIG. 19: The ratios $D_\phi T/v_B^2$ and $D_\chi T/v_B^2$ as functions of m/T for the double-axion model with $M = 5$ and $N = 7$. Here, we set $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2, 3\}$ (black, red, blue, green, orange). Dashed line: AdS₂ bound.




FIG. 20: The ratios $D_\phi T/v_B^2$ and $D_\chi T/v_B^2$ as functions of m/T for the double-axion model with $M = 5$ and $N = 3$. Here, we set $n/m = \{0, 1/2, 1, 2, 3\}$ (black, red, blue, green, orange). Dashed line: AdS₂ bound.