

Online Analytic Exemplar-Free Continual Learning with Large Models for Imbalanced Autonomous Driving Task

Huiping Zhuang, *Member, IEEE*, Di Fang, Kai Tong, Yuchen Liu,
Ziqian Zeng, Xu Zhou, Cen Chen*, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—In the field of autonomous driving, even a meticulously trained model can encounter failures when faced with unfamiliar scenarios. One of these scenarios can be formulated as an online continual learning (OCL) problem. That is, data come in an online fashion, and models are updated according to these streaming data. Two major OCL challenges are catastrophic forgetting and data imbalance. To address these challenges, in this paper, we propose an Analytic Exemplar-Free Online Continual Learning (AEF-OCL). The AEF-OCL leverages analytic continual learning principles and employs ridge regression as a classifier for features extracted by a large backbone network. It solves the OCL problem by recursively calculating the analytical solution, ensuring an equalization between the continual learning and its joint-learning counterpart, and works without the need to save any used samples (i.e., exemplar-free). Additionally, we introduce a Pseudo-Features Generator (PFG) module that recursively estimates the deviation of real features. The PFG generates offset pseudo-features following a normal distribution, thereby addressing the data imbalance issue. Experimental results demonstrate that despite being an exemplar-free strategy, our method outperforms various methods on the autonomous driving SODA10M dataset. Source code is available at github.com/ZHUANGHP/Analytic-continual-learning.

Index Terms—Autonomous driving, Continual Learning, Vision Transformer, Image Classification, Imbalanced Data, Analytic Learning, Exemplar-free Continual Learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

AUTONOMOUS driving technology [1–3] is currently grappling with the complex and diverse challenges presented by real-world scenarios. These scenarios are marked by a wide range of factors, including varying weather conditions like heavy snowfall, as well as different road environments. Even well-trained autonomous driving models often struggle to navigate through these unfamiliar circumstances.

Huiping Zhuang (e-mail: hpzhuang@scut.edu.cn), Kai Tong (e-mail: wikaitong@mail.scut.edu.cn) and Ziqian Zeng (e-mail: zqzeng@scut.edu.cn) are with the Shien-Ming Wu School of Intelligent Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangdong 510641, China.

Di Fang (e-mail: fti@mail.scut.edu.cn) and Cen Chen (e-mail: chen-cen@scut.edu.cn) are with the School of Future Technology, South China University of Technology, Guangdong 510641, China. Cen Chen is also with the Pazhou Lab, Guangzhou, China.

Yuchen Liu (e-mail: liuyuchen@connect.hku.hk) is with the Department of Mechanical Engineering, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong 999077, China.

Xu Zhou (e-mail: zhxu@hnu.edu.cn) is with the Department of Information Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Hunan 410082, China.

*Corresponding author: Cen Chen.

The advent of large-scale models [4], characterized by their extensive parameter counts and training data, has led to substantial improvements in the feature extraction capabilities of these models. This increase in the parameter number has enabled the utilization in various downstream applications, offering enhanced feature extraction capabilities that are crucial for high-accuracy tasks such as classification, segmentation, and detection to aid the domain of autonomous driving. However, despite these advancements, the challenge of achieving efficient and dynamic learning in complex autonomous driving environments and scenes remains unresolved.

One of these efficient and dynamic learning challenges encountered in autonomous driving can be formulated as a continual learning (CL) problem [5, 6] with an online setting. That is, data come in an online fashion, and models are updated according to these streaming data. However, this inevitably leads to the so-called *catastrophic forgetting* [7], where models lose grip of previously learned knowledge when obtaining new information. Furthermore, the online data streaming manner often accompany a *data imbalance* issue, with information in different categories containing varying data counts in general. For instance, in the autonomous driving dataset SODA10M [8], the *Tricycle* category contains just 0.3% of the training set, whereas the *Car* category accounts for 55%. This imbalance issue exacerbates the forgetting problem, rendering the learning of continuous knowledge difficult.

To address the above streaming task, the Online Continual Learning (OCL) has been introduced. OCL methods belong to the CL category with an online constraint, striking to preserve old knowledge while learning new information from streaming data. The OCL problem is more challenging as the streaming data can only be updated once (i.e., one epoch). Like the CL, existing OCL methods can be roughly categorized into two groups, namely replay-based and exemplar-free methods. The replay-based OCL keeps a small subset of trained samples, and reduces catastrophic forgetting by mixing them during the next training phase. Replay-based methods usually obtain good performance but could invade data privacy by keeping samples.

The exemplar-free OCL, on the other hand, tries to avoid catastrophic forgetting while adhering to an additional exemplar-free constraint. That is, no trained samples are stored to the next training phase. This category of OCL is more challenging but has attracted increasing attention. Among the real-world autonomous driving scenarios, exemplar-free

OCL methods are often needed, driven by concerns related to online sample flow, data privacy, and algorithmic simplicity. However, the performance of existing exemplar-free methods remains inadequate, especially under the online streaming setting.

To tackle the catastrophic forgetting problem with the data imbalance issue, in this paper, we propose an Analytic Exemplar-Free Online Continual Learning algorithm (AEF-OCL). The AEF-OCL adopts an analytic learning approach [9], which replaces the backpropagation with a recursive least-squares (RLS) like technique. In traditional scenarios, the combination of RLS and OCL has demonstrated promising primary results [10, 11]. The contributions of our work are summarized as follows:

- We introduce the AEF-OCL, a method for OCL that eliminates the need for exemplars. The AEF-OCL offers a recursive analytical solution for OCL and establishes an equivalence to its joint-learning counterpart, ensuring that the model firmly retains previously learned knowledge. This approach effectively addresses the issue of catastrophic forgetting without storing any past samples.
- We introduce a Pseudo-Features Generator (PFG) module. This module conducts a recursive calculation of task-specific data distribution, and generates pseudo data by considering the distribution of the current task’s feature to tackle the challenge of data imbalance.
- Theoretically, we demonstrate that the AEF-OCL achieves an equivalence between the continual learning structure and its joint-learning counterpart by adopting all the data altogether.
- We apply the AEF-OCL by adopting a large-scale pre-trained model to address the continual learning tasks in the field of autonomous driving. Our experiments on the SODA10M dataset [8] demonstrate that the AEF-OCL performs well in addressing OCL challenges within the context of autonomous driving. Despite being an exemplar-free method, the AEF-OCL outperforms the majority of replay-based methods.

II. RELATED WORKS

In this section, we first review the details of the autonomous driving dataset SODA10M and its metric. Subsequently, we survey commonly-seen CL methods, including replay-based and exemplar-free ones. Then, we summarize the OCL methods, which are mainly replay-based approaches. Finally, we review CL methods that are involved in the data imbalance issue.

A. The SODA10M dataset

In light of the popularity of autonomous driving technology, datasets pertinent to this field have obtained significant attention. A notable contribution to this area of study is the SODA10M dataset [8], which comprises 10 million unlabeled images and 20,000 labeled images, all of which are captured from vehicular footage across four Chinese cities, and arranged in chronological order. The labeled images incorporate bounding box annotations for six distinct object classes, spanning

various domains such as city, weather conditions, daytime, and road type. For the purpose of this study, we restrict our focus to the labeled images to examine OCL tasks. Building upon the SODA10M labeled images, the CLAD [12] introduced an continual learning benchmark for Autonomous Driving. This approach partitions the labeled images of the SODA10M dataset into six tasks, distributed over three days and three nights based on the time of capture. The model should be trained sequentially on these six tasks, with verification conducted after the completion of each task.

B. Continual Learning Methods

In the realm of continual learning methodologies, we can broadly classify them into two distinct categories: Replay-based and exemplar-free strategies. The former, replay-based techniques, utilize stored historical samples throughout the training process as a countermeasure to catastrophic forgetting issue, thereby enhancing the overall performance. On the other hand, the exemplar-free methods aim to comply with an additional constraint that avoids the retention of trained samples for subsequent training stages. This type of OCL presents a greater challenge, yet it has been garnering increasing interest.

1) *Replay-based CL*: The paradigm of replay-based continual learning, which enhances the model’s capacity to retain historical knowledge through the replay of past samples, has been increasingly recognized for its potential to mitigate the issue of catastrophic forgetting. The pioneering work by the iCaRL [6] marks the inception of this approach, leading to the subsequent development of numerous methods due to its substantial performance improvements. Castro et al. [13] propose a novel approach that incorporates a cross-distillation loss which is achieved via a replay mechanism. The loss combines two loss functions: cross-entropy for learning novel classes and distillation to preserve previously acquired knowledge of old classes. In a deviation from the conventional softmax layer, the LUCIR [14] introduces a cosine-based layer. The PODNet [15] implements an efficient space-based distillation loss to counteract forgetting, with a particular focus on significant transformations, which has yielded encouraging results. The FOSTER [16] employs a two-stage learning paradigm that initially expands the network size, and subsequently reduces it to its original dimensions. The AANets [17] incorporates a stable block and a plastic block to strike a balance between stability and plasticity. This architecture can be integrated into frameworks such as PODNet to further enhance their performance. In general, the replay-based CIL methods achieves adequate results, but due to issues with data privacy and training costs, it is not very suitable for practical applications.

2) *Exemplar-free CL*: Exemplar-free CL methods do not require storing historical samples, making it more suitable for practical application scenarios like autonomous driving. Exemplar-free continual learning is primarily categorized into three branches: Regularization-based CL, Prototype-based CL, and the recently emerged analytic CL (ACL).

a) *Regularization-based CL*: In Regularization-based continual learning, the creation of an innovative loss function encourages the model to re-engage with previously acquired

knowledge to prevent the model from forgetting. The concept of knowledge distillation loss is introduced by the LwF [5], effectively enabling the transfer of knowledge from the preceding model to the subsequent one. This strategy enhances the retention of information pertaining to past data. Additionally, the less-forgetting training approach imposes activation penalties on both the new and old models, with the exception of the fully connected layer. The EWC [18] introduces regularization to the network parameters, employing a diagonal approximation of the Fisher information matrix to encapsulate the a priori importance. Expanding on the foundations of EWC, the Rotate your Network [19] endeavored to discover a more appropriate alternative to the Fisher information matrix. However, when the number of phases is large, especially in online learning scenarios, regularization-based methods still face a serious catastrophic forgetting problem.

b) Prototype-based CL: Prototype-based approach has emerged as a viable solution to catastrophic forgetting problem by maintaining prototypes for each category, thereby ensuring new and old categories do not share overlapping representations. For instance, the PASS [20] differentiates prior categories through the augmentation of feature prototypes. In a similar vein, the SSRE [21] introduces a prototype selection mechanism which incorporates new samples into the distillation process, thereby emphasizing the dissimilarity between the old and new categories. Furthermore, the FeTrIL [22] offers another innovative solution to mitigate forgetting. It generates pseudo-features for old categories, leveraging new representations.

c) Analytic Continual Learning: The ACL is a recently developed exemplar-free approach inspired by pseudoinverse learning [23]. In ACL, the neural network is trained using the RLS like technique to generate a closed-form solution, to overcome the inherent drawbacks associated with back-propagation such as the gradient vanishing/exploding, divergence during iterative processes, and long training epochs. The ACIL [10] restructures CL programs into a recursive analytic learning process, eliminating the necessity of storing samples through the preservation of the correlation matrix. The GKEAL [11] focuses on few-shot CL scenarios by leveraging a Gaussian kernel process that excels in zero-shot learning. The RanPAC [24] just simply replace the recursive classifier of the ACIL into an iterative one. To enhance the ability of the classifier, the DS-AL [25] introduces another recursive classifier to learn the residue, while the REAL [26] introduces the representation enhancing distillation to boost the plasticity of backbone networks. The ACL is an emerging CL branch, exhibiting strong performance due to its equivalence between continual learning and joint-learning, in which we adopt all the data altogether to train the model. Our AEF-OCL method belongs to ACL. Compared with the latest work, we add a PFG module to solve the problem of data imbalance. At the same time, we incorporate ACL method into the OCL scenario and achieve state-of-the-art results.

C. Online Continual Learning

Within the domain of continual learning, the OCL task aims to acquire knowledge of new tasks from a data stream, with

each sample being observed only once. A prominent solution to this task is provided by Experience Replay (ER) methods, as suggested by Hayes et al. [27]. It employ a strategy of storing samples from preceding tasks, and then randomly selecting a subset of these samples. This subset is then merged with new samples during the training of subsequent tasks. To select valuable samples from the memory, memory retrieval strategies such as the MIR [28] and the ASER [29] are utilized. The SCR [30] strongly promotes the idea of gathering samples from the same category closely together in the embedding space, while simultaneously distancing samples from dissimilar categories during replay-based training. The PCR [31] couples the proxy-based and contrastive-based replay manners, and replace the contrastive samples of anchors with corresponding proxies in the contrastive-based way. Imbalanced data in the transportation field will exacerbate the problem of catastrophic forgetting in existing exemplar-free online learning methods.

D. CL with Large Pre-train Models

Large pre-trained models bring backbone networks with strong feature representation ability to the CL field. On the one hand, inspired by fine-tuning techniques in NLP [32, 33], the DualPrompt [34], the CODA-Prompt [35], and the MVP [36] introduce prompts into CL, while the EASE [37] introduces a distinct lightweight adapter for each new task, aiming to create task-specific subspace. On the other hand, using large models brings embedding with strong representation ability to the classifier. The SimpleCIL [38] freezes the large pre-train backbone network and can surpass most previous CL methods using only a simple classifier, showing it possible to decouple the frozen backbone network and the CL classifier, such as the SLDA [39] and the ACL methods [10, 11, 24, 25], still have great potential in combination with large models.

E. Data Imbalanced Continual Learning

The problem of data imbalance is a huge challenge in autonomous driving continual learning, leading to data discrimination that the model tends to ignore those classes with little samples, and can aggravate the catastrophic forgetting problem. Most continual learning algorithms assume that the data is stationary, which does not conform to the distribution of real autonomous driving datasets. This can lead to sub-optimal performance on categories sparsely populated with samples, which is severe in real autonomous driving situation. Many works have also mentioned this point and given solutions. The OCLI [40] proposes a class-balancing reservoir sampling method to solve the problem. It stores an independent and identically distributed sample from each class to balance the classes as much as possible. He et al. [41] propose an adapted post-scaling technique which originates from Class Imbalanced Learning outperforms CL methods.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

A. Overview

Our model is composed with 4 steps. Firstly, a frozen backbone is used to generate the feature of the images.




Fig. 1. The training process of our proposed method includes: (a) a large universal frozen pre-trained backbone such as a ViT without its classification head; (b) a pseudo-features generator which estimates the mean and the variance of features recursively and generates the offset pseudo-features in normal distribution with the estimated parameters to balance the training data; (c) an iterative ridge regression classifier using real features only that iteratively updates its weight; (d) a balanced ridge regression classifier for inference that updates its weight using offset pseudo-features from the iterative classifier in its phase.

Secondly, we introduce a PFG module to solve the challenge of data imbalance. Then a frozen random initialized linear buffer layer is adopted to project the feature space into a higher one, make the feature suitable for the ridge regression. Finally, We replaced the original classification head of the model with a ridge regression classifier. As shown in Fig. 1, we train the ridge regression classifier recursively to classify the features obtained by the frozen backbone and the random buffer layer.

In order to solve the problem caused by imbalanced data, we introduce a PFG module to compensate for the current imbalanced feature distribution. We assume that the feature distribution is a normal distribution. Hence, we estimate the mean and variance recursively and generates the offset pseudo-features in normal distribution to balance the training dataset.

The pseudo-features generated by distribution estimator will subsequently entered in a same training process similar to those real samples. Notably, these generated features only influence the current classifier for inference, without updating the iterative classifiers. Thus, we can have a balanced classifier prior to the inference procedure. The pseudo-code of the overall training process is listed in Algorithm 1.

B. Feature Extraction

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_K\}$ of C distinct classes be the overall training dataset with K tasks that arrive phase by phase to train the model.

For the dataset at the k -th task of size N_k , $\mathcal{D}_k = \{(\mathbf{x}_{k,1}, y_{k,1}), (\mathbf{x}_{k,2}, y_{k,2}), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_{k,N_k}, y_{k,N_k})\}$ is the training set, where \mathbf{x} is an image tensor and y is an integer ranging from 0 to $C-1$ that represents each distinct class respectively.

In view of the power of pre-trained large models, we adopt a backbone from structure such as ViT [42] to extract the

features of images. Let

$$\mathbf{f} = f(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{W}_{\text{backbone}}) \quad (1)$$

be the features extracted by the backbone, where $\mathbf{W}_{\text{backbone}}$ indicates the backbone weight. Then we use a linear layer of random weight $\mathbf{W}_{\text{buffer}}$ followed by a ReLU activation inspired by various ACL methods [10, 11], projecting the features into high dimension [43] as the input of the following classifier. The projected features \mathbf{x} of shape $1 \times d$ can be defined as:

$$\mathbf{x} = \text{ReLU}(f(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{W}_{\text{backbone}})\mathbf{W}_{\text{buffer}}). \quad (2)$$

C. Ridge Regression Classifier

To convert the classification problem into a ridge regression [44] problem, we use the one-hot encoding to get target row vector $\mathbf{y} = \text{onehot}(y)$ of shape $1 \times C$. Thereby, we can represent each subset using two matrix $\mathcal{D}_k \sim \{\mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{Y}_k\}$ by stacking extracted feature vectors \mathbf{x} and target vectors \mathbf{y} vertically, where $\mathbf{X}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k \times d}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k \times C}$.

The purpose of the ridge-regression classifier is to find a weight matrix $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times C}$ at the k -th phase to linearly mapping the feature $\mathbf{X}_{1:k}$ to the label $\mathbf{Y}_{1:k}$

$$\hat{\mathbf{W}}_k = \underset{\mathbf{W}_k}{\text{argmin}} (\|\mathbf{Y}_{1:k} - \mathbf{X}_{1:k}\mathbf{W}_k\|_F^2 + \gamma\|\mathbf{W}_k\|_F^2), \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ is the coefficient of the regularization term and

$$\mathbf{X}_{1:k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Y}_{1:k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_1 \\ \mathbf{Y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{Y}_k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Algorithm 1 The training process of the AEF-OCL

```

procedure TRAINFORONEBATCH( $\mathcal{D}_k$ )
  # The  $k$ -th sample in the dataset  $\mathcal{D}_k$  is  $(\mathcal{X}, y)$ .
  for all  $(\mathcal{X}, y, i) \in \mathcal{D}_k$  do
    # Feature extraction
     $\mathbf{f}_i \leftarrow f(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{W}_{\text{backbone}})$ 
     $\mathbf{x}_i \leftarrow \text{ReLU}(\mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{W}_{\text{buffer}})$ 
     $\mathbf{y}_i \leftarrow \text{onehot}(y)$ 

    # Update statistics
     $n_y \leftarrow n_y + 1$ 
     $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(y)} \leftarrow \frac{1}{n_y} \mathbf{f}_i + \frac{n_y-1}{n_y} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(y)}$ 
     $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(y)} \leftarrow \frac{1}{n_y} \mathbf{f}_i^2 + \frac{n_y-1}{n_y} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{(y)}$ 
     $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(y)} \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{n_y}{n_y-1} (\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(y)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(y)^2)}$ 
  end for
   $\mathbf{X}_k \leftarrow [\mathbf{x}_1^\top \quad \mathbf{x}_2^\top \quad \cdots]^\top$ 
   $\mathbf{Y}_k \leftarrow [\mathbf{y}_1^\top \quad \mathbf{y}_2^\top \quad \cdots]^\top$ 

  # Train the iterative classifier
   $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{R}_k \leftarrow \text{UPDATE}(\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{k-1}, \mathbf{R}_{k-1}, \mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{Y}_k)$ 

  # Generate pseudo-features
   $n_{\max} \leftarrow \max\{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{C-1}\}$ 
  for  $c \leftarrow 0$  to  $C-1$  do
    for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $n_{\max} - n_c$  do
      Sample  $\bar{\mathbf{f}}_i$  from  $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{(c)}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{(c)^2})$ 
       $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \leftarrow \text{ReLU}(\bar{\mathbf{f}}_i \mathbf{W}_{\text{buffer}})$ 
       $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_i \leftarrow \text{onehot}(c)$ 
    end for
     $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{k,c} \leftarrow [\bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^\top \quad \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2^\top \quad \cdots]^\top$ 
     $\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{k,c} \leftarrow [\bar{\mathbf{y}}_1^\top \quad \bar{\mathbf{y}}_2^\top \quad \cdots]^\top$ 
  end for
   $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_k \leftarrow [\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{k,0}^\top \quad \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{k,1}^\top \quad \cdots \quad \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{k,C-1}^\top]^\top$ 
   $\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_k \leftarrow [\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{k,0}^\top \quad \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{k,1}^\top \quad \cdots \quad \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{k,C-1}^\top]^\top$ 

  # Train the balanced classifier
   $\bar{\mathbf{W}}_k, \bar{\mathbf{R}}_k \leftarrow \text{UPDATE}(\hat{\mathbf{W}}_k, \mathbf{R}_k, \bar{\mathbf{X}}_k, \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_k)$ 

  # Use the balanced classifier for validation/inference
  VALIDATE( $\mathcal{D}_{\text{val}}, \bar{\mathbf{W}}_k$ )
end procedure

```

The optimal solution $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times C}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathbf{W}}_k &= (\mathbf{X}_{1:k}^\top \mathbf{X}_{1:k} + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1:k}^\top \mathbf{Y}_{1:k} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i + \gamma \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{Y}_i \right) \quad (5) \\
 &= \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{Q}_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{R}_k = (\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1}$ of shape $d \times d$ is a *regularized feature autocorrelation matrix* and $\mathbf{Q}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{Y}_i$ of shape $d \times C$ is a *cross correlation matrix*. \mathbf{R}_k and \mathbf{Q}_k capture the correlation information of $\mathbf{X}_{1:k}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_{1:k}$.

D. Continual Learning

Here we give a recursive form of this analytical solution, which continually updates its own weights online to obtain the same weights as training from scratch. This constructs a non-forgetting continual learning procedure.

Theorem 1. *The calculation of the regularized feature autocorrelation matrix at phase k , $\mathbf{R}_k = (\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{X}_i + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1}$ is identical to its recursive form*

$$\mathbf{R}_k = \mathbf{R}_{k-1} - \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1}, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{R}_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbf{I}$.

Proof. According to the Woodbury matrix identity, for conformable matrices \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{U} , \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{V} , we have

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{UCV})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U} (\mathbf{C}^{-1} + \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U})^{-1} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}_{k-1}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{X}_k^\top$, $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{X}_k$ and $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{R}_k &= (\mathbf{R}_{k-1}^{-1} + \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{X}_k)^{-1} \\
 &= \mathbf{R}_{k-1} - \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1}, \quad (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. *The weight of iterative classifier $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_k$ obtained by (5) is identical to its recursive form*

$$\hat{\mathbf{W}}_k = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{X}_k) \hat{\mathbf{W}}_k + \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{Y}_k, \quad (9)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_0 = \mathbf{0}_{d \times C}$ is a zero matrix.

Proof. According to the

$$\mathbf{Q}_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{X}_i^\top \mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{Y}_k, \quad (10)$$

(5) can be derived to

$$\hat{\mathbf{W}}_k = \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{Q}_k = \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} + \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{Y}_k. \quad (11)$$

According to Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} &= \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} - \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} \\
 &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{X}_k) \hat{\mathbf{W}}_{k-1}, \quad (12)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{K}_k = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top)^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

Since

$$\mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{K}_k^{-1} = \mathbf{K}_k (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top) = \mathbf{I}, \quad (13)$$

we have

$$\mathbf{K}_k = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top. \quad (14)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{K}_k &= \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top) \\
 &= (\mathbf{R}_{k-1} - \mathbf{R}_{k-1} \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{R}_{k-1}) \mathbf{X}_k^\top = \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{X}_k^\top, \quad (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

which allows (12) reduced to

$$\mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_k \mathbf{X}_k^\top \mathbf{X}_k) \hat{\mathbf{W}}_{k-1}. \quad (16)$$

Substituting (16) into (11) completes the proof. \square

Notably, We calculate \hat{W}_k using only data X_k and label Y_k in current phase, without involving any samples belong to historical phases like X_{k-1} . Thus, our approach can be treated as an exemplar-free method. The pseudo-code of how it update the weight of classifier is listed in Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2 Update the weight of the classifier recursively

```

procedure UPDATE( $\hat{W}_{k-1}, R_{k-1}, X_k, Y_k$ )
   $R_k \leftarrow R_{k-1} - R_{k-1} X_k^T (I + X_k R_{k-1} X_k^T)^{-1} X_k R_{k-1}$ 
   $\hat{W}_k \leftarrow (I - R_k X_k^T X_k) \hat{W}_{k-1} + R_k X_k^T Y_k$ 
  return  $\hat{W}_k, R_k$ 
end procedure

```

E. Pseudo-Features Generation

In the OCL process, the features of data extracted by backbone f comes in a stream $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \dots$. We calculate the mean and variance of each different class. We can use the first n samples of same labels to evaluate the overall distribution of one object. We assume that the distribution of the features obtained by the backbone network follows the normal distribution and pairwise independent.

As data continue to increase, our estimates of the feature distribution should also evolve. Specifically, the mean and the variance can be updated recursively.

The mean value of the features can be calculated recursively:

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i = \frac{1}{n} f_n + \frac{n-1}{n} \mu_{n-1}. \quad (17)$$

Similarly, there is also a recursive form of the square value:

$$\nu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} f_n^2 + \frac{n-1}{n} \nu_{n-1}. \quad (18)$$

Using the mean value and the square value calculated recursively, we can get the estimation of feature variance:

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i - \mu_n)^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} (\nu_n - \mu_n^2). \quad (19)$$

To address the issue of sample imbalance, we record the total count of samples from each category up to the current task. Subsequently, we offset the sample count of all categories to match that of the category with the most samples. To do this, we recursively acquire the mean and variance of all current samples for each category, and sampled these compensatory samples randomly from the estimated normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$.

For each different category, its μ and σ are usually different. Our method recursively calculates the values of μ and σ for each category. In this paper, we use $\mu^{(y)}$, $\nu^{(y)}$ and $\sigma^{(y)}$ to denote the mean, the mean square and the standard deviation for the y -th category.

These compensatory samples then enter the same train process as if they were real samples, serving to update the classifier used solely for inference. Given the equivalence of our method for separate training and joint-learning, this

process is tantamount to conducting a complete analytical training for the full balanced data. It is noteworthy that the classifier post-compensation learning is used only for the current phase's inference, without influencing the R_k and \hat{W}_k used in subsequent recursive learning.

F. Why AEF-OCL Overcomes Catastrophic Forgetting

For those gradient-based methods, catastrophic forgetting can be attributed to the fundamental property named *task-recency bias* [14] that predictions favor recently updated categories. This phenomenon will be aggravated in driving scenarios with data imbalance, for example, when the data of new categories is much more than the data of old categories. To the authors' knowledge, there are no existing solutions for these gradient-based CL models to fully address catastrophic forgetting.

As a branch of ACL, the AEF-OCL has the same *absolute memorization property* [10] as other ACL methods. As indicated in Theorem 2, the AEF-OCL recursively updates the weights of the classifier, which is identical the weight directly learned on the joint dataset. This so-called *weight-invariant property* gives AEF-OCL the same absolute memorization property as other ACL methods.

Compared with other ACL methods, the AEF-OCL solves the problem of data imbalance for the first time. Although the existing ACL methods solve catastrophic forgetting caused by task-recency bias, their classifiers still suffer form data imbalance issue. The AEF-OCL eliminates the discrimination of the classifier caused by data imbalance, which makes it superior to other ACL methods in data imbalance scenarios such as autonomous driving.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we validate the proposed AEF-OCL by experimenting it on the SODA10M [8] dataset.

A. Introduction to Dataset

The SODA10M dataset is a large-scale 2D self/semi-supervised object detection dataset specifically designed for autonomous driving. It comprises 10 million unlabeled images and 20,000 labeled images with 6 representative object categories. The dataset's distribution is graphically represented in Fig. 2 upon examination, showing that the dataset exhibits an imbalanced categorization. *Car* constitute a significant proportion, representing 55% of the total dataset. Conversely, *Tricycle* comprise a minuscule fraction, accounting for only 0.3% of the overall data.

B. Evaluation Metric

Following the evaluation index proposed by the SODA10M paper [8], we use the *average mean class accuracy* (AMCA) to evaluation our model. The AMCA is defined as:

$$AMCA = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t \frac{1}{C} \sum_c a_{c,t}, \quad (20)$$

where $a_{c,t}$ is the accuracy of class c at task t .




Fig. 2. The number of training samples of each class.

This metric is not affected by the number of samples in the training set. Categories with a few samples and those with numerous samples have equal weight in this metric. This indicator requires the model to have a considerable classification accuracy for both majority classes and minority classes. That is, the non-discrimination of the model.

C. Result Comparison

We perform our experiment on the SODA10M dataset. In view of the representation power of large models, we use the ViT-large/16 [42], a ViT with 16×16 input patch size of 304.33M parameters and 61.55 GFLOPS, pre-trained on ImageNet-1k [45] provided by torchvision [46] as a common backbone. For training details of the comparative methods, we use SGD for one epoch, we set the learning rate as 0.1, and set both the momentum and the weight decay as 0, with a batch size of 10. For the existing ACL methods, we use its generalized implementation introduced by [47]. For the ACIL, DS-AL, and our method, we use the same random initialized buffer of size 8192. For the replay-based method, we set the memory size, the maximum number of image allow to store, to 1000. Results are shown in TABLE I.

TABLE I
THE AMCA OF OURS AND TYPICAL OCL METHODS

Method	Memory Size	AMCA (%)
AGEM [48]	1000	41.61
EWC [18]	0	51.60
ACIL [10]	0	55.01
DS-AL [25]	0	55.64
GKEAL [11]	0	56.75
LwF [5]	0	61.02
AEF-OCL	0	66.32

As indicated in TABLE I, among the exemplar-free methodologies, the AEF-OCL gives a superior performance (i.e., 66.32% for AMCA). Other OCL techniques, such as ACIL, perform less ideally (e.g., 55.01%). There are two possible causes. First, methods such as the ACIL deal with incremental learning where data categories during training are mutually exclusive. On SODA10M dataset, data categories usually appear jointly, allowing an easier CL operation. The other cause lies in the imbalance issue. This dataset is highly imbalanced, e.g., the *Car/Tricycle* categories has 55%/0.3% data distribution.

On the other hand, the replay-based method AGEM exhibits comparatively lower precision (e.g., 41.61%). This discrepancy could potentially be attributed to that AGEM is based on a class-incremental paradigm, where each phase contains only one category. However, each training phase in SODA10M could contain data of all categories, contradicting the AGEM training paradigm. Moreover, the imbalance issue in OCL is also not properly treated in AGEM.

D. The Distribution of Features

The PFG module is an important component of our proposed AEF-OCL. In order to verify that the features obtained from the backbone roughly obey the normal distribution, we use kernel density estimation to visualize the features. We can find it from the plots like Fig. 3 that the features of different categories indeed roughly follow a normal distribution with different mean and variance.




Fig. 3. The distribution of the first element of different classes.

In addition, we plot the distribution of the features in a specific category (i.e., the *Car* category) in Fig. 4, which shows that the distribution of different feature elements of the same class also obey normal distribution with different mean and variance.




Fig. 4. The distribution of the first 6 elements of the *Car* category.

By visualizing the data, we verify that the assumption that the feature distribution follows a normal distribution is reasonable.

E. Why Not Update From Balanced Classifier

We use pseudo-features to balance the weights of the classifier, but during the online training process, the previous pseudo-features may not accurately reflect the distribution of the overall data. Therefore, we choose to retain the imbalanced classifier, which is only recursively trained on real data. Before

each inference, a balanced classifier is trained for inference based on the number of samples in each category.

To show this, we conduct the experiment in Fig. 5, which shows that invariant to the value of the regularization term γ , the CL on the iterative classifier before each inference has a higher AMCA performance than updating from the balanced classifier.




Fig. 5. Different update strategies on different regularization weight.

F. Identical Distribution, Better Generator

The PFG module in the proposed AEF-OCL is a crucial component. Correct estimation of the mean and variance parameters are important. To show this and explore whether there is a better sampling strategy, here we introduce the noise coefficient α , using $(\alpha\sigma)^2$ as the variance of sampling, and study the impact of the PFG sampling strategy on the results.

As shown in Fig. 6, when α is near 1, the AMCA indicator is the highest, while other values encounter performance reduction. That is, when the estimation is correct, it benefits the algorithm. If the estimation is less ideal, it will influence the performance to the extent proportional to gap between the estimate and the ideal distribution.




Fig. 6. The AMCA performances on different noise factors.

V. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

The AEF-OCL needs a large-scale pre-train backbone with powerful generalization ability. Online scenarios make it hard to adapt the backbone network to traffic datasets. This could motivate exploration of adjustable backbones online.

In addition, the autonomous driving has very high safety requirements. This inspires us to explore the security issues of the model. Whether our model is robust enough to attacks and extreme data and whether the pseudo features generated by the PFG module can enhance the robustness of the model deserve further exploration.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduces the AEF-OCL, an OCL approach for imbalanced autonomous dataset based on a large-scale pre-trained backbone. Our method uses the ridge regression as a classifier and solves the problem of OCL by recursively calculating its analytical solution, establishing an equivalence between the continual learning and its joint-learning counterpart. A significant feature of our approach is its elimination of the need for historical samples, addressing memory issues and ensuring data privacy. Furthermore, we introduce the PFG module, which effectively combats data imbalance by generating pseudo data through recursive distribution calculations on task-specific data. Experiments on the SODA10M dataset have validated the competitive performance of AEF-OCL in addressing OCL challenges associated with autonomous driving.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 6230070401), 2024 South China University of Technology-TCL Technology Innovation Fund, and Guangzhou Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2023A04J1687).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Chen *et al.*, “Milestones in autonomous driving and intelligent vehicles: Survey of surveys,” *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1046–1056, 2023. DOI: 10.1109/TIV.2022.3223131.
- [2] L. Li, K. Ota, and M. Dong, “Humanlike driving: Empirical decision-making system for autonomous vehicles,” *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 6814–6823, 2018. DOI: 10.1109/TVT.2018.2822762.
- [3] E. Yurtsever, J. Lambert, A. Carballo, and K. Takeda, “A survey of autonomous driving: Common practices and emerging technologies,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 58 443–58 469, 2020. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2983149.
- [4] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, “BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, J. Burstein, C. Doran, and T. Solorio, Eds., Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics, Jun. 2019, pp. 4171–4186. DOI: 10.18653/v1/N19-1423.
- [5] Z. Li and D. Hoiem, “Learning without forgetting,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 2935–2947, 2018. DOI: 10.1109/TPAMI.2017.2773081.
- [6] S.-A. Rebuffi, A. Kolesnikov, G. Sperl, and C. H. Lampert, “iCaRL: Incremental classifier and representation learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jul. 2017.
- [7] E. Belouadah, A. Popescu, and I. Kanellos, “A comprehensive study of class incremental learning algorithms for visual tasks,” *Neural Networks*, vol. 135, pp. 38–54, 2021.
- [8] J. Han *et al.*, *Soda10m: A large-scale 2d self/semi-supervised object detection dataset for autonomous driving*, 2021. arXiv: 2106.11118 [cs.CV].
- [9] H. Zhuang, Z. Lin, and K.-A. Toh, “Blockwise recursive Moore-Penrose inverse for network learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, pp. 1–14, 2021.

- [10] H. Zhuang, Z. Weng, H. Wei, R. Xie, K.-A. Toh, and Z. Lin, “ACIL: Analytic class-incremental learning with absolute memorization and privacy protection,” vol. 35, 2022, pp. 11 602–11 614.
- [11] H. Zhuang, Z. Weng, R. He, Z. Lin, and Z. Zeng, “GKEAL: Gaussian kernel embedded analytic learning for few-shot class incremental task,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jun. 2023, pp. 7746–7755.
- [12] E. Verwimp *et al.*, “CLAD: A realistic continual learning benchmark for autonomous driving,” *Neural Networks*, vol. 161, pp. 659–669, 2023.
- [13] F. M. Castro, M. J. Marin-Jimenez, N. Guil, C. Schmid, and K. Alahari, “End-to-end incremental learning,” in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, Sep. 2018.
- [14] S. Hou, X. Pan, C. C. Loy, Z. Wang, and D. Lin, “Learning a unified classifier incrementally via rebalancing,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jun. 2019.
- [15] A. Douillard, M. Cord, C. Ollion, T. Robert, and E. Valle, “PODNet: Pooled outputs distillation for small-tasks incremental learning,” in *Computer Vision – ECCV 2020*, A. Vedaldi, H. Bischof, T. Brox, and J.-M. Frahm, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 86–102, ISBN: 978-3-030-58565-5.
- [16] F.-Y. Wang, D.-W. Zhou, H.-J. Ye, and D.-C. Zhan, “FOS-TER: Feature boosting and compression for class-incremental learning,” in *Computer Vision – ECCV 2022*, S. Avidan, G. Brostow, M. Cissé, G. M. Farinella, and T. Hassner, Eds., Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022, pp. 398–414, ISBN: 978-3-031-19806-9.
- [17] Y. Liu, B. Schiele, and Q. Sun, “Adaptive aggregation networks for class-incremental learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jun. 2021, pp. 2544–2553.
- [18] J. Kirkpatrick *et al.*, “Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 114, no. 13, pp. 3521–3526, 2017. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1611835114. eprint: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/pdf/10.1073/pnas.1611835114>.
- [19] X. Liu, M. Masana, L. Herranz, J. Van de Weijer, A. M. López, and A. D. Bagdanov, “Rotate your networks: Better weight consolidation and less catastrophic forgetting,” in *2018 24th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, 2018, pp. 2262–2268. DOI: 10.1109/ICPR.2018.8545895.
- [20] F. Zhu, X.-Y. Zhang, C. Wang, F. Yin, and C.-L. Liu, “Prototype augmentation and self-supervision for incremental learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jun. 2021, pp. 5871–5880.
- [21] K. Zhu, W. Zhai, Y. Cao, J. Luo, and Z.-J. Zha, “Self-sustaining representation expansion for non-exemplar class-incremental learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jun. 2022, pp. 9296–9305.
- [22] G. Petit, A. Popescu, H. Schindler, D. Picard, and B. Delezoide, “FeTriL: Feature translation for exemplar-free class-incremental learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, Jan. 2023, pp. 3911–3920.
- [23] P. Guo and M. R. Lyu, “A pseudoinverse learning algorithm for feedforward neural networks with stacked generalization applications to software reliability growth data,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 56, pp. 101–121, 2004, ISSN: 0925-2312. DOI: 10.1016/S0925-2312(03)00385-0.
- [24] M. D. McDonnell, D. Gong, A. Parvaneh, E. Abbasnejad, and A. van den Hengel, “RanPAC: Random projections and pre-trained models for continual learning,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, A. Oh, T. Neumann, A. Globerson, K. Saenko, M. Hardt, and S. Levine, Eds., vol. 36, Curran Associates, Inc., 2023, pp. 12 022–12 053.
- [25] H. Zhuang, R. He, K. Tong, Z. Zeng, C. Chen, and Z. Lin, “DS-AL: A dual-stream analytic learning for exemplar-free class-incremental learning,” *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 15, pp. 17 237–17 244, Mar. 2024. DOI: 10.1609/aaai.v38i15.29670.
- [26] R. He, H. Zhuang, D. Fang, Y. Chen, K. Tong, and C. Chen, *REAL: Representation enhanced analytic learning for exemplar-free class-incremental learning*, 2024. arXiv: 2403.13522 [cs.LG].
- [27] T. L. Hayes, N. D. Cahill, and C. Kanan, “Memory efficient experience replay for streaming learning,” in *2019 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2019, pp. 9769–9776. DOI: 10.1109/ICRA.2019.8793982.
- [28] R. Aljundi *et al.*, “Online continual learning with maximal interfered retrieval,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*, H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d’Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, Eds., Curran Associates, Inc., 2019, pp. 11 849–11 860.
- [29] D. Shim, Z. Mai, J. Jeong, S. Sanner, H. Kim, and J. Jang, “Online class-incremental continual learning with adversarial shapley value,” *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 9630–9638, May 2021. DOI: 10.1609/aaai.v35i11.17159.
- [30] Z. Mai, R. Li, H. Kim, and S. Sanner, “Supervised contrastive replay: Revisiting the nearest class mean classifier in online class-incremental continual learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops*, Jun. 2021, pp. 3589–3599.
- [31] H. Lin, B. Zhang, S. Feng, X. Li, and Y. Ye, “PCR: Proxy-based contrastive replay for online class-incremental continual learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jun. 2023, pp. 24 246–24 255.
- [32] B. Lester, R. Al-Rfou, and N. Constant, “The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning,” in *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic: Association for Computational Linguistics, Nov. 2021, pp. 3045–3059. DOI: 10.18653/v1/2021.emnlp-main.243.
- [33] E. J. Hu *et al.*, “LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- [34] Z. Wang *et al.*, “DualPrompt: Complementary prompting for rehearsal-free continual learning,” in *Computer Vision – ECCV 2022*, S. Avidan, G. Brostow, M. Cissé, G. M. Farinella, and T. Hassner, Eds., Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022, pp. 631–648, ISBN: 978-3-031-19809-0.
- [35] J. S. Smith *et al.*, “CODA-Prompt: Continual decomposed attention-based prompting for rehearsal-free continual learning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jun. 2023, pp. 11 909–11 919.
- [36] J.-Y. Moon, K.-H. Park, J. U. Kim, and G.-M. Park, “Online class incremental learning on stochastic blurry task boundary via mask and visual prompt tuning,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, Oct. 2023, pp. 11 731–11 741.
- [37] D.-W. Zhou, H.-L. Sun, H.-J. Ye, and D.-C. Zhan, *Expandable subspace ensemble for pre-trained model-based class-incremental learning*, 2024. arXiv: 2403.12030 [cs.CV].
- [38] D.-W. Zhou, H.-J. Ye, D.-C. Zhan, and Z. Liu, *Revisiting class-incremental learning with pre-trained models: Generalizability and adaptivity are all you need*, 2023. arXiv: 2303.07338 [cs.LG].

- [39] T. L. Hayes and C. Kanan, "Lifelong machine learning with deep streaming linear discriminant analysis," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops*, Jun. 2020.
- [40] A. Chrysakis and M.-F. Moens, "Online continual learning from imbalanced data," in *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*, H. D. III and A. Singh, Eds., ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, vol. 119, PMLR, Jul. 2020, pp. 1952–1961.
- [41] C. He, R. Wang, and X. Chen, "A tale of two cils: The connections between class incremental learning and class imbalanced learning, and beyond," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops*, Jun. 2021, pp. 3559–3569.
- [42] A. Dosovitskiy *et al.*, "An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale," in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [43] W. F. Schmidt, M. A. Kraaijveld, R. P. Duin, *et al.*, "Feed forward neural networks with random weights," in *International conference on pattern recognition*, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1992, pp. 1–1.
- [44] A. E. Hoerl and R. W. Kennard, "Ridge regression: Applications to nonorthogonal problems," *Technometrics*, pp. 69–82, 1970.
- [45] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, "ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database," in *2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2009, pp. 248–255. DOI: 10.1109/CVPR.2009.5206848.
- [46] T. maintainers and contributors, *Torchvision: Pytorch's computer vision library*, <https://github.com/pytorch/vision>, 2016.
- [47] H. Zhuang *et al.*, *G-ACIL: Analytic learning for exemplar-free generalized class incremental learning*, 2024. arXiv: 2403.15706 [cs.LG].
- [48] A. Chaudhry, M. Ranzato, M. Rohrbach, and M. Elhoseiny, "Efficient lifelong learning with A-GEM," in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019.



Huiping Zhuang received B.S. and M.E. degrees from the South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China, in 2014 and 2017, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from the School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, in 2021.

He is currently an Associate Professor with the Shien-Ming Wu School of Intelligent Engineering, South China University of Technology. He has published more than 30 papers, including those in ICML, NeurIPS, CVPR, IEEE TNNLS, IEEE

TSMC-S, and IEEE TGRS. He has served as a Guest Editor for Journal of Franklin Institute. His research interests include deep learning, AI computer architecture, and intelligent robot.



Di Fang is an undergraduate student at the South China University of Technology. His research interests include machine learning and continual learning.



Kai Tong received the B.E. degree in the School of Automation, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, and received the M.S. degree in University of Massachusetts Amherst.

He is currently studying for a Ph.D. degree in the Shien-Ming Wu School of Intelligent Engineering, South China University of Technology. His research interest include continual learning and large language models.



Yuchen Liu received the B.E. degree in the Shien-Ming Wu School of Intelligent Engineering, South China University of Technology.

He is currently studying Master of Science program in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Hong Kong. His research interests include continual learning and deep learning.



Ziqian Zeng obtained her Ph.D. degree in Computer Science and Engineering from The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology in 2021.

She is currently an Associate Professor at the Shien-Ming Wu School of Intelligent Engineering, South China University of Technology. Her research interests include efficient inference, zero-shot learning, fairness, and privacy.



Xu Zhou is currently a professor with the Department of Information Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, China.

She received the Ph.D. degree from the College of Computer Science and Electronic Engineering, Hunan University, in 2016. Her research interests include parallel computing, data management and spatial crowdsourcing.



Cen Chen received the Ph.D. degree in computer science from Hunan University, Changsha, China, in 2019. He previously worked as a Scientist with Institute of Infocomm Research (I2R), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore.

He currently works as a professor at the school of Future Technology of South China University of Technology and the Shenzhen Institute of Hunan University. His research interest includes parallel and distributed computing, machine learning and deep learning. He has published more than 60 articles in international conferences and journals on machine learning algorithms and parallel computing, such as HPCA, DAC, IEEE TC, IEEE TPDS, AAAI, ICDM, ICPP, and ICDCS. He has served as a Guest Editor for Pattern Recognition and Neurocomputing.