

LINEAR ALGEBRA AND GALOIS THEORY

ASHISH GUPTA AND SUGATA MANDAL

ABSTRACT. In [1] R. Gow and R. Quinlan have cast a new look on the endomorphism algebra of a K -vector space V of dimension n assuming that K has a Galois extension L of degree n . In this approach the K -space L may serve as a model for V and Galois-theoretic ideas and results may be applied to elucidate the structure of endomorphisms and other important objects of linear algebra. In particular, this leads to the clarification of the structure of a rank-one endomorphism, trace of an endomorphism, criteria for linear independence etc. We present an exposition of these results using the language of tensor algebra wherever possible to provide shorter and more conceptual proofs.

Keywords. : Field; Galois extension; Cyclic extension; Galois group; Hyperplane; Tensor algebra

2020 Math. Subj. Class.: 12F10; 15A03; 15A04

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field (with arbitrary characteristic) admitting a Galois extension L of degree n and let V be an n -dimensional K -space. Then L may serve as a model for V . This allows for a new look on the fundamental notions and concepts of linear algebra in the light of Galois theory. Such was the goal of the study undertaken in [1] where there was a special focus on endomorphisms and their properties as well certain determinants that can be formed using the elements of the Galois group. Some of the results below require L to be cyclic.

We begin by noting as in [1] that any linear functional $f \in L^*$ may be written in the form $f = f_b$ for suitable $b \in L$, where $f_b(x) = \text{Tr}(bx)$ and Tr is the trace map of L/K defined as

$$\text{Tr}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} \sigma(x).$$

Indeed $L^* = \text{hom}_K(L, K)$ is an L -space with the action $f \mapsto f.b$ where

$$f.b(x) = f(bx).$$

Moreover, it is clear that $\dim_L(L^*) = 1$ and as Tr is in general a nonzero functional in L^* , therefore $L^* = \text{Tr}.L$. Let $\theta : L \rightarrow L^*$ be the map defined by $b \rightarrow \text{Tr}.b$ ($b \in L$). As the Tr bilinear form of a Galois extension is non-degenerate it follows that θ is

an isomorphism of K -spaces. In particular, $\{\text{Tr} .b_1, \dots, \text{Tr} .b_n\}$ is a K -basis of L^* whenever b_1, \dots, b_n is a K -basis of L .

Let V and W be K -spaces. Recall that an element $z \in V \otimes_K W$ is called *decomposable* if it decomposes as

$$z = x \otimes y, \quad x \in V, y \in W.$$

In the following \otimes always means \otimes_K . Recall that the space

$$\mathcal{T}_p^q(V) = (\otimes^p V) \otimes (\otimes^q V^*)$$

is called the space of tensors of type (p, q) on V . Given $a \in V$ and $f \in V^*$, the *contraction* of the $(1, 1)$ -tensor $(a \otimes f) \in V \otimes V^*$ means $f(a)$.

1.1. Rank-one endomorphisms and a decomposition of $\text{End}_K(L)$. As is well-known $L \otimes L^* \cong \text{End}_K(L)$ as K -spaces via the map $(a \otimes f) \rightarrow \psi_{a,f}$, where $\psi_{a,f}(x) = f(x)a$ ($x \in L$). Moreover the nonzero decomposable elements correspond precisely to the rank-one endomorphisms. It follows that the latter may be written as $a \otimes \text{Tr} .b$ for suitable $a, b \in L$. Thus $(a \otimes \text{Tr} .b)(x) = \text{Tr}(bx)a$ for all $x \in L$. Since the contraction of a $(1, 1)$ -tensor is its trace (as a linear operator) it follows that $\text{tr}(a \otimes \text{Tr} .b) = \text{Tr}(ab)$. If $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ is a basis of the K -space L then as mentioned previously $\{\text{Tr} .b_1, \text{Tr} .b_2, \dots, \text{Tr} .b_n\}$ forms a K -basis of L^* thus by defining property of the tensor product an endomorphism $\tau \in \text{End}_K(L)$ has a expression:

$$\tau = a_1 \otimes \text{Tr} .b_1 + a_2 \otimes \text{Tr} .b_2 + \dots + a_n \otimes \text{Tr} .b_n,$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$ are uniquely determinable. It follows that

$$\text{tr}(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Tr}(a_i b_i).$$

1.2. Dual bases. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of the K -space L and $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ be the corresponding dual basis of L^* . Then ϵ_i may be expressed in the form $\text{Tr} .c_i$ where $c_i \in L$. Let $c_i = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij} e_j$. Therefore $\forall i$,

$$\delta_{ik} = (\text{Tr} c_i)(e_k) = \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (u_{ij} e_j) e_k\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij} \text{Tr}(e_j e_k).$$

Hence $U = (u_{ij}) = A^{-1}$, where the matrix $A = (\text{Tr}(e_j e_k))$.

For example, for the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\eta_p)$ over \mathbb{Q} where η_p is a primitive p -th root of unity we can take the basis $e_i = \eta_p^{i-1}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then by [2, Example

9.1.121] we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(e_i e_k) = \begin{cases} p-1, & \text{if } i+k-2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By direct computation for $p \geq 5$ the matrix U is as follows:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Y^t & Z \end{pmatrix}_{(p-1) \times (p-1)},$$

where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} p^{-1} & -p^{-1} \\ -p^{-1} & -2p^{-1} \end{pmatrix}_{2 \times 2},$$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -p^{-1} & -p^{-1} & -p^{-1} & \dots \end{pmatrix}_{2 \times (p-3)}$$

and

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & p^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & p^{-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(p-3) \times (p-3)}.$$

1.3. Determinants associated to hyperplanes. The following is a well-known fact.

Proposition 1.1. *Let L be a Galois extension of K of degree n with Galois group $G = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$. Then $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a K -basis for L if and only if $\sigma_j(x_i)$ is invertible.*

Proof. Easy consequence of Dedekind's independence theorem. \square

The following theorem was shown in [1].

Theorem 1.1. ([1, Theorem 5]) *Let L be a Galois extension of K of degree n with Galois group $G = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a K -basis of L . Let B be the $n \times n$ matrix whose i, j entry is $\sigma_j(x_i)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then*

- (i) *if K has characteristic 2 or if the Sylow 2-subgroup of G is not cyclic, then $\det B \in K$.*
- (ii) *if K has characteristic different from 2 and G has a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup, then $\det B = \mu\alpha$, where $\mu \in K$, $\alpha^2 \in K$ and $K(\alpha)$ is the unique quadratic extension of K contained in L .*

An analog of Theorem 1.1 using an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix formed from a basis of the trace zero hyperplane $H_0 = \ker \mathrm{Tr}$ was also shown in [1]. Let H_0 be the trace zero hyperplane, that is, $H_0 = \ker \mathrm{Tr}$. Let b_2, \dots, b_n be a K -basis of H_0 . We can extend

$\{b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ to a K -basis $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ of L for some $b_1 \notin H_0$. Let $E(x)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix defined by

$$E(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(x) & \sigma_2(x) & \dots & \sigma_n(x) \\ \sigma_1(b_2) & \sigma_2(b_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(b_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(b_n) & \sigma_2(b_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(b_n) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for all } x \in L.$$

We now define an element $\theta \in \text{End}_K(L)$ by setting

$$\theta(x) = \det E(x).$$

We note that $\theta(b_1) = \det E(b_1)$ is non-zero by Proposition 1.1. Thus θ is a non-zero endomorphism and moreover it is easily checked that θ vanishes on the hyperplane H_0 . Consequently θ is a rank-1 endomorphism and by Section 1.1, θ is of the form $c \otimes \text{Tr} \cdot b$ for some $c \in L$ and since $\ker(\theta) = H_0$ so we can take $b = 1$. We now determine the value of c . Let E_1 be the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained by omitting the first row and the first column of E . Thus

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2(b_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(b_2) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sigma_2(b_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(b_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 1.2. ([1, Corollary 2]) *With the above notation we have $c = \det E_1$.*

Proof. Let $E'(x)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix obtained by summing all the columns of $E(x)$ and placing the result as the first column (the remaining columns being unaltered).

Thus

$$E'(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\sigma_i \in G} \sigma_i(x) & \sigma_2(x) & \dots & \sigma_n(x) \\ \sum_{\sigma_i \in G} \sigma_i(b_2) & \sigma_2(b_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(b_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sum_{\sigma_i \in G} \sigma_i(b_n) & \sigma_2(b_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(b_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}(x) & \sigma_2(x) & \dots & \sigma_n(x) \\ 0 & \sigma_2(b_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(b_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \sigma_2(b_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(b_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\theta(x) = \det E(x) = \det E'(x) = \det E_1 \text{Tr}(x),$$

whence $\theta = \det E_1 \otimes \text{Tr}$ and consequently $c = \det E_1$. \square

Using the preceding result and some basic group theory the following is easy to deduce.

Corollary 1.1. ([1, Theorem 6]) *Let L be a Galois extension of K of degree n with Galois group $G = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$. Let H_0 be the trace-zero K -hyperplane in L . Let b_2, \dots, b_n be a K -basis of H_0 . Then the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix E_1 whose i, j entry is $\sigma_j(b_i)$, $2 \leq i, j \leq n$, is invertible. Moreover,*

- (i) *if K has characteristic 2 or if the Sylow 2-subgroup of G is not cyclic, then $\det E_1 \in K$.*
- (ii) *if K has characteristic different from 2 and G has a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup, then $\det E_1 = \mu\alpha$, where $\mu \in K$, $\alpha^2 \in K$ and $K(\alpha)$ is the unique quadratic extension of K contained in L .*

Next we consider any K -hyperplane H in L . Then H arises as the kernel of a non-zero element in L^* . As $L^* = \text{Tr} \cdot L$, therefore, $H = \ker \text{Tr} \cdot a$ for some non-zero $a \in L$. Then $H = a^{-1}H_0$ and c_2, \dots, c_n is a basis of H where $c_i = a^{-1}b_i$. We can extend $\{c_2, \dots, c_n\}$ to a K -basis $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$ of L . We define a matrix $D(x)$ by replacing b_i by c_i in the matrix $E(x)$ above and $\theta_H \in \text{End}_K(L)$ by setting $\theta_H(x) = \det D(x)$. We note that $\theta_H(c_1) = \det D(c_1) \neq 0$ by Proposition 1.1. Thus $\theta_H \neq 0$ and moreover θ_H vanishes on the hyperplane H . Consequently θ_H is a rank-1 endomorphism $d \otimes \text{Tr} \cdot a$ for some nonzero $d \in L$. Recall that if F is an intermediate subfield and $a \in L$ then the L/F -norm $N_{L/F}(a)$ of a is defined as $N_{L/F}(a) = \prod_{\theta \in \text{Gal}(L/F)} \theta(a)$. Clearly $N_{L/F}(a) \in F$.

Theorem 1.3. *With the notation as previously introduced, we have $d = N_{L/K}(a^{-1}) \det E_1$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_H(x) &= \det D(x) \\
 &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(x) & \sigma_2(x) & \dots & \sigma_n(x) \\ \sigma_1(c_2) & \sigma_2(c_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(c_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(c_n) & \sigma_2(c_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(c_n) \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(a^{-1})\sigma_1(ax) & \sigma_2(a^{-1})\sigma_2(ax) & \dots & \sigma_n(a^{-1})\sigma_n(ax) \\ \sigma_1(a^{-1}b_2) & \sigma_2(a^{-1}b_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(a^{-1}b_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(a^{-1}b_n) & \sigma_2(a^{-1}b_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(a^{-1}b_n) \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= N_{L/K}(a^{-1}) \det D'(x) \\
 &= N_{L/K}(a^{-1}) \det E_1 \text{Tr}(ax) \\
 &= N_{L/K}(a^{-1}) \det E_1 \otimes \text{Tr}(ax),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $D'(x)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix obtained by summing all the columns of $D(x)$ and placing the result as the first column (the remaining columns being unaltered). Consequently $d = N_{L/K}(a^{-1}) \det E_1$. \square

1.4. Criteria for Linear Independence in a Cyclic Extension.

Theorem 1.4. *Let L be a cyclic Galois extension of K of degree n with $\text{Gal}(L/K) = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Let k be an integer satisfying $1 \leq k \leq n$ and let b_1, \dots, b_k be elements of L . Then these elements are linearly dependent over K if and only if*

$$\det S = 0,$$

where

$$S = \left(\sigma^{i-1}(b_j) \right) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \\ \sigma(b_1) & \sigma(b_2) & \dots & \sigma(b_k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sigma^{k-1}(b_1) & \sigma^{k-1}(b_2) & \dots & \sigma^{k-1}(b_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. If b_1, \dots, b_k are linearly dependent then it is easily seen that $\det S = 0$. Conversely, let b_1, \dots, b_k be linearly independent over K . We will show that $\det S \neq 0$ by induction on k . It is clear that the assertion holds for $k = 1$.

Note that it is sufficient to show that $\det S' \neq 0$, where

$$S' = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{k-1}(b_1) & \sigma^{k-1}(b_2) & \dots & \sigma^{k-1}(b_k) \\ \sigma^{k-2}(b_1) & \sigma^{k-2}(b_2) & \dots & \sigma^{k-2}(b_k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_k \end{pmatrix}$$

is obtained from S by row-interchange operations. For $i = k-1, \dots, 1$ we successively subtract $\gamma_i \times \text{row } i$ from row $i+1$ where

$$\gamma_i = \sigma^{k-i-1}(b_1)(\sigma^{k-i}(b_1))^{-1} = \sigma^{k-i-1}(b_1(\sigma(b_1))^{-1}).$$

The resulting matrix is

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{k-1}(b_1) & \sigma^{k-1}(b_2) & \dots & \sigma^{k-1}(b_k) \\ 0 & \sigma^{k-2}(x_2) & \dots & \sigma^{k-2}(x_k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & x_2 & \dots & x_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

where

$$x_j = b_j - \frac{b_1}{\sigma(b_1)} \sigma(b_j), \quad 2 \leq j \leq k. \quad (1.1)$$

Then

$$\det U = \sigma^{k-1}(b_1) \det V,$$

where V be the $(k - 1) \times (k - 1)$ matrix obtained by deleting the first row and column of U . We also note that the i, j -entry of V is

$$\sigma^{k-1+i}(x_j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq k - 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

where

$$x_j = b_j - \frac{b_1}{\sigma(b_1)}\sigma(b_j).$$

Clearly $\det(S') \neq 0$ if and only if $\det(V) \neq 0$. As V has the same form as S' by the induction hypothesis $\det(V) = 0$ implies that x_2, \dots, x_k are linearly dependent, that is,

$$a_2x_2 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0,$$

for suitable scalars a_2, \dots, a_k in K , not all zero. Thus by (1.1)

$$a_2b_2 + \dots + a_kb_k = \frac{b_1}{\sigma(b_1)}\sigma(a_2b_2 + \dots + a_kb_k)$$

whence

$$\sigma\left(\frac{a_2b_2 + \dots + a_kb_k}{b_1}\right) = \frac{a_2b_2 + \dots + a_kb_k}{b_1}$$

It follows that $\frac{a_2b_2 + \dots + a_kb_k}{b_1} \in K$, thus contradicting the linear independence of b_1, \dots, b_k . The theorem now follows. \square

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author thanks the National Board of Higher Mathematics (NBHM) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Gow, R. Quinlan, Galois theory and linear algebra, *Linear Algebra And Its Applications* **430** pp. 1778-1789 (2009).
- [2] E.B. Vinberg, *A Course in Algebra*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, 2003.

ASHISH GUPTA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAMAKRISHNA MISSION VIVEKANANDA EDUCATIONAL AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE (BELUR CAMPUS), HOWRAH, WB 711202, INDIA
Email address: a0gupt@gmail.com

SUGATA MANDAL, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAMAKRISHNA MISSION VIVEKANANDA EDUCATIONAL AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE (BELUR CAMPUS), HOWRAH, WB 711202, INDIA
Email address: gmandal1961@gmail.com