Metric and Geometric Spanners that are Resilient to Degree-Bounded Edge Faults

Ahmad Biniaz* Jean-Lou De Carufel[†] Anil Maheshwari[‡] Michiel Smid[§]

May 29, 2024

Abstract

Let H be an edge-weighted graph, and let G be a subgraph of H. We say that G is an f-fault-tolerant t-spanner for H, if the following is true for any subset F of at most f edges of G: For any two vertices p and q, the shortest-path distance between p and q in the graph $G \setminus F$ is at most t times the shortest-path distance between p and q in the graph $H \setminus F$. The value of t is called the stretch factor. Numerous results are known for constructing such spanners, both for general graphs H and for complete graphs H whose vertex set is a set of points in \mathbb{R}^d or in a metric space of bounded doubling dimension.

Recently, Bodwin, Haeupler, and Parter generalized this notion to the case when F can be any set of edges in G, as long as the maximum degree of F is at most f. For example, if f = 1, then F can be a perfect matching in G. They gave constructions for general graphs H.

We first consider the case when H is a complete graph whose vertex set is an arbitrary metric space. We show that if this metric space contains a t-spanner with m edges, then it also contains a graph G with at most (4f-1)m edges, that is resilient to edge faults of maximum degree f and has stretch factor O(ft). We also give an example for which our construction has stretch factor $\Omega(ft)$. If f=1, the graph G has at most 3m edges and its stretch factor is at most 3t. For this case, we give an example for which G has stretch factor arbitrarily close to 3t.

Next, we consider the case when H is a complete graph whose vertex set is a metric space that admits a well-separated pair decomposition. We show that, if the metric space has such a decomposition of size m, then it contains a graph with at most $(2f+1)^2m$ edges, that is resilient to edge faults of maximum degree f and has stretch

^{*}School of Computer Science, University of Windsor, Canada. Supported by NSERC.

[†]School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Ottawa, Canada. Supported by NSERC.

[‡]School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada. Supported by NSERC.

[§]School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada. Supported by NSERC.

factor at most $1 + \varepsilon$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$. For example, if the vertex set is a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d (d being a constant) or a set of n points in a metric space of bounded doubling dimension, then the spanner has $O(f^2n)$ edges.

Finally, for the case when H is a complete graph on n points in \mathbb{R}^d , we show how natural variants of the Yao- and Θ -graphs lead to graphs with O(fn) edges, that are resilient to edge faults of maximum degree f and have stretch factor at most $1 + \varepsilon$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$.

1 Introduction

Let H be an undirected "host graph", in which each edge has a weight. For a real number $t \geq 1$, a subgraph G of H is called a t-spanner for H, if for any two vertices p and q of H,

$$\delta_G(p,q) \le t \cdot \delta_H(p,q),$$

where, for any edge-weighted graph X, $\delta_X(p,q)$ denotes the length of a shortest path between p and q in X. The smallest such value for t is called the *stretch factor* of G.

There is a large amount of literature on the problem of designing algorithms that compute a t-spanner for a graph H, where the goal is to obtain the best trade-offs between the stretch factor t and the number of edges in G. For general edge-weighted graphs H, we refer the reader to the survey paper Ahmed et al. [1].

In the *metric* case, the host graph H is a complete graph K_S induced by a finite metric space $(S, |\cdot|)$: The vertex set of K_S is S and for any two distinct points p and q in S, K_S contains the edge $\{p, q\}$ with weight |pq|. In this case, a graph G with vertex set S is a t-spanner for S, if for any two points p and q of S,

$$\delta_G(p,q) \le t \cdot |pq|.$$

For several classes of metric spaces, constructions are known that compute, for any constant t > 1, a t-spanner with O(n) edges, where n is the number of points in S. For example, this is the case for Euclidean spaces, where S is a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^d (where the dimension d is a constant) and the distance between two points is their Euclidean distance. This was first shown by Keil [12]. Many other constructions can be found in Narasimhan and Smid [17]. Another example is the case when the metric space $(S, |\cdot|)$ has bounded doubling dimension. For this case, Har-Peled and Mendel [10] were the first to show how to compute a t-spanner with O(n) edges. Other results can be found in Filtser and Solomon [8].

1.1 Fault-Tolerant Spanners

Spanners were generalized by Levcopoulos et al. [13, 14] to fault-tolerant spanners. Let $f \ge 1$ be an integer. A subgraph G of the host graph H is an f-fault-tolerant t-spanner for H, if the following holds for any subset F of at most f edges of G: For any two vertices p and q of H,

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(p,q) \le t \cdot \delta_{H\backslash F}(p,q),$$
 (1)

where, for any edge-weighted graph $X = (V_X, E_X)$, $X \setminus F$ denotes the graph with vertex set V_X and edge set $E_X \setminus F$. Thus, $\delta_{G \setminus F}(p, q)$ denotes the length of a shortest path between p and q in the graph obtained by removing all edges in F from G. We remark that there are also versions of fault-tolerant spanners in which up to f vertices and edges can be removed.

Again, there is a large amount of literature on the problem of constructing fault-tolerant spanners. For the case of general edge-weighted graphs, the first results were given by Chechik *et al.* [6]. See Bodwin *et al.* [2] for more recent results. For Euclidean spaces and metric spaces of bounded doubling dimension, we refer the reader to [5, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18].

Recently, Bodwin, Haeupler, and Parter [3] introduced a more general notion of fault-tolerant spanners. A subgraph $G = (V_H, E)$ of the host graph $H = (V_H, E_H)$ is an f-faulty-degree t-spanner for H, if for any subset F of E, for which the maximum degree of the graph (V_H, F) is at most f, and for any two vertices p and q in V_H , (1) holds.

For example, in a 1-faulty-degree t-spanner, we are allowed to remove a perfect matching that has $|V_H|/2$ edges, whereas in a 1-fault-tolerant t-spanner, we are only allowed to remove one edge. This shows that an f-faulty-degree spanner is a much stronger condition.

Bodwin, Haeupler, and Parter [3] proved the following result. Let H be any edge-weighted graph with n vertices. For any positive integers f and k, there exists an f-faulty-degree (2k-1)-spanner for H that has $O(k^k \cdot f^{1-1/k} \cdot n^{1+1/k})$ edges. Notice that there is a large dependance on k. In particular, the upper bound on the number of edges is subquadratic in n only if $k = o(\log n/\log \log n)$.

1.2 Our Results

We consider faulty-degree spanners for the case when the host graph is the complete graph K_S induced by a finite metric space $(S, |\cdot|)$. For completeness, we state the definition of a faulty-degree spanner for this case.

Definition 1 Let $(S, |\cdot|)$ be a finite metric space, let $f \geq 0$ be an integer, let $t \geq 1$ be a real number, and let G = (S, E) be an undirected graph, in which each edge $\{p, q\}$ has weight |pq|. The graph G is called an f-faulty-degree t-spanner for S, if the following holds for any subset F of E, for which the maximum degree of the graph (S, F) is at most f: For any two points p and q in S,

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) \le t \cdot \delta_{K_s \setminus F}(p,q).$$

In Section 2, we consider arbitrary metric spaces $(S, |\cdot|)$. We will show the following: Assume that G' = (S, E') is an arbitrary t-spanner for S. Let n denote the number of points in S, and let m denote the number of edges in E'. For any integer $f \geq 1$, we can convert G' to a graph G = (S, E) with at most (4f - 1)m edges, such that G is an f-faulty-degree t'-spanner for S, where t' is a function of f and t. The construction is valid for any f with $1 \leq f \leq (n-1)/2$. In Section 2.1, we consider the case when f = 1 and prove that $t' \leq 3t$. We also give an example for which t' is arbitrarily close to 3t. In Section 2.2, we consider

¹Recall that $k^k = n$ solves to $k \sim \log n / \log \log n$.

the general case $f \ge 1$ and prove that $t' \le (8f + 2)t$. For $f \ge 3$, we give an example for which $t' = \Omega(ft)$.

In Section 3, we assume that S is a set of n points in a metric space that admits a well-separated pair decomposition (WSPD). Let m denote the size of such a WSPD. We will show that, for any integer $f \geq 1$ and any real constant $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an f-faulty-degree $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -spanner for S that has at most $(2f + 1)^2m$ edges. We remark that our spanner is obtained by modifying the construction in Levcopoulos et al. [14] for standard fault-tolerant spanners. Examples of metric spaces for which m = O(n) are Euclidean spaces of constant dimension (see Callahan and Kosaraju [4]) and metric spaces of bounded doubling dimension (see Har-Peled and Mendel [10]).

In Section 4, we will show that in Euclidean space, we can do even better: Assume that S is a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , where the dimension d is a constant, and the distance |pq| between two points p and q is their Euclidean distance. For this case, we will define two graphs with vertex set S that are variants of the Yao-graph (see Flinchbaugh and Jones [9] and Yao [19]) and the Θ -graph (see Clarkson [7] and Keil [12]) and that depend on the maximum degree bound f of any set F of faulty edges. We will prove that, for any real constant $\varepsilon > 0$, each of these graphs is an f-faulty-degree $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -spanner for S with O(fn) edges. In particular, the stretch factor does not depend on f. Observe that this is optimal, up to constant factors, because every vertex in an f-faulty-degree spanner must have degree at least f. We remark that our graphs are variations of the standard fault-tolerant spanners obtained by Lukovszki [15].

We conclude this section by introducing notation that will be used throughout the paper. Let $P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k)$ be a sequence of points in a finite metric space $(S, |\cdot|)$. The length of this sequence is denoted by |P| and is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |p_i p_{i+1}|$. If all points are pairwise distinct, then P is a path in K_S . If the sequence may have repeated points, then P is a walk in K_S .

2 General Metric Spaces

In this section, $(S, |\cdot|)$ denotes an arbitrary finite metric space. Let n be the number of points in S and let $t \geq 1$ be a real number. We assume that we are given a t-spanner G' = (S, E') for S and we denote the number of edges of G' by m. For any integer f with $1 \leq f \leq (n-1)/2$, we will show how to convert G' to a graph G = (S, E) that has at most (4f-1)m edges and is an f-faulty-degree t'-spanner for S, where t' is a function of f and t. The graph G = (S, E) is obtained as follows (refer to Figure 1):

- The edge set E contains all edges of E'.
- For each edge $\{a,b\}$ in E', let $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n-2}$ be the sequence of points of $S \setminus \{a,b\}$, in non-decreasing order of the values $|ac_i|+|c_ib|$; ties are broken arbitrarily. Let C_{ab} denote the set consisting of the first 2f-1 points in this sequence, i.e., $C_{ab} = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2f-1}\}$. The edge set E contains the 4f-2 edges $\{a,c_i\}$ and $\{c_i,b\}$, for $i=1,2,\ldots,2f-1$.




Figure 1: Illustrating the edges that are added to E because of the edge $\{a,b\}$ in E'. In this figure, f=3.

Observe that the number of edges in E is at most m + (4f - 2)m = (4f - 1)m.

In the rest of this section, we will prove that G is an f-faulty-degree t'-spanner for S. In Section 2.1, we consider the case when f = 1, in which case $t' \leq 3t$. In Section 2.2, we consider the general case when $f \geq 1$ and prove that $t' \leq (8f + 2)t$.

2.1 Faulty Matchings: f = 1

Consider the graph G = (S, E) defined above for the case when f = 1. Any subset F of E such that the maximum degree of the graph (S, F) is at most 1 is a matching in G. In other words, $G \setminus F$ is obtained by removing this matching from G. We will prove the following result.

Theorem 1 Let $(S, |\cdot|)$ be a finite metric space, let $t \geq 1$ be a real number, and let G' = (S, E') be a t-spanner for S with m edges. Then the graph G = (S, E) is a 1-faulty-degree (3t)-spanner for S that has at most 3m edges.

We have already seen that the number of edges in E is at most 3m. It remains to prove that G is a 1-faulty-degree (3t)-spanner for S.

Let F be an arbitrary matching in G, and let p and q be two points in S. We have to show that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p, q) \le 3t \cdot \delta_{K_s \setminus F}(p, q).$$

Let P' be a shortest path between p and q in the graph G'. Since G' is a t-spanner for S, the length |P'| of P' is at most t|pq|.

Let $\{a_1, b_1\}, \{a_2, b_2\}, \ldots, \{a_k, b_k\}$ be the edges on P' that are in F. We may assume that, if we follow P' from p to q, the endpoints of these edges are visited in the order $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_k, b_k$; refer to Figure 2. Since F is a matching, $b_1 \neq a_2, b_2 \neq a_3, \ldots, b_{k-1} \neq a_k$.

For each i with $1 \le i \le k$, let c_i be the point in $S \setminus \{a_i, b_i\}$ that minimizes $|a_i c_i| + |c_i b_i|$ and that was chosen when defining the graph G. Since F is a matching, all edges $\{a_i, c_i\}$ and $\{c_i, b_i\}$, for $1 \le i \le k$, are in $G \setminus F$.

We define P to be the walk (i.e., possibly non-simple path) that




Figure 2: P' is a shortest path between p and q in the graph G'. The red edges $\{a_i, b_i\}$, $1 \le i \le k$, are in the matching F. P (in green) is a walk between p and q in the graph $G \setminus F$. Note that any vertex c_i may be on the path P'.

- starts at p and follows P' to a_1 ,
- for i = 1, 2, ..., k-1, takes the two edges $\{a_i, c_i\}$ and $\{c_i, b_i\}$, and then follows P' from b_i to a_{i+1} ,
- takes the two edges $\{a_k, c_k\}$ and $\{c_k, b_k\}$, and then follows P' from b_k to q.

Refer to Figure 2. Observe that P is a walk between p and q in the graph $G \setminus F$. If k = 0, then P = P' and we have

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(p,q) = |P'| \le t|pq| = t \cdot \delta_{K_S\backslash F}(p,q) \le 3t \cdot \delta_{K_S\backslash F}(p,q).$$

Assume that k = 1 and P' consists of just the edge $\{p, q\}$. Then $p = a_1, q = b_1$, and the length of P is equal to $|a_1c_1| + |c_1b_1|$. By the definition of c_1 , we have $\delta_{K_S \setminus F}(p, q) = |a_1c_1| + |c_1b_1|$ and, thus,

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) = \delta_{K_S \setminus F}(p,q) \le 3t \cdot \delta_{K_S \setminus F}(p,q).$$

It remains to consider the case covered by the following lemma.

Lemma 1 Assume that $k \ge 1$ and P' has at least two edges. Then the graph $G \setminus F$ contains a walk Q between p and q whose length is at most 3|P'|.

This lemma will imply that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) \le |Q| \le 3|P'| \le 3t|pq| \le 3t \cdot \delta_{K_s \setminus F}(p,q).$$

For any two vertices x and y of P', we denote by P'_{xy} the subpath of P' between x and y. Using this notation, the length |P| of P satisfies

$$|P| = |P'_{pa_1}| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |P'_{b_i a_{i+1}}| + |P'_{b_k q}| + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|a_i c_i| + |c_i b_i|).$$
(2)

To prove Lemma 1, we consider five cases.

Case 1: The edge of P' that is incident on p is not in F. Since $p \notin \{a_1, b_1\}$, our choice of c_1 implies that

$$|a_1c_1| + |c_1b_1| \le |a_1p| + |pb_1|.$$

For each i with $2 \le i \le k$, since $b_{i-1} \notin \{a_i, b_i\}$, our choice of c_i implies that

$$|a_i c_i| + |c_i b_i| \le |a_i b_{i-1}| + |b_{i-1} b_i|.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (|a_i c_i| + |c_i b_i|) \leq (|a_1 p| + |p b_1|) + \sum_{i=2}^{k} (|a_i b_{i-1}| + |b_{i-1} b_i|)
\leq |P'_{pa_1}| + |P'_{pb_1}| + \sum_{i=2}^{k} (|P'_{b_{i-1} a_i}| + |P'_{b_{i-1} b_i}|)
\leq 2|P'|.$$

Using this, it follows from (2) that $|P| \leq 3|P'|$. Hence, Lemma 1 holds for Q = P.

Case 2: The edge of P' that is incident on q is not in F.

By switching the roles of p and q, this case is the same as Case 1.

Case 3: k = 2, the path P' consists of three edges, the edge of P' that is incident on p is in F, and the edge of P' that is incident on q is in F.

In this case, $p = a_1$, $q = b_2$, and the path P consists of the five edges $\{a_1, c_1\}$, $\{c_1, b_1\}$, $\{b_1, a_2\}$, $\{a_2, c_2\}$, and $\{c_2, b_2\}$. Observe that $\{b_1, a_2\}$ is not in F.

Let c be the point in $S \setminus \{b_1, a_2\}$ that minimizes $|b_1c| + |ca_2|$ and that was chosen when defining the graph G.

Case 3.1: $c \notin \{a_1, b_2\}$.

Since $a_1 \notin \{b_1, a_2\}$, our choice of c implies that

$$|b_1c| + |ca_2| \le |b_1a_1| + |a_1a_2| \le |b_1a_1| + |a_1b_1| + |b_1a_2|.$$

Similarly, since $b_2 \notin \{b_1, a_2\}$,

$$|b_1c| + |ca_2| \le |b_1b_2| + |b_2a_2| \le |b_1a_2| + |a_2b_2| + |b_2a_2|.$$

By adding these two inequalities, we get

$$2(|b_1c| + |ca_2|) \le 2|a_1b_1| + 2|b_1a_2| + 2|a_2b_2| = 2|P'|,$$

which gives

$$|b_1c| + |ca_2| \le |P'|.$$
 (3)

Since $c \notin \{a_1, b_1\}$, our choice of c_1 implies that

$$|a_1c_1| + |c_1b_1| \le |a_1c| + |cb_1|. \tag{4}$$

Since $c \notin \{a_2, b_2\}$, our choice of c_2 implies that

$$|a_2c_2| + |c_2b_2| \le |a_2c| + |cb_2|. (5)$$

Using (4) and (5), we get

$$|P| = |a_1c_1| + |c_1b_1| + |b_1a_2| + |a_2c_2| + |c_2b_2|$$

$$\leq |a_1c| + |cb_1| + |b_1a_2| + |a_2c| + |cb_2|.$$

Using (3), we get

$$|P| \leq |a_1c| + |b_1a_2| + |cb_2| + |P'|$$

$$\leq (|a_1b_1| + |b_1c|) + |b_1a_2| + (|ca_2| + |a_2b_2|) + |P'|$$

$$= |b_1c| + |ca_2| + 2|P'|.$$

One more application of (3) gives

$$|P| \le 3|P'|.$$

Hence, Lemma 1 holds for Q = P.

Case 3.2: $c = a_1$.

By the definition of the graph G, $\{c, a_2\} = \{p, a_2\}$ is an edge in G. Since F is a matching, this edge is in the graph $G \setminus F$. Define the path $Q = (p, a_2, c_2, b_2 = q)$. This path is in $G \setminus F$. Since $c \notin \{a_2, b_2\}$, our choice of c_2 implies that

$$|a_2c_2| + |c_2b_2| \le |a_2c| + |cb_2|,$$

i.e.,

$$|a_2c_2| + |c_2q| \le |a_1a_2| + |a_1b_2|.$$

The length of the path Q satisfies

$$|Q| = |pa_2| + |a_2c_2| + |c_2q| \le |pa_2| + |a_1a_2| + |a_1b_2|.$$

By the triangle inequality, we have $|Q| \leq 3|P'|$. Hence, Lemma 1 holds for Q.

Case 3.3: $c = b_2$.

By switching the roles of p and q, this case is the same as Case 3.2.

Case 4: k = 2, the path P' consists of at least four edges, the edge of P' that is incident on p is in F, and the edge of P' that is incident on q is in F.

In this case, $p = a_1$ and $q = b_2$. Let z be the third point on P', when traversing this path from p to q. Then, the edge $\{b_1, z\}$ is on P' and $z \neq a_1$.

Observe that P'_{pz} is a shortest path between p and z in G' and this path satisfies the condition of Case 2. Therefore, the graph $G \setminus F$ contains a walk Q_1 between p and z whose length is at most $3|P'_{pz}|$.

Similarly, P'_{zq} is a shortest path between z and q in G' and this path satisfies the condition of Case 1. Thus, the graph $G \setminus F$ contains a walk Q_2 between z and q whose length is at most $|P'_{zq}|$.

Let Q be the concatenation of Q_1 and Q_2 . Then Q is a walk between p and q in $G \setminus F$. The length of this walk satisfies

$$|Q| = |Q_1| + |Q_2| \le 3|P'_{pz}| + 3|P'_{zq}| = 3|P'|.$$

Thus, Lemma 1 holds for this walk Q.

Case 5: $k \geq 3$, the edge of P' that is incident on p is in F, and the edge of P' that is incident on q is in F.


In this case, $p = a_1$ and $q = b_k$. Observe that P'_{pb_2} is a shortest path between p and b_2 in G' and this path satisfies one of the conditions of Cases 3 and 4. Therefore, the graph $G \setminus F$ contains a walk Q_1 between p and b_2 whose length is at most $3|P'_{pb_2}|$. Similarly, P'_{b_2q} is a shortest path between b_2 and q in G' and this path satisfies the condition of Case 1. Thus, the graph $G \setminus F$ contains a walk between b_2 and q whose length is at most $3|P'_{b_2q}|$. Let Q be the concatenation of Q_1 and Q_2 . Then Q is a walk between p and q in $G \setminus F$. The length of this walk satisfies

$$|Q| = |Q_1| + |Q_2| \le 3|P'_{pb_2}| + 3|P'_{b_2q}| = 3|P'|.$$

Thus, Lemma 1 holds for this walk Q.

This completes the proof of Lemma 1 and, therefore, of Theorem 1.

We complete this section by showing that the upper bound of 3t on the stretch factor of G is tight. Let ε be a real number with $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, and define $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/(4-2\varepsilon)$. Consider the one-dimensional point set $S = \{p, a, b, q\}$, as indicated below. The graph G' connects the points in the left-to-right order and is a 1-spanner for S. The graph G contains G' and the two edges $\{p, b\}$ and $\{a, q\}$.



Consider the matching $F = \{\{p, a\}, \{b, q\}\}\$, as indicated in red. We have $\delta_{G \setminus F}(p, q) = 3 + 2\varepsilon'$ and $\delta_{K_S \setminus F}(p, q) = 1 + 2\varepsilon'$. Thus,

$$\frac{\delta_{G\backslash F}(p,q)}{\delta_{K_S\backslash F}(p,q)} = \frac{3+2\varepsilon'}{1+2\varepsilon'} = 3-\varepsilon,$$

i.e., G' is a 1-faulty-degree $(3 - \varepsilon)$ -spanner for S.

2.2 The General Case: $f \ge 1$

Consider the graph G = (S, E) defined in the beginning of Section 2 for the general case when $f \ge 1$. We will prove the following result.

Theorem 2 Let $(S, |\cdot|)$ be a finite metric space, let n be the number of points in S, let $t \geq 1$ be a real number, and let G' = (S, E') be a t-spanner for S with m edges. Then, for any integer f with $1 \leq f \leq (n-1)/2$, the graph G = (S, E) is an f-faulty-degree ((8f+2)t)-spanner for S that has at most (4f-1)m edges.

We have already seen that the number of edges in E is at most (4f-1)m. It remains to prove that G is an f-faulty-degree ((8f+2)t)-spanner for S.

Let F be an arbitrary subset of the edge set E of G, such that the maximum degree of the graph (S, F) is at most f.

Lemma 2 The graph $K_S \setminus F$ is connected.

Proof. The lemma will follow the following claim: For every partition of S into two non-empty sets A and B, the graph $K_S \setminus F$ contains an edge between A and B.

To prove this claim, we may assume without loss of generality that the size of B is at least the size of A. Thus, the size of B is at least n/2, which is larger than f. Let p be a point in A. In K_S , there are more than f edges of the form $\{p,q\}$, with $q \in B$. At least one these edges is in $K_S \setminus F$.

Lemma 3 Let $\{a,b\}$ be an edge in G'. If this edge is in F, then there exists a point c in C_{ab} such that both $\{a,c\}$ and $\{c,b\}$ are edges in the graph $G \setminus F$.

Proof. Assume that for each of the 2f-1 points c in C_{ab} , at least one of the two edges $\{a,c\}$ and $\{c,b\}$ is in F. Then, in (S,F), the sum of the degrees of a and b is at least 2+(2f-1)=2f+1. Therefore, a or b has degree more than f in (S,F), which is a contradiction.

Lemma 4 Let $\{a,b\}$ be an edge in G'. Then there exists a shortest path between a and b in the graph $G \setminus F$, all of whose vertices belong to $C_{ab} \cup \{a,b\}$.




Figure 3: Illustrating the proof of Lemma 4.




Figure 4: The black path P' is a shortest path between p and q in G'. For each edge $e = \{a, b\}$ on P', the green path P_e is a shortest path between a and b in $G \setminus F$. The concatenation of all paths P_e is a walk P between p and q in $G \setminus F$.

Proof. First assume that $\{a,b\}$ is not an edge in F. Then this edge is in the graph $G \setminus F$. Using the triangle inequality, this edge is a shortest path between a and b. Thus, the lemma holds.

Now assume that $\{a,b\}$ is in F. Let c be a point in C_{ab} as in Lemma 3. The path P=(a,c,b) is in $G\setminus F$. Let Q be a shortest path between a and b in $G\setminus F$, and assume that Q contains a vertex v that is not in $C_{ab}\cup \{a,b\}$; refer to Figure 3. Then

$$|P| = |ac| + |cb| \le |av| + |vb| \le |Q|$$

and, thus, |P| = |Q|. The path P satisfies the lemma.

Lemma 5 Let p and q be two distinct points in S, and let P' be a shortest path between p and q in the graph G'. If the number of vertices of P' is at least 2f + 2, then

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) \le (8f+2) \cdot |P'|.$$

Proof. Let $e = \{a, b\}$ be any edge on the path P'. By Lemma 4, there exists a shortest path P_e between a and b in the graph $G \setminus F$, all of whose vertices belong to $C_{ab} \cup \{a, b\}$; refer to Figure 4.

Let P be the concatenation of all paths P_e , where e ranges over all edges of P'. Then P is a walk between p and q in $G \setminus F$. We will prove that the length of P is at most $(8f+2) \cdot |P'|$. This will prove the lemma.




Figure 5: v_e is a vertex of P' that is closest (along P' and in terms of the number of edges) to a or b, and that is not in $C_{ab} \cup \{a, b\}$.

Again, let $e = \{a, b\}$ be any edge on the path P'. Recall that the set $C_{ab} \cup \{a, b\}$ has size 2f + 1 and P' contains at least 2f + 2 vertices. Therefore, there exists a vertex of P' that is not in $C_{ab} \cup \{a, b\}$. Let v_e be such a vertex that is closest (along P' and in terms of the number of edges), to a or b; refer to Figure 5. Then, again using the fact that the size of $C_{ab} \cup \{a, b\}$ is 2f + 1,

- the subpath P'_{av_e} of P' between a and v_e has at most 2f + 1 edges, and
- the subpath $P'_{v_e b}$ of P' between b and v_e has at most 2f + 1 edges.

First assume that $e = \{a, b\}$ is an edge in F. Let c be a point as in Lemma 3. Since both edges $\{a, c\}$ and $\{c, b\}$ are in $G \setminus F$, we have

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(a, b) \le |ac| + |cb|.$$

Since $v_e \notin C_{ab}$, we have

$$|ac| + |cb| \le |av_e| + |v_eb|.$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$|av_e| + |v_eb| \le |P'_{av_e}| + |P'_{v_eb}|.$$

By combining the above three inequalities, we get

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(a,b) \le |P'_{av_e}| + |P'_{v_eb}|. \tag{6}$$

Observe that (6) also holds if $e = \{a, b\}$ is not an edge in F, because in that case, $\delta_{G \setminus F}(a, b) = |ab|$, which is at most equal to the right-hand side in (6).

Since P is the concatenation of all paths P_e , with e an edge of P', we have

$$|P| = \sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } P'} |P_{e}|$$

$$= \sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } P'} \delta_{G\backslash F}(a,b)$$

$$\leq \sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } P'} (|P'_{av_{e}}| + |P'_{v_{e}b}|)$$

$$= \sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } P'} |P'_{av_{e}}| + \sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } P'} |P'_{v_{e}b}|.$$
(7)

Consider the first summation in (7). For each edge $\{x,y\}$ on the path P', let N_{xy} be the number of edges $e = \{a,b\}$ on P' such that $\{x,y\}$ is an edge on the subpath P'_{av_e} . Then

$$\sum_{e = \{a,b\} \text{ on } P'} |P'_{av_e}| = \sum_{\{x,y\} \text{ on } P'} N_{xy} \cdot |xy|.$$

To obtain an upper bound on N_{xy} , we assume, without loss of generality, that x is on the subpath of P' between p and y. Define the following subpath Q_{xy} of P':

- $\{x,y\}$ is an edge of Q_{xy} .
- Starting at x, traverse P' towards p and stop as soon as 2f edges have been traversed or the point p has been reached. All edges traversed are edges of Q_{xy} .
- Starting at y, traverse P' towards q and stop as soon as 2f edges have been traversed or the point q has been reached. All edges traversed are edges of Q_{xy} .

Recall that each subpath P'_{av_e} has at most 2f + 1 edges. It follows that every edge $e = \{a, b\}$ that is counted in N_{xy} is an edge on Q_{xy} . Since Q_{xy} has at most 4f + 1 edges, it follows that $N_{xy} \le 4f + 1$. Thus,

$$\sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } P'} |P'_{av_e}| \leq (4f+1) \sum_{\{x,y\} \text{ on } P'} |xy| = (4f+1) \cdot |P'|.$$

By a symmetric argument, the second summation in (7) is at most $(4f+1)\cdot |P'|$. We conclude that $|P| \leq (8f+2)|P'|$.

The next lemma will complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 6 Let p and q be two distinct points in S. Then

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(p,q) \le (8f+2)t \cdot \delta_{K_S\backslash F}(p,q).$$

Proof. Let Q be a shortest path between p and q in $K_S \setminus F$. By Lemma 2, Q exists. For each edge $e = \{a, b\}$ on Q, let P'_e be a shortest path between a and b in G'. Since G' is a t-spanner for S, we have $|P'_e| \leq t|ab|$. We will show that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(a, b) \le (8f + 2) \cdot |P'_e|. \tag{8}$$

This will imply that

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(p,q) \leq \sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } Q} \delta_{G\backslash F}(a,b)$$

$$\leq \sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } Q} (8f+2) \cdot |P'_e|$$

$$\leq \sum_{e=\{a,b\} \text{ on } Q} (8f+2)t \cdot |ab|$$

$$= (8f+2)t \cdot |Q|$$

$$= (8f+2)t \cdot \delta_{Ks\backslash F}(p,q).$$




Figure 6: $D = C_{ad} \cup \{a, d\}, \{x, y\}$ is an edge on $P'_e, \{x, y\} \neq \{a, d\}$.

If P'_e has at least 2f + 2 vertices, then (8) follows from Lemma 5. From now on, we assume that P'_e has at most 2f + 1 vertices and, thus, at most 2f edges.

Since Q is a path in $K_S \setminus F$ and $e = \{a, b\}$ is an edge on Q, this edge is not in F. If e is an edge in $G \setminus F$, then

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(a, b) = |ab| \le |P'_e|$$

and, thus (8) holds.

It remains to consider the case when $e = \{a, b\}$ is not an edge in $G \setminus F$. Consider the edge $\{a, d\}$ on P'_e that is incident on a. Observe that $d \neq b$, because $\{a, b\}$ is not an edge in G'.

We first show that for every point z in C_{ad} ,

$$|az| + |zd| \le |ab| + |bd|. \tag{9}$$

The proof is by contradiction: If |ab| + |bd| < |az| + |zd|, then b is an element of C_{ad} and, therefore, by the definition of the graph G, $\{a,b\}$ is an edge in G, which is a contradiction.

Next, we show that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(a, d) \le 2 \cdot |P'_e|. \tag{10}$$

If $\{a,d\}$ is not in F, then $\delta_{G\backslash F}(a,d)=|ad|\leq |P'_e|$ and, thus, (10) holds. Assume that $\{a,d\}$ is an edge in F. By Lemma 3, there exists a point c in C_{ad} , such that both $\{a,c\}$ and $\{c,d\}$ are edges in $G\backslash F$. Then, $\delta_{G\backslash F}(a,d)\leq |ac|+|cd|$, which, by (9), is at most |ab|+|bd|, which is at most $2\cdot |P'_e|$, i.e., (10) holds.

Let $D = C_{ad} \cup \{a, d\}$. Observe that D has size 2f + 1. Let $\{x, y\}$ be an arbitrary edge on P'_e with $\{x, y\} \neq \{a, d\}$. Let $D' = D \setminus \{x, y\}$. Then the size of D' is at least 2f - 1. Let d' be a point in D' for which |xd'| + |d'y| is maximum. Refer to Figure 6.

First, we assume that $\{x, y\}$ is an edge in F. By Lemma 3, there is a point c' in C_{xy} such that both $\{x, c'\}$ and $\{c', y\}$ are edges in $G \setminus F$. It is clear that

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(x,y) \le |xc'| + |c'y|.$$

We next argue that

$$|xc'| + |c'y| \le |xd'| + |d'y|.$$

Assume, by contradiction, that |xd'| + |d'y| < |xc'| + |c'y|. Then for all z in $D' = D \setminus \{x, y\}$, |xz| + |zy| < |xc'| + |c'y|. There are at least 2f - 1 such points z, and each of them is in $S \setminus \{x, y\}$. Thus, $c' \notin C_{xy}$, which is a contradiction.

The previous two inequalities, together with the triangle inequality, imply that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(x, y) \le |xd'| + |d'y| \le (|P'_{xa}| + |ad'|) + (|d'd| + |P'_{dy}|)$$

$$\le |ad'| + |d'd| + 2 \cdot |P'_{e}|.$$

We claim that

$$|ad'| + |d'd| \le |ab| + |bd|. \tag{11}$$

If $d' \in \{a, d\}$, then (11) follows from the triangle inequality. If $d' \notin \{a, d\}$, then $d' \in C_{ad}$ and (11) follows from (9).

Since $|ab| + |bd| \le 2 \cdot |P'_e|$, we conclude that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(x, y) \le 4 \cdot |P_e'|. \tag{12}$$

In the derivation of (12), we assumed that $\{x,y\}$ is an edge in F. If $\{x,y\}$ is not an edge in F, then (12) also holds, because, in that case, $\delta_{G\backslash F}(x,y) = |xy| \le |P'_e|$.

For each edge $e' = \{x, y\}$ on P'_e , let $P_{e'}$ be a shortest path between x and y in $G \setminus F$. Let P_{ab} be the concatenation of all paths $P_{e'}$, where e' ranges over all edges of P'_e . Observe that P_{ab} is a walk between a and b in $G \setminus F$. We have

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(a,b) \leq |P_{ab}|$$

$$= \sum_{e'=\{x,y\} \text{ on } P'_e} |P_{e'}|$$

$$= \sum_{e'=\{x,y\} \text{ on } P'_e} \delta_{G\backslash F}(x,y)$$

$$\leq \sum_{e'=\{x,y\} \text{ on } P'_e} 4 \cdot |P'_e|.$$

Since P'_e has at most 2f edges, the latter summation has at most 2f terms. We conclude that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(a, b) \leq 8f \cdot |P'_e|$$

and, thus, (8) holds.

2.2.1 A Lower Bound for our Construction

We have shown that any t-spanner G' can be transformed to an f-faulty-degree t'-spanner with t' = O(ft). Below, we will give an example for which $t' = \Omega(ft)$.

Let $f \geq 3$ be an integer and let ε be a real number with $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1/(f-2)$. Let $B_0, B_1, \ldots B_f$ be pairwise disjoint sets, where $B_0 = \{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_f\}$, and each B_i , for $1 \leq i \leq f$, consists of 2f points. Let S be the union of $B_0, B_1, \ldots B_f$.

We define the following graph H with vertex set S; refer to Figure 7:




Figure 7: Illustrating the graph H. All black edges have weight 1, all blue edges have weight ε , and the dotted edge $\{b_0, b_f\}$ has weight $1 + \varepsilon$. The set B_0 is equal to $\{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_f\}$. The graph G' consists of all solid edges.

- H contains the edge $\{b_0, b_f\}$ having weight $1 + \varepsilon$.
- H contains the edges $\{b_0, b_1\}$ and $\{b_{f-1}, b_f\}$, each having weight 1.
- H contains the edges $\{b_j, b_{j+1}\}$, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, f 2$, each having weight ε .
- For each i with $1 \le i \le f$, H contains the complete graph on B_i , with each edge having weight ε .
- For each i with $1 \le i \le f$, H contains the complete bipartite graph on $B_i \times \{b_{i-1}, b_i\}$, with each edge having weight 1.

For any two points p and q of S, we define |pq| to be the shortest-path distance between p and q in the graph H. It is clear that $(S, |\cdot|)$ is a metric space.

Observation 1 For each edge $\{p,q\}$ in H, |pq| is the weight of this edge, i.e., $\{p,q\}$ is the shortest path between p and q in H.

Proof. The proof can be obtained by verifying that for each edge $\{p, q\}$, any path between p and q that has at least two edges has length more than the weight of $\{p, q\}$.

Let G' be the graph obtained from H by removing the edge $\{b_0, b_f\}$.

Observation 2 G' is a 3-spanner for S.

Proof. If $\{x,y\}$ is an edge of H and $\{x,y\} \neq \{b_0,b_f\}$, then, by Observation 1, $\delta_{G'}(x,y) = |xy|$. For the edge $\{b_0,b_f\}$, we have, using the fact that $\varepsilon < 1/(f-2)$,

$$\delta_{G'}(b_0, b_f) = 1 + (f - 2)\varepsilon + 1 \le 3 \le 3 \cdot |b_0 b_f|.$$

It follows that, for any two points p and q in S,

$$\delta_{G'}(p,q) \le 3 \cdot \delta_H(p,q) = 3 \cdot |pq|.$$

Having defined the 3-spanner G', consider the graph G obtained from G' by applying the transformation given in the beginning of Section 2.2.

Observation 3 $\{b_0, b_f\}$ is not an edge in the graph G.

Proof. Let $\{p,q\}$ be any edge in G'. Recall that G contains the complete graph with vertex set $C_{pq} \cup \{p,q\}$. The claim holds if we can show that at least one of b_0 and b_f is not in $C_{pq} \cup \{p,q\}$.

Observe that $|pb_0| + |b_0q| \ge 2 + \varepsilon$ or $|pb_f| + |b_fq| \ge 2 + \varepsilon$, while there are at least 2f points x in $S \setminus \{p,q\}$ such that $|px| + |xq| \le 2$. This implies that at least one of b_0 and b_f is not in $C_{pq} \cup \{p,q\}$.

Observation 4 For any i and j with $1 \le i < j \le f$, the graph G does not contain any edge between the sets B_i and B_j .

Proof. For any point a in B_i and any point b in B_j , we have $|ab| \ge 2$. For any edge $\{p,q\}$ in G', we have $|pa| + |aq| \ge 2 + \varepsilon$ or $|pb| + |bq| \ge 2 + \varepsilon$, while there are at least 2f points x in $S \setminus \{p,q\}$ such that $|px| + |xq| \le 2$. This implies that at least one of a and b is not in $C_{pq} \cup \{p,q\}$.

By Theorem 2, the graph G is an f-faulty-degree t'-spanner for S, where $t' \leq 3(8f+2) = 24f+6$. Below, we show that t' > f.

Let F be the set of all edges $\{p,q\}$ of G for which both p and q are in B_0 . Since B_0 has size f+1, the maximum degree of the graph (S,F) is at most f.

By Observation 3, $\{b_0, b_f\}$ is not an edge in $G \setminus F$. This, together with Observation 4, implies that the shortest path between b_0 and b_f in $G \setminus F$ consists of the following:

• For i = 0, 1, ..., f - 1, it takes the edge from b_i to an arbitrary point, say x_{i+1} , of B_{i+1} , and then the edge from x_{i+1} to b_{i+1} .

Thus,

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(b_0,b_f)=2f.$$

Since by Observation 3, $\{b_0, b_f\}$ is not in F, we have

$$\delta_{K_S \setminus F}(b_0, b_f) = |b_0 b_f| = 1 + \varepsilon \le 2.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\delta_{G\backslash F}(b_0, b_f)}{\delta_{K_S\backslash F}(b_0, b_f)} \ge f.$$

3 Metric Spaces with a Small WSPD

The construction in the previous section is valid for any metric space that admits a t-spanner, for some value of t. However, the stretch factor of the f-faulty-degree spanner is $\Theta(ft)$. In this section, we will show that, if the metric space admits a well-separated pair decomposition, we can obtain a stretch factor of $1 + \varepsilon$, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$.

3.1 Preliminaries

Let $(S, |\cdot|)$ be a finite metric space. For any two non-empty subsets A and B of S, we define their distance |AB| as

$$|AB| = \min\{|pq| : p \in A, q \in B\}$$

and the diameter diam(A) of A as

$$diam(A) = \max\{|pq| : p \in A, q \in A\}.$$

For a real number c > 0, called the *separation ratio*, we say that A and B are well-separated, if

$$|AB| \ge c \cdot \max(diam(A), diam(B)).$$

Lemma 7 Let c > 0 be a real number, let A and B be two non-empty subsets of S that are well-separated with respect to c, let p and p' be any two points in A, and let q and q' be any two points in B. Then

- 1. $|pp'| \leq (1/c)|pq|$,
- 2. $|qq'| \le (1/c)|pq|$, and
- 3. $|p'q'| \le (1 + 2/c)|pq|$.

Proof. The first claim follows from the following chain of inequalities:

$$|pp'| \le diam(A)$$

 $\le \max(diam(A), diam(B))$
 $\le (1/c)|AB|$
 $\le (1/c)|pq|.$

The proof of the second claim is symmetric. For the proof of the third claim, we combine the first two claims and use the triangle inequality:

$$|p'q'| \le |p'p| + |pq| + |qq'|$$

 $\le (1/c)|pq| + |pq| + (1/c)|pq|$
 $= (1 + 2/c)|pq|.$

Definition 2 (Callahan and Kosaraju [4]) Let $(S, |\cdot|)$ be a finite metric space and let c > 0 be a real number. A well-separated pair decomposition (WSPD) for S is a sequence

$${A_1, B_1}, {A_2, B_2}, \dots, {A_m, B_m}$$

of pairs of non-empty subsets of S, for some integer m, such that

- 1. for each i with $1 \le i \le m$, A_i and B_i are well-separated, and
- 2. for any two distinct points p and q of S, there is exactly one index i such that
 - (a) $p \in A_i$ and $q \in B_i$, or
 - (b) $p \in B_i$ and $q \in A_i$.

The integer m is called the size of the well-separated pair decomposition.

3.2 The Faulty-Degree Spanner

Let $(S, |\cdot|)$ be a finite metric space, let $f \ge 1$ be an integer, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a real number. Assume that

$${A_1, B_1}, {A_2, B_2}, \dots, {A_m, B_m}$$

is a well-separated pair decomposition for S with separation ratio

$$c = 2 + 4/\varepsilon$$
.

We define a graph G = (S, E) whose edge set E is obtained as follows: For each i with $1 \le i \le m$, define the following two subsets A'_i and B'_i of A_i and B_i , respectively:

- If A_i has size at most 2f + 1, then $A'_i = A_i$.
- If A_i has size at least 2f + 2, then A'_i is an arbitrary subset of size 2f + 1 of A_i .
- If B_i has size at most 2f + 1, then $B'_i = B_i$.
- If B_i has size at least 2f + 2, then B'_i is an arbitrary subset of size 2f + 1 of B_i .

The edge set E contains the edges of the complete bipartite graph with vertex sets A'_i and B'_i .

It is clear that the total number of edges in E is at most $(2f + 1)^2m$. In the following subsection, we will prove that G is an f-faulty-degree $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -spanner for S.

3.3 Analysis

Let F be an arbitrary subset of the edge set of G such that the maximum degree of the graph (S, F) is at most f.

Lemma 8 For each edge $\{p,q\}$ in $K_S \setminus F$, we have

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) \le (1+\varepsilon) \cdot |pq|.$$

Proof. Let i be the index in $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$ such that (a) $p \in A_i$ and $q \in B_i$ or (b) $p \in B_i$ and $q \in A_i$. We may assume without loss of generality that (a) holds.

The proof is by induction on the rank of the distance |pq| in the set of all edge lengths in $K_S \setminus F$.

For the base case, assume that $\{p,q\}$ is a shortest edge in $K_S \setminus F$. We first show that the size of the set A_i is at most 2f. Assume that this set has at least 2f + 1 points. Then K_S contains at least $2f \geq f + 1$ edges $\{p,p'\}$ for which $p' \in A_i$. At least one of these edges is in the graph $K_S \setminus F$. Since by Lemma 7, |pp'| < |pq|, this is a contradiction. By a symmetric argument, we can show that the size of the set B_i is at most 2f. It follows that $p \in A_i = A_i'$ and $q \in B_i = B_i'$. Thus, $\{p,q\}$ is an edge in G. Since $\{p,q\} \notin F$, it follows that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) = |pq| \le (1+\varepsilon) \cdot |pq|.$$

For the induction step, assume that $\{p,q\}$ is not a shortest edge in $K_S \setminus F$. If $\{p,q\}$ is in G, then it is in $G \setminus F$ and the lemma holds. Assume from now on that $\{p,q\}$ is not an edge in G. Then $p \notin A'_i$ or $q \notin B'_i$. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1: $p \notin A'_i$ and $q \notin B'_i$.

We observe that each of A_i and B_i has at least 2f + 2 points, and each of A'_i and B'_i has exactly 2f + 1 points.

Since K_S contains $2f + 1 \ge f + 1$ edges $\{p, p'\}$ for which p' is in A'_i , there is a point p' in A'_i such that $\{p, p'\}$ is in $K_S \setminus F$. Since, by Lemma 7, $|pp'| \le (1/c)|pq| < |pq|$, we have, by induction,

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p, p') \le (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot |pp'|.$$

Note that, by the definition of G, for each point q' in B'_i , the edge $\{p', q'\}$ is in G. Define

$$B_i'' = \{q' \in B_i' : \{p', q'\} \text{ is an edge in } G \setminus F\}.$$

Since the size of B'_i is 2f + 1, the size of B''_i is at least f + 1. Thus, K_S contains at least f + 1 edges $\{q, q'\}$ for which q' is in B''_i . It follows that there is a point q' in B''_i such that $\{q, q'\}$ is in $K_S \setminus F$. Since, by Lemma 7, $|qq'| \leq (1/c)|pq| < |pq|$, we have, by induction,

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(q, q') \le (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot |qq'|.$$

Note that, since q' is in B''_i , $\{p', q'\}$ is an edge in $G \setminus F$. It follows that

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(p,q) \leq \delta_{G\backslash F}(p,p') + |p'q'| + \delta_{G\backslash F}(q',q)$$

$$\leq (1+\varepsilon) \cdot |pp'| + |p'q'| + (1+\varepsilon) \cdot |q'q|.$$

Using Lemma 7, we conclude that

$$\delta_{G\backslash F}(p,q) \leq (1+\varepsilon) \cdot (1/c)|pq| + (1+2/c)|pq| + (1+\varepsilon) \cdot (1/c)|pq|
= (1+(4+2\varepsilon)/c)|pq|
= (1+\varepsilon)|pq|,$$

where the last equality follows from our choice for c.

Case 2: $p \in A'_i$ and $q \notin B'_i$.

In this case, B_i has at least 2f + 2 points and B'_i has exactly 2f + 1 points. By the definition of G, for each point q' in B'_i , the edge $\{p, q'\}$ is in G. Define

$$B_i'' = \{q' \in B_i' : \{p, q'\} \text{ is an edge in } G \setminus F\}.$$

Note that the size of B_i'' is at least f+1. Thus, K_S contains at least f+1 edges $\{q,q'\}$ for which q' is in B_i'' . It follows that there is a point q' in B_i'' such that $\{q,q'\}$ is in $K_S \setminus F$. By Lemma 7, |qq'| < |pq|, implying that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(q', q) \le (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot |q'q|.$$

Since q' is in B_i'' , $\{p, q'\}$ is an edge in $G \setminus F$. It follows that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) \le |pq'| + \delta_{G \setminus F}(q',q) \le |pq'| + (1+\varepsilon) \cdot |q'q|.$$

As in Case 1, it follows that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) \le (1+\varepsilon) \cdot |pq|.$$

Case 3: $p \notin A'_i$ and $q \in B'_i$.

This case is symmetric to Case 2.

We summarize the result of this section in the following theorem:

Theorem 3 Let $(S, |\cdot|)$ be a finite metric space, let $f \ge 1$ be an integer, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a real number. Assume there exists a well-separated pair decomposition for S with separation ratio $c = 2+4/\varepsilon$. Let m be the size of this decomposition. Then the graph G = (S, E) defined at the beginning of Section 3.2 is an f-faulty-degree $(1+\varepsilon)$ -spanner for S that has at most $(2f+1)^2m$ edges.

Proof. Let F be an arbitrary subset of the edge set of G such that the maximum degree of the graph (S, F) is at most f. Let p and q be two distinct points in S. To prove that

$$\delta_{G \setminus F}(p,q) \le (1+\varepsilon) \cdot \delta_{K_S \setminus F}(p,q),$$

we consider a shortest path in $K_S \setminus F$ and apply Lemma 8 to each edge on this path.

To give two examples, Callahan and Kosaraju [4] have shown that for any set S of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , where the dimension d is a constant and $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean distance function,

there is a WSPD for S of size m = O(n). Har-Peled and Mendel [10] have shown that for every metric space of bounded doubling dimension, there is a WSPD for S of size m = O(n). Theorem 3 implies that in both of these examples, we can construct an f-faulty-degree $(1+\varepsilon)$ -spanner with $O(f^2n)$ edges. In the next section, we will improve the number of edges to O(fn) for the Euclidean case.

4 Euclidean Metric Spaces of Constant Dimension

In this section, we consider the metric space $(S, |\cdot|)$, where S is a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , for some constant d, and $|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean distance function.

We will define two graphs with vertex set S that are variants of the Yao-graph (see [9, 19]) and the Θ -graph (see [7, 12]) and that depend on the maximum degree bound f of any set F of faulty edges. As we will see, for any real constant $\varepsilon > 0$, each of these graphs is an f-faulty-degree $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -spanner with O(fn) edges, where n is the size of S.

4.1 Cones

Let θ be a real number with $0 < \theta < \pi/4$ and let \mathcal{C} be a collection of cones that cover \mathbb{R}^d , such that for each cone C in \mathcal{C} , the apex of C is at the origin 0 and the angular diameter of C is at most θ , i.e.,

$$\max \left\{ \angle (0x, 0y) : x, y \in C \setminus \{0\} \right\} \le \theta.$$

Lukovszki [16] has shown how to obtain such a collection C consisting of $O(1/\theta^{d-1})$ cones; see also [17, Chapter 5].

4.2 Yao- and Theta-Graphs

Let S be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d and let $f \geq 0$ be an integer. Let θ be a real constant with $0 < \theta < \pi/4$, and let \mathcal{C} be a collection of cones as in Section 4.1.

For any cone C in C, we fix an arbitrary ray ℓ_C that emanates from the origin and is completely contained in C. For any point p in S and any cone C in C, let C+p denote the cone with apex p obtained by translating C, i.e., $C+p=\{x+p:x\in C\}$. Similarly, we denote by ℓ_C+p the ray emanating from p that is parallel to ℓ_C . We denote by $S_{p,C}$ the set of all points in $S\setminus\{p\}$ that are in the cone C+p, i.e., $S_{p,C}=(C+p)\cap(S\setminus\{p\})$.

We are now ready to define the variants of the Yao- and Θ -graphs; refer to Figure 8.

- 1. The graph $Yao(\theta, 2f + 1)$ has vertex set S. For each point p in S and for each cone C in C, we add an edge between p and each of the $min(2f + 1, |S_{p,C}|)$ points in $S_{p,C}$ that are closest (with respect to the Euclidean distance) to p.
- 2. The graph $\Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$ also has vertex set S. For each point p in S and for each cone C in C, consider again the set $S_{p,C}$. We add an edge between p and each of the $\min(2f+1, |S_{p,C}|)$ points in $S_{p,C}$, whose orthogonal projections onto the ray $\ell_C + p$ are closest (with respect to the Euclidean distance) to p.




Figure 8: The left figure illustrates $Yao(\theta, 2f + 1)$, where f = 2. The graph has 2f + 1 = 5 (blue) edges in the cone C + p that are incident on the point p; the endpoints of these edges are the five points in this cone that are closest to p. The right figure illustrates $\Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$, where f = 2 and $\ell_C + p$ is the bisector of the cone C + p. The graph has 2f + 1 = 5 (blue) edges in the cone C + p that are incident on the point p; the endpoints of these edges are the five points whose orthogonal projections onto the ray $\ell_C + p$ are closest to p.




Figure 9: Illustrating the first case in Lemma 9.

It is clear that the number of edges in each of these graphs is at most $|\mathcal{C}| \cdot (2f+1)n = O(fn)$.

4.3 Analysis

In this subsection, we will prove that each of the graphs $Yao(\theta, 2f + 1)$ and $\Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$ is an f-faulty-degree t-spanner for S, where t only depends on the angle θ .

We remark that Lukovszki [15] used $\Theta(\theta, f + 1)$ for the case when at most f edges can be removed. Our proof is similar.

Our proof will use the following geometric result, which is illustrated in Figure 9.

Lemma 9 Let p and q be two distinct points in S, and let C be a cone in C such that $q \in C + p$.

1. Let ℓ be an arbitrary ray that emanates from p and is completely contained in C + p. Let r be a point in $S_{p,C}$ such that the orthogonal projection of r onto ℓ is at least as close to p as the orthogonal projection of q onto ℓ . Then,

$$|rq| \le |pq| - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)|pr|.$$

2. Let r be a point in $S_{p,C}$ such that $|pr| \leq |pq|$. Then,

$$|rq| \le |pq| - (\cos \theta - \sin \theta)|pr|.$$

Proof. The proof of the first claim can be found in [17, Lemma 4.1.4]. The second claim follows from the first claim, by taking for ℓ the ray that emanates from p and goes through q.

In the rest of this subsection, we will prove that each of the graphs $Yao(\theta, 2f + 1)$ and $\Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$ is an f-faulty-degree t-spanner for S, where $t = 1/(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)$. For both graphs, the proof will be by induction. For $Yao(\theta, 2f + 1)$, the second claim in Lemma 9 is used, whereas for $\Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$, the first claim is used. Since both proofs are almost identical, we only give the proof for $\Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$.

For simplicity, we denote the graph $\Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$ by Θ . Let F be an arbitrary subset of the edge set of Θ and assume that the maximum degree of the graph (S, F) is at most f.

Lemma 10 Let $\{p,q\}$ be an edge in $K_S \setminus F$ that is not in Θ . Then, there is a point r in $S_{p,C}$ such that

- $r \neq q$,
- the orthogonal projection of r onto the ray $\ell_C + p$ is at least as close to p as the orthogonal projection of q onto $\ell_C + p$,
- $\{p,r\}$ is an edge in $\Theta \setminus F$, and
- $\{r,q\}$ is an edge in $K_S \setminus F$.

Proof. Since $\{p,q\}$ is not an edge in Θ , this graph contains 2f+1 edges $\{p,r\}$, where r is in $S_{p,C}$ and $r \neq q$. For each such edge $\{p,r\}$, the orthogonal projection of r onto the ray $\ell_C + p$ is at least as close to p as the orthogonal projection of q onto $\ell_C + p$. Since the degree of p in F is at most f, at least f+1 of these edges $\{p,r\}$ are in $\Theta \setminus F$. Observe that for each such edge $\{p,r\}$, the edge $\{r,q\}$ is in K_S . Since the degree of q in F is at most f, for at least one of these f+1 edges $\{p,r\}$ that are in $\Theta \setminus F$, the edge $\{r,q\}$ is in $K_S \setminus F$.

Lemma 11 For every edge $\{p,q\}$ in $K_s \setminus F$, $\delta_{\Theta \setminus F}(p,q) \leq t \cdot |pq|$, where $t = 1/(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)$.

Proof. Let C be a cone in C such that $q \in C + p$. We will prove the lemma by induction on the rank of the Euclidean distance |pq| in the set of all edge lengths in $K_S \setminus F$.

For the base case, assume that $\{p,q\}$ is a shortest edge in $K_s \setminus F$. We claim that this edge is in Θ . If this is true, then it is in $\Theta \setminus F$ as well and $\delta_{\Theta \setminus F}(p,q) = |pq| \le t \cdot |pq|$. Assume

that $\{p,q\}$ is not in Θ . Let r be a point in $S_{p,C}$ as in Lemma 10. It follows from the first claim in Lemma 9, with $\ell = \ell_C + p$, that |rq| < |pq|, which contradicts the assumption that $\{p,q\}$ is a shortest edge in $K_s \setminus F$.

From now on, assume that $\{p,q\}$ is not a shortest edge in $K_s \setminus F$. If this edge is in Θ , then it is in $\Theta \setminus F$ and the lemma holds. Otherwise, let r be a point in $S_{p,C}$ as in Lemma 10. As above, by Lemma 9, we have |rq| < |pq|. Thus, by induction,

$$\delta_{\Theta \setminus F}(r,q) \le t \cdot |rq|.$$

It follows that

$$\delta_{\Theta \setminus F}(p,q) \le |pr| + \delta_{\Theta \setminus F}(r,q) \le |pr| + t \cdot |rq|.$$

Using the first claim in Lemma 9, with $\ell = \ell_C + p$, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\delta_{\Theta \setminus F}(p,q) \leq t \cdot |pq|.$$

Theorem 4 Let S be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d , where d is a constant, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a real constant, let θ be a constant with $0 < \theta < \pi/4$, such that $1/(\cos \theta - \sin \theta) \le 1 + \varepsilon$, and let $f \ge 0$ be an integer. Each of the graphs $Yao(\theta, 2f + 1)$ and $\Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$ is an f-faulty-degree $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -spanner for S, having O(fn) edges.

Proof. We only give the proof for $\Theta = \Theta(\theta, 2f + 1)$. Set $t = 1/(\cos \theta - \sin \theta)$. Let F be an arbitrary subset of the edge set of Θ , such that the maximum degree of the graph (S, F) is at most f. Let p and q be two distinct points in S. If $\{p, q\}$ is an edge in $K_S \setminus F$, then, by Lemma 11,

$$\delta_{\Theta \setminus F}(p,q) \le t \cdot |pq| = t \cdot \delta_{K_s \setminus F}(p,q) \le (1+\varepsilon) \cdot \delta_{K_s \setminus F}(p,q).$$

If $\{p, q\}$ is not an edge in $K_S \setminus F$, then we consider a shortest path between p and q in $K_S \setminus F$, and apply Lemma 11 to each edge on this path.

References

- [1] R. Ahmed, G. Bodwin, F. D. Sahneh, K. Hamm, M. J. Latifi Jebelli, S. Kobourov, and R. Spence. Graph spanners: a tutorial review. *Computer Science Review*, 37:100253, 2020.
- [2] G. Bodwin, M. Dinitz, and C. Robelle. Partially optimal edge fault-tolerant spanners. In *Proceedings of the 33rd ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 3272–3286, 2022.
- [3] G. Bodwin, B. Haeupler, and M. Parter. Fault-tolerant spanners against bounded-degree edge failures: Linearly more faults, almost for free. In *Proceedings of the 35th ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 2609–2642, 2024.

- [4] P. B. Callahan and S. R. Kosaraju. A decomposition of multidimensional point sets with applications to k-nearest-neighbors and n-body potential fields. *Journal of the ACM*, 42:67–90, 1995.
- [5] T.-H. Chan, M. Li, L. Ning, and S. Solomon. New doubling spanners: Better and simpler. SIAM Journal on Computing, 44:37–53, 2015.
- [6] S. Chechik, M. Langberg, D. Peleg, and L. Roditty. Fault tolerant spanners for general graphs. SIAM Journal on Computing, 39:3403–3423, 2010.
- [7] K. L. Clarkson. Approximation algorithms for shortest path motion planning. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, pages 56–65, 1987.
- [8] A. Filtser and S. Solomon. The greedy spanner is existentially optimal. SIAM Journal on Computing, 49:429–447, 2020.
- [9] B. E. Flinchbaugh and L. K. Jones. Strong connectivity in directional nearest-neighbor graphs. SIAM Journal on Algebraic Discrete Methods, 2:461–463, 1981.
- [10] S. Har-Peled and M. Mendel. Fast construction of nets in low-dimensional metrics and their applications. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 35:1148–1184, 2006.
- [11] S. Har-Peled, M. Mendel, and D. Oláh. Reliable spanners for metric spaces. *ACM Transactions on Algorithms*, 19:7:1–7:27, 2023.
- [12] J. M. Keil. Approximating the complete Euclidean graph. In *Proceedings of the 1st Scandinavian Workshop on Algorithm Theory*, volume 318 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 208–213, Berlin, 1988. Springer-Verlag.
- [13] C. Levcopoulos, G. Narasimhan, and M. Smid. Efficient algorithms for constructing fault-tolerant geometric spanners. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, pages 186–195, 1998.
- [14] C. Levcopoulos, G. Narasimhan, and M. Smid. Improved algorithms for constructing fault-tolerant spanners. *Algorithmica*, 32:144–156, 2002.
- [15] T. Lukovszki. New results on fault tolerant geometric spanners. In *Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures*, volume 1663 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 193–204, Berlin, 1999. Springer-Verlag.
- [16] T. Lukovszki. New Results on Geometric Spanners and Their Applications. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Paderborn, Paderborn, Germany, 1999.
- [17] G. Narasimhan and M. Smid. *Geometric Spanner Networks*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- [18] S. Solomon. Optimal fault-tolerant spanners for doubling metrics. In *Proceedings of the* 46th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, pages 363–372, 2014.

[19] A. C. Yao. On constructing minimum spanning trees in k-dimensional spaces and related problems. $SIAM\ Journal\ on\ Computing,\ 11:721-736,\ 1982.$