
Dimba: Transformer-Mamba Diffusion Models

Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, Changqian Yu, Debang Li
Youqiang Zhang, Junshi Huang*
Kunlun Inc.
Beijing, China
{feizhengcong}@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper unveils Dimba, a new text-to-image diffusion model that employs a distinctive hybrid architecture combining Transformer and Mamba elements. Specifically, Dimba sequentially stacked blocks alternate between Transformer and Mamba layers, and integrate conditional information through the cross-attention layer, thus capitalizing on the advantages of both architectural paradigms. We investigate several optimization strategies, including quality tuning, resolution adaption, and identify critical configurations necessary for large-scale image generation. The model’s flexible design supports scenarios that cater to specific resource constraints and objectives. When scaled appropriately, Dimba offers substantial throughput and a reduced memory footprint relative to conventional pure Transformers-based benchmarks. Extensive experiments indicate that Dimba achieves comparable performance compared with benchmarks in terms of image quality, artistic rendering, and semantic control. We also report several intriguing properties of architecture discovered during evaluation and release checkpoints in experiments. Our findings emphasize the promise of large-scale hybrid Transformer-Mamba architectures in the foundational stage of diffusion models, suggesting a bright future for text-to-image generation. Project page: <https://dimba-project.github.io/>.

1 Introduction

Diffusion models create data from noise [70], and are trained on the inversion of data pathways that lead towards random noise. By leveraging the approximation and generalization properties inherent in neural networks, these models can generate novel data points not found within the training set but still aligned with its distribution [67, 69, 78]. This generative modeling technique has proven highly effective for high-dimensional perceptual data, such as images [30, 53, 68]. In recent years, text-to-image diffusion models, such as DALL-E[60, 3], Imagen [63], Stable Diffusion [61, 58, 12], EMU [10], and so on [1, 6, 7, 40, 83], have revolutionized the field of photorealistic image synthesis, dramatically impacting applications including image editing [9, 38, 5, 37, 77], video generation [74, 32, 31, 50, 4], 3D assets creation [59, 43], etc. Prediction of noise or previous states in the reverse diffusion process usually uses U-Net architectures based on a convolutional neural network (CNN) [30, 69] or Transformer [56, 79, 2], as highlighted in prior studies.

On the other hand, advancements in state space models (SSM) have paved the way for a new era in achieving a balance between computational efficiency and model flexibility. Originating from the classic Kalman filter model [36], various SSM-based approaches [26, 25, 27, 24], have been proposed to process sequence data, proving highly effective in managing long-distance dependencies across diverse tasks and modalities. Their efficiency in processing extended sequences is attributed to convolutional computations and nearly linear computational complexity. More recently, Mamba [23] advances by incorporating time-varying parameters into the SSM and proposing a hardware-aware

*Corresponding author



Figure 1: **Images generated from Dimba.** The Dimba model can output high aesthetic, objective natural and consistent, and follow user textual instructions.

algorithm that facilitates highly efficient training and inference. The superior scaling performance of Mamba positions it as a promising alternative to Transformer [71] in language modeling. Additionally, a series of SSM-based backbone networks [85, 80, 55] have been explored for processing visual data, such as images and videos [76, 19, 33, 20]. It becomes imperative to conduct an in-depth analysis of how Transformer-Mamba mixed structures are applied to diffusion-based multimodal generation tasks and identify the essential techniques to ensure model capacity.

In this paper, we introduce Dimba, a new diffusion model design for text-to-image synthesis. Specifically, Dimba is based on a hybrid architecture, which combines Transformer layers with Mamba

layers, a recent advanced state-space model. Despite the immense popularity of the CNN or Transformer as the predominant architecture for diffusion models, it still exhibits substantial drawbacks. Particularly their extensive memory and computational requirements, which limit their wide application in handling long contexts without special designs. In contrast, recurrent-based neural network models, which summarize an arbitrarily long context in a single hidden state, can reduce these issues. Dimba thus combines two orthogonal architectural designs, enhancing performance and throughput while maintaining a manageable memory footprint. Note that the flexibility of the Dimba architecture allows it to accommodate various design choices based on hardware and performance needs. Several generated results are illustrated in Figure 1. Extensive experiments indicate that Dimba, across different parameter settings, provides superior image quality and semantic alignment compared to existing mainstream diffusion models, and its performance on T2I-CompBench [34] also underscores its strength in semantic control. The core contributions of our work are:

- We present Dimba, a novel diffusion architecture that combines attention and Mamba layers, for text-to-image generation. We showed how Dimba provides flexibility for balancing throughput and memory requirements, while maintaining a comparable performance.
- We curate a large-scale high-quality image-text dataset emphasizing aesthetically superior images. These images are evaluated using a score network and paired with dense, precise captions generated by advanced auto-label models. It benefits from sufficient text-image semantic alignment as well as image aesthetic quality improvement.
- We train Dimba in a staged progressive strategy, that is first pre-training model with large-scale data and then adaption to high-resolution as well as quality tuning with a small well-selected set. Our efforts aim to provide valuable insights into efficient diffusion models, assisting individual researchers and startups in developing high-quality text-to-image models at reduced memory costs.

2 Related Works

Image generation with diffusion models. There have been substantial advancements in the field of image generation in recent years, particularly through diffusion process [61, 63, 14, 60, 82, 18, 16]. This technique typically involves starting with Gaussian noise and iteratively refining it through a series of steps until it aligns with the target distribution. It has shown remarkable capabilities, usually surpassing the performance of GAN [22, 17] and VAE [39]-based models. To generate image conditioned on text, several approaches have been introduced, such as GLIDE [52], DALLE [60], Imagen [31], LDM [61] and so on [1, 6, 7, 40, 83]. These models train diffusion models using large-scale text-image pairs, enabling the creation of images based on text conditions. In between, U-Net is responsible for predicting noise based on the textual embedding in latent space. The CNN-based UNet is characterized by a group of down-sampling blocks, a group of up-sampling blocks, and long skip connections between the two groups [11, 60, 61, 63]. In contrast, Transformer-based architecture replaces part of sampling blocks with self-attention while keeping the remain untouched [56, 79, 2]. SSM-based UNet [76, 19, 33, 84] within image sequences have also shown promising results with computation optimization. In this paper, we try to combine Transformer with Mamba [23] to trait a better computation efficiency as well as comparable performance.

State space models for visual applications. SSM is employed for sequential modeling, with one-dimensional inputs and outputs, and has been applied in control theory, signal processing, and natural language process [28]. A series of studies have focused on structural improvements [25, 66, 21, 49]. Recently, Mamba [23] was proposed with a data-dependent SSM layer and built a generic language model backbone, which outperforms Transformers at various sizes on large-scale real data and enjoys linear scaling in sequence length [51, 35, 73]. Regarding the integration of attention mechanisms with SSMs, [86] combines an S4 layer [25] with a local attention layer, and demonstrates effectiveness on small models and simple tasks. [57] starts with an SSM layer followed by chunk-based Transformers, showing improved perplexity metrics. [13] adds an SSM layer before the self-attention in a Transformer layer, while [64] adds the SSM after the self-attention, both yielding improvements in speech recognition. [54] replaces the MLP layers in the Transformer by Mamba layers, and shows benefits in specific tasks. [76, 19, 48] supplants attention mechanisms with a more scalable SSM-based backbone to generate high-resolution images. Most similar work [41]

scaling the mixed mamba and Transformer structure in causal language modeling while our works focus on bi-direction structure for text-to-image synthesis.

3 Methodology

3.1 Model Architecture

Generally, Dimba is a hybrid diffusion architecture that mixes a series of Transformer layers with Mamba layers, complemented by a cross-attention module for managing conditional information. We call the combination of these three elements as a Dimba block. See Figure 2 for an illustration. Prior experiments indicate that directly concatenating textual information with prefix embeddings can decelerate training convergence. The integration of Transformer and Mamba elements in Dimba offers flexibility in balancing the sometimes conflicting goals of low memory usage, high throughput, and high quality. A significant consideration is the scalability of Transformer models to long contexts, where the quadratic growth of the memory cache becomes a limiting factor. By substituting some attention layers with Mamba layers, the overall cache size is reduced. Dimba’s architecture is designed to require a smaller memory cache compared to a conventional Transformer. In terms of throughput, attention operations consume a relatively small portion of the inference and training FLOPS for short sequences. However, when sequences keep increasing, attention operations dominate the computational resources. Conversely, Mamba layers are more compute-efficient, and increasing their ratio enhances throughput, particularly for longer sequences.

For implementation specifics, the fundamental unit, Dimba block, which is repeated in sequence, the hidden states output from the previous layer serves as the input for the next layer. Each such layer contains an attention and a Mamba module, *i.e.*, we set the attention-Mamba ratio to 1:K, followed by a multi-layer perceptron (MLP). Drawing from [6], we incorporate a global shared MLP and layer-wise embedding for time-step information in AdaLN. Note that Mamba layers in each Dimba block also incorporate several adaptive normalization layers (AdaLN) as [56] that help stabilize training in large-scale models.

3.2 Dataset Construction

Image-text pair auto-labeling and quality estimation. The captions in mainstream image-text datasets sourced from web crawls often suffer from issues such as text-image misalignment, incomplete descriptions, hallucinations, and infrequent vocabulary, as demonstrated [6, 15, 8, 81]. Moreover, it is worth noting that the public dataset, such as LAION-400M [65], predominantly contains simplistic product previews from websites, which are suboptimal for training text-to-image generation models aimed at seeking diversity in object combinations. Additionally, previous studies indicate that images with higher artistic styles are generally preferred by users [40]. To address these




Figure 2: **Model architecture of Dimba.** Mamba and Transformer layers are interleaved in a stacked manner. The text feature is incorporated with a cross-attention layer. Time information is projected with shared MLP before inserting to different AdaLN layers.




Figure 3: **Training data illustration and histogram visualization of the caption length.** (a) Auto-labeling caption provides accurate textual descriptions for images, and we outline the valid nouns and verbs in red color; (b) We randomly select 1M captions from the raw captions and re-labeled captions to draw the corresponding histogram.

problems and generate captions with high accuracy and information density, we construct our training dataset by first crawling a large-scale internal dataset and then filtering high-quality images according to automatic scorer, to enhance the aesthetic quality of generated images beyond realistic photographs. In between, we use LAION-Aesthetics-Predictor V2 from ² for image quality estimation, which integrates CLIP and MLP models trained on datasets with specific aesthetic ratings. Finally, we employ the state-of-the-art image captioning model, i.e., ShareCaptioner [8], available from open-source repository³, to relabel the data. Using the prompt, “Analyze the image in a comprehensive and detailed manner.”, we significantly improve the quality of captions compared to the original ones, as shown in Figure 3 (a).

Dataset composition analysis. It is believed that effective training strategies can yield robust text-to-image models even with limited data [40, 6]. Following this principle, we have compiled an internal dataset of approximately 43 million images. Besides, we further filter out balanced high-score images with shortest edge > 1024 resolution, yielding a high-refined quality tuning dataset of 600K images. Following [7], we also conduct a comprehensive analysis of the collected dataset, including the caption length and vocabulary analysis with NLTK package [44]. Here we define the valid distinct nouns as those appearing more than 10 times in the dataset. As shown in Figure 3(b), the average caption length increased significantly to 185 words, highly enhancing the descriptive power of the captions. Additionally, we use the T5 encoder with 350 tokens maximum length for sufficient token processing. Lastly, the dataset also ensures sufficient valid nouns and average information density for training. Specifically, Share-Captioner labeled captions significantly increase the valid ratio, from 15.5% to 27.2%, and average noun count per image, from 10.5 per image to 21.2 per image, improving concept density. To enhance textual diversity and mitigate biases from generative captions, the Dimba model was trained using a combination of long (Share-Captioner) and short (Raw) captions in 90% to 10%.

3.3 Training Strategy

Quality tuning. High-aesthetic images, such as the 600K images we collected with automatic quality evaluation, often share common statistical attributes as noted by [62, 72]. We hypothesize that a well-trained text-to-image diffusion model has the potential to create highly aesthetic images, but the generation process is not consistently guided toward producing images with these desired statistics. Therefore, we employ quality tuning [10], a technique that effectively restricts outputs to a high-quality subset. Specifically, we first pre-train Dimba model with the complete image-text data and subsequently fine-tune it with a small batch size and high-quality dataset set. It is crucial to apply early stopping, as extended training on a small dataset can lead to overfitting and a loss of generalization in visual concepts. In practice, we fine-tune for every 10K iteration and select the best ckpts in human observation empirically, despite the continued loss decreasing.

²<https://laion.ai/blog/laion-aesthetics/>

³<https://huggingface.co/spaces/Lin-Chen/Share-Captioner>

Table 1: **Image quality comparison between Dimba with recent text-to-image models.** We consider several essential factors including model size, the total volume of training images, COCO FID-30K scores in zero-shot, and the computational cost in GPU days. The proposed highly effective approach significantly reduces resource consumption, including training data usage and training time. The baseline data is sourced from [6]. “+” in the table denotes an unknown internal dataset size.

| Method | Type | #Params | #Images | FID-30K↓ | GPU days |
|----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|
| DALL-E | Diffusion | 12.0B | 250M | 27.50 | - |
| GLIDE | Diffusion | 5.0B | 250M | 12.24 | - |
| LDM | Diffusion | 1.4B | 400M | 12.64 | - |
| DALL-E 2 | Diffusion | 6.5B | 650M | 10.39 | 41,667 A100 |
| SDv1.5 | Diffusion | 0.9B | 2000M | 9.62 | 6,250 A100 |
| GigaGAN | GAN | 0.9B | 2700M | 9.09 | 4,783 A100 |
| Imagen | Diffusion | 3.0B | 860M | 7.27 | 7,132 A100 |
| RAPHAEL | Diffusion | 3.0B | 5000M+ | 6.61 | 60,000 A100 |
| PixArt | Diffusion | 0.6B | 25M | 7.32 | 753 A100 |
| Dimba-L | Diffusion | 0.9B | 43M | 8.93 | 704 A100 |
| Dimba-G | Diffusion | 1.8B | 43M | 8.15 | 1,980 A100 |

Adapting to higher resolution. To assess the advantages of hybrid architecture, we investigate expanding the generated image resolution of Dimba model. When transitioning from short input sequence to longer processing, previous works [41] suggest that explicit positional information may not be required for the hybrid mamba-attention architecture. Presumably, the Mamba layers, which are placed before attention layers, provide implicit position embedding (PE) information. Herein, we examine the feasibility of employing the PE Interpolation trick [75, 7], which involves initializing the PE of a high-resolution model by interpolating those of a low-resolution model, thereby potentially enhancing the initial state of the former and expediting subsequent fine-tuning processes. Our findings indicate that leveraging the PE interpolation technique leads to notable improvements in model convergence and image quality. The fine-tuning quickly converges at 20K steps, and further training marginally improves the performance. When dropping out the PE interpolation trick, the Dimba model generally results in similar performance after 50K steps. Consequently, combining the PE Interpolation trick with Mamba implicit position modeling enables rapid convergence of higher resolution generation, and alleviates the necessity of training models from scratch to achieve high-resolution outputs.

4 Experiments

This section begins by delineating the intricacies of the training and evaluation protocols. Following this, we offer exhaustive analyses across primary metrics from image quality and image-text alignment. Subsequently, we delve into the pivotal design elements incorporated within Dimba to enhance both its efficiency and effectiveness, as evidenced by ablation studies. Finally, we showcase some generated results with popular benchmarks intuitively.

4.1 Experimental Settings

Implementation details. We follow prior works [63, 58, 7, 3] by utilizing the T5 large language model, *i.e.*, 4.3B Flan-T5-XXL⁴, as the text encoder for conditional text feature extraction, and used standard transformer-mamba [41] mixed models as our base network architecture. We adapt the length of extracted text tokens to 350, aligning it with the distribution of auto-labeled caption lengths. This adjustment accommodates the denser captions curated within Dimba, facilitating the provision of finer-grained details. For the XL version, we incorporate 28 Dima blocks with a hidden size of 1152, while for the Giant size version, we utilize 40 Dima blocks with a hidden size of 1408. The attention-to-Mamba ratio is set to $K = 1$, and the patch size is standardized to 2. To capture the latent features of input images, we employ a pre-trained and frozen VAE from SDXL [61, 58]. Before feeding the images into the VAE, we resize and center-crop them to have the same size. We leave

⁴<https://huggingface.co/google/flan-t5-xxl>

Table 2: **Image-text alignment results on T2I-CompBench.** We can see that Dimba-G demonstrated exceptional performance in attribute binding, object relationships, and complex compositions, indicating our method achieves comparable compositional generation ability with well-designed datasets and staged training. The baseline data are sourced from [34].

| Model | Attribute Binding | | | Object Relationship | | Complex \uparrow |
|---------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | Color \uparrow | Shape \uparrow | Texture \uparrow | Spatial \uparrow | Non-Spatial \uparrow | |
| Stable v1.4 | 0.3765 | 0.3576 | 0.4156 | 0.1246 | 0.3079 | 0.3080 |
| Stable v2 | 0.5065 | 0.4221 | 0.4922 | 0.1342 | 0.3096 | 0.3386 |
| Composable v2 | 0.4063 | 0.3299 | 0.3645 | 0.0800 | 0.2980 | 0.2898 |
| Structured v2 | 0.4990 | 0.4218 | 0.4900 | 0.1386 | 0.3111 | 0.3355 |
| Attn-Exct v2 | 0.6400 | 0.4517 | 0.5963 | 0.1455 | 0.3109 | 0.3401 |
| GROS | 0.6603 | 0.4785 | 0.6287 | 0.1815 | 0.3193 | 0.3328 |
| Dalle-2 | 0.5750 | 0.5464 | 0.6374 | 0.1283 | 0.3043 | 0.3696 |
| SDXL | 0.6369 | 0.5408 | 0.5637 | 0.2032 | 0.3110 | 0.4091 |
| PixArt | 0.6886 | 0.5582 | 0.7044 | 0.2082 | 0.3179 | 0.4117 |
| Dimba-G | 0.6921 | 0.5707 | 0.6821 | 0.2105 | 0.3298 | 0.4312 |

the multi-aspect augmentation to enable aspect image generation in future works. we use CAME optimizer [47] with a weight decay of 0 and a constant learning rate of $2e-5$, instead of the regular AdamW [45] optimizer, motivated by the advantage to reduce the dimension of the optimizer’s state, thereby minimizing GPU memory usage without compromising performance. For the sampling algorithm, to optimize computational efficiency, we chose to employ the DPM-Solver [46] with 20 inference steps by default.

Evaluation metrics. We comprehensively evaluate the generative performance of Dimba via three primary metrics, i.e., Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) [29] on MSCOCO dataset [42], compositionality on T2I-CompBench [34], and human-preference rate on user study.

4.2 Performance Comparisons

Image quality assessment. The FID score serves as a widely used metric for assessing the quality of generated images relative to ground-truth images from disparate distributions. A comparative analysis between our Dimba and other prominent text-to-image methods, with respect to FID scores and their corresponding training durations is summarized in Table 1. Generally, when tested for zero-shot performance on the COCO dataset, Dima of the large version achieves an FID score of 8.93. It is particularly notable as it is accomplished in merely 11.2% of the training time, i.e., 704 vs 6250 A100 GPU days, and merely 2.0% of the training samples, i.e., 43M vs 2B images, relative to the benchmarks. Moreover, it achieves comparable performance with less training time, compared with PixArt which is based on a pure Transformer for efficient training. Meantime, mixed structure provides a more flexible selection in light of inference resource requirements. Encouragingly, compared to state-of-the-art methods typically trained using substantial resources, remarkably consumes approximately 2% of the training resources while also achieving a similar FID performance. We posit that evaluations conducted by human users offer a more comprehensive assessment of image quality, an analysis that we undertake in subsequent sections. Additionally, as anticipated, scaling the model parameters consistently enhances image quality.

Image-text alignment assessment. Similar to [6], we also scrutinize the congruence between generated images and textual conditions utilizing T2I-Compbench [34], which serves as a comprehensive benchmark designed to evaluate the compositional text-to-image generation capability. As delineated in Table 2, we assess several critical dimensions, including attribute binding, object relationships, and intricate compositions. The findings reveal that Dimba-G demonstrates exemplary performance across a myriad of evaluation metrics. This noteworthy achievement can predominantly be attributed to the meticulous curation of the dataset, which facilitated the utilization of high-quality text-image pairs, thus enhancing alignment capabilities. It is worth noting that as the quality-tuning process incorporates more aesthetically-oriented data, Dimba tends to generate images with a more cohesive stylistic resemblance.




Figure 4: **Qualitative comparison of Dimba with four other open-source text-to-image models.** Baselines include Playground v2.5, PixArt, SDXL and SDXL Turbo. Images generated by Dimba are very competitive with these benchmarks and show more details and aesthetics.

User study. While quantitative evaluation metrics provide insights into the overall distribution of generated and ground-truth image sets, they may not offer an independent assessment of the visual quality of the images. To address this limitation, we conducted a user study to augment our evaluation and afford a more intuitive appraisal of Dimba’s performance. For this study, we selected the top-performing models, specifically SD 1.5, SDXL, SDXL-Turbo, Playground v2.5, and PixArt. Subsequently, we meticulously curated 200 prompts from publicly available papers and obtained detailed captions using GPT-4 with in-context settings. The human evaluation results are presented in Figure 5. We observe that the majority of scores surpass the 0.5 threshold, indicated by the red line, signifying that Dimba-G achieves comparable synthesis performance with mainstream baselines.




Figure 5: **User study and AI preference on fixed prompts.** The ratio values indicate the percentages of participants preferring Dimba over the corresponding baselines. Dimba achieves a superior capacity in both image quality and prompt following.



Figure 6: **Comparison of images generated by the pre-trained and quality-tuned Dimba model.** Quality-tuning can significantly optimize the synthesis image in details and aesthetics.

AI preference. Additionally, we employ the advanced multimodal model, GPT-4 Vision, as the evaluator for AI preference study. In each trial, we present GPT-4 Vision with two images: one generated by Dimba and another by a competing benchmark. We meticulously craft distinct prompts to guide GPT-4 Vision in voting based on both image quality and alignment with accompanying text. The outcomes of this study, as illustrated in Figure 5, exhibit consistent findings with those of the human preference studies.

Case study. First, we undertake a comparative analysis of our quality-tuned model, Dima, with the pre-trained model. See Figure 6 for random, not cherry-picked, qualitative examples before and after quality-tuning. It is important to note the inclusion of highly aesthetic non-photorealistic images, which validates our hypothesis that adhering to specific photography principles during the curation of the quality-tuning dataset enhances aesthetics across a diverse range of styles. Besides, we show more intuitive examples of generated images using Dima and baselines in Figure 1 and Figure 4.

5 Limitations

Dimba model exhibits limitations attributable to biases present in the training data. Particularly, it struggles to generate specific styles, scenes, and objects, with notable challenges observed in text and hand generation. Imperfections persist in various aspects: complex prompts input by users may not be fully aligned, face generation may entail flaws, and the risk of generating sensitive content remains. Furthermore, it is imperative to acknowledge the potential negative social ramifications associated with text-to-image models. Such models may inadvertently perpetuate stereotypes or discriminate against certain groups. Addressing these issues necessitates concerted efforts in future research endeavors.

6 Conclusion

This paper presents Dimba, a pioneering diffusion architecture tailored for text-to-image generation, which integrates attention and Mamba layers. We also present an implementation of Dimba, achieving performance on par with meticulously curated datasets. Furthermore, we elucidate how Dimba offers flexibility in balancing performance and memory requirements while maintaining high throughput. Through extensive experimentation, we explore various design considerations, including data construction, quality tuning, and resolution adaptation, shedding light on insights garnered during the developmental phase. These findings are poised to guide future endeavors in hybrid attention–Mamba backbone generation. To facilitate such research, we intend to release code and model checkpoints derived from smaller-scale training iterations.

References

- [1] Yogesh Balaji, Seungjun Nah, Xun Huang, Arash Vahdat, Jiaming Song, Qinsheng Zhang, Karsten Kreis, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, et al. ediff-i: Text-to-image diffusion models with an ensemble of expert denoisers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01324*, 2022.
- [2] Fan Bao, Shen Nie, Kaiwen Xue, Yue Cao, Chongxuan Li, Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. All are worth words: A vit backbone for diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22669–22679, 2023.
- [3] James Betker, Gabriel Goh, Li Jing, Tim Brooks, Jianfeng Wang, Linjie Li, Long Ouyang, Juntang Zhuang, Joyce Lee, Yufei Guo, et al. Improving image generation with better captions. *Computer Science*. <https://cdn.openai.com/papers/dall-e-3.pdf>, 2(3):8, 2023.
- [4] Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Sumith Kulal, Daniel Mendelevitch, Maciej Kilian, Dominik Lorenz, Yam Levi, Zion English, Vikram Voleti, Adam Letts, et al. Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127*, 2023.
- [5] Tim Brooks, Aleksander Holynski, and Alexei A Efros. Instructpix2pix: Learning to follow image editing instructions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18392–18402, 2023.
- [6] Junsong Chen, Jincheng Yu, Chongjian Ge, Lewei Yao, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Zhongdao Wang, James Kwok, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, et al. Pixart-alpha: Fast training of diffusion transformer for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00426*, 2023.
- [7] Junsong Chen, Chongjian Ge, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Lewei Yao, Xiaozhe Ren, Zhongdao Wang, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, and Zhenguo Li. Pixart-sigma: Weak-to-strong training of diffusion transformer for 4k text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.04692*, 2024.
- [8] Lin Chen, Jisong Li, Xiaoyi Dong, Pan Zhang, Conghui He, Jiaqi Wang, Feng Zhao, and Dahua Lin. Sharegpt4v: Improving large multi-modal models with better captions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12793*, 2023.
- [9] Guillaume Couairon, Jakob Verbeek, Holger Schwenk, and Matthieu Cord. Diffedit: Diffusion-based semantic image editing with mask guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.11427*, 2022.
- [10] Xiaoliang Dai, Ji Hou, Chih-Yao Ma, Sam Tsai, Jialiang Wang, Rui Wang, Peizhao Zhang, Simon Vandenhende, Xiaofang Wang, Abhimanyu Dubey, et al. Emu: Enhancing image generation models using photogenic needles in a haystack. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15807*, 2023.
- [11] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
- [12] Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.03206*, 2024.

- [13] Yassir Fathullah, Chunyang Wu, Yuan Shangguan, Junteng Jia, Wenhan Xiong, Jay Mahadeokar, Chunxi Liu, Yangyang Shi, Ozlem Kalinli, Mike Seltzer, et al. Multi-head state space model for speech recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12498*, 2023.
- [14] Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, Junshi Huang, Xiaoming Wei, and Xiaolin Wei. Progressive denoising model for fine-grained text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02291*, 2022.
- [15] Zhengcong Fei, Xu Yan, Shuhui Wang, and Qi Tian. Deecap: Dynamic early exiting for efficient image captioning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12216–12226, 2022.
- [16] Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, and Junshi Huang. Gradient-free textual inversion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05818*, 2023.
- [17] Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, Li Zhu, Junshi Huang, Xiaoming Wei, and Xiaolin Wei. Masked auto-encoders meet generative adversarial networks and beyond. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 24449–24459, 2023.
- [18] Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, and Junshi Huang. Music consistency models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.13358*, 2024.
- [19] Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, Changqian Yu, and Junshi Huang. Scalable diffusion models with state space backbone. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05608*, 2024.
- [20] Zhengcong Fei, Mingyuan Fan, Changqian Yu, Debang Li, and Junshi Huang. Diffusion-rwkv: Scaling rwkv-like architectures for diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.04478*, 2024.
- [21] Daniel Y Fu, Tri Dao, Khaled K Saab, Armin W Thomas, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Hungry hungry hippos: Towards language modeling with state space models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.14052*, 2022.
- [22] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(11):139–144, 2020.
- [23] Albert Gu and Tri Dao. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00752*, 2023.
- [24] Albert Gu, Tri Dao, Stefano Ermon, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Hippo: Recurrent memory with optimal polynomial projections. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33: 1474–1487, 2020.
- [25] Albert Gu, Karan Goel, and Christopher Ré. Efficiently modeling long sequences with structured state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.00396*, 2021.
- [26] Albert Gu, Isys Johnson, Karan Goel, Khaled Saab, Tri Dao, Atri Rudra, and Christopher Ré. Combining recurrent, convolutional, and continuous-time models with linear state space layers. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34:572–585, 2021.
- [27] Ankit Gupta, Albert Gu, and Jonathan Berant. Diagonal state spaces are as effective as structured state spaces. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:22982–22994, 2022.
- [28] James D Hamilton. State-space models. *Handbook of econometrics*, 4:3039–3080, 1994.
- [29] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [30] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.

- [31] Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J Fleet, et al. Imagen video: High definition video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303*, 2022.
- [32] Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, Alexey Gritsenko, William Chan, Mohammad Norouzi, and David J Fleet. Video diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:8633–8646, 2022.
- [33] Vincent Tao Hu, Stefan Andreas Baumann, Ming Gui, Olga Grebenkova, Pingchuan Ma, Johannes Fischer, and Bjorn Ommer. Zigma: Zigzag mamba diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.13802*, 2024.
- [34] Kaiyi Huang, Kaiyue Sun, Enze Xie, Zhenguo Li, and Xihui Liu. T2i-compbench: A comprehensive benchmark for open-world compositional text-to-image generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:78723–78747, 2023.
- [35] Md Mohaiminul Islam, Mahmudul Hasan, Kishan Shamsundar Athrey, Tony Braskich, and Gedas Bertasius. Efficient movie scene detection using state-space transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18749–18758, 2023.
- [36] Rudolph Emil Kalman. A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems. 1960.
- [37] Bahjat Kawar, Shiran Zada, Oran Lang, Omer Tov, Huiwen Chang, Tali Dekel, Inbar Mosseri, and Michal Irani. Imagic: Text-based real image editing with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6007–6017, 2023.
- [38] Gwanghyun Kim, Taesung Kwon, and Jong Chul Ye. Diffusionclip: Text-guided diffusion models for robust image manipulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2426–2435, 2022.
- [39] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013.
- [40] Daiqing Li, Aleks Kamko, Ehsan Akhgari, Ali Sabet, Linmiao Xu, and Suhail Doshi. Playground v2. 5: Three insights towards enhancing aesthetic quality in text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17245*, 2024.
- [41] Opher Lieber, Barak Lenz, Hofit Bata, Gal Cohen, Jhonathan Osin, Itay Dalmedigos, Erez Safahi, Shaked Meir, Yonatan Belinkov, Shai Shalev-Shwartz, et al. Jamba: A hybrid transformer-mamba language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.19887*, 2024.
- [42] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6–12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014.
- [43] Yukang Lin, Haonan Han, Chaoqun Gong, Zunnan Xu, Yachao Zhang, and Xiu Li. Consistent123: One image to highly consistent 3d asset using case-aware diffusion priors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.17261*, 2023.
- [44] Edward Loper and Steven Bird. Nltk: The natural language toolkit. *arXiv preprint cs/0205028*, 2002.
- [45] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017.
- [46] Cheng Lu, Yuhao Zhou, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. Dpm-solver: A fast ode solver for diffusion probabilistic model sampling in around 10 steps. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:5775–5787, 2022.
- [47] Yang Luo, Xiaozhe Ren, Zangwei Zheng, Zhuo Jiang, Xin Jiang, and Yang You. Came: Confidence-guided adaptive memory efficient optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02047*, 2023.

- [48] Jun Ma, Feifei Li, and Bo Wang. U-mamba: Enhancing long-range dependency for biomedical image segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.04722*, 2024.
- [49] Harsh Mehta, Ankit Gupta, Ashok Cutkosky, and Behnam Neyshabur. Long range language modeling via gated state spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.13947*, 2022.
- [50] Kangfu Mei and Vishal Patel. Vidm: Video implicit diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 37, pages 9117–9125, 2023.
- [51] Eric Nguyen, Karan Goel, Albert Gu, Gordon Downs, Preey Shah, Tri Dao, Stephen Baccus, and Christopher Ré. S4nd: Modeling images and videos as multidimensional signals with state spaces. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:2846–2861, 2022.
- [52] Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10741*, 2021.
- [53] Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8162–8171. PMLR, 2021.
- [54] Jongho Park, Jaeseung Park, Zheyang Xiong, Nayoung Lee, Jaewoong Cho, Samet Oymak, Kangwook Lee, and Dimitris Papailiopoulos. Can mamba learn how to learn? a comparative study on in-context learning tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04248*, 2024.
- [55] Badri N Patro and Vijay S Agneeswaran. Simba: Simplified mamba-based architecture for vision and multivariate time series. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.15360*, 2024.
- [56] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4195–4205, 2023.
- [57] Jonathan Pilault, Mahan Fathi, Orhan Firat, Chris Pal, Pierre-Luc Bacon, and Ross Goroshin. Block-state transformers. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [58] Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01952*, 2023.
- [59] B Poole, A Jain, JT Barron, and B Mildenhall. Dreamfusion: Text-to-3d using 2d diffusion. *arxiv 2022*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14988*.
- [60] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1(2):3, 2022.
- [61] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022.
- [62] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22500–22510, 2023.
- [63] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022.
- [64] George Saon, Ankit Gupta, and Xiaodong Cui. Diagonal state space augmented transformers for speech recognition. In *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2023.
- [65] Christoph Schuhmann, Richard Vencu, Romain Beaumont, Robert Kaczmarczyk, Clayton Mullis, Aarush Katta, Theo Coombes, Jenia Jitsev, and Aran Komatsuzaki. Laion-400m: Open dataset of clip-filtered 400 million image-text pairs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.02114*, 2021.

- [66] Jimmy Smith, Shalini De Mello, Jan Kautz, Scott Linderman, and Wonmin Byeon. Convolutional state space models for long-range spatiotemporal modeling. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [67] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 2256–2265. PMLR, 2015.
- [68] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020.
- [69] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.
- [70] Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13456*, 2020.
- [71] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [72] Bram Wallace, Meihua Dang, Rafael Rafailov, Linqi Zhou, Aaron Lou, Senthil Purushwalkam, Stefano Ermon, Caiming Xiong, Shafiq Joty, and Nikhil Naik. Diffusion model alignment using direct preference optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12908*, 2023.
- [73] Jue Wang, Wentao Zhu, Pichao Wang, Xiang Yu, Linda Liu, Mohamed Omar, and Raffay Hamid. Selective structured state-spaces for long-form video understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6387–6397, 2023.
- [74] Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion models for text-to-video generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7623–7633, 2023.
- [75] Enze Xie, Lewei Yao, Han Shi, Zhili Liu, Daquan Zhou, Zhaoqiang Liu, Jiawei Li, and Zhenguo Li. Diffit: Unlocking transferability of large diffusion models via simple parameter-efficient fine-tuning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4230–4239, 2023.
- [76] Jing Nathan Yan, Jiatao Gu, and Alexander M Rush. Diffusion models without attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.18257*, 2023.
- [77] Binxin Yang, Shuyang Gu, Bo Zhang, Ting Zhang, Xuejin Chen, Xiaoyan Sun, Dong Chen, and Fang Wen. Paint by example: Exemplar-based image editing with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18381–18391, 2023.
- [78] Ling Yang, Zhilong Zhang, Yang Song, Shenda Hong, Runsheng Xu, Yue Zhao, Wentao Zhang, Bin Cui, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Diffusion models: A comprehensive survey of methods and applications. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(4):1–39, 2023.
- [79] Xiulong Yang, Sheng-Min Shih, Yinlin Fu, Xiaoting Zhao, and Shihao Ji. Your vit is secretly a hybrid discriminative-generative diffusion model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.07791*, 2022.
- [80] Yijun Yang, Zhaohu Xing, and Lei Zhu. Vivim: a video vision mamba for medical video object segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.14168*, 2024.
- [81] Qiyang Yu, Quan Sun, Xiaosong Zhang, Yufeng Cui, Fan Zhang, Xinlong Wang, and Jingjing Liu. Capsfusion: Rethinking image-text data at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.20550*, 2023.
- [82] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3836–3847, 2023.

- [83] Wendi Zheng, Jiayan Teng, Zhuoyi Yang, Weihan Wang, Jidong Chen, Xiaotao Gu, Yuxiao Dong, Ming Ding, and Jie Tang. Cogview3: Finer and faster text-to-image generation via relay diffusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05121*, 2024.
- [84] Lianghai Zhu, Zilong Huang, Bencheng Liao, Jun Hao Liew, Hanshu Yan, Jiashi Feng, and Xinggang Wang. Dig: Scalable and efficient diffusion models with gated linear attention. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.18428*, 2024.
- [85] Lianghai Zhu, Bencheng Liao, Qian Zhang, Xinlong Wang, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. Vision mamba: Efficient visual representation learning with bidirectional state space model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.09417*, 2024.
- [86] Simiao Zuo, Xiaodong Liu, Jian Jiao, Denis Charles, Eren Manavoglu, Tuo Zhao, and Jianfeng Gao. Efficient long sequence modeling via state space augmented transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.08136*, 2022.