

Forbidden subgraphs of conjugacy class graphs of groups

Papi Ray¹, Sonakshee Arora²

¹Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur-208016, India,
popiroy93@gmail.com.

²Indian Institute of Technology, Jammu-181221, India,
tnarora123@gmail.com.

Abstract

Let G be a finite group. The *commuting/nilpotent/solvable conjugacy class graph* ($\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$) is a simple graph with the set of non-central conjugacy classes of G as its vertex set and two vertices are adjacent if and only if a member of one conjugacy class with a member of another conjugacy class generates a nilpotent/abelian/solvable (sub)group. In this paper we have discussed about the forbidden subgraphs of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$.

Keywords— Conjugacy class graph, cograph, chordal graph, threshold graph, split graph, claw-free graph.

MSC Classification: 05C25.

1 Introduction

Various graphs have been defined on finite groups, using various properties to understand the interplay between groups and graphs. Among them one way of defining graphs on G is given by considering the non-central conjugacy classes as vertex set and adjacency is defined using various properties of conjugacy classes. In [3] the authors defined the commuting conjugacy class graph of a group G . They determined the connectivity of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ (commuting conjugacy class graph of G) and upper bounds for diameter of the corresponding connected components.

In [8] the authors defined the nilpotent conjugacy class graph $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$. They obtained analogues results to [3] for $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ by describing the connected components as well as the diameter of connected components. In [1] the authors defined the $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ (solvable conjugacy class graph of G) and discussed the connectivity, girth, clique number and several other properties. Forbidden graph characterization is used to define several important classes of graphs. The *cograph* is the one which is P_4 -free. The *chordal graph* is the one which is C_n -free $\forall n \geq 4$. A graph is *split* if and only if it contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to C_4 , C_5 and $2K_2$. The split cographs are exactly the *threshold graph*. In [10] the authors have classified all finite groups whose commuting graphs are split and threshold. Forbidden subgraph of enhanced power graphs are studied in [6]

and authors classified all finite groups for which it is split and threshold. They also classified all finite nilpotent groups whose enhanced power graph are chordal and cograph. In [5] the authors classified all finite groups whose generating graphs are cographs. They also proved that for a finite group G showing $\Gamma(G)$ is split, chordal and C_4 -free are equivalent. The authors in [4] proved that the reduced power graph of G is perfect and characterized all finite groups whose reduced power graphs are split, cograph, split and threshold. They also gave complete characterization in case of abelian, dihedral and generalized quaternion groups. In [7] and [2] the authors defined perfect graphs, cographs, chordal, split and threshold graphs on different type of finite groups. In this paper, we have discussed about the forbidden subgraphs of Nilpotent, Solvable and Commuting conjugacy class graph for various groups. We will be using following groups throughout our discussion:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{2n} &= \langle a, b \mid a^n = b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle, \\
T_{4n} &= \langle a, b \mid a^{2n} = 1, b^2 = a^n, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle, \\
U(n, m) &= \langle a, b \mid a^{2n} = b^m = 1, aba^{-1} = b^{-1} \rangle, \\
V_{8n} &= \langle a, b \mid a^{2n} = b^4 = 1, ba = a^{-1}b^{-1}, b^{-1}a = a^{-1}b \rangle, \\
SD_{8n} &= \langle a, b \mid a^{4n} = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{2n-1} \rangle, \\
G_{(P,m,n)} &= \langle x, y \mid x^{p^m} = y^{p^n} = [x, y]^p = 1, [x, [x, y]] = [y, [x, y]] = 1 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The presentations of this paper is as follows:

In Section 2, we have discussed about the forbidden subgraphs in $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ of EPPO groups (groups in which all non identity elements are prime power order), groups of order pq , nilpotent groups and suzuki groups. In Section 3, we have discussed about the forbidden subgraphs in $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ of D_{2n} , T_{4n} , $U(n, m)$, V_{8n} and SD_{8n} . In both the sections, we have discussed when they are cographs, chordal graphs, split graphs, threshold graphs and claw-free.

2 EPPO groups, groups of order pq , nilpotent groups, suzuki groups

Lemma 2.1. [8, Lemma 2.1] *Let G be a locally finite group and p be a prime number. Then the following statements hold for $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$: (i) If $x, y \in G \setminus 1$ are p -elements, then $d(x, y) \leq 1$. (ii) If $x, y \in G \setminus 1$ are of non-coprime orders, then $d(x, y) \leq 3$. Moreover, $d(x, y) \leq 2$, whenever either x or y is of prime power order.*

Lemma 2.2. [3, Lemma 3] *Let G be a locally finite group and p be a prime number. If x and y are p -elements then $d(x, y) \leq 2$ in $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $G = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3 \times \cdots \times P_r$ be a nilpotent group with P_1 non abelian p group. Then we can find $p + 1$ number of elements a_1, \cdots, a_{p+1} in P_1 , which are non commuting*

and non conjugate to each other.

Proof. Let $Z(P_1) = \{a \in P_1 \mid ap_1 = p_1a \ \forall p_1 \in P_1\}$ and $C_{P_1}(a_1) = \{a \in P_1 \mid aa_1 = a_1a\}$. Consider the subgroup $H := \langle a_1, Z(P_1) \rangle$. As $Z(P_1) \subseteq C_{P_1}(a_1)$, so $H \subseteq C_{P_1}(a_1)$. Since P_1 is a p -group, so we will get a maximal subgroup M_1 in P_1 containing $C_{P_1}(a_1)$. Choose a_2 outside of M_1 , so a_2 is not an element of $C_{P_1}(a_1)$. Hence a_2 does not commute with a_1 . Also a_2 is outside of the normal subgroup M_1 . Hence a_2 is not conjugate to a_1 . Now consider $C_{P_1}(a_2)$ and a maximal subgroup M_2 containing $C_{P_1}(a_2)$. Choose a_3 outside of M_1 and M_2 , so a_3 does not commute with a_2 as well as a_1 , neither it is conjugate to a_1 and a_2 . In the similar manner we can carry out this process to find the required elements. Consider M_1 and M_2 since they are normal so is their intersection $M_1 \cap M_2$. Consider the quotient group $\frac{P_1}{M_1 \cap M_2}$. This will be either isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} or $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since there are two subgroups of order p in $\frac{P_1}{M_1 \cap M_2}$ so it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. In $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have $p + 1$ elements of order p whose corresponding subgroups in P_1 will be maximal subgroups containing centralizers of $a_i \in P_1 \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq p + 1$ so we have $p + 1$ such a_i . \square

2.1 Conditions on G for cograph

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ are cographs.*

Proof. Suppose, $x^G \sim y^G \sim z^G \sim w^G$ is a path. Clearly, elements of G are power of some prime numbers. If such a path exists then all vertices must have order power of the same prime. Otherwise, G contains an element whose order is a product of two primes, that contradicts the fact that G is an EPPO group. Since by the Lemma 2.1, the distance between any two elements, whose orders are the power of a same prime, is at most 1 so such path does not exist in $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$. Now from Lemma 2.1, we know that $d(x, y) \leq 2$, whenever either x or y is of prime power order. Also from lemma 2.2 we have in $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ distance between any two elements of same prime power order is at most 2. Therefore, there does not exist the path P_4 in $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$. Hence proved. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is a cographs.*

Proof. Suppose, $x^G \sim y^G \sim z^G \sim w^G$ is a path. Since G is an EPPO group so elements of G are power of some prime numbers. Hence by last part of Lemma 2.1 distance of any two elements in G is at most 2. Therefore, there does not exist path P_4 in $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let G be a group of order pq , where p, q are distinct primes. Then all of $\Gamma_{SCC}(G), \Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ are cographs.*

Proof. Let G be a group of order pq and we assume $p > q$. Clearly, G is a solvable group, and therefore $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is a complete graph and so it is a cograph.

Case 1: If G is cyclic then clearly $\Gamma_{CCC}(G), \Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ are complete graphs and hence they are cograph.

Case 2: Let G be a non-cyclic group of order pq . Since G is non-cyclic, the orders of the elements of G can be 1 or p or q . So G is an EPPO group. Hence by Theorem 2.4, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ are cographs. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group which is not a p -group. Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is a cograph if G is either an abelian group or a non-abelian group with exactly one non-abelian Sylow subgroup (all other Sylow subgroups are abelian) whose commuting conjugacy class graph is cograph.*

Proof. Let G be a finite nilpotent group such that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is cograph. If G is abelian then there is nothing to prove. So, let us take G as a non-abelian group such that $G \cong P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_r$, where P_i are the Sylow p_i -subgroup for $1 \leq i \leq k$. We claim that exactly one Sylow subgroup of G can be non-abelian. Suppose, P_1, P_2 are non-abelian. There exist elements $a_1, a_2 \in P_1$ and $b_1, b_2 \in P_2$ such that $a_1 a_2 \neq a_2 a_1$ and $b_1 b_2 \neq b_2 b_1$. Also using Proposition 2.3, we can find a_1 and a_2 which are non commuting and non conjugate. Similar arguments for b_1 and b_2 . Since order of $a_i b_j$ ($i \neq j$), a_1 and b_1 are different so they are not in the same conjugacy class. Again a_1 and a_2 are not conjugate so $a_2 b_1$ and $a_1 b_2$ are not conjugate. Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ contains the induced path $(a_2 b_1^G, b_1^G, a_1^G, a_1 b_2^G)$. Thus, exactly one Sylow subgroup of G is non-abelian and all other Sylow subgroups are abelian.

Let P_1 be non-abelian. Clearly, as $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is cograph so is $\Gamma_{CCC}(P_1)$. \square

Note: For a nilpotent group G , $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ both are complete graphs. Hence both of $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ are cographs.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $G \cong {}^2B_2(q)$, where $q = 2^{2n+1}$. Then all of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ are cographs.*

Proof. Let $r = 2^n$, then the non-trivial conjugacy classes of G are:

a single conjugacy class D_1 with order of the representative 2,

2 conjugacy classes D_2 and D_3 with orders of the representatives 4,

$\frac{(q-2)}{2}$ many conjugacy classes $A_1, \dots, A_{\frac{(q-2)}{2}}$ with orders of the representatives $q-1$,

$\frac{(q-2r)}{4}$ many conjugacy classes $B_1, \dots, B_{\frac{(q-2r)}{4}}$ with orders of the representatives $q-2r+1$,

$\frac{(q+2r)}{4}$ many conjugacy classes $X_1, \dots, X_{\frac{(q+2r)}{4}}$ with orders of the representatives $q+2r+1$.

Now the subgroups of G are only $C_2, C_4, C_{q-1}, D_{2(q-1)}, C_{q \pm 2r+1} \rtimes H$, where H is a subgroup of

order 4, small order suzuki groups, $C_{q\pm 2r+1}$ and non-abelian 2-group of order q^2 . Among these, the only abelian and nilpotent subgroups are C_2, C_4 and C_{q-1} . So, in $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ as well as in $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ the only vertices that are connected are D_1 with D_2 and D_3 if $\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle \cong C_4$ and $\langle D_1, D_3 \rangle \cong C_4$ otherwise if $\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle \cong C_8$ and $\langle D_1, D_3 \rangle \cong C_8$ then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ as well as in $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ are null graph. Thus, in both the cases $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ are cograph. Now suppose $P_1 \sim P_2 \sim P_3 \sim P_4$ is a path in $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$. Taking $P_1 = A_k$ and $P_2 = D_1$ we get the only choices for P_3 are D_2, D_3, X_i, B_j . Now if we take $P_3 = D_2, D_3$, then the only choices for P_4 are X_i, B_j . But in each of the cases P_2 is connected to P_4 . For $P_3 = X_i, B_j$ we have $P_4 = D_2, D_3$. But in each of the cases P_2 is connected to P_4 , which contradicts our assumption. Similarly, all other possibilities also gives us contradictions. Hence $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is a cograph. \square

Theorem 2.9. *If $n \geq 5$, then $\Gamma_{CCC}(Sym(n))$ is not a cograph.*

Proof. Let $G = Sym(n)$, $x = (1, 2)(3, 4, \dots, n)$, and $y = (1, 2, 3)(4, \dots, n)$. Notice that $x^G - (1, 2)^G - (1, 2, 3)^G - y^G$ is a path in $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, so X^G and y^G belong to the same connected component of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$. If $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, is a cograph, X^G and y^G would have distance at most 2, however the only neighbors of x^G are $(1, 2)^G$ and $(3, \dots, n)^G$, while the only neighbors of y^G are $(1, 2, 3)^G$ and $(4, \dots, n)^G$. Notice that the previous arguments does not work if $n = 5$, since in that case $x^G = y^G$, however in this case we may notice that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ contains the following induced subgraph: $(1, 2, 3, 4)^G - (1, 3)(2, 4)^G - (1, 2)^G - (1, 2, 3)^G$. \square

Theorem 2.10. *$\Gamma_{CCC}(Alt(n))$ is a cograph if and only if $n \leq 6$.*

Proof. Let $G = Alt(n)$. If n is even and $n \geq 10$, then we may consider $x = (1, 2, 3)(4, \dots, n)$ and $y = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)(6, \dots, n)$. If n is odd and $n \geq 11$, then we may consider $x = (1, 2, 3)(4, \dots, n-1)$ and $y = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)(6, \dots, n-1)$. As in the previous proof it suffices to notice that x^G and y^G belong to the same connected component of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, but have no neighbors in common. If $n = 8, 9$, a similar argument will work with $x = (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6)(7, 8)$ and $y = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)(6, 7, 8)$. If $n = 7$, then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ contains the following induced subgraph: $(1, 2, 3)(4, 5, 6)^G - (1, 2, 3)^G - (4, 5)(6, 7)^G - (1, 2)(4, 5, 6, 7)^G$. It is easy to verify that if $n \leq 6$, then all the connected induced subgraphs of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ have diameter at most 2. \square

2.2 Conditions on G for chordal graphs

Theorem 2.11. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then both of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ are chordal graphs.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4. \square

Theorem 2.12. *Let G be a group of order pq , where p and q are distinct primes. Then all of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ are chordal graphs.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.6. □

Theorem 2.13. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group which is not a p -group. Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is chordal if G is either an abelian group or a non-abelian group with exactly one non-abelian Sylow subgroup (all other Sylow subgroups are abelian) whose commuting conjugacy class graph is chordal.*

Proof. Let G be a finite nilpotent group such that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is chordal. If G is abelian then there is nothing to prove. So, let us take G as a non-abelian group such that $G \cong P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_r$, where P_i are the Sylow p_i -subgroup for $1 \leq i \leq k$. We claim that exactly one Sylow subgroup of G can be non-abelian. Suppose, P_1, P_2 are non-abelian. Then using Proposition 2.3 we can say there exist elements $a_1, a_2 \in P_1$ which are non commuting and non conjugate. Similar arguments for the elements b_1 and b_2 in P_2 . Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ contains the induced cycle $(a_1^G, b_1^G, a_2^G, b_2^G, a_1^G)$. Thus, exactly one Sylow subgroup of G is non-abelian and all other Sylow subgroups are abelian. Let P_1 be non-abelian. Clearly as $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is chordal so is $\Gamma_{CCC}(P_1)$. □

Note: For a nilpotent group G , $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ both are complete. Hence both of $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ are chordal graphs.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $G \cong 2_{B_2}(q)$, where $q = 2^{2n+1}$. Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ are chordal graphs but $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is not.*

Proof. Arguments are the same as done in Theorem 2.8. For $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ we have a cycle $B_1 \sim D_3 \sim X_1 \sim D_2 \sim B_1$. Hence it is not a chordal graph. □

2.3 Conditions on G for threshold and split graphs

Lemma 2.15. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free if and only if G is either an abelian p -group (for some prime p) or a generalized quaternion group or an EPO group or a non-abelian group whose one Sylow subgroup is either abelian group of prime power order or generalized quaternion group and all other Sylow subgroups are EPO group (Group in which all non-identity elements are prime order).*

Proof. Let G be an EPPO group such that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free.

Case 1: First assume that G is a p -group. If G is an abelian p -group then there is nothing to prove. Let us consider G to be a non-abelian p -group. Let G have a unique minimal subgroup that is contained in all subgroups of order power of p . Then G is the generalized quaternion group and

one can check that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free.

Now consider that G is a group that has more than one subgroup of order p which is contained in all subgroups of order power of p . In that case, if G has two elements, say a, b , whose orders are power of p with $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \{e\}$, then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ contains $2K_2$ by the pair $\{a^G, (a^p)^G\}$ and $\{b^G, (b^p)^G\}$. This leads to a contradiction to our assumption that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free. So, in this case, G must be an EPO group (a group whose every non-identity elements are of prime order).

Therefore, if G is a p -group such that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free then G is either an abelian p -group or a generalized quaternion group or an EPO group.

Case 2: Next consider G is not a p -group. If G is abelian then it is clear. So let us assume that G is non-abelian. Consider the Sylow subgroups of G . If G has two Sylow subgroups that contain an element of prime power order then clearly $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ has $2K_2$. Thus G can have only one Sylow subgroup that contains an element of prime power order and all others must be an EPO group. Again, let P be the Sylow p -subgroup of G that has an element of prime power order. Since P is a p -group and $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free so P must be either an abelian p -group or a generalized quaternion group (see a)). On the other hand, if P has no element of order power of p then G is an EPO group.

The converse is trivial. □

Lemma 2.16. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free if and only if G is either cyclic or abelian or p -group (for some prime p) or a non-abelian non p -group with only one Sylow subgroup having elements of prime power order and all other Sylow subgroups are EPO group.*

Proof. Suppose G is $2K_2$ -free.

Case 1: Let G be either cyclic or abelian. Then $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ is a complete graph which gives it is $2K_2$ -free.

Case 2: Let G be a p -group. Then G is a nilpotent group so the $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ is a complete graph which gives it is $2K_2$ -free.

Case 3: Let G be a non-abelian, non p -group. If G has more than one sylow subgroup P_1 and P_2 having elements of prime power order say $a \in P_1$ and $b \in P_2$ then $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ contains $2K_2$ by the pair $\{a^G, (a^p)^G\}$ and $\{b^G, (b^p)^G\}$. This leads to a contradiction to our assumption that $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free. Hence G has only one sylow subgroup containing element of prime power order and all other sylow subgroups are EPO groups as required.

The converse is trivial. □

Lemma 2.17. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free if and only if G is either cyclic or abelian or p -group (for some prime p) or a non-abelian non p -group with only one Sylow subgroup having elements of prime power orders and all other Sylow subgroups are EPO groups.*

Proof. The proof is similar to above theorem 2.16. □

Note: Let G be a group of order pq , where p, q are distinct primes. So G is solvable. Hence $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is always $2K_2$ -free as it is a complete graph. Now if G has an element of order pq , then it is a cyclic group, so both of $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ are also $2K_2$ -free as they are complete. But if G does not have any element of order pq , then the orders of the elements of G are 1, p or q , so G is an EPPO group. Hence from Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 2.16, we will get the conditions on G so that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ are $2K_2$ -free.

Lemma 2.18. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group. Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free if and only if G is abelian.*

Proof. Let $G \cong P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_r$ such that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is $2K_2$ -free. If possible let G be non-abelian. Then at least one of P_i (for $1 \leq i \leq r$), say P_1 , is non-abelian. So, using Proposition 2.3, there exist elements $a_1, a_2 \in P_1$ which are non-commuting and non conjugates. Let $b \in P_2$. As b lies in different Sylow subgroup, so a_1, a_2 and b are non conjugates. Also order of a_i and $a_i b$ are different, so they are also non conjugates. Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ contains the pair $\{a_1^G, a_1 b^G\}$ and $\{a_2^G, a_2 b^G\}$ that form $2K_2$. Hence all P_i (for $1 \leq i \leq r$) must be abelian and so is G .

Conversely, if G is abelian then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is a complete graph. So it is $2K_2$ -free. □

Lemma 2.19. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group. Then both of $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ are $2K_2$ -free.*

Proof. The proof is trivial. □

From the above lemma, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 2.20. *In all the above cases $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ are split graphs as well as threshold graphs.*

Note: For $G \cong 2_{B_2}(q)$, where $q = 2^{2n+1}$, both of $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ are split and threshold graphs since it is C_4, C_5 and $2K_2$ -free by the same arguments as in Theorem 2.8. Though $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is not a split as well as threshold graph because it has a cycle of length 4.

2.4 Conditions on G for claw-free graphs

Theorem 2.21. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then if G is cyclic or abelian, then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is claw-free.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is complete, so the proof is trivial. □

Theorem 2.22. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ is claw-free.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ has a claw with three pendant vertices as: $(a_1)^G, (a_2)^G, (a_3)^G$ and the central vertices as b^G . As G is an EPPO group, each element of G are power of some prime order. If such a claw exists then all vertices must have order power of the same prime. Elsewhere, G contains an element whose order is a product of two primes that contradicts the fact that G is an EPPO group. Since by the Lemma 2.1, the distance between any two elements, whose orders are power of the same prime, is at most 1 so such claw does not exist in $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$, as for $i \neq j$ distance between $(a_1)^G$ and $(a_2)^G$ is 2. \square

Theorem 2.23. *Let G be an EPPO group. Then if G is a p -group, for some prime p or G is solvable then $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is claw-free.*

Proof. The proof is trivial. \square

Note: Let G be a group of order pq , where p, q are distinct primes. So G is solvable. Hence $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is always claw-free as it is a complete graph. Now if G has an element of order pq , then it is a cyclic group, so both of $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ are also claw-free as they are complete. But if G does not have any element of order pq , then the orders of the elements of G are 1, p or q , so G is an EPPO group. Hence from Lemma 2.21 and Lemma 2.22, we will get the conditions on G so that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ are claw-free.

Theorem 2.24. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group which is not a p -group. Then $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is claw-free if and only if G an abelian.*

Proof. Let G be an abelian group. So definitely $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is claw-free. Conversely, suppose $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is claw-free. We want to prove G is abelian. Suppose, G is not an abelian group and $G \cong P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_r$. Since G is non-abelian so atleast one of P_i is not abelian. Let P_1 be non-abelian. So using Proposition 2.3, there exists a_1, a_2 and a_3 in P_1 such that they are non commuting and non conjugates. Let us consider the elements $b \in P_2$. Then, $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ has a claw with three pendant vertices as: $(a_1b)^G, (a_2b)^G, (a_3b)^G$ and the central vertices as b^G . As $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is claw-free, so G must be abelian. \square

Theorem 2.25. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group. Then $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ both are claw-free.*

Proof. Since G is a nilpotent group, so $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ both are complete graphs with order number of conjugacy classes of G . Hence they are claw-free. \square

Theorem 2.26. *Let $G \cong 2_{B_2}(q)$, where $q = 2^{2n+1}$. Then $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ is not claw-free.*

Proof. $\Gamma_{SCC}(G)$ has a claw with pendant vertices B_1, A_1, X_1 and central vertex as D_1 . \square

Note: Although, $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ and $\Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ both are claw free as there do not have sufficient connected vertices to form a claw.

3 Dihedral group, generalized dihedral group, dicyclic group, semi-dihedral group

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a finite group. Then the forbidden subgraphs F of $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ satisfy the following conditions.*

- (a) *If G is the dihedral group D_{2n} then F is always a cograph and a chordal but it is neither a threshold nor a split when n is even but $n/2$ is odd.*
- (b) *If G is the dicyclic group T_{4n} then F is always a cograph and a chordal, but it is neither a threshold nor a split when n is odd.*
- (c) *If G is the group V_{8n} then F is always a cograph and a chordal, but it is neither a threshold nor a split when n is even.*
- (d) *If G is the semi-dihedral group SD_{8n} then F is always a cograph and a chordal, but it is neither a threshold nor a split when n is odd.*
- (e) *If G is the group $U_{(n,m)}$ then F is always a cograph and a chordal, but it is neither a threshold nor a split for any values of m .*
- (f) *If G is the group $G(p,m,n)$ then F is always a cograph and a chordal, but it is neither a threshold nor a split for any values of m and n with simultaneously not equal to 1.*

Proof. (a) We know, $D_{2n} = \langle x, y \mid x^n = y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{-1} \rangle$. From [1], we know that $\Gamma_{CCC}(D_{2n})$ can be computed by the following formula:

$$\Gamma_{CCC}(D_{2n}) = \begin{cases} K_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \dot{\cup} K_1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ K_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \dot{\cup} 2K_1 & \text{if } n \text{ and } n/2 \text{ both are even,} \\ K_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \dot{\cup} K_2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even but } n/2 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. In all the three cases if we take four vertices from the first complete graphs respectively then the four vertices will again make a complete graph. Again if we take four vertices from both of the first and second complete graphs respectively then it will form a disconnected graph. So in all the cases forbidden subgraph of $\Gamma_{CCC}(D_{2n})$ is P_4 -free. So $\Gamma_{CCC}(D_{2n})$ is always a cograph. In a similar way we can show that forbidden subgraph of $\Gamma_{CCC}(D_{2n})$ is always C_n - free $\forall n \geq 4$. That is, it is always a chordal graph.

From the first two cases it is clear that the $\Gamma_{CCC}(D_{2n})$ is always $2K_2$ - free, but in the last case if we take two vertices from the First complete graph and two vertices from K_2 , then we will get $2K_2$. That is, in this case the forbidden subgraph is neither a threshold nor a split.

(b) We know, $T_{4n} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2n} = 1, x^n = y^2, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$. From [9], we know that $\Gamma_{CCC}(T_{4n})$ can be computed by the following formula:

$$\Gamma_{CCC}(T_{4n}) = \begin{cases} K_{n-1} \dot{\cup} 2K_1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ K_{n-1} \dot{\cup} K_2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. It is now clear that in both of the cases if we take i number of vertices from the first complete graph respectively then it will again form the complete graph K_i . So F is always P_4 and C_i -free, where $i \geq 4$. Again if we take few vertices from the first complete graphs and few vertices from others complete graphs respectively then in both of the cases we will get disconnected graphs. So F is always a cograph and a chordal.

Again it is clear that when n is even F is $2K_2$ - free but when n is odd then if we take two vertices from the first complete graph together with the second complete graph K_2 , we will get $2K_2$. Hence F is neither a threshold nor a split when n is odd.

(c) We know, $V_{8n} = \langle x, y \mid x^{2n} = y^4 = 1, yx = x^{-1}y^{-1}, y^{-1}x = x^{-1}y \rangle$. From [9], we know that $\Gamma_{CCC}(V_{8n})$ can be computed by the following formula:

$$\Gamma_{CCC}(V_{8n}) = \begin{cases} K_{2n-2} \dot{\cup} 2K_2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ K_{2n-1} \dot{\cup} 2K_1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. The proof is similar to the proof of part (b).

(d) We know, $SD_{8n} = \langle x, y \mid x^{4n} = y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{2n-1} \rangle$. From [9], we know that $\Gamma_{CCC}(SD_{8n})$ can be computed by the following formula:

$$\Gamma_{CCC}(SD_{8n}) = \begin{cases} K_{2n-1} \dot{\cup} 2K_1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ K_{2n-2} \dot{\cup} K_4, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. The proof is similar to the proof of part (b).

(e) We know, $U_{(n,m)} = \langle x, y \mid x^{4n} = y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{2n-1} \rangle$. From [9], we know that $\Gamma_{CCC}(U_{(n,m)})$ can be computed by the following formula:

$$\Gamma_{CCC}(U_{(n,m)}) = \begin{cases} 2K_n \dot{\cup} K_{n(\frac{m}{2}-1)}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ K_n \dot{\cup} K_{n(\frac{m-1}{2})}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. The proof is similar to the proof of part (b).

(f) We know, $G_{(P,m,n)} = \langle x, y \mid x^{p^m} = y^{p^n} = [x, y]^p = 1, [x, [x, y]] = [y, [x, y]] = 1 \rangle$. From [9], we know that $\Gamma_{CCC}(G_{(p,m,n)})$ is isomorphic to the following:

$$K_{P^{(m-1)}(p^n - p^{(n-1)})} \dot{\cup} K_{P^{(n-1)}(p^m - p^{(m-1)})} \dot{\cup} (p^n - p^{(n-1)})K_{P^{(m-n)}(p^n - p^{(n-1)})},$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. So $\Gamma_{CCC}(G_{(p,m,n)})$ is the union of three complete graph. Using the similar arguments like above we can say F is always a cograph and a chordal. Now if we take two vertices from one complete graph and two vertices from any other complete graph then we will get $2K_2$. So F is neither a threshold nor a split. \square

Corollary 3.2. *For all the groups G mentioned in Theorem 3.1, $\Gamma_{CCC}(G)$ is claw-free.*

Proof. It is clear from the above discussion that the forbidden subgraphs in Theorem 3.1 is either a complete graph or the union of complete graphs. So it is always claw-free. \square

Theorem 3.3. *For any natural number $n \geq 3$ and which is not a power of 2, the nilpotent conjugacy class graph of the dihedral group is computed as follows:*

$$\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n}) = \begin{cases} K_{\frac{(n-1)}{2}} \dot{\cup} K_1 & n \text{ is odd,} \\ K_{\frac{n}{2}} \dot{\cup} 2K_1 & n \text{ and } \frac{n}{2} \text{ are even,} \\ K_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \dot{\cup} K_2 & n \text{ is even and } \frac{n}{2} \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. Hence $\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n})$ is always a cograph and a chordal but neither a split nor a threshold when n is even but $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd.

Proof. The non-central conjugacy classes of $D_{2n} = \langle x, y \mid x^n = y^2 = 1, yxy = x^{-1} \rangle$ are as follows:
1) when n is odd

$$[x^i]^{D_{2n}}, 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$$

$$[y]^{D_{2n}} = \{y, yx, \dots, yx^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\}$$

$\langle x^i, x^j \rangle$ is always a nilpotent group so x^i and x^j form a complete graph. The subgroup $\langle x^i, yx^j \rangle$ is isomorphic to $D_{2\frac{n}{i}}$, hence x^i and yx^j are not connected unless $\frac{n}{i} = 2^k$ for some k in $\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n})$.

2) when n is even

$$[x^i]^{D_{2n}}, 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

$$[yx]^{D_{2n}} = \{yx, yx^3, \dots, yx^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\}$$

$$[y]^{D_{2n}} = \{y, yx^2, \dots, yx^{\frac{n}{2}-2}\}$$

If $\frac{n}{2}$ is even then $yx^{\frac{n}{2}} \in [y]^{D_{2n}}$. yx and y are not connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n})$ since $\langle yx, y \rangle$ is not nilpotent. Also x^i and y is connected only when order of x^i is 2^k for some k since $\langle x^i, y \rangle$ is isomorphic to $D_{2\frac{n}{i}}$. Similarly x^i and yx is connected only when order of x^i is 2^k for some k . Hence $\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n})$ in this case is $K_{\frac{n}{2}} \dot{\cup} 2K_1$.

If $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd then $yx^{\frac{n}{2}} \in [yx]^{D_{2n}}$. So $\langle yx^i, yx^j \rangle$ is of order 2^k for some k . Hence yx and y are connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n})$. Hence in this case $\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n})$ is $K_{\frac{n}{2}-1} \dot{\cup} K_2$.

Hence if we use the same arguments which we have used in the proof of Theorem 3.1 then we can easily say that $\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n})$ is always a cograph and a chordal but neither a split nor a threshold when n is even but $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd. \square

Note: For $n \leq 2$, $\Gamma_{NCC}(D_{2n})$ is a complete graph, so it is always a cograph, a chordal, a split and a threshold.

Theorem 3.4. *The nilpotent conjugacy class graph of generalised dihedral group i.e. $A \rtimes C_2$ where A is abelian and C_2 is cyclic group of order 2 such that $C_2 = \langle b \rangle$ is computed as follows:*

$$\Gamma_{NCC}(A \rtimes C_2) = K_{|A|} \dot{\cup} K_1,$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. Hence it is always a cograph. a chordal, a threshold and a split unless $|A| = 2^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let A_1 is subgroup of all 2 order elements in A . The conjugacy classes of $A \rtimes C_2$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [b]^G &= \{x_i b x_i^{-1} | x_i A_1 \in A/A_1\}, \\ [a]^G &= \{a, a^{-1} | a \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

All elements of A will form a complete graph since A is abelian. For the subgroup $H := \langle a, x_i b x_i^{-1} \rangle$, as order of $x_i b x_i^{-1}$ is 2, so if order of a is m (say), then $x_i b x_i^{-1}, a$ satisfy the condition $(x_i b x_i^{-1})^{-1}(a)(x_i b x_i^{-1}) = (a)^{-1}$. Hence the subgroup is isomorphic to $\langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle x_i b x_i^{-1} \rangle$. So H is nilpotent only when m is a power of 2.

Hence it is clear from the structure that the forbidden subgraphs is always a cograph, a chordal, a threshold and a split. \square

Theorem 3.5. *The nilpotent conjugacy class graph of T_{4n} is computed as follows:*

$$\Gamma_{NCC}(T_{4n}) = \begin{cases} 2K_{n-1} \dot{\cup} K_1, & n \text{ is even but not a power of 2} \\ K_{n-1} \dot{\cup} K_2, & n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. Hence it is always a cograph. a chordal, a threshold and a split unless $n = 2^l k$ for some $0 \leq k < (n - 1)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The non-central conjugacy classes of T_{4n} are $[a^k]^{T_{4n}}$, $1 \leq k \leq (n - 1)$, $[b]^{T_{4n}}$ and $[ab]^{T_{4n}}$. All the elements in the conjugacy class of $[a^k]$ commute with each other hence are connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(T_{4n})$. From [9] we have that subgroup $\langle a^k, b \rangle$ and $\langle a^k, ab \rangle$ are isomorphic to $T_{\frac{4n}{k}}$ hence is not nilpotent unless $\frac{n}{k} = 2^l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Theorem 3.6. *The nilpotent conjugacy class graph of $U_{(n,m)}$ is computed as follows:*

$$\Gamma_{NCC}(U_{(n,m)}) = \begin{cases} 2K_n \dot{\cup} K_{n(\frac{m}{2}-1)}, & m \text{ is even} \\ K_n \dot{\cup} K_{n(\frac{m-1}{2})}, & m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union and order of $a^{2k} b^t$ for all k, t is not a power of 2. Hence $\Gamma_{NCC}(U_{(n,m)})$ is a chordal and a cograph but neither a threshold nor a split for any values of m .

Proof. (1) **m is even:** The non-central conjugacy classes of $U_{(n,m)}$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(a^{2k}b^t)^{U_{(n,m)}} &= \{a^{2k}b^t, a^{2k}b^{-t}\}, 0 \leq k \leq n-1, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1, \\ (a^{2k+1})^{U_{(n,m)}} &= \{a^{2k+1}b^{2j} | 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1\}, 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ (a^{2k+1}b)^{U_{(n,m)}} &= \{a^{2k+1}b^{2j+1} | 0 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1\}, 0 \leq k \leq n-1.\end{aligned}$$

All conjugacy classes of the form $[a^{2k}b^t]$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_{CCC}(U_{(n,m)})$, so they are adjacent in $\Gamma_{NCC}(U_{(n,m)})$. Similar arguments hold for the conjugacy classes of the form $[a^{2k+1}]$ and $[a^{2k+1}b]$.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k}b^t]$ and $[a^{2k+1}b^{2j}]$

Let $H_1 := \langle a^{2k+1}b^{2j}, a^{2k}b^t \rangle$. Consider $H'_1 = [a^{2k+1}b^{2j}, a^{2k}b^t] = a^{2k}b^{2t+2k}$. Again $H''_1 := [H_1, H'_1]$ is generated by $\langle [a^{2k+1}b^{2j}, a^{2k}b^{2t+2k}], [a^{2k}b^t, a^{2k}b^{2t+2k}] \rangle$ and their conjugates. $[a^{2k+1}b^{2j}, a^{2k}b^{2t+2k}] = b^{-4(t+k)}$ and $[a^{2k}b^t, b^{-4(t+k)}] = 1$. So $[H_1, H'_1] = \langle b^{-4(t+k)} \rangle$. Now $H'''_1 := [H''_1, H_1] = \langle b^{8(t+k)} \rangle$. Continuing in this way we have for H_1 to be nilpotent if $b^{(-2^l(t+k))} = 1$ i.e. $m \mid -2^l(t+k)$ which gives $O(a^{2k}b^t) = 2^l$ for each k,t. Hence $a^{2k+1}b^{2j}$ and $a^{2k}b^t$ are not connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(U_{(n,m)})$ unless $O(a^{2k}b^t) = 2^l$.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k}b^t]$ and $[a^{2k+1}b^{2j+1}]$

Now consider $H_2 = \langle a^{2k+1}b^{2j+1}, a^{2k}b^t \rangle$ and following similar procedure we get H_2 is nilpotent only when $O(a^{2k}b^t) = 2^l$.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k+1}b^{2j}]$ and $[a^{2k+1}b^{2j+1}]$

Now consider $H_3 = \langle a^{2k+1}b^{2j+1}, a^{2k}b^{2j} \rangle$ and following similar procedure we get H_3 is nilpotent only when $O(b) = 2^l$. Therefore, $\Gamma_{NCC}(U_{(n,m)}) = 2K_n \cup K_{n(\frac{m-1}{2})}$ when order of $a^{2k}b^t$ is not a power of 2.

(2) **m is odd:** The non central conjugacy classes are:

$$\begin{aligned}(a^{2k}b^t)^{U_{(n,m)}} &= \{a^{2k}b^t, a^{2k}b^{-t}\}, 0 \leq k \leq n-1, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, \\ (a^{2k+1})^{U_{(n,m)}} &= \{a^{2k+1}b^{2j} | 0 \leq j \leq m-1\}, 0 \leq k \leq n-1.\end{aligned}$$

All conjugacy classes of the form $[a^{2k}b^t]$ are adjacent in $\Gamma_{CCC}(U_{(n,m)})$, so they are adjacent in $\Gamma_{NCC}(U_{(n,m)})$. Similar arguments hold for the conjugacy classes of the form $[a^{2k+1}]$. Now following the similar process as above we have $\langle a^{2k+1}b^{2j}, a^{2k}b^t \rangle$ is nilpotent only when order of $a^{2k}b^t$ for all k,j is a power of 2. Hence $\Gamma_{NCC}(U_{(n,m)}) = K_n \cup K_{n(\frac{m-1}{2})}$ when order of $a^{2k}b^t$ for all k,t is not a power of 2. \square

Theorem 3.7. *For any natural number n, which is not a power of 2, the nilpotent conjugacy class graph of the semi-dihedral group SD_{8n} is computed as follows:*

$$\Gamma_{NCC}(SD_{8n}) = \begin{cases} K_{2n-1} \dot{\cup} K_2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ K_{2n-2} \dot{\cup} K_4 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. Hence $\Gamma_{NCC}(SD_{8n})$ is always a cograph and a chordal graph but neither a split nor a threshold for any values of n greater than equal to 2.

Proof. **Case I, n is even:** The non-central conjugacy classes of SD_{8n} are:

$$\begin{aligned} [a^{2k}]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k}, a^{-2k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n-1\}, \\ [a^{2k+1}]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k+1}, a^{2n-(2k+1)} \mid \frac{-n}{2} \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}-1\}, \\ [b]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k}b \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2n\}, \\ [ab]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k-1}b \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Connectivity of $[a^{2k}]$ and $[a^{2k+1}]$:

the elements in conjugacy class of the form a^{2k} and a^{2k+1} commute to itself and each other so are connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(SD_{8n})$ graph of SD_{8n} , as $\Gamma_{CCC}(G) \subset \Gamma_{NCC}(G)$ for any group G .

Connectivity of $[b]$ and $[ab]$: now consider the subgroup $\langle b, ab \rangle$ which is a 2-group (as order of $b = 2$ and order of $ab = 4$) and hence nilpotent so $[b]$ and $[ab]$ are connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(SD_{8n})$.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k}]$ and $[b]$: for the subgroup $G = \langle a^{2k}, a^{2l}b \rangle$, as order of $b = \text{order of } a^{2l}b = 2$, so if order of a^{2k} is m (say), then $a^{2k}, a^{2l}b$ satisfy the condition $(a^{2l}b)^{-1}(a^{2k})(a^{2l}b) = (a^{2k})^{-1}$. Hence G is isomorphic to the D_{2m} . So G is nilpotent only when m is a power of 2.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k}]$ and $[ab]$: for the subgroup $G = \langle a^{2k}, a^{2l-1}b \rangle$, as order of $a^{2l-1}b$ is 4, so if order of a^{2k} is m (say), then $a^{2k}, a^{2l-1}b$ satisfy the condition $(a^{2l-1}b)^{-1}(a^{2k})(a^{2l-1}b) = (a^{2k})^{-1}$. Hence G is isomorphic to the dihedral group $U_{(2,m)}$. So G is nilpotent only when m is a power of 2.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k+1}]$ with $[b]$ and $[ab]$: then $G'_1 = \langle [a^{2l}b, a^{2k+1}] \rangle = \langle a^{2n-(4k+2)} \rangle$ and its conjugates. Again $G''_1 = \langle [a^{2l}b, a^{2n-(4k+2)}] \rangle = \langle a^{2(2k+1)} \rangle$. Continuing in this way we get $G_1^m = \langle a^{(-1)^m 2^m (2k+1)} \rangle$. So G_1 is nilpotent only when $n \mid 2^{m-2}(2k+1)$. If $n = 2^c p_1^{c_1} p_2^{c_2} \dots p_r^{c_r}$ then $2k+1 = p_1^{c_1} p_2^{c_2} \dots p_r^{c_r}$. This gives $O(a^{2k+1}) = 2^{c+2}$. Hence G_1 is nilpotent only when order of a^{2k+1} is 2-power. Now Consider $G_2 := \langle a^{2l-1}b, a^{2k+1} \rangle$, this is nilpotent only when order of a^{2k+1} is 2-power by similar process.

Case II, n is odd: The non-central conjugacy classes of SD_{8n} are:

$$\begin{aligned} [a^{2k}]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k}, a^{-2k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n-1\}, \\ [a^{2k+1}]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k+1}, a^{2n-(2k+1)} \mid \frac{-(n-1)}{2} \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}-1\}, \\ [b]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{4k}b \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \\ [ab]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{4k+1}b \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \\ [a^2b]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{4k+2}b \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \\ [a^3b]^{SD_{8n}} &= \{a^{4k+3}b \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way like Case I, we can check that $[a^{2k}]$ and $[a^{2k+1}]$ are always connected. Also $[b]$, $[ab]$, $[a^2b]$ and $[a^3b]$ are always connected.

We will also have following cases:

1. $\langle a^{2k}, a^{4l}b \rangle \cong D_{2m}$, where m is order of a^{2k} .
2. $\langle a^{2k}, a^{4l+1}b \rangle \cong U_{(2,m)}$.
3. $\langle a^{2k+1}, a^{4l}b \rangle$ is nilpotent only when order of a^{2k+1} is 2-power.
4. $\langle a^{2k+1}, a^{4l+1}b \rangle$ is nilpotent only when order of a^{2k+1} is 2-power.

Hence using Theorem 3.1 we can easily say $\Gamma_{NCC}(SD_{8n})$ is always a cograph and a chordal graph but neither a split nor a threshold for any values of n greater than equal to 2.

□

Theorem 3.8. *For any natural number $n \geq 3$, which is not a power of 2, the nilpotent conjugacy class graph of the group V_{8n} is computed as follows:*

$$\Gamma_{NCC}(V_{8n}) = \begin{cases} K_{2n-2} \dot{\cup} K_4 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ K_{2n-1} \dot{\cup} K_2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where by $\dot{\cup}$ we mean the disjoint union. Hence $\Gamma_{NCC}(V_{8n})$ is always a cograph and a chordal graph but neither a split nor a threshold.

Proof. **Case I, n is even:** Then $Z(V_{8n}) = \{1, b^2, a^n, a^n b^2\}$ and the non-central conjugacy classes of V_{8n} are

$$\begin{aligned} [a^{2k}]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k}, a^{-2k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1\}, \\ [a^{2k-1}]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k-1}, a^{-2k+1} b^2 \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \\ [a^{2k} b^2]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k} b^2, a^{-2k} b^2 \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1\}, \\ [b]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{4k} b, a^{4k+2} b^{-1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}\}, \\ [ab]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{4k+1} b, a^{4k+3} b^{-1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}\}, \\ [b^3]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{4k+2} b, a^{4k} b^{-1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}\}, \\ [ab^3]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{4k+3} b, a^{4k+1} b^{-1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Connectivity of $[a^{2k}]$, $[a^{2k-1}]$ and $[a^{2k} b^2]$:

the elements in the conjugacy class of the form a^{2k} , a^{2k-1} and $a^{2k} b^2$ commute to each other and itself so are connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(V_{8n})$, as $\Gamma_{CCC}(G) \subset \Gamma_{NCC}(G)$, for any group G .

Connectivity of $[b]$, $[ab]$, $[b^3]$ and $[ab^3]$: Again b and b^3 commute, so the conjugacy classes b and b^3 are connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(V_{8n})$. Also ab and ab^3 commute, so they are connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(V_{8n})$. Now consider the subgroup $\langle b, ab \rangle$ which is a 2-group (as order of $b = 4$ and order of $ab = 2$) and hence nilpotent so $[b]$ and $[ab]$ are connected in $\Gamma_{NCC}(V_{8n})$. Similarly $[b]$ and $[ab^3]$ are connected. Also $[ab]$ and $[b^3]$ are connected. Hence $[b]$, $[ab]$, $[b^3]$ and $[ab^3]$ form the complete graph K_4 in $\Gamma_{NCC}(V_{8n})$.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k}]$ and $[b]$: for the subgroup $G = \langle a^{2k} b, a^{4l} b \rangle$, as order of $a^{4l} b$ is 4, so if order of a^{4k} is m (say), then a^{2k} , $a^{4l} b$ satisfy the condition $(a^{4l} b)^{-1} (a^{2k}) (a^{4l} b) = (a^{2k})^{-1}$. Hence G is isomorphic to the $U_{(2,m)}$. So G is nilpotent only when m is a power of 2.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k}]$ and $[ab]$: for the subgroup $G = \langle a^{2k} b, a^{4l+1} b \rangle$, as order of $a^{4l+1} b$ is 2, so if order of a^{4k} is m_1 (say), then a^{2k} , $a^{4l+1} b$ satisfy the condition $(a^{4l+1} b)^{-1} (a^{2k}) (a^{4l+1} b) = (a^{2k})^{-1}$.

Hence G is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_{2m} . So G is nilpotent only when m is a power of 2.

Similarly, we will get

- (i) $G = \langle a^{2k}, a^{4l+2}b \rangle \cong U_{(2,m)}$, where m is the order of a^{2k} .
- (ii) $G = \langle a^{2k}, a^{4l+3}b \rangle \cong D_{2m}$, where m is the order of a^{2k} .
- (iii) $G = \langle a^{2k}b^2, a^{4l}b \rangle \cong U_{(2,m)}$, where m is the order of $a^{2k}b^2$.
- (iv) $G = \langle a^{2k}b^2, a^{4l+1}b \rangle \cong D_{2m}$, where m is the order of $a^{2k}b^2$.
- (v) $G = \langle a^{2k}b^2, a^{4l+2}b \rangle \cong U_{(2,m)}$, where m is the order of $a^{2k}b^2$.
- (vi) $G = \langle a^{2k}b^2, a^{4l+3}b \rangle \cong D_{2m}$, where m is the order of $a^{2k}b^2$.

Connectivity of $[a^{2k-1}]$ with $[b]$, $[ab]$, $[b^3]$ and $[ab^3]$: take $G_1 := \langle a^{2k-1}, a^{4l}b \rangle$. Then $G'_1 = [a^{2k-1}, a^{4l}b] = \langle a^{2(2k-1)}b^2 \rangle$. Again $G''_1 = \langle a^{2^2(2k-1)}b^2 \rangle$. Continuing in this way we get $G_1^m = \langle a^{2^m(2k-1)}b^2 \rangle$. Now if we use the similar arguments which we have used for SD_{8n} , we can say G_1 is nilpotent only when order of a^{2k-1} is 2-power. We can use the similar arguments for $G_2 := \langle a^{2k-1}, a^{4l+1}b \rangle$, $G_3 := \langle a^{2k-1}, a^{4l+2}b \rangle$ and $G_4 := \langle a^{2k-1}, a^{4l+3}b \rangle$.

Case II, n is odd: the non-central conjugacy classes of SD_{8n} are:

$$\begin{aligned} [a^{2k}]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k}, a^{-2k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}\}, \\ [a^{2k-1}]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k-1}, a^{-2K+1}b^2 \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \\ [a^{2k}b^2]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k}b^2, a^{-2k}b^2 \mid 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}\}, \\ [b]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k}b, a^{2k}b^{-1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \\ [ab]^{V_{8n}} &= \{a^{2k+1}b, a^{2k+1}b^{-1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way like Case I, we can show that $[a^{2k}]$, $[a^{2k-1}]$ and $[a^{2k}b^2]$ are connected to each other.

Again $[b]$ and $[ab]$ are connected. Also we will get the following following cases:

1. $\langle a^{2k}, a^{2l}b \rangle \cong U_{(2,m)}$ is nilpotent only when order of $a^{2k} = m$ is 2-power.
2. $\langle a^{2k}, a^{2l+1}b \rangle \cong D_{2m}$ is nilpotent only when order of $a^{2k} = m$ is 2-power.
3. $\langle a^{2k}, a^{2l}b \rangle$ is nilpotent only when order of a^{2k-1} is 2-power.
4. $\langle a^{2k}, a^{2l+1}b \rangle$ is nilpotent only when order of a^{2k-1} is 2-power.
5. $\langle a^{2k}b^2, a^{2l}b \rangle \cong U_{(2,m)}$ is nilpotent only when order of $a^{2k}b^2 = m$ is 2-power.
6. $\langle a^{2k}b^2, a^{2l+1}b \rangle \cong D_{2m}$ is nilpotent only when order of $a^{2k}b^2 = m$ is 2-power.

Hence using Theorem 3.1 we can say $\Gamma_{NCC}(V_{8n})$ is always a cograph and a chordal graph but neither a split nor a threshold for any values of n greater than equal to 3. \square

Corollary 3.9. *The forbidden subgraph of dihedral group D_{2n} , generalised dihedral group, T_{4n} , $U_{(n,m)}$, SD_{8n} and V_{8n} in nilpotent conjugacy class graph is always claw-free.*

Proof. It is clear from the above discussion that the forbidden subgraphs of these groups is either a complete graph or the union of complete graphs. So it is always claw-free. \square

Theorem 3.10. *The solvable conjugacy class graph of dihedral group D_{2n} , generalised dihedral group, T_{4n} , $U_{(n,m)}$, SD_{8n} and V_{8n} is always chordal, cograph, split and threshold.*

Proof. Since all these groups are solvable so the solvable conjugacy class graph is complete. Hence the proof. \square

References

- [1] Parthajit Bhowal, Peter J. Cameron, Rajat Kanti Nath, and Benjamin Sambale. Solvable conjugacy class graph of groups. *Discrete Math.*, 346(8):Paper No. 113467, 8, 2023.
- [2] Peter J. Cameron, Pallabi Manna, and Ranjit Mehatari. On finite groups whose power graph is a cograph. *J. Algebra*, 591:59–74, 2022
- [3] Marcel Herzog, Patrizia Longobardi, and Mercede Maj. On a commuting graph on conjugacy classes of groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 37(10):3369–3387, 2009.
- [4] Huani Li, Ruiqin Fu, and Xuanlong Ma. Forbidden subgraphs in reduced power graphs of finite groups. *AIMS Math.*, 6(5):5410–5420, 2021.
- [5] Andrea Lucchini and Daniele Nemmi. Forbidden subgraphs in generating graphs of finite groups. *Algebr. Comb.*, 5(5):925–946, 2022.
- [6] Xuanlong Ma, Samir Zahirović, Yubo Lv, and Yanhong She. Forbidden subgraphs in enhanced power graphs of finite groups. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM*, 118(3):Paper No. 110, 2024.
- [7] Pallabi Manna, Peter J. Cameron, and Ranjit Mehatari. Forbidden subgraphs of power graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(3):Paper No. 3.4, 14, 2021.
- [8] A. Mohammadian and A. Erfanian. On the nilpotent conjugacy class graph of groups. *Note Mat.*, 37(2):77–89, 2017.
- [9] Mohammad Ali Salahshour and Ali Reza Ashrafi. Commuting conjugacy class graph of finite CA-groups. *Khayyam J. Math.*, 6(1):108–118, 2020.
- [10] Natalia V. Maslova Xuanlong Ma, Peter J. Cameron. Forbidden subgraphs in commuting graphs of finite groups. arXiv:2305.07301.