

# Affine vertex operator superalgebra $L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0)$ at admissible level

Huaimin Li, Qing Wang <sup>1</sup>

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China 361005

## Abstract

Let  $L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0)$  be the simple affine vertex operator superalgebra with admissible level  $\ell$ . We prove that the category of weak  $L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules on which the positive part of  $\widehat{osp(1|2)}$  acts locally nilpotent is semisimple. Then we prove that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras  $(L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  with new Virasoro elements  $\omega_\xi$  are rational and the irreducible modules are exactly the admissible modules for  $\widehat{osp(1|2)}$ , where  $0 < \xi < 1$  is a rational number. Furthermore, we determine the Zhu's algebras  $A(L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0))$  and their bimodules  $A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}))$  for  $(L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the admissible weight. As an application, we calculate the fusion rules among the irreducible ordinary modules of  $(L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Kac and Wakimoto introduced the notion of admissible highest weight representations for affine Lie (super)algebras to study the modular invariant representations of affine Lie (super)algebras in [17], then they classified the admissible weights for all affine Lie algebras in [18]. Admissible modules also studied in the context of vertex operator algebras, in [3], Adamović and Milas proved the simple affine vertex operator algebra  $L_{\widehat{sl_2}}(\ell, 0)$  at admissible level is rational in the category  $\mathcal{O}$ , and conjectured this holds for simple affine vertex operator algebras  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  associated to any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This conjecture has been proved by Arakawa in [1]. However, simple affine vertex operator algebras  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  at admissible level  $\ell$  may not be rational if  $\ell$  is not a positive integer. In [7], Dong, Li and Mason showed that  $L_{\widehat{sl_2}}(\ell, 0)$  is a rational  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator algebra under a new Virasoro element and that the irreducible modules are exactly the admissible modules for  $\widehat{sl_2}$ . Furthermore, these results has been proved by Lin for  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  associated to any simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  in [23]. For superalgebra case, Wood classified the irreducible relaxed highest-weight modules for the simple affine vertex operator superalgebra  $L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0)$  at admissible level, and also proved that  $L_{\widehat{osp(1|2)}}(\ell, 0)$  is rational in the category  $\mathcal{O}$  in [25]. Gorelik and Serganova later showed the rationality in the category  $\mathcal{O}$  for  $L_{\widehat{osp(1|2n)}}(\ell, 0)$  in [13].

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1|2) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{h, e, f, x, y\}$ , where  $\{h, e, f, x, y\}$  is the standard Chevalley basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In our paper we study the simple affine vertex operator superalgebra  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  with admissible level  $\ell$ . Let  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, \mathbb{C})$  be the universal affine vertex operator superalgebra, for a  $\mathbb{C}h$ -module  $U$ , we construct the weak  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -module  $L(\ell, U)$  in Subsection 3.1 and determine the condition that  $L(\ell, U)$  is a weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module. We prove that the

<sup>1</sup>Supported by China NSF grants No.12071385 and No.12161141001.

irreducible highest weight  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  is a weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module if and only if  $\mathcal{J}$  is admissible, this result implies that the weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules in category  $\mathcal{O}$  are complete reducible and the irreducible modules are those  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  for  $\mathcal{J}$  being admissible. This also obtained in [25] by computing explicit presentation for the Zhu's algebra associated to  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  and in [13] by establishing the correspondence between the weak  $L_{\widehat{osp(1|2n)}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules and the weak  $L_{\widehat{sp(2n)}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules. Furthermore, we consider the category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  in Subsection 3.2, where  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is the subcategory of the weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module category such that  $M$  is an object in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  if and only if the sum of all positive root spaces of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$  acts locally nilpotently on  $M$ . We prove that the category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is semisimple and there are finitely many irreducible weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  up to isomorphism. It implies that any ordinary  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module is completely reducible and there are finitely many irreducible ordinary  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules up to isomorphism, this result also established for  $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1|2n)$  in [4] by using the theory of vertex superalgebra extensions. Moreover, we consider  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  associated to a family of new Virasoro elements  $\omega_\xi$  in Subsection 3.3, where  $0 < \xi < 1$  is a rational number. We apply the semisimplicity of category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  to prove that  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  are rational  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras. In [9], the  $A(V)$ -theory for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras has been studied. In this paper we determine the Zhu's algebras  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0))$  and their bimodules  $A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}))$  for  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  in Subsection 4.2. Then we apply the Zhu's algebras and their bimodules associated to  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  to calculate the fusion rules among the irreducible ordinary modules of  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ , the fusion rules we obtained are also those with respect to the old vertex operator superalgebra  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ . The fusion rules among the irreducible relaxed highest-weight modules over  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  have been calculated in [5] by viewing  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  as extensions of the tensor product of certain simple Virasoro vertex operator algebra and simple affine vertex operator algebra associated to  $sl_2$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some concepts about  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras and some facts about admissible modules of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ . In Section 3, we construct the weak  $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -module  $L(\ell, U)$  and give the condition for  $L(\ell, U)$  being a weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module. Then we prove that  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  at admissible level is rational in category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  and  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  are rational  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras with respect to a family of Virasoro elements  $\omega_\xi$ . In Section 4, we determine the Zhu's algebra  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0))$  and their bimodules  $A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}))$  for  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ . As an application, we calculate the fusion rules among the irreducible ordinary modules of  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ . Throughout the paper,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are the sets of integers, nonnegative integers, positive integers, rational numbers and complex numbers respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some concepts about  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra and some facts about admissible modules of  $\widetilde{osp(1|2)}$ .

## 2.1 Vertex operator superalgebras and their modules

Let  $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$  be a vector superspace, the elements in  $V_{\bar{0}}$  (resp.  $V_{\bar{1}}$ ) are called *even* (resp. *odd*). For any  $v \in V_{\bar{i}}$  with  $i = 0, 1$ , define  $|v| = i$ . First we recall the definitions of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra and their various module categories following [8, 20].

**Definition 2.1.** A *vertex superalgebra* is a quadruple  $(V, \mathbf{1}, D, Y)$ , where  $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$  is a vector superspace,  $D$  is an endomorphism of  $V$ ,  $\mathbf{1}$  is a specified even vector called the *vacuum vector* of  $V$ , and  $Y$  is a linear map

$$Y(\cdot, z) : V \rightarrow (\text{End}V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

$$a \mapsto Y(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1} \quad (a_n \in \text{End}V)$$

such that

- (1) For any  $a, b \in V$ ,  $a_n b = 0$  for  $n$  sufficiently large;
- (2)  $[D, Y(a, z)] = Y(D(a), z) = \frac{d}{dz} Y(a, z)$  for any  $a \in V$ ;
- (3)  $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{Id}_V$ ;
- (4)  $Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} \in (\text{End}V)[[z]]$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Y(a, z)\mathbf{1} = a$  for any  $a \in V$ ;
- (5) For  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homogeneous elements  $a, b \in V$ , the following *Jacobi identity* holds:

$$z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y(a, z_1) Y(b, z_2) - (-1)^{|a||b|} z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y(b, z_2) Y(a, z_1)$$

$$= z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2).$$

A vertex superalgebra  $V$  is called a  *$\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra* if there is an even vector  $\omega$  called the *Virasoro element* of  $V$  such that

- (6) Set  $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}$ , for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{m^3 - m}{12} \delta_{m+n, 0} c,$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is called the *central charge*;

- (7)  $L(-1) = D$ ;
- (8)  $V$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded such that  $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}$ ,  $L(0)|_{V_{(n)}} = n \text{Id}_{V_{(n)}}$ ,  $\dim V_{(n)} < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $V_{(n)} = 0$  for  $n$  sufficiently small. For  $v \in V_{(n)}$ , the *conformal weight* of  $v$  is defined to be  $\text{wt } v = n$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra. A *weak  $V$ -module* is a triple  $(M, d, Y_M)$  where  $M = M_{\bar{0}} \oplus M_{\bar{1}}$  is a vector superspace,  $d$  is an endomorphism of  $M$  and  $Y_M$  is a linear map

$$Y_M(\cdot, z) : V \rightarrow (\text{End}M)[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

$$a \mapsto Y_M(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1} \quad (a_n \in \text{End}M)$$

such that

- (1) For any  $a \in V, u \in M$ ,  $a_n u = 0$  for  $n$  sufficiently large;

- (2)  $[d, Y_M(a, z)] = Y_M(D(a), z) = \frac{d}{dz}Y_M(a, z)$  for any  $a \in V$ ;  
(3)  $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{Id}_M$ ;  
(4) For  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homogeneous elements  $a, b \in V$ , the following *Jacobi identity* holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_M(a, z_1) Y_M(b, z_2) - (-1)^{|a||b|} z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(b, z_2) Y_M(a, z_1) \\ &= z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2). \end{aligned}$$

A weak  $V$ -module  $M$  is called an *admissible module* ( $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -graded weak module) if  $M$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -gradation  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$  such that

$$a_m M(n) \subseteq M(\text{wt } a + n - m - 1)$$

for any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homogeneous element  $a \in V, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

A weak  $V$ -module  $M$  is called an *ordinary module* if  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda$ , where  $M_\lambda = \{w \in M \mid L(0)w = \lambda w\}$  such that  $\dim M_\lambda < \infty$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $M_\lambda = 0$  for the real part of  $\lambda$  sufficiently small.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra and  $(W_i, Y_i), (W_j, Y_j), (W_k, Y_k)$  be three weak  $V$ -modules. An *intertwining operator of type*  $\begin{pmatrix} W_i \\ W_j \quad W_k \end{pmatrix}$  is a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z) : W_j &\rightarrow (\text{Hom}(W_k, W_i))\{z\} \\ w &\mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} w_n z^{-n-1} \quad (w_n \in \text{Hom}(W_k, W_i)) \end{aligned}$$

such that for any  $v \in V, w^j \in W_j, w^k \in W_k$ ,

- (1)  $w_n^j w^k = 0$  for  $n$  sufficiently large;  
(2)  $\mathcal{Y}(L(-1)w^j, z) = \frac{d}{dz} \mathcal{Y}(w^j, z)$ , where  $L(-1)$  is the operator acting on  $W_j$ ;  
(3) for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homogeneous elements  $v, w^j$ , the following *Jacobi identity* holds for the operators acting on the element  $w^k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_i(v, z_1) \mathcal{Y}(w^j, z_2) w^k - (-1)^{|v||w^j|} z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) \mathcal{Y}(w^j, z_2) Y_k(v, z_1) w^k \\ &= z_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) \mathcal{Y}(Y_j(v, z_0)w^j, z_2) w^k. \end{aligned}$$

For any irreducible weak  $V$ -modules  $W_i, W_j, W_k$ , the space of all intertwining operators of type  $\begin{pmatrix} W_i \\ W_j \quad W_k \end{pmatrix}$  is denoted by  $I_V \begin{pmatrix} W_i \\ W_j \quad W_k \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $N_{W_j, W_k}^{W_i} = \dim I_V \begin{pmatrix} W_i \\ W_j \quad W_k \end{pmatrix}$ . These integers  $N_{W_j, W_k}^{W_i}$  are usually called the fusion rules.

## 2.2 Admissible modules of $\widetilde{osp(1|2)}$

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = osp(1|2)$  be the finite dimensional simple Lie superalgebra with the basis  $\{h, e, f, x, y\}$  such that the even part  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{h, e, f\} \cong sl_2$  and the odd part  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{x, y\}$ .

The anticommutation relations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [e, f] &= h, [h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f, \\ [h, x] &= x, [e, x] = 0, [f, x] = -y, \\ [h, y] &= -y, [e, y] = -x, [f, y] = 0, \\ \{x, x\} &= 2e, \{x, y\} = h, \{y, y\} = -2f. \end{aligned}$$

The invariant nondegenerate even supersymmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that non-trivial products are given by

$$(e, f) = (f, e) = 1, (h, h) = 2, (x, y) = -(y, x) = 2.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}k$  be the affine Lie superalgebra of  $osp(1|2)$  with the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gradation

$$|a \otimes t^n| = |a| \quad (a \in \mathfrak{g}), \quad |k| = 0,$$

and anticommutation relations

$$[a \otimes t^n, b \otimes t^m] = [a, b] \otimes t^{m+n} + m\delta_{m+n,0}(a, b)k \quad (a, b \in \mathfrak{g}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad [k, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}] = 0.$$

We identify  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^0$  and set  $a(n) = a \otimes t^n$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  for convenience. Define subalgebras

$$\begin{aligned} N_+ &= \mathbb{C}e \oplus \mathbb{C}x \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t], \\ N_- &= \mathbb{C}f \oplus \mathbb{C}y \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}], \\ B &= N_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}h \oplus \mathbb{C}k, \quad P = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}k. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d$  be the extended affine Lie superalgebra with  $|d| = 0$  and

$$[d, a \otimes t^n] = na \otimes t^n \quad (a \in \mathfrak{g}, n \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad [d, k] = 0.$$

Let  $H = \mathbb{C}h \oplus \mathbb{C}k \oplus \mathbb{C}d$  be the Cartan subalgebra of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ . For any  $\lambda \in H^*$ , denote by  $M(\lambda)$ (resp.  $L(\lambda)$ ) the Verma (resp. the irreducible highest weight)  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. It is clear that  $L(\lambda)$  is an irreducible  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, denote by  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  the  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $L(\lambda)$ , where  $\ell = \langle \lambda, k \rangle, \mathcal{J} = \langle \lambda, h \rangle$ . Conversely, for any restricted  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $M$  of level  $\ell \neq -\frac{3}{2}$ , by Sugawara construction we can extend  $M$  to a  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module by letting  $d$  act on  $M$  as  $-\bar{L}(0)$ . In this paper we shall consider any restricted  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module as a  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module in this way.

Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $U$  be a  $\mathbb{C}h$ -module,  $U$  can be regarded as a  $B$ -module by setting  $N_+$  acting trivially and  $k$  acting as  $\ell$ , let  $M(\ell, U) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(B)} U$ . If  $U = \mathbb{C}$  is a one-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}h$ -module on which  $h$  acts as a fixed complex number  $\mathcal{J}$ , the corresponding module is a Verma module denoted by  $M(\ell, \mathcal{J})$ . Then  $M(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  has a unique maximal submodule and  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  is isomorphic to the corresponding irreducible quotient. Similarly we can define the generalized Verma  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $V(\ell, U) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(P)} U$  for any  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $U$  which can be extend to a  $P$ -module by setting  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$  acting trivially and  $k$  acting as  $\ell$ . Note that if  $U = \mathbb{C}$  is the trivial  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, then  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$  is a quotient of  $M(\ell, 0)$  and  $L(\ell, 0)$  is isomorphic to the irreducible quotient of  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ .

We recall the following reducibility criterion in [14] which generalized the Kac-Kazhdan reducibility criterion [16].

**Proposition 2.4.** [14]. *The Verma module  $M(\ell, \mathcal{J})(\ell \neq -\frac{3}{2})$  is reducible if and only if*

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - s(\ell + \frac{3}{2}),$$

where  $m, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m + s \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$  and either  $m > 0, s \geq 0$  or  $m < 0, s < 0$ .

In [17], Kac and Wakimoto gave the definition of admissible weight for Kac-Moody (super)algebras and characterized the admissible weight of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  as an example. There is an equivalent characterization of the admissible weight of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  in [14].

**Proposition 2.5.** [14]. *For any  $\lambda \in H^*$ , let  $\ell = \langle \lambda, k \rangle, \mathcal{J} = \langle \lambda, h \rangle$ .*

(1)  *$\ell$  is an admissible level if and only if  $\ell + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{p}{2q}$ , where  $p, q$  are positive integers such that  $p \geq 2, p \equiv q \pmod{2}$  and  $(\frac{p-q}{2}, q) = 1$ .*

(2) *For an admissible level  $\ell, \mathcal{J}$  is an admissible weight if and only if  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - ls$ , where  $l = \ell + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{p}{2q}, m, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m + s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq p-1, 0 \leq s \leq q-1$ .*

From now on we will assume that  $l = \ell + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{p}{2q}$ , where  $p, q$  are positive integers such that  $p \geq 2, p \equiv q \pmod{2}$  and  $(\frac{p-q}{2}, q) = 1$ .

**Remark 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - ls = \frac{m'-1}{2} - ls'$  be an admissible weight such that

$$\begin{aligned} m, m', s, s' &\in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq m \leq p-1, 1 \leq m' \leq p-1, 0 \leq s' \leq s \leq q-1, \\ m + s &\equiv 1 \pmod{2}, m' + s' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $s > s'$ , then  $\frac{m-m'}{s-s'} = \frac{p}{q}$ . Since  $p \equiv q \pmod{2}$ , we have  $p, q \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $p, q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ . If  $p, q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ , by  $(\frac{p-q}{2}, q) = 1$  we have  $(p, q) = 1$ , it is a contradiction. If  $p, q \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ , by  $(\frac{p-q}{2}, q) = 1$  we have  $s - s' = \frac{1}{2}q, m - m' = \frac{1}{2}p$ , then  $(m - m', s - s') = 1$  and  $m - m' + s - s' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , it contradicts to  $m + s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $m' + s' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Hence  $s = s', m = m'$ , it shows that the expression  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - ls$  of an admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$  with  $m, s \in \mathbb{Z}, m + s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq p-1, 0 \leq s \leq q-1$  is unique.

A vector  $w$  in a highest weight module  $M$  for  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is called a *singular vector* if  $w$  as a highest weight vector generates a proper submodule of  $M$ . Note that  $l = \frac{p}{2q}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - ls = \frac{m-p-1}{2} - l(s-q)$ , then from Remark 2.6, together with the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [14] and Corollary 1 in [17], we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - ls$ , where  $m, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $m + s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq p-1, 0 \leq s \leq q-1$  and let  $v$  be a highest weight vector which generates the Verma module  $M(\ell, \mathcal{J})$ . Set*

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(m, s) &= y(0)(y(0)^2)^{\frac{m-1}{2}+ls} e(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}+l(s-1)} y(0)(y(0)^2)^{\frac{m-1}{2}+l(s-2)} \dots \\ &\quad e(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-l(s-1)} y(0)(y(0)^2)^{\frac{m-1}{2}-ls}, \\ F_2(m, s) &= e(-1)^{\frac{p-m}{2}+l(q-s-1)} y(0)(y(0)^2)^{\frac{p-m-1}{2}+l(q-s-2)} e(-1)^{\frac{p-m}{2}+l(q-s-3)} \dots \\ &\quad y(0)(y(0)^2)^{\frac{p-m-1}{2}-l(q-s-2)} e(-1)^{\frac{p-m}{2}-l(q-s-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Then  $v_{j,1} = F_1(m, s)v, v_{j,2} = F_2(m, s)v$  are singular vectors of  $M(\ell, \mathcal{J})$ . Moreover, the maximal proper submodule of  $M(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  is generated by  $v_{j,1}$  and  $v_{j,2}$ .

We regard  $(y(0)^2)^\gamma$  as an even element for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . It follows from [24] that  $F_1(m, s)$  and  $F_2(m, s)$  make sense as an element of  $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ , and we have

$$a^\gamma b = ba^\gamma + \sum_{j>0} \binom{\gamma}{j} (\text{ada})^j(b)a^{\gamma-j} \quad (2.3)$$

for  $a \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0, b \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Remark 2.8.** For  $\mathcal{L} = 0$ , from Remark 2.6, we have  $m = 1, s = 0$ . By Proposition 2.7,  $v_{0,1} = F_1(1, 0)\mathbf{1}, v_{0,2} = F_2(1, 0)\mathbf{1}$  are singular vectors of  $M(\mathcal{L}, 0)$ . Since  $v_{0,1} = F_1(1, 0)\mathbf{1} = 0$  in  $V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})$ , we have  $v_{0,2} = F_2(1, 0)\mathbf{1}$  generates the maximal proper submodule of  $V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})$ .

Set  $P(\alpha) = xy + \alpha$  and  $Q(\alpha) = yx - \alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . We have the following commutation relations in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (cf. [14]).

**Proposition 2.9.** *For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [P(\alpha), P(\beta)] &= 0, [P(\alpha), Q(\beta)] = 0, [Q(\alpha), Q(\beta)] = 0, \\ e^\gamma P(\alpha) &= P(\alpha - \gamma)e^\gamma, e^\gamma Q(\alpha) = Q(\alpha + \gamma)e^\gamma, \\ f^\gamma P(\alpha) &= P(\alpha + \gamma)f^\gamma, f^\gamma Q(\alpha) = P(\alpha - \gamma)f^\gamma, \\ xP(\alpha) &= Q(1 - \alpha)x, xQ(\alpha) = P(-\alpha)x, yP(\alpha) = Q(-\alpha)y, yQ(\alpha) = P(1 - \alpha)y, \\ e^\gamma y &= Q(\gamma)xe^{\gamma-1}, xe^\gamma y = P(-\gamma)e^\gamma, f^\gamma x = -P(\gamma)yf^{\gamma-1}, yf^\gamma x = Q(-\gamma)f^\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $\sigma$  be the anti-automorphism of superalgebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $\sigma(a) = -a$  for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\sigma(P(\alpha)) = -Q(\alpha)$  and  $\sigma(Q(\alpha)) = -P(\alpha)$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\pi$  be the projection  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  onto  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\pi(a \otimes t^n) = a$  for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\pi(c) = 0$ . From the Lemma 4.2 in [14], we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.10.** [14]. *The following projection formulas hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(F_1(m, s)) &= \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^m \{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P(\frac{i}{2} + jl) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl) \} y^m, \\ \pi(F_2(m, s)) &= \prod_{j=1}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-m} \{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q(\frac{i}{2} + jl) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl) \} x^{p-m}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

### 3 Rationality of $(L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0), \omega_\xi)$

In this section, we first construct the weak  $V_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})$ -module  $L(\mathcal{L}, U)$  and determine the condition that  $L(\mathcal{L}, U)$  is a weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0)$ -module. Then we prove that  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0)$  at admissible level is rational in category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  and  $(L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0), \omega_\xi)$  are rational  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras respect to a family of Virasoro elements  $\omega_\xi$ , where  $0 < \xi < 1$  is a rational number.

### 3.1 The weak $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module $L(\ell, U)$

We know that for  $\ell \neq -\frac{3}{2}$ ,  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$  and  $L(\ell, 0)$  have natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra structures and any  $M(\ell, U)$  is a weak module for  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ . We denote by  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra  $L(\ell, 0)$ . For a  $\mathbb{C}h$ -module  $U$ , we define a linear function on  $U^* \otimes M(\ell, U)$  as follows:

$$\langle u', u \rangle = u'(\pi'(u)), \text{ for } u' \in U^*, u \in M(\ell, U),$$

where  $\pi'$  is the projection of  $M(\ell, U)$  onto the subspace  $U$ . Define

$$I = \{u \in M(\ell, U) \mid \langle u', au \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } u' \in U^*, a \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})\}. \quad (3.1)$$

**Lemma 3.1.**  *$I$  is unique and maximal in the set of submodules of  $M(\ell, U)$  which intersects with  $U$  trivially.*

*Proof.* Let  $I'$  is a submodule of  $M(\ell, U)$  which intersects with  $U$  trivially. Suppose  $I' \not\subseteq I$ , we have there exists  $u \in I'$  such that  $\langle u', au \rangle \neq 0$  for some  $u' \in U^*$ , then  $\pi'(au) \neq 0$ . Since  $I'$  is a submodule, we have  $au \in I'$ . From the natural gradation of  $M(\ell, U)$  as a  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, we have  $\pi'(au) \in I'$ , it contradicts to that  $I'$  intersects with  $U$  trivially, hence  $I' \subseteq I$ . Therefore  $I$  is unique and maximal in the set of submodules of  $M(\ell, U)$  which intersects with  $U$  trivially.  $\square$

Set  $L(\ell, U) = M(\ell, U)/I$  and we regard  $U$  as a subspace of  $L(\ell, U)$ . Then  $\pi'$  induces a projection of  $L(\ell, U)$  to  $U$ , which we still denote it by  $\pi'$ . It is clear that  $L(\ell, U)$  is a weak module for  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $Y(\cdot, z)$  be the vertex operator map defining the module structure on  $L(\ell, U)$ , then  $Y(\cdot, z)$  is an intertwining operator of type  $\begin{pmatrix} L(\ell, U) \\ V(\ell, \mathbb{C})L(\ell, U) \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{Y}(u, z)v = (-1)^{|u||v|}e^{zL(-1)}Y(v, -z)u$$

for any homogeneous element  $u \in L(\ell, U), v \in V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z)$  is an intertwining operator of type  $\begin{pmatrix} L(\ell, U) \\ L(\ell, U)V(\ell, \mathbb{C}) \end{pmatrix}$  (cf. [11]).

**Lemma 3.2.** *The  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $L(\ell, U)$  is a weak module for  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  if and only if*

$$\langle u', \mathcal{Y}(u, z)v_{0,2} \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } u' \in U^*, u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\ell, U). \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $J$  be the maximal submodule of  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ . From Remark 2.8, we have  $J = U(N_-)v_{0,2}$ . Since  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0) = V(\ell, \mathbb{C})/J$  is the quotient vertex operator superalgebra of  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$  and  $L(\ell, U)$  is a weak module for the vertex operator superalgebra  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ , then for any  $v \in J$  we have  $Y(v, z)L(\ell, U) = 0$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{Y}(u, z)v_{0,2} = (-1)^{|u||v_{0,2}|}e^{zL(-1)}Y(v_{0,2}, -z)u = 0$$

for any homogeneous element  $u \in L(\ell, U)$ , then  $\langle u', \mathcal{Y}(u, z)v_{0,2} \rangle = 0$  for any  $u' \in U^*, u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\ell, U)$ .



For the other hand, if  $a \in N_-U(N_-)$ , we have  $\pi'(a\mathcal{Y}(u, z)w) = 0$ . Then

$$\langle u', a\mathcal{Y}(u, z)w \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } u' \in U^*, u \in L(\ell, U), a \in N_-U(N_-), w \in V(\ell, \mathbb{C}).$$

Let  $\Gamma = \{w \in V(\ell, \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle u', \mathcal{Y}(u, z)w \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } u' \in U^*, u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\ell, U)\}$ , then  $v_{0,2} \in \Gamma$ . From the Jacobi identity for the intertwining operator we can get the following commutator formula

$$[a(m), \mathcal{Y}(u, z)] = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{m}{j} \mathcal{Y}(a(j)u, z)z^{m-j} \quad (3.3)$$

for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in L(\ell, U)$ . If  $w \in \Gamma, a(m) \in N_-, u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\ell, U)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}(u, z)a(m)w &= (-1)^{|u||a|}(a(m)\mathcal{Y}(u, z)w - [a(m), \mathcal{Y}(u, z)]w) \\ &= (-1)^{|u||a|}(a(m)\mathcal{Y}(u, z)w - \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{m}{j} \mathcal{Y}(a(j)u, z)z^{m-j}w), \end{aligned}$$

then by  $j \geq 0$  we have  $a(j)u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\ell, U)$  and  $\langle u', \mathcal{Y}(u, z)a(m)w \rangle = 0$ , hence  $a(m)w \in \Gamma$ . Then we have  $J \subseteq \Gamma$ . From the Jacobi identity we can also get

$$\mathcal{Y}(a(n)u, z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{n}{j} a(n-j)\mathcal{Y}(u, z)z^j - (-1)^{n+|u||a|} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{n}{j} \mathcal{Y}(u, z)a(j)z^{n-j} \quad (3.4)$$

for any  $u \in L(\ell, U), a \in \mathfrak{g}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $L(\ell, U)$  is generated by  $U$  as  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, then we have  $\langle u', \mathcal{Y}(u, z)J \rangle = 0$  for any  $u' \in U^*, u \in L(\ell, U)$ . From (3.3), we have

$$\langle u', x\mathcal{Y}(u, z)J \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } u' \in U^*, x \in U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), u \in L(\ell, U).$$

Then  $\mathcal{Y}(u, z)J \subseteq I$  for any  $u \in L(\ell, U)$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{Y}(u, z)J = 0$  in  $L(\ell, U)$ . It implies that  $\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z)$  induces an intertwining operator of type  $\begin{pmatrix} L(\ell, U) \\ L(\ell, U)L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0) \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence  $L(\ell, U)$  is a weak module for the vertex operator superalgebra  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.**  $L(\ell, U)$  is a weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module if and only if  $f(h)U = 0$ , where

$$f(h) = \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 0 \leq j \leq q-1, 1 \leq i \leq p-1} \left(h - \frac{i-1}{2} + jl\right). \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof.* For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we define  $\deg(a \otimes t^n) = n$ . For any  $u' \in U^*, a(m) \in N_-, u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\ell, U), w \in V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ , by the commutator formula (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u', \mathcal{Y}(u, z)a(m)w \rangle &= (-1)^{|u||a|}(\langle u', a(m)\mathcal{Y}(u, z)w \rangle - \langle u', [a(m), \mathcal{Y}(u, z)]w \rangle) \\ &= (-1)^{|u||a|+1} \langle u', \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{m}{j} \mathcal{Y}(a(j)u, z)z^{m-j}w \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|u||a|+1} \langle u', \mathcal{Y}(a(0)u, z)z^m w \rangle \\ &= \langle u', (-1)^{|u||a|+1} z^{\deg(a(m))} \mathcal{Y}(\pi(a(m))u, z)w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any  $u' \in U^*$ ,  $a \in U(N_-)$ ,  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\mathcal{L}, U)$ ,  $w \in V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})$  we have

$$\langle u', \mathcal{Y}(u, z)aw \rangle = \langle u', \xi z^{\deg(a)} \mathcal{Y}(\sigma\pi(a)u, z)w \rangle,$$

where  $\xi = 1$  or  $-1$ . Let  $a = F_2(1, 0)$ , then  $v_{0,2} = a\mathbf{1}$ . From Lemma 3.2,  $L(\mathcal{L}, U)$  is a weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0)$ -module if and only if

$$\langle u', \mathcal{Y}(\sigma\pi(a)u, z)\mathbf{1} \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } u' \in U^*, u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\mathcal{L}, U).$$

By Proposition 2.9 and Proposition 2.10 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\pi(a) &= \sigma \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q\left(\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} x^{p-1} \\ &= (-1)^{q(p-1)} x^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P\left(\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{q(p-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P\left(-\frac{p-i-1}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q\left(\frac{p-i}{2} - jl\right) \right\} x^{p-1}, & p \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \\ (-1)^{q(p-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q\left(\frac{p-i}{2} - jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P\left(-\frac{p-i-1}{2} + jl\right) \right\} x^{p-1}, & p \in 2\mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \\ &= (-1)^{q(p-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q\left(\frac{i}{2} - jl\right) \right\} x^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{Y}(\sigma\pi(a)u, z)\mathbf{1} = e^{zL(-1)}Y(\mathbf{1}, -z)\sigma\pi(a)u = e^{zL(-1)}\sigma\pi(a)u$ . Then for any  $u' \in U^*$ ,  $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})U \subset L(\mathcal{L}, U)$ ,

$$\langle u', \mathcal{Y}(\sigma\pi(a)u, z)\mathbf{1} \rangle = \langle u', e^{zL(-1)}\sigma\pi(a)u \rangle = \langle u', \sigma\pi(a)u \rangle,$$

thus  $L(\mathcal{L}, U)$  is a weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0)$ -module if and only if for any  $u' \in U^*$ ,

$$\langle u', \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q\left(\frac{i}{2} - jl\right) \right\} x^{p-1} U(\mathfrak{g})U \rangle = 0.$$

From the grading restriction on the bilinear pair, it is equivalent to

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q\left(\frac{i}{2} - jl\right) \right\} x^{p-1} y^{p-1} U = 0.$$

By Proposition 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{p-1} y^{p-1} &= \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} Q\left(\frac{p+1}{2} - i\right) P\left(-\frac{p-1}{2} + i\right), & p \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \\ P(0) \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} P\left(-\frac{p}{2} + i\right) Q\left(\frac{p}{2} - i\right), & p \in 2\mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \\ &= \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 1 \leq i \leq p-1} P\left(-\frac{i-1}{2}\right) \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq p-1} Q\left(\frac{i}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $xU = 0$ , we have for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$P(\alpha)U = xyU + \alpha U = (h + \alpha)U, Q(\alpha)U = -\alpha U.$$

Then  $L(\ell, U)$  is a weak  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module if and only if

$$\prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 1 \leq j \leq q-1, 1 \leq i \leq p-1} \left(h - \frac{i-1}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 1 \leq i \leq p-1} \left(h - \frac{i-1}{2}\right)U = 0.$$

It is equivalent to

$$f(h)U = \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 0 \leq j \leq q-1, 1 \leq i \leq p-1} \left(h - \frac{i-1}{2} + jl\right)U = 0.$$

□

From Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** *The  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  is a weak  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module if and only if  $\mathcal{J}$  is admissible.*

Let  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  be the subcategory of the weak  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module category such that  $M$  is an object in  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  if and only if  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  as  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. In [25], Wood showed that  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  is semisimple.

**Theorem 3.5.** [25]. *The weak  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules in the category  $\mathcal{O}$  are completely reducible, i.e.,  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  is semisimple. Moreover, the irreducible modules correspond to  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is admissible.*

Corollary 3.4 can also be obtained by Theorem 3.5. Conversely, by Corollary 3.4 and the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [18], we can obtain Theorem 3.5 in a different way. From Theorem 3.5, for any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_\ell$ , let  $U = \{w \in M \mid N_+w = 0\}$ , it is clear that  $U$  is a  $\mathbb{C}h$ -module and  $M \cong L(\ell, U)$ .

## 3.2 Category $\mathcal{C}_\ell$

**Definition 3.6.** The category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is the subcategory of the weak  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module category such that  $M$  is an object in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  if and only if  $a$  acts locally nilpotently on  $M$  for all  $a \in N_+$ .

It is clear that the object in  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  is also an object in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is semisimple. Let  $\Omega(M) = \{v \in M \mid (\mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]).v = 0\}$  for a weak  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module  $M$ . We can prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $M$  be a weak  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , then  $M$  has a highest weight vector.*

*Proof.* Similar to the Proposition 3.6 of [23] or the Theorem 3.7 of [6], we have  $\Omega(M) \neq 0$ . Since  $M$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , we have  $e$  and  $x$  act locally nilpotent on  $\Omega(M)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathbb{C}e \oplus \mathbb{C}x$ , then  $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)v$  is finite dimensional for any  $v \in \Omega(M)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{n}_+$  is a nilpotent Lie superalgebra, then by the Engel theorem for Lie superalgebra (cf. [15]), there exists

a nonzero  $w \in U(\mathfrak{n}_+)v$  such that  $\mathfrak{n}_+.w = 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{n}_+.\Omega(M) \subseteq \Omega(M)$ , we have  $w \in \Omega(M)$ , then  $N_+.w = 0$ . Furthermore, let  $U = U(\mathfrak{g})w$  and  $W$  be the weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -submodule generated by  $U$ . Then  $W$  is isomorphic to a quotient module of  $M(\ell, U)$  as  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. From Lemma 3.1,  $L(\ell, U)$  is isomorphic to some quotient module of  $W$  as  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, then  $L(\ell, U)$  is a quotient of  $W$  as weak  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -module. Therefore  $L(\ell, U)$  is a weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module. From Theorem 3.3, we have  $f(h)U = 0$ , then  $h$  semisimply acts on  $U$ , i.e.,  $w$  is a highest weight vector.  $\square$

We now classify simple objects in the category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *Any irreducible weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is of the form  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  with admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$ . Moreover, up to isomorphism, there are finitely many irreducible weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be an irreducible weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , then by Proposition 3.7,  $M$  contains a highest weight vector  $w$ . Since  $M$  is an irreducible weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module, we have  $M$  is an irreducible weak  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -module, then  $M$  is an irreducible  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module generated by  $w$ . Therefore  $M$  is an irreducible highest weight module of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ . By Corollary 3.4,  $M$  is of the form  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  for some admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$ .  $\square$

Then we can prove the category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is semisimple.

**Theorem 3.9.** *The category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is semisimple. Moreover, any object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is a direct sum of  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  with admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ . From Proposition 3.7,  $M$  contains a highest weight vector  $w$ . Let  $W$  be the weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -submodule generated by  $w$ , then  $W$  is a quotient of certain Verma module  $M(\ell, \mathcal{J}')$  as  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module. Hence  $W$  is an object in  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ . From Theorem 3.5, it is completely reducible and  $W = \bigoplus_{\mathcal{J}} L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  for admissible weights  $\mathcal{J}$ . But the highest weight subspace of  $M(\ell, \mathcal{J}')$  is one dimensional, then  $W = L(\ell, \mathcal{J}')$  with admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}'$ . Let  $W'$  be the sum of irreducible weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module of  $M$ , then  $W'$  is a direct sum of  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  for admissible weights  $\mathcal{J}$ .

If  $W'$  is a proper submodule of  $M$ , then  $M/W'$  is a weak  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , thus it contains a highest weight vector  $\bar{w}'$  by Proposition 3.7. Let  $w'$  be a preimage of  $\bar{w}'$ , then  $N_+.w' \subseteq W'$ . Since  $N_+$  is finitely generated, there exist submodules  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1), L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_2), \dots, L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_s)$  of  $W'$  such that

$$N_+.w' \subseteq L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1) \oplus L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_2) \oplus \dots \oplus L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_s).$$

From Theorem 3.5, the submodule of  $M$  generated by  $w'$  and  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1) \oplus L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_2) \oplus \dots \oplus L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_s)$  is completely reducible. Then the submodule of  $M$  generated by  $w'$  is a direct sum of certain  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  with admissible weights  $\mathcal{J}$ , it is a contradiction. Therefore  $W' = M$ , i.e.,  $M$  is a direct sum of  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  with admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$ . Then we have that the category  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$  is semisimple.  $\square$

As an application of the semisimplicity of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , we prove the category of ordinary modules for  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  is semisimple.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Any ordinary  $L_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module  $M$  is completely reducible.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be an ordinary  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module, then  $M = \bigoplus_{h \in \mathbb{C}} M_h$  such that  $\dim M_h < \infty$  for any  $h \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $M_{h+n} = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  sufficiently small. For any  $a(n) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ , we have  $\text{wt } a(n)w = \text{wt } w - n < \text{wt } w$  for all  $w \in M$ , then  $a(n)$  acts locally nilpotently on  $M$ . For any  $w \in M_h$  ( $h \in \mathbb{C}$ ), since  $M$  is a  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module and  $\text{wt } aw = \text{wt } w$  for any  $a \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we have  $M_h$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, then  $x$  and  $e$  act locally nilpotently on  $M_h$ . Therefore  $x$  acts locally nilpotently on  $M$  for all  $x \in N_+$ , i.e.,  $M$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell$ , then  $M$  is completely reducible by Theorem 3.9.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *Any irreducible ordinary  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module is of the form  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  such that  $0 \leq \mathcal{J} \leq \frac{p-2}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{J} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, up to isomorphism, there are finitely many irreducible ordinary  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an irreducible finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, then the irreducible quotient  $L(U)$  of  $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(U)$  is an irreducible ordinary  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -module (cf. [20]). It is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.2.23 of [22], we have the modules  $L(U)$  for irreducible finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $U$  exhaust the irreducible ordinary  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -modules up to equivalence. Let  $M$  be an irreducible ordinary  $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module, then from Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 3.10,  $M$  is of the form  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  with admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$ . Since  $M$  is an irreducible ordinary  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -module, we have  $M$  is of the form  $L(U)$  for irreducible finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $U$ . Hence  $M$  is of the form  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  with admissible weight  $\mathcal{J} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{J}$  is admissible,  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - ls$ , where  $l = \frac{p}{2q}$ ,  $m, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m+s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq p-1$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq q-1$ ,  $p, q$  are positive integers such that  $p \geq 2$ ,  $p \equiv q \pmod{2}$  and  $(\frac{p-q}{2}, q) = 1$ . If  $p, q \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ , we have  $(p, q) = 1$ ,  $l \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $s = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2}$  for  $1 \leq m \leq p-1$ ,  $m \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ . If  $p, q \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\frac{p-q}{2} \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$  and  $(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{q}{2}) = 1$ , for  $\frac{p}{2} \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ ,  $l = \frac{p/2}{q} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(\frac{p}{2}, q) = 1$ , then  $s = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2}$  for  $1 \leq m \leq p-1$ ,  $m \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ ; for  $\frac{p}{2} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $l = \frac{p/4}{q/2}$  and  $(\frac{p}{4}, \frac{q}{2}) = 1$ , by  $m+s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - \frac{p}{4} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  for  $s = \frac{q}{2}$ , then  $s = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2}$  for  $1 \leq m \leq p-1$ ,  $m \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ . Therefore we have  $0 \leq \mathcal{J} \leq \frac{p-2}{2}$ ,  $\mathcal{J} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

Proposition 3.10 and Proposition 3.11 are also obtained in [4] by using the theory of vertex superalgebra extensions.

### 3.3 $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras $(L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$

A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra  $V$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded by weights instead of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded (cf. [10]). Similarly we can define the weak module,  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $V$ -module and ordinary module for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra.

**Definition 3.12.** A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra  $V$  is called *rational* if any  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $V$ -module is a direct sum of irreducible  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $V$ -modules.

Let  $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra,  $h \in V_{(1)}$  be a vector satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} [L(m), h_n] &= -nh_{m+n} - \frac{m^2 + m}{2} \delta_{m+n, 0} \kappa_1 \text{Id}_V, \\ [h_m, h_n] &= 2m \delta_{m+n, 0} \kappa_2 \text{Id}_V, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Assume that  $h_0$  acts semisimply on  $V$  and the eigenvalues of  $h_0$  are rational numbers. For a rational number  $\xi$ , set  $\omega_\xi = \omega + \frac{\xi}{2}L(-1)h$  and  $Y(\omega_\xi, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L'(n)z^{-n-2}$ . Then we have

$$L'(n) = L(n) - \frac{\xi}{2}(n+1)h_n \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

hence the component operators of  $\omega_\xi$  satisfy the Virasoro relations and  $L'(-1) = L(-1) = D$ . Since  $L(0)$  and  $h_0$  are commutative, each  $V_{(n)}$  is a direct sum of eigenspaces of  $h_0$ . Set  $V_{(m,n)} = \{v \in V_{(m)} \mid h_0 v = nv\}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let

$$V'_{(m)} = \{v \in V \mid L'(0)v = mv\} = \coprod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{Q}, s - \frac{\xi t}{2} = m} V_{(s,t)}. \quad (3.7)$$

Then we have the following proposition (cf. [2, 7, 23]).

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra of central charge  $c$ ,  $h \in V_{(1)}$  be a vector satisfying the conditions (3.6) and  $h_0$  acts semisimply on  $V$ , the eigenvalues of  $h_0$  are rational numbers. Suppose that  $\dim V'_{(m)} < \infty$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $V'_{(m)} = 0$  for  $m$  sufficiently small. Then  $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega_\xi)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra of central charge  $c - 6\xi(\kappa_1 + \xi\kappa_2)$ .*

For the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ , by the Sugawara construction,

$$\begin{aligned} L(n) = & \frac{1}{2\ell + 3} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{2} : h(m)h(n-m) : + : e(m)f(n-m) : + : f(m)e(n-m) : \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} : x(m)y(n-m) : + \frac{1}{2} : y(m)x(n-m) : \right). \end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation shows that  $[L(m), h(n)] = -nh(m+n)$ , then  $h$  satisfies conditions (3.6) with  $\kappa_1 = 0, \kappa_2 = \ell$ . Hence  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  have a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gradation by weights with respect to  $L'(0)$ . From the construction of  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , if  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})_{(m,n)} \neq 0$ , we have  $-2m \leq n \leq 2m$ . Since  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  is a quotient of  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , if  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)_{(m,n)} \neq 0$ , we also have  $-2m \leq n \leq 2m$ . Let  $0 < \xi < 1$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{Q}$ , since  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)'_{(m)} = \coprod_{s \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{Q}, s - \frac{\xi t}{2} = m} L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)_{(s,t)}$ , we have

$$\frac{m}{1+\xi} \leq s \leq \frac{m}{1-\xi}$$

for  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)_{(s,t)} \neq 0$ , then  $\dim L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)'_{(m)} < \infty$ . Note that  $\frac{m}{1+\xi} \leq \frac{m}{1-\xi}$ , we have  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)'_{(m)} = 0$  for  $m < 0$ . Therefore, by Proposition 3.13,  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra of central charge  $c - 6\xi^2\ell$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $0 < \xi < 1$ ,  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  is a rational  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra. Moreover, any  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ -module is a direct sum of  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  with admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ -module, we denote by  $\deg w$  the degree of homogeneous element  $w \in W$ . For any  $\alpha \in \Delta_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}^+$  and the corresponding root vector  $x_\alpha$ ,

$$L'(0)x_\alpha = L(0)x_\alpha - \frac{\xi}{2}h_0x_\alpha = (1 - \frac{\xi}{2}\alpha(h))x_\alpha.$$

Since  $0 < \xi < 1$ , we have  $\deg x_\alpha w = \text{wt } x_\alpha + \deg w - 1 < \deg w$  for all  $w \in M$ , then  $x$  and  $e$  act locally nilpotently on  $M$ . For any  $a(n) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ , we have

$$\deg a(n)w = \deg w + \text{wt } a - n - 1 < \deg w$$

for all  $w \in M$ , then  $a(n)$  acts locally nilpotently on  $M$ . Therefore  $a$  acts locally nilpotently on  $M$  for all  $a \in N_+$ , i.e.,  $M$  is an object of  $\mathcal{E}_\ell$ . Then  $M$  is completely reducible by Theorem 3.9.

Next we prove that  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ -module for any admissible weight  $j$ . Similar to the proof that  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra,  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  with admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ordinary  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ -module, hence  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J})$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ -module.  $\square$

## 4 $A(V)$ -theory for $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$

In this section, we first recall the  $A(V)$ -theory for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras. Then we determine the Zhu's algebras  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0))$  and their bimodules  $A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}))$  for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ . Furthermore, we apply the Zhu's algebras and their bimodules associated to  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  to calculate the fusion rules among the irreducible ordinary modules of  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$ .

### 4.1 $A(V)$ -theory for $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra. Define a function  $\varepsilon$  for all homogeneous elements of  $V$  as follows:

$$\varepsilon(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{wt } a \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{wt } a \notin \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

For any homogeneous element  $a \in V$ , we define

$$a * b = \varepsilon(a) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } a]}}{z} Y(a, z)b \quad (4.1)$$

for any  $b \in V$ , where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the greatest-integer function. Then we can extend  $*$  on  $V$ . Let  $O(V)$  be the subspace of  $V$  linearly spanned by

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } a]}}{z^{1+\varepsilon(a)}} Y(a, z)b \quad (4.2)$$

for any homogeneous element  $a \in V$  and for any  $b \in V$ . We have

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } a]+m}}{z^{1+\varepsilon(a)+n}} Y(a, z)b \in O(V) \quad (4.3)$$

for  $n \geq m \geq 0$  (cf. [12]). Let  $M$  be any weak  $V$ -module, we define

$$\Omega(M) = \{u \in M \mid a_m u = 0 \text{ for } a \in V, m > \text{wt } a - 1\},$$

and  $o$  to be the linear map from  $V$  to  $\text{End } \Omega(M)$  such that  $o(a) = \varepsilon(a)a_{[\text{wt } a]-1}$  for any homogeneous element  $a \in V$ . The following theorem were established in [9].

**Theorem 4.1.** (a) *The subspace  $O(V)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $V$  with respect to the product  $*$  and  $A(V) = V/O(V)$  is an associative superalgebra with identity  $\mathbf{1} + O(V)$ . Moreover,  $\omega + O(V)$  lies in the center of  $A(V)$ .*

(b) *For any weak  $V$ -module  $M$ ,  $\Omega(M)$  is an  $A(V)$ -module with  $a$  acts as  $o(a)$  for  $a \in V$ .*

(c) *There is an induction functor  $L$  from the category of  $A(V)$ -modules to the category of  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $V$ -modules. Moreover,  $\Omega(L(U)) = U$  for any  $A(V)$ -module  $U$ .*

(d)  *$\Omega$  and  $L$  are inverse bijections between the sets of irreducible modules in each category.*

As a consequence, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $V$  is rational, then  $A(V)$  is a semisimple associative superalgebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a  $A(V)$ -module, from Theorem 4.1  $L(U)$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $V$ -modules with  $\Omega(L(U)) = L(U)(0) = U$ . Since  $V$  is rational, we have  $L(U)$  is complete reducible. Then  $U$  is complete reducible as  $A(V)$ -module. Hence  $A(V)$  is semisimple.  $\square$

For any weak  $V$ -module  $M$ , let  $O(M)$  be the subspace of  $M$  linearly spanned by

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } a]}}{z^{1+\varepsilon(a)}} Y_M(a, z)u \quad (4.4)$$

for any homogeneous element  $a \in V$  and for any  $u \in M$ . Similar to the Theorem 2.11 in [21] (see also [12, 19]), we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** (a) *The quotient space  $A(M) = M/O(M)$  is an  $A(V)$ -bimodule with the following left and right actions:*

$$\begin{aligned} a * u &= \varepsilon(a) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } a]}}{z} Y_M(a, z)u, \\ u * a &= (-1)^{|a||u|} \varepsilon(a) \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } a]-1}}{z} Y_M(a, z)u \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for any homogeneous element  $a \in V, u \in M$ .

(b) *Let  $W_1, W_2, W_3$  be irreducible  $V$ -modules and suppose  $V$  is rational. Then there is a linear isomorphism from the space  $\text{Hom}_{A(V)}(A(W_1) \otimes_{A(V)} W_2(0), W_3(0))$  to the space of intertwining operators of type  $\begin{pmatrix} W_3 \\ W_1 & W_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .*

We also obtain the following lemma which is similar to the Proposition 1.5.4 in [12].

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebra and let  $M$  be a weak  $V$ -module with a weak submodule  $W$ ,  $I$  be an ideal of  $V$ .*

(a) *As  $A(V)$ -bimodule  $A(M/W) \cong M/(O(M) + W)$ .*

(b)  *$(I+O(V))/O(V)$  is a two sided ideal of  $A(V)$  and  $A(V/I) \cong A(V)/((I+O(V))/O(V))$ .*

(c) *If  $I \cdot M \subset W$ , then  $I * A(M) \subset (W + O(M))/O(M)$ ,  $A(M) * I \subset (W + O(M))/O(M)$  and  $A(M/W) \cong A(M)/((W + O(M))/O(M))$  as  $A(V/I)$ -bimodules.*



## 4.2 Zhu's algebras $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0))$ and their bimodules $A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}))$

Take  $0 < \xi < 1$ , we have  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_\xi)$  and  $(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}), \omega_\xi)$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras. First we determine the Zhu's algebra  $A(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}))$  and their bimodules  $A(M(\ell, \mathcal{J}))$  for  $(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}), \omega_\xi)$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $M$  be any weak  $(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}), \omega_\xi)$ -module. Then  $O(M) = CM$ , where  $C = \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}](t^{-1}+1) \otimes f + \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}](t^{-1}+1) \otimes y + \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]t^{-1} \otimes e + \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]t^{-1} \otimes x + \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}](t^{-2}+t^{-1}) \otimes h$ .*

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt } h(-1)\mathbf{1} &= 1, \text{wt } e(-1)\mathbf{1} = 1 - \xi, \text{wt } f(-1)\mathbf{1} = 1 + \xi, \\ \text{wt } x(-1)\mathbf{1} &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}\xi, \text{wt } y(-1)\mathbf{1} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } f]}}{z^m} Y_M(f, z)u &= (f(-m) + f(1-m))u; \\ \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } y]}}{z^m} Y_M(y, z)u &= (y(-m) + y(1-m))u; \\ \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } e]}}{z^m} Y_M(e, z)u &= e(-m)u; \\ \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } x]}}{z^m} Y_M(x, z)u &= x(-m)u; \\ \text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } h]}}{z^{m+1}} Y_M(h, z)u &= (h(-m-1) + h(-m))u \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for any positive integer  $m$  and for  $u \in M$ . It is clear that all those elements in (4.6) are in  $O(M)$ . Let  $W$  be the subspace linearly spanned by the elements in (4.6), then  $W = CM$ .

Let  $L$  be the linear span of homogeneous elements  $a$  of  $(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}), \omega_\xi)$  such that for any positive integer  $n$ ,

$$\text{Res}_z \frac{(1+z)^{[\text{wt } a]}}{z^{n+\varepsilon(a)}} Y_M(a, z)M \subseteq W.$$

Let  $a$  be any homogeneous element of  $L$ , similar to the proof of the Proposition 4.1 in [7], we have  $h(-m)a, e(-m)a, f(-m)a, x(-m)a, y(-m)a \in L$  for any positive integer  $m$ . Since  $\mathbf{1} \in L$  and  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C}) = U(t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{g})\mathbf{1}$ , we have  $L = V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ . Therefore  $O(M) = W = CM$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *The associative superalgebra  $A(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}))$  for  $(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}), \omega_\xi)$  is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ .*

*Proof.* Define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathbb{C}[t] &\rightarrow A(V(\ell, \mathbb{C})) \\ g(t) &\mapsto g(h(-1))\mathbf{1} + O(V(\ell, \mathbb{C})) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ . Since  $[h(-1), h(0)] = 0$  and  $h(0)\mathbf{1} = 0$ , we have  $g(h(-1))\mathbf{1} = g(h(-1) + h(0))\mathbf{1}$  for any  $g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ . Since  $h(-1)\mathbf{1} * u = (h(-1) + h(0))u$  for any  $u \in V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(f(t)g(t)) &= f(h(-1))g(h(-1))\mathbf{1} + O(V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})) \\ &= f(h(-1) + h(0))g(h(-1))\mathbf{1} + O(V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})) \\ &= f(h(-1))\mathbf{1} * g(h(-1))\mathbf{1} + O(V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})) = \psi(f(t)) * \psi(g(t)),\end{aligned}$$

thus  $\psi$  is an superalgebra homomorphism. By  $N_- = C \oplus \mathbb{C}f(0) \oplus \mathbb{C}y(0) \oplus \mathbb{C}h(-1)$ ,

$$U(N_-) = U(C)U(\mathbb{C}h(-1))U(\mathbb{C}f(0))U(\mathbb{C}y(0)).$$

Then by Proposition 4.5, we have

$$O(V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})) = CV(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C}) = CU(N_-)\mathbf{1} \cong CU(C)U(\mathbb{C}h(-1)).$$

Hence  $A(V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C})) \cong (U(C)U(\mathbb{C}h(-1)))/(CU(C)U(\mathbb{C}h(-1))) \cong U(\mathbb{C}h(-1)) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *The  $A(V(\mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C}))$ -bimodule  $A(M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}))$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]$  with the biaction as follows:*

$$t * f(t_1, t_2) = (t_1 + \mathcal{J} - t_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2})f(t_1, t_2), f(t_1, t_2) * t = t_1 f(t_1, t_2) \quad (4.7)$$

for any  $f(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a highest weight vector of  $M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})$ . Then by Proposition 4.5, we have

$$O(M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})) = CM(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}) = CU(N_-)v \cong CU(C)U(\mathbb{C}h(-1))U(\mathbb{C}f(0))U(\mathbb{C}y(0)).$$

Then

$$A(M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})) = \bigoplus_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}(h(-1)^{m_1}y(0)^{m_2} + O(M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}))).$$

From Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}h(-1)\mathbf{1} * (h(-1)^{m_1}y(0)^{m_2}v) &= (h(-1) + h(0))h(-1)^{m_1}y(0)^{m_2}v \\ &= (h(-1) + \mathcal{J} - m_2)h(-1)^{m_1}y(0)^{m_2}v, \\ (h(-1)^{m_1}y(0)^{m_2}v) * h(-1)\mathbf{1} &= h(-1)h(-1)^{m_1}y(0)^{m_2}v,\end{aligned}$$

for any  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2] &\rightarrow A(M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})) \\ t_1^{m_1}t_2^{m_2} &\mapsto h(-1)^{m_1}y(0)^{m_2} + O(M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})) \quad \forall m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \end{aligned}$$

it is clear  $\varphi$  is a bimodule isomorphism.  $\square$

Now we determine the Zhu's algebras  $A(L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0))$  and their bimodules  $A(L(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}))$  for  $(L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0), \omega_{\xi})$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** *The associative superalgebra  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0))$  for  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_{\xi})$  is semisimple and isomorphic to the quotient algebra  $\mathbb{C}[t]/\langle f(t) \rangle$  of the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ , where*

$$f(t) = \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 0 \leq j \leq q-1, 1 \leq i \leq p-1} \left(t - \frac{i-1}{2} + jl\right). \quad (4.8)$$

*Proof.* Since  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_{\xi})$  is a quotient vertex operator superalgebra of  $(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}), \omega_{\xi})$ , then by Lemma 4.4,  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0))$  is quotient superalgebra of  $A(V(\ell, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]$ . Set  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)) = A(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}))/I$ . By the proof of Proposition 4.6, any irreducible  $A(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}))$ -module  $U$  is also an irreducible  $\mathbb{C}h$ -module. Then for any irreducible  $A(V(\ell, \mathbb{C}))$ -module  $U$ , by the definition of  $L(\ell, U)$ ,  $L(\ell, U)$  is the corresponding irreducible  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $V(\ell, \mathbb{C})$ -module in Theorem 4.1. From Theorem 3.3,  $L(\ell, U)$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module if and only if  $f(h)U = 0$  and  $U$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{C}h$ -module.  $L(\ell, U)$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -graded weak  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$ -module is equivalent to  $U$  is an irreducible  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0))$ -module, i.e.,  $I.U = 0$ . Then we have  $I = \langle f(t) \rangle$ . Since  $(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0), \omega_{\xi})$  is rational, by Proposition 4.2,  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0))$  is semisimple.  $\square$

Let  $B_0 = \mathbb{C}(t^{-1} + 1) \otimes f + \mathbb{C}(t^{-1} + 1) \otimes y + (t^{-2} + t^{-1})\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $B_0$  is an ideal of  $N_-$ , we denote  $L_0 = N_-/B_0 = \mathbb{C}T_e + \mathbb{C}T_f + \mathbb{C}T_h + \mathbb{C}T_x + \mathbb{C}T_y$ , where  $T_e = e(-1) + B_0, T_f = f(0) + B_0, T_h = h(-1) + B_0, T_x = x(-1) + B_0, T_y = y(0) + B_0$  satisfies the following anticommutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [T_e, T_f] &= T_h, [T_h, T_e] = -2T_e, [T_h, T_f] = 2T_f, \\ [T_h, T_x] &= -T_x, [T_e, T_x] = 0, [T_f, T_x] = T_y, \\ [T_h, T_y] &= T_y, [T_e, T_y] = -T_x, [T_f, T_y] = 0, \\ \{T_x, T_x\} &= -2T_e, \{T_x, T_y\} = T_h, \{T_y, T_y\} = -2T_f. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\pi_1$  be the natural quotient map from  $U(N_-)$  onto  $U(L_0)$ . Set  $P_1(\alpha) = T_x T_y - \alpha$  and  $Q_1(\alpha) = T_y T_x + \alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . We have the following commutation relations in  $U(L_0)$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** *For any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [P_1(\alpha), P_1(\beta)] &= 0, [P_1(\alpha), Q_1(\beta)] = 0, [Q_1(\alpha), Q_1(\beta)] = 0, \\ T_e^\gamma P_1(\alpha) &= P_1(\alpha - \gamma)T_e^\gamma, T_e^\gamma Q_1(\alpha) = Q_1(\alpha + \gamma)T_e^\gamma, \\ T_f^\gamma P_1(\alpha) &= P_1(\alpha + \gamma)T_f^\gamma, T_f^\gamma Q_1(\alpha) = Q_1(\alpha - \gamma)T_f^\gamma, \\ T_x P_1(\alpha) &= Q_1(1 - \alpha)T_x, T_x Q_1(\alpha) = P_1(-\alpha)T_x, \\ T_y P_1(\alpha) &= Q_1(-\alpha)T_y, T_y Q_1(\alpha) = P_1(1 - \alpha)T_y, \\ T_e^\gamma T_y &= -Q_1(\gamma)T_x T_e^{\gamma-1}, T_x T_e^\gamma T_y = P_1(-\gamma)T_e^\gamma, \\ T_f^\gamma T_x &= -P_1(\gamma)T_y T_f^{\gamma-1}, T_y T_f^\gamma T_x = Q_1(-\gamma)T_f^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the Lemma 4.2 in [14], we have the following proposition by induction.

**Proposition 4.10.** *The following projection formulas hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(F_1(m, s)) &= \theta_{(m, s)} \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^m \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P_1\left(\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} T_y^m, \\ \pi_2(F_2(m, s)) &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} \prod_{j=1}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-m} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} T_x^{p-m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_{(m,s)} = (-1)^{\frac{m(1+(-1)^m)+s(1+(-1)^s)}{4}}$ .

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{J} = \frac{m-1}{2} - ls$  be an admissible weight. Then the  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0))$ -bimodule  $A(L(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}))$  is isomorphic to the quotient space of  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]$  modulo the subspace*

$$\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]t_2^m + \mathbb{C}[t_1]f_{\mathcal{J},0}(t_1, t_2) + \mathbb{C}[t_1]f_{\mathcal{J},1}(t_1, t_2) + \cdots + \mathbb{C}[t_1]f_{\mathcal{J},m-1}(t_1, t_2) \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$f_{\mathcal{J},n}(t_1, t_2) = \left( \prod_{i+n+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq q-s-1, 0 \leq i \leq p-m-1} \left( t_1 - \frac{i+n}{2} + jl \right) \right) t_2^n.$$

The left and right actions of  $A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathcal{L}, 0))$  on  $A(L(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}))$  are given by Proposition 4.7.

*Proof.* Note that  $C = B_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}e(-1) \oplus \mathbb{C}x(-1)$ . Then from Proposition 4.5, we have

$$O(M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})) = CM(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}) \cong B_0U(N_-) + e(-1)U(N_-) + x(-1)U(N_-).$$

Since  $B_0$  is an ideal of  $N_-$ ,  $U(N_-)B_0 = B_0U(N_-)$  is an ideal of  $U(N_-)$ . From Proposition 2.7, the maximal proper submodule of  $M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})$  is generated by  $v_{\mathcal{J},1}$  and  $v_{\mathcal{J},2}$ . Then by Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(L(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})) &\cong M(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}) / (CM(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}) + U(N_-)v_{\mathcal{J},1} + U(N_-)v_{\mathcal{J},2}) \\ &\cong U(N_-) / (B_0U(N_-) + e(-1)U(N_-) + x(-1)U(N_-) + \\ &\quad U(N_-)F_1(m, s) + U(N_-)F_2(m, s)) \end{aligned}$$

as  $A(L(\mathcal{L}, 0))$ -bimodules. Note that  $U(N_-)/B_0U(N_-) \cong U(L_0)$ . Thus

$$A(L(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})) \cong U(L_0) / (U(L_0)\pi_1(F_1(m, s)) + U(L_0)\pi_1(F_2(m, s)) + T_xU(L_0)).$$

For any  $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , by Proposition 4.9 and Proposition 4.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &T_x^a T_h^b T_y^d \pi_1(F_1(m, s)) \\ &= \theta_{(m,s)} T_x^a T_h^b T_y^d \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^m \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P_1\left(\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} T_y^m \\ &= \begin{cases} \theta_{(m,s)} T_x^a \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^m \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(\frac{1-i-d}{2} - jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(\frac{i+d}{2} + jl\right) \right\} T_h^b T_y^{d+m}, & d \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \\ \theta_{(m,s)} T_x^a \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=1}^m \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P_1\left(\frac{i+d}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q_1\left(\frac{1-i-d}{2} - jl\right) \right\} T_h^b T_y^{d+m}, & d \in 2\mathbb{Z} \end{cases} \\ &= \theta_{(m,s)} T_x^a \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=d+1}^{m+d} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P_1\left(\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \right\} T_h^b T_y^{d+m} \\ &\equiv \theta_{(m,s)} T_x^a \prod_{j=1}^s \prod_{i=d+1}^{m+d} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \left(T_h - \frac{i-1}{2} - jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} \left(-\frac{i}{2} - jl\right) \right\} T_h^b T_y^{d+m} \pmod{T_xU(L_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $-\frac{i}{2} - jl \neq 0$  for  $i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq s, d+1 \leq i \leq m+d$ , we have

$$U(L_0)\pi_1(F_1(m, s)) + T_xU(L_0)$$

$$=T_x U(L_0) + \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[T_h] \left( \prod_{i+d+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq m} (T_h - \frac{i+d-1}{2} - jl) \right) T_y^{d+m}.$$

Similarly, let  $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , if  $d < p - m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_x^a T_h^b T_y^d \pi_1(F_2(m, s)) \\ &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^a T_h^b T_y^d \prod_{j=1}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=1}^{p-m} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} T_x^{p-m} \\ &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^a T_h^b T_y^d T_x^{p-m} \prod_{j=1}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p-m-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(-\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(\frac{i+1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} \\ &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^a T_h^b \prod_{i=1}^d \left\{ \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P_1\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2}\right) \right\} T_x^{p-m-d} \prod_{j=1}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p-m-1} \\ & \quad \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(-\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(\frac{i+1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} \\ &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^a T_h^b T_x^{p-m-d} \prod_{i=1+p-m-d}^{p-m} \left\{ \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P_1\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2}\right) \right\} \\ & \quad \prod_{j=1}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p-m-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(-\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(\frac{i+1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} \\ &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^{a+p-m-d} (T_h - p + m + d)^b \prod_{i=1+p-m-d}^{p-m} \left\{ \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P_1\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2}\right) \right\} \\ & \quad \prod_{j=1}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p-m-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(-\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(\frac{i+1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{T_x U(L_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $d = n + p - m$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_x^a T_h^b T_y^d \pi_1(F_2(m, s)) \\ &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^a T_h^b T_y^n \prod_{i=1}^{p-m} \left\{ \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}} P_1\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \prod_{i \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} Q_1\left(-\frac{i-1}{2}\right) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p-m-1} \\ & \quad \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(-\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(\frac{i+1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} \\ &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^a T_h^b T_y^n \prod_{j=0}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=0}^{p-m-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(-\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(\frac{i+1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} \\ &= \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^a \prod_{j=0}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=n}^{d-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} Q_1\left(-\frac{i}{2} + jl\right) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} P_1\left(\frac{i+1}{2} - jl\right) \right\} T_h^b T_y^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \theta_{(p-m, q-s)} T_x^a \prod_{j=0}^{q-s-1} \prod_{i=n}^{d-1} \left\{ \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}} (T_h - \frac{i}{2} + jl) \prod_{i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} (-\frac{i+1}{2} + jl) \right\} T_h^b T_y^n \pmod{T_x U(L_0)}.$$

Note that  $-\frac{i+1}{2} + jl \neq 0$  for  $i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 0 \leq j \leq q-s-1, n \leq i \leq d-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & U(L_0)\pi_1(F_2(m, s)) + T_x U(L_0) \\ &= T_x U(L_0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[T_h] \left( \prod_{i+n+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq q-s-1, 0 \leq i \leq p-m-1} (T_h - \frac{i+n}{2} + jl) \right) T_y^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & U(L_0)\pi_1(F_1(m, s)) + U(L_0)\pi_1(F_2(m, s)) + T_x U(L_0) \\ & \subset T_x U(L_0) + U(L_0)T_y^m + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{C}[T_h] \left( \prod_{i+n+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq q-s-1, 0 \leq i \leq p-m-1} (T_h - \frac{i+n}{2} + jl) \right) T_y^n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\frac{i+d-1}{2} + jl \neq \frac{i'+n}{2} - j'l$  for any  $i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq m, d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $i'+j' \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j' \leq q-s-1, 0 \leq i' \leq p-m-1, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\prod_{i+d+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq i \leq m} (t - \frac{i+d-1}{2} - jl)$  and  $\prod_{i+n+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq q-s-1, 0 \leq i \leq p-m-1} (t - \frac{i+n}{2} + jl)$  are relatively prime. Then we have

$$\mathbb{C}[T_h]T_y^{m+i} \subseteq U(L_0)\pi_1(F_1(m, s)) + U(L_0)\pi_1(F_2(m, s)) + T_x U(L_0)$$

for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & U(L_0)\pi_1(F_1(m, s)) + U(L_0)\pi_1(F_2(m, s)) + T_x U(L_0) \\ & \supset T_x U(L_0) + U(L_0)T_y^m + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{C}[T_h] \left( \prod_{i+n+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq q-s-1, 0 \leq i \leq p-m-1} (T_h - \frac{i+n}{2} + jl) \right) T_y^n. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $t_1 = T_h, t_2 = T_y$ . Then by Proposition 4.7 and Lemma 4.4, we complete the proof.  $\square$

Now we apply the Zhu's algebras  $A(L_{\mathfrak{g}}(\ell, 0))$  and their bimodules  $A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}))$  which we obtain in Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.11 to calculate the fusion rules among the irreducible ordinary modules of  $(L_{\mathfrak{g}}(\ell, 0), \omega_{\xi})$  by Theorem 4.3.

**Proposition 4.12.** *For admissible weights  $\mathcal{J}_i = \frac{m_i-1}{2} - ls_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), the fusion rules are given as follows:*

$$L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1) \boxtimes L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_2) = \sum_{n=\max\{0, m_1+m_2-p\}}^{\min\{m_1-1, m_2-1\}} L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 - n) \quad (4.10)$$

if  $0 \leq s_2 \leq q - s_1 - 1$ , and  $L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1) \boxtimes L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_2) = 0$  otherwise.

*Proof.* For any admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}$ , let  $\mathbb{C}v_{\mathcal{J}}$  be the one-dimensional module for the Lie algebra  $\mathbb{C}h$  such that  $hv_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{J}v_{\mathcal{J}}$ . From Theorem 4.3, we need to calculate the  $A(L_{\mathfrak{g}}(\ell, 0))$ -module  $A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1)) \otimes_{A(L_{\mathfrak{g}}(\ell, 0))} \mathbb{C}v_{\mathcal{J}_2}$ . From Theorem 4.11, we have

$$A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1)) \otimes_{A(L_{\mathfrak{g}}(\ell, 0))} \mathbb{C}v_{\mathcal{J}_2} \cong \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]/J,$$

where  $J$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]$  spanned by

$$\{\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2](t_1 - \mathcal{J}_2), \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]t_2^{m_1} + f_{\mathcal{J}_1, n}(\mathcal{J}_2, 1)\mathbb{C}[t_1]t_2^n, n = 0, 1, \dots, m_1 - 1\}.$$

If  $\mathcal{J}_2$  does not satisfy the relation  $0 \leq s_2 \leq q - s_1 - 1$ , then

$$f_{\mathcal{J}_1, n}(\mathcal{J}_2, 1) = \left( \prod_{i+n+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq q-s_1-1, 0 \leq i \leq p-m_1-1} \left( \mathcal{J}_2 - \frac{i+n}{2} + jl \right) \right) \neq 0$$

for  $0 \leq n \leq m_1 - 1$ . Thus  $A(L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1)) \otimes_{A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\ell, 0)})} \mathbb{C}v_{\mathcal{J}_2} = 0$ , so that all the corresponding fusion rules are zero.

Suppose  $0 \leq s_2 \leq q - s_1 - 1$ . Note that  $\mathbb{C}[t_1]t_2^n = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]/J$  if and only if  $f_{\mathcal{J}_1, n}(\mathcal{J}_2, 1) \neq 0$ . Since  $f_{\mathcal{J}_1, n}(\mathcal{J}_2, 1) = \left( \prod_{i+n+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq q-s_1-1, 0 \leq i \leq p-m_1-1} \left( \mathcal{J}_2 - \frac{i+n}{2} + jl \right) \right) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{J}_2 - \frac{i+n}{2} + jl = 0$  for some  $i+n+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq q-s_1-1, 0 \leq i \leq p-m_1-1$ . This implies that  $1 \leq i+n+1 \leq p-1$ , by Remark 2.6 we have  $i+n+1 = m_2, j = s_2$ , that is  $m_1 + m_2 - p \leq n \leq m_2 - 1$ . Therefore

$$\max\{0, m_1 + m_2 - p\} \leq n \leq \min\{m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1\}. \quad (4.11)$$

Then  $\mathbb{C}[t_1]t_2^n \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]/J$  if and only if  $n$  satisfies (4.11). Thus

$$\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2]/J \cong \bigoplus_{n=\max\{0, m_1+m_2-p\}}^{\min\{m_1-1, m_2-1\}} \mathbb{C}t_2^n.$$

From Proposition 4.7, we have  $t * t_2^n = (\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 - n)t_2^n$ , then we complete the proof.  $\square$

If  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , from Proposition 2.5,  $q = 1, p = 2\ell + 3$ , then for any admissible weights  $\mathcal{J}_i = \frac{m_i-1}{2} - ls_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we have  $s_i = 0, \mathcal{J}_i = \frac{m_i-1}{2}$ , hence  $0 \leq s_2 \leq q - s_1 - 1$  holds automatically. Since  $m_1 + m_2 - p = 2\mathcal{J}_1 + 2\mathcal{J}_2 - 2\ell - 1$ , then by Proposition 4.12 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.13.** *Suppose  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . For any admissible weight  $\mathcal{J}_1$  and  $\mathcal{J}_2$ , we have*

$$L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_1) \boxtimes L(\ell, \mathcal{J}_2) = \sum_{\mathcal{J} = |\mathcal{J}_1 - \mathcal{J}_2|, \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 \leq 2\ell + 1}^{\mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2} L(\ell, \mathcal{J}). \quad (4.12)$$

**Remark 4.14.** (i) Since  $L'(-1) = L(-1)$  the fusion rules among the weak modules with respect two different vertex operator superalgebra structure of  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  are same. Thus the fusion rules obtained in the Proposition 4.12 and Corollary 4.13 are also those with respect to the old vertex operator superalgebra structure.

(ii) The fusion rules among the irreducible relaxed highest-weight modules over  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  with admissible level have been calculated in [5] by viewing  $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell, 0)$  as extensions of the tensor product of certain simple Virasoro vertex operator algebra and simple affine vertex operator algebra associated to  $sl_2$ .

## References

- [1] T. Arakawa, Rationality of admissible affine vertex algebras in the category  $\mathcal{O}$ , *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), no. 1, 67-93.
- [2] T. Arakawa, J. Van Ekeren, Modularity of relatively rational vertex algebras and fusion rules of principal affine  $W$ -algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **370** (2019), no. 1, 205-247.
- [3] D. Adamović, A. Milas, Vertex operator algebras associated to modular invariant representations for  $A_1^{(1)}$ , *Math. Res. Lett.* **2** (1995), no. 5, 563-575.
- [4] T. Creutzig, N. Genra, A. Linshaw, Category  $\mathcal{O}$  for vertex algebras of  $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ , 2022, arXiv:math.RT/2203.08188.
- [5] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade, T. Liu, D. Ridout, Cosets, characters and fusion for admissible-level  $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$  minimal models, *Nuclear Phys. B* **938** (2019), 22-55.
- [6] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. Math.* **132** (1997), no. 1, 148-166.
- [7] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Vertex operator algebras associated to admissible representations of  $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ , *Comm. Math. Phys.* **184** (1997), no. 1, 65-93.
- [8] C. Dong, Z. Zhao, Modularity of trace functions in orbifold theory for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vertex operator superalgebras, Moonshine: the first quarter century and beyond, 128-143, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 372, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [9] A. De Sole, V. Kac, Finite vs affine  $W$ -algebras, *Jpn. J. Math.* **1** (2006), no. 1, 137-261.
- [10] J. Van Ekeren, Modular invariance for twisted modules over a vertex operator superalgebra, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **322** (2013), no. 2, 333-371.
- [11] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang, J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **104** (1993).
- [12] I. Frenkel, Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), no. 1, 123-168.
- [13] M. Gorelik, V. Serganova, Snowflake modules and Enright functor for Kac-Moody superalgebras, *Algebra Number Theory* **16** (2022), no. 4, 839-879.
- [14] K. Iohara, Y. Koga, Fusion algebras for  $N = 1$  superconformal field theories through coinvariants 2:  $\widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}(1|2)$ -symmetry, *J. reine angew. Math.* **531**(2001), 1-34.
- [15] V. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977), 8-96.
- [16] V. Kac, D. Kazhdan, Highest weight representations for affine Lie algebras, *Adv. Math.* **34** (1979), 97-108.



- [17] V. Kac, M. Wakimoto, Modular invariant representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and superalgebras, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **85** (1988), no. 14, 4956-4960.
- [18] V. Kac, M. Wakimoto, Classification of modular invariant representations of affine algebras, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988), 138-177, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., 7, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [19] V. Kac, W. Wang, Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations, Mathematical aspects of conformal and topological field theories and quantum groups (South Hadley, MA, 1992), 161-191, Contemp. Math., 175, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [20] H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **109** (1996), no.2, 143-195.
- [21] H. Li, Determining fusion rules by  $A(V)$ -modules and bimodules, *J. Algebra* **212** (1999), no. 2, 515-556.
- [22] J. Lepowsky, H. Li, Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations, Progress in Math., Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [23] X. Lin, Rationality of affine vertex operator superalgebras with rational conformal weights, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **402** (2023), no. 3, 2765-2790.
- [24] F. Malikov, B. Feigin, D. Fuks, Singular vectors in Verma modules over Kac-Moody algebras, *J. Funct. Anal. Appl.* **20** (1986), no. 2, 25-37.
- [25] S. Wood, Admissible level  $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$  minimal models and their relaxed highest weight modules, *Transform. Groups* **25** (2020), no. 3, 887-943.