

Improving Zero-Shot Chinese-English Code-Switching ASR with k NN-CTC and Gated Monolingual Datasets

Jiaming Zhou¹, Shiwan Zhao¹, Hui Wang¹, Tian-Hao Zhang²,
Haoqin Sun¹, Xuechen Wang¹, Yong Qin¹

¹Nankai University, China

²University of Science and Technology Beijing, China

zhoujiaming@mail.nankai.edu.cn, qinyong@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

The k NN-CTC model has proven to be effective for monolingual automatic speech recognition (ASR). However, its direct application to multilingual scenarios like code-switching, presents challenges. Although there is potential for performance improvement, a k NN-CTC model utilizing a single bilingual dataset can inadvertently introduce undesirable noise from the alternative language. To address this, we propose a novel k NN-CTC-based code-switching ASR (CS-ASR) framework that employs dual monolingual datasets and a gated dataset selection mechanism to reduce noise interference. Our method selects the appropriate dataset for decoding each frame, ensuring the injection of language-specific information into the ASR process. We apply this framework to cutting-edge CTC-based models, developing an advanced CS-ASR system. Extensive experiments demonstrate the remarkable effectiveness of our gated dataset mechanism in enhancing the performance of zero-shot Chinese-English CS-ASR.

Index Terms: code-switching ASR, zero-shot, k NN-CTC

1. Introduction

In today's increasingly interconnected world, the ability to accurately transcribe and understand speech in multilingual and code-switching (CS) environments is of paramount importance [1]. Automatic speech recognition (ASR) systems play a crucial role in facilitating communication across linguistic boundaries. Recent years have witnessed rapid advancements in ASR technology. However, traditional ASR models typically rely on vast amounts of labeled data for each language or dialect, posing a significant challenge in scenarios where such data is scarce or unavailable [2]. Consider, for instance, a scenario where speakers seamlessly transition between two languages, such as English and Chinese, within the same conversation. Traditional ASR systems trained on monolingual datasets struggle to accurately transcribe this CS speech, as similar pronunciations across languages could confuse the model.

Numerous studies have advanced CS-ASR. In terms of data augmentation, Chi et al. [3] introduce a method to generate CS text by forcing a multilingual machine translation system to produce CS translations. Liang et al. [4] propose a novel data augmentation method employing a text-based speech-edit model to improve CS and name entity recognition in ASR. Other studies [5, 6, 7, 8] show that jointly modeling ASR and language identity can endow models with some degree of CS capability. However, CS introduces language boundary ambiguity, which can impair the model's language recognition ability [9, 10], leading to performance degradation. To address this issue, Chen et al. [9] employ a boundary-aware predictor to acquire representations specifically designed to handle such ambiguity.

The Mixture of Experts (MoE) technique has also been employed to improve CS-ASR systems. For instance, Lu et al. [11] introduce a bi-encoder transformer network with MoE architecture to optimize data utilization. Their approach involves the separation of Chinese and English modeling using two distinct encoders to capture language-specific features effectively. Additionally, they employ a gating network to explicitly manage the language identification task. Tan et al. [12] propose a lightweight switch routing network to further refine the network. However, these methods still require fine-tuning with labeled CS data, a significant challenge due to the impracticality of covering every language pair.

Addressing this, researchers have explored zero-shot learning to enable model generalization to new tasks or languages without specific training data. Yan et al. [13] suggest a cross-lingual pseudo-labeling modification for monolingual modules to produce transliterations of foreign speech, aiming to circumvent the error propagation of frame-wise language identification (LID) decisions. Despite this advancement, their approach necessitates fine-tuning each monolingual model with cross-lingual pseudo-labeling and using dual encoders during decoding, highlighting ongoing challenges in CS-ASR development.

Recently, retrieval-augmented methods have gained significant traction in natural language processing (NLP) tasks, such as k NN-LM [14] for language modeling and k NN-MT [15] for machine translation, proving particularly valuable in managing low-resource scenarios. This technique has also been extensively adopted in speech processing, with numerous studies [16, 17, 18] leveraging it. Inspired by k NN-LM, Zhou et al. [16] introduce k NN-CTC to improve pre-trained CTC-based ASR systems [19] by integrating a k NN model to retrieve CTC pseudo labels from a meticulously pruned dataset. Despite its benefits, its application is confined to monolingual settings due to reliance on a single dataset. For zero-shot CS-ASR, adopting k NN-CTC with a bilingual Chinese-English dataset offers potential improvements but also poses the risk of decoding interference from extraneous language noise.

In this paper, we concentrate on zero-shot Chinese-English CS-ASR. Leveraging the k NN-CTC concept, we introduce a novel approach for zero-shot Chinese-English CS-ASR. Rather than using a combined dataset of both languages, which introduces undesirable noise, we utilize two separate monolingual datasets. Our method features a gated dataset mechanism for selecting the appropriate monolingual dataset for each frame during decoding, thus ensuring the explicit injection of language-specific information.

Our main contributions are as follows:

1. We initially adapt k NN-CTC for zero-shot Chinese-English CS-ASR by developing a bilingual dataset, thereby enhancing performance.

2. We then devise a k NN-CTC framework that leverages two separate monolingual datastores and implements a selection mechanism to choose the appropriate datastore during decoding, ensuring the precise utilization of language-specific information in conjunction with CTC processing.

3. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach through comprehensive experimental validation.

2. Our approach

Figure 1 provides an overview of our proposed methodology. This section will detail our approach to CS-ASR utilizing the k NN-CTC model. It will be followed by a comprehensive explanation of our novel implementation of k NN-CTC with gated monolingual datastores, detailing each step of the process.

2.1. CS-ASR based on k NN-CTC

In this section, we introduce how to build a CS-ASR baseline using k NN-CTC with a bilingual datastore, comprising two main stages: datastore construction and candidate retrieval.

Datastore construction: Given a pre-trained CTC-based ASR model, we first fine-tune the model with the Chinese-only labeled data S_{CN} and English-only labeled data S_{EN} . Subsequently, the CTC pseudo label \hat{Y}_i for the i -th frame X_i could be obtained using the equation:

$$\hat{Y}_i = \arg \max_{Y_i} P_{CTC}(Y_i|X_i). \quad (1)$$

We then extract the intermediate representation $f(X)$ of input X and then employ the CTC pseudo label to create frame-level key-value pairs. To achieve CS-ASR, we directly construct a single bilingual datastore containing both language datasets. We then construct the datastore by:

$$D_{ALL} = \{(f(X_i), \hat{Y}_i) | X_i \in S_{CN} \cup S_{EN}\}. \quad (2)$$

Candidate retrieval: During decoding, we extract the intermediate representation $f(x)$ from the encoder as a query to retrieve k -nearest neighbors \mathcal{N}_{ALL} . The k NN distribution over neighbors aggregates the probability of each vocabulary unit as follows:

$$P_{kNN}(y|x) \propto \sum_{(k_i, v_i) \in \mathcal{N}_{ALL}, v_i=y} \exp(-d(k_i, f(x)/\tau)), \quad (3)$$

where τ represents the temperature, $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the L^2 distance. Subsequently, we interpolate the CTC distribution P_{CTC} with P_{kNN} . The final distribution $P(y|x)$ is derived by:

$$P(y|x) = \lambda P_{kNN}(y|x) + (1 - \lambda) P_{CTC}(y|x), \quad (4)$$

where λ is a hyperparameter to control the weight of k NN and CTC.

2.2. k NN-CTC with gated monolingual datastores

Simply constructing a bilingual datastore may introduce unexpected noise from the alternative language. To address this, we build dual monolingual datastores, noted as D_{CN} for Chinese and D_{EN} for English by the following equation:

$$D_{CN} = \{(f(X_i), \hat{Y}_i) | X_i \in S_{CN}\}, \quad (5)$$

$$D_{EN} = \{(f(X_i), \hat{Y}_i) | X_i \in S_{EN}\}. \quad (6)$$




Figure 1: Overview of our methodology employing dual monolingual datastores, with the color blue representing Chinese and green representing English. For each audio frame, two retrieval operations are conducted to identify the appropriate datastore. Following this, the CTC distribution is interpolated with the k NN distribution from the selected language (e.g., P_{kNN}^{EN} for English), while the CTC distribution corresponding to the unselected language (in this case, Chinese) is diminished.

For each input frame X_i , we independently retrieve two lists of the k nearest neighbors from the dual datastores. We then calculate the average distances of the top- n ($n \leq k$) neighbors, denoted as d_{CN} for Chinese and d_{EN} for English, respectively. Setting n to 1 corresponds to the shortest distances among the retrieved neighbors. The injection of language-specific information is determined based on these average distances:

$$\mathcal{N}_C = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}_{CN}, & \text{if } d_{CN} \leq d_{EN} \\ \mathcal{N}_{EN}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where \mathcal{N}_C represents the retrieved k neighbors of the selected language, chosen from either \mathcal{N}_{CN} or \mathcal{N}_{EN} . The subsequent step involves deriving the selected monolingual k NN distribution from \mathcal{N}_C , which is either \mathcal{N}_{CN} or \mathcal{N}_{EN} , as follows:

$$P_{kNN}(y|x) \propto \sum_{(k_i, v_i) \in \mathcal{N}_C, v_i=y} \exp(-d(k_i, f(x)/\tau)). \quad (8)$$

The final distribution $P(y|x)$ is obtained as described in Equation 4. With the help of language identification-based datastore selection, language-specific information is explicitly injected into the final distribution.

To fully use the language-specific information, we adjust the distribution associated with the alternate language, thereby directly facilitating the determination of the language to which the current frame belongs. Specifically, if the frame is inferred to belong to one language, we reduce the distribution corresponding to the alternate language in the following manner:

$$\tilde{P}(y|x) = \begin{cases} P_{CN}/t + P_{EN}, & \text{if } \mathcal{N}_C \text{ is } \mathcal{N}_{EN} \\ P_{CN} + P_{EN}/t, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Table 1: *Details of splitting the dataset.*

	Subset	# Utterance	Duration (hr)
Train	S_{CN}	4799	3.50
	S_{EN}	2331	1.65
Dev	DEV	1130	0.92
Test	$TEST$	1315	0.92
	S_{MIX}	2739	3.62

Table 2: *MER (%) of our proposed method based on the Conformer fine-tuned with S_{CN} and S_{EN} .*

Method	Datastore	$TEST$	S_{MIX}	RTF
CTC	-	26.17	28.82	0.0139
k NN-CTC	D_{ALL}	25.66	27.94	0.0144
Ours	D_{CN}, D_{EN}	25.02	26.68	0.0151

where P_{CN} and P_{EN} represent the distributions for Chinese and English within $P(y|x)$, with t serving as the scale temperature to adjust these distributions.

3. Experimental setup

3.1. Dataset

We utilize ASCEND [20], a Chinese-English dataset for CS-ASR. We split the training set of ASCEND into three parts: Chinese, English, and Mixed (CS-ASR data), denoted as S_{CN} , S_{EN} , S_{MIX} respectively. Our experiments only employ the Chinese and English subsets for fine-tuning the models and constructing datastores to keep the zero-shot setting. Additionally, we utilize the ASCEND test set (denoted as TEST) and the mixed training set (S_{MIX}) as our test sets. The details of each subset are shown in Table 1.

3.2. Implementation details

Our experiments are conducted using the open-source toolkit WeNet [21] for Conformer [22] and HuggingFace’s Transformers [23] for Wav2vec2-XLSR [24].

The Conformer-CTC baseline employs log Mel-filter banks, with a 25ms window and 10ms shift, as input. We utilize the open-source checkpoint¹ pre-trained by WenetSpeech [25], comprising 12 blocks of Conformer encoder layers with 8 attention heads and 3 blocks of bi-transformer decoder layers. During finetuning, a learning rate of 5×10^{-5} and a batch size of 16 with 5000 warmup steps on 4 GTX 3090 GPUs are employed, with weights of 0.3 assigned to the CTC loss and 0.7 to the attention loss.

In our experimentation with the Wav2vec2-XLSR-CTC baseline, we leverage the open-source checkpoint² and fine-tune the baseline with codebase³ provided by ASCEND [20]. We abbreviate Conformer-CTC to Conformer and Wav2vec2-XLSR-CTC to Wav2vec2-XLSR for simplicity. All reported results are derived using CTC greedy search decoding. It is important to

¹https://github.com/wenet-e2e/wenet/blob/main/docs/pretrained_models.en.md

²<https://huggingface.co/jonatasgrozman/wav2vec2-large-xlsr-53-chinese-zh-cn>

³<https://github.com/HLTCHKUST/ASCEND>

Table 3: *MER (%) of our proposed method based on the Wav2vec2-XLSR fine-tuned with S_{CN} and S_{EN} .*

Method	Datastore	$TEST$	S_{MIX}	RTF
CTC	-	32.65	35.22	0.0123
k NN-CTC	D_{ALL}	32.47	34.94	0.0133
Ours	D_{CN}, D_{EN}	31.48	33.41	0.0137

note that our models are fine-tuned exclusively on the subsets S_{CN} and S_{EN} , thus preserving the zero-shot CS-ASR setting.

We reimplement the version of k NN-CTC (full) using FAISS [26], which has demonstrated superior performance in in-domain ASR tasks [16]. For Conformer, we follow k NN-CTC to determine the location of keys by extracting the output of the final encoder layer’s feedforward network (FFN). For Wav2vec2-XLSR, we select the output of the Wav2vec2-XLSR encoder as the location of keys. We set k to 1024 following k NN-CTC for both baselines.

Regarding the gated monolingual datastore selection mechanism, we set $n=300$ for the Conformer baseline and $n=10$ for the Wav2vec2-XLSR baseline to compute the average distances of the n nearest neighbors from D_{CN} and D_{EN} . We set the distribution calibration temperature t to 5 for the Conformer baseline and 200 for the Wav2vec2-XLSR baseline, adjusting the probability distribution for the alternative language.

We adopt the Mixture Error Rate (MER) as outlined in [27] for metrics. MER accounts for both Chinese characters and English words when calculating the edit distance. Errors are tallied separately for Chinese and English based on the language of the reference token.


4. Results

4.1. Zero-shot CS-ASR results


The evaluation results for the Conformer and Wav2vec2-XLSR baselines are summarized in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. Our approach, employing the k NN-CTC with a single datastore D_{ALL} , as well as our method utilizing dual monolingual datastores D_{CN} and D_{EN} , outperform the fine-tuned CTC method across both baseline models and test sets. Moreover, our integrated method, incorporating dual monolingual datastores with a gated datastore selection mechanism, demonstrates superior performance in all scenarios. This highlights that the utilization of the bilingual datastore introduces distracting noise during the retrieval process, which adversely affects performance. By implementing the gated mechanism to select a monolingual datastore, we effectively mitigate interference from alternate languages, resulting in precise retrieval and improved performance. Our approach achieves a relative MER reduction of 4.4% and 7.4% on the $TEST$ and S_{MIX} sets respectively for the Conformer baseline. Furthermore, for the Wav2vec2-XLSR baseline, we observe a relative MER reduction of 3.6% and 5.1% on the two test sets separately.

Additionally, we compute the Real Time Factor⁴ (RTF) for both the Conformer and Wav2vec2-XLSR baselines. Compared with CTC and k NN, a slight increase in RTF is evident due to the k NN retrieval process. This marginal slowdown is expected and deemed acceptable given the dual retrieval processes in-

⁴<https://openvoice-tech.net/index.php/Real-time-factor>



(a) “不是关于 LOVE STORY”



(b) “就是那种 STUDY ENVIRONMENT 特别好”

Figure 2: Visualization of average distances d_{CN} and d_{EN} . The green dotted vertical line represents occurrences of CS. The color blue represents Chinese, while orange represents English.


involved in our method. These results underscore the effectiveness of our proposed approach.

In Figure 2, we illustrate the average distances, d_{CN} and d_{EN} , for each frame. We observe that, during code-switching events where the language shifts, d_{CN} and d_{EN} also switch correspondingly. In both Figure 2(a) and Figure 2(b), the average distance for the current spoken language is consistently lower than that for the alternate language. This observation highlights the effectiveness of our method, demonstrating that average distances can serve as a reliable metric for language identification.

4.2. Ablation study

Figure 3 illustrates the results of tuning hyperparameters n and t on the *DEV* set. Setting n to 1 corresponds to considering only the shortest distance. It is observed that performance improves as n increases, peaking at $n = 10$, beyond which performance gradually declines. The scale temperature t , as defined in Equation 9, determines the extent to which the probability distribution of the alternative language is suppressed. As t increases, MER is stably decreasing. When t is up to 200 and 500, it achieves the best performance and does not improve anymore, indicating that the selection mechanism is accurate enough to select the corresponding language datastore for each frame.

The results of the ablation study conducted on the Conformer and Wav2vec2-XLSR architectures are shown in Table



(a) Average distance of n neighbors (b) Scale temperature t

Figure 3: Effect of hyper-parameter n and t of Wav2vec2-XLSR on the *DEV* set.

Table 4: MER (%) of ablation study of Conformer and Wav2vec2-XLSR baselines on *TEST*. * indicates that p -value < 0.05 (compared with baseline and baseline with a single datastore).

Method	<i>TEST</i>	<i>S_{MIX}</i>
Conformer	26.17	28.82
+ k NN (A single datastore)	25.66	27.94
+ k NN (Two separate datastores)	25.66	28.04
+Average n distances	25.57	27.85
+Scale temperature t *	25.02	26.68
Wav2vec2-XLSR	32.65	35.22
+ k NN (A single datastore)	32.47	34.94
+ k NN (Two separate datastores)	32.35	34.69
+Average n distances	32.34	34.70
+Scale temperature t *	31.48	33.41

4. Specifically, we conduct ablations on the utilization of a single datastore, two separate datastores, average n neighbor distances for selecting datastore, and scale temperature t to adjust the alternate language distribution. For both models, k NN with a single datastore improves the performance compared with the CTC baseline. We can observe that k NN with two separate datastores employing the shortest neighbor distances is unstable. While applying the average of n distances, it does improve the robustness of our method and achieve stable improvement on both baselines. Furthermore, the scale temperature t has the most significant impact on the overall MER, adjusting the alternate language probability based on the accuracy of the gated datastore selection mechanism. Employing the gated mechanism with n neighbors’ average distances and scale temperature t , we achieve the best performance on both datasets.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a k NN-CTC framework that utilizes dual monolingual datastores and implements a gated datastore selection mechanism for zero-shot Chinese-English CS-ASR. Compared to using a bilingual datastore, our method avoids undesirable noise from the alternate language and facilitates the selection of the appropriate monolingual datastore for each frame during decoding. This ensures the explicit injection of language-specific information. Extensive experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach for zero-shot Chinese-English CS-ASR.

6. References

- [1] M. G. Moyer, “Bilingual speech: A typology of code-mixing,” 2002.
- [2] R. Prabhavalkar, T. Hori, T. N. Sainath, R. Schlüter, and S. Watanabe, “End-to-end speech recognition: A survey,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03329*, 2023.
- [3] J. Chi, B. Lu, J. Eisner, P. Bell, P. Jyothi, and A. M. Ali, “Unsupervised Code-switched Text Generation from Parallel Text,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH 2023*, 2023, pp. 1419–1423.
- [4] Z. Liang, Z. Song, Z. Ma, C. Du, K. Yu, and X. Chen, “Improving Code-Switching and Name Entity Recognition in ASR with Speech Editing based Data Augmentation,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH 2023*, 2023, pp. 919–923.
- [5] S. Watanabe, T. Hori, and J. R. Hershey, “Language independent end-to-end architecture for joint language identification and speech recognition,” in *2017 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 265–271.
- [6] H. Seki, S. Watanabe, T. Hori, J. L. Roux, and J. R. Hershey, “An end-to-end language-tracking speech recognizer for mixed-language speech,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2018, pp. 4919–4923.
- [7] C. Zhang, B. Li, T. Sainath, T. Strohmaier, S. Mavandadi, S.-Y. Chang, and P. Haghani, “Streaming End-to-End Multilingual Speech Recognition with Joint Language Identification,” in *Proc. Interspeech 2022*, 2022, pp. 3223–3227.
- [8] L. Zhou, J. Li, E. Sun, and S. Liu, “A configurable multilingual model is all you need to recognize all languages,” in *ICASSP 2022 - 2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, May 2022.
- [9] P. Chen, F. Yu, Y. Liang, H. Xue, X. Wan, N. Zheng, H. Zhou, and L. Xie, “Ba-moe: Boundary-aware mixture-of-experts adapter for code-switching speech recognition,” in *2023 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–7.
- [10] Z. Fan, L. Dong, C. Shen, Z. Liang, J. Zhang, L. Lu, and Z. Ma, “Language-specific Boundary Learning for Improving Mandarin-English Code-switching Speech Recognition,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH 2023*, 2023, pp. 3322–3326.
- [11] Y. Lu, M. Huang, H. Li, J. Guo, and Y. Qian, “Bi-encoder transformer network for mandarin-english code-switching speech recognition using mixture of experts,” in *Interspeech*, 2020, pp. 4766–4770.
- [12] F. Tan, C. Feng, T. Wei, S. Gong, J. Leng, W. Chu, J. Ma, S. Wang, and J. Xiao, “Improving End-to-End Modeling For Mandarin-English Code-Switching Using Lightweight Switch-Routing Mixture-of-Experts,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH 2023*, 2023, pp. 4224–4228.
- [13] B. Yan, M. Wiesner, O. Klejch, P. Jyothi, and S. Watanabe, “Towards zero-shot code-switched speech recognition,” in *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [14] U. Khandelwal, O. Levy, D. Jurafsky, L. Zettlemoyer, and M. Lewis, “Generalization through memorization: Nearest neighbor language models,” *2020 ICLR*, Nov 2019.
- [15] U. Khandelwal, A. Fan, D. Jurafsky, L. Zettlemoyer, and M. Lewis, “Nearest neighbor machine translation,” *2021 ICLR*, Oct 2020.
- [16] J. Zhou, S. Zhao, Y. Liu, W. Zeng, Y. Chen, and Y. Qin, “Knn-ctc: Enhancing asr via retrieval of ctc pseudo labels,” in *ICASSP 2024 - 2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2024, pp. 11 006–11 010.
- [17] D. M. Chan, S. Ghosh, A. Rastrow, and B. Hoffmeister, “Domain adaptation with external off-policy acoustic catalogs for scalable contextual end-to-end automated speech recognition,” in *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [18] H. Wang, S. Zhao, X. Zheng, and Y. Qin, “RAMP: Retrieval-Augmented MOS Prediction via Confidence-based Dynamic Weighting,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH 2023*, 2023, pp. 1095–1099.
- [19] A. Graves, S. Fernández, F. Gomez, and J. Schmidhuber, “Connectionist temporal classification: labelling unsegmented sequence data with recurrent neural networks,” in *ICML*, 2006, pp. 369–376.
- [20] H. Lovenia, S. Cahyawijaya, G. Winata, P. Xu, Y. Xu, Z. Liu, R. Frieske, T. Yu, W. Dai, E. J. Barezi *et al.*, “Ascend: A spontaneous chinese-english dataset for code-switching in multi-turn conversation,” in *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, 2022, pp. 7259–7268.
- [21] Z. Yao, D. Wu, X. Wang, B. Zhang, F. Yu, C. Yang, Z. Peng, X. Chen, L. Xie, and X. Lei, “WeNet: Production Oriented Streaming and Non-Streaming End-to-End Speech Recognition Toolkit,” in *Proc. Interspeech 2021*, 2021, pp. 4054–4058.
- [22] A. Gulati, J. Qin, C.-C. Chiu, N. Parmar, Y. Zhang, J. Yu, W. Han, S. Wang, Z. Zhang, Y. Wu *et al.*, “Conformer: Convolution-augmented transformer for speech recognition,” *Interspeech 2020*, 2020.
- [23] T. Wolf, L. Debut, V. Sanh, J. Chaumond, C. Delangue, A. Moi, P. Cistac, T. Rault, R. Louf, M. Funtowicz *et al.*, “Huggingface’s transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03771*, 2019.
- [24] A. Conneau, A. Baevski, R. Collobert, A. Mohamed, and M. Auli, “wav2vec2-xlsr:unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning for speech recognition,” in *Interspeech 2021*, Aug 2021. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21437/interspeech.2021-329>
- [25] B. Zhang, H. Lv, P. Guo, Q. Shao, C. Yang, L. Xie, X. Xu, H. Bu, X. Chen, C. Zeng *et al.*, “Wenetspeech: A 10000+ hours multi-domain mandarin corpus for speech recognition,” in *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 6182–6186.
- [26] J. Johnson, M. Douze, and H. Jégou, “Billion-scale similarity search with gpus,” *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 535–547, 2021.
- [27] X. Shi, Q. Feng, and L. Xie, “The asru 2019 mandarin-english code-switching speech recognition challenge: Open datasets, tracks, methods and results,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.05916*, 2020.