
Open Problem: Active Representation Learning

Nikola Milosevic^{1,2}, Gesine Müller^{1,3}, Jan Huisken³, and Nico Scherf^{1,2}

¹Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig

²Center for Scalable Data Analytics and Artificial Intelligence (ScaDS.AI), Dresden/Leipzig

³Multiscale Biology, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Göttingen

{nmilosevic, nscherf}@cbs.mpg.de

{gesinefiona.mueller, jan.huisken}@uni-goettingen.de

Abstract

In this work, we introduce the concept of Active Representation Learning, a novel class of problems that intertwines exploration and representation learning within partially observable environments. We extend ideas from Active Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (active SLAM), and translate them to scientific discovery problems, exemplified by adaptive microscopy. We explore the need for a framework that derives exploration skills from representations that are in some sense actionable, aiming to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of data collection and model building in the natural sciences.

1 Introduction

Representation learning is frequently used to uncover the latent structure in a data set and lies at the core of modern data science and machine learning. Deep learning-driven approaches have been widely applied in cases where data is abundant but challenging to interpret, for example in scientific data analysis. Frequently, such datasets cannot be labeled by human experts, because the nature of the scientific questions does not allow for clear labeling. For example, recent methods in the analysis of functional neuroimaging data rely on self-supervised learning techniques to uncover the geometric properties of the data set, often using methods like auto-encoding [33], or contrastive learning [41]. While these approaches can lead to remarkably insightful representations of complex data structures, they are mostly applied to scenarios where large, well-structured datasets are readily available for training general deep learning models.

However, in some data science problems, data has to be acquired by *exploring* a complex physical system whilst interacting with it through measurements. Consider, for example, active sensing-type problems, where data is collected through probing the input-output behavior of a black box process, including medical diagnosis, exploratory data analysis, active simultaneous localization and mapping (active SLAM), and, as we will explore throughout this work, adaptive light-sheet microscopy. Especially in light-sheet microscopy, we may find ourselves with the potential to collect, through a costly process, vast amounts of data of which only a small subset is truly informative about the questions and tasks at hand. Knowing how and where to collect new data can be challenging, but models of the underlying dynamical system and the measurement mechanism potentially help guiding the exploration decision towards informative regions of the space of possible measurements. However, generating a model requires integrating sequential decision making, in particular exploration with representation learning concepts. This raises the question: *How can we integrate exploration and representation learning in a partially observable environment?*

With the invention of Selective Plane Illumination Microscopy (SPIM; [19]) the field of microscopy has entered the realm of real-time high data acquisition of biological processes, that opens the door for adaptive microscopy [39, 10]. We see a strong analogy to problems in other areas such as robotics,

in particular active SLAM (see e. g. [35] for a recent review). This observation motivates a larger class of problems we call *Active Representation Learning*, sketched out in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Active representation learning (ARL) is a set of problems that involves deriving Exploration Skills (ES), informed by Actionable Representations (AR), to learn a suitable exploration policy π , see Figure a. Two common examples are active SLAM (Figure b) and active microscopy (Figure c).

2 Related Work

Exploration and Representation in Deep Reinforcement Learning. Learning coherent state representations has been argued to be beneficial for deriving directed exploration strategies [45]. In problems where the state space has a known structure, the representation learning problem can be simplified through inductive biases specific to the task and integrated with exploration strategies, as in visual active SLAM using Deep Reinforcement Learning [6, 7, 8]. While learning representations using world models [16, 15], contrastive learning [22, 43, 45], or data augmentation [25] has demonstrated remarkable success in improving sample efficiency, they are usually solving a singular task. The emerging field of Unsupervised Reinforcement Learning (URL; [24]) on the other hand, goes further by pre-training RL agents reward-free using intrinsic motivation objectives, derived from model-based curiosity metrics [40, 32, 34], or from information theoretic considerations [28, 23]. Other approaches involve Laplacian-like Representations [11, 21, 5], Skill-based Representations [12, 1], and combinations thereof [17, 28]. Exploration skills are usually obtained by deriving options [44] based on them [29, 21]. Finally, integrating causal representation learning into the POMDP framework is another promising direction, where one is interested in disentangling controllable and uncontrollable latent factors of a partially observable environment [38, 26, 42].

From Adaptive to Active Microscopy. The terms *adaptive* or *smart* microscopy are used for a wide range of solutions to common problems in microscopy and encompasses methods with the goal of maximizing the information content of the imaged volume. They aim for providing assistance throughout the imaging process. This includes optimizing the optical system during an imaging experiment [37, 36, 31], managing and representing data [9], applying processing techniques to improve image quality [14], detecting events of interest [30], [2], and incorporating prior knowledge [18], [13]. We here want to coin the term *active* microscopy where an underlying model of the whole dynamical system including the biological process under the microscope and the imaging mechanics is exploited to make active decisions that lead to high-quality observations of the sample.

3 Active Representation Learning

3.1 Motivation: From Active SLAM to Active Microscopy

The ability to uncover and use the latent structure of ones surroundings is an important aspect of intelligent and flexible behavior. Latent structure usually refers to states of a process that are not directly observable, but related in a regular way. Knowing this structure enables an autonomous controller to make educated guesses about the consequences of a course of action, even if that action has never been taken in this same context before. Guessing the consequence of an action is especially helpful, when interactions are costly, e. g. when they require energy as in robotic navigation, or when measurements are time- or storage-consuming. However, the structure that we hope to learn and exploit, e. g. a map of an environment, or a model of a biological process, is often *hidden* in the sense that it can only be observed through incomplete pieces of information, obtained through limited interactions.

In microscopy, for example, the goal is often to uncover the 3D structure and dynamical processes of a biological specimens' developmental trajectory. To this end, one tries to acquire a stack of images in the fastest and least invasive way possible. It might be tempting to blindly acquire as many planes as possible, however, imaging a biological process (e. g. a developing organism) is inherently subjected to the trade-off involving spatial and temporal resolution, signal-to-noise ratio and sample health. Additionally, absorption, scattering, and refraction lead to incomplete and noisy 2D image planes.

Modern light-sheet microscopes can quickly generate enormous amounts of data in the range of multiple terabytes, thus predicting which measurements are actually necessary would considerably simplify the data processing pipeline or even makes it feasible in the first place.

Considering these constraints, an active microscope should still be able to learn a useful representation of itself and its interaction with the biological process at hand. This involves disentangling the dynamical factors that are directly controllable (where and how to look), and those that are not (the biological process itself). Ideally, a useful model would include both in order to inform powerful exploration skills, e. g. how to effectively search the space of microscope parameters to obtain a high-quality image of an informative event.

3.2 Towards a Framework for Active Representation Learning

The basic idea of Active Representation Learning (ARL) is that a data structure is in some sense embedded in a Partially Observable Markov Decision Process (POMDP; [4]). A POMDP is defined by the tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{O}, T, O, R)$, where \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{O} are the state, action, and observation spaces, and $T : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{S})$, $O : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{O})$, and $R : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the transition, observation and reward function respectively, see e. g. [27]. Following active SLAM, we model ARL assuming a general belief-dependent utility, replacing the role of the reward function [3].

Active SLAM introduces further specifications to the POMDP formalism in the form of a structured state-space. The state at time t is usually assumed to be a combination of the robot's pose $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathcal{X}$ and a map $\mathbf{m}_t \in \mathcal{M}$ that the robot builds up over time while interacting with the POMDP. This results in a factored state space of the form $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}$ [35]. In standard active SLAM, the environment is usually taken to be static, i.e. there is no dynamics beyond the motion of the agent. This leads to simplified models, where the state change is directly caused by the agent's intervention. Furthermore, the changes in \mathbf{x}_t and \mathbf{m}_t can be assumed to be independent, hence the agent can model its beliefs over its pose and the map independently.

While, in active SLAM, $\mathbf{m}_t \in \mathcal{M}$ refers to a representation of 2D navigable space, we want it to refer instead to a more general notion of model that includes controllable and uncontrollable dynamic factors. Active microscopy factors as $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M}^c \times \mathcal{M}^u$, consisting of

- the microscope's directly controllable parameters \mathcal{X} , e. g. the laser power used for excitation,
- indirectly controllable factors of the environment \mathcal{M}^c , including e. g. image quality,
- and uncontrollable factors \mathcal{M}^u , e. g. the latent biological processes we wish to model.

An additional difficulty to this problem, compared to standard active SLAM, is that while the sets that compose the total state space are factored, they may not be *independent*. For example, the quality

of the image can and should influence our belief about the underlying biological process, which motivates the agent to find the best quality views.

In order to deal with the complexity of the problem, we propose the agent should have at least the following two components (Figure 1):

1. an *actionable representation* $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, and
2. latent-conditional *exploration skills* $\pi_z : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Delta(A)$.

The actionable representation is a mapping from the observational history to an encoding of the full state at time t that respects the original factorization $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}^c \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{M}}^u$ and which can be used to derive outcomes of measurements of corresponding exploration skills. Factoring the representation and exploration policy in this way, may help simplify the problem and reveal analogies with representation learning. Furthermore, it makes sure we obtain a representation ϕ that aids interpretability and gives us a point of contact with the agent’s process model.

3.3 Challenges

Several issues make the formulation of a common decision framework difficult in this situation. On the one hand, a reward function in the traditional sense may not exist, e.g. it is not possible to formulate it as a function of state-action pairs, since it also depends on the internal belief state (see ρ -POMDP; [3]). In such decision problems, the reward is then usually referred to as the agent’s *utility*, which can be composed of intrinsic and extrinsic motivations, as well as costs and constraints. Deriving suitable utility functions is an open problem that has received much attention in Unsupervised RL recently. How the latent state space should be represented by the agent and how uncertainty about it relates to the agent’s utility is another long-standing problem in active SLAM [35], and in Representation Learning in Reinforcement Learning, see e.g. [11].

Beyond practical considerations, theoretical questions abound, involving the identifiability [20] of the controllable and uncontrollable latent processes, and properties of the exploration behaviors that result from various utility functions. Finally, since we are usually dealing with physical dynamical systems, control theoretic issues can not be ignored, including controllability and reachability notions for the latent state-space, observability of the latent processes, and stability of exploration skills.

3.4 Opportunities

Active Representation Learning highlights the need for a framework capable of capturing model building through sequential, incomplete observations, and the need to learn the underlying structure of a latent object or phenomenon. Ideally, the intelligent agent can learn structural similarities across a larger class of similar problems and use this information the next time a similarly structured problem is encountered, e.g. when a similar specimen is examined under the microscope. We believe that the overarching themes of Active Representation Learning transcend applications in robotics and microscopy. A large interdisciplinary community would benefit from a formal treatment of intelligent model building systems. A common framework would allow scientists from different fields to come together and share their experiences with related problems. Ideally, the community would work towards and eventually achieve a *virtual scientist* framework that allows us to build agents that can curiously explore complex processes the way humans do, potentially discovering and exploiting its symmetries.

4 Conclusion

Active Representation Learning (ARL) presents a step forward in the intersection of exploration and representation learning within partially observable environments. By leveraging the concepts and techniques from active SLAM, we have illustrated how these ideas can be extended to a larger class of problems with a similar structure, exemplified by what we call active microscopy.

The proposed ARL framework emphasizes the importance of disentangling controllable and uncontrollable factors in the environment, thus enabling intelligent agents to make informed decisions about where and how to explore. We argue that this approach not only improves the quality of the data collected but also facilitates the creation of more robust and interpretable models of complex

systems. Future research should focus on refining the theoretical foundations of ARL, addressing practical implementation issues, and exploring new applications across various domains. Ultimately, ARL holds the promise of transforming how we interact with and understand complex, dynamic systems, paving the way for breakthroughs in both artificial intelligence and scientific discovery.

Acknowledgments

N. M. and N.S. are supported by BMBF (Federal Ministry of Education and Research) through ACONITE (01IS22065) and the Center for Scalable Data Analytics and Artificial Intelligence (ScaDS.AI.) Leipzig. N.M. is also supported by the Max Planck IMPRS CoNI Doctoral Program. J.H. is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (Alexander von Humboldt Professorship; J.H.) and the German Research Foundation (Germany’s Excellence Strategy EXC 2067/1-390729940; J.H.). G.M. is supported by the MWK (Niedersächsisches Ministerium für Wissenschaft und Kultur, 6707040) and is member of the Hertha Sponer College of the Cluster of Excellence Multiscale Bioimaging (MBExC; EXC 2067/1- 390729940), University of Goettingen, Germany. Figure 1 was created using BioRender.com.

References

- [1] Joshua Achiam et al. “Variational option discovery algorithms”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.10299* (2018).
- [2] Jonatan Alvelid et al. “Event-Triggered STED Imaging”. In: *Nature Methods* (Sept. 2022). ISSN: 1548-7091, 1548-7105. DOI: 10 . 1038 / s41592 - 022 - 01588 - y. (Visited on 10/10/2022).
- [3] Mauricio Araya-Lopez, Olivier Buffet Vincent Thomas, and Francois Charpillet. “A POMDP Extension with Belief-dependent Rewards”. In: ()
- [4] K J Åström. “Optimal control of Markov processes with incomplete state information”. In: *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 10.1 (Feb. 1965), pp. 174–205.
- [5] André Barreto et al. “Successor features for transfer in reinforcement learning”. In: *Advances in neural information processing systems* 30 (2017).
- [6] Devendra Chaplot. *Neural-SLAM: Pytorch code for ICLR-20 Paper “Learning to Explore using Active Neural SLAM”*. en.
- [7] Devendra Singh Chaplot et al. “Object goal navigation using goal-Oriented Semantic Exploration”. In: *Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.* abs/2007.00643 (July 2020).
- [8] Devendra Singh Chaplot et al. “Semantic Curiosity for Active Visual Learning”. In: (June 2020). arXiv: 2006.09367 [cs.CV].
- [9] Bevan L. Cheeseman et al. “Adaptive Particle Representation of Fluorescence Microscopy Images”. In: *Nature Communications* 9.1 (Dec. 2018), p. 5160. ISSN: 2041-1723. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-07390-9. (Visited on 03/21/2022).
- [10] Stephan Daetwyler and Reto Paul Fiolka. “Light-sheets and smart microscopy, an exciting future is dawning”. en. In: *Commun Biol* 6.1 (May 2023), p. 502.
- [11] Akram Erraqabi et al. “Exploration-driven representation learning in reinforcement learning”. In: (2021).
- [12] Benjamin Eysenbach et al. “Diversity is all you need: Learning skills without a reward function”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06070* (2018).
- [13] Nicola Gritti et al. “Image Restoration of Degraded Time-Lapse Microscopy Data Mediated by Infrared-Imaging.” In: (Nov. 2022). DOI: 10 . 1101/2022 . 11 . 10 . 515910. (Visited on 11/11/2022).
- [14] Min Guo et al. “Rapid Image Deconvolution and Multiview Fusion for Optical Microscopy”. In: *Nature Biotechnology* 38.11 (Nov. 2020), pp. 1337–1346. ISSN: 1087-0156, 1546-1696. DOI: 10 . 1038/s41587-020-0560-x. (Visited on 11/23/2022).
- [15] Danijar Hafner et al. “Dream to control: Learning behaviors by latent imagination”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01603* (2019).
- [16] Danijar Hafner et al. “Learning latent dynamics for planning from pixels”. In: *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR. 2019, pp. 2555–2565.

- [17] Steven Hansen et al. “Fast task inference with variational intrinsic successor features”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05030* (2019).
- [18] Jiaye He and Jan Huisken. “Image Quality Guided Smart Rotation Improves Coverage in Microscopy”. In: *Nature Communications* 11.1 (Dec. 2020), p. 150. ISSN: 2041-1723. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-13821-y. (Visited on 05/30/2022).
- [19] Jan Huisken et al. “Optical sectioning deep inside live embryos by selective plane illumination microscopy”. In: *Science* 305.5686 (2004), pp. 1007–1009.
- [20] Aapo Hyvarinen, Ilyes Khemakhem, and Hiroshi Morioka. “Nonlinear Independent Component Analysis for Principled Disentanglement in Unsupervised Deep Learning”. In: (Mar. 2023). arXiv: 2303.16535 [cs.LG].
- [21] Martin Klissarov and Marlos C Machado. “Deep Laplacian-based options for temporally-extended exploration”. In: *ICML* (Jan. 2023), pp. 17198–17217.
- [22] Michael Laskin, Aravind Srinivas, and Pieter Abbeel. “Curl: Contrastive unsupervised representations for reinforcement learning”. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR. 2020, pp. 5639–5650.
- [23] Michael Laskin et al. “Cic: Contrastive intrinsic control for unsupervised skill discovery”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.00161* (2022).
- [24] Michael Laskin et al. “URLB: Unsupervised reinforcement learning benchmark”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.15191* (2021).
- [25] Misha Laskin et al. “Reinforcement learning with augmented data”. In: *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), pp. 19884–19895.
- [26] Lisa Lee et al. “Weakly-Supervised Reinforcement Learning for Controllable Behavior”. In: ().
- [27] Michael Littman. “Algorithms for Sequential Decision Making”. In: (Aug. 2009).
- [28] Hao Liu and Pieter Abbeel. *APS: Active Pretraining with Successor Features*. 2021. arXiv: 2108.13956 [cs.LG].
- [29] Marlos C Machado et al. *Eigenoption Discovery through the Deep Successor Representation*. Feb. 2018.
- [30] Dora Maheicic et al. “Event-Driven Acquisition for Content-Enriched Microscopy”. In: *Nature Methods* (Sept. 2022). ISSN: 1548-7091, 1548-7105. DOI: 10.1038/s41592-022-01589-x. (Visited on 10/10/2022).
- [31] Katie McDole et al. “In Toto Imaging and Reconstruction of Post-Implantation Mouse Development at the Single-Cell Level”. en. In: *Cell* 175.3 (Oct. 2018), 859–876.e33.
- [32] Pierre-Yves Oudeyer, Frdric Kaplan, and Verena V Hafner. “Intrinsic motivation systems for autonomous mental development”. In: *IEEE transactions on evolutionary computation* 11.2 (2007), pp. 265–286.
- [33] Chethan Pandarinath et al. “Inferring single-trial neural population dynamics using sequential auto-encoders”. en. In: *Nat. Methods* 15.10 (Oct. 2018), pp. 805–815.
- [34] Deepak Pathak et al. “Curiosity-driven exploration by self-supervised prediction”. In: *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR. 2017, pp. 2778–2787.
- [35] Julio A Placed et al. “A Survey on Active Simultaneous Localization and Mapping: State of the Art and New Frontiers”. In: (July 2022). arXiv: 2207.00254 [cs.R0].
- [36] Sean Quirin et al. “Calcium imaging of neural circuits with extended depth-of-field light-sheet microscopy”. In: *Opt. Lett.* 41.5 (Mar. 2016), pp. 855–858. DOI: 10.1364/OL.41.000855. URL: <https://opg.optica.org/ol/abstract.cfm?URI=ol-41-5-855>.
- [37] Loïc A Royer et al. “Adaptive light-sheet microscopy for long-term, high-resolution imaging in living organisms”. en. In: *Nat. Biotechnol.* 34.12 (Dec. 2016), pp. 1267–1278.
- [38] Yoshihide Sawada. “Disentangling Controllable and Uncontrollable Factors of Variation by Interacting with the World”. In: (Apr. 2018). arXiv: 1804.06955 [cs.CV].
- [39] Nico Scherf and Jan Huisken. “The smart and gentle microscope”. en. In: *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33.8 (Aug. 2015), pp. 815–818.
- [40] J Schmidhuber. “A possibility for implementing curiosity and boredom in model-building neural controllers”. en. In: *From Animals to Animats*. The MIT Press, 1991.
- [41] Steffen Schneider, Jin Hwa Lee, and Mackenzie Weygandt Mathis. “Learnable latent embeddings for joint behavioural and neural analysis”. en. In: *Nature* 617.7960 (May 2023), pp. 360–368.

- [42] Sumedh A Sontakke et al. “Causal Curiosity: RL Agents Discovering Self-supervised Experiments for Causal Representation Learning”. In: (Oct. 2020). arXiv: 2010.03110 [cs.LG].
- [43] Adam Stooke et al. “Decoupling representation learning from reinforcement learning”. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR. 2021, pp. 9870–9879.
- [44] Richard S Sutton, Doina Precup, and Satinder Singh. “Between MDPs and semi-MDPs: A framework for temporal abstraction in reinforcement learning”. In: *Artif. Intell.* 112.1 (Aug. 1999), pp. 181–211.
- [45] Denis Yarats et al. “Reinforcement learning with prototypical representations”. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR. 2021, pp. 11920–11931.