

# EQUIVARIANT VECTOR BUNDLES OVER THE COMPLEX PROJECTIVE LINE

INDRANIL BISWAS AND FRANCOIS-XAVIER MACHU

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a finite abelian group acting faithfully on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  via holomorphic automorphisms. In [DF2] the  $G$ -equivariant algebraic vector bundles on  $G$ -invariant affine open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  were classified. We classify the  $G$ -equivariant algebraic vector bundles on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This work was inspired by [DF2]. The set-up of [DF2] is the following. A finite abelian group  $G$  is acting faithfully on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  via holomorphic automorphisms. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  be an affine subspace preserved by the action of  $G$ , so  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus X$  is a nonempty finite subset preserved by the action of  $G$ . The  $G$ -equivariant algebraic vector bundles on  $X$  were classified in [DF2]. Our aim here is to classify the  $G$ -equivariant algebraic vector bundles on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ .

The group  $G$  can be of two types. Either it is a cyclic group or it is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

When  $G$  is a finite cyclic group, the following are proved (see Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.3):

- (1) Any  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  splits into a direct sum of  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic line bundles.
- (2) Every holomorphic line bundle on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure.
- (3) The  $G$ -equivariant structures on any given holomorphic line bundle  $L$  are parametrized by the group of complex characters of  $G$ .

When  $G$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , the following are proved (see Lemma 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and Remark 4.3):

- (1) The holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The  $G$ -equivariant structures on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n)$  are parametrized by the group of complex characters of  $G$ .
- (2) The holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n-1)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The  $G$ -equivariant structures on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n-1)$  are described in Remark 4.4.
- (3) For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n-1)$  does not admit any  $G$ -equivariant structure.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H60, 14F06, 14L30.

*Key words and phrases.* Equivariant bundle; Harder-Narasimhan filtration; projective line; automorphism.

- (4) Any  $G$ -equivariant vector bundle  $E$  admits a holomorphic decomposition into  $G$ -equivariant vector bundles

$$E = \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^M \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(2m_i) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(2n_j + 1)^{\oplus 2} \right),$$

where  $m_i$  and  $n_j$  are integers and  $M + 2N = \text{rank}(E)$ .

## 2. EQUIVARIANT VECTOR BUNDLES ON PROJECTIVE LINE

The standard action of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  produces an action of  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on the complex projective line  $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . This way  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  gets identified with the holomorphic automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . Let  $G$  be a finite abelian subgroup of  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We know the following:

- (1) either  $G$  is a finite cyclic group, or
- (2)  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

(See [Do, Section 1.1], [Sp].) If  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , then it is conjugate to the subgroup of  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. In this case the two points  $0$  and  $\infty$  are fixed by the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . If  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ , then it is conjugate to the subgroup of  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  generated by

$$A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

In this case, no element of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is fixed by the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . The action of  $A_1$  fixes the points  $0$  and  $\infty$ ; the action of  $A_2$  fixes  $1$  and  $-1$  while the action of  $A_1 A_2$  fixes  $\sqrt{-1}$  and  $-\sqrt{-1}$ .

Let  $\varpi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$  be a holomorphic vector bundle. A  $G$ -equivariant structure on  $E$  is a holomorphic action of the group  $G$

$$\phi : G \times E \rightarrow E \quad (2.2)$$

on the total space of  $E$  such that for every  $\gamma \in G$ , the map

$$\phi_\gamma : E \rightarrow E \quad (2.3)$$

defined by  $z \mapsto \phi(\gamma, z)$  is an automorphism of the vector bundle  $E$  over that automorphism  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . Therefore, we have  $\varpi \circ \phi_\gamma = \gamma \circ \varpi$  for all  $\gamma \in G$ . Also, for any  $x \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ , the map  $\phi_\gamma|_{E_x} : E_x \rightarrow E_{\gamma(x)}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphism.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle over  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . Let  $W \subset E$  be a  $G$ -invariant holomorphic subbundle. Assume that the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{q} Q := E/W \rightarrow 0$$

*splits holomorphically, meaning there is a holomorphic subbundle  $F \subset E$  such that the projection  $q|_F : F \rightarrow Q$  is an isomorphism. Then  $W$  has a  $G$ -invariant direct summand (in other words,  $F$  can be chosen to be preserved by the action of  $G$  on  $E$ ).*

*Proof.* Since the action of  $G$  on  $E$  preserves  $W$ , the action of  $G$  on  $E$  produces an action of  $G$  on the quotient  $Q$ . The action of any  $\gamma \in G$  on  $Q$ , given by  $\phi_\gamma$  (see (2.3)) will be denoted by  $\phi'_\gamma$ . We have the holomorphic homomorphism

$$f := (q|_F)^{-1} : Q \longrightarrow E,$$

where  $F$  is the subbundle in the lemma. Note that the equality  $q \circ f = \text{Id}_Q$  holds.

Consider the map  $\phi$  in (2.2) giving the  $G$ -equivariant structure of  $E$ . We have the homomorphism

$$\tilde{f} : Q \longrightarrow E, \quad v \longmapsto \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \phi_\gamma^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi'_\gamma.$$

It is straightforward to check the following:

- $q \circ \tilde{f} = \text{Id}_Q$ , and
- the action of  $G$  on  $E$  preserves  $\tilde{f}(Q)$ .

Therefore, the image  $\tilde{f}(Q)$  is a  $G$ -invariant direct summand of  $W$ . □

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be a  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle over  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and*

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{\ell-1} \subset E_\ell = E$$

*a filtration of  $G$ -equivariant subbundles of it, such that the filtration splits holomorphically. Then the filtration splits holomorphically  $G$ -equivariantly. In particular,  $E$  is holomorphically  $G$ -equivariantly isomorphic to the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} W_i/W_{i-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 2.1 to the subbundle  $W_{i-1} \subset W_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ , we conclude that  $W_i = W_{i-1} \oplus (W_i/W_{i-1})$  as  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundles. This immediately implies that the filtration in the statement of the corollary splits holomorphically  $G$ -equivariantly. □

### 3. POLYSTABLE EQUIVARIANT BUNDLES

Any holomorphic vector bundle  $V$  over  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  of rank  $r$  decomposes into a direct sum of the form  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(n_i)$ , where  $n_i$  are integers [Gr, p. 122, Théorème 1.1]. We note that  $V$  is semistable if  $n_1 = \cdots = n_r$ . Also, any semistable vector bundle on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is polystable. (See [HL, p. 14, Definition 1.2.12] and [HL, p. 23, Definition 1.5.4] for semistability and polystability respectively.)

**Proposition 3.1.** *Any  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  is a direct sum of  $G$ -equivariant polystable vector bundles.*

*Proof.* If  $E$  is semistable, then, as noted above, it is polystable. So we need to consider the case where  $E$  is not semistable. Let

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{\ell-1} \subset E_\ell = E \tag{3.1}$$

be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of  $E$  [HL, p. 16, Theorem 1.3.4] (see also [HL, p. 14, Theorem 1.3.1]). Since  $E$  is a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles, the filtration in (3.1) splits holomorphically. From the uniqueness property of the Harder–Narasimhan filtration it follows immediately that each  $W_i$  in (3.1) is preserved by the action of  $G$  on  $E$ . Therefore, from Corollary 2.2 we know that  $E$  is holomorphically  $G$ -equivariantly

isomorphic to the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} W_i/W_{i-1}$ . Since  $W_i/W_{i-1}$  is semistable, and any semistable vector bundle over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  is polystable, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Set  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $E$  be a  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ . Then  $E$  splits into a direct sum of  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic line bundles.*

*Any holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure.*

*Proof.* Every holomorphic vector bundle over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  decomposes into a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles [Gr, p. 122, Théorème 1.1]. Moreover, any holomorphic line bundle on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  is of the form  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(n)$  for some integer  $n$ . A  $G$ -equivariant structure on a holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  produces a  $G$ -equivariant structure on  $E^*$  and it also produces a  $G$ -equivariant structure on any tensor power of  $E$ . Therefore, to prove that any holomorphic vector bundle  $V$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure it suffices to show that the two line bundles  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  admit a  $G$ -equivariant structure.

It was noted in Section 2 that  $G$  is conjugate to the subgroup of  $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. So we assume that  $G$  is the cyclic subgroup generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity.

The standard action of  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  produces an action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  admit a  $G$ -equivariant structure.

The trivial action of  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  produces a  $G$ -equivariant structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}$ . Consequently, every holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure.

Now we will show that any  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  splits into a direct sum of  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic line bundles. In view of Proposition 3.1 we will assume that  $E$  is polystable. Since the holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  decomposes into a direct sum of line bundles, we have

$$E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d)^{\oplus r} \tag{3.2}$$

for some  $d$ , where  $r = \mathrm{rank}(E)$ . As observed above,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure. Fix a  $G$ -equivariant structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d)$ .

The  $G$ -equivariant structures on  $E$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d)$  together produce a  $G$ -equivariant structure on the vector bundle

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d), E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-d) \otimes E,$$

which, in turn, produces an action of  $G$  on the vector space  $H^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d), E))$ . We have a natural homomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d), E)) \longrightarrow E \tag{3.3}$$

that sends any  $v \otimes s \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d)_x \otimes H^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d), E))$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ , to  $s(v) \in E_x$ . From (3.2) it follows immediately that the holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(d), E)$  is trivializable and  $\Phi$  in (3.3) is an isomorphism. Also,  $\Phi$  is evidently  $G$ -equivariant.

Since  $G$  is a finite cyclic group, the complex  $G$ -module  $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(d), E))$  decomposes into a direct sum of one-dimensional complex  $G$ -modules. Let

$$H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(d), E)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N F_i,$$

where each  $F_i$  is a one-dimensional  $G$ -module and  $N = \dim H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(d), E)) = \text{rank}(E)$ . So the isomorphism in (3.3) gives an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(d) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F_i \xrightarrow{\sim} E \quad (3.4)$$

of  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic vector bundles. Note that (3.4) gives a holomorphic decomposition of the  $G$ -equivariant vector bundle  $E$  into a direct sum of  $G$ -equivariant holomorphic line bundles.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** As in Theorem 3.2, take  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . From Theorem 3.2 we know that  $L$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure. It is straightforward to check that any two  $G$ -equivariant structures on  $L$  differ by multiplication by a complex character of  $G$ . Indeed, this follows immediately from the fact that the group of holomorphic automorphisms of  $L$  is the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

#### 4. THE CASE OF $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$

In this section we assume that  $G$  is the subgroup of  $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  generated by the two matrices  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in (2.1). In particular,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ . Let

$$p : \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^* = \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \quad (4.1)$$

be the natural quotient map, where  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is the multiplicative group.

**Lemma 4.1.**

- (1) *The holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(2)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure.*
- (2) *The holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure.*
- (3) *The holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)$  does not admit any  $G$ -equivariant structure.*

*Proof.* The standard action of  $G \subset \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  has a natural lift to an action of  $G$  on the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T\mathbb{CP}^1$ . Since  $T\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(2)$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(2)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure.

Consider the rank two vector bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathbb{C}^2)^* = \text{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)),$$

where  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$  is the trivial holomorphic vector bundle of rank two on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  with fiber  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Consider the standard actions of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . They together produce a diagonal action of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^2 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ . This action of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^2$  preserves the tautological line subbundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) \subset \underline{\mathbb{C}}^2.$$

the actions of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  together produce an action of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1). \quad (4.2)$$

Note that  $\mathrm{kernel}(p) \subset \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  acts trivially on  $\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))$ , where  $p$  is the projection in (4.1). Consequently, we get an action of  $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathrm{kernel}(p)$  on the vector bundle in (4.2). Therefore, the vector bundle in (4.2) admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure.

Now we will show that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  does not admit a  $G$ -equivariant structure.

To prove by contradiction, assume that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure. This implies that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure. Hence  $G$  acts on

$$H^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = \mathbb{C}^2.$$

This action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  makes  $G$  a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

Any lift of  $A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (see (2.1)) to  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is of the form  $A_{1,s} = \begin{pmatrix} -s & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $s \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Also, any lift of  $A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  to  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is of the form  $A_{2,t} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Now

$$A_{1,s}A_{2,t} \neq A_{2,t}A_{1,s}$$

for all  $s, t$ , and hence  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  can't lift to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$  does not admit any  $G$ -equivariant structure.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Any  $G$ -equivariant vector bundle  $E$  admits a holomorphic decomposition into  $G$ -equivariant vector bundles*

$$E = \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^M \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2m_i) \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n_j + 1)^{\oplus 2} \right),$$

where  $m_i$  and  $n_j$  are integers and  $M + 2N = \mathrm{rank}(E)$ .

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 3.1 we assume that  $E$  is polystable. So the holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  has the following description:

$$E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(b)^{\oplus r}, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r = \mathrm{rank}(E)$ .

**Case 1:  $b$  in (4.3) is an even integer.** Assume that  $b = 2m$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure (see Lemma 4.1(1)), we know that the holomorphic line bundle

$$L := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2m) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2)^{\otimes m} \quad (4.4)$$

admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure; fix a  $G$ -equivariant structure on  $L$  defined in (4.4). The  $G$ -equivariant structures of  $E$  and  $L$  together define a  $G$ -equivariant structure on

$$\mathrm{Hom}(L, E) = E \otimes L^*.$$

Note that  $\mathrm{Hom}(L, E)$  is a holomorphically trivializable vector bundle of rank  $r$ . Consider

$$\mathbb{V} := H^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{Hom}(L, E)).$$

The action of  $G$  on  $\text{Hom}(L, E)$  produces an action of  $G$  on the vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . As in (3.3), let

$$\Phi : L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow E \quad (4.5)$$

be the homomorphism that sends any  $\ell \otimes s$ , where  $\ell \in L_x$  with  $x \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $s \in \mathbb{V}$ , to  $s(\ell) \in E_x$ . We note that  $\Phi$  in (4.5) is an isomorphism. Also,  $\Phi$  is  $G$ -equivariant.

Since  $G$  is a finite abelian group, the  $G$ -module  $\mathbb{V}$  decomposes into a direct sum of 1-dimensional complex  $G$ -modules. Fix a decomposition

$$\mathbb{V} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r F_i,$$

where each  $F_i$  is a one-dimensional complex  $G$ -module. Now the isomorphism  $\Phi$  in (4.5) gives an isomorphism

$$\Phi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^r L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} F_i \longrightarrow E.$$

So the  $G$ -equivariant vector bundle  $E$  decomposes into a direct sum  $G$ -equivariant line bundles.

**Case 2:  $b$  in (4.3) is an odd integer.** Assume that  $b = 2m + 1$ . Let

$$L := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(b) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2m + 1) \quad (4.6)$$

be the holomorphic line bundle on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-2m - 2)$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant structure (see Lemma 4.1(1)) and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-2m - 2) \otimes L$  does not admit any  $G$ -equivariant structure (see Lemma 4.1(3)), we know that  $L$  in (4.6) does not admit any  $G$ -equivariant structure.

Let

$$\tilde{G} \subset \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

be the subgroup generated by the two elements  $A_1, A_2 \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  in (2.1). So we have a central extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \pm I \longrightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{q} G \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.7)$$

The natural action of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  has a natural lift to an action of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  on the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{G}$  acts on the line bundle  $L$  in (4.6). Using the projection  $q$  in (4.7), the action of  $G$  on  $E$  produces an action of  $\tilde{G}$  on  $E$ . The actions of  $\tilde{G}$  on  $L$  and  $E$  together produce an action of  $\tilde{G}$  on

$$\text{Hom}(L, E) = E \otimes L^*.$$

This action of  $\tilde{G}$  on  $\text{Hom}(L, E)$  produces an action of  $\tilde{G}$  on the vector space

$$\mathbb{V} := H^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hom}(L, E)). \quad (4.8)$$

Since  $A_1^2 = I = A_2^2$  (see (2.1)), the eigenvalues of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are  $\pm 1$ . Let  $v_1 \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \{0\}$  be an eigenvector, for the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , for the action of  $A_1 \in \tilde{G}$  on  $\mathbb{V}$ . Since

$$A_1 A_2 = -A_2 A_1, \quad (4.9)$$

we know  $A_2(v_1) \notin \mathbb{C} \cdot v_1$ . We have  $A_1(A_2(v_1)) = -A_2(A_1(v_1))$  (see (4.9)), and hence  $A_1(A_2(v_1)) = -\lambda A_2(v_1)$ . So  $A_2(v_1)$  is an eigenvector, for the eigenvalue  $-\lambda$ , for the

action of  $A_1 \in \tilde{G}$  on  $\mathbb{V}$ . Also, note that  $A_2^2(v_1) = v_1$ . Consequently, the two-dimensional subspace

$$\mathbb{V}_1 := \mathbb{C} \cdot v_1 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot A_2(v_1) \subset \mathbb{V}$$

is preserved by the action of  $\tilde{G}$  on  $\mathbb{V}$ .

Next take another eigenvector  $v_2 \in \mathbb{V} \setminus \mathbb{V}_1$  for the action of  $A_1$  on  $\mathbb{V}$  (note that the action of  $A_1$  is diagonalizable). Then we have

$$\mathbb{V}_2 := \mathbb{C} \cdot v_2 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot A_2(v_2) \subset \mathbb{V}$$

such that  $\tilde{G} \cdot \mathbb{V}_2 = \mathbb{V}_2$  and  $\mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \mathbb{V}_2 \subset \mathbb{V}$ .

Proceeding this way, we get a decomposition of the  $\tilde{G}$ -module  $\mathbb{V}$

$$\mathbb{V} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r'} \mathbb{V}_j, \quad (4.10)$$

such that  $\dim \mathbb{V}_j = 2$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r'$ . Note that the holomorphic vector bundle  $\text{Hom}(L, E)$  is trivializable, and hence  $\dim \mathbb{V} = \text{rank}(E) = r$ . Therefore, we have  $2r' = r$  (see (4.10)).

As before, we have an holomorphic isomorphism

$$\Phi : L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow E$$

that sends any  $\ell \otimes s$ , where  $\ell \in L_x$  with  $x \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $s \in \mathbb{V}$ , to  $s(\ell) \in E_x$ . This isomorphism  $\Phi$  is  $\tilde{G}$ -equivariant. Using  $\Phi$ , the decomposition in (4.10) produces a decomposition

$$E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r'} L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_j \quad (4.11)$$

of the  $\tilde{G}$ -equivariant vector bundle  $E$ . Since the action of  $\tilde{G}$  on  $E$  factors through the quotient  $G$  in (4.7), the action of  $\tilde{G}$  on each  $G$ -equivariant subbundle  $L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{V}_j \subset E$  in (4.11) factors through  $G$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** For the same reason as in Remark 3.3, any two  $G$ -equivariant structures on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2m)$  differ by multiplication by a complex character of  $G$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Note that the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n+1)^{\oplus 2}$  is identified with  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the group of all pairs of the form  $(g, \rho)$ , where  $g \in G$  and  $\rho : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n+1)^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n+1)^{\oplus 2}$  is a holomorphic isomorphism of vector bundles over the automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  given by  $g$ . So we get a short exact sequence of groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \longrightarrow 0;$$

the above projection  $\varphi$  sends any  $(g, \rho) \in \mathcal{G}$  to  $g$ . We note that a  $G$ -equivariant structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1}(2n+1)^{\oplus 2}$  is a homomorphism  $\eta : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\varphi \circ \eta = \text{Id}_G$ . Let

$\mathbb{S}$

denote the space of all homomorphisms  $\eta : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\varphi \circ \eta = \text{Id}_G$ . The group  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  acts on  $\mathbb{S}$ : The action of any  $A \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  sends any homomorphism  $\eta \in \mathbb{S}$  to the homomorphism  $g \longmapsto A^{-1}\eta(g)A$ . The corresponding quotient space  $\mathbb{S}/\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$



is identified with the space of all isomorphism classes of  $G$ -equivariant structures on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^1}(2n+1)^{\oplus 2}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is partially supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship (JBR/2023/000003).

#### DECLARATIONS

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- [DF1] C. De Concini and F. Fagnani, Symmetries of differential behaviors and finite group actions on free modules over a polynomial ring, *Math. Control Signal Systems* **6** (1993), 307–321.
- [DF2] C. De Concini and F. Fagnani, Equivariant vector bundles over affine subsets of the projective line, *Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **22** (1995), 341–361.
- [Do] I. V. Dolgachev, *MacKay correspondence*, <https://dept.math.lsa.umich.edu/~idolga/McKaybook.pdf>.
- [FW] F. Fagnani and J. C. Willems, Representations of time-reversible systems, *Jour. Mathematical Systems, Estimation and Control* **1** (1991), 5–28.
- [Gr] A. Grothendieck, Sur la classification des fibrés holomorphes sur la sphère de Riemann, *Amer. Jour. Math.* **79** (1957), 121–138.
- [HL] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [Mo] L. Moser-Jauslin, Triviality of certain equivariant vector bundles for finite cyclic groups, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **317** (1993), 139–144
- [Sp] T. A. Springer, *Invariant theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 585 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, NH91, TEHSIL DADRI, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH 201314, INDIA

*Email address:* `indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in`, `indranil29@gmail.com`

ESIEA, 74 BIS AV. MAURICE THOREZ, 94200 IVRY-SUR-SEINE, FRANCE

*Email address:* `fx.machu@gmail.com`