

# Multiple peaks in gravitational waves induced from primordial curvature perturbations with non-Gaussianity

Xiang-Xi Zeng,<sup>a,b</sup> Rong-Gen Cai,<sup>a,c,e</sup> Shao-Jiang Wang<sup>a,d,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Beijing 100190, China

<sup>b</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing 100049, China

<sup>c</sup>School of Physical Science and Technology, Ningbo University, Ningbo, 315211, China

<sup>d</sup>Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics (APCTP), Pohang 37673, Korea

<sup>e</sup>School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study (HIAS), University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Hangzhou 310024, China

E-mail: [zengxiangxi@itp.ac.cn](mailto:zengxiangxi@itp.ac.cn), [cairg@itp.ac.cn](mailto:cairg@itp.ac.cn), [schwang@itp.ac.cn](mailto:schwang@itp.ac.cn)

**Abstract.** First-order primordial curvature perturbations are known to induce gravitational waves at the second-order, which can in turn probe the small-scale curvature perturbations near the end of the inflation. In this work, we extend the previous analysis in the Gaussian case into the non-Gaussian case, with particular efforts to obtain some thumb rules of sandwiching the associated peaks in gravitational waves induced from multiple peaks of non-Gaussian curvature perturbations.

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Scalar-induced gravitational waves</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	SIGW in Gaussian case	2
2.2	SIGW in non-Gaussian case	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Multiple peaks in SIGW</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Multiple peaks in Gaussian case	7
3.2	Multiple peaks in non-Gaussian case	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusions and discussions</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Multiple peaks in <math>\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}</math></b>	<b>13</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>SIGW in matter-dominated era</b>	<b>15</b>

---

## 1 Introduction

Gravitational waves (GWs) can serve as a powerful probe into the fundamental physics in the early universe, like the inflation [1, 2], phase transitions [3–5], topological defects [5, 6], reheating/preheating era [7, 8], to name just a few. As there will be many more GW detectors in the future, such as LISA [9], Taiji [10, 11], Tianqin [12], ET [13], DECIGO [14, 15], and AION/MAGIS [16], it is, therefore, crucial to distinguish all different kinds of possible sources of stochastic GW backgrounds [17, 18]. For instance, the anisotropies in the stochastic GW backgrounds can serve as a characteristic probe among different sources of stochastic GW backgrounds [19–21].

One of these intriguing GW sources is the scalar-induced GWs [22, 23] (see [24] for a review) from having a sizeable enhancement in the power spectrum of primordial curvature perturbations at small scales in addition to the nearly scale-invariant one at large scales [25, 26] as measured from the cosmic microwave background (CMB) by the Planck team. Such a sizeable enhancement can also lead to productions of primordial black holes (PBHs), which might constitute all of or part of the dark matter components [27–31] but with the abundance sensitive externally to the choice of window functions [32] as well as the reheating history normalization [33] so long as the inflationary scale has not been fixed yet.

If there is indeed such an enhancement at small scales, then it is essential to recover the small-scale structures in the primordial curvature perturbations from the observed characteristics in the scalar-induced second-order GWs, and vice versa. A widely studied feature is the imprint from non-Gaussianity [34–45]<sup>1</sup> and anisotropies [19, 49–52]. Higher-order calculations, like the third-order results [53–55], have found that, for primordial power spectrum with a delta peak, there are two peaks in the GW spectrum to the third order instead of a single peak to the second order. Recent works on the missing one-loop contributions in second-order induced GWs [56–62] may lead to a scale-invariant negative contribution in the infrared region in addition to the universal infrared behavior [63].

---

<sup>1</sup>See, however, Refs. [45–48] for the non-Gaussianity in the induced GWs.

If the small-scale enhancement occurs not only at a single scale but with multiple peaks as generated in the inflation models with multiple fields or multiple inflection points [64–66], then the corresponding resonant structures in the GW spectrum can be analytically identified for primordial curvature perturbations of Gaussian type [67]. Another oscillation feature in both the scalar power spectrum and scalar-induced GW power spectrum can also be determined analytically for a sharp turn during inflation that leads to copious particle productions [68–70].

In this paper, we revisit the resonant feature in the scalar-induced GW power spectrum from primordial curvature perturbations with multiple peaks of non-Gaussian type. The outline for this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we review the formalism of the scalar-induced GW (SIGW) to introduce our notation. In Section 3, we first recall the results of multiple peaks in the Gaussian case, and then we go forward to multiple peaks in the non-Gaussian case but with the main focus on the disconnected part of the SIGW. The section 4 is devoted to conclusions and discussions. The appendix A is given for the analysis of multiple peaks in the hybrid part, and the appendix B is provided for the discussion of the peak structure in SIGWs during a matter-dominated era.

## 2 Scalar-induced gravitational waves

In this section, we start by reviewing the general formalism for the SIGW in the Gaussian case [71]. Later in this section, we turn to the case with non-Gaussianity [38].

### 2.1 SIGW in Gaussian case

We start from a perturbed FLRW spacetime in the conformal Newtonian gauge

$$ds^2 = a(\tau)^2 \left\{ -(1 + 2\Phi)d\tau^2 + \left[ (1 - 2\Phi)\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}h_{ij} \right] dx^i dx^j \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where we neglect first-order tensor perturbations, vector perturbations<sup>2</sup>, and the anisotropic stress, and then  $\Phi = \Psi$  follows from the Newtonian gauge.  $\Phi$  is the first-order scalar perturbation and  $h_{ij}$  is the second-order tensor perturbation. We next expand the GW part in Fourier space as

$$h_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\lambda=+, \times} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \epsilon_{ij}^\lambda(\mathbf{k}) h_\lambda(\tau, \mathbf{k}) \quad (2.2)$$

with the polarization tensors defined by

$$\epsilon_{ij}^+(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\epsilon_i(\mathbf{k})\epsilon_j(\mathbf{k}) - \bar{\epsilon}_i(\mathbf{k})\bar{\epsilon}_j(\mathbf{k})], \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\epsilon_{ij}^\times(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\epsilon_i(\mathbf{k})\bar{\epsilon}_j(\mathbf{k}) + \bar{\epsilon}_i(\mathbf{k})\epsilon_j(\mathbf{k})], \quad (2.3b)$$

where  $\epsilon_{i,j}(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\bar{\epsilon}_{i,j}(\mathbf{k})$  form an orthogonal basis transverse to  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then, we can define the GW power spectrum as

$$\langle h_{\lambda_1}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) h_{\lambda_2}(\tau, \mathbf{q}) \rangle = \delta^3(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \delta^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_1}(\tau, k), \quad (2.4)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Recently, there are some works concerning the effects of the first-order tensor perturbations [56–62]

and we can also define the dimensionless GW power spectrum as

$$\langle h_{\lambda_1}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) h_{\lambda_2}(\tau, \mathbf{q}) \rangle = \delta^3(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) \delta^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \frac{2\pi^2}{k^3} \overline{\Delta_{\lambda_1}(\tau, k)}. \quad (2.5)$$

The energy density spectrum is defined as

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}(\tau, k) = \frac{1}{48} \left( \frac{k}{\mathcal{H}} \right)^2 \sum_{\lambda=+, \times} \overline{\Delta_{\lambda}^2(\tau, k)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the overline means the time average or oscillation average. The energy density spectrum denotes the fraction of GW energy density in total energy density per logarithmic wavenumber.

The equation of motion for the GWs  $h_{ij}$  can be straightforwardly derived from the Einstein equation,

$$h_{\lambda}''(\tau, \mathbf{k}) + 2\mathcal{H}h_{\lambda}'(\tau, \mathbf{k}) + k^2 h_{\lambda}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = 4S_{\lambda}(\tau, \mathbf{k}), \quad (2.7)$$

and in the absence of entropy perturbations, the equation of motion for  $\Phi$  is

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}'' + 3\mathcal{H}(1 + c_s^2)\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}' + (2\mathcal{H}' + (1 + 3c_s^2)\mathcal{H}^2 + c_s^2 k^2)\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

To connect with primordial physics, we usually use a transfer function  $\Phi(k\tau)$  to express the gravitational potential in terms of the primordial curvature perturbation  $\zeta$  via the equation-of-state parameter  $\omega$  as

$$\Phi(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{3 + 3\omega}{5 + 3\omega} \Phi(k\tau) \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Hence, using the primordial curvature perturbation and transfer function, the source term is

$$S_{\lambda}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} Q_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) f(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|, q, \tau) \zeta_{\mathbf{q}} \zeta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $f(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|, q, \tau)$  is

$$f(p, q, \tau) = \frac{3(1 + \omega)}{(5 + 3\omega)^2} [2(5 + 3\omega)\Phi(p\tau)\Phi(q\tau) + \tau^2(1 + 3\omega)^2\Phi'(p\tau)\Phi'(q\tau) + 2\tau(1 + 3\omega)(\Phi(p\tau)\Phi'(q\tau) + \Phi'(p\tau)\Phi(q\tau))], \quad (2.11)$$

and the projection factor is

$$Q_{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \epsilon_{ij}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{k}) q_i q_j. \quad (2.12)$$

The equation of motion for  $h_{ij}$  can be solved by the Green function method as

$$h_{\lambda}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{4}{a(\tau)} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau' G_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau, \tau') a(\tau') S_{\lambda}(\tau', \mathbf{k}), \quad (2.13)$$

where the Green function satisfies

$$\partial_{\tau}^2 G_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau, \tau') + \left( k^2 - \frac{a''(\tau)}{a(\tau)} \right) G_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau, \tau') = \delta(\tau - \tau'). \quad (2.14)$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.13), we can obtain the GW power spectrum as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{\lambda_1} h_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{\lambda_2} \rangle &= 16 \int \frac{d^3 q_1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{d^3 q_2}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{q}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{q}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2} \rangle Q_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{q}_1) Q_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{q}_2) \\ &\quad \times I(|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1|, q_1, \tau_1) I(|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2|, q_2, \tau_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where we have defined

$$I(p, q, \tau) = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} d\tau' G_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau, \tau') \frac{a(\tau')}{a(\tau)} f(p, q, \tau'). \quad (2.16)$$

In the radiation-dominated era, we can take  $c_s^2 = \omega$ , and then the equation of motion (2.8) becomes

$$\Phi(k\tau)'' + \frac{6(1+\omega)}{(1+3\omega)\tau} \Phi(k\tau)' + \omega k^2 \Phi(k\tau) = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

which can be solved together with (2.14) in the radiation-dominated era as

$$kG_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau, \tau') = \sin(x - x'), \quad x = k\tau, \quad x' = k\tau' \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\Phi(k\tau) = \frac{9}{x^2} \left( \frac{\sin(x/\sqrt{3})}{x/\sqrt{3}} - \cos(x/\sqrt{3}) \right). \quad (2.19)$$

For the Gaussian scalar perturbations, the symmetries of the integral allow us to split the following correlation function into a form of

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{q}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{q}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2} \rangle = 2 \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{q}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{q}_2} \rangle \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2} \rangle. \quad (2.20)$$

It is conventional to introduce two new variables  $u = |k - q|/k$  and  $v = q/k$  to simplify the above equations. Then, combining (2.6), (2.15), (2.18), and (2.20)) and considering the limit  $x \rightarrow \infty$  as we are interested in the GW spectrum observed today, we finally obtain [38, 51, 71]

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}(k) = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^{\infty} dv \int_{|1-v|}^{1+v} du \overline{J^2(u, v, u, v, x \rightarrow \infty)} \frac{\Delta_g^2(vk)}{v^2} \frac{\Delta_g^2(uk)}{u^2}, \quad (2.21)$$

where  $\Delta_g^2(k) \equiv \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \langle \zeta_g(k) \zeta_g(k) \rangle$  with  $\zeta_g(k)$  the Gaussian curvature perturbation, and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{J^2(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)} &= \frac{x^2}{64} [(v_1 + u_1)^2 - 1][1 - (v_1 - u_1)^2][(v_2 + u_2)^2 - 1] \\ &\quad \times [1 - (v_2 - u_2)^2] \overline{I_{\text{RD}}(u_1, v_1, x \rightarrow \infty)} \overline{I_{\text{RD}}(u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{I_{\text{RD}}(u_1, v_1, x \rightarrow \infty)} \overline{I_{\text{RD}}(u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)} &= \frac{I_A(u_1, v_1) I_A(u_2, v_2)}{2x^2} [I_B(u_1, v_1) I_B(u_2, v_2) \\ &\quad + \pi^2 I_C(u_1, v_1) I_C(u_2, v_2)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

as well as

$$I_A(u, v) = \frac{3(u^2 + v^2 - 3)}{4u^3 v^3} \quad (2.24a)$$

$$I_B(u, v) = -4uv + (u^2 + v^2 - 3) \ln \left| \frac{3 - (u+v)^2}{3 - (u-v)^2} \right| \quad (2.24b)$$

$$I_C(u, v) = (u^2 + v^2 - 3) \Theta(u + v - \sqrt{3}), \quad (2.24c)$$

with the overline denoting for averaging over oscillations.

## 2.2 SIGW in non-Gaussian case

In the non-Gaussian case, the simple splitting (2.20) does not hold anymore, and we consider a local-type non-Gaussianity<sup>3</sup> up to the second order,

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \zeta_g(\mathbf{x}) + F_{\text{NL}} (\zeta_g^2(\mathbf{x}) - \langle \zeta_g^2(\mathbf{x}) \rangle), \quad (2.25)$$

where  $F_{\text{NL}}$  denotes the local-type non-Gaussian parameter. After Fourier transformation, we obtain

$$\zeta(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta_g(\mathbf{k}) + F_{\text{NL}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \zeta_g(\mathbf{q}) \zeta_g(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}), \quad (2.26)$$

where a delta-function term has been dropped. Plugging (2.26) into (2.15) followed by Wick contractions, we obtain seven integrals<sup>4</sup>, three of which are the disconnected terms with disconnected correlation function  $\langle \zeta \zeta \zeta \zeta \rangle_d = \sum \langle \zeta \zeta \rangle \langle \zeta \zeta \rangle$ , one of which is the Gaussian term,

$$\Delta_{h_\lambda}^{2,G}(k) = 2^5 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} I^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|, q, \tau) Q_\lambda^2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) \Delta_g^2(q) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}|), \quad (2.27)$$

while the other two are denoted as the hybrid and reducible terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{h_\lambda}^{2,H}(k) &= 2^7 F_{\text{NL}}^2 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^3} I^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|, q_1, \tau) Q_\lambda^2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_1) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|) \Delta_g^2(q_2) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2|) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{h_\lambda}^{2,R}(k) &= 2^7 F_{\text{NL}}^4 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_3}{(2\pi)^3} I^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|, q_1, \tau) Q_\lambda^2(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_1) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3|) \Delta_g^2(q_2) \Delta_g^2(q_3) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2|), \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

and the remaining four integrals are connected terms with the connected correlation function  $\langle \zeta \zeta \zeta \zeta \rangle_c$  denoted as ‘‘C’’, ‘‘Z’’, ‘‘planar’’ and ‘‘nonplanar’’ terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{h_\lambda}^{2,C}(k) &= 2^8 F_{\text{NL}}^2 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^3} I(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|, q_1, \tau) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_1) I(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2|, q_2, \tau) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_2) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2|) \Delta_g^2(q_2) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2|), \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{h_\lambda}^{2,Z}(k) &= 2^8 F_{\text{NL}}^2 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^3} I(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|, q_1, \tau) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_1) I(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2|, q_2, \tau) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_2) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|) \Delta_g^2(q_2) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2|), \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{h_\lambda}^{2,P}(k) &= 2^9 F_{\text{NL}}^4 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_3}{(2\pi)^3} I(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|, q_1, \tau) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_1) I(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2|, q_2, \tau) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_2) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_3|) \Delta_g^2(q_3) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3|) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3|), \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{h_\lambda}^{2,N}(k) &= 2^8 F_{\text{NL}}^4 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_3}{(2\pi)^3} I(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|, q_1, \tau) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_1) I(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2|, q_2, \tau) Q_\lambda(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_2) \\ &\quad \times \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_3|) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3|) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3|) \Delta_g^2(|\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3|). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

<sup>3</sup>In some works,  $f_{\text{NL}}$  is used, which is related to ours by  $F_{\text{NL}} = \frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}}$ .

<sup>4</sup>For those who are interested in the details, please refer to Refs. [38, 41, 51].

To evaluate the above seven integrals numerically, we first introduce three sets of variables  $(u_i, v_i)$  for disconnected terms,

$$v_1 = \frac{q_1}{k}, \quad u_1 = \frac{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|}{k}, \quad (2.34a)$$

$$v_2 = \frac{q_2}{q_1}, \quad u_2 = \frac{|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2|}{q_1}, \quad (2.34b)$$

$$v_3 = \frac{q_3}{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|}, \quad u_3 = \frac{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3|}{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1|}, \quad (2.34c)$$

and next define

$$s_i = u_i - v_i, \quad (2.35a)$$

$$t_i = u_i + v_i - 1, \quad (2.35b)$$

then using (2.6), we can rewrite the disconnected terms as

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}(k) = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty dt \int_{-1}^1 ds \overline{J^2(u, v, u, v, x \rightarrow \infty)} \frac{\Delta_g^2(vk)}{v^2} \frac{\Delta_g^2(uk)}{u^2}, \quad (2.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}(k) &= \frac{1}{3} F_{\text{NL}}^2 \int_0^\infty dt_{1,2} \int_{-1}^1 ds_{1,2} \overline{J^2(u_1, v_1, u_1, v_1, x \rightarrow \infty)} \\ &\quad \times v_1^2 \frac{\Delta_g^2(v_1 v_2 k)}{(v_1 v_2)^2} \frac{\Delta_g^2(u_1 k)}{u_1^2} \frac{\Delta_g^2(v_1 u_2 k)}{(v_1 u_2)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{R}}(k) &= \frac{1}{12} F_{\text{NL}}^4 \int_0^\infty dt_{1,2,3} \int_{-1}^1 ds_{1,2,3} \overline{J^2(u_1, v_1, u_1, v_1, x \rightarrow \infty)} \\ &\quad \times v_1^2 u_1^2 \frac{\Delta_g^2(v_1 v_2 k)}{(v_1 v_2)^2} \frac{\Delta_g^2(v_1 u_2 k)}{(v_1 u_2)^2} \frac{\Delta_g^2(u_1 v_3 k)}{(u_1 v_3)^2} \frac{\Delta_g^2(u_1 u_3 k)}{(u_1 u_3)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

For the connected terms, we first introduce another three sets of variables  $(u_i, v_i)$ ,

$$v_i = \frac{q_i}{k}, \quad (2.39a)$$

$$u_i = \frac{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_i|}{k}, \quad (2.39b)$$

and next define  $\phi_{ij} \equiv \phi_i - \phi_j$ , then we obtain the dot products between various  $\mathbf{q}_i$  as

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ij} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{q}_j}{k^2} &= \frac{\cos \phi_{ij}}{4} \sqrt{t_i(t_i + 2)(1 - s_i^2)t_j(t_j + 2)(1 - s_j^2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} [1 - s_i(t_i + 1)][1 - s_j(t_j + 1)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

After defining the following two quantities for later convenience,

$$\omega_{ij} \equiv \frac{|\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{q}_j|}{k} = \sqrt{v_i^2 + v_j^2 - 2y_{ij}}, \quad (2.41)$$

$$\omega_{123} \equiv \frac{|\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3|}{k} = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2 + 2y_{12} - 2y_{13} - 2y_{23}}, \quad (2.42)$$

we finally arrive at the connected terms with

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{C}}(k) &= \frac{1}{3\pi} F_{\text{NL}}^2 \int_0^\infty dt_{1,2} \int_{-1}^1 ds_{1,2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{12} \overline{\cos 2\phi_{12} J^2(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)} \\ &\quad \times u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 \frac{\Delta_g^2(v_2 k)}{v_2^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(u_2 k)}{u_2^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(\omega_{12} k)}{\omega_{12}^3}\end{aligned}\quad (2.43)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{Z}}(k) &= \frac{1}{3\pi} F_{\text{NL}}^2 \int_0^\infty dt_{1,2} \int_{-1}^1 ds_{1,2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{12} \overline{\cos 2\phi_{12} J^2(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)} \\ &\quad \times u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 \frac{\Delta_g^2(v_2 k)}{v_2^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(u_1 k)}{u_1^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(\omega_{12} k)}{\omega_{12}^3}\end{aligned}\quad (2.44)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{P}}(k) &= \frac{1}{12\pi^2} F_{\text{NL}}^4 \int_0^\infty dt_{1,2,3} \int_{-1}^1 ds_{1,2,3} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{12} d\phi_{23} \overline{\cos 2\phi_{12} J^2(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)} \\ &\quad \times u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 u_3 v_3 \frac{\Delta_g^2(v_3 k)}{v_3^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(u_3 k)}{u_3^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(\omega_{13} k)}{\omega_{13}^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(\omega_{23} k)}{\omega_{23}^3}\end{aligned}\quad (2.45)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{N}}(k) &= \frac{1}{24\pi^2} F_{\text{NL}}^4 \int_0^\infty dt_{1,2,3} \int_{-1}^1 ds_{1,2,3} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_{12} d\phi_{23} \overline{\cos 2\phi_{12} J^2(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)} \\ &\quad \times u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 u_3 v_3 \frac{\Delta_g^2(v_3 k)}{v_3^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(\omega_{13} k)}{\omega_{13}^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(\omega_{23} k)}{\omega_{23}^3} \frac{\Delta_g^2(\omega_{123} k)}{\omega_{123}^3}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.46)$$

The above integrals will be evaluated numerically with **vegas** [72].

### 3 Multiple peaks in SIGW

In this section, we start with reviewing the resonant multiple peaks in the Gaussian case [67], then we turn to the non-Gaussian case focusing mainly on the disconnected terms. We will illustrate with delta peaks in the power spectrum of curvature perturbations since the location of peaks in the GW energy spectrum is not sensitive to the width as long as the power spectrum of curvature perturbations admits sharp peaks [38],

$$\Delta_g^2(k) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \delta\left(\ln \frac{k}{k_{*i}}\right). \quad (3.1)$$


Here we fix  $0 < k_{*1} < k_{*2} < \dots < k_{*n}$  without loss of generality and define  $\tilde{k}_i \equiv k/k_{*i}$  for later convenience. Noting all our discussions below are focused on radiation-dominated era, one can see Appendix B for detailed research in matter-dominated era. In matter-dominated era case, one cannot find such abundant peak structures in the GW energy spectrum as in radiation-dominated era.

#### 3.1 Multiple peaks in Gaussian case

Plugging (3.1) into (2.36), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G},n} &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_i A_j \tilde{k}_i \tilde{k}_j J \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - 1, \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i}, \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - 1, \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} \right) \\ &\quad \Theta(k_{*i} + k_{*j} - k) \Theta(k - |k_{*i} - k_{*j}|),\end{aligned}\quad (3.2)$$





**Figure 1.** The GW energy density power spectrum induced by Gaussian power spectrum for primordial curvature perturbations with a double- $\delta$  peak. *Left:* the two peaks in the scalar power spectrum are related by  $k_{*2} = 3k_{*1}$ , and hence there is an additional peak in the GW power spectrum as  $3 < 3.732$ . *Right:* the two peaks in the scalar power spectrum are related by  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$ , and hence there are only two peaks in the GW power spectrum as  $4 > 3.732$ .

where

$$J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2) = J^2 \left( \frac{t_1 + s_1 + 1}{2}, \frac{t_1 - s_1 + 1}{2}, \frac{t_2 + s_2 + 1}{2}, \frac{t_2 - s_2 + 1}{2}, x \rightarrow \infty \right). \quad (3.3)$$

From the definition of  $J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2)$ , it is easy to see that it has poles in  $t_{1,2} = \sqrt{3} - 1$ , therefore, the peak will appear at  $\frac{1}{k_i} + \frac{1}{k_j} = \sqrt{3}$ , and the step function further constrains the domain of  $k$  in the range  $|k_{*i} - k_{*j}| < k < k_{*i} + k_{*j}$ . As a result, we will have at most  $C_n^2$  and at least  $n$  peaks. To be more specific, let  $k_{*j} = nk_{*i}$  and  $n > 1$ , then all the possible additional peaks are located at  $k = \frac{n+1}{\sqrt{3}}k_{*i} < (n+1)k_{*i}$ , and in order to have more peaks, it requires  $\frac{n+1}{\sqrt{3}}k_{*i} > (n-1)k_{*i}$ , namely,

$$n < \frac{\sqrt{3} + 1}{\sqrt{3} - 1} \approx 3.732. \quad (3.4)$$

That is to say, when  $k_{*2}$  is more than 3.732 times  $k_{*1}$ , the two peaks in the power spectrum of curvature perturbation will only induce two peaks in the GW power spectrum. In Fig. 1, we present two different examples of GW energy density power spectrum induced by primordial curvature perturbations with a double- $\delta$  peak in the power spectrum, where the two peaks are related by  $k_{*2} = 3k_{*1}$  and  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$  in the left and right panels, respectively.

### 3.2 Multiple peaks in non-Gaussian case


Due to the multiple integrals, it becomes more complicated for the non-Gaussian case. For  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$ , after inserting (3.1) into (2.37), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}(k) = & \frac{4}{3} F_{\text{NL}}^2 \sum_{i,j,l=1}^n A_i A_j A_l \tilde{k}_i \tilde{k}_j \tilde{k}_l \int_0^\infty dt J \left( t, \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_j} - t - 1, t, \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_j} - t - 1 \right) \\ & \times \Theta \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_l} - t - 1 \right) \Theta \left( t + 1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_l} - \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} \right| \right) \Theta \left( 1 - \left| \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_j} - t - 1 \right| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and for later convenience, we further define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H},ijl}(k) = & \frac{4}{3} F_{\text{NL}}^2 A_i A_j A_l \tilde{k}_i \tilde{k}_j \tilde{k}_l \int_0^\infty dt J \left( t, \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_j} - t - 1, t, \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_j} - t - 1 \right) \\ & \times \Theta \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_l} - t - 1 \right) \Theta \left( t + 1 - \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_l} - \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} \right| \right) \Theta \left( 1 - \left| \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_j} - t - 1 \right| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Since the integral over a logarithmic divergence can still be finite, we cannot simply trust the poles of  $J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2)$  as the peak locations. However, based on following two observations: (i) the integral over a logarithmic divergence is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  and the peak of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  is also  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  when  $A = F_{\text{NL}} = 1$ ; (ii) in terms of analyzing the zero point of  $J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2)$ , we find the upper bound of the integral variable  $t$  is always smaller than the biggest zero point (see Appendix A for details), that is to say, including the logarithmic divergence will significantly enhance the integral result, otherwise, the integral result is very small; therefore, we can see that the sufficient condition for having a peak is to include the logarithmic divergent pole into the domain of  $t$ , and hence we can obtain the domain of peaks as shown shortly below.



**Figure 2.** *Left:* The GW energy density power spectrum induced by a double- $\delta$  peak in a non-Gaussian power spectrum for primordial curvature perturbation with  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$ ,  $F_{\text{NL}} = 1$ , and  $A_1 = A_2 = 1$ . *Right:* The scalar-induced GW energy density power spectrum contributed by  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  with  $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = 1$ ,  $F_{\text{NL}} = 1$ , and  $m = 1$ , where  $n$  varies from 7.5 to 6 to manifest the behavior of the peak.

Without loss of generality, we assume  $k_{*j} = nk_{*i}$ ,  $k_{*l} = mk_{*i}$ , and  $m > 1$ , then the domain of peaks can be derived as  $\left( \frac{2n}{\sqrt{3}+1}, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right)$  as shown in Appendix A for details. In order to have such a peak, it requires  $\frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} > \frac{2n}{\sqrt{3}+1}$ , that is,

$$1 + m > \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{\sqrt{3} + 1} n. \quad (3.7)$$


This is very different from the Gaussian case, for example, when  $m = 1$ , it roughly gives  $n < 7.46$ , that is, when  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$  only has two peaks,  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  can still have more than two peaks<sup>5</sup>.

<sup>5</sup>In fact, this is a slightly weak condition, as it requires roughly  $n < 6$  to give a sizeable peak.

In the left panel of Fig. 2, we present an example of induced GWs from primordial curvature perturbations with a double- $\delta$  peak. Taking  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$ , for instance,  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$  admits only two peaks while  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  has at least three peaks (the other two peaks are not obvious). In the right panel of Fig. 2, we let  $m = 1$  and vary  $n$  from 7.5 to 6 to show the peak behavior.


Due to the existence of integral, the peak of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  is usually broader than  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$ , and hence it would be more practical to narrow down a tighter domain of peaks for  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  rather than finding the precise locations of peaks as it is much more difficult. After some efforts, we can obtain such domains of peaks (see the Appendix A for details) as

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \text{ when } 2n > 1 + m : & \begin{cases} \tilde{k}_{\text{peak}} \in \left( \frac{2n}{\sqrt{3}+1}, \min \left\{ n, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right\} \right), & \text{if } \frac{1+m}{n} < 0.871, \\ \tilde{k}_{\text{peak}} \in \left( n, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right) & , \text{if } \frac{1+m}{n} > 0.871; \end{cases} \\
(2) \text{ when } 2n < 1 + m : & \begin{cases} \tilde{k}_{\text{peak}} \in \left( \frac{2n}{\sqrt{3}+1}, \min \left\{ 1 + m - n, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right\} \right), & \text{if } \frac{2n}{1+m-n} < 1.273, \\ \tilde{k}_{\text{peak}} \in \left( 1 + m - n, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right) & , \text{if } \frac{2n}{1+m-n} > 1.273. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$



**Figure 3.** The GW energy density spectrum  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  induced by primordial curvature perturbations with a double- $\delta$  peak assuming  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$ . The pink vertical lines are our rough estimation for the positions of the peaks, two of which are nearly overwhelmed by other  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H,ijk}}$ .

To visualize our rough estimation for the domains of peaks in  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$ , we illustrate in Fig. 3 with an example of the GW energy density spectrum  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  induced by primordial curvature perturbations with a double- $\delta$  peak assuming  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$ . Further assuming  $k_{*i} = sk_{*1}$  in specific, we also show in Fig. 4 six independent contributions  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H,ijk}}$  with respect to the same horizontal axis  $k/k_{*1}$ . Note that as the above domains of peaks are estimated in terms of  $k/k_{*i}$ , we have to re-scale  $n' = n/s, m' = m/s$  for  $s \neq 1$  and then multiply our domains with  $s$  in order to fix the horizontal axis as  $k/k_{*1}$ .



**Figure 4.** Six independent contributions to the GW energy density spectrum  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  induced by primordial curvature perturbation with a double- $\delta$  peak assuming  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$ . The light blue bands present our rough estimation for the domains of peaks, while the vertical pink lines are at the midpoints of each of these domains, denoting our rough estimation for the positions of peaks.

The same strategy can also apply to the contribution  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{R}}$  by first inserting (3.1) into (2.38),

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{R}}(k) &= \frac{F_{\text{NL}}^4}{3} \sum_{i,j,l,m=1}^n A_i A_j A_l A_m \tilde{k}_i \tilde{k}_j \tilde{k}_l \tilde{k}_m \int_0^\infty dt \int_{-1}^1 ds J(t, s, t, s) \\ &\Theta \left( \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_i(t-s+1)} + \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_j(t-s+1)} - 1 \right) \Theta \left( \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_l(t+s+1)} + \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_m(t+s+1)} - 1 \right) \\ &\Theta \left( 1 - \left| \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_i(t-s+1)} - \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_j(t-s+1)} \right| \right) \Theta \left( 1 - \left| \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_m(t+s+1)} - \frac{2}{\tilde{k}_l(t+s+1)} \right| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

and then extracting the constraints on the variables  $t$  and  $s$  from these step functions. Again, including the pole of  $J(t, s, t, s)$ , we can similarly obtain a rough estimation for the domains of peaks. Without loss of generality, we can assume  $k_{*j} = ak_{*i}$ ,  $k_{*l} = bk_{*i}$ ,  $k_{*m} = ck_{*i}$ , and  $a > 1$ ,  $b > c$ , and hence the domain of a peak immediately reads  $\left( \frac{a+b-c-1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{a+b+c+1}{\sqrt{3}} \right)$ . Further requiring our domain to appear within the integral interval  $s \in [-1, 1]$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{\sqrt{3}+1}b < a + c + 1, \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{\sqrt{3}+1}a < b + c + 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

which is simply the condition for a peak to appear in  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{R}}$ , similar to the condition (3.7) for  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  and the condition (3.4) for  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$ .

As for the connected contributions, the corresponding estimations are much more difficult, and hence we will only mention some preliminary results but leave the rough estimation of peak domains for future work. Again plugging (3.1) into (2.43), we can similarly arrive at

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{C}}(k) = \frac{4F_{\text{NL}}^2}{3\pi} \sum_{i,j,l=1}^n A_i A_j A_l \tilde{k}_i \tilde{k}_j \tilde{k}_l \int_0^\infty dt_1 \int_{-1}^1 ds_1 J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2) \frac{2x_0^2 - 1}{\sqrt{1 - x_0^2}}$$

$$\frac{(t_1 + s_1 + 1)(t_1 - s_1 + 1)}{\sqrt{t_1(t_1 + 2)(1 - s_1^2)t_2(t_2 + 2)(1 - s_2^2)}} \Theta(\tilde{k}_i^{-1} + \tilde{k}_j^{-1} - 1) \Theta(1 - |\tilde{k}_i^{-1} - \tilde{k}_j^{-1}|) \Theta(1 - x_0^2),$$
(3.10)


where

$$t_2 = \tilde{k}_i^{-1} + \tilde{k}_j^{-1} - 1, \quad (3.11)$$

$$s_2 = \tilde{k}_i^{-1} - \tilde{k}_j^{-1}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$x_0 = \frac{(t_1 - s_1 + 1)^2 + 4\tilde{k}_j^{-2} - 4\tilde{k}_i^{-2} - 2(1 - s_1(t_1 + 1))(1 - s_2(t_2 + 1))}{2\sqrt{t_1(t_1 + 2)(1 - s_1^2)t_2(t_2 + 2)(1 - s_2^2)}}. \quad (3.13)$$

Note that the integrand  $J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2)$  shares a similar structure as in the Gaussian case, therefore, it will include all the peaks that appear in the Gaussian case, that is to say, all the Gaussian peaks will always appear in the connected contribution  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{C}}$  no matter how large the non-Gaussianity is. This can be easily seen in Fig. 5 for some illustrative examples of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{C}}$  induced by primordial curvature perturbations with a double- $\delta$  peak assuming  $k_{*2} = 3k_{*1}$ ,  $F_{\text{NL}} = 1$  and  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$ ,  $F_{\text{NL}} = 1$ .



**Figure 5.** The reappearance of Gaussian peaks from  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$  in the connected part  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{C}}$  of the total GW energy density spectrum induced by primordial curvature perturbations with a double- $\delta$  peak assuming  $k_{*2} = 3k_{*1}$ ,  $F_{\text{NL}} = 1$  (left) and  $k_{*2} = 4k_{*1}$ ,  $F_{\text{NL}} = 1$  (right), respectively.

## 4 Conclusions and discussions

Locating the peak structures in the induced GW energy density spectrum is of great importance to reveal the primordial curvature perturbations with multiple peaks at small scales. Previous studies have analytically uncovered a resonant structure of Gaussian peaks in the

primordial curvature perturbation spectrum to produce corresponding resonant peaks in the induced GW energy density spectrum. In this study, we further explore the Non-Gaussian case with a main focus on the disconnected parts especially  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$ , which behaves very differently from the Gaussian case. The main findings of this work are summarized as below:

Firstly, compared to the Gaussian contribution  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$ , the  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  part requires a looser condition to admit more peaks, that is to say, there can be many features that cannot be explained solely by the Gaussian contribution  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$  alone as shown in the left panel of Fig. 2. Secondly, the peak of non-Gaussian parts is usually broader than the Gaussian part, rendering only a rough estimation for the domains of peaks in the non-Gaussian case instead of precisely locating the peak positions in the Gaussian case. Lastly, all the peaks that appear in the Gaussian contribution  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$  would simultaneously reappear in the connected contribution  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{C}}$  no matter how large the non-Gaussianity is.

Future investigations can be made in the following aspects: Firstly, a more detailed analysis for the connected parts of the induced GW is needed in order to achieve a full picture of peak positions in the non-Gaussian case. Secondly, the disconnected part is found to overwhelm the connected part at the same order possibly due to the angular integral in the connected part. Thirdly, the peak magnitude is also complementary to the peak position since a small peak could sometimes be overwhelmed by other larger peaks. Finally, it is also crucial to consider the anisotropic angular power spectrum of GWs induced by primordial curvature perturbations with multiple peaks. We leave these considerations for future work.

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China Grants No. 2021YFC2203004, No. 2021YFA0718304, and No. 2020YFC2201502, the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grants No. 12105344, No. 12235019, No. 11821505, No. 11991052, and No. 11947302, the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Grants No. XDB23030100, and No. XDA15020701, the Key Research Program of the CAS Grant No. XDPB15, the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, and the Science Research Grants from the China Manned Space Project with No. CMS-CSST-2021-B01.

## A Multiple peaks in $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$

In this appendix, we elaborate on a detailed analysis of multiple peaks in  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume  $k_{*j} = nk_{*i}$ ,  $k_{*l} = mk_{*i}$ , and  $m > 1$ . First of all, the step functions<sup>6</sup> would constrain the upper bound of  $t$  as (we will use  $\tilde{k}$  to denote  $\tilde{k}_{*i}$  for simplicity)

$$\begin{cases} t < \frac{1+m+n}{\tilde{k}} - 1, & \text{if } \tilde{k} > 1 + m - n, \\ t < \frac{2n}{\tilde{k}}, & \text{if } \tilde{k} < 1 + m - n, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and the lower bound of  $t$  as

$$\begin{cases} t > 0, & \text{if } \tilde{k} > n, \\ t > \frac{2n}{\tilde{k}} - 2, & \text{if } \tilde{k} < n. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

<sup>6</sup>After rearranging these step functions, we can similarly obtain the momentum conservation condition  $k \in (\min|\mathbf{k}_{*1} + \mathbf{k}_{*2} + \mathbf{k}_{*3}|, \max|\mathbf{k}_{*1} + \mathbf{k}_{*2} + \mathbf{k}_{*3}|)$  just as that found in our previous study [67].

It is easy to see that  $t$  always belongs to the interval  $\left(\frac{2n}{\tilde{k}} - 2, \frac{1+m+n}{\tilde{k}} - 1\right)$ . After requiring the logarithmically divergent pole  $\sqrt{3} - 1$  (see Eq. (A.7) below) belongs to this domain, we can roughly obtain the domain of the peak in the range

$$\tilde{k} \in \left(\frac{2n}{\sqrt{3}+1}, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}}\right). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

A very rough estimation for the peak position is its middle point  $\frac{n}{\sqrt{3}+1} + \frac{1+m+n}{2\sqrt{3}}$ . In fact, we can obtain a tighter constraint on the domain of the peak by further computing the sign of the derivative of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H},ijk}$  at a typical point  $\tilde{k} = n$  (or  $1+m-n$ ). If the derivative of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H},ijk}$  at  $\tilde{k} = n$  is positive, then  $\tilde{k} = n$  (or  $1+m-n$ ) is on the left of the peak in the domain (A.3), and hence we can shrink the left end of the domain (A.3) to  $\tilde{k} = n$  (or  $1+m-n$ ), otherwise, we should shrink the right end of the domain (A.3). To be more specific, we can classify it into the following two cases:

When  $2n > 1+m$ , the derivative <sup>7</sup> of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H},ijk}$  at  $\tilde{k} = n$  can be worked out as

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H},ijk}}{d\tilde{k}} \right|_{\tilde{k}=n} &= \frac{4}{3} F_{\text{NL}}^2 A_i A_j A_l \frac{d}{d\tilde{k}} \left( \frac{\tilde{k}^3}{mn} \int_0^{\frac{1+m+n}{\tilde{k}}-1} dt J(t, 2n\tilde{k}^{-1} - t - 1, t, 2n\tilde{k}^{-1} - t - 1) \right) \Big|_{\tilde{k}=n} \\ &= \frac{4}{3} F_{\text{NL}}^2 A_i A_j A_l \frac{d}{d\tilde{k}} \left( \frac{n^2}{m} \int_0^{\frac{1+m}{n}} dt J(t, 1-t, t, 1-t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

which crosses the zero point provided that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\frac{1+m}{n}} dt \left( 3J(t, 1-t, t, 1-t) - 2 \frac{\partial J(t, s, t, s)}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=1-t} \right) \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{1+m}{n} \right) J \left( \frac{1+m}{n}, 1 - \frac{1+m}{n}, \frac{1+m}{n}, 1 - \frac{1+m}{n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

This can be numerically evaluated to be  $\frac{1+m}{n} = 0.871(1)$ . If  $\frac{1+m}{n} < 0.871(1)$ , the derivative of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H},ijk}$  is negative, and hence the peak is located at  $\tilde{k} < n$  and vice versa.

When  $2n < 1+m$ , the typical point is  $\tilde{k} = 1+m-n$ , at which the derivative of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H},ijk}$  becomes zero provided that

$$\begin{aligned} &3 \int_0^{\frac{2n}{1+m-n}} dt J \left( t, \frac{2n}{1+m-n} - 1 - t, t, \frac{2n}{1+m-n} - 1 - t \right) \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2n}{1+m-n}} dt \frac{2n}{1+m-n} \frac{\partial J(t, s, t, s)}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=\frac{2n}{1+m-n}-1-t}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

This can be numerically evaluated to be  $\frac{2n}{1+m-n} = 1.273(1)$ . If  $\frac{2n}{1+m-n} < 1.273(1)$ , the derivative of  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H},ijk}$  is negative, and hence the peak is located at  $\tilde{k} < 1+m-n$  and vice versa.

<sup>7</sup>In fact, the lower bound of the integral can also be  $\frac{2n}{\tilde{k}} - 2$  at  $\tilde{k} = n$ , and hence using different lower bound may arrive at a different result. Still, it is sufficient to use one of them to obtain a rough estimation, and the same comment also applies to  $\tilde{k} = 1+m-n$ .

In summary, our final result can be summarized as

$$(1) \text{ when } 2n > 1 + m : \begin{cases} \tilde{k}_{\text{peak}} \in \left( \frac{2n}{\sqrt{3}+1}, \min \left\{ n, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right\} \right), & \text{if } \frac{1+m}{n} < 0.871, \\ \tilde{k}_{\text{peak}} \in \left( n, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right) & , \text{if } \frac{1+m}{n} > 0.871; \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \text{ when } 2n < 1 + m : \begin{cases} \tilde{k}_{\text{peak}} \in \left( \frac{2n}{\sqrt{3}+1}, \min \left\{ 1+m-n, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right\} \right), & \text{if } \frac{2n}{1+m-n} < 1.273, \\ \tilde{k}_{\text{peak}} \in \left( 1+m-n, \frac{1+m+n}{\sqrt{3}} \right) & , \text{if } \frac{2n}{1+m-n} > 1.273. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, a more precise estimation of the peak position is the midpoint of the above domain.

Last but not least, we prove that the upper bound of integral variable  $t$  in (3.6) is always smaller than the biggest zero point of  $J(t, 2\tilde{k}_j^{-1} - t - 1, t, 2\tilde{k}_j^{-1} - t - 1)$ . To see this, we can obtain an illuminating form of  $J(t, s, t, s)$  with  $s \in [-1, 1]$  and  $t \geq 0$  as

$$J(t, s, t, s) = \frac{9(s^2 - 1)^2 t^2 (t + 2)^2 (t^2 + 2t + s^2 - 5)^2}{2(1 - s + t)^6 (1 + s + t)^6} \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{4} (t^2 + 2t + s^2 - 5)^2 \Theta(1 - \sqrt{3} + t) \right. \\ \left. + \left( (s^2 - (1 + t)^2) + \frac{(t^2 + 2t + s^2 - 5)}{4} \log \left| \frac{(t^2 + 2t - 2)^2}{(s^2 - 3)^2} \right| \right)^2 \right], \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which yields  $J(t, s, t, s) \geq 0$  and hence  $J(t, s, t, s)$  admits a zero point at  $s = -1$ . Therefore,  $J(t, 2\tilde{k}_j^{-1} - t - 1, t, 2\tilde{k}_j^{-1} - t - 1)$  will have a zero point at  $t = 2n\tilde{k}^{-1}$ . Now we can obtain  $t \leq 2n\tilde{k}^{-1}$  from (A.1), and hence the upper bound of the integral variable  $t$  in (3.6) is always smaller than the zero point  $t = 2n\tilde{k}^{-1}$  of  $J(t, 2\tilde{k}_j^{-1} - t - 1, t, 2\tilde{k}_j^{-1} - t - 1)$ .

## B SIGW in matter-dominated era

In this appendix, we attach another important case when SIGWs are produced during a matter-dominated era, which can be realized in some scenarios where there is a matter-dominated era before the usual radiation-dominated era. In the matter-dominated era, the solution to (2.8) under  $c_s^2 = 0$  reads  $\Phi(k\tau) = 1$ , and we have ignored the other solution  $\Phi(x) \sim 1/x^2$  in order to make it regular at  $k\tau \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, the solution of Green function (2.14) admits

$$kG_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau, \tau') = \frac{1}{xx'} \left( (1 + xx') \sin(x - x') - (x - x') \cos(x - x') \right), \quad x = k\tau, x' = k\tau'. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Following the same procedure as in the radiation-dominated era, we finally arrived at

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}(k) = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty dv \int_{|1-v|}^{1+v} du \overline{J_{\text{MD}}^2(u, v, u, v, x \rightarrow \infty)} \frac{\Delta_g^2(vk)}{v^2} \frac{\Delta_g^2(uk)}{u^2}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where the core function  $\overline{J_{\text{MD}}^2(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)}$  is

$$\overline{J_{\text{MD}}^2(u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, x \rightarrow \infty)} = \frac{9}{800} \left( \frac{k}{aH} \right)^2 \frac{[(v_1 + u_1)^2 - 1][1 - (v_1 - u_1)^2]}{[(v_2 + u_2)^2 - 1][1 - (v_2 - u_2)^2]}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

For primordial curvature perturbations with an illustrative monochromatic peak,

$$\Delta_g^2(k) = A\delta \left( \ln \frac{k}{k_*} \right), \quad (\text{B.4})$$



one can obtain the familiar GW energy density spectrum [71]

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}(k) = \frac{3}{25} \left( \frac{k_*}{aH} \right)^2 \left( 1 - \left( \frac{k}{2k_*} \right)^2 \right)^2 A^2 \Theta(2k_* - k). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

For primordial curvature perturbations with multiple delta peaks,  $J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2)$  turns into

$$J_{\text{MD}}(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2) = \overline{J_{\text{MD}}^2} \left( \frac{t_1 + s_1 + 1}{2}, \frac{t_1 - s_1 + 1}{2}, \frac{t_2 + s_2 + 1}{2}, \frac{t_2 - s_2 + 1}{2}, x \rightarrow \infty \right). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

For the Gaussian case, the SIGW energy density spectrum reads

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G},ij} = \frac{2}{3} A_i A_j \tilde{k}_i \tilde{k}_j J_{\text{MD}} \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - 1, \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i}, \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - 1, \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} \right) \Theta(k_{*i} + k_{*j} - k) \Theta(k - |k_{*i} - k_{*j}|), \quad (\text{B.7})$$

which, after assuming  $k_{*j} = nk_{*i}$  for a  $n > 1$  without loss of generality, can be cast into a more specific form as

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G},ij} = \frac{3}{400} A_i A_j \left( \frac{k_{*i}}{aH} \right)^2 \frac{(n^4 - 2n^2(\tilde{k}_i^2 + 1) + (\tilde{k}_i^2 - 1)^2)}{\tilde{k}_i^4 n} \Theta(k_{*i} + k_{*j} - k) \Theta(k - |k_{*i} - k_{*j}|). \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Requiring its derivative to be zero, we can directly locate its peak positions at


$$\tilde{k}_i = \sqrt{n^2 - 1}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

which, after plugged back to (B.8), leads to the peak amplitude that decays with  $n^{-1}$ ,

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G},ij} = \frac{3}{25} A_i A_j \left( \frac{k_{*i}}{aH} \right)^2 \frac{1}{n}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

To visualize the peak feature of the SIGW energy density spectrum  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$  in the Gaussian case during a matter-dominated era, we present in Fig. 6 four illustrative examples with a double- $\delta$  peak with  $k_{*2} = 2, 3, 4, 8 \times k_{*1}$  and  $A_1 = A_2 = 1$ . It is easy to see that the  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G},21}$  part can contribute a small fluctuation to the total SIGW energy density power spectrum, and the corresponding amplitude becomes smaller for a larger  $n$  as shown in the last panel of Fig. 6. However, the final position of the fluctuation in the total GW spectrum is slightly different from the exact estimation of the  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G},21}$  part at  $\sqrt{n^2 - 1}$  since the amplitude from  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G},11}$  could be large enough to shift the fluctuation a little bit for a smaller  $n$  as shown in the first panel of Fig. 6. For a more realistic power spectrum of the primordial curvature perturbations with multiple- $\sigma$  peaks of finite width, the peak structure found in  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G}}$  for multiple- $\delta$  peaks would simply disappear as we have explicitly checked<sup>8</sup>. For the more complicated case with non-Gaussianity, there is no peak structure in  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{H}}$  for both  $\delta$ -peak and  $\sigma$ -peak cases as we have checked numerically. Other contributions in the non-Gaussian cases will be left for future works.

<sup>8</sup>We have checked the GW energy spectrum in the case of  $\sigma = 0.01, 0.1$  and found no peak structure.



**Figure 6.** The GW energy density power spectrum induced by Gaussian power spectrum for primordial curvature perturbations with a double- $\delta$  peak in the matter-dominated era with  $k_{*2} = 2, 3, 4, 8 \times k_{*1}$  and  $A_1 = A_2 = 1$ . The dashed curves denote normalized  $\Omega_{\text{GW}}^{\text{G},ij}$  divided by a constant  $(k_{*1}/(aH))^2$ , while the solid curves denote the total GW energy density power spectrum normalized by the same constant  $(k_{*1}/(aH))^2$ .

## References

- [1] M. C. Guzzetti, N. Bartolo, M. Liguori and S. Matarrese, *Gravitational waves from inflation*, *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **39** (2016) 399–495, [[1605.01615](#)].
- [2] N. Bartolo et al., *Science with the space-based interferometer LISA. IV: Probing inflation with gravitational waves*, *JCAP* **12** (2016) 026, [[1610.06481](#)].
- [3] C. Caprini et al., *Detecting gravitational waves from cosmological phase transitions with LISA: an update*, *JCAP* **03** (2020) 024, [[1910.13125](#)].
- [4] C. Caprini et al., *Science with the space-based interferometer eLISA. II: Gravitational waves from cosmological phase transitions*, *JCAP* **04** (2016) 001, [[1512.06239](#)].
- [5] P. Binetruy, A. Bohe, C. Caprini and J.-F. Dufaux, *Cosmological Backgrounds of Gravitational Waves and eLISA/NGO: Phase Transitions, Cosmic Strings and Other Sources*, *JCAP* **06** (2012) 027, [[1201.0983](#)].
- [6] P. Auclair et al., *Probing the gravitational wave background from cosmic strings with LISA*,

*JCAP* **04** (2020) 034, [[1909.00819](#)].

- [7] R. Allahverdi, R. Brandenberger, F.-Y. Cyr-Racine and A. Mazumdar, *Reheating in Inflationary Cosmology: Theory and Applications*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **60** (2010) 27–51, [[1001.2600](#)].
- [8] M. A. Amin, M. P. Hertzberg, D. I. Kaiser and J. Karouby, *Nonperturbative Dynamics Of Reheating After Inflation: A Review*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **24** (2014) 1530003, [[1410.3808](#)].
- [9] LISA collaboration, P. Amaro-Seoane et al., *Laser Interferometer Space Antenna*, [1702.00786](#).
- [10] W.-R. Hu and Y.-L. Wu, *The Taiji Program in Space for gravitational wave physics and the nature of gravity*, *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **4** (2017) 685–686.
- [11] W.-H. Ruan, Z.-K. Guo, R.-G. Cai and Y.-Z. Zhang, *Taiji program: Gravitational-wave sources*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **35** (2020) 2050075, [[1807.09495](#)].
- [12] TIANQIN collaboration, J. Luo et al., *TianQin: a space-borne gravitational wave detector*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33** (2016) 035010, [[1512.02076](#)].
- [13] M. Maggiore et al., *Science Case for the Einstein Telescope*, *JCAP* **03** (2020) 050, [[1912.02622](#)].
- [14] N. Seto, S. Kawamura and T. Nakamura, *Possibility of direct measurement of the acceleration of the universe using 0.1-Hz band laser interferometer gravitational wave antenna in space*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001) 221103, [[astro-ph/0108011](#)].
- [15] K. Yagi and N. Seto, *Detector configuration of DECIGO/BBO and identification of cosmological neutron-star binaries*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 044011, [[1101.3940](#)].
- [16] L. Badurina et al., *AION: An Atom Interferometer Observatory and Network*, *JCAP* **05** (2020) 011, [[1911.11755](#)].
- [17] R.-G. Cai, Z. Cao, Z.-K. Guo, S.-J. Wang and T. Yang, *The Gravitational-Wave Physics*, *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **4** (2017) 687–706, [[1703.00187](#)].
- [18] L. Bian et al., *The Gravitational-wave physics II: Progress*, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **64** (2021) 120401, [[2106.10235](#)].
- [19] N. Bartolo, D. Bertacca, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso, A. Ricciardone, A. Riotto et al., *Anisotropies and non-Gaussianity of the Cosmological Gravitational Wave Background*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 121501, [[1908.00527](#)].
- [20] N. Bartolo, D. Bertacca, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso, A. Ricciardone, A. Riotto et al., *Characterizing the cosmological gravitational wave background: Anisotropies and non-Gaussianity*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 023527, [[1912.09433](#)].
- [21] LISA COSMOLOGY WORKING GROUP collaboration, N. Bartolo et al., *Probing anisotropies of the Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background with LISA*, *JCAP* **11** (2022) 009, [[2201.08782](#)].
- [22] K. N. Ananda, C. Clarkson and D. Wands, *The Cosmological gravitational wave background from primordial density perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 123518, [[gr-qc/0612013](#)].
- [23] D. Baumann, P. J. Steinhardt, K. Takahashi and K. Ichiki, *Gravitational Wave Spectrum Induced by Primordial Scalar Perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 084019, [[hep-th/0703290](#)].
- [24] G. Domènech, *Scalar Induced Gravitational Waves Review*, *Universe* **7** (2021) 398, [[2109.01398](#)].
- [25] PLANCK collaboration, Y. Akrami et al., *Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A10, [[1807.06211](#)].
- [26] PLANCK collaboration, N. Aghanim et al., *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A6, [[1807.06209](#)].

- [27] S. Hawking, *Gravitationally collapsed objects of very low mass*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **152** (1971) 75.
- [28] B. J. Carr and S. W. Hawking, *Black holes in the early Universe*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **168** (1974) 399–415.
- [29] B. Carr, F. Kuhnel and M. Sandstad, *Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 083504, [[1607.06077](#)].
- [30] B. Carr, K. Kohri, Y. Sendouda and J. Yokoyama, *Constraints on primordial black holes*, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **84** (2021) 116902, [[2002.12778](#)].
- [31] M. Sasaki, T. Suyama, T. Tanaka and S. Yokoyama, *Primordial black holes—perspectives in gravitational wave astronomy*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35** (2018) 063001, [[1801.05235](#)].
- [32] K. Ando, K. Inomata and M. Kawasaki, *Primordial black holes and uncertainties in the choice of the window function*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 103528, [[1802.06393](#)].
- [33] R.-G. Cai, T.-B. Liu and S.-J. Wang, *Sensitivity of primordial black hole abundance on the reheating phase*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 043538, [[1806.05390](#)].
- [34] R.-g. Cai, S. Pi and M. Sasaki, *Gravitational Waves Induced by non-Gaussian Scalar Perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 201101, [[1810.11000](#)].
- [35] C. Unal, *Imprints of Primordial Non-Gaussianity on Gravitational Wave Spectrum*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 041301, [[1811.09151](#)].
- [36] C. Yuan and Q.-G. Huang, *Gravitational waves induced by the local-type non-Gaussian curvature perturbations*, *Phys. Lett. B* **821** (2021) 136606, [[2007.10686](#)].
- [37] V. Atal and G. Domènech, *Probing non-Gaussianities with the high frequency tail of induced gravitational waves*, *JCAP* **06** (2021) 001, [[2103.01056](#)].
- [38] P. Adshead, K. D. Lozanov and Z. J. Weiner, *Non-Gaussianity and the induced gravitational wave background*, *JCAP* **10** (2021) 080, [[2105.01659](#)].
- [39] Z. Chang, Y.-T. Kuang, D. Wu, J.-Z. Zhou and Q.-H. Zhu, *New constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity from missing two-loop contributions of scalar induced gravitational waves*, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) L041303, [[2311.05102](#)].
- [40] S. Wang, Z.-C. Zhao, J.-P. Li and Q.-H. Zhu, *Implications of Pulsar Timing Array Data for Scalar-Induced Gravitational Waves and Primordial Black Holes: Primordial Non-Gaussianity  $f_{\text{NL}}$  Considered*, [2307.00572](#).
- [41] C. Yuan, D.-S. Meng and Q.-G. Huang, *Full analysis of the scalar-induced gravitational waves for the curvature perturbation with local-type non-Gaussianities*, [2308.07155](#).
- [42] J.-P. Li, S. Wang, Z.-C. Zhao and K. Kohri, *Complete Analysis of Scalar-Induced Gravitational Waves and Primordial Non-Gaussianities  $f_{\text{NL}}$  and  $g_{\text{NL}}$* , [2309.07792](#).
- [43] R. Inui, S. Jaraba, S. Kuroyanagi and S. Yokoyama, *Constraints on Non-Gaussian primordial curvature perturbation from the LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA third observing run*, [2311.05423](#).
- [44] G. Perna, C. Testini, A. Ricciardone and S. Matarrese, *Fully non-Gaussian Scalar-Induced Gravitational Waves*, *JCAP* **05** (2024) 086, [[2403.06962](#)].
- [45] J.-P. Li, S. Wang, Z.-C. Zhao and K. Kohri, *Angular bispectrum and trispectrum of scalar-induced gravitational waves: all contributions from primordial non-Gaussianity  $f_{\text{NL}}$  and  $g_{\text{NL}}$* , *JCAP* **05** (2024) 109, [[2403.00238](#)].
- [46] N. Bartolo, V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, M. Peloso, D. Racco and A. Riotto, *Testing primordial black holes as dark matter with LISA*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 103521, [[1810.12224](#)].
- [47] H. V. Ragavendra, *Accounting for scalar non-Gaussianity in secondary gravitational waves*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 063533, [[2108.04193](#)].

- [48] Q.-H. Zhu, *Intrinsic bispectrum of the scalar-induced gravitational waves*, [2402.02353](#).
- [49] N. Bartolo, D. Bertacca, V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso et al., *Gravitational wave anisotropies from primordial black holes*, *JCAP* **02** (2020) 028, [[1909.12619](#)].
- [50] E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello, A. Malhotra and G. Tasinato, *Enhancing gravitational wave anisotropies with peaked scalar sources*, *JCAP* **01** (2023) 018, [[2205.05644](#)].
- [51] J.-P. Li, S. Wang, Z.-C. Zhao and K. Kohri, *Primordial non-Gaussianity  $f_{NL}$  and anisotropies in scalar-induced gravitational waves*, *JCAP* **10** (2023) 056, [[2305.19950](#)].
- [52] Y.-H. Yu and S. Wang, *Anisotropies in Scalar-Induced Gravitational-Wave Background from Inflaton-Curvaton Mixed Scenario with Sound Speed Resonance*, [2310.14606](#).
- [53] Z. Chang, Y.-T. Kuang, X. Zhang and J.-Z. Zhou, *Primordial black holes and third order scalar induced gravitational waves\**, *Chin. Phys. C* **47** (2023) 055104, [[2209.12404](#)].
- [54] Z. Chang, Y.-T. Kuang, D. Wu and J.-Z. Zhou, *Probing scalar induced gravitational waves with PTA and LISA: The Importance of third order correction*, [2312.14409](#).
- [55] J.-Z. Zhou, X. Zhang, Q.-H. Zhu and Z. Chang, *The third order scalar induced gravitational waves*, *JCAP* **05** (2022) 013, [[2106.01641](#)].
- [56] J.-O. Gong, *Analytic Integral Solutions for Induced Gravitational Waves*, *Astrophys. J.* **925** (2022) 102, [[1909.12708](#)].
- [57] C. Chen, A. Ota, H.-Y. Zhu and Y. Zhu, *Missing one-loop contributions in secondary gravitational waves*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 083518, [[2210.17176](#)].
- [58] A. Ota, M. Sasaki and Y. Wang, *Scale-invariant enhancement of gravitational waves during inflation*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **38** (2023) 2350063, [[2209.02272](#)].
- [59] Z. Chang, X. Zhang and J.-Z. Zhou, *Gravitational waves from primordial scalar and tensor perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 063510, [[2209.07693](#)].
- [60] A. Ota, M. Sasaki and Y. Wang, *One-loop tensor power spectrum from an excited scalar field during inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 043542, [[2211.12766](#)].
- [61] P. Bari, N. Bartolo, G. Domènech and S. Matarrese, *Gravitational waves induced by scalar-tensor mixing*, [2307.05404](#).
- [62] R. Picard and K. A. Malik, *Induced gravitational waves: the effect of first order tensor perturbations*, [2311.14513](#).
- [63] R.-G. Cai, S. Pi and M. Sasaki, *Universal infrared scaling of gravitational wave background spectra*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 083528, [[1909.13728](#)].
- [64] T.-J. Gao and Z.-K. Guo, *Primordial Black Hole Production in Inflationary Models of Supergravity with a Single Chiral Superfield*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 063526, [[1806.09320](#)].
- [65] S.-L. Cheng, W. Lee and K.-W. Ng, *Primordial black holes and associated gravitational waves in axion monodromy inflation*, *JCAP* **07** (2018) 001, [[1801.09050](#)].
- [66] W.-T. Xu, J. Liu, T.-J. Gao and Z.-K. Guo, *Gravitational waves from double-inflection-point inflation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 023505, [[1907.05213](#)].
- [67] R.-G. Cai, S. Pi, S.-J. Wang and X.-Y. Yang, *Resonant multiple peaks in the induced gravitational waves*, *JCAP* **05** (2019) 013, [[1901.10152](#)].
- [68] J. Fumagalli, S. Renaux-Petel and L. T. Witkowski, *Oscillations in the stochastic gravitational wave background from sharp features and particle production during inflation*, *JCAP* **08** (2021) 030, [[2012.02761](#)].
- [69] J. Fumagalli, S. e. Renaux-Petel and L. T. Witkowski, *Resonant features in the stochastic gravitational wave background*, *JCAP* **08** (2021) 059, [[2105.06481](#)].

- [70] L. T. Witkowski, G. Domènech, J. Fumagalli and S. Renaux-Petel, *Expansion history-dependent oscillations in the scalar-induced gravitational wave background*, *JCAP* **05** (2022) 028, [[2110.09480](#)].
- [71] K. Kohri and T. Terada, *Semianalytic calculation of gravitational wave spectrum nonlinearly induced from primordial curvature perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 123532, [[1804.08577](#)].
- [72] G. P. Lepage, *Adaptive multidimensional integration: VEGAS enhanced*, *J. Comput. Phys.* **439** (2021) 110386, [[2009.05112](#)].