The First Combined H α and Rest-UV Spectroscopic Probe of Galactic Outflows at High Redshift

EMILY KEHOE,¹ ALICE E. SHAPLEY,¹ N.M. FÖRSTER SCHREIBER,² ANTHONY J. PAHL,³ MICHAEL W. TOPPING.⁴

NAVEEN A. REDDY,⁵ REINHARD GENZEL,² SEDONA H. PRICE,⁶ AND L.J. TACCONI²

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy; University of California, Los Angeles; Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

 2 Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestiche Physik (MPE); Giessenbachstr., 85748, Garching, Germany

³The Observatories of the Carnegie Institution for Science; 813 Santa Barbara Street; Pasadena, CA 91101, USA

⁴Department of Astronomy / Steward Observatory; University of Arizona; 933 N Cherry Ave; Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

 5 Department of Physics & Astronomy; University of California, Riverside; 900 University Avenue; Riverside, CA 92521, USA

⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy and PITT PACC; University of Pittsburgh; Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

ABSTRACT

We investigate the multi-phase structure of gas flows in galaxies. We study 80 galaxies during the epoch of peak star formation (1.4 $\leq z \leq$ 2.7) using data from Keck/LRIS and VLT/KMOS. Our analysis provides a simultaneous probe of outflows using UV emission and absorption features and H α emission. With this unprecedented data set, we examine the properties of gas flows estimated from LRIS and KMOS in relation to other galaxy properties, such as star formation rate (SFR), star formation rate surface density $(\Sigma_{\rm SFR})$, stellar mass (M_*) , and main sequence offset (ΔMS). We find no strong correlations between outflow velocity measured from rest-UV lines centroids and galaxy properties. However, we find that galaxies with detected outflows show higher averages in SFR, Σ_{SFR} , and ∆MS than those lacking outflow detections, indicating a connection between outflow and galaxy properties. Furthermore, we find a lower average outflow velocity than previously reported, suggesting greater absorption at the systemic redshift of the galaxy. Finally, we detect outflows in 49% of our LRIS sample and 30% in the KMOS sample, and find no significant correlation between outflow detection and inclination. These results may indicate that outflows are not collimated and that $H\alpha$ outflows have a lower covering fraction than low-ionization interstellar absorption lines. Additionally, these tracers may be sensitive to different physical scales of outflow activity. A larger sample size with a wider dynamic range in galaxy properties is needed to further test this picture.

Keywords: galaxies: evolution – galaxies: high-redshift – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics

1. INTRODUCTION

Galaxy outflows play a crucial role in galaxy evolution over cosmic time, significantly impacting both galaxies and the intergalactic medium (IGM). Galaxy outflows, powered by phenomena such as supernovae, stellar winds, and active galactic nuclei (AGN), serve to regulate the availability of gas for star formation [\(Heck](#page-18-0)[man](#page-18-0) [2001;](#page-18-0) [Croton et al.](#page-17-0) [2006\)](#page-17-0). The relationship between galaxy stellar mass or luminosity and dark matter halo mass is influenced by gas outflows [\(Madau et al.](#page-18-1) [1996;](#page-18-1) [Moster et al.](#page-18-2) [2010;](#page-18-2) [Behroozi et al.](#page-17-1) [2013\)](#page-17-1). Furthermore, galactic outflows regulate the chemical en-richment histories of galaxies (Davé et al. [2012;](#page-17-2) [Hop](#page-18-3)[kins et al.](#page-18-3) [2012;](#page-18-3) [Hirschmann et al.](#page-18-4) [2013;](#page-18-4) [Vogelsberger](#page-19-0) [et al.](#page-19-0) [2013;](#page-19-0) [Chisholm et al.](#page-17-3) [2017\)](#page-17-3). Specifically, outflows deplete the amount of cold gas available for star formation and remove metals from galaxies [\(Scannapieco](#page-19-1) [et al.](#page-19-1) [2005;](#page-19-1) [Di Matteo et al.](#page-18-5) [2005;](#page-18-5) [Croton et al.](#page-17-0) [2006;](#page-17-0) [Somerville et al.](#page-19-2) [2008;](#page-19-2) [Erb](#page-18-6) [2015;](#page-18-6) [Beckmann et al.](#page-17-4) [2017\)](#page-17-4), enriching the circumgalactic medium (CGM) and the IGM [\(Tremonti et al.](#page-19-3) [2004;](#page-19-3) [Dalcanton](#page-17-5) [2007;](#page-17-5) [Finlator &](#page-18-7) Davé [2008;](#page-18-7) [Peeples et al.](#page-18-8) [2014;](#page-18-8) [Tumlinson et al.](#page-19-4) [2017\)](#page-19-4). In other words, the role played by gas outflows in galaxy formation is reflected in the form of the mass-metallicity relation (Davé et al. [2011;](#page-17-6) Calabrò et al. [2017;](#page-17-7) [Sanders](#page-19-5) [et al.](#page-19-5) [2018;](#page-19-5) [Fontanot et al.](#page-18-9) [2021;](#page-18-9) [Sanders et al.](#page-19-6) [2021\)](#page-19-6) and the fundamental metallicity relation [\(Mannucci et al.](#page-18-10) [2010;](#page-18-10) [Sanders et al.](#page-19-5) [2018,](#page-19-5) [2021\)](#page-19-6).

The properties of outflows at high redshift have been investigated with both rest-UV interstellar features, such as metal absorption lines and $Ly\alpha$ emission, and broad rest-optical nebular line emission, such as $H\alpha$, [N II], [S II], and [O III]. Blueshifted interstellar absorption yields information on the outflowing material that galaxies have been ejecting throughout time [\(Shapley](#page-19-7) [et al.](#page-19-7) [2003;](#page-19-7) [Weiner et al.](#page-19-8) [2009;](#page-19-8) [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [2010;](#page-19-9) [Ko](#page-18-11)[rnei et al.](#page-18-11) [2012;](#page-18-11) [Talia et al.](#page-19-10) [2012;](#page-19-10) [Bordoloi et al.](#page-17-8) [2014;](#page-17-8) Calabrò et al. [2022;](#page-17-9) [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [2022\)](#page-19-11). In rest-frame optical emission line spectra, broad high velocity components trace denser outflowing material that is within a few kiloparsecs of the launching points of outflows [\(Shapiro et al.](#page-19-12) [2009;](#page-19-12) [Genzel et al.](#page-18-12) [2011,](#page-18-12) [2014;](#page-18-13) [New](#page-18-14)[man et al.](#page-18-14) [2012a,](#page-18-14) [2014;](#page-18-15) Cano-Díaz et al. [2016;](#page-17-10) Förster [Schreiber et al.](#page-18-16) [2014;](#page-18-16) Förster Schreiber et al. [2019;](#page-18-17) [Brusa](#page-17-11) [et al.](#page-17-11) [2015;](#page-17-11) [Cresci et al.](#page-17-12) [2015;](#page-17-12) [Harrison et al.](#page-18-18) [2016;](#page-18-18) [Leung](#page-18-19) [et al.](#page-18-19) [2017,](#page-18-19) [2019;](#page-18-20) [Davies et al.](#page-17-13) [2019,](#page-17-13) [2020;](#page-17-14) [Freeman et al.](#page-18-21) [2019;](#page-18-21) [Swinbank et al.](#page-19-13) [2019;](#page-19-13) [Concas et al.](#page-17-15) [2022\)](#page-17-15). However, rest-UV absorption and rest-optical nebular emission features tend to provide different answers on the nature of galaxy outflows, such as the detection rate, 3D structure, kinematics, and mass loading factors [\(Shapley](#page-19-7) [et al.](#page-19-7) [2003;](#page-19-7) [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [2010;](#page-19-9) [Talia et al.](#page-19-10) [2012;](#page-19-10) Förster [Schreiber et al.](#page-18-17) [2019;](#page-17-13) [Davies et al.](#page-17-13) 2019; Calabrò et al. [2022;](#page-17-9) [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [2022\)](#page-19-11). This discrepancy implies that outflows have a complex and multi-phase structure.

Thus far, no study has investigated rest-optical and rest-UV probes of outflows simultaneously in the same galaxies among the general population at $z \sim 2$, which is vital for understanding the multi-phase structure of outflowing gas. In this study, we analyze a sample of 80 galaxies at $1.4 \leq z \leq 2.7$ using observations obtained from the Low Resolution Imagining Spectrometer (LRIS) [\(Oke et al.](#page-18-22) [1995;](#page-18-22) [Steidel et al.](#page-19-14) [2004\)](#page-19-14) at Keck. At these redshifts, LRIS spectra cover $Ly\alpha$ emission and various low-ionization interstellar (LIS) absorption lines that probe wind kinematics (i.e., Si II λ 1260, O_I λ 1302, Si_{II} λ 1304, C_{II} λ 1334, and Si_{II} λ 1527) as well as the frequency of outflow detections. We draw our sample from the KMOS3D survey [\(Wisnioski et al.](#page-19-15) [2015,](#page-19-15) [2019\)](#page-19-16), which uses the K-Band Multi Object Spectrograph (KMOS) at the Very Large Telescope (VLT) to spatially and spectrally resolve the $H\alpha + [N II]+[S II]$ line emission of $z \sim 0.7 - 2.7$ star-forming galaxies. As part of the KMOS3D survey, the demographics and properties of galactic-scale outflows were studied by [Genzel et al.](#page-18-13) (2014) and Förster Schreiber et al. (2019) .

We use this unique data set to study the correlation between outflow detection and galaxy properties by looking at the frequency of outflows determined from LRIS and KMOS. One of the primary objectives of this paper is to look for any trends between outflow velocities and galaxy properties, such as inclination (i) , star formation rate (SFR), SFR surface-density ($\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$), stellar mass (M_*) , and main sequence offset (ΔMS). Furthermore, based on the unique combination of both rest-UV interstellar features and $H\alpha$ emission probes of outflows, we aim to analyze the outflow kinematics and investigate the geometry of galactic outflows.

The outline of this paper is as follows: Section [2](#page-1-0) introduces our observations, data reduction, and the final sample used for our analysis. Section [3](#page-4-0) describes the methods for measuring galactic properties (i.e., outflow velocity, *i*, SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, M_{*}, and ΔMS). Section [4](#page-8-0) presents the results of our analysis of the correlations between outflow properties inferred from both LRIS and KMOS observations. Section [5](#page-13-0) discusses the implications of our key results. Throughout this paper, we adopt a Λ CDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ and the [Chabrier](#page-17-16) [\(2003\)](#page-17-16) stellar initial mass function (IMF).

2. DATA

2.1. $KMOS^{3D}$ Survey

The KMOS^{3D} survey was conducted with the multi-IFU instrument KMOS at the VLT [\(Wisnioski et al.](#page-19-15) [2015,](#page-19-15) [2019\)](#page-19-16) and focused on galaxies selected from the 3D-HST catalog [\(Momcheva et al.](#page-18-23) [2016\)](#page-18-23). The survey observed H α , [N II], and [S II] emission in the YJ, H, and K bands for galaxies spanning a redshift range of $z = 0.7$ –2.7. Building on earlier work on outflows based on near-IR IFU observations with SINFONI from the SINS/zC-SINF survey [\(Shapiro et al.](#page-19-12) [2009;](#page-19-12) [Genzel et al.](#page-18-12) [2011;](#page-18-12) [Newman et al.](#page-18-14) [2012a](#page-18-14), b; Förster Schreiber et al. 2014) and the first-year sample from KMOS^{3D} [\(Gen](#page-18-13)[zel et al.](#page-18-13) [2014\)](#page-18-13), Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17) exploited the completed KMOS^{3D} survey data supplemented with smaller sets from SINS/zC-SINF and slit spectroscopic campaigns [\(Kriek et al.](#page-18-25) [2007,](#page-18-25) [2008;](#page-18-26) [Genzel et al.](#page-18-27) [2013;](#page-18-27) [Newman et al.](#page-18-15) [2014;](#page-18-15) [van Dokkum et al.](#page-19-17) [2015\)](#page-19-17) to characterize outflow demographics and properties.

The full sample of 599 galaxies (525 from KMOS^{3D}) was used to search for a broad outflow emission signature in $H\alpha + [N II] + [S II]$ emission, evident as residual highvelocity wings underneath the star formation-dominated narrow component in "velocity-shifted" spectra. The IFU data allow mapping of the velocity field derived from the emission line peak, which can then be used to align the spectra of individual spaxels across the galaxies to a common peak velocity. The aligned spectra are then added together to create "velocity-shifted" spectra. This technique removes the line broadening caused by gravitational motions (e.g., disk rotation), facilitating the identification of high-velocity components from outflows. For cases with identified outflow signature, Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17) attributed the outflow driver to star formation or AGN primarily on the basis of whether an AGN was identified through independent indicators (see below for more detail) as well as on the

basis of the narrow $[N II]/H\alpha$ ratio. In total, Förster [Schreiber et al.](#page-18-17) [\(2019\)](#page-18-17) found that within the KMOS^{3D} survey there are 190 out of 599 galaxies at $1.4 \le z \le 2.7$ with a broad-component outflow signature, yielding an outflow detection fraction of 32%. Among galaxies with outflow signatures, there are 87 galaxies with SF-driven winds (46%) and 103 galaxies with AGN-driven winds $(54\%).$

2.2. KMOS-LRIS Observations 2.2.1. Sample Selection

In constructing a sample for follow-up LRIS observations, we selected 85 galaxies from the KMOS^{3D} survey [\(Wisnioski et al.](#page-19-15) [2015\)](#page-19-15). These targets lie in the COS-MOS and GOODS-S extragalactic legacy fields, and are covered by extensive existing multi-wavelength datasets. The mask design included all galaxies with KMOS emission line detections, prioritizing galaxies at $z > 1.5$ with higher data quality (i.e., higher S/N and adaptive optics) and the presence of outflow signatures in KMOS detected by Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17). Out of the 85 galaxies targeted with LRIS, 33 (39%) were identified as having outflows from the KMOS3D survey. Specifically, 19 (22%) of the targets have SF-driven outflows, 14 (16%) of the targets have AGN-driven outflows, and 52 (61%) of the targets had no outflow detection. As shown in Figure [1,](#page-3-0) our final sample spans a redshift range of $1.50 \le z \le 2.68$.

2.2.2. Observations

We observed 85 KMOS3D galaxies using the Keck Low-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS) [\(Oke](#page-18-22) [et al.](#page-18-22) [1995;](#page-18-22) [Steidel et al.](#page-19-14) [2004\)](#page-19-14) over six and a half nights, including 4 nights in December of 2019 and 2.5 nights in January of 2021. Our observations use four multi-object slit masks: two in the COSMOS and two in the GOODS-S fields. All masks used 1.2" slits. We employed the d500 dichroic for the December 2019 run with the 400 lines mm⁻¹ grism blazed at 3400 Å on the blue side and the 600 lines mm⁻¹ grating blazed at 5000 Å on the red side. In January 2021, due to red-side instrument problems, we only collected data on the blue side. For these observations, we used the d680 dichroic and the 400 lines mm[−]¹ grism. In our analysis we only use the blue side data, as it covers the rest-UV features of interest for all of our target galaxies. LRIS blue-side spectra yielded a resolution of R \sim 800. With this configuration, we have continuous wavelength coverage from the 3100 Å atmospheric cut-off up to the d500 dichroic cutoff at 5000 Å for 43 spectra taken during the December 2019 run. The remaining spectra taken with the d680 dichroic have varying red wavelength cut-offs that range

from $5200-7650$ Å depending on the horizontal location of the slit on the multi-slit mask. Exposure times ranged from 5 to 19 hours. Weather conditions during the December 2019 run were poor, permitting data collection during only 1.5 of the 4 scheduled nights. When it was at least partially clear, seeing ranged from 0.5 to 1.3 arcsec. Conditions were clear throughout the 2.5 nights of the January 2021 run, with seeing ranging from 0.65 to 1.1 arcsec. A summary of the masks used during our LRIS observations is provided in Table [1.](#page-3-1)

2.2.3. Data Reduction

Blue-side LRIS data reduction was performed using custom IRAF, IDL, and Python scripts. The first step was to rectify each spectrum by fitting a polynomial to each 2D slit and transforming them to be rectangular. Next, we flat-fielded each exposure and cut out the slits for each object. Additionally, we background subtracted each object by removing cosmic rays and creating badpixel maps. We then created a summed mosaic of the 2D spectra, including science, arc and sky images, by first calculating the offsets between the individual science exposures, shifting, and averaging them. We performed a secondary background subtraction to avoid overestimating the background. After the initial background subtraction, many of the spectra sit in troughs with negative fluxes on each side of the spectrum. This trough arises from fitting a polynomial to the light across the entire slit. The light from the object biases the fit, overestimating the background. The second pass background subtraction excludes the object from the fit, removing any bias. To further ensure the accuracy of the background subtraction, we identified the locations of bright emission lines within the 2D spectra to mask any object traces and broaden the region around the emission lines, since the object trace is wider in these regions. Applying the newly defined masks prevents oversubtraction in these specific regions. Using these second pass images, we fit a line or a polynomial of order 2 to the science trace of each exposure to extract 1D spectra from our stacked 2D spectra. We applied the same extraction aperture to the arc and sky spectra. We then used the arc spectrum to determine the wavelength solution, which we applied to the sky spectrum. Following this step, we used the wavelengths of the bright sky lines to determine any required zero point shift in the wavelength solution. Finally, we flux calibrated our data using standard star observations.

Most of our masks were collected during one epoch. However, the co kl1 mask data was collected during both

Field	Mask Name	R.A	Dec.	$t_{Blue}^{exp}(s)$	N_{tareets}	
COSMOS	co_kl1	10:00:24.620	$+02:15:13.078$	76 540	23	19
COSMOS	co kl ₂	10:00:29.041	$+02:24:24.528$	41 400	20	13
GOODS-S	gs_k ll	03:32:30.639	-27:48:24.384	46 200	20	9
GOODS-S	ϵ s-kl ϵ	03:32:28.728	$-27:43:29.212$	17 400	22	17

Table 1. Summary of LRIS observations

 a Total number of targets on each mask.

 b Total number of successful extractions.</sup>

Figure 1. Properties of the full LRIS sample. Left: Ha redshift distributions for the LRIS sample. The open histogram represents the total sample (107 objects), and the gray histogram represents the sample of objects that had a usable spectrum for our analysis (80 objects). Right: SFR versus stellar mass. SFRs and stellar masses are derived from Förster Schreiber [et al.](#page-18-17) [\(2019\)](#page-18-17) who followed procedures by [Wuyts et al.](#page-19-18) [\(2011\)](#page-19-18). Gray circles are galaxies where outflows were not detected in either KMOS or LRIS (23 objects), purple crosses represent galaxies that were only used in creating stacked spectra because no significant line measurements were found (23 objects), blue squares are galaxies where outflows were detected in KMOS (6 objects), orange diamonds are galaxies where outflows were detected with only LRIS (17 objects), and green triangles are galaxies that where outflows were detected with both KMOS and LRIS (11 objects). LRIS outflows are identified in galaxies with $\Delta v_{\text{LIS}}, \Delta v_{\text{Lv}\alpha}$, or both significantly offset from the systemic velocity. The dashed line represents the SFR main sequence for $z \sim 2$ from [Speagle et al.](#page-19-19) [\(2014\)](#page-19-19).

the December 2019 and January 2021 runs. The reductions for each run were done separately up to the 1D extractions. We combined the 1D spectra of each galaxy using a S/N-weighted average. As listed in Table [1,](#page-3-1) we successfully extracted 58 usable spectra (Nsuccess) out of our 85 targets (N_{targets}). The remaining 27 spectra were either too noisy or had artifacts, making them unusable for our analysis.

2.2.4. MOSDEF-LRIS Observations

We expanded our sample of $KMOS^{3D}$ galaxies with LRIS observations by incorporating observations from the MOSDEF-LRIS survey, described in detail by [Top](#page-19-20)[ping et al.](#page-19-20) [\(2020\)](#page-19-20), [Reddy et al.](#page-19-21) [\(2022\)](#page-19-21), and [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) (2022) . These MOSDEF-LRIS galaxies have KMOS^{3D} coverage and fall within the same redshift range as the rest of the KMOS-LRIS sample (i.e., they satisfy the same selection criteria as the galaxies for which we obtained new LRIS observations). This LRIS survey targeted galaxies with existing MOSFIRE observations from the MOSFIRE Deep Evolution Field (MOSDEF)

survey [\(Kriek et al.](#page-18-28) [2015\)](#page-18-28) and includes LRIS spectra with similar depth and the same observational setup as data we collected. The MOSDEF-LRIS and KMOS3D surveys both target the COSMOS and GOODS-S fields and have 22 galaxies in common. We integrate these observations into this paper to expand our KMOS^{3D} sample with usable LRIS spectra from 58 to 80 galaxies.

3. MEASUREMENTS

3.1. Outflow Velocities

Large-scale gas outflows cause Doppler shifts in lowionization interstellar (LIS) absorption lines and $Ly\alpha$ emission relative to the galaxy's systemic velocity. To quantify these shifts, we measure the line centroid velocity shifts, $\Delta v_{\rm LIS}$ and $\Delta v_{\rm Ly\alpha},$ from the centroid wavelengths, respectively, of strong LIS absorption lines and $Ly\alpha$ emission. We first determined which lines were significantly-detected by using a non-parametric estimate of the line flux, which was used to find the equivalent width (EW) of each line. When determining the EW, we defined the continuum using blue and red wavelength windows around each line. These windows were defined using a stacked spectrum of the entire sample, ensuring a high S/N to provide a precise average for where the continuum lies. The wavelength windows are listed in Table [2.](#page-5-0) Uncertainties on the flux measurements were determined using Monte Carlo simulations in which each spectrum was perturbed on a pixel-by-pixel basis according to the error spectrum over 1000 iterations. If the absolute values of line fluxes were greater than 2σ , we labeled the line as being significantly-detected. For each significantly-detected line, we measured the observed centroids for the LIS absorption lines and the Ly α emission line, $\lambda_{\text{LIS, obs}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{Lv}\alpha, \text{obs}}$, respectively, by fitting Gaussian curves to the lines. Uncertainties for the centroid measurements were found using the same Monte Carlo simulations. We shifted $\lambda_{\text{LIS, obs}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha, obs}$ to the rest frame defined by the object's $H\alpha$ redshift measured in the KMOS^{3D} survey by Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17) (i.e., $\lambda_{\text{LIS}} =$ $\lambda_{\text{LIS, obs}}/(1 + z_{\text{H}\alpha})$ and $\lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = \lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha, obs}/(1 + z_{\text{H}\alpha})$. We use these centroids to measure the line centroid velocity shifts:

$$
\Delta v_{\text{LIS}} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{LIS}} - \lambda_{\text{LIS, rest}}}{\lambda_{\text{LIS, rest}}} \times c
$$
 (1)

$$
\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha} - \lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha, \text{ rest}}}{\lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha, \text{ rest}}} \times c
$$
 (2)

where $\lambda_{\text{LIS, rest}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$, rest are the laboratory wavelengths for these features as listed in Table [2.](#page-5-0) From our total sample of 80 usable galaxies, 57 (67%) were found to have at least one significant rest-UV line measurement in the LRIS spectra. To find Δv_{LIS} , we calculated an inverse-variance-weighted average of the individual Δv_{LIS} measurements for every object that had at least one significantly-detected LIS line. The LIS lines used in the weighted average were $Si II\lambda1260$, C $II\lambda1334$, and Si II λ 1526. We do not include the blend of O II λ 1302+ $Si II\lambda1304$ in our measurements because the blend centroid wavelength is harder to constrain. Velocities that were greater in magntiude than 1σ from zero were defined as having a significant flow. Based on the error bars presented in Table [3,](#page-6-0) the minimum outflow velocity we can detect is $15 - 20$ km/s in the highest S/N cases.

Out of the 57 significant LIS line measurements, 19 were found to have a significantly-detected outflow (i.e., negative velocity) and 6 were found to have a significantly-detected inflow (i.e., positive velocity). In terms of $Ly\alpha$ kinematics, 17 objects had a significantlydetected outflow (i.e., positive velocity) and 2 objects had a significantly-detected inflow (i.e., negative velocity). Specifically, 11 galaxies show a significant outflow detected solely from LIS absorption lines, 9 galaxies have significant outflows detected exclusively from $Ly\alpha$ emission, and 9 galaxies have significant outflows detected in both. Outflows may only be detected from only $Ly\alpha$ emission because galaxies may have weak absorption lines, but strong $Ly\alpha$ emission. Conversely, galaxies detected by only LIS absorption may show only strong broad Ly α absorption [\(Shapley et al.](#page-19-7) [2003\)](#page-19-7). Indeed, the $Ly\alpha$ profile is complex and can range from well-detected emission to strong, broad absorption. In the case of such strong absorption, no meaningful $\Delta v_{Ly\alpha}$ can be measured. Figure [2](#page-5-1) shows the distribution of our velocity offset measurements. The mean Δv_{LIS} for our sample is -56 ± 16 km s⁻¹ and the mean $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ is $+266 \pm 41$ km s⁻¹. The magnitudes of the Δv_{LIS} and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ are not strongly correlated.

We do not present outflow velocities from $H\alpha$ measurements because the FWHM as a measure of outflow velocity differs from the centroid shifts used for LIS and $Ly\alpha$ in LRIS measurements. The velocity offsets based on centroids of broad components from KMOS3D stacked spectra are generally modest and poorly constrained, except for stronger outflows. Accordingly, comparing kinematic measurements from the two samples is nontrivial. Additionally, emission and absorption lines trace material differently, with $H\alpha$ more sensitive to denser gas due to its electron density dependence (Förster Schreiber [et al.](#page-18-17) [2019\)](#page-18-17), typically tracing material closer to the galaxy compared to the more extended regions traced by LIS.

Line $^{\mu}$		$\lambda_{\text{rest}}(\text{\AA})$ Blue Window $(\text{\AA})^b$	Red Window $(\AA)^b$
$_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$	1215.67	$1195 - 1205$	$1229 - 1234$
Si _{II}	1260.42	$1249 - 1235$	$1280 - 1286$
$O I + Si II$	1303.27	$1286 - 1291$	$1317 - 1323$
Cн	1334.53	$1323 - 1329$	$1348 - 1354$
Si 11	1526.71	$1511 - 1517$	$1560 - 1566$

Table 2. Spectral windows for line fitting

 a O I λ 1302 + Si II λ 1304 are blended at the resolution of our LRIS spectra.

 b The blue and red windows are the wavelength intervals over which</sup> local continuum fitting was performed for each feature.

As shown in Table [3,](#page-6-0) 57 galaxies had at least one feature measured with the LRIS spectra. Out of this sample, 17 galaxies had a significant outflow detected with LRIS only, 6 galaxies had a significant outflow detected with KMOS only, 11 had significant detections with both LRIS and KMOS, and 23 had a detection from neither LRIS or KMOS. The detection fraction of outflows with LRIS and KMOS was 49% (28 out of 57 galaxies) and 30% (17 out of 57 galaxies), respectively.

Furthermore, we measure the maximum outflow velocity (v_{max}) by using methods described by [Kornei et al.](#page-18-11) [\(2012\)](#page-18-11) and [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [\(2022\)](#page-19-11). In summary, we determine the minimum of each LIS absorption line and evaluate the sum of the flux and its uncertainty at each wavelength increment. The spectrum is evaluated at shorter wavelengths until the sum of flux plus uncertainty surpasses 1.0. The first wavelength at which this occurs is the wavelength used to calculate the v_{max} . Uncertainties are found using the same Monte Carlo simulations previously described.

3.2. Galaxy Properties

We derive several galaxy properties such as SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, M_{*}, Δ MS, and inclination (Table [4\)](#page-7-0) to investigate how outflow velocity depends on these properties. SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, M_{*}, and Δ MS were presented previously in Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17). SFR and M_* were

determined by modeling the broad- and medium-band spectral energy distributions (SEDs) spanning the optical to near-infrared range for each galaxy and supplemented with Spitzer and Herschel mid and far-IR photometry when available. SEDs were fit using [Bruzual & Charlot](#page-17-17) [\(2003\)](#page-17-17) population synthesis models

Figure 2. Velocity offset distribution. The blue hashed histogram represents centroid velocity shifts for LIS absorption lines and the red hashed histogram represents centroid velocity shifts for $Ly\alpha$ emission. In total, there are 57 objects with at least one feature. 51 objects had LIS velocity measurements with $\langle \Delta v_{\text{LIS}} \rangle = -56 \pm 16 \text{km s}^{-1}$ and 21 objects had Ly α velocity measurements with $\langle \Delta v_{\rm Ly\alpha} \rangle = 266 \pm 41 \text{km s}^{-1}$.

that adopted the [Calzetti et al.](#page-17-18) [\(2000\)](#page-17-18) reddening law, solar metallicty, and SF histories. Furthermore, Förster [Schreiber et al.](#page-18-17) [\(2019\)](#page-18-17) adopt a [Chabrier](#page-17-16) [\(2003\)](#page-17-16) stellar initial mass function. There were 34 galaxies for which the SFR was determined by fitting SEDs across optical to Spitzer/IRAC wavelengths. The remaining 73 galaxies had their SFR determined by combining the SFRs from $Herschel/Spitzer + UV$. SFR and M_{*} uncertainties were adopted from [Tacconi et al.](#page-19-22) [\(2018\)](#page-19-22). An uncertainty of ± 0.25 dex is used for SED-inferred SFRs, while an uncertainty ± 0.2 dex is used for *Herschel/Spitzer*-detected galaxies. We define the $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ as:

$$
\Sigma_{\rm SFR} = \frac{\rm SFR}{2\pi R_e^2} \tag{3}
$$

 $H\alpha$ and UV Probe of High-Redshift Outflows 7

 a "..." indicates that no significant ($> 2\sigma$) detections of LIS or Ly α features were made in the LRIS spectrum.

 b A significant LRIS outflow detection is denoted with 1, while a non-significant detection is denoted with 0. A detection is classified as significant when the measured Δv_{LIS} or $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ is greater in magnitude than 1σ from 0.

 c A KMOS outflow detection is denoted with 1, while a non-detection is denoted with 0. Detections are found from SF or AGN broad emission line signatures.

 d Galaxies hosting AGN based on H α multi-wavelength analysis or rest-UV spectra are denoted with 1 and galaxies without AGN are denoted as 0. 10 out of the 15 AGNs in our sample have individual gas kinematic measurements.

Object	$z_{\rm H\alpha}$	i (degrees)	$\log(SFR/(M_{\odot}yr^{-1}))$	$\log(\Sigma_{\rm SFR}/(\rm M_{\odot}yr^{-1}kpc^{-2}))$	$log(M_{*}/M_{\odot})$	ΔMS
COS4 ₋₁₂₄₇₆	1.5143	59 ± 0.02	1.75 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.13	$10.05\,\pm\,0.15$	0.5 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₂₄₇₃₈	1.5888	49 ± 0.04	1.15 ± 0.25	-0.49 ± 0.03	10.02 ± 0.15	-0.1 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₁₂₀₅₆	1.6000	55 ± 0.03	0.98 ± 0.25	-1.31 ± 0.0	9.72 ± 0.15	0.01 ± 0.25
GS4 ₋₃₉₀₈₅	1.6100	44 ± 0.03	1.45 ± 0.2	-0.17 ± 0.06	10.49 ± 0.15	-0.15 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₀₈₄₂₂	1.6113	$31\,\pm\,0.03$	2.0 ± 0.2	1.62 ± 1.34	11.45 ± 0.15	-0.18 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₄₀₆₆	1.6140	27 ± 0.03	2.56 ± 0.2	1.97 ± 4.69	10.77 ± 0.15	0.78 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₁₁₂₀₃	1.6144	45 ± 0.04	1.29 ± 0.2	0.49 ± 0.19	9.96 ± 0.15	0.09 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋ 11343	1.6474	53 ± 0.02	$1.51\,\pm\,0.2$	-0.49 ± 0.02	9.89 ± 0.15	0.37 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₁₈₃₅₈	1.6484	$53\,\pm\,0.03$	1.02 ± 0.25	-0.46 ± 0.02	9.61 ± 0.15	0.15 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₂₀₅₉₅	1.6547	58 ± 0.01	$1.25\,\pm\,0.25$	-0.34 ± 0.02	10.08 ± 0.15	-0.08 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₂₀₄₄₉	1.6559	34 ± 0.03	1.12 ± 0.25	-0.74 ± 0.01	10.04 ± 0.15	-0.17 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₁₇₅₁₉	1.7081	39 ± 0.02	2.0 ± 0.2	0.16 ± 0.05	10.32 ± 0.15	0.49 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₁₈₆₀₄	2.0055	64 ± 0.02	1.53 ± 0.2	-0.17 ± 0.03	10.06 ± 0.15	0.11 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₂₀₇₄₆	2.0070	32 ± 0.06	1.32 ± 0.25	0.23 ± 0.08	10.07 ± 0.15	-0.11 ± 0.25
GS4 ₋₂₀₄₁₀	2.0085	56 ± 0.01	1.63 ± 0.2	-0.04 ± 0.02	10.2 ± 0.15	0.08 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₁₃₁₇₄	2.0974	73 ± 0.02	2.24 ± 0.2	-0.15 ± 0.05	11.03 ± 0.15	0.1 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₂₃₆₃	2.1408	68 ± 0.01	2.07 ± 0.2	0.65 ± 0.13	10.2 ± 0.15	0.48 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₁₈₈₆	2.1411	54 ± 0.02	$2.07\,\pm\,0.2$	0.99 ± 0.42	10.86 ± 0.15	0.04 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₀₈₇₇₅	2.1624	55 ± 0.02	1.43 ± 0.25	-0.47 ± 0.02	10.35 ± 0.15	-0.26 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₁₃₇₀₁	2.1664	50 ± 0.02	1.92 ± 0.2	-0.08 ± 0.04	10.64 ± 0.15	0.03 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₂₅₂₂₉	2.1807	48 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.25	0.33 ± 0.11	10.04 ± 0.15	-0.14 ± 0.25
GS4 ₋₃₈₁₁₆	2.1966	64 ± 0.02	1.63 ± 0.2	0.43 ± 0.1	10.17 ± 0.15	0.06 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₃₈₁₁₆	2.1966	64 ± 0.02	1.63 ± 0.2	0.43 ± 0.1	10.17 ± 0.15	0.06 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₀₉₀₄₄	2.1983	57 ± 0.03	1.2 ± 0.25	0.03 ± 0.06	9.94 ± 0.15	-0.15 ± 0.25
GS4 ₋₂₅₁₅₁	2.2229	28 ± 0.03	2.05 ± 0.2	-0.2 ± 0.02	10.65 ± 0.15	0.13 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₂₉₈₆₈	2.2239	58 ± 0.02	2.01 ± 0.2	-0.18 ± 0.1	10.28 ± 0.15	0.35 ± 0.2
COS4_04930	2.2273	59 ± 0.03	1.59 ± 0.25	-0.36 ± 0.08	10.51 ± 0.15	-0.23 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₀₄₉₃₀	2.2273	59 ± 0.03	1.59 ± 0.25	-0.36 ± 0.08	10.51 ± 0.15	-0.23 ± 0.25
COS4_04519	2.2285	50 ± 0.02	2.66 ± 0.2	1.11 ± 0.76	10.61 ± 0.15	0.77 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₀₆₉₆₃	2.3012	28 ± 0.07	0.7 ± 0.25	-0.74 ± 0.04	10.98 ± 0.15	-1.46 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₀₅₃₈₉	2.3013	47 ± 0.05	1.97 ± 0.2	0.52 ± 0.31	10.17 ± 0.15	0.38 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₁₇₄₈	2.3013	36 ± 0.03	1.7 ± 0.2	0.61 ± 0.23	10.83 ± 0.15	-0.35 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₀₇₆₈	2.3033	72 ± 0.01	$1.78\,\pm\,0.2$	-0.07 ± 0.01	10.22 ± 0.15	0.14 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₃₆₇₀₅	2.3055	53 ± 0.02	1.64 ± 0.2	0.41 ± 0.16	10.28 ± 0.15	-0.04 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₀₁₉₆₆	2.3058	65 ± 0.06	1.67 ± 0.2	0.01 ± 0.17	10.16 ± 0.15	0.08 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₀₃₃₂₄	2.3069	46 ± 0.02	1.96 ± 0.2	-0.18 ± 0.03	10.62 ± 0.15	0.05 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₀₂₆₇₂	2.3077	60 ± 0.02	$1.86\,\pm\,0.2$	-0.17 ± 0.03	10.57 ± 0.15	-0.02 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₀₂₆₇₂	2.3077	60 ± 0.02	1.86 ± 0.2	-0.17 ± 0.04	10.57 ± 0.15	-0.02 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₃₈₈₀₇	2.3177	64 ± 0.01	1.65 ± 0.2	0.05 ± 0.04	10.3 ± 0.15	-0.04 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₃₅₉₃₇	2.3292	68 ± 0.01	1.62 ± 0.2	-0.61 ± 0.02	10.69 ± 0.15	-0.34 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₆₉₃₈	2.3323	58 ± 0.02	1.69 ± 0.2	0.74 ± 0.19	10.04 ± 0.15	0.22 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₅₁₈₈	2.4061	25 ± 0.07	2.17 ± 0.2	$1.23\,\pm\,1.43$	10.81 ± 0.15	0.1 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₅₁₈₈	2.4061	$25\,\pm\,0.07$	$2.17\,\pm\,0.2$	1.23 ± 1.43	$10.81\,\pm\,0.15$	0.1 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₀₆₇₉	2.4079	36 ± 0.04	2.15 ± 0.2	0.14 ± 0.2	10.69 ± 0.15	0.17 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₄₀₆₇₉	2.4079	36 ± 0.04	2.15 ± 0.2	0.14 ± 0.19	10.69 ± 0.15	0.17 ± 0.2
GS4 ₋₃₈₅₆₀	2.4165	49 ± 0.02	1.58 ± 0.2	-0.32 ± 0.02	10.18 ± 0.15	-0.05 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₀₆₀₇₉	2.4413	46 ± 0.03	2.01 ± 0.2	-0.23 ± 0.03	10.57 ± 0.15	0.11 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₁₇₂₉₈	2.4443	30 ± 0.07	1.68 ± 0.25	0.37 ± 0.13	9.54 ± 0.15	0.67 ± 0.25
GS4 ₋₄₀₂₁₈	2.4504	48 ± 0.03	1.41 ± 0.25	0.23 ± 0.07 0.23 ± 0.07	9.85 ± 0.15 9.85 ± 0.15	0.09 ± 0.25
GS4 ₋₄₀₂₁₈	2.4504	48 ± 0.03 14 ± 0.1	1.41 ± 0.25	1.66 ± 3.3		0.09 ± 0.25
GS4 ₋₄₅₀₆₈ COS4 ₋₀₈₅₁₅	2.4527 2.4539	77 ± 0.02	2.55 ± 0.2 1.3 ± 0.25	-0.8 ± 0.01	11.01 ± 0.15 9.88 ± 0.15	0.35 ± 0.2 -0.05 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₁₂₁₄₈	2.4603	49 ± 0.05	1.96 ± 0.2	1.28 ± 1.32	10.22 ± 0.15	0.29 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₂₂₉₉₅	2.4681	48 ± 0.03	2.29 ± 0.2	1.33 ± 1.08	11.13 ± 0.15	0.0 ± 0.2
COS4 ₋₂₂₅₆₄	2.4694	47 ± 0.02	2.25 ± 0.25	0.24 ± 0.1	10.83 ± 0.15	0.16 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₂₇₁₂₀	2.4780	56 ± 0.04	1.49 ± 0.25	-0.21 ± 0.08	10.17 ± 0.15	-0.14 ± 0.25
COS4 ₋₂₇₀₈₇	2.4794	45 ± 0.04	2.05 ± 0.25	0.42 ± 0.14	$9.95\,\pm\,0.15$	0.63 ± 0.25

Table 4. Galactic properties for the full KMOS-LRIS sample

The half-light effective radii (R_e) were obtained from [van der Wel et al.](#page-19-23) [\(2012\)](#page-19-23) and [Lang et al.](#page-18-29) [\(2014\)](#page-18-29), who base their measurements on the H-band radii corrected to rest-frame 5000Å using average color gradients. Uncertainties in R_e were determined from GALFIT. We define the MS offset $(∆MS)$ as:

$$
\Delta MS = \log(SFR/SFR_{MS})\tag{4}
$$

where SFR_{MS} is the main sequence SFR for a given M_* and redshift, as parameterized by [Whitaker et al.](#page-19-24) [\(2014\)](#page-19-24). Uncertainties on ∆MS are adopted to be the same as the uncertainties for the SFR.

Galaxy inclination (i) was calculated using the galaxy's axis ratio (q) , where q is the ratio of the minor to major axes. Neglecting the intrinsic thickness of the disk, we estimated $i = \arccos(q)$. ^{[1](#page-8-1)} Axis ratios were obtained from [van der Wel et al.](#page-19-23) [\(2012\)](#page-19-23) based on the 3D-HST catalogs.

3.3. AGN Identification

From the sample of 80 galaxies used in our analysis, 12 are identified as hosting an AGN (15%) on the basis of the narrow component [N II]/H α flux ratio and diagnostics from supplementary X-ray to mid-IR and radio data. Galaxies are identified as having an AGN when $[N II]/H\alpha_{\text{narrow}} > 0.45$, or when characteristics indicative of an AGN are found in the radio, mid-IR, or X-ray (Förster Schreiber et al. [2019\)](#page-18-17). High ionization lines, such as N v, Si IV, and C IV, that are indicative of AGN are also detected in the LRIS spectra. We find that 9 of the 12 galaxies identified as AGN based on characteristics from multi-wavelength data also have signatures of AGN in their LRIS spectra. The remaining 3 do not have emission of high ionization lines. In addition, we find 2 galaxies have AGN signatures in the LRIS spectra that were not previously identified as hosting AGN, yielding a total sample of 14 galaxies with AGN signatures. We have confirmed that the trends described in Section [4](#page-8-0) are unaffected if we either include or exclude objects identified as AGN.

3.4. Composite Spectra

Out of our total of 80 usable spectra, there are only 57 that have at least one rest-UV feature measured in LRIS spectra. Limiting our sample to galaxies where only absorption lines or only $Ly\alpha$ are measured may bias our results. To fold the full sample of 80 usable LRIS spectra, regardless of requiring significant detections of LIS or $Ly\alpha$ lines, we construct composite spectra of equalnumber bins (26 or 27 galaxies per bin) according to different galactic properties. We use these composite spectra to evaluate the average outflow velocities within different bins of galaxy properties. To create the composite spectra, we shifted each individual flux-calibrated galaxy spectrum into the rest frame, interpolated the spectra onto a common wavelength grid, and calculated the median flux of the full bin at each wavelength. We measured Δv_{LIS} and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ using the same methods as for individual spectra as described in Section [3.1.](#page-4-1)

4. RESULTS

In this section, we search for relations between outflow velocity and various galactic properties (i.e., inclination, SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, M_{*}, and ΔMS). We also analyze the relationship between these galactic properties and LRIS and KMOS outflow detection fractions. We use galaxies presented in Table [3](#page-6-0) for our analysis.

4.1. Inclination

4.1.1. Individual Measurements

In the nearby universe, it has been shown that galaxy outflows are collimated perpendicular to the disk, while inflows occur along the major axis of the galaxy [\(Heck](#page-18-30)[man et al.](#page-18-30) [1990;](#page-18-30) [Chen et al.](#page-17-19) [2010;](#page-17-19) [Newman et al.](#page-18-24) [2012b;](#page-18-24) [Concas et al.](#page-17-20) [2019;](#page-17-20) [Roberts-Borsani & Saintonge](#page-19-25) [2019\)](#page-19-25). Using 140,625 galaxies from SDSS with $0.05 \le z \le 0.18$, [Chen et al.](#page-17-19) [\(2010\)](#page-17-19) find that the outflow velocity is greater for more face-on galaxies, demonstrating that, in the local universe, galactic outflows are collimated. Furthermore, [Bordoloi et al.](#page-17-21) [\(2011\)](#page-17-21) investigate the Mg II absorption strength of low-redshift $(0.5 < z < 0.9)$ galaxies and find that Mg ii absorption is associated with bipolar regions aligned with the disk axis. This suggests that the model for collimated outflows holds true up to $z \sim 1$. [Kornei et al.](#page-18-11) [\(2012\)](#page-18-11) study 72 starforming galaxies at $z \sim 1$ and find that face-on galaxies with lower inclination exhibit faster outflows compared to more edge-on galaxies with higher inclination. These results suggest that galactic winds also appear collimated for galaxies at $z \sim 1$. Similarly, [Rubin et al.](#page-19-26) [\(2014\)](#page-19-26) analyze 105 galaxies at $0.3 \leq z \leq 1.4$ and find that the outflow detection rate depends on inclination. They find that outflows are detected in \sim 89% of faceon galaxies $(i < 30°)$ while outflows are only detected in ~ 45% of edge-on galaxies ($i > 50°$). Contrary to these well established findings in the local universe, the situation at higher redshift is less clear [\(Law et al.](#page-18-31) [2012;](#page-18-31) [Newman et al.](#page-18-24) [2012b;](#page-18-24) Förster Schreiber et al. [2019;](#page-18-17) [Wel-](#page-19-11)

¹ If one were to adopt a reasonable value for the finite intrinsic thickness (i.e., $\gamma = 0.2$, where γ is the ratio between the smallest and largest axes), at most, the difference would be \sim 7 degrees for the most highly inclined systems in our sample.

As shown in Figure [3](#page-10-0) and Table [5,](#page-10-1) we find that there is no correlation between outflow velocity and inclination in our sample. Furthermore, we find that there is no relationship between the outflow detection rates of either KMOS or LRIS and inclination. However, galaxies that exhibit significant outflows from Δv_{LIS} with both KMOS and LRIS appear to tend more toward higher inclinations, meaning more edge-on galaxies.

4.1.2. Inclination Stacks

To further analyze how outflow velocity depends on galaxy inclination, we split our sample into three equalnumber bins based on inclination. The composite spectra, in order of increasing inclination, i, have $\langle i \rangle$ = 34°, 52,° 65° with 27, 27, and 26 galaxies in each stack respectively (Figure [3\)](#page-10-0). As shown in Figure [3,](#page-10-0) we find no correlation between galaxy inclination and Δv_{LIS} .

4.2. Galaxy Stellar Properties 4.2.1. SFR

A galaxy's SFR provides information on the amount of mechanical energy and radiation pressure available for driving outflows in star-forming galaxies. Several studies have found that outflow velocities increase with increasing SFR [\(Martin](#page-18-32) [2005;](#page-18-32) [Rupke et al.](#page-19-27) [2005;](#page-19-27) [Weiner et al.](#page-19-8) [2009;](#page-19-8) [Bordoloi et al.](#page-17-8) [2014;](#page-17-8) [Chisholm et al.](#page-17-22) [2015;](#page-17-22) [Suga](#page-19-28)[hara et al.](#page-19-28) [2017;](#page-19-28) [Prusinski et al.](#page-18-33) [2021\)](#page-18-33). The relation between outflow velocity and SFR can provide information on the driving mechanisms of the outflows. Specifically, if outflow velocity weakly depends on SFR, the outflow velocity may be driven by mechanical energy from supernovae or stellar winds [\(Heckman et al.](#page-18-34) [2000;](#page-18-34) [Ferrara](#page-18-35) [& Ricotti](#page-18-35) [2006;](#page-18-35) [Chen et al.](#page-17-19) [2010\)](#page-17-19). If the outflow velocity is strongly dependent on SFR, the outflow velocity may be radiatively driven [\(Sharma & Nath](#page-19-29) [2012\)](#page-19-29). Many other studies have failed to find such a correlation due to a limited range in SFR available in their data [\(Steidel](#page-19-9) [et al.](#page-19-9) [2010;](#page-19-9) [Kornei et al.](#page-18-11) [2012;](#page-18-11) [Newman et al.](#page-18-24) [2012b\)](#page-18-24). [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [\(2022\)](#page-19-11) probe 155 galaxies with SFRs spanning $2 - 93M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$, and find an absence of correlation between Δv_{LIS} and SFR. This suggests that Δv_{LIS} is potentially influenced by the presence of stationary gas near the systemic redshift of the galaxy. Furthermore, they find there is a small correlation between $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ and SFR, which indicates that galactic outflows are driven by radiation pressure or supernova [\(Chevalier & Clegg](#page-17-23) [1985;](#page-17-23) [Murray et al.](#page-18-36) [2011\)](#page-18-36).

We find that there is no correlation between Δv_{LIS} and SFR in our sample as shown in Figure [4](#page-11-0) and Table [5.](#page-10-1) Furthermore, our composite spectra (Figure [4\)](#page-11-0) show no trends between $\Delta v_{\rm LIS}$ and SFR.

4.2.2. SFR Surface Density

Environments with elevated $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ have a higher surface density of radiation pressure, and the radiation pressure acting on dust grains is more efficient. Therefore, areas with high $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ may be more efficient at transporting momentum and energy from overlapping supernovae or stellar winds from massive stars into the ISM [\(Veilleux et al.](#page-19-30) [2005\)](#page-19-30). The combination of a higher concentration of star formation, meaning more radiation and higher density of SNe, along with efficient radiative coupling, from high concentrations of dust, results in conditions susceptible to launching outflows in high $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ environments. In order for galaxies to sustain outflows, [Heckman](#page-18-0) [\(2001\)](#page-18-0) proposed that galaxies must exceed a $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ threshold of $\sim 0.05 \, \rm M_{\odot} \, \rm yr^{-1} \, \rm kpc^{-2}$ for the [Chabrier](#page-17-16) [\(2003\)](#page-17-16) IMF.

At $z \leq 1$, there is a relationship between $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ and outflow velocity [\(Chen et al.](#page-17-19) [2010;](#page-17-19) [Kornei et al.](#page-18-11) [2012;](#page-18-11) [Rubin et al.](#page-19-26) [2014;](#page-19-26) [Chisholm et al.](#page-17-22) [2015\)](#page-17-22). At higher redshift $(z > 1)$, [Newman et al.](#page-18-24) [\(2012b\)](#page-18-24) found that the relative strengths of the broad outflow and narrow star formation components in rest-optical $(H\alpha)$ line emission showed the strongest difference with $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ among galaxy properties (at 20σ between the low and high $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ bins); a finer parameter space sampling showed a steep increase around $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ of $\sim 1 \, \rm M_{\odot} \, \rm yr^{-1} \, \rm kpc^{-2},$ which could reflect the thicker, more turbulent gas-rich disks at earlier epochs. In the large sample analyzed by Förster [Schreiber et al.](#page-18-17) (2019) , the incidence of star formationdriven outflows showed a smoother increase with the fraction exceeding 15% at $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ of ~ 1 M_☉ yr⁻¹ kpc⁻². [Davies et al.](#page-17-13) [\(2019\)](#page-17-13) exploited the high resolution of the subset of SINS/zC-SINF sample observed with adaptive optics to investigate trends of broad outflow emission in H α +[N II] by stacking spectra of spaxels ($\sim 1-2$ kpc scales) in bins of local physical properties across all 28 non-AGN galaxies, finding a consistent but somewhat lower threshold of $\Sigma_{\rm SFR} \sim 0.3 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2}$, and derived $v_{\text{out}} \propto \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}^{0.34}$, intermediate between the shallow power-law for energy-driven winds [\(Chen](#page-17-19) [et al.](#page-17-19) [2010\)](#page-17-19) and steeper power-law for momentum-driven winds (e.g., [Murray et al.](#page-18-36) [2011\)](#page-18-36). In contrast, [Steidel](#page-19-9) [et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9) and [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [\(2022\)](#page-19-11) reported no corre-

Figure 3. Left: Δv_{LIS} versus inclination (top) and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ versus inclination (bottom). Blue squares represent galaxies that had a significant outflow detection in KMOS, orange diamonds are for galaxies with a 1σ outflow detection in the LRIS sample, gray circles are galaxies that had no significant outflow detections, and green triangles are for galaxies where both KMOS and LRIS found significant outflows. Black "X" symbols represent the composite spectra. In the bottom panel, there is only one composite spectrum data point, as $Ly\alpha$ emission was only detected in one of the three composite spectra. Data points with black markers inside indicate galaxies identified as hosting AGN. Right: Composite spectra for three inclination bins. The top is the composite spectrum for our low inclination sample with $\langle i \rangle = 34^\circ$ (27 galaxies). The middle is the composite spectrum for our mid inclination sample with $\langle i \rangle = 52^{\circ}$ (27 galaxies). The bottom is the composite spectrum for our high inclination sample with $\langle i \rangle = 65^{\circ}$ (26 galaxies). The laboratory wavelengths for Ly α and the absorption lines are plotted as vertical lines. Red lines indicate the lines used for our analysis while blue dotted lines are other features present in the spectra.

Galaxy Property	$ho_{\Delta v_{\rm LIS}}$	$hoho_{Ly\alpha}$	$\rho_{v_{\rm max}}$
ı	$-0.17(0.245)$	0.26(0.261)	$-0.04(0.810)$
log(SFR)	0.04(0.784)	0.15(0.511)	$-0.44(0.002)$
$log(\Sigma_{\rm SFR})$	$-0.1(0.502)$	0.20(0.397)	$-0.15(0.291)$
$log(M_*)$	$-0.07(0.612)$	$-0.29(0.200)$	$-0.17(0.234)$
ΔMS	0.13(0.349)	0.44(0.044)	$-0.27(0.056)$

Table 5. Correlation coefficients between outflow and galaxy properties

*Each column lists the correlation coefficient ρ (with corresponding p-values in parentheses), between $\Delta v_{\text{LIS}}, \Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$, or v_{max} and one of several stellar properties.

Figure 4. Left: Same as Figure [3](#page-10-0) but for Δv_{LIS} vs log(SFR) (top) and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ vs log(SFR) (bottom). In the bottom panel, there is only one composite spectrum data point, as $Ly\alpha$ emission was only detected in one of the three composite spectra. Right: The same as Figure [3,](#page-10-0) but for three log(SFR) bins. The top is the composite spectrum for our low inclination sample with $\langle \log(SFR) \rangle = 1.03$ (27 galaxies). The middle is the composite spectrum for our mid inclination sample with $\langle \log(SFR) \rangle = 1.67$ (27 galaxies). The bottom is the composite spectrum for our high inclination sample with $\langle \log(SFR) \rangle = 2.15$ (26 galaxies).

Outflow Detection	$\langle \log(SFR/M_{\odot} yr^{-1}) \rangle$	$\langle \log(\Sigma_{\rm SFR}/\rm M_{\odot}yr^{-1}kpc^{-2}) \rangle$	$\langle \log(M_{*}/M_{\odot}) \rangle$	$\langle \Delta \rm{MS} \rangle$	$\langle i \rangle$ (deg)
Neither	1.14 ± 0.10	-0.26 ± 0.08	10.35 ± 0.04	-0.20 ± 0.10	$51 + 2.9$
KMOS Only	1.91 ± 0.11	0.10 ± 0.14	10.74 ± 0.09	0.03 ± 0.10	50 ± 2.6
LRIS Only	1.63 ± 0.08	0.06 ± 0.11	10.23 ± 0.08	0.06 ± 0.08	$52 + 2.8$
Both	2.03 ± 0.13	$0.82 + 0.23$	10.59 ± 0.14	$0.12 + 0.07$	46 ± 5.7

Table 6. Mean values for several star properties for different outflow detection methods

lation between outflow velocity and $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$. [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [\(2022\)](#page-19-11) suggest the absence of observed correlation may stem from challenges in pinpointing the actual location of the gas and its coupling to star formation activity. This discrepancy could be exacerbated by potential limitations in LRIS observations, and the relationship may remain elusive due to its weak nature within constrained dynamical ranges of $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$.

As shown in Figure [5](#page-13-1) and Table [5,](#page-10-1) we find no significant trends between outflow velocity and $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ in our sample. Furthermore, our composite spectra (Figure [5\)](#page-13-1) also show no trends between outflow velocity and $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$.

4.2.3. Stellar Mass

Galaxies with a lower stellar mass (M_*) have a lower gravitational potential, resulting in a more efficient launch of outflows [\(Reddy et al.](#page-19-21) [2022\)](#page-19-21). Our KMOS3D parent sample from Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17), show that SF-driven winds show no significant dependence on stellar mass. For galaxies with a stellar mass at $\log(M_*/M_{\odot}) \geq 10.3$, SF-driven winds may not escape the galaxy but instead contribute to driving fountains [\(Dekel & Silk](#page-18-37) [1986;](#page-18-37) [Murray et al.](#page-18-38) [2005;](#page-18-38) [Oppen](#page-18-39)heimer $&$ Davé [2008;](#page-18-39) [Ubler et al.](#page-19-31) [2014\)](#page-19-31). [Martin et al.](#page-18-40) [\(2012\)](#page-18-40), found that the detection rate of outflows does not rely on stellar mass. Additionally, [Heckman &](#page-18-41) [Borthakur](#page-18-41) [\(2016\)](#page-18-41) and [Prusinski et al.](#page-18-33) [\(2021\)](#page-18-33) find no correlation between stellar mass and outflow velocity. Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17) also find that the incidence, strength, and velocity of AGN-driven outflows are dependent on stellar mass, with most AGN-driven outflows detected above $log(M_*/M_{\odot}) = 10.7$.

Drawing from the same \widehat{KMOS}^{3D} sample as Förster [Schreiber et al.](#page-18-17) [\(2019\)](#page-18-17), we find that there is no correlation between outflow velocity and M[∗] (Figure [6](#page-14-0) and Table [5\)](#page-10-1). Figure [6](#page-14-0) also illustrates that the composite spectra show no correlation as well. Furthermore, we find no correlation between outflow velocity and the method in which the outflow was detected (Table [6\)](#page-11-1).

4.2.4. ∆MS

Using the KMOS^{3D} sample, Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17) find that AGN-driven outflows are not correlated with ∆MS while SF-driven outflows are detected at higher ΔMS . At $\Delta MS \ge +0.6$ dex, they find the highest percentage $(25 - 30\%)$ of detected SF-outflows. These "starbursting outliers" drive a SF-driven outflow that is detectable in the rest-optical line emission [\(Rodighiero](#page-19-32) [et al.](#page-19-32) [2011;](#page-19-32) Förster Schreiber et al. [2019\)](#page-18-17).

As shown in Figure [7](#page-15-0) and Table [5,](#page-10-1) there is no correlation between Δv_{LIS} and ΔMS among galaxies in our sample. While Table [5](#page-10-1) suggests there may be a relationship between $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ and ΔMS , (p = 0.044), the sample size is small with only 12 galaxies being included. Therefore, a larger sample is needed to robustly probe this relation. Figure [7](#page-15-0) also illustrates that the composite spectra show no correlation.

4.3. Maximum Outflow Velocity

In addition to analyzing the relationships between Δv_{LIS} and the various stellar properties, we test if the stellar properties are correlated with v_{max} . As shown in Figure [8](#page-15-1) and Table [5,](#page-10-1) our results for inclination, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, M∗, and ∆MS are qualitatively unchanged compared to our v_{LIS} results. However, we find a statistically significant correlation between v_{max} and SFR. Our results show that galaxies with a higher SFR have a higher maximum outflow velocity. These results agree with [Weldon](#page-19-11) [et al.](#page-19-11) [\(2022\)](#page-19-11) and support the idea that supernova or radiation pressure drive galactic outflows [\(Chevalier &](#page-17-23) [Clegg](#page-17-23) [1985;](#page-17-23) [Murray et al.](#page-18-36) [2011\)](#page-18-36). Given this strong correlation, v_{max} might be a more reliable measure of the correlations between outflow velocity and other galactic stellar properties.

4.4. Average Galaxy Properties of Outflow Samples

While we do not observe strong correlations between outflow and galaxies properties in the scatter plots or stacked spectra, we do find a relationship within the distinct stellar population properties (SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, and ΔMS) when looking at the stellar property distributions of the different outflow detections (Figure [9](#page-16-0) and Table [6\)](#page-11-1). We find that the average SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, and ΔMS are significantly higher in cases where outflows are detected than when they are not detected. Table [6](#page-11-1) shows that the highest averages are for cases when outflows are detected in both KMOS and LRIS for SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, and ∆MS. This result provides evidence that there is a connection between outflow and galaxy properties given that the mean star formation properties of the outflow samples are higher than the mean properties of the nonoutflow samples. Figures [4,](#page-11-0) [5,](#page-13-1) [7](#page-15-0) show no significant trends with outflow speed, suggesting that these correlations are noisy.

To test these correlations, we performed jackknife simulations by pulling 80 random galaxies from the parent KMOS3D sample within the same redshift range as our LRIS sample to create 1000 mock samples. We split these mock samples into 3 bins based on the stellar properties, and found the average detection fraction for each bin. Our simulations recover trends consistent with our LRIS sample with large uncertainties (Figure [10\)](#page-16-1), suggesting that a larger sample (i.e, a sample twice as large) spanning a wider dynamic range in galaxy properties is required.

Figure 5. Left: Same as Figure [3](#page-10-0) but for Δv_{LIS} vs log(Σ_{SFR}) (top) and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ vs log(Σ_{SFR}) (bottom). In the bottom panel, there is only one composite spectrum data point, as $Ly\alpha$ emission was only detected in one of the three composite spectra. Right: The same as Figure [3,](#page-10-0) but for three $\log(\Sigma_{\rm SFR})$ bins. The top is the composite spectrum for our low inclination sample with $\langle \log(\Sigma_{\rm SFR}) \rangle = -0.71$ (27 galaxies). The middle is the composite spectrum for our mid inclination sample with $\langle \log(\Sigma_{\rm SFR}) \rangle =$ -0.02 (27 galaxies). The bottom is the composite spectrum for our high inclination sample with $\langle \log(\Sigma_{\rm SFR}) \rangle = 0.78$ (26 galaxies).

Higher SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, and Δ MS in galaxies with detected outflows also suggest that outflows may only be launched and detectable above some threshold of SFR , Σ_{SFR} , and ∆MS [\(McKee & Ostriker](#page-18-42) [1977;](#page-18-42) [Heckman](#page-18-43) [2002;](#page-18-43) [Rubin](#page-19-26) [et al.](#page-19-26) [2014;](#page-19-26) [Chisholm et al.](#page-17-22) [2015;](#page-17-22) [Roberts-Borsani et al.](#page-19-33) [2020;](#page-19-33) [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [2022\)](#page-19-11). This is possible if the maximum outflow velocity that can be produced from star formation alone has an Eddington limit [\(Murray et al.](#page-18-38) [2005;](#page-18-38) [Thompson et al.](#page-19-34) [2005;](#page-19-34) [Hopkins et al.](#page-18-44) [2010\)](#page-18-44), or if the outflow speed is modulated by the density of the surrounding ISM.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Outflow Kinematics

As shown in Figure [2,](#page-5-1) we find that $\langle \Delta v_{\text{LIS}} \rangle = -56 \pm$ 16 km s^{-1} and $\langle \Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha} \rangle = 266 \pm 41 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9) use a similar sample to ours (i.e., 89 galaxies at $2 \leq z \leq 3$) to quantify a galaxy outflow velocities using interstellar absorption lines (IS) (C II λ 1334, Si IV λ 1393, Si II λ 1526) and Ly α . These authors find $\langle \Delta v_{\rm IS} \rangle$ = -164 ± 16 km s⁻¹ and $\langle \Delta v_{Ly\alpha} \rangle = 445 \pm 27$ km s⁻¹, which are significantly more offset from zero than our results.

Although [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9) do not report error bars on outflow velocities, we can look at the general shape of the Δv_{LIS} and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ histograms to analyze the differences in our average outflow velocities. [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9) find 11 out of their 89 galaxies to have $\Delta v_{\rm IS} \geq 0$. Moreover, all of their $\Delta v_{\rm IS} \le +100$ km s⁻¹. We find 15 out of 80 galaxies in our sample have $\Delta v_{\rm LIS} \geq 0$ with 5 galaxies having $\Delta v_{\text{LIS}} \geq 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Furthermore, [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9) find no $Ly\alpha$ measurements that are bluer than 100 km s^{-1} , whereas we find 3 galaxies measurements in the $v_{\text{Lva}} < 0$ regime (Figure [2\)](#page-5-1).

One source for these discrepancies may be the sample selection criteria. Although both [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9) and our sample investigate main sequence star-forming galaxies, we select our samples differently. Most notably, [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9) select galaxies in the rest-UV down to a fixed rest UV luminosity with a median absolute UV magnitude of $M_{UV} = -20.42$, while our parent sample, KMOS 3D from Förster Schreiber et al. [\(2019\)](#page-18-17), was selected down to fixed rest-optical luminosity with a median absolute UV magnitude of $M_{UV} = -19.93$, 0.5 magnitudes fainter in the rest-UV. These differences sample UV continuum luminosity selection may translate into differences in the properties of gas flows probed, but a detailed sample comparison is outside the scope of this work.

Figure 6. Left: Same as Figure [3](#page-10-0) but for Δv_{LIS} vs log(M_{*}) (top) and $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ vs log(M_{*}) (bottom). In the bottom panel, there is only one composite spectrum data point, as $Ly\alpha$ emission was only detected in one of the three composite spectra. Right: The same as Figure [3,](#page-10-0) but for three M_* bins. The top is the composite spectrum for our low inclination sample with $\langle M_* \rangle = 9.96$ (27) galaxies). The middle is the composite spectrum for our mid inclination sample with $\langle M_* \rangle = 10.35$ (27 galaxies). The bottom is the composite spectrum for our high inclination sample with $\langle M_* \rangle = 10.88$ (26 galaxies).

We find that our results are in agreement with other work that show lower velocities than those reported in [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9). For example, [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [\(2022\)](#page-19-11) use the MOSDEF-LRIS survey and measured $\Delta v_{\rm LIS}$ and Δv_{Lva} for 155 star forming galaxies at $1.42 \leq z \leq 3.48$. A small overlap exists in our samples since we include 22 galaxies from the MOSDEF-LRIS survey, yet the samples are largely independent. In the MOSDEF-LRIS sample, they found that the peak distribution for Δv_{LIS} was -60 km s^{-1} . Furthermore, as in our sample, there are several galaxies with $\Delta v_{\text{Ly}\alpha}$ < 100 km s⁻¹. [Talia](#page-19-10) [et al.](#page-19-10) (2012) and Calabrò et al. (2022) also present results consistent with those presented here. [Talia](#page-19-10) [et al.](#page-19-10) [\(2012\)](#page-19-10) used 74 rest-frame UV spectra from the Galaxy Mass Assembly ultra-deep Spectroscopic Survey (GMASS) with a redshift range of $1.5 \le z \le 2.8$. They used composite spectra to calculate the velocities of the strongest interstellar absorption lines (a mixture of low and high ionization lines denoted as $\Delta v_{\rm IS}$) and found the average $\Delta v_{\rm IS} \sim -100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Calabrò et al. [\(2022\)](#page-17-9) study 330 galaxies at $2 \le z \le 4.6$ using the VANDELS survey and find the average velocity traced by UV absorption lines was $\Delta v_{\rm IS} = -60 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Furthermore, they report a positive velocity shift for 39% of their sample.

These results present outflows with velocities closer to zero, suggesting a more nuanced picture [\(Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [2010\)](#page-19-9). The smaller velocities may imply that there is greater absorption at the galaxies systemic redshift that is more prominent in $z \sim 2$ galaxies. A more thorough decomposition of the absorption line may be necessary, separating the component associated with the galaxy disk from the one associated with an outflow [\(Weiner](#page-19-8) [et al.](#page-19-8) [2009;](#page-19-8) [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [2010;](#page-19-9) [Martin et al.](#page-18-40) [2012;](#page-18-40) [Rubin](#page-19-26) [et al.](#page-19-26) [2014\)](#page-19-26). Furthermore, we find that the $Ly\alpha$ kinematics are more complex given that there is evidence of blueshifted gas in the $Ly\alpha$, which is indicative of infalling gas [\(Verhamme et al.](#page-19-35) [2006;](#page-19-35) [Kulas et al.](#page-18-45) [2012;](#page-18-45) [Weldon et al.](#page-19-36) [2023\)](#page-19-36).

5.2. Inferred Geometry

Our results show no strong correlation with inclination implying the outflows are not collimated (Figure [3\)](#page-10-0). Outflows with a spherical geometry and unity covering fraction would have a $\sim 100\%$ detection rate. However, we find outflows detected with KMOS have a 30% detection rate while outflows detected with LRIS have a 49% detection rate. KMOS outflows are detected with $H\alpha$ kinematics while LRIS outflow detections are found with LIS absorption lines and $Ly\alpha$ emission. The lower

Figure 7. Left: Same as Figure [3](#page-10-0) but for Δv_{LIS} vs ΔMS (top) and Δv_{Lv} vs ΔMS (bottom). In the bottom panel, there are no composite spectra data points, as $Ly\alpha$ emission was not detected in any of the three composite spectra. Right: The same as Figure [3,](#page-10-0) but for three ΔMS bins. The top is the composite spectrum for our low inclination sample with $\langle \Delta MS \rangle = -0.53$ (27 galaxies). The middle is the composite spectrum for our mid inclination sample with $\langle \Delta MS \rangle = 0.03$ (27 galaxies). The bottom is the composite spectrum for our high inclination sample with $\langle \Delta MS \rangle = 0.33$ (26 galaxies).

Figure 8. Relationships between v_{max} and the various galaxy properties. Grey circles indicate galaxies without significant outflow detections, blue squares indicate galaxies with a significant outflow detection in KMOS, orange diamonds indicate galaxies with a 1σ outflow detection in the LRIS sample, and green triangles indicate galaxies where significant outflows were detected in both KMOS and LRIS. Relationships for (a): SFR; (b): $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$; (c): M_{*}; (d): Δ MS; (e): inclination.

detection rate for KMOS H α outflows implies that H α may be more sparsely distributed with lower covering fraction while neutral outflow gas traced from LIS absorption lines covers a larger solid angle surrounding the galaxy. Moreover, these tracers might exhibit sensitivity to varying timescales of outflow activity. For instance, $H\alpha$ provides a more instantaneous insight as it explores material closer to the launching site of outflows. In contrast, rest-UV lines could be dispersed across greater distances and lower densities along the line of sight. In future work, we will analyze the geometry of outflows more closely with JWST images in the COSMOS and GOODS-S fields. Furthermore, as in previous work (e.g., [Steidel et al.](#page-19-9) [\(2010\)](#page-19-9); [Weldon et al.](#page-19-11) [\(2022\)](#page-19-11)), we find that the connections between outflow and galaxy properties are noisy with our small dynamic range. A larger sam-

Figure 9. Distributions of stellar properties with different outflow detections. The blue bars represent galaxies with outflows detected with only KMOS (Förster Schreiber et al. [2019\)](#page-18-17), orange bars represent galaxies with outflows detected with LRIS only, and green bars represent galaxies with outflows detected from both KMOS and LRIS. Distributions of (a): SFR; (b): $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$; (c): M_{*}; (d): ΔMS ; (e): inclination.

Figure 10. Results from 1000 realizations of entire KMOS-LRIS-size samples drawn from the entire KMOS^{3D} sample. Each simulation drew 80 galaxies at $z = 1.4 - 2.7$ from the 600 galaxy parent sample and separated the galaxies into 3 bins based on the stellar properties. The bins contain 27, 27, and 26 galaxies, respectively. The colored data points represent the average values found from the simulations, the shaded regions represent the uncertainty, and the black squares are the averages found from our LRIS sample.

ple is needed with a wide enough dynamic range to robustly probe these relations. In addition, larger samples resolved on sub-galactic scales (e.g., expanding previous studies with AO-assisted IFU of star-forming galaxies and/or strongly lensed sources), will aid by enabling a more direct association of the local stellar properties and outflow launching sites and potential provide better constraints on outflow geometry.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We utilized a sample of 80 galaxies with a redshift range of $1.4 \leq z \leq 2.7$ to investigate galaxy outflows. To explore the multi-phase nature of galaxy outflows, we use a novel data set that includes both LRIS and KMOS in order to probe galaxy outflows in both $\rm\,H\alpha$ and the rest-UV. Outflows are identified in galaxies by using broad $H\alpha$ (+[N II]+[S II]) emission or by identifying low ionization interstellar absorption lines or $Ly\alpha$ emission. Outflow velocities are measured from rest-UV features. We also examine how outflow velocity depends on various galactic properties such as SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, M_{*}, ∆MS, and inclination. Our key results are as follows:

1. The mean velocities of our sample are $\langle \Delta v_{\text{LIS}} \rangle$ = -56 ± 16 km s⁻¹ and $\langle \Delta v_{Ly\alpha} \rangle = 266 \pm 41$ km s⁻¹. These average velocities, lower than those found in previous work at a similar redshift range, suggest that the interstellar absorption lines have a multi-component structure (i.e., one component

from the galaxy disk and one component from the galaxy outflow).

- 2. In our sample, we find no significant correlation between outflow velocity from absorption line centroids and galaxy properties. However, we find that the average SFR, $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$, and Δ MS are significantly higher in galaxies where outflows are detected, reflecting underlying trends in incidence of outflow detection reported for larger samples, such as the parent sample of 599 SFGs (Förster [Schreiber et al.](#page-18-17) [2019\)](#page-18-17). In addition, we find that quantifying outflow kinematics in terms of the maximum outflow velocity, v_{max} , may be more sensitive to underlying correlations.
- 3. Outflow velocity is not correlated with inclination, implying that outflows are not collimated. Furthermore, we did not have a 100% detection rate meaning outflows cannot be spherical either. These two results suggest that outflows are sparsely distributed. We find that outflows detected with $H\alpha$ have a 30% detection rate while galaxies detected with LIS absorption lines have

a 49% detection rate meaning that LIS absorption lines cover a larger solid angle. Furthermore, LIS absorption lines trace longer scales and lower densities along the line of sight of outflow activity compared to $H\alpha$.

We find that the correlations between outflow properties and galaxy properties have a significant amount of intrinsic scatter. Thus, a larger sample with a wider dynamic range and a a sample that explores these correlations on spatially-resolved scales are needed to better understand these relationships. Furthermore, higher resolution rest-optical imaging from JWST will enable a more robust exploration of the geometry of galactic outflows. A full analysis of such observations will be crucial for a full understanding of outflows.

We acknowledge support from NSF AAG grants 2009313 and 2009085. We also wish to extend special thanks to those of Hawaiian ancestry on whose sacred mountain we are privileged to be guests. Without their generous hospitality, the work presented herein would not have been possible.

REFERENCES

- Beckmann, R. S., Devriendt, J., Slyz, A., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 472, 949, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1831](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1831)
- Behroozi, P. S., Wechsler, R. H., & Conroy, C. 2013, ApJ, 770, 57, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/57](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/57)
- Bordoloi, R., Lilly, S. J., Knobel, C., et al. 2011, ApJ, 743, 10, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/743/1/10](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/743/1/10)
- Bordoloi, R., Lilly, S. J., Hardmeier, E., et al. 2014, ApJ, 794, 130, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/794/2/130](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/794/2/130)
- Brusa, M., Bongiorno, A., Cresci, G., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 446, 2394, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu2117](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2117)
- Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06897.x](http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06897.x)
- Calabrò, A., Amorín, R., Fontana, A., et al. 2017, A&A, 601, A95, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629762](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629762)
- Calabr`o, A., Pentericci, L., Talia, M., et al. 2022, A&A, 667, A117, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202244364](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202244364)
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 682, doi: [10.1086/308692](http://doi.org/10.1086/308692)
- Cano-Díaz, M., Sánchez, S. F., Zibetti, S., et al. 2016, ApJL, 821, L26, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/821/2/L26](http://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/821/2/L26)
- Chabrier, G. 2003, PASP, 115, 763, doi: [10.1086/376392](http://doi.org/10.1086/376392)
- Chen, Y.-M., Tremonti, C. A., Heckman, T. M., et al. 2010, AJ, 140, 445, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/140/2/445](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/140/2/445)
- Chevalier, R. A., & Clegg, A. W. 1985, Nature, 317, 44, doi: [10.1038/317044a0](http://doi.org/10.1038/317044a0)
- Chisholm, J., Tremonti, C. A., Leitherer, C., & Chen, Y. 2017, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 469, 4831, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1164](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1164)
- Chisholm, J., Tremonti, C. A., Leitherer, C., et al. 2015, ApJ, 811, 149, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/811/2/149](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/811/2/149)
- Concas, A., Popesso, P., Brusa, M., Mainieri, V., & Thomas, D. 2019, A&A, 622, A188, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201732152](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201732152)
- Concas, A., Maiolino, R., Curti, M., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 513, 2535, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac1026](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1026)
- Cresci, G., Mainieri, V., Brusa, M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 799, 82, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/799/1/82](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/799/1/82)
- Croton, D. J., Springel, V., White, S. D. M., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 365, 11, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09675.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09675.x)
- Dalcanton, J. J. 2007, ApJ, 658, 941, doi: [10.1086/508913](http://doi.org/10.1086/508913)
- Davé, R., Finlator, K., & Oppenheimer, B. D. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 1354,
	- doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19132.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19132.x)
- —. 2012, MNRAS, 421, 98,
- doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20148.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20148.x)
- Davies, R. L., Förster Schreiber, N. M., Übler, H., et al. 2019, ApJ, 873, 122, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab06f1](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab06f1)
- Davies, R. L., Förster Schreiber, N. M., Lutz, D., et al. 2020, ApJ, 894, 28, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab86ad](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab86ad)
- Dekel, A., & Silk, J. 1986, ApJ, 303, 39, doi: [10.1086/164050](http://doi.org/10.1086/164050)
- Di Matteo, T., Springel, V., & Hernquist, L. 2005, Nature, 433, 604, doi: [10.1038/nature03335](http://doi.org/10.1038/nature03335)
- Erb, D. K. 2015, Nature, 523, 169, doi: [10.1038/nature14454](http://doi.org/10.1038/nature14454)
- Ferrara, A., & Ricotti, M. 2006, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 373, 571, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10978.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10978.x)
- Finlator, K., & Davé, R. 2008, MNRAS, 385, 2181, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.12991.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.12991.x)
- Fontanot, F., Calabr`o, A., Talia, M., et al. 2021, MNRAS, 504, 4481, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab1213](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1213)
- Förster Schreiber, N. M., Genzel, R., Newman, S. F., et al. 2014, ApJ, 787, 38, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/787/1/38](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/787/1/38)
- Freeman, W. R., Siana, B., Kriek, M., et al. 2019, ApJ, 873, 102, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab0655](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab0655)
- Förster Schreiber, N. M., Übler, H., Davies, R. L., et al. 2019, The Astrophysical Journal, 875, 21, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab0ca2](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab0ca2)
- Genzel, R., Newman, S., Jones, T., et al. 2011, ApJ, 733, 101, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/733/2/101](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/733/2/101)
- Genzel, R., Tacconi, L. J., Kurk, J., et al. 2013, ApJ, 773, 68, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/773/1/68](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/1/68)
- Genzel, R., Förster Schreiber, N. M., Lang, P., et al. 2014, ApJ, 785, 75, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/785/1/75](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/785/1/75)
- Harrison, C. M., Alexander, D. M., Mullaney, J. R., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 1195, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv2727](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2727)
- Heckman, T. M. 2001, Galactic Superwinds Circa 2001. <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0107438>
- Heckman, T. M. 2002, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 254, Extragalactic Gas at Low Redshift, ed. J. S. Mulchaey & J. T. Stocke, 292, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/0107438](http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/0107438)
- Heckman, T. M., Armus, L., & Miley, G. K. 1990, ApJS, 74, 833, doi: [10.1086/191522](http://doi.org/10.1086/191522)
- Heckman, T. M., & Borthakur, S. 2016, ApJ, 822, 9, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/822/1/9](http://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/822/1/9)
- Heckman, T. M., Lehnert, M. D., Strickland, D. K., & Armus, L. 2000, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 129, 493, doi: [10.1086/313421](http://doi.org/10.1086/313421)
- Hirschmann, M., Naab, T., Davé, R., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 2929, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1770](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1770)
- Hopkins, P. F., Murray, N., Quataert, E., & Thompson, T. A. 2010, MNRAS, 401, L19,
- doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2009.00777.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2009.00777.x)
- Hopkins, P. F., Quataert, E., & Murray, N. 2012, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 421, 3522, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20593.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20593.x)
- Kornei, K. A., Shapley, A. E., Martin, C. L., et al. 2012, ApJ, 758, 135, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/758/2/135](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/758/2/135)
- Kriek, M., van Dokkum, P. G., Franx, M., et al. 2007, ApJ, 669, 776, doi: [10.1086/520789](http://doi.org/10.1086/520789)
- —. 2008, ApJ, 677, 219, doi: [10.1086/528945](http://doi.org/10.1086/528945)
- Kriek, M., Shapley, A. E., Reddy, N. A., et al. 2015, ApJS, 218, 15, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/218/2/15](http://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/218/2/15)
- Kulas, K. R., Shapley, A. E., Kollmeier, J. A., et al. 2012, ApJ, 745, 33, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/745/1/33](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/745/1/33)
- Lang, P., Wuyts, S., Somerville, R. S., et al. 2014, ApJ, 788, 11, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/788/1/11](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/788/1/11)
- Law, D. R., Steidel, C. C., Shapley, A. E., et al. 2012, ApJ, 759, 29, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/759/1/29](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/759/1/29)
- Leung, G. C. K., Coil, A. L., Azadi, M., et al. 2017, ApJ, 849, 48, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa9024](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa9024)
- Leung, G. C. K., Coil, A. L., Aird, J., et al. 2019, ApJ, 886, 11, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab4a7c](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab4a7c)
- Madau, P., Ferguson, H. C., Dickinson, M. E., et al. 1996, MNRAS, 283, 1388, doi: [10.1093/mnras/283.4.1388](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/283.4.1388)
- Mannucci, F., Cresci, G., Maiolino, R., Marconi, A., & Gnerucci, A. 2010, MNRAS, 408, 2115, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17291.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17291.x)
- Martin, C. L. 2005, ApJ, 621, 227, doi: [10.1086/427277](http://doi.org/10.1086/427277)
- Martin, C. L., Shapley, A. E., Coil, A. L., et al. 2012, ApJ, 760, 127, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/760/2/127](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/760/2/127)
- McKee, C. F., & Ostriker, J. P. 1977, ApJ, 218, 148, doi: [10.1086/155667](http://doi.org/10.1086/155667)
- Momcheva, I. G., Brammer, G. B., van Dokkum, P. G., et al. 2016, ApJS, 225, 27, doi: [10.3847/0067-0049/225/2/27](http://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/225/2/27)
- Moster, B. P., Somerville, R. S., Maulbetsch, C., et al. 2010, ApJ, 710, 903, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/710/2/903](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/710/2/903)
- Murray, N., Ménard, B., & Thompson, T. A. 2011, ApJ, 735, 66, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/735/1/66](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/735/1/66)
- Murray, N., Quataert, E., & Thompson, T. A. 2005, ApJ, 618, 569, doi: [10.1086/426067](http://doi.org/10.1086/426067)
- Newman, S. F., Shapiro Griffin, K., Genzel, R., et al. 2012a, ApJ, 752, 111, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/752/2/111](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/752/2/111)
- Newman, S. F., Genzel, R., Förster-Schreiber, N. M., et al. 2012b, ApJ, 761, 43, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/761/1/43](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/761/1/43)
- Newman, S. F., Buschkamp, P., Genzel, R., et al. 2014, ApJ, 781, 21, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/781/1/21](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/781/1/21)
- Oke, J. B., Cohen, J. G., Carr, M., et al. 1995, PASP, 107, 375, doi: [10.1086/133562](http://doi.org/10.1086/133562)
- Oppenheimer, B. D., & Davé, R. 2008, MNRAS, 387, 577, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13280.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13280.x)
- Peeples, M. S., Werk, J. K., Tumlinson, J., et al. 2014, ApJ, 786, 54, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/786/1/54](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/786/1/54)
- Prusinski, N. Z., Erb, D. K., & Martin, C. L. 2021, AJ, 161, 212, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/abe85b](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/abe85b)
- Reddy, N. A., Topping, M. W., Shapley, A. E., et al. 2022, ApJ, 926, 31, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac3b4c](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac3b4c)
- Roberts-Borsani, G. W., & Saintonge, A. 2019, MNRAS, 482, 4111, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2824](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2824)
- Roberts-Borsani, G. W., Saintonge, A., Masters, K. L., & Stark, D. V. 2020, MNRAS, 493, 3081, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa464](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa464)
- Rodighiero, G., Daddi, E., Baronchelli, I., et al. 2011, ApJL, 739, L40, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/739/2/L40](http://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/739/2/L40)
- Rubin, K. H. R., Prochaska, J. X., Koo, D. C., et al. 2014, ApJ, 794, 156, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/794/2/156](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/794/2/156)
- Rupke, D. S., Veilleux, S., & Sanders, D. B. 2005, ApJS, 160, 115, doi: [10.1086/432889](http://doi.org/10.1086/432889)
- Sanders, R. L., Shapley, A. E., Kriek, M., et al. 2018, ApJ, 858, 99, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aabcbd](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aabcbd)
- Sanders, R. L., Shapley, A. E., Jones, T., et al. 2021, ApJ, 914, 19, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/abf4c1](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abf4c1)
- Scannapieco, E., Silk, J., & Bouwens, R. 2005, ApJL, 635, L13, doi: [10.1086/499271](http://doi.org/10.1086/499271)
- Shapiro, K. L., Genzel, R., Quataert, E., et al. 2009, ApJ, 701, 955, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/701/2/955](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/701/2/955)
- Shapley, A. E., Steidel, C. C., Pettini, M., & Adelberger, K. L. 2003, ApJ, 588, 65, doi: [10.1086/373922](http://doi.org/10.1086/373922)
- Sharma, M., & Nath, B. B. 2012, The Astrophysical Journal, 750, 55, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/750/1/55](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/750/1/55)
- Somerville, R. S., Hopkins, P. F., Cox, T. J., Robertson, B. E., & Hernquist, L. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 481, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13805.x](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13805.x)
- Speagle, J. S., Steinhardt, C. L., Capak, P. L., & Silverman, J. D. 2014, ApJS, 214, 15, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/214/2/15](http://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/214/2/15)
- Steidel, C. C., Erb, D. K., Shapley, A. E., et al. 2010, ApJ, 717, 289, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/717/1/289](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/717/1/289)
- Steidel, C. C., Shapley, A. E., Pettini, M., et al. 2004, ApJ, 604, 534, doi: [10.1086/381960](http://doi.org/10.1086/381960)
- Sugahara, Y., Ouchi, M., Lin, L., et al. 2017, ApJ, 850, 51, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa956d](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa956d)
- Swinbank, A. M., Harrison, C. M., Tiley, A. L., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 487, 381, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1275](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1275)
- Tacconi, L. J., Genzel, R., Saintonge, A., et al. 2018, ApJ, 853, 179, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaa4b4](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaa4b4)
- Talia, M., Mignoli, M., Cimatti, A., et al. 2012, A&A, 539, A61, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201117683](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117683)
- Thompson, T. A., Quataert, E., & Murray, N. 2005, ApJ, 630, 167, doi: [10.1086/431923](http://doi.org/10.1086/431923)
- Topping, M. W., Shapley, A. E., Reddy, N. A., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 495, 4430, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa1410](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1410)
- Tremonti, C. A., Heckman, T. M., Kauffmann, G., et al. 2004, ApJ, 613, 898, doi: [10.1086/423264](http://doi.org/10.1086/423264)
- Tumlinson, J., Peeples, M. S., & Werk, J. K. 2017, ARA&A, 55, 389,
	- doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-091916-055240](http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-091916-055240)
- $Übler, H., Naab, T., Oser, L., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 443,$ 2092, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1275](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1275)
- van der Wel, A., Bell, E. F., Häussler, B., et al. 2012, ApJS, 203, 24, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/203/2/24](http://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/203/2/24)
- van Dokkum, P. G., Nelson, E. J., Franx, M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 813, 23, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/813/1/23](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/813/1/23)
- Veilleux, S., Cecil, G., & Bland-Hawthorn, J. 2005, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 43, 769, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150610](http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150610)
- Verhamme, A., Schaerer, D., & Maselli, A. 2006, A&A, 460, 397, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20065554](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20065554)
- Vogelsberger, M., Genel, S., Sijacki, D., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 3031, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1789](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1789)
- Weiner, B. J., Coil, A. L., Prochaska, J. X., et al. 2009, ApJ, 692, 187, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/692/1/187](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/692/1/187)
- Weldon, A., Reddy, N. A., Topping, M. W., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 515, 841, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac1822](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1822)
- —. 2023, MNRAS, 523, 5624, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stad1615](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1615)
- Whitaker, K. E., Franx, M., Leja, J., et al. 2014, ApJ, 795, 104, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/795/2/104](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/795/2/104)
- Wisnioski, E., Förster Schreiber, N. M., Wuyts, S., et al. 2015, ApJ, 799, 209, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/799/2/209](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/799/2/209)
- Wisnioski, E., Förster Schreiber, N. M., Fossati, M., et al. 2019, ApJ, 886, 124, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab4db8](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab4db8)
- Wuyts, S., Förster Schreiber, N. M., Lutz, D., et al. 2011, ApJ, 738, 106, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/738/1/106](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/738/1/106)